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ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

PROTEIN-LIGAND INTERACTIONS AND ALLOSTERIC REGULATION OF ACTIVITY IN DREAM PROTEIN

by

Walter Gabriel Gonzalez

Florida International University, 2016

Miami, Florida

Professor Jaroslava Miksovska, Major Professor

Downstream regulatory antagonist modulator (DREAM) is a calcium sensing protein that co-assembles with Kv4 potassium channels to regulate ion currents as well as with DNA in the nucleus, where it regulates gene expression. The interaction of DREAM with A-type Kv4 channels and DNA has been shown to regulate neuronal signaling, pain sensing, and memory retention. The role of DREAM in modulation of pain, onset of Alzheimer’s disease, and cardiac pacemaking has set this protein as a novel therapeutic target. Moreover, previous results have shown a Ca^{2+} dependent interaction between DREAM and Kv4/DNA involving surface contacts at the N-terminus of DREAM. However, the mechanisms by which Ca^{2+} binding at the C-terminus of DREAM induces structural changes at the C- and N-terminus remain unknown. Here, we present the use of biophysics and biochemistry techniques in order to map the interactions of DREAM and numerous small synthetic ligands as well as Kv channels. We further demonstrate that a highly conserved network of aromatic residues spanning the C- and N-terminus domains control protein dynamics and the pathways of signal transduction on DREAM. Using molecular dynamics simulations, site directed mutagenesis, and fluorescence spectroscopy
we provide strong evidence in support of a highly dynamic mechanism of signal transduction and regulation. A set of aromatic amino acids including Trp169, Phe171, Tyr174, Phe218, Phe235, Phe219, and Phe252 are identified to form a dynamic network involved in propagation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} induced structural changes. These amino acids form a hydrophobic network connecting the N- and C-terminus domains of DREAM and are well conserved in other neuronal calcium sensors. In addition, we show evidence in support of a mechanism in which Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals are propagated towards the N-terminus and ultimately lead to the rearrangement of the inactive EF-hand 1. The observed structural motions provide a novel mechanism involved in control of the calcium dependent Kv4 and DNA binding. Altogether, we provide the first mechanism of intramolecular and intermolecular signal transduction in a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding protein of the neuronal calcium sensor family.
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Figure 4.2. (a) Quenching of the tryptophan fluorescence of DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160)) in the apo-state as a function of NS5806, analyzed using a modified Stern-Volmer equation (inset). The linear fit recovered a slope of 45 ± 2 μM and intercept of 1.0 ± 0.2 for DREAM(Δ65) and slope of 80 ± 4 μM and intercept of 1.8 ± 0.2 for DREAM(Δ160). The inverse of the intercept for DREAM(Δ160) indicates that only 56 \% of the tryptophan residues are quenched at saturating amounts of NS5806. After correction for the fraction quenched, both constructs result in identical
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dissociation constant values of ~40 μM in the apoform. (b) The tryptophan fluorescence of 5 μM Zn²⁺-Kv4.3(1-152)-GST decrease due to binding of NS5806, inset shows the structural formula of NS5806. (c) Fluorescence intensity change as a function of NS5806 concentration, solid line represents best fit using eq. 1 with recovered K_d=11 ± 2 μM.

Figure 4.3. (a) Fluorescence emission of 1,8-ANS:DREAM(Δ65) complexes as a function of increasing concentrations of NS5806, inset shows the shift in emission spectra maximum as a function of NS5806. (b) Replacement curves for the 1,8-ANS- DREAM(Δ65) complex in the apo and calcium bound states as a function of NS5806 concentration. The dissociation constants recovered using Eq. 2.8.2 are K_d = 23 ± 7 μM and K_d = 2.5 ± 0.3 μM for apo and calcium bound forms, respectively. Conditions: 95 μM 1,8-ANS, 10 μM Ca²⁺ DREAM(Δ65) in 20 mM MOPS, pH 7.4, and 100 mM NaCl. (c) Change in fluorescence lifetime pre-exponential parameters α₁ and α₂ as a function of NS5806 concentration. Pre-exponential parameters were recovered using a triple discrete exponential decay model from analysis of emission decay data of 10 μM 1,8-ANS bound to 10 μM DREAM(Δ65) in the apoform and Ca²⁺ bound state. The lifetime of unbound ANS was set as a fixed value at 0.28 ns (measured separately), while the second and third decay lifetimes were set as linked variables with lifetimes of 5.7 ± 0.4 ns and 15.4 ± 0.9 ns in the apoform (χ²=2.7) and 6.3 ± 0.5 ns and 16.7 ± 0.5 ns in the calcium bound state (χ²=1.7).

Figure 4.4. ITC binding isotherms for NS5806 association to Mg²⁺Ca²⁺DREAM(Δ65) (left) and to Mg²⁺Ca²⁺DREAM(Δ160) (right). The upper panels of each profile reflects the thermal power expressed in units of μcal·s⁻¹. The lower panel shows integrated reaction heats (ΔH) normalized for bound NS5806 and expressed in units of kcal·mol⁻¹. The solid lines represent fitting curves using the two-set of sites model. Conditions: 10 μM Mg²⁺Ca²⁺DREAM in 20 mM MOPS, pH 7.4, and 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM CaCl₂ and 5 mM MgCl₂.

Figure 4.5. Titration curve for (a) Site 1 (Kv4.3(2-21)) binding to apoDREAM(Δ65) (open symbols) and apoDREAM(Δ160) (solid symbols) in the absence (circles) and presence of 200 μM NS5806 (up triangle). Conditions: 0.5 μM Kv4.3(2-22) and 200 μM NS5806.
NS5806 in 20 mM MOPS, pH 7.4, and 100 mM NaCl. (b) Titration curves of Ca\(^{2+}\) (open symbols) or NS5806 (solid symbols) binding to the DREAM-site 1 complex in the presence of NS5806 or Ca\(^{2+}\). Solid lines represent fits to a Hill equation, with dissociation constants for NS5806 binding to Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM-site 1 of \(K_d = 51 \pm 2 \, \mu\text{M}\) and to apoDREAM-site 1 \(K_d = 21 \pm 1 \, \mu\text{M}\). The recovered Ca\(^{2+}\) dissociation constants do not reflect the actual apparent calcium affinities since EDTA was present in the samples. Conditions: 1 \(\mu\text{M}\) Kv4.3(2-22) and 20 \(\mu\text{M}\) DREAM(Δ65) in 20mM MOPS, pH 7.4, 100mM NaCl with 1mM Ca\(^{2+}\) or 100 \(\mu\text{M}\) EDTA. (c) Time profile of the change in fluorescence intensity of 100 nM Kv4.3(2-22) peptide upon binding to 5 \(\mu\text{M}\) Ca\(^{2+}\) DREAM(Δ65) in the absence and presence of 200 \(\mu\text{M}\) NS5806. Solid line represents the NLLS fit using a double exponential decay model, recovered parameters listed on Table 4.
1 with hydrophobic residues from DREAM(Δ65). Bottom right: The reorientation of the hydrophobic domain at the DREAM C-terminus upon concomitant binding of site 1 and NS5806.

Figure 5.1. (a) Bioinformatics analysis of the first 100 residues at the N-terminus of mouse DREAM indicating regions of helical structure and charge distribution. Proposed sites for posttranslational modification and ligand interactions are shown. (b) Helical plot analysis of residues 29 to 44 of DREAM. (c) DREAM amino acid sequence disorder prediction using the PONDR-FIT and VL3 prediction servers. (c) Potential energy surface of apoDREAM (lacking the first 77 residues) calculated using the published NMR structure and the APBS plugin of the VMD software with CHARMM parameters at 150 mM mobile ion concentration.

Figure 5.2. Titration curves for calmodulin binding to (a) 100 nM of a FITC labeled peptide analogous to residues 29-44 of mouse DREAM “DRE29” in the absence (circles) or present (squares) of 1 mM calcium (Kd = 136 ± 10 nM) and in the presence of calcium and 30 μM Trifluoperazine (up triangles). (b) Fluorescence emission spectra of 5 μM DRE29 peptide in the presence or absence of 10 μM Ca2+CaM, sample was excited at 300 ± 4 nm which resulted in negligible contribution from tyrosine on CaM. Buffer background emission was subtracted to decrease Rayleigh, Raman and 2nd harmonic peaks contributing to the signal. (c) Observed shift and increase in tryptophan fluorescence upon calmodulin binding. (d) Normalized tryptophan fluorescence change at 370 nm of DREAM(1-256) upon addition of calmodulin in the absence (circles) and presence (squares) of 1 mM calcium (Kd = 3.3 ± 0.9 μM).

Figure 5.3. (a) Fluorescence intensity quenching of 1 μM DRE29 upon association to Ca2+CaMRR2. (b) Plot of the FRET efficiency between DRE29 and Ca2+CaMRR2 complex, a dissociation constant of 134 ± 16 nM was recovered using a Hill equation. (c) Fluorescence anisotropy of 100 nM DRE29 versus calculated TFP free calmodulin. The drug free calmodulin concentration was determined assuming that a single TFP molecule is bound to calmodulin with an affinity of 1 μM, 30 μM TFP was held constant while the concentration of CaM was increased.

Figure 5.4. Anisotropy change upon addition of DREAM and DREAM(Δ65) to 1 μM DRE29 peptide in the presence of CaCl2 (solid symbols) or EDTA (open symbols). Line represent the best fit using Eqn.
1, with dissociation constant for DREAM of 57 μM while DREAM(Δ65) resulted in a $K_d \approx 30$ μM in the absence of salt and $K_d \approx 0.3$ mM in the presence of 100 mM NaCl.

Figure 5.5. (a) Modified Stern-Volmer analysis of tryptophan fluorescence quenching upon binding of NS5806 to apo and Ca$^{2+}$DREAM, solid lines illustrate the expected traces for complete quenching and dashed lines show the intercept $2.83 \pm 0.12$. (b) Association of Kv4.3(1-22)-FITC with apo and Ca$^{2+}$DREAM monitored using fluorescence anisotropy; solid lines represent the best fit to the data using a Hill binding model with the recovered parameters shown in the inset. (c) Fluorescence spectra of 1,8-ANS bound to apoDREAM with $\lambda_{max} = 478$ nm and Ca$^{2+}$DREAM with $\lambda_{max} = 475$ nm. These results support the idea that the accessibility of the hydrophobic cavity at the C-terminus of DREAM is not compromised by the presence of the N-terminus residues 1-65.

Figure 5.6. Eight 10 ns MD simulation at 313 K of DREAM N-terminus (residues 1-66) showing the overall stability of the predicted α helix. Bulky hydrophobic residues which form part of the CaM binding region (shown in red) are drawn as licorice model.

Figure 5.7. (a) Far-UV CD spectra of DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM in the presence of 100 μM calcium in 5mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4. (b) Near-UV CD spectra of DREAM(Δ65) and (c) DREAM in the absence and presence of calcium.

Figure 5.8. Dissociation kinetics of (a) 10 μM CaM and 1 μM DRE29 and (b) 1 μM CaMRR2 and 10 μM DREAM upon addition of 1mM EDTA monitored using T-format fluorescence anisotropy as a function of temperature. (c) Eyring plot analysis of the dissociation constant as a function of temperature, solid lines represent best linear fit to the data.

Figure 5.9. Frequency-domain fluorescence decay of apo and Ca$^{2+}$CaM-IAEDANS (b) Frequency-domain anisotropy decay of Ca$^{2+}$ bound CaM-IAEDANS in the absence and presence of DREAM. Phase delay and modulation ratio are shown as open and solid symbols, respectively. Solid lines represent the best fit using multi-exponential decay models, recovered parameters are listed in Table 5.3 and 5.4.

Figure 5.10. (a) Fluorescence emission spectra transition of 5 μM CaM-IAEDANS upon association with 20 μM DREAM. (b) Global fluorescence decay lifetime $\chi^2$ surface for the two component
exponential decay of CaM-IAEDANS in the presence (red) or absence (black) of Ca\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\)CaM-IAEDANS:DREAM (blue) and Ca\(^{2+}\) free complex (green). Rotational correlation time $\chi^2$ surface from the global analysis of the anisotropy decay data using a two component exponential decay for CaM-IAEDANS and triple exponential decay model for the CaM-IAEDANS:DREAM complex, color scheme as in panel b. 

Figure 5.11. Initial structure of DREAM built using the protocol described in the Material and Methods section, where the structure derived from the published NMR is shown in green and the predicted structure of residues 1 to 75 is shown in orange with the CaM binding site highlighted in red licorice model. Calcium atoms are shown as yellow spheres.

Figure 5.12. Molecular dynamics simulation of DREAM using an initial model constructed by combining a peptide model for residues 1 to 76 with the published NMR structure of DREAM (residues 76 to 256) shown in green. The alpha-helical section of the N-terminus domain was predicted using secondary prediction tools and shown in orange, the CaM binding region corresponding to residues 29-44 is shown in red licorice. All structures are aligned using residues 76 to 256; calcium is shown as yellow spheres. (a) Two final structures of DREAM after 80 ns classical MD simulations. (b) Three final structures of DREAM after 30 ns accelerated MD simulations. (c) Three independent structures of DREAM after 1000ns of coarse grained molecular dynamics simulation in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\), showing a collapsed N-terminus. The hydrophobic surface known to mediate ligand binding on DREAM is highlighted by a blue ellipse, the electronegative surface identified on Figure 5.1 is highlighted in black.

Figure 5.13. Fluorescence anisotropy increase due to 0.5 μM FITC labeled dsDNA homologous to the DRE sequence of human prodynorphin gene binding to 5 μM DREAM in the presence or absence of Ca\(^{2+}\). Addition of CaM in increasing concentrations (0 μM, 20 μM, 40 μM and 60 μM) results in a concomitant decrease in fluorescence anisotropy. Chelation of Ca\(^{2+}\) by 2 mM EDTA results in an increase in anisotropy due to dsDNA association with DREAM, even in the presence of 60μM CaM.

Figure 5.14. Cartoon representation of the proposed model for a heterotetrameric DREAM:CaM complex. The DREAM dimer is
shown in cyan and calmodulin is shown in orange, calcium is shown as yellow spheres.

Figure 6.1. (a) NMR structure of DREAM monomer with highlighted hydrophobic residues (gray mesh) and charged residues (red mesh) (pdb entry 2JUL)\(^7\). The four EF-hand of DREAM are shown in green (EF-hand 1), orange (EF-hand 2), blue (EF-hand 3), and red (EF-hand 4). Calcium are shown as an orange sphere. (b) Coordination geometry of calcium bound to EF-hand 3 (left) and EF-hand 4 (right). Residues involved in coordination of Ca\(^{2+}\) are shown in licorice model. EF-hand 3 shows a clear pentagonal bipyramidal coordination whereas EF-hand 4 is distorted. (c) Geometry and consensus sequence of EF-hand binding loops as well as sequence homology between neuronal calcium sensors and calmodulin at the metal binding loops.

Figure 6.2: Intrinsic fluorescence changes of 40 \(\mu\)M (a) CaM and (b) DREAM(Δ65) upon binding of 1 mM calcium or 160 \(\mu\)M Tb\(^{3+}\) on CaM or 80 \(\mu\)M Tb\(^{3+}\) for DREAM(Δ65), excited at 280 ± 4 nm. The observed tyrosine fluorescence change upon Tb\(^{3+}\) binding to CaM shows a small deviation from that observed for Ca\(^{2+}\), likely due to quenching of the fluorescence by energy transfer to Tb\(^{3+}\). The Tb\(^{3+}\) induced transition for DREAM(Δ65) is identical to that observed in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\). Sensitized emission of (c) 160 \(\mu\)M Tb\(^{3+}\) bound to CaM and (d) 80 \(\mu\)M Tb\(^{3+}\) bound to DREAM(Δ65), excited at 280 nm with and without 2mM EDTA. The background emission of the Tb\(^{3+}\):EDTA complex is shown as reference. The observed sensitized emission of Tb\(^{3+}\) shows the characteristic sharp bands at 489, 544 (major), 586 and 622 nm due to the \(^5\)D\(_4\)-\(^7\)F\(_8\), \(^5\)D\(_4\)-\(^7\)F\(_5\), \(^5\)D\(_4\)-\(^7\)F\(_4\), \(^5\)D\(_4\)-\(^7\)F\(_3\) transitions of Tb\(^{3+}\), respectively. The major peak at 545 nm for DREAM(Δ65) is 40% smaller than that observed for CaM. Addition of 2 mM EDTA to either CaM or DREAM(Δ65) resulted in an emission identical to that of Tb\(^{3+}\) in solution.

Figure 6.3: (a) Excitation spectra of DREAM constructs bound to Tb\(^{3+}\), 20 \(\mu\)M protein 40 \(\mu\)M Tb\(^{3+}\). The spectra was normalized so that the intensity corresponding to backbone to Tb\(^{3+}\) energy transfer at 240nm is the same for DREAM(Δ65), DREAM(Δ160), and DREAM(Y174A) while the magnitude is half for DREAM(E186Q) and DREAM(E234Q). (b) Calcium/terbium binding sites of EF-hand 3 and 4, only EF-hand 3 is shown (in blue) for clarity. (c) Titrations of Tb\(^{3+}\) into DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160) in 20 mM TRIS pH 7.4 and 100 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\) with and without 5 mM Mg\(^{2+}\).
(d) Titration of Tb$^{3+}$ into DREAM(E186Q) and DREAM(E234Q) under identical conditions as panel (c). Solid lines represent the best fit using the quadratic equation for N-binding sites.

Figure 6.4. (a) Tryptophan fluorescence lifetime distribution of DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ or Tb$^{3+}$, decay data was modeled as a Gaussian distribution and a discrete decay, parameters listed in Table 1. (b) Circular dichroism spectra of 40 μM DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of 1 mM Ca$^{2+}$, 2 mM EDTA or 160 μM Tb$^{3+}$ in 5mM TRIS pH 7.4. (b) Binding of Tb$^{3+}$ or Ca$^{2+}$ to 10 μM DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of 40 μM 1,8-ANS.

Figure 6.5: ITC isotherms for Tb$^{3+}$ displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ from CaM, DREAM(Δ64) and DREAM(Δ160). The upper panels of each profile reflects the thermal power expressed in units of µcal·s$^{-1}$. The lower panel shows integrated reaction heats (ΔH) expressed in units of kcal·mol$^{-1}$. The solid lines present the best fitting curve with parameters shown in Table 6.2.

Figure 6.6: (a) Luminescence decay of Tb$^{3+}$ bound to CaM and constructs of DREAM, with stoichiometric ratios of 4:1 Tb$^{3+}$:CaM and 2:1 Tb$^{3+}$:DREAM. Solid lines represent the best fit using Globals software analysis, recovered parameters listed in Table 3. (b) Luminescence intensity decay profiles as a function of Tb$^{3+}$ binding to 10 μM DREAM(Δ64). The luminescence decays in (b) were analyzed using a triple discrete model and the fractional intensity contribution of the two slowest lifetimes is shown in (c). The fastest decay component had a lifetime of ~80 μs with less than 10 % contribution and is not shown. (d) Luminescence decay Tb$^{3+}$ bound to DREAM and DREAM(Δ64) as a function of the hydrophobic molecules TFP (top) bound to DREAM and NS5806 (bottom) bound to DREAM.

Figure 6.7: (a) Typical nanoESI mass spectra of DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ and of DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ and Tb$^{3+}$. The isotopic distribution of the DREAM(Δ64):2Ca$^{2+}$ and DREAM(Δ64):2Tb$^{3+}$ are shown for clarity. (b) NanoESI TIMS mobility spectra of DREAM(Δ64) bound to Ca$^{2+}$ and/or Tb$^{3+}$.

Figure 6.8: Plot of TΔS versus ΔH for Tb$^{3+}$ displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ from DREAM(Δ64), DREAM(Δ161), DREAM(E186Q), DREAM(E234Q) and CaM. Solid line represents the best linear fit to the data.
Figure 7.1. Jablonski-Perrin diagram highlighting the two major pathways by which the excited state of a chromophore can be depopulated. ........................193

Figure 7.2. Boundary conditions used in solving the Green’s function. Yellow represents the heat posited including any additional process. .................................198

Figure 7.3. Experimental set-up for the photo-thermal beam deflection measurements in the collinear configuration. .................................................................203

Figure 7.4. (Top) PBD noise on long timescales with and without air insulation. (Bottom) The PBD amplitude distribution of the noise. ..........................................................204

Figure 7.5. (a) PBD signal amplitude of Na₂(CrO₄)₂ in 20 mM MOPS pH 7.4 and 100 mM NaCl as a function of absorbance at 355 nm. (b) Linear plots of the PBD data as a function of \( \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho Cp} \right) \) at different optical absorbance at 355 nm. The inset shows the deviation from 0-intercept as a function of optical density at 355 nm. (c) PBD signal amplitude of the same sample as a function of pump laser power at an absorbance of 0.701 at 355 nm. ..................................................205

Figure 7.6. (a) PBD signal of Na₂(CrO₄)₂ in 20 mM MOPS pH 7.4 upon excitation with 1100 μJ power at 355 nm. (b) PBD signal as a function of pump laser repetition rate at 20 °C. Inset shows the magnitude of the heat diffusion effect as a function of frequency. ..............206

Figure 7.7. A representative trace highlighting the kinetics of a photo triggered processes and the amplitude of the slow and fast phases used to calculate the enthalpy and volume changes. ......................................................207

Figure 7.8. (a) Differences in calculated ε \( \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho Cp} \right) \) values. In method 1, the values are obtained by fitting the \( \frac{dn}{dT} \), \( \frac{1}{\rho} \), and \( \frac{1}{Cp} \) terms individually to a polynomial of rank 3. In method 2, the values of \( \frac{dn}{dT} \), \( \frac{1}{\rho} \), and \( \frac{1}{Cp} \) are first multiplied and the trace fitted with polynomial of rank 5. These polynomials are used to calculate the ε \( \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho Cp} \right) \) at the temperature shown. (b) The deviation from linearity becomes more obvious at lower temperatures (near 0 on the x-axis). This plot was obtained using Na₂(CrO₄)₂ in 20mM MOPS pH 7.4 100mM NaCl with a laser power of 1100 μJ. (c) Effect on intercept due to increase in ionic strength, conditions are the same as in (b). .................................................................208
Figure 7.9. (a) PBD signal of Na$_2$(CrO$_4$)$_2$ (reference) in 20 mM MOPS pH 7.4 100 mM NaCl. (b) Plot of the reference PBD signal as a function of $\epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho Cp} \right) \right]^{-1}$ used to determine the instrument constant $AE_a$. (c) Linear fit of the PBD signal as a function temperature used to identify the temperature at which the PBD signal for the reference is equal to 0.

Figure 7.10. Titration curves for Ca$^{2+}$ and Tb$^{3+}$ binding to DM-nitrophen. The titrations were conducted in 20mM MOPS pH 6.5 and 100 μM DM-nitrophen. The absorbance at 350 nm is plotted.

Figure 7.11. (a) Representative ITC isotherms for displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ by Tb$^{3+}$ upon binding to DM-nitrophen. (b) Heat due to Tb$^{3+}$ binding to DM-nitrophen (black symbols), Tb$^{3+}$ displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ from DM-nitrophen (red symbols), and (c) from EDTA. The solid lines represent the best fit to the data using a one-site model.

Figure 7.12. (a) PBD signal of H$_2$Cage (dark lines) in 20 mM MOPS pH 7.1 100 mM NaCl as a function of temperature. (b) PBD signal of Cu(OH$_2$)$_2$Cage at different temperatures. The reference PBD signal of Na$_2$(CrO$_4$)$_2$ (light lines) at 30 °C (red) and 10 °C (black) are shown for comparison in plots a and b. (c) Plot of the sample to reference PBD signal ratio as a function of $\epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho Cp} \right) \right]^{-1}$ for the fast and slow phases of H$_2$Cage and Cu(OH)$_2$Cage used to determine ΔH and ΔV, following eqn. 8.37. The solid red lines represent the linear fit of the data. Recovered parameters listed in Table 8.1.

Figure 7.13. Eyring plot of H$_2$Cage and Cu(OH$_2$)$_2$Cage aci-nitro intermediate decay as determined by PBD. Solid lines represent the best linear fit to the data.

Figure 7.14. (a) PBD traces for DREAM constructs at 200 μM concentration, solid green line represent best NLLS fit to an exponential decay. Trace for DREAM(1-256) not shown. (b) PBD traces of Ca$^{2+}$DM-nitrophen from different manufacturers.

Figure 8.1. Calcium induced dissociation of DREAM from DNA. The Ca$^{2+}$ free DREAM shown as a tetrameric blue pentagon, upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding a dimer is formed (green shape). The dimeric form of DREAM has lower affinity for DNA. Dissociation of DREAM from DNA induces the expression of DRE regulated genes.
Figure 8.2. Association of ligand with DREAM (shown in the center as a cartoon model). The electrostatic and hydrophobic regions of DREAM are highlighted in a red surface or gray surface, respectively. Ligand known to interact with DREAM are shown, (counterclockwise) calcium, terbium(III), 1,8-ANS, NS5806, arachidonic acid, site 1 of Kv4.3, site 2 of Kv4.3, DRE DNA, and magnesium. ..........................................................224

Figure 8.3. Cartoon representation of the three dimensional structures of (a) NCS1 (PDB: 1fpw), (b) recoverin (PDB: 1jsa), and (c) DREAM (PDB: 2jul) in the Ca$^{2+}$ bound form. ...............................................................225

Figure 8.4. (a) Root mean square deviation (RMSD) of the alpha carbon (C$\alpha$) DREAM (residues 76 to 256) as a function of simulation time. Notice that the classical simulation must undergo over 400 ns to reach a deviation similar to that observed using aMD. Heat map plot showing the RMSD of the C$\alpha$ of each residue as a function of simulation time for the cMD simulation (b) and for the aMD simulation (c). Note the similar pattern or reorganization between residue 76 to 96 on both simulations (marked as 1). The region 2 corresponds to residues connecting the exiting helix of EF-hand 3 and entering helix of EF-hand 4. ..............................................................226

Figure 8.5. Global structural changes following 100 ns of aMD simulation. (a) Initial structure of DREAM following minimization and equilibration. (b) after 100 ns of aMD. (c) Overlaid of the initial structure shown in (a) with the N-terminus of structure shown in (b) represented as geometric cartoons. The C-terminus EF-hand 3 and 4 were used in the alignment. ...............................................................228

Figure 8.6. (a) Reorientation of the EF-hands of DREAM in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$ before (shown in solid colors) and after 100 ns of aMD simulation (shown in diffuse color with solid lines representing the backbone atoms). All alignments were conducted using the first 12 amino acids of the entering helix (shown horizontal). The EF-hand 1 residues 89 to 122 (a), EF-hand 2 residues 128 to 160 (b), EF-hand 3 residue 163 to 198 (c), EF-hand 4 residue 210 to 242 (d), and the H10 helix residues 243 to 256. ........................................228

Figure 8.7. Structure of the N-terminus domain of DREAM (EF-hand 1 in orange and EF-hand 2 in green) (a) before simulation and (b) after 100 ns of aMD simulation in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$. Root mean square deviation per residue of the last 10 ns compared to the initial structure of Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM (red) and Ca$^{2+}$ free DREAM (black). The approximate positions of the EF-hand
helices shown as colored squares, EF-hand 1 in orange, EF-hand 2 in green, EF-hand 3 in blue, and EF-hand 4 in red. Heat map representation of the RMSD per residue as a function of time for Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM during 100 ns of aMD simulation. ..............................229

Figure 8.8. (a) Root mean square deviation (RMSD) and root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of the EF-hand 2 (residues 163 to 198. RMSF calculated with a moving window of 1 ns with a step of 0.2 ns. The RMSD (c) and RMSF(d) of EF-hand 4. White square highlights the N-terminus of the metal binding loop. .................................230

Figure 8.9. (a) Cartoon representation of the proposed DREAM dimer in which Leu155, Leu158, Leu159, and Leu251 are shown in licorice model with a diffuse surface. The N-terminus EF-hand 1 and 2 shown in blue and the C-terminus EF-hand 3 and 4 shown in red. (b) RMSD of the C\(_\alpha\) in EF-hand 1 (residues 89 to 102) as a function of aMD simulation time for the Ca\(^{2+}\) free and bound DREAM as well as the dimer of DREAM. (c) Heat map of the RMSD per residue as a function of simulation time for each DREAM subunit in the dimer protein. ........................................................232

Figure 8.10. Residue cross correlation analysis of the cMD simulations for the (a) Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM monomer, (b) Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM dimer (only one subunit shown), and (c) Ca\(^{2+}\) free DREAM monomer. All the structure are based on the last 90 ns of a cMD simulation in which the RMSD changed by less than 1 Å. The structure of the Ca\(^{2+}\) free DREAM was obtained after 100 ns of aMD simulation. Regions of interest are marked and described in the text. .................................................................234

Figure 8.11. Cartoon representation Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM monomer with the colored communities shown as ball and stick colored cartoons. The structure was obtained following 100 ns of cMD simulation. (b) Cartoon representation of DREAM with EF-hands colored and helices labeled for reference to panel (a). (c) Structure of DREAM with overlaid dynamic networks highlighting the critical amino acids connecting the N- and C-terminus of DREAM (amino acids shown in purple licorice, H10 and gray network not shown for clarity). (d) Networks at the C-terminus showing the connection between the H10 helix (gray network) and the entering helix of EF-hand 4 (yellow network), critical amino acids shown in green licorice model. .................................................................................236

Figure 8.12. (a) Cartoon representation Ca\(^{2+}\) free DREAM monomer with the colored communities shown as ball and stick colored cartoons.
The structure was obtained following 100 ns of cMD simulation.

(b) Cartoon representation of DREAM with EF-hands colored and helices labeled for reference to panel (a). ..............................................................

Figure 8.13. (a) Cartoon representation of the proposed DREAM dimer on which the communities have been overlaid using a ball and stock representation. Subunit A and B are highlighted for reference. The figure shows one angle the other rotated 180 degrees. (b) Cartoon representation of DREAM with EF-hands colored and Ca$^{2+}$ metal shown, LxxLL motif shown in purple licorice model. (c) Intersubunit salt bridges formed between DREAM subunits. .............................

Figure 8.14. Dynamic networks at the C-terminus, highlighting the strong dynamic coupling between the exiting helix of EF-hand 4 (cyan) and the entering helix of EF-hand 3 (purple), (a) in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ and (b) in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$. Reorganization of the C-terminus aromatic amino acids upon removal of Ca$^{2+}$ from the EF-hands 3 and 4 of DREAM. .................................................................

Figure 8.15. (a) Sequence alignment of mouse NCS-1, recoverin, VILIP-1, GCAP-1, and DREAM. Turn loops of EF-hand 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in bold and underlined. the negatively charged amino acids involved in metal coordination shown in red. The conserved aromatic amino acids are shown in bold underlined green letters. Asterisks indicate identical residues, semicolon indicates very similar residues, and the period is for somewhat similar residues. Amino acids involved in Kv4 binding are shown in green. ..............................................................

Figure 8.16. (a) Sequence alignment of mouse NCS-1, recoverin, VILIP-1, GCAP-1, and DREAM. Turn loops of EF-hand 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in bold and underlined. the negatively charged amino acids involved in metal coordination shown in red. The loop connecting the EF-hands 3 and 4 are shown in blue bold and underlined, the respective negatively residue with whom these amino acids interacts is shown in bold underlined orange color. The LxxLL motif is shown in blue and the the amino acid with whom they interact is shown in orange. ...............................

Figure 8.17. Far-UV circular dichroism spectra of DREAM mutants. (a) DREAM(Δ65), (b) DREAM(Δ160), (c) DREAM(Y174A), (d) DREAM(F218A), (e) DREAM(F235A), and (f) DREAM-NCS. The spectra are shown in the presence of 1 mM EDTA (apo), 5 mM MgCl2 and 1 mM EGTA (Mg$^{2+}$), 1 mM CaCl2 (Ca$^{2+}$), and 1 mM CaCl2 and 5mM MgCl2 (Mg$^{2+}$Ca$^{2+}$). The coloring scheme
is the same for all spectra. The protein centration was kept near 10 μM for all protein constructs in 5 mM TRIS pH 7.4.

Figure 8.18. Tryptophan fluorescence spectra of DREAM mutants. (a) DREAM(Δ65), (b) DREAM(Δ160), (c) DREAM(Y174A), (d) DREAM(F218A), (e) DREAM(F219A), (f) DREAM(F235A), (g) DREAM(F252A), and (h) DREAM-NCS. The spectra are shown in the presence of 1 mM EDTA (apo), 5 mM MgCl₂ and 1 mM EGTA (Mg²⁺), 1 mM CaCl₂ (Ca²⁺), and 1 mM CaCl₂ and 5mM MgCl₂ (Mg²⁺Ca²⁺). The coloring scheme is the same for all spectra. The protein concentration was kept near 10 μM for all protein constructs in 5 mM TRIS pH 7.4.

Figure 8.19. Fluorescence emission spectra of 1,8-ANS bound to DREAM-NCS1 in the presence of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, or both.

Figure 8.20. Calcium binding experiments monitoring the tryptophan fluorescence change upon Ca²⁺ binding to each DREAM mutants. (a) All the titration curves for DREAM constructs showing a decrease in tryptophan fluorescence similar to that of DREAM(Δ65) and (b) DREAM mutants whose change in fluorescence is reverse to that observed in the DREAM(Δ65) protein. Protein samples contained 5 μM DREAM in 20mM MOPS pH 7.4 1 mM EGTA and 1 mM NTA. The concentration of calcium free was determined using the known fluorescence transition and dissociation constants of Quin-2.

Figure 8.21. Unfolding experiment of DREAM constructs, in which the tryptophan fluorescence maxima was monitored as a function of guanidium hydrochloride (GuHCl) concentration. The protein was kept at 5 μM for all protein construct and at all GuHCl concentrations.

Figure 8.22. Tryptophan fluorescence emission spectra as a function of GuHCl for (a) Ca²⁺ free and (b) Ca²⁺ bound DREAM(Δ65). The emission spectra of the native DREAM, intermediate, and unfolding protein in the absence of Ca²⁺ (c) and presence of Ca²⁺ is shown (d).

Figure 8.23. Representative unfolding trace of (a) DREAM (Δ65) and (b) DREAM-NCS in the apo, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺Ca²⁺ bound forms. Solid lines represent the best fit using equation 8.4.

Figure 8.24. Unfolding plot of (a) DREAM (F218A) and (b)
DREAM(F219A) in the apo, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, and Mg$^{2+}$Ca$^{2+}$ bound forms. Solid lines represent the best fit using equation 8.4.

Figure 8.25. Rotameric states of tryptophan in proteins. (a) Newman projection of the t rotamer and (b) g$^+$ rotamer with view along the C$\alpha$-C$\beta$ carbon bond. The corresponding indole conformations as observed in the aMD simulation of apoDREAM(Δ161). The measured C$\alpha$-C$\beta$ and C$\beta$-C$\gamma$ angles are highlighted with black arrows.

Figure 8.26. Plots of the C$\beta$-C$\gamma$ as a function of C$\alpha$-C$\beta$ dihedral angle of tryptophan 169 in the Ca$^{2+}$ bound (a) DREAM(Δ160), (c) DREAM(Δ65), and (e) DREAM(Y174A), as well as the Ca$^{2+}$ free of the (a) DREAM(Δ160), (c) DREAM(Δ65), and (e) DREAM(Y174A). A total of 4000 points representing 20 ps each are plotted, obtained from the aMD simulations.

Figure 8.27. Plots of the C$\beta$-C$\gamma$ as a function of C$\alpha$-C$\beta$ dihedral angle of tryptophan 169 in the Ca$^{2+}$ bound (a) DREAM(F218A), (c) DREAM(F219A), and (e) DREAM(F252A), as well as the Ca$^{2+}$ free of the (b) DREAM(F218A), (d) DREAM(F219A), and (e) DREAM(F252A). A total of 4000 points representing 20 ps each are plotted, obtained from the aMD simulations.

Figure 8.28. Plots of the C$\beta$-C$\gamma$ as a function of C$\alpha$-C$\beta$ dihedral angle of tryptophan 169 in the Ca$^{2+}$ bound (a) and Ca$^{2+}$ free (b) of the DREAM(F235A). Solvent exposure of Trp169 in the (c) t rotamer with G$^-$ indole orientation and in the (d) g$^+$ rotamer with G$^+$ indole orientation. Inset shows the change in SASA as a function of time.

Figure 8.29 (a) Helices of DREAM included in the analysis of fluctuations. The EF-hands 1 and 2 are shown in orange and green, respectively, and form part of the N-terminus. The EF-hands 3 and 4 are shown in blue and red, respectively, and form the C-terminus. The H1 helix, the connecting loop between EF-hand 3 and 4, and the H10 helix are not used in the calculations and are shown in diffuse cyan. (b) A representative RMSF plot of apoDREAM(Δ65) N- and C-terminus as a function of simulation time, the last 80 ns of simulation time was used for all constructs.
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# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABBREVIATION</th>
<th>FULL NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aMD</td>
<td>Accelerated molecular dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔH⁺</td>
<td>Activation enthalpy change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ka</td>
<td>Association constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BZF</td>
<td>Bezafibrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>Calcium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cMD</td>
<td>Classical molecular dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Circular dichroism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edihed</td>
<td>Dihedral energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>Dimethyl sulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kd</td>
<td>Dissociation constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>Dithiothreitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM</td>
<td>Downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFX</td>
<td>EF-hand X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔH</td>
<td>Enthalpy change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λmax</td>
<td>Emission maxima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGTA</td>
<td>Ethylene glycol-bis(2-aminoethylether)-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GuHCl</td>
<td>Guanidine hydrochloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ki</td>
<td>Inhibition constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTG</td>
<td>Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITC</td>
<td>Isothermal Calorimetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>Magnesium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM</td>
<td>Maximum entropy method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLLS</td>
<td>Non-linear least squares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS5806</td>
<td>N-[3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-N’-[2,4-dibromo-6-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)phenyl]urea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAC</td>
<td>Photoacoustic calorimetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBD</td>
<td>Photothermal beam deflection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KChIP</td>
<td>Potassium channel interaction protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi$</td>
<td>Quantum yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaM</td>
<td>Rat calmodulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD</td>
<td>Root mean square deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>Sodium dodecyl sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SASA</td>
<td>Solvent accessible surface area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb$^{3+}$</td>
<td>Terbium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMS</td>
<td>Trapped-ion mobility spectrometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{total}}$</td>
<td>Total energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta V$</td>
<td>Volume change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,8-ANS</td>
<td>1-anilinonaphthalene-8-sulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIPES</td>
<td>1,4-Piperazinediethanesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM-nitrophen</td>
<td>((1-(2-nitro-4, 5-dimethoxyphenyl)-N, N, N’, N’-tetrakis [ (oxy-carbonyl) methyl]-1, 2-ethanediame)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,6-ANS</td>
<td>2-anilinonaphthalene-6-sulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>2-{2-[Bis(carboxymethyl)amino]ethyl} (carboxymethyl)amino)acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L35</td>
<td>2-[4-(3,5-dichlorophenyllureido)phenoxy]l-2-methylpropionic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIS</td>
<td>2-Amino-2-hydroxymethyl-propane-1,3-diol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TnC</td>
<td>Troponin C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPS</td>
<td>3-Morpholinopropene-1-sulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,5-IAEDANS</td>
<td>(5-(((2-Iodoacetyl)amino)ethyl)amino)Naphthalene-1-Sulfonic Acid)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. INTRODUCTION
1.1 Brain, neurons, and calcium

The vertebrate central nervous system (CNS) is the most complex biological system known and its morphological complexity is only overshadowed by the exceptional array of behaviors that it creates and controls. Among the numerous cells that actively regulate the CNS function, neurons are the essential building block controlling activity (y Cajal 1995, Hodgkin, Huxley 1952). It is estimated that there are on average about 130 billion neurons in the brain, each interconnected with thousands of other neurons in a maze of about 150 trillion synapses (Saver 2006). Despite the enormous complexity of the CNS at the system level; at the molecular level, a certain level of organization and hierarchy begins to emerge. Indeed, decades of research have allowed a detailed (albeit, not yet complete) understanding of the signaling mechanisms controlling communication among distinct classes of neurons and other excitable cells, such as cardiac cells in the heart. The signaling mechanism is known as the action potential, and it involves the coordinated firing of numerous membrane bound ion channels and transporters (Barnett, Larkman 2007). Action potentials control cell excitability by effectively changing the normal negative polarity of the transmembrane voltage of the cell by allowing the influx of positive ions such as sodium and calcium, thus causing a membrane depolarization. The influx of positive ions is counteracted by the efflux of potassium or chloride ions, causing the hyperpolarization of the cell. Even though these are gross simplifications of the ion transport underlying the creation of the action potential, they provide a brief insight into the relevance of ion homeostasis in the cell. Indeed, it is the ion concentration inside the cell which controls the transmembrane voltage which in turns controls the gating of numerous voltage-gated ion
channels. If well, the prevalence of Na⁺, K⁺, Cl⁻, Ca²⁺, or other voltage-gated channels depends on the cell type, there is a widespread prevalence of K⁺ ions in the cytosol of excitable cells (Olaf 2009). On the other hand, the concentration of ions such as Ca²⁺ and Na⁺ is highly regulated (Carafoli 2002, Clapham 2007). More interestingly, excitable cells such neurons and myocardiocytes are partially devoid of Ca²⁺ in the resting state. The lack of Ca²⁺ ion in the cytosol of cells may have initially arisen in cellular organisms to prevent the precipitation of phosphate, a widely used molecular building block for all life forms (Clapham 2007). Alternatively, it seems that whatever necessary role a divalent cationic ion could have served in the cell, this role has been achieved by use of Mg²⁺ (Laires, Monteiro & Bicho 2004, Agus, Morad 1991). Unlike Ca²⁺, magnesium concentration in the cell is largely unregulated, with concentrations well in the 2-5 mM range in different compartments of the cell. This is in stark contrast to the 100 nM concentration of Ca²⁺ in resting neurons and cardiomyocytes (Clapham 2007).

The energetic costs of maintaining low cytosolic concentration of Ca²⁺ is not without its benefits. In fact, vertebrates have evolved mechanisms that not only exploit the high extracellular concentration of Ca²⁺ for production of bone and teeth, but have also taken advantage of the chemical gradient of this ion that is present across the membrane. Calcium is maintained at about 2 mM in the extracellular environment, providing a strong chemiosmosis force that facilitates the fast influx of this ion. Additionally, the resting transmembrane voltage of -70 mM to -90 mV present in neurons and cardiomyocytes further enhances the force driving influx of Ca²⁺ into the cell (YELLEN 1998). Whenever a route of passage across the plasma membrane is activated, calcium ions can diffuse into the cell at rates exceeding 10⁶ ion/sec (Naraghi, Neher 1997). These calcium influx routes
can be formed by voltage-gate calcium channels (CaV), which are part of over a dozen other voltage-gated ion channels controlling action potentials in neurons (Bean 2007). In neurons, about 4 to 5 voltage-gated calcium channels are expressed, which include, the low-voltage activated T-type channels (CaV3) and the high-voltage activated L-type (CaV1), among other channels (Bean 2007). However, the slower dynamics of these channels preclude Ca2+ from inducing depolarization of the action potential, a role which is fulfilled by the much faster sodium channels. Thus, calcium entry is maximal during the falling phase of the action potential. More importantly, the rich repertoire of Ca2+ channels result in a wide range of temporally and spatially controlled influx of this ion. Furthermore, cytosolic increases of calcium concentrations can also be triggered by release of Ca2+ from intracellular stores, such as the endoplasmic reticulum (Berridge, Lipp & Bootman 2000, Gifford, Walsh & Vogel 2007b). The precise pattern and frequency of calcium spikes (also referred as “blips”, “puffs”, or “waves”) is used by neurons to regulate numerous cellular processes. Indeed, the spatiotemporal regulation of Ca2+ spikes has made this metal an essential player in the regulation of neuronal signaling in the brain.

1.2 Calcium binding proteins and neuronal calcium sensors

Despite calcium playing a pivotal role during the evolution of the action potential in both neurons and cardiomyocytes, these cells have evolved mechanisms to make further use of this ion. The influx of Ca2+ in the cell is further utilized to monitor and control numerous physiological processes. For instance, under hypoxic conditions, depletion of ATP induces reduction of ATP-dependent Ca2+ pumps activity and reduction in Ca2+ removal. Accumulation of Ca2+ in the cell has also been shown to trigger apoptosis among
other processes (Jordán et al. 2000). Additionally, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} plays an essential role in regulating neurotransmitter release via interaction of this metal ion with synaptotagmins and SNARE proteins (Jahn, Scheller 2006, Hu et al. 2002). Gene regulation, morphological remodeling of cells (Babich, Burkhardt 2013), tumor progression (Chen et al. 2013), and cancer (Braunewell 2005), have also been known to be regulated by Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. (Bito, Deisseroth & Tsien 1997).

![Three dimensional structures of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding proteins](image)

**Figure 1.1:** Three dimensional structures the most common families of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding proteins shown as a cartoon representation, with calcium metal shown as tallow spheres. (a) Synaptotagmin 1 with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound to the C2-domain, (b) Annexin III with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound at the Annexin domain, and (c) calmodulin with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound at the the EF-hand.

However, calcium itself would be devoid of any biological activity were it not for the numerous proteins that associate with this metal. Indeed, despite the drastic thousand-fold increase in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration during repolarization of the action potential, most of the cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is readily bound to proteins. The extent to which cells exploit Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals is highlighted by the large number of calcium-binding proteins (CaBPs) which use this metal to trigger and/or regulate about every aspect of biological function (Clapham 2007, Berridge, Bootman & Roderick 2003, Berridge, Bootman & Lipp 1998).
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The ever-growing list of CaBPs known to directly interact with Ca\(^{2+}\) is only overshadowed by the numerous biological processes they regulate. During evolution, these CaBPs evolved at least three common Ca\(^{2+}\) binding motifs: the C2 domain (Nalefski, Falke 1996), the annexin domain (Raynal, Pollard 1994), and the EF-hand domain (Kuster et al. 1991). The structure, amino acid composition, and affinity for Ca\(^{2+}\) of these three domains are markedly different (Figure 1.1).

![Figure 1.1: Three dimensional structure of a representative EF-hand motif (PDB: 2pvb).](image1)

(a) Cartoon representation of the helix-loop-helix motif of the EF-hand with Ca\(^{2+}\) bound and shown as a yellow sphere. (b) The same structure as in (a) but shown as a licorice model, without hydrogens.

The EF-hand is the most widespread Ca\(^{2+}\) binding motif (Figure 1.2), with over 250 proteins belonging to this superfamily (Braunewell, Gundelfinger 1999). Proteins in this superfamily have been organized by those that function as calcium buffers and those which function as Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors (Yap et al. 1999, Ikura 1996). The Ca\(^{2+}\) buffer subfamily of EF-hand proteins is exemplified by their ability to bind Ca\(^{2+}\) with the sole purpose of regulation of the Ca\(^{2+}\) levels inside the cell. Member of this subfamily include, parvalbumin, which was the first EF-hand protein whose Ca\(^{2+}\) binding loop was investigated in detail (Moews, Krettsinger 1975, Krettsinger, Nockolds 1973). In fact, the name of the EF-hand arose from the observation that the E and F helices of parvalbumin are orientated around the Ca\(^{2+}\)
coordinating loop in a manner which resembles the thumb and index finger of a hand. Other members of this subfamily include Calbindin D9k, Calbindin D28k, and Calretin (Ikura 1996). The Ca$^{2+}$ sensor subfamily is further divided into Ca$^{2+}$ sensing proteins and neuronal calcium sensors (NCS) (Figure 1.3).

Figure 1.3. Dendrogram showing the relationship between members of the intracellular calcium binding proteins.

Member of the Ca$^{2+}$ sensor group include Calmodulin, Troponin C, Calcineurin B, and the myosin light chains (McCue, Haynes & Burgoyne 2010). The second group, the neuronal calcium sensors, are characterized by five subgroups, including recoverin (group I), NCS-1 (group II), visinin-like proteins (group III), guanylyl cyclase-activating proteins (group IV), and the potassium channel interacting proteins (group V) (Braunewell,

**Figure 1.4.** (left) NMR structure of Ca\(^{2+}\) free recoverin (PDB: 1iku, conformation 1) with EF-hand 1 shown in orange, EF-hand 2 in green, EF-hand 3 in blue, and EF-hand 4 in red. The myristoyl group is shown as spheres. (Right) NMR structure of Ca\(^{2+}\) bound to EF-hand 2 and 3 of recoverin (PDB: 1jsa, conformation 1). Calcium is shown as yellow spheres.

Most member of the NCS group are exclusively expressed in the brain and retina, with the exception of the newly discovered KChIP subgroup (Braunewell, Gundelfinger 1999, Paterlini et al. 2000, Polans, Baehr & Palczewski 1996). The NCS proteins are characterized by the presence of four EF-hand domains of which the most N-terminal one (EF-hand 1) is always inactive and does not bind Ca\(^{2+}\), EF-hand 2 and 3 always bind calcium, and EF-hand 4 is functional in some members (Ames et al. 2006). Despite lack of Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to EF-hand 1 at the N-terminus, some NCS members are known to incorporate a myristoyl or palmitoyl group near this hand (Burgoyne et al. 2004). The hydrophobic prosthetic group has been shown to be effectively exposed upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to the C-terminus (Figure 1.4) (Ames et al. 1996, Takimoto, Yang & Conforti 2002, Zozulya, Stryer 1992, Hughes et al. 1995). Numerous studies have also highlighted the submicromolar
affinity for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} of EF-hands in NCS proteins, some of which can show a sequential, non-cooperative, or cooperative behaviors (Cox et al. 1994, Ladant 1995, Osawa et al. 2005).

### 1.3 The EF-hand Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding motif

**Figure 1.5.** (a) Crystal structure of Parvalbumin (PBD: 2pvb). Helices are shown in different colors and turn-loop involved in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} chelation is shown in licorice model. The E and F hand helices forming the EF-hand are shown in blue and red, respectively. (b) EF-hand formed by the helix E and F of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound parvalbumin (PBD: 1b8r). The entering helix is colored in blue and exiting helix in red, with calcium shown as a yellow sphere.

Following the initial identification of the EF-hand motif in parvalbumin (Kretsinger, Nockolds 1973), this motif was found to be present in troponin C (Collins et al. 1973), myosin light chains (Collins 1974), calmodulin (Stevens et al. 1976), and recoverin (Flaherty et al. 1993), to name a few. In fact, bioinformatics analysis indicate that this motif may be present in over 3000 other proteins (Grabarek 2006). The canonical EF-hand motif consist of a helix-loop-helix structure of roughly 30 amino acids, of which 12 comprise the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding loop (Figure 1.5) (Kretsinger, Nockolds 1973, Grabarek 2006). Analysis of numerous EF-hand containing proteins structures has revealed that: i) EF-hands are often present in pairs (Yap et al. 1999), ii) Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is always coordinated by the oxygen atoms of
aspartic, glutamic, and backbone carbonyls, (Cates et al. 1999), and that iii) association of Ca$^{2+}$ induces stabilization and/or structural changes on these proteins (Gifford, Walsh & Vogel 2007b, Gifford, Walsh & Vogel 2007a).

**Figure 1.6.** Coordination of Ca$^{2+}$ at the x, y, z, -y, and -z by: (a) Asp73, Gln75, Asp75, Thr79, and Glu84 of EF-hand 2 in recoverin (PDB: 1jsa); (b) Asp109, Asp111, Asp113, Thr115, and Glu120 of EF-hand 3 in recoverin (PDB: 1jsa); (c) Asp131, Asp133, Asp135, His140, and Glu129 of EF-hand 3 in calmodulin (PDB: 1exr); (d) Asp93, Asp95, Gln97, Leu99, and Glu104 in EF-hand 4 of calmodulin (PDB: 1exr).

1.3.1 EF-hand metal coordination

The coordination of Ca$^{2+}$ and small chelating compounds, such as EDTA, is octahedral (Barnett 1979). However, the coordination of Ca$^{2+}$ with oxygen atoms in EF-hands varies among CaBPs, with a hepta-coordination being the most common (Grebarek 2006) (Figure 1.6). The coordination bonds in most EF-hands also follow a pentagonal bipyramidal organization. The heptahedral coordination of Ca$^{2+}$ allows the assignment of a coordinated system, with Ca$^{2+}$ as the origin, in which the first three ligands are assigned the X, Y, and Z axes, respectively.
Figure 1.7. Crystal structure of calmodulin with Mg$^{2+}$ bound at EF-1 (PDB: 3ucw). Coordinating amino acid involved in metal binding are shown in licorice model. Water molecules shown as red spheres.

Sequence analysis of numerous EF-hand loops has revealed that glutamic acid at the –Z coordination is common among Ca$^{2+}$ selective EF-hands. At the 12$^{th}$ position of the metal binging loop (–Z coordination), glutamic acid provides two coordination bonds to Ca$^{2+}$. Nonetheless, in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$ and millimolar concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$, EF-hands can bind Mg$^{2+}$ as shown in Figure 1.7 (Senguen, Grabarek 2012). The EF-hand loops containing aspartic acid at the –Z position often show higher selectivity for Mg$^{2+}$ ions thank Ca$^{2+}$ (da Silva, Kendrick-Jones & Reinach 1995). The preference of Mg$^{2+}$ over Ca$^{2+}$ arises from the shorter length of the sidechain of asparagine, which is ideally suited for the hexa-coordination necessary to chelate Mg$^{2+}$. On the other hand, the hepta-coordination of Ca$^{2+}$ by EF-hand loops with aspartic acid at position 12 is satisfied by addition of a water molecule bridging the second oxygen (Senguen, Grabarek 2012). At the center of the EF-hand loop, the –Y coordination is provided by a backbone carbonyl, for example, threonine in Figure 1.7. Next to the –Y coordination, a bulky hydrophobic amino acid stabilizes inter-motifs interactions with the neighboring EF-hand (Grabarek 2006, Gifford, Walsh & Vogel
The interaction between adjacent EF-hands has been shown to play a role in regulation of Ca$^{2+}$ binding cooperativity (Strynadka, James 1989). The EF-hand loop has been observed to form a short β-sheet with the adjacent EF-hand named the EF-hand-β-scaffold (Figure 1.8) (Grabarek 2006).

Figure 1.8. EF-hand pair showing the formation of a β-sheet connecting the adjacent Ca$^{2+}$ binding loops (PDB: 2jul).

1.3.2 EF-hand structural changes

Structural analysis of CaM (Grabarek 2005) and TnC (Gagne 1997) mutants have revealed that Ca$^{2+}$ binding follows a multi-step mechanism in which the N-terminus of the EF-hand binding loop initially interacts with Ca$^{2+}$. The initial interaction allows the subsequent coordination between Ca$^{2+}$ and the bidentate Glu-12 at the –Z coordination. The coordination of the –Z amino acid positioned at ~ 2 Å triggers the structural rearrangement of the exiting helix and exposure of a hydrophobic cavity. The magnitude of the structural rearrangements induced by the –Z coordination is largely dependent on the EF-hand loop sequence as well as the inter-helical contacts between the entering and exiting helices of adjacent EF-hands (Yap et al. 1999, Nelson, Chazin 1998). In the model
presented above, the EF-hand-β-scaffold functions as a hinge connecting the N- and C-terminus of the EF-hand loop.

**Figure 1.9.** Reorientation of the EF-hands of calmodulin in the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound (PDB: 1cll) shown in solid color and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} free forms shown in diffuse color with backbone in thick cyan lines (PDB: 1cfc, conformation 1). (a) EF-hand 1, (b) EF-hand 2, (c) EF-hand 3, and (d) EF-hand 4.

The structural changes associated with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding to the turn-loop of EF-hands was first simplified by observations of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} free and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound calmodulin and troponin C (Sun, Brandmeier & Irving 2006, Yamniuk, Vogel 2004). These proteins revealed that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} induces conformational changes in the packing of the helices forming each EF-hand. The structural reorganization observed in TnC and CaM is often not observed in CaBPs that function as buffers, such as parvalbumin. The reorientation of the entering and exiting helices of the CaBPs that function as calcium sensors has been shown to follow a transition from an open to closed conformation (Figure 1.9). In the absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, the entering and exiting helices are oriented in antiparallel conformation with extensive hydrophobic contacts between helices. Coordination of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} by the EF-hand loop results in the repositioning of the helices to the open position in which the entering and
Exiting helices are in an almost perpendicular orientation. Even though this closed to open transition is observed in many calcium sensor proteins, the magnitude of the reorientation varies among proteins and between EF-hands in the same protein (Nelson, Chazin 1998).

Figure 1.10. Reorientation of the EF-hands of myristoylated recoverin in the Ca\(^{2+}\) bound (PDB: 1iku) shown in solid color and Ca\(^{2+}\) free forms shown in diffuse color with backbone in thick cyan lines (PDB: 1jsa). (a) EF-hand 2 and (b) EF-hand 3.

Moreover, analysis of the Ca\(^{2+}\) bound and Ca\(^{2+}\) free structures of myristoylated recoverin reveals the lack of significant structural rearrangement of the helices in this protein (Figure 1.10). The lack of structural information on the Ca\(^{2+}\) free form of additional members of the NCS subfamily of EF-hand proteins precludes the interpretation of whether the static nature of EF-hand is specific to recoverin, or common among all NCS members. However, unpublished NMR data by Ames, et al. on the Ca\(^{2+}\) free myristoylated structure for neuronal calcium sensor-1 from fission yeast (PDB: 2l2e) shows a minimal reorganization of the EF-hand helices upon binding of calcium (Figure 1.11) (Ames et al. 2000)). Structural and molecular dynamics simulations have also highlighted the flexibility of the EF-hand conformation. Solution studies of a CaM mutant in which Glu-12 was mutated to glutamine in the loop of EF-hand 4 showed that this mutant can undergo a global conformational exchange between an open and closed conformation (Evenås, Malmendal
Similar transitions were observed in the wild-type bovine CaM (Vigil et al. 2001, Chattopadhyaya et al. 1992).

Figure 1.11. Reorientation of the EF-hands of myristoylated NCS-1 in the Ca^{2+} bound (PDB: 1fpw) shown in solid color and Ca^{2+} free non-myristoylated NCS-1 shown in diffuse color with backbone in thick cyan lines (PDB: 2l2e). (a) EF-hand 2, (b) EF-hand 3, and (c) EF-hand 4.

1.3.3 Ca^{2+} affinity of EF-hands

The regulation of Ca^{2+} affinity in EF-hands can be understood by considering the energetic costs of structural changes and the biochemical energy provided by Ca^{2+} binding (Gifford, Walsh & Vogel 2007a). In this aspect, as described below, Ca^{2+} binding and the induced structural changes can be analyzed in terms of increase of disorder of the system (increase in entropy) or due to the formation of new favorable interactions (decrease in enthalpy). Thus, thermodynamic analysis of the processes occurring during Ca^{2+} binding can provide some insight into the molecular mechanism controlling the metal affinity of EF-hands.
Initially, both the protein and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} are solvated by a shell of water molecules. In particular, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} will have about 6 to 8 water molecules coordinating it while the negatively charged loop of the EF-hand will be solvated as well. In order for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} to associate with the chelating loop, both of them must release the water molecules. In the event that the water lost by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is larger than that loss by dehydration of the loop, then the entropic gain will be substantial (Linse, Forsen 1995).

The correlation between water loss and affinity can be used to explain the lower affinity of EF-hand loops in which position 12 is replaced by aspartic acid instead of glutamic acid. In these loops, aspartic acid is only able to provide a single direct coordination bond to Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, while the additional bond is bridged by a water molecule. The decrease in entropic gain has been used to explain the lower affinity of the EF-hands containing aspartic acid at position 12 in oncomodulin and parvalbumin (Henzl, Hapak & Likos 1998, Henzl, Hapak & Likos 1998, Lee et al. 2004). However, the flexibility of the EF-hand loop in the absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} can also negatively impact the entropic gain upon calcium binding. In addition, the exposure of hydrophobic amino acids upon Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding will also result in rearrangement of water molecules around the protein, and decrease in entropy. However, as mentioned above, not all CaBPs undergo significant structural changes. Therefore, it is possible that the interplay of these entropic factors plays a direct role in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} affinity (Gifford, Walsh & Vogel 2007a).

The contribution of enthalpy to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} affinity is less clear; however, a model termed the ‘charge-ligand-balanced model’ has been proposed to explain the energetic contribution of metal coordination in metal affinity (Ye et al. 2005). In the charge-ligand-balanced thermodynamic model, both the number of residues coordinating Ca\textsuperscript{2+} as well as
the repulsion of adjacent residues and stabilization of the chelation geometry can modulate metal affinity. In addition, the extensive presence of hydrogen bonds stabilizing the EF-hand loops can have significant contribution to the enthalpy. These electrostatic interactions can be extensively modified by the presence of hydrophobic amino acids in the flanking helices of EF-hands. Hydrophobic amino acids can induce changes in the dielectric environment, which in turn will modify the electrostatic forces between residues. The electrostatic shielding has been implicated in increasing the $Ca^{2+}$ affinity of the C-terminus EF-hands of TnC, compared to that of calmodulin (Wang et al. 1998).

**Figure 1.12.** Domain organization of CaBPs, EF-hand pairs near the N-terminus shown in blue and near the C-terminus shown in red. Calcium is shown as a yellow sphere. (a) Calbindin D9k (PDB: 3icb), (b) parvalbumin (PDB: 1b8r), (c) calmodulin (PDB: 1cll), (d) recoverin (PDB: 1jsa).
1.4 EF-hand domain organization

The local organization of the EF-hands (open vs closed) are not the only factors that control the activity and classification of CaBPs. Another aspect which separates CaBPs, is the interdomain organization of the EF-hand pairs. At least five types of domain organization of the EF-hand pairs have been observed (Figure 1.12). The simples domain consist of a single active EF-hand pair as observed in calbindin D9k (Szebenyi, Moffat 1986) and parvalbumin (Declercq et al. 1999). Second, in proteins such as TnC and CaM, two EF-hand pairs are connected via a flexible linker. The flexibility of the linker region plays a significant role in ligand recognition of these proteins (Sun, Brandmeier & Irving 2006, Yamniuk, Vogel 2004). Third, proteins of the NCS subfamily contain short linkers, which position the EF-hand pairs at the N- and C-terminus is close contact. The orientation of the EF-hand domains in these proteins leads the formation of a large hydrophobic surface which mediates ligand recognition (McCue, Haynes & Burgoyne 2010, Ames, Lim 2012). Fourth, the EF-hand domain organization in the sarcoplasmic calcium-binging proteins positions the Ca$^{2+}$ binding loops at opposite sides of a tightly held hydrophobic cavity (Cook et al. 1993). In the globular domain organization, a hydrophobic cluster of hydrophobic amino acids lining the entering and exiting helices of each EF-hand form a large core. The overall globular fold of these proteins is quite distinct than that observed in the extended conformation of calmodulin. Lastly, proteins such as calbindin D$_{28K}$ and celretinin contain odd number of EF-hands (Kojetin et al. 2006). The presence of these unpaired EF-hand drives the homodimerization of these proteins in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, resulting in three pairs of EF-hands.
Furthermore, small angle x-ray scattering and NMR have shown that the linker connecting the EF-hand domains of calmodulin is flexible (Barbato et al. 1992, Heidorn, Trewhella 1988). These observations have been supported by molecular dynamics simulations of Ca$^{2+}$ bound calmodulin which revealed that the extended structure of the protein can collapse to form a compact structure similar to that observed in the presence of binding peptides (Shepherd, Vogel 2004). Similarly, the NMR structure of Ca$^{2+}$ bound recoverin shows multiple conformations in which the N-terminus myristoyl group is largely free to move (Figure 1.13) (Ames et al. 1997).

**Figure 1.13.** Interdomain structural dynamics of (a) Ca$^{2+}$ free CaM (PDB: 1cfc), where the C-terminus EF-hand 3 (blue) and EF-hand 4 (red) are aligned for clarity and the flexible N-terminus is shown as diffuse orange and green ribbons. (b) The NMR structure of Ca$^{2+}$ bound myristoylated recoverin (PDB: 1jsa). EF-hand 1,2,3, and 4 are colored in orange, green, blue, and red, respectively. The EF-hands 3 and 4 were aligned to show the flexibility of the N-terminus.

Molecular dynamics simulations of NCS-1 also support the idea that in solution, NCS-1 is more flexible than observed in crystal structures (Bellucci et al. 2013). In addition, unfolding experiments have shown that interdomain communications in the neuronal calcium sensor subfamily can regulate the stability of these proteins (Heidarsson et al. 2013). However, the role of interdomain dynamics in calcium binding proteins, especially
the neuronal calcium sensors, have not been investigated in detail. Future work investigating the molecular mechanism by which domain organization affects protein activity will provide invaluable insight into their function.

1.5 KChIPs and DREAM

1.5.1 Potassium channel interacting proteins

The K⁺ channel interacting proteins (KChIPs) subgroup are the newest member of the NCS family. This group was discovered by pull down search of proteins which could interact with the N-terminus of Kv4 channels (An et al. 2000). Association of these proteins with the α-subunit of Kv4 channels was found to reconstitute several biophysical features of A-type currents measured in vivo. Northern blot analysis also revealed that KChIP1 is highly expressed in the brain, KChIP2 is expressed in the brain, heart, and lung; while KChIP3 is expressed mainly in the brain (An et al. 2000). The last member of this group, KChIP4, was discovered by database search of proteins with similar sequence homology to KChIP1/2/3 (Holmqvist et al. 2002). All four members of this group, KChIP1, KChIP2, KChIP3, and KChIP4, are known to interact with the N-terminus domain of K⁺ voltage-gated channels and regulate the fast $I_{SA}$ and $I_{TO}$ currents in the brain and heart, respectively (An et al. 2000). Interaction of KChIP1, KChIP2, and KChIP3 with Kv4 channels expressed in xenopus oocytes results in an increase in current density, acceleration of recovery from inactivation, and slower inactivation kinetics (An et al. 2000). Similar effects on the biophysical properties of neural fast inactivating K⁺ currents have been observed for KChIP1 (Bourdeau et al. 2011) and KChIP3 (Witzel, Fischer & Bähring 2012).
Panel A: KChIP1 isoforms

MGAVMTFSSLQTKQ--RPSKDIAWYQQQRDKIEDLEMVMCHQEPGLQLAEQTNTKRELYRGLFNECPGqvNEDTFQKQIYAQPGFD------------------------- 97
MGAVMTFSSLQTKQ--RPSKDIAWYQQQRDKIEDLEMVMCHQEPGLQLAEQTNTKRELYRGLFNECPGqvNEDTFQKQIYAQPGFD------------------------- 81
-------------------------MTMVCHRPEGLEQLETNTKRELYRGLFNECPGqvNEDTFQKQIYAQPGFD------------------------- 58
-------------------------MTMVCHRPEGLEQLETNTKRELYRGLFNECPGqvNEDTFQKQIYAQPGFD------------------------- 95
-------------------------MTMVCHRPEGLEQLETNTKRELYRGLFNECPGqvNEDTFQKQIYAQPGFD------------------------- 108

Panel B: KChIP2 isoforms

MRGQGRKESLSDSRDLDGSYDQLTGHPPGPTKKALKQRFLKLLPCCGPQALPSVSE---------------TLAAPASLRPLLDPSVDEFELSVCCHQEPGLQLQETQKFTRK 105
MRGQGRKESLSDSRDLDGSYDQLTGHPPGPTKKALKQRFLKLLPCCGPQALPSVSE---------------NSVDEFELSVCCHQEPGLQLQETQKFTRK 87
MRGQGRKESLSDSRDLDGSYDQLTGHPPGPTKKALKQRFLKLLPCCGPQALPSVSE---------------NSVDEFELSVCCHQEPGLQLQETQKFTRK 55
MRGQGRKESLSDSRDLDGSYDQLTGHPPGPTKKALKQRFLKLLPCCGPQALPSVSE---------------NSVDEFELSVCCHQEPGLQLQETQKFTRK 120
MRGQGRKESLSDSRDLDGSYDQLTGHPPGPTKKALKQRFLKLLPCCGPQALPSVSE---------------NSVDEFELSVCCHQEPGLQLQETQKFTRK 55
MRGQGRKESLSDSRDLDGSYDQLTGHPPGPTKKALKQRFLKLLPCCGPQALPSVSE---------------NSVDEFELSVCCHQEPGLQLQETQKFTRK 55
MRGQGRKESLSDSRDLDGSYDQLTGHPPGPTKKALKQRFLKLLPCCGPQALPSVSE---------------NSVDEFELSVCCHQEPGLQLQETQKFTRK 55
ELQLYRGFPN--------ECPGQVNEENFKYIQFQPSQFFDSSATLPFAPTNHDGSVSEFDVAGLSVILRGTVDLRNLNAPFLNYDLNKODCITKEELDIKSIYDMGTKYTP 218
ELQLYRGFPN--------ECPGQVNEENFKYIQFQPSQFFDSSATLPFAPTNHDGSVSEFDVAGLSVILRGTVDLRNLNAPFLNYDLNKODCITKEELDIKSIYDMGTKYTP 200
ELQLYRGFPN--------ECPGQVNEENFKYIQFQPSQFFDSSATLPFAPTNHDGSVSEFDVAGLSVILRGTVDLRNLNAPFLNYDLNKODCITKEELDIKSIYDMGTKYTP 168
ELQLYRGFPN--------ECPGQVNEENFKYIQFQPSQFFDSSATLPFAPTNHDGSVSEFDVAGLSVILRGTVDLRNLNAPFLNYDLNKODCITKEELDIKSIYDMGTKYTP 164
ELQLYRGFPN--------ECPGQVNEENFKYIQFQPSQFFDSSATLPFAPTNHDGSVSEFDVAGLSVILRGTVDLRNLNAPFLNYDLNKODCITKEELDIKSIYDMGTKYTP 233
ELQLYRGFPN--------ECPGQVNEENFKYIQFQPSQFFDSSATLPFAPTNHDGSVSEFDVAGLSVILRGTVDLRNLNAPFLNYDLNKODCITKEELDIKSIYDMGTKYTP 175
ELQLYRGFPN--------ECPGQVNEENFKYIQFQPSQFFDSSATLPFAPTNHDGSVSEFDVAGLSVILRGTVDLRNLNAPFLNYDLNKODCITKEELDIKSIYDMGTKYTP 132

Figure 1.14. Sequence alignment of KChIP 1 (panel A) and KChIP2 (panel B) for different isoforms. Identical amino acid positions shown in bold blue color. The turn-loop amino acids of each EF-hand are underlined. The position of the EF-hands are shown in colored cartoons for reference. Isoform 4 and 8 of KChIP2 not included for clarity.
Figure 1.15. Sequence alignment of KChIP3 (panel C) and KChIP4 (panel D) for different isoforms. Identical amino acid positions shown in bold blue color. The turn-loop amino acids of each EF-hand are underlined. For EF-hand that are active, the amino acids providing the coordination to the metal ion are shown in red. The position of the EF-hands are shown in colored cartoons for reference.
The repertoire of KChIPs is further expanded by the presence of numerous KChIP splice variants (Figure 1.14 and 1.15) (Deschênes et al. 2002, Van Hoorick et al. 2003). In total, twenty two isomers have been identified (5 for KChIP1, 9 for KChIP2, 3 for KChIP3, and 5 for KChIP4), the most common difference among these isomers is the deletion or insertion of amino acid sequences near the N-terminus. In particular, KChIP1.2 and KChIP3.2 isoforms which have a deletion of the metal binding loop of EF-hand 2. Interestingly, a minimal KChIP2 isoform has been discovered, in which only EF-hand 3 and 4 are present; this isoform was shown to still effectively regulate potassium currents (Patel, Campbell & Strauss 2002).

Figure 1.16. Cartoon representation of a hypothetical potassium channel macromolecular complex, dotted lines represent the transmembrane thickness. The model was constructed by structural alignment of the full length shaker potassium channel Kv1.2 (PDB: 3lut) and the crystal structure of the KChIP1-Kv4.3 T1 domain complex (PDB: 2i2r). (a) Side view: the transmembrane domain containing the K⁺ selectivity pore is shown in green with potassium as solid purple spheres. The T1-domain is shown in light blue and KChIP1 bound to Ca²⁺ (yellow sphere) is show in dark blue. The β subunit is shown in orange. (b) Top vie of the same complex.
This minimal construct highlights the importance of the C-terminus of KChIPs in K+ channel regulation. KChIPs have also been shown to associate with Kv4 channels in many different combination of different KChIPs/isomers, which results in a wider range of K+ channel tuning (Bourdeau et al. 2011, Kunjilwar, Qian & Pfaffinger 2013b, Liss et al. 2001).

Figure 1.17. Cartoon representation of the KChIP1:Kv4.3 T1 domain protein complex (PDB: 2nz0). (a) Octameric cross shaped complex of four KChIP1 (dark blue) and four T1 domain monomers (orange). (b) Close up of the interaction between the N-terminus hydrophobic region of the T1 domain (“Site 1”) and the hydrophobic surface of KChIP1. Residues 1 to 10 shown in yellow and 10 to 20 in red. (c) Contact between the N-terminus of KChIP1 and “Site 2” (residues 70 to 90, shown in green licorice) of Kv4.3.

Structural studies have shown that KChIP1.1 associates with the cytosolic portion of the α-subunit of the Kv4 potassium channels, to form octameric complexes (Figure 1.16) (Pioletti et al. 2006, Scannevin et al. 2004, Wang et al. 2007). The KChIP1:Kv4 interaction is mediated by two surface contacts on KChIP1 and the cytosolic T1 domain of the Kv4 channels (Scannevin et al. 2004). The KChIP1:Kv4 protein complex forms an octameric cross shaped organization (Figure 1.17) (Pioletti et al. 2006, Wang et al. 2007). The most predominant interaction is
between a large hydrophobic surface on KChIP1 and the hydrophobic N-terminus α-helix of the Kv4 channel. This hydrophobic interaction buries about 2300 Å² of exposed surface and involves two well conserved bulky residues on the N-terminus of Kv4 channels, Trp8 and Trp19 (Figure 1.18) (Wang et al. 2007).

**Figure 1.18.** (a) Cartoon representation of KhIP1 (PDB: 2nz0) using the same coloring scheme as in Figure 1.4 with residues forming the interface with the N-terminus of Kv4.3 shown as licorice model. (b) Alpha-helix at the N-terminus of the Kv4.3 potassium channel with hydrophobic amino acids involved in binding of KChIP1 shown as licorice model.

The hydrophobic surface on KChIP1 is lined by two groups of amino acids at the N- and C-terminus. The C-terminus cluster includes Tyr134, Ile150, Val151, Tyr155, Ile154, Phe178, and His174, which interact with Trp19 of the Kv4 channel (Figure 1.18). At the N-terminus, residues Ile77, Tyr78, Phe74, Leu94, Phe111, Leu115, Leu118, Phe60 and Gly59 are in direct contact with Trp8 and Phe11 of the N-terminus of the Kv4 channel. The second contact interface between KChIP1 and the T1 domain involves hydrophobic and charged residues at the entering helix of EF-hand 1 on KChIP1 and residues 70 to 78 of the T1 domain (Figure 1.19) (Scannevin et al. 2004, Wang et al. 2007). In this interface Phe73 of the T1 domain interacts through stacking interactions with Leu39, Leu42, Leu43, Tyr57 and Phe108 of KChIP1. In addition, two salt bridges between Glu77 and Asp78 of the T1 domain and Lys50 and Arg51 on KChIP1 stabilize this interaction.
Even though all members of the KChIP group of NCS proteins associate with Kv4 channels, only the structure of KChIP1 has been obtained in complex with these channels (Pioletti et al. 2006, Liang et al. 2009). However, all KChIPs share a high sequence homology (58% among residues 60 to 256) at the core domain spanning EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 (Figure 1.20). Thus it has been proposed that similar structural organization of the KChIP:Kv4.3 complex may be present in other KChIPs (Liang et al. 2009). Indeed, all amino acids involved in stabilizing the KChIP1:Kv4 complex are conserved among all KChIP proteins (Figure 1.20, highlighted in green).

**Figure 1.19.** Cartoon representation of the second interface “Site 2” between KhIP1 and the N-terminus of Kv4.3 (PDB: 2nz0) using the same coloring scheme as in Figure 1.4. The T1 domain is shown in brown. The inset show the hydrophobic (top) and electrostatic (bottom) interactions.

All members of the KChIP subgroup contain four EF-hand domains, with EF-hand 3 and 4 showing the strongest affinity for Ca$^{2+}$, while EF-hand 2 is proposed to bind Mg$^{2+}$ preferentially (Osawa et al. 2005, Lusin et al. 2008). The selectivity for Mg$^{2+}$ over Ca$^{2+}$, is believed to arise due to the mutation of glutamic acid at position 12 of the EF-hand loop to aspartic acid. However, it is not known whether the Ca$^{2+}$ affinity varies among the member of the KChIP group. Nonetheless, calcium binding studies on KChIP3 have revealed that this construct can bind two calcium ions.
with higher affinity than that observed for other NCS proteins, (Kd ~ 1 to 10 μM) (Osawa et al. 2005, Craig et al. 2002).

Figure 1.20. Sequence alignment of human KChIP1, KChIP2, KChIP3, and KChIP4. Turn loops of EF-hand 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in bold and underlined. The negatively charged amino acids involved in metal coordination are shown in red. Asterisks indicate identical residues, semicolon indicates very similar residues, and the period is for somewhat similar residues. Amino acids involved in Kv4 binding are shown in green.

1.5.2 DREAM/KChIP3

In contrast with other members of the neuronal calcium sensor family, KChIPs are not only prominently expressed in the brain, but also in cardiac tissue. In the heart, they play a major role in regulation of the fast I_{TO} currents generated by the fast opening of voltage gated K^+ channels (An et al. 2000). For instance, the expression gradient of KChIP2 in the endocardium and epicardium have been implicated in generation of the transmural current necessary for proper pacemaking (Rosati et al. 2001). More importantly, KChIP2 has been shown to mediate the dose dependent effect of drugs known to regulate heart pacemaking (Bett et al. 2006). Thus, KChIPs have been proposed to be an innovative target for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases (Callowe et al. 2009, Lundby et al. 2010). In the brain, KChIP2 and KChIP3 have been shown to play a major role in regulation of the fast I_{SA}
current arising from K⁺ escape through the Kv4 voltage sensitive channels (Amarillo et al. 2008, Jerng, Pfaffinger 2008).

In addition, KChIP3 has been shown to be involved in regulation of gene expression. Association of KCHIP3 in the absence of calcium with the downstream regulatory element (DRE) of some genes has been directly shown to inhibit expression of downstream genes (Carrion et al. 1999). On the basis of the antagonist modulation of gene expression by KChIP3, Carrion et al. named this protein as DREAM (downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator). Association of Ca²⁺ with DREAM induces dissociation from the DRE DNA sequence and subsequent expression of the downstream gene (Carrion, Mellstrom & Naranjo 1998). These findings have set KChIP3/DREAM as the first calcium binding protein able to directly associate with DNA and regulate gene expression in a calcium dependent manner (Carrion et al. 1999, Carrion, Mellstrom & Naranjo 1998). Calmodulin is also known to regulate gene expression, but in this case, regulation is indirect since calmodulin must associate with basic helix-loop-helix nucleoproteins (Corneliussen et al. 1994). Indirect regulation of gene expression has also been observed in DREAM via interactions with α-CREAM and CREB proteins (Ledo et al. 2000, Ledo et al. 2002). The DREAM-CREB interactions allows the regulations of genes with promoters different than the DRE, thus expanding the role of DREAM in gene regulation. Furthermore, the role of KChIP3/DREAM in regulation of additional biological processes has been highlighted by the initial findings that this protein was able to associate with presenilin and regulate the activity of the γ-secretase protein complex (Buxbaum et al. 1998). The calcium dependent manner in which KChIP3/DREAM associates with presenilin led the authors to name this protein calsenilin. Thus, KChIP3 has been
independently found by three groups to associate with three different ligands and has been named with three different names, KChIP3/DREAM/calsenilin. It is fortunate that despite DREAM being found to interact with and regulate the activity of over 40 other proteins in the membrane, cytosol, and nucleus, no additional names have been assigned to the protein (Ramachandran et al. 2012).

**Figure 1.21.** Cartoon representation of the NMR structures of (a) Ca\(^{2+}\) bound mouse DREAM (first 75 amino acids not resolved, PDB: 2jul) and (b) Ca\(^{2+}\) bound human DREAM (first 160 amino acids not resolved, PDB: 2e6w). The helices numbers are shown for clarity, and the EF-hand 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in orange, green, blue, and red, respectively. Calcium is shown as a yellow sphere. (c) Amino acid sequence of mouse DREAM (residues 76 to 256) following the same coloring scheme as in Figure 1.4. The cartoon representation of the secondary structure is shown.

Structural studies of DREAM have provided some insight into the potential mechanisms of DNA and potassium channel regulation. The NMR structure of Ca\(^{2+}\) bound
mouse DREAM(Δ65) reveals an EF-hand domain organization similar to that of recoverin (Figure 1.21) (Lusin et al. 2008). In DREAM, EF-hands 1 through 4 are organized as two closely packed domains, where the N-terminus contains the inactive EF-hand 1 and the Mg^{2+} sensitive EF-hand 2, while the C-terminus contains EF-hand 3 and 4. The first helix (H1, residues 77 to 89) and last helix (H10, residue 242 to 256) are not part of the EF-hands, but may be involved in ligand recognition (Lusin et al. 2008). Calcium binds with high affinity (1 to 10 μM ) at EF-hand 3 and 4 (Osawa et al. 2005). The coordination of Ca^{2+} by the turn-loop of EF-hand 3 and 4 follows that observed in other NCS protein, as shown in Figure 1.6.

**Figure 1.22.** Cartoon representation of the proposed DREAM dimer in which Leu155, Leu158, Leu159, and Leu251 are shown in licorice model with a diffuse surface. Color scheme as in Figure 1.12.
In the absence of Ca$^{2+}$, DREAM has been proposed to form tetramers, whereas upon binding of Ca$^{2+}$ a transition to dimers has been observed (Lusin et al. 2008, Osawa et al. 2001). The role of dimerization in ligand recognition is not known, but dimerization upon binding of calcium is widely present in NCS proteins. Indeed, the crystal structures of neurocalcin (Vijay-Kumar, Kumar 1999), recoverin (Weiergräber et al. 2003), VILIP-1 (Li et al. 2011), and KChIP1 (Zhou et al. 2004b) reveal the formation of dimers upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding.

**Figure 1.23.** Cartoon representation of the NMR structures of (a) Ca$^{2+}$ bound mouse DREAM (PDB: 2jul). Positively charged amino acids proposed to mediate DNA binding are shown in licorice and transparent blue surface.

The crystal structure of KChIP1 also shows that a hydrophobic crevice lining one side of the protein stabilizes the dimer in the calcium bound form (Zhou et al. 2004b). Similarly, a proposed model for the dimer of DREAM also highlights the role of hydrophobic amino
acids in stabilizing the dimer (Lusin et al. 2008). In particular, two leucine rich regions at
the N-terminus (Leu155, Leu158, and leu159) and C-terminus (Leu251) have been
implicated in dimerization and protein-protein interactions (Figure 1.22) (Lusin et al. 2008,
Ledo et al. 2000).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1.1 Aligned DRE sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consensus sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPFF DRE 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPFF DRE 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human DynDRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse DynDRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human c-fos DRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse c-fos DRE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from (Nystedt et al. 2006)

Structural analysis and deletion studies have also revealed the potential role of basic
amino acids at the entering helix of EF-hand 1 (helix H2) in DNA binding (Osawa et al.
2005, Lusin et al. 2008, Ledo et al. 2000). These basic amino acids (Lys87, Lys90, Lys91,
Arg98, Lys101, Arg160, and Lys166) are clustered at the N-terminus protein surface and
may mediate electrostatic interactions with DNA (Figure 1.23). Furthermore, analysis of
the DRE DNA sequence to which DREAM binds (Table 1.1) as well as pull down
experiments have highlighted the role of the core section of the DRE sequence (GTCA) in
binding of DREAM (Nystedt et al. 2006, Ledo et al. 2000).

1.6 Objectives of this study

Overall, the studies presented above have highlighted DREAM as a novel type of
NCS protein with the ability to modulate numerous biological processes. However, the
precise mechanism by which this protein regulates these processes and the molecular
reorganization triggered by Ca$^{2+}$ binding which controls these mechanisms remains to be discovered.

The role of KChIPs in regulation of I$_{TO}$ currents in the heart and I$_{SA}$ currents in the brain has widespread implications in terms of treatment and understanding of cardiovascular and neurological diseases. Moreover, the tissue specific expression of KChIPs is in sharp contrast to the widespread expression of Kv4 channels, thus providing a novel avenue for the development of drug therapies aimed at treatment of abnormal activity in the heart or brain. However, the development of novel therapies is hindered by the lack of detailed understanding of the molecular mechanisms controlling the interactions of KChIPs and their biological partners. Also, the interaction of KChIPs and NCS protein with small synthetic and natural ligands remains unexplored. Similarly, information on the molecular mechanisms by which Ca$^{2+}$ regulates the activity of these proteins remains scarce.

Here, we propose to use KChIP3/DREAM as a model neuronal calcium sensor in order to understand the role of Ca$^{2+}$ in regulating the structural mechanism responsible in controlling ligand recognition. First, we seek to characterize the role a hydrophobic surface on DREAM in regulating association of small fluorescent ligands. This information is further used to identify the mechanism by which small non-fluorescent molecules can regulate the activity of DREAM and its ability to interact with biological K$_V$ channels. We further seek to identify role of the N-terminus of DREAM in regulation of protein-protein and protein-DNA interactions, and the mechanism by which the C-terminus mediates protein-metal coordination. Lastly, through the use of theoretical and experimental biophysics, we seek to identify the role of specific amino acids in regulation of protein
activity, stability, and ligand recognition. We envision that the combination of experimental and theoretical biophysics would allow us to decipher the precise molecular reorganization triggered by Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Chemicals and reagents

The hydrophobic fluorescent probes 1,8-AN, 2,6-ANS and nile red were purchased from Invitrogen and used without further purification. The 1,5-IAEDANS, fluorescein 5-maleimide, and rhodamine red C2 maleimide were purchased from Invitrogen. DM-nitrophen was purchased from Invitrogen Life Sciences or Calbiochem as described in the text. The caged proton, 2-nitrobenzaldehyde was purchased from sigma and dissolved in ultrapure water and protected from light by using light resistive microcentrifuge tubes and/or aluminum foil. The compound NS5806 (1-[2,4-dibromo-6-(1H-tetrazol-5-yl)-phenyl]-3-(3,5-bis-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-urea) (purity >99%) was purchased from Tocris Bioscience. Trifluoperazine (TFP) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All other reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Fischer scientific and were of analytical grade. Maleimide labeling fluorophores were solubilized in DMSO and stored at -20°C. Concentrated TFP, 1,8-ANS, and 2,6-ANS stocks were prepared in ultrapure (18.2 MΩ) water and stored at -20°C. The concentration of NS5806, TFP and 1,8-ANS stocks were determined spectrophotometrically using the extinctions coefficients listed in table 2.1. All reagents required for the synthesis of the ReAsH-EDT2 and FlAsH-EDT2 biarsenical probes were purchased from the vendors recommended in the reference (Adams, Tsien 2008). Arachidonic acid was purchased from Sigma, dissolved in DMSO and stored under anaerobic conditions at – 30 °C. Cholesterol, benzafibrate, and LS35 were obtained from sigma and stocks were prepared in 20mM MOPS pH 7.4. The terbium(III) lanthanide was obtained as a hydrated chloride salt (TbCl₃·6H₂O) from Sigma-Aldrich and was used without further purification. Terbium stocks of ~0.5 M were
prepared gravimetrically in decalified ultrapure 18 MΩ water, the concentration of Tb³⁺
stocks were confirmed by titrations against EDTA standards.

2.2 Recombinant protein expression and purification

The DREAM and human Kv4.3 protein constructs were expressed in E. coli BL21(DE3) cells and purified according to previously published procedures (Scannevin et al. 2004, Lusin et al. 2008, Osawa et al. 2001). The plasmid for mouse DREAM, DREAM(Δ65), DREAM(Δ160), DREAM(Δ65, E186Q), DREAM(Δ65, E234Q), DREAM(Δ65) with a CCPGCC peptide tag at the C-terminus, DREAM-NCS, and Kv4.3(1-152) were purchased from Genecopoeia. The rat calmodulin plasmid (herein referred as CaM) was a kind gift of Dr. J. P. Davis (Ohio State University). The CaM plasmid was subcloned in BL21(DE3) cells and purified as previously described (George et al. 1993). The amino acid sequence of all purchased plasmids is shown in Figure 2.1. Cells were disrupted by sonication (Fisher Scientific, Model 100). The DREAM(Δ65) with a CCPGCC peptide tag and Kv4.3(1-152) protein constructs were recovered as insoluble inclusion bodies which were collected by centrifugation. Inclusion bodies were washed extensively with 50 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0, 1 M NaCl, 0.5 % Triton-X100, 0.1 % NaN₃, 1 mM DTT, and 5 mM EDTA followed by a final wash with 50 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0 and 2.0 M NaCl. Washed inclusion bodies were solubilized in 100 mM Tris buffer pH 12.5, 2.0 M urea, and 5 mM DTT. Refolding was achieved by fast dilution of solubilized inclusion bodies into a 10x volume of 100 mM Tris buffer pH 7.4, 250 mM sucrose, 30 % glycerol, 20 mM LDAO, 1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol on an ice bath with constant stirring. All proteins were stored as concentrated stocks ranging in concentrations from 250 μM to 850 μM at -32 °C in 20 mM TRIS pH 7.4.
Table 2.1a  List of chromophore, extinction coefficient, and manufacturers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>λ  (nm)</th>
<th>Extinction coefficient (M-1cm-1)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NS5806</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>MOPS pH 7.4</td>
<td>(Hart et al. 1983)</td>
<td>Tocris Bioscience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFP</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>(Stryer 1965)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,8-ANS</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>(Diwu et al. 1997)</td>
<td>Calbiochem/Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,6-ANS</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td></td>
<td>Molecular Probes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nile red</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>19600</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>(Castro et al. 2005)</td>
<td>Molecular Probes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAEDANS</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>6100</td>
<td>Phosphate pH 7.4</td>
<td>(Hudson, Weber 1973b)</td>
<td>Molecular Probes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dansyl chloride</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>Phosphate pH 7.4</td>
<td>(Weber 1952)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodamine maleimide</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>52000</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>(Beija, Afonso &amp; Martinho 2009)</td>
<td>Molecular Probes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescein maleimide</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>78000</td>
<td>0.1 M NaOH</td>
<td>(Bigelow, Inesi 1991)</td>
<td>Molecular Probes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chromophores without reference to the source of the extinction coefficient were determined in this work.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>λ (nm)</th>
<th>Extinction coefficient (M⁻¹cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FITC</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>80000</td>
<td>0.1 M NaOH</td>
<td>(Bigelow, Inesi 1991)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FlAsH-EDT₂</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>63500</td>
<td>0.1 M NaOH</td>
<td>(Adams, Tsien 2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReAsH-EDT₂</td>
<td>5579</td>
<td>63000</td>
<td>0.1 M NaOH</td>
<td>(Adams, Tsien 2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM-nitrophen</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>4330</td>
<td>pH 7.1</td>
<td>(Kaplan, Ellis-Davies 1988)</td>
<td>Molecular probes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-nitrobenzaldehyde</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>(Galbavy et al. 2010)</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachidonic acid</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzafibrate</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>MOPS pH 7.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quin-2</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>42000</td>
<td>MOPS pH 7.4</td>
<td>(Linse et al. 1987)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbCl₃·6H₂O</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>MOPS pH 7.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chromophores without reference to the source of the extinction coefficient were determine in this work.
Figure 2.1. Amino acid sequence of plasmid purchased. The mouse DREAM, DREAM(Δ65), and DREAM(Δ160) plasmids contain a start methionine residue (verified by mass spectrometry) and a C-terminus His-tag (blue) connected by tripeptide linker (green). The DREAM(Δ65, C4) plasmid contains an N-terminus His-tag (blue) and a C-terminus tetracysteine tag. The DREAM-NCS chimera plasmid is identical to the DREAM(Δ65) plasmid but residues Leu158, Leu159 and the linker between EF-hand 3 and 4 were replaced by the amino acid sequence of human NCS-1 (shown in red). Lastly, a GST-Kv4.3 T1 domain fusion construct was generated (GST in red). The enterokinase cleavage site shown in red and underlined. The T1-domain of human Kv4.3 residues 2 to 152 shown in black. The biarsenical tag is shown in underlined red color.

Labeling of DREAM(Δ65) containing a CysCysProGlyCyCys amino acid sequence at the C-terminus with the biarsenical probe FlAsH-EDT2 was conducted by adding 2x stoichiometric excess probe under identical condition as reported before (Adams, Tsien 2008). Unbound probe was removed by size exclusion chromatography and labeled protein was further purified through a Ni-NTA followed by extensive dialysis in 20 mM MOPS pH 7.4 100 mM NaCl.
The labeling efficiency and stock concentration was determined by comparing the protein concentration using the bicinchoninic colorimetric method (Thermo Scientific) to the protein concentration determined using the FlAsH and ReAsH absorbance in 0.1 M NaOH. Protein purity and proper folding prior to FlAsH labeling was assayed using SDS-page electrophoresis, CD spectroscopy, and the Zn$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ induced oligomerization changes as previously reported.

### 2.3 Mutagenesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant name</th>
<th>5’ to 3’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CaM(A148C)</td>
<td>GCTGACTGAAGAACAGATTTGCGAATTTCAAGGAAGCTTTTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(K87A)</td>
<td>CTACAAGCTCAGACGCGGTTCAACAAAGAAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(E92A)</td>
<td>CAAGTCCATGTTGAGATTTGCGAATTTCAAGGAAGCTTTTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(F171A)</td>
<td>GAGAAGCTCAGATGCGCGGCGGACCTCTATGAGATTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Y174A)</td>
<td>GTGGGCCTTCAATCTGAGGACATTAAACAAAGGATGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(F218A)</td>
<td>GGAGCATGTGGAGAGGGCGTTTCAGTAACAAATGGACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(F219A)</td>
<td>GCATGTGGAGAGGTTCTGCGCGAATGAAAGGACAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(F235A)</td>
<td>GTGGTGACCATTGTAGAAGCGCTGAGACCTTGTACAGAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(F252A)</td>
<td>GAACTCCATGCGAGCTTGGCGAGAAGCCTCATCTAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A CaM(A148C) mutant was obtained by site directed mutagenesis of the CaM plasmid using the QuickChange Lightning kit (Agilent Technologies). The specific procedure described in detail in the QuickChange Lightning procedure provided by the manufacturer was strictly followed. Similarly, a small library of DREAM(Δ65) proteins in which specific amino acids were mutated to alanine was generated following the same protocols. The primer sequence used for the mutagenesis were selected using results from the PrimerX webserver and are shown in Table 2.2. Mutation of the selected amino acids was confirmed by DNA sequencing (Eurofins Genomics) of plasmid extracted with the
StrataPrep Plasmid Miniprep kit (Agilent). Following sequencing, these plasmids were subcloned in BL21(DE3) *E. coli* cells; protein expression and purifications was carried out as described above. We have observed that not all plasmid extractions yield plasmid of enough purity for sequencing. For instance, we have observed that large concentrations usually larger than 100 ng/mL with absorbance ratios of 1.8>260/280<2.1 and 1.2<260/240<1.7 usually result in successful sequencing; however, in some instances we have obtained good enough sequencing for samples which did not satisfy these conditions. In all instances, sequencing allowed unequivocal identification of the mutations being introduced (see appendix 2). Overall, almost all plasmid mutations were a success using the protocols described, with only one failed transformation.

### 2.4 Full length DREAM expression and purification

We have also developed a CaM and DREAM low temperature co-expression protocol which has allowed us to recover milligram quantities of soluble full-length DREAM. Briefly, *E. coli* BL21(DE3) competent cells were transformed with CaM and DREAM plasmids and grown in LB medium at 37°C in the presence of 100 μg/mL ampicillin until reaching an optical density of 2 at 600 nm. The medium was then quickly chilled on an ice bath to 4°C and protein expression was induced by adding 0.2 mM IPTG. The medium was placed back in the shaker at 250 rpm for an additional 6 hours at 18°C followed by harvest of the cells by centrifugation for 15 minutes at 5000 RPM. The cell pellet was resuspended in 100 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM imidazole, 1.0 % Triton-X100, 0.5 % Tween 20 supplemented with 20 μg/mL DNase, 200 μg/mL lysozyme, and 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and sonicated on an ice bath for 30 cycles at 30% duty cycle. The cell lysate was centrifuged
at 11,000 g for 50 minutes and the supernatant was loaded on a Ni-NTA column equilibrated with buffer A (20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM CaCl₂ and 10 mM LDAO). The Ni-NTA column loaded with DREAM was washed extensively with buffer A and eluted in buffer B (20 mM Tris pH 7.4 and 10 mM LDAO) supplemented with 250 mM imidazole. Selected fractions were loaded onto a DEAE-sepharose anion exchange column previously equilibrated with buffer B, washed with buffer B and 80 mM NaCl then eluted with 180 mM NaCl, the final protein was stored in 5 mM Tris pH 8.0. The purity of all protein constructs was assessed using SDS-PAGE. The proper secondary structure and tertiary structure of all protein constructs was confirmed by using the known far-UV CD transition of DREAM protein as well as changes in tryptophan fluorescence upon calcium binding (Osawa et al. 2005, Carrion et al. 1999, Osawa et al. 2001).

2.5 Protein labeling

Labeling of CaM(A148C) with 1,5-IAEDANS or rhodamine red C2 (RRC2) maleimide was conducted after reduction of cysteine residues with 5 mM TCEP in 200 mM Tris pH 7.4 followed by extensive purging with argon and addition of (10-50x) excess fluorophore freshly prepared in DMF. The reaction was allowed proceed for 24 hours at 4°C with constant stirring in the dark. Unbound fluorophore was removed by size exclusion chromatography through a Sephadex G10 column. Labeled protein fractions were selected based on their absorption and fluorescence anisotropy and were extensively dialyzed in 5 mM Tris pH 7.4. The fraction of labeled protein was determined by dividing the fluorophore concentration (1,5-IAEDANS $\varepsilon_{336\text{nm}} = 6100 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ in buffer (Hudson, Weber 1973a) or RRC2 $\varepsilon_{560\text{nm}} = 119000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ in DMSO) by the protein concentration.
(CaM(A148C) $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 3140\ M^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) after correction by the absorption of the fluorophore at 280 nm. Protein samples were prepared by dilution and the final protein concentration was measured using $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 31,200\ M^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ for DREAM and $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 19,000\ M^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ for DREAM(Δ65). The extrinsic fluorophore 1,8-ANS was added to protein samples directly from a concentrated stock. Calcium was added at 10x excess for DREAM and 1 mM for DRE29 samples and 2 mM EDTA was used to remove calcium; 50 mM CaCl$_2$ and 200 mM EDTA standard stocks at pH 7.4 were used. CaM labeled with IAEDANS or RRC2 are referred as CaM-IAEDANS and CaMRR2, respectively. The amount of DMSO in the protein samples, whenever needed, did not exceed 1.2 % v/v.

**Figure 2.2.** Increase in fluorescence intensity of FlAsH-EDT$_2$ and ReAsH-EDT$_2$ upon specific covalent attachment to a small CCPGCC peptide. Green trace functions as a control to show that there is no direct interaction of the biarsenical probe and DREAM, even though 5 cysteine are present in this protein.

The ReAsH-EDT$_2$ and FlAsH-EDT$_2$ synthesis and purification was carried out as described in detail by (Adams et al. 2002). The selectivity of the purified products was tested by monitoring the fluorescence enhancement upon binding to the CCPGCC test peptide. A representative plot of total fluorescence vs. time is shown in Figure 2.2. Proteins were labeled with FlAsH and ReAsH following previous protocols (Adams, Tsien 2008).
Unbound fluorophores were removed by size exclusion chromatography using a G10 Sephaxdex column (Sigma) followed by Ni-NTA purification and extensive dialysis.

2.6 Protein sample preparation

Protein samples were prepared by diluting a concentrated protein stock in buffered aqueous solutions at pH and ionic strength as described throughout the text. Final protein concentration was measured spectrophotometrically using $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 19,000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ for DREAM(Δ65), $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 10,500 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ for DREAM(Δ160), $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 71,500 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ for GST-Kv4.3(1-152), and $\varepsilon_{508\text{nm}} = 63,500 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ for DREAM(Δ65) labeled with FlAsH or ReAsH (Adams, Tsien 2008). All samples were prepared and measured at room temperature, unless specified otherwise. Protein stocks were kept in small concentrated aliquots at -30 °C immediately after purification, these stocks were thawed on ice only once prior to use. The Ca$^{2+}$ free or Mg$^{2+}$DREAM samples were prepared by adding 1 mM EDTA or 1 mM EGTA and 5mM MgCl$_2$, respectively. The Ca$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ Mg$^{2+}$ samples were prepared by adding 1 mM Ca$^{2+}$ or 1mM Ca$^{2+}$ and 5mM Mg$^{2+}$. All EDTA, EGTA, CaCl$_2$, and MgC$_{12}$ stocks were prepared in ultrapure 18 MΩ water and adjusted to pH 7.4 using NaOH or HCl. Throughout the text, the metal free protein is referred as Ca$^{2+}$ free, apo-, or apoform.

2.7 Methods used in chapter 3

2.7.1 Steady-state fluorescence

Steady-state emission measurements were carried out on a custom PC1 spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign, IL) with bandwidth and slit width for excitation/emission set at 8 nm and 0.5 mm, respectively. The emission spectra for 1,8-
ANS:DREAM(Δ65) and 2,6-ANS:DREAM(Δ65) complexes were measured using \( \lambda_{\text{exc}} = 350 \) nm and 319 nm, respectively. Titration were carried out at 20 °C and in a 2 mm x 10 mm path length quartz cuvette with excitation along the 2 mm path. Dissociation constants were determined either by titrating a small amount of concentrated ANS or arachidonic acid stock solution into 7 µM DREAM(Δ65) sample or keeping ANS concentration constant and adding aliquots of DREAM(Δ65) stock solution. In order to avoid dilution effects, ANS or protein was kept in both titrant and titrand at identical concentration. The intensity was corrected for the inner filter effect (Lakowicz 2010). The signal from unbound dye was subtracted and the emission intensity was determined by integrating the emission signal. Titration curves were analyzed using the Hill equation (Hawe, Sutter & Jiskoot 2008):

\[
F_{\text{corrected}} = \frac{F_{\text{maximum}}[\text{DREAM}]^n}{K_{d_{ss}} + [\text{DREAM}]^n} + y_0 \\
\text{Eqn. 2.7.1}
\]

where, \( K_{d_{ss}} \) is the dissociation constant, \( n \) represents the Hill coefficient, and \( y_0 \) is an offset associated with small uncorrected light scattering.

2.7.2 Fluorescence decay lifetime

Frequency-domain fluorescence lifetime and anisotropy decay measurements were performed using a ChronosFD spectrofluorimeter. The samples containing 1,8-ANS or 2,6-ANS were excited with a 305 nm diode or 370 nm laser diode and the emission was collected using a 400-600 nm band pass filters (Andover). The lifetime reference used was 1,4-bis(5-phenyloxazol-2-yl)benzene (POPOP) in ethanol (\( \tau = 1.35 \) ns) with polarizers set at a magic angle configuration (Spencer, Weber 1970). Modulation ratio and phase change data were analyzed using GlobalsWE software and the \( \chi^2 \) parameter was used as
criterion for goodness of the fit (Lakowicz 2010). Fluorescence intensity decays were analyzed using a multiple-exponential decay model and multiple frequency-domain data from at least three different protein batches were simultaneously fitted using the global analysis approach with the lifetime parameters set as linked variables. The theory behind frequency-domain depolarization has been described elsewhere (Badea 1979, Lakowicz et al. 1993). We implemented a triple associative decay model since multiple correlations lifetimes due to oligomerization equilibrium of DREAM(Δ65) and due to heterogeneous binding of the ANS probes. The validity of the model was judged on the basis of the closeness of the $\chi^2$ value to 1. Frequency-domain depolarization data were analyzed using global analysis between data sets obtained with 305 nm and 370 nm excitation while keeping the rotational time as a linked-variable.

2.7.3 Calculation of Förster distances and energy transfer

The energy transfer efficiency between Trp donor and 1,8-ANS acceptor was determined according to Eqn. 2.7.2 (Blackman, Piston & Beth 1998, Runnels, Scarlata 1995)

$$E = \frac{R_0^6}{R_0^6 + D^6}$$

Eqn. 2.7.2

where E represents efficiency of energy transfer and D is the distance between the donor and acceptor (D). The variable $R_0$ describes the critical distance at which rate of energy transfer equals the rate of fluorescence decay can be readily calculated using (Steinberg 1971):

$$R_0^6 = \frac{9000(ln10)\kappa^2\Phi_D}{128\pi^5Nn^4}\int_0^\infty F_\lambda(\lambda)\epsilon(\lambda)\lambda^3 d\lambda$$

Eqn. 2.7.3
where $\kappa$ is the dipole orientation factor (set to 2/3), $\Phi_D$ is the quantum yield of the donor, $N$ is Avogadro’s number, $n$ is the index of refraction of water, $F_D(\lambda)$ is the normalized fluorescence emission of the donor and $\varepsilon(\lambda)$ is the extinction coefficient spectra of the acceptor.

2.7.4 ANS displacement studies

For experiments investigating the displacement of 1,8-ANS by arachidonic acid, aliquots of the arachidonic acid stock solution (1.6 mM arachidonic acid in 20 mM Tris, pH 7.4, and 100 mM NaCl) were titrated into 7 $\mu$M apo- or Ca$^{2+}$DREAM solubilized in 20 mM Tris, pH 7.4, and 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT and 20 $\mu$M 1,8-ANS. The change in 1,8-ANS fluorescence intensity as a function of arachidonic acid was analyzed by a single binding site model as described by (Epps, Raub & Kezdy 1995).

2.7.5 Molecular docking modeling

The ANS binding sites on DREAM surface were identified using AutoDock 4.2 software (http://autodock.scripps.edu/) (Morris et al. 1998). The structure of Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM (PDB: 2jul) was used as a rigid macromolecule (Lusin et al. 2008). The most plausible docking model was selected on the basis of the energetic ranking provided by AutoDock 4.2.

2.8 Methods used in chapter 4

2.8.1 Steady-state fluorescence

Steady-state emission spectra were recorded using a PC1-ChronosFD spectrofluorometer (ISS, Illinois). Tryptophan emission spectra were measured using a 295 nm excitation, and titrations were carried out in a 0.1 x 1 cm path length quartz cuvette
with excitation along the 0.1 cm path. The DREAM(Δ65):NS5806 dissociation constants were determined by non-linear fit of the change in integrated fluorescence (310 nm to 400 nm) using a single binding site model:

\[ \Delta F = \frac{(K_d + [aP]) + [L]}{2c} - \sqrt{(K_d + [aP]) + [L]} - 4[aP][L] \]  

Eqn. 2.8.1

where \( \Delta F \) is the intensity change, \( K_d \) is the dissociation constant, \( n \) is the number of binding sites, \( P_t \) is the total protein concentration, \( L_t \) is the total ligand concentration, and \( c \) is a proportionality constant. For 1,8-ANS displacement studies, the excitation wavelength was set at 350 nm along the 2 mm path of a 0.2 x 1 cm cuvette, and the integrated emission intensity (410 nm to 500 nm) of 1,8-ANS bound to DREAM(Δ65) was used to calculate the extent of displacement. The dissociation constant of NS5806 for DREAM(Δ65) was recovered using a single binding site displacement equation (Chuang et al. 2008):

\[ F_{\text{occurred}} = F_{\text{max}} \left[ \frac{\alpha + \frac{\beta [L]}{(K_i + [L])\left(1 + \frac{[L]}{K_d}\right)}}{K_d + [L]} \right] \]  

Eqn. 2.8.2

where, \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are proportionality constants, \( K_i \) is the dissociation constant of 1,8-ANS (measured separately), \( I_t \) is the total concentration of NS5806 and \( K_d \) is its dissociation constant.

To investigate the association between DREAM(Δ65) constructs and Kv4.3(2-22) “Site 1” or Kv4.3(70-90) “Site 2”, fluorescently labeled peptides were purchased from ThinkPeptides (Sarasota, FL, USA). The peptides with the sequence of the Site 1 of human Kv4.3 (Dansyl-Ahx-EKEFFNEDTKYFFDRDPEV) and Site 2 of human Kv4.3 (FITC-Ahx-AAWLPFARAAIGWMPV) were received at higher than 98 % purity. The
fraction of FITC-Ahx-Kv4.3(2-22) bound to DREAM(Δ65) constructs was determined based on the increase in steady state anisotropy and corrected for the increase in total intensity upon complex formation using:

\[
f_B = \frac{r - r_F}{(r - r_F) + \frac{I_B}{I_F}(r_B - r)}
\]  

Eqn. 2.8.3

where \(f_B\) is the fractional concentration of peptide bound, \(r\) is the measured anisotropy, \(r_F\) and \(r_B\) are the anisotropy of the free and fully bound peptide, respectively (Lakowicz 2010). As a consequence of the large change in fluorescence intensity of Dansyl-Ahx-Kv4.3(70-90) peptide upon binding to DREAM(Δ65) constructs the fraction bound was determined by the change in integrated fluorescence intensity (450 nm to 650 nm) normalized by the maximum intensity change. The resulting titration curves were analyzed using a single site binding model, Eqn. 2.8.1.

For the determination of the calcium dissociation constants, a sample containing 1 μM of DREAM(Δ65) containing the biarsenical binding sequence (CCPGCC) at the C-terminus and labeled with FlAsH was prepared in 20 mM MOPS pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EGTA, and 1 mM NTA. All solutions were previously decalcsified by treatment with CHELEX resin (BioRad). The amount of residual calcium was determined using Quin-2 and calculated to be ~200 nM. Calcium binding was monitored by the change in integrated fluorescence emission (510 nm to 580 nm) upon calcium binding to DREAM(Δ65)-FlAsH. Calcium was added from a buffered 50 mM CaCl₂ stock prepared from a 100 mM CaCl₂ standard (Fisher Scientific) and the free calcium concentration was determined by obtaining the saturation curves for the calcium indicators Quin-2 (\(K_d = 120 \text{ nM}\)), Calcium Green-5N (\(K_d = 14 \text{ μM}\)) and CaGreen-2 (\(K_d = 550 \text{ nM}\)). The determined
free calcium concentrations were in agreement with the values calculated using the pCa calculator software (Dweck, Reyes-Alfonso Jr. & Potter 2005). The macroscopic calcium binding constants in the presence of NS5806 were obtained under identical conditions. The FlAsH labeled DREAM(Δ65) was excited with a 470 nm laser diode and the change in fluorescence intensity was used to probe the extent of calcium binding. The resulting titration curves were analyzed using a double-Hill equation:

\[ f_{\text{bound}} = \frac{(f - 1)[Ca^{2+}]_B}{k_B + [Ca^{2+}]_\text{total}} + \frac{(f)[Ca^{2+}]_A}{k_A + [Ca^{2+}]_\text{total}} \]  

Eqn. 2.8.4

where \( f_{\text{bound}} \) is the fraction of proteins bound to calcium calculated from the intensity change, \( f \) is the fraction of sites B with dissociation constant \( k_B \) and showing a Hill coefficient \( n_B \), with remaining sites showing a dissociation constant of \( k_A \) and Hill coefficient \( n_A \).

2.8.2 Fluorescence and anisotropy decay

Tryptophan fluorescence decay lifetimes were measured using a ChronosFD spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign Illinois) in the frequency domain mode. Tryptophan was excited with a frequency modulated light (280 nm diode) and emission was collected using a 305 nm long pass filter and 400 nm short pass filter (Andover). The modulated anisotropy of tryptophan was calculated from the amplitude ratio of the parallel and perpendicular components of the modulated emission. The fluorescence decay lifetime of 1,8-ANS was determined by excitation with a 370 nm output of a frequency modulated laser diode and the emission was collected through a 400-600 nm wide pass filters (Andover). Modulation-phase data were best fitted by a multiple-exponential decay model.
using GlobalsWE software and the $\chi^2$ parameter was used as criterion for goodness of fit (Beechem 1989).

2.8.3 Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC)

Thermodynamic parameters for NS5806 binding to DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160) were determined using a VP-ITC titration calorimeter (Microcal Inc. Northampton, MA). The ITC buffer (20 mM MOPS pH 7.4, 0.25 mM DTT, and 100 mM NaCl) was prepared using decalcified water filtered through Chelex-100 resin (Bio-Rad). The protein stock solution was dialyzed against ITC buffer overnight. To minimize artifacts from mismatched buffers, stock NS5806 solutions were prepared in the final ITC dialysate buffer. The cell sample and injection syringe were extensively cleaned with decalcified water and then with ITC buffer. The reaction cell was loaded with 10 μM DREAM(Δ65) or DREAM(Δ160) solution and the concentration of NS5806 in syringe was 473 μM. Thirty injections (9 μL each) of NS5806 were titrated into protein solution with 2 min intervals between injections. Parallel experiments were carried out for titration of NS5806 into the dialysate buffer as a control for heats of dilution of ligand. The temperature was kept at 25 °C and stirring speed was at 307 rpm. ITC data were analyzed using Origin 7 ITC data analysis software (OriginLab Corp. Northampton, MA). The data obtained for NS5806 binding to both KChIP3 constructs were analyzed using a two binding site model (Jelesarov, Bosshard 1999).

2.8.4 Molecular modeling

The NS5806 binding sites on DREAM(Δ65) surface were identified using AutoDock 4.2 software (http://autodock.scripps.edu/) (Morris et al. 1998). The structure of Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM(Δ75) (PDB: 2jul, conformation # 1) and DREAM(Δ160) (PDB: 2E6W)
were used as a rigid macromolecule and docking grids were set to cover the entire protein surface (Lusin et al. 2008, Yu et al. 2007b). The most favorable docking site among 200 docking simulation was selected based on their energy rankings. The structure of the NS5806- DREAM(Δ65) complex was further refined by running 10 ns of molecular dynamic simulation using AMBER03 force fields on the YASARA interface at 298 K, with a dynamic time step of 1.25 fs and trajectories recorded every 25 ps for further analysis (Krieger, Koraimann & Vriend 2002). The molecular model for the DREAM:Kv4.3 T1 domain was constructed by combining the crystal structure of KChIP1:Kv4.3 T1 domain (PDB: 2NZ0) (Wang et al. 2007) and the DREAM(Δ75) or the NS5806:DREAM(765) complex prior to molecular dynamic simulation. The energy minimized DREAM(Δ75):Kv4.3 T1 domain or NS5806:DREAM(Δ75):Kv4.3 T1 domain complexes were then subjected to a 10 ns molecular dynamics simulation. The resulting protein and drug-protein complexes were aligned using the MUSTANG algorithm on the YASARA interface.

2.9 Methods used in chapter 5

2.9.1 Steady-state fluorescence measurements

Fluorescence emission measurements were recorded using a custom PC1 spectrofluorimeter (ISS, Illinois). Tryptophan emission spectra were measured using 295 nm excitation, and titrations were carried out in a 0.2 x 1 cm path length quartz cuvette with excitation along the 0.2 cm path. The dissociation constants for CaM binding to DREAM were determined by a non-linear fit of the change in normalized fluorescence emission spectra at 370 nm using a single binding site model (Equation 2.8.1).
To study the interaction between CaM and the N-terminus of DREAM we employed a fluorescently labeled peptide homologous to DREAM(29-44) and the fluorescence anisotropy increase upon complex formation was used to determine the affinity. A peptide homologous to DREAM(29-44) with a FITC label at the N-terminus (SIKWQRPRFTRQALMRK-FITC) was purchased from ThinkPeptides, USA. Additional titrations of apo and Ca\(^{2+}\)-CaMRR2 and DREAM(29-44)-FITC peptides were conducted by monitoring the quenching of FITC fluorescence by rhodamine through energy transfer. Equation 2.8.1 was used for fitting of the anisotropy and quenching data.

The effect of CaM on DREAM interaction with the DRE sequence of human prodynorphin was probed by steady-state fluorescence anisotropy. A synthetic oligonucleotide analogous to the DRE sequence (5'-GAAGCCGGAGTCAAGGAGGCCCCTG-3') labeled at the 5’ end with FITC was purchased (Eurofins, USA) and used without further purification, the complementary strand was not labeled. Samples were prepared as previously reported (Osawa et al. 2005), in the absence of LDAO.

2.9.2 Circular dichroism measurements

All CD spectra were measured using a Jasco J-815 CD spectropolarimeter. The far UV-CD spectra were measured at 10 μM protein concentration through a 1 mm path in 5 mM Tris buffer pH 7.4. Near-UV CD spectra were measured along a 1 mm path using 160 μM DREAM and 560 μM DREAM(Δ65) in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 and 10 mM LDAO. All measurements were conducted at 16 °C and traces represent an average of 20 scans.

2.9.3 Stopped-flow kinetics

A PC1 spectrofluorometer (ISS, Illinois) with an SFA-20 stopped-flow kinetics accessory (Hi-Tech, Salisbury, UK) was used to determine the dissociation rate constants.
by monitoring the change in anisotropy upon complex dissociation. Experiments between CaM and DREAM(29-44)-FITC, as well as CaMRR2 and DREAM, were conducted by mixing 1:1 volumetric ratio of the protein complexes in the presence of 100 μM calcium with 2 mM EDTA in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4. Samples were excited using 470 nm for DREAM(29-44)-FITC and 520 nm excitation for CaMRR2. The change in fluorescence anisotropy upon complex dissociation was determined by collecting the fluorescence at 520 ± 10 nm for FITC and 600 ± 10 nm for RRC2 using a T-format configuration. The dissociation of Ca$^{2+}$ from CaM was monitored using 285 nm excitation and the intrinsic tyrosine fluorescence in the L-format through a 305 nm long pass filter. The temperature was controlled using a circulating water bath (Fisher, Isotemp M9100) and measured at the sample holder to ± 0.5 °C. Dissociation kinetic data were best described by single or double-exponential decay equations using Origin 8.0 software (OriginLab Corp.).

2.9.4 Fluorescence lifetime and anisotropy decay measurements

Fluorescence decay data were obtained using a ChronosFD spectrofluorometer (ISS) in the frequency domain mode. Fluorescence decay lifetime of IAEDANS or FITC complexes was determined by excitation with a 370 nm and 470 nm modulated laser diode, respectively. Fluorescence was collected through a 400 nm long pass filter for IAEDANS samples or 500 nm long pass filter for FITC samples. POPOP (1,4-bis(5-phenyloxazol-2-yl)benzene) in ethanol (τ = 1.35 ns) or rhodamine B in water (τ = 1.35 ns) were used as lifetime references; polarizers were set at magic angle configuration. Anisotropy decay measurements were conducted under identical conditions. Modulation-phase data were fitted using GlobalsWE software and the $\chi^2$ parameter was used as a criterion for goodness of fit (Beechem 1989).
2.9.5 Molecular modeling and dynamic simulations

The initial structure of Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM(Δ75) (PDB: 2jul, conformation # 1) was employed as a starting model of the core domain of the full-length protein(Lusin et al. 2008). The secondary structure of the N-terminal residues of DREAM not resolved in the NMR structure and those comprising helix 1 (residues 76-86) were predicted by a combination of six secondary structure prediction software (Jpred, Porter, PSIPRED, PredictProtein, PROF – secondary structure prediction, and CFSSP). To decrease the variability of the helix prediction, a helical conformation was only accepted if it was predicted by at least 4 of the algorithms. A model for the full-length protein was constructed by modeling the first 90 residues as an extended helix or loop according to the secondary structure prediction followed by 10 ns molecular dynamics simulation to equilibrate the structure. The helical structure of residues 76-86 (helix 1) was used to align the peptide to the published NMR structure to obtain the structure of DREAM from residue 1 to 256.

The DREAM model was solvated and ionized as described below (resulting in a total of 122639 atoms) followed by classical and accelerated molecular dynamics simulations (cMD and aMD, respectively) to identify the dynamics and folding of the N-terminus. The parameters for the aMD were determined from the total energy and dihedral energy from a cMD simulation (<E\(_{\text{total}}\)> = -330141.1 kcal/mol and <E\(_{\text{dihed}}\)> = 973.1 kcal/mol). The dihedral boost was applied above a threshold energy set to 1993.1 kcal/mol with a dihedral alpha value of 204 kcal/mol. All-atom explicit solvent molecular dynamics simulations were obtained using the molecular dynamics simulation package NAMD (Phillips et al. 2005) with the CHARMM27 force fields (Brooks et al. 1983). All simulated systems were solvated in a periodic TIP3P water box with 10 Å margins and ionized with
150 mM NaCl (Humphrey, Dalke & Schulten 1996). Long range interactions were treated with the PME method (Essmann et al. 1995) with a 12 Å cutoff. Energy was minimized with a conjugate gradient algorithm, followed by heating at 1 K/ps from 0 to 310 K. After reaching 310 K, a 100 ps equilibration step in the NVT ensemble using Langevin dynamics with a 1 fs integration time was conducted. Production runs were in the NPT ensemble (1 atm and 310 K) both with Langevin coupling and with a 2 fs integration time. All simulations were performed on a i7-4770/ GTX-780Ti system using NAMD 2.9. Trajectory files were saved every 20 ps for further analysis. The initial structure used for cMD and aMD in the absence of Ca2+ was modeled as coarse grained spheres using the VMD plugin and MARTINI parameters (Marrink et al. 2007). The structure was minimized and simulation were run in the NPT ensemble. Solvation, ionization and force-field parameters were identical to those utilized in cMD and aMD simulation, but with an increase time step of 20 fs.

2.10 Methods used in chapter 6

2.10.1 Photophysics of the DREAM:Tb³⁺ complex

Fluorescence experiments were conducted on a custom PC1-ChronosFD instrument (ISS, Champaign, IL) in steady-state mode for excitation and emission spectra and in frequency domain mode for fluorescence decay measurements. The intrinsic protein fluorescence arising from tyrosine residues in CaM and tryptophan on DREAM(Δ65) was obtained by exciting the sample with 280 ± 2 nm and 295 ± 2 nm light, respectively. The fluorescence of 1,8-ANS was monitored by exciting the sample with 350 ± 4 nm light, through a vertically oriented polarizer. The sensitized emission spectra of terbium(III)
bound proteins were obtained by exciting the sample at 280 ± 4 nm while collecting the emission through a 400 nm long pass filter to minimize contribution of the protein intrinsic fluorescence and the 2\textsuperscript{nd} harmonic peaks. Displacement of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from DREAM(Δ65) was monitored by adding small aliquots of a 2.0 mM Tb\textsuperscript{3+} in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 stock solution into 10-20 μM DREAM(Δ65) construct in the same buffer with 5 mM Mg\textsuperscript{2+} and/or 100 μM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. The resulting spectra were normalized by dividing the intensity at each wavelength by the background value at 530 nm and the resulting titration plots were fitted using a non-cooperative n-site quadratic equation which assumes similar affinity for all the sites (see Chapter 4). All spectra were corrected for the PMT wavelength dependent response as well as the lamp wavelength dependent changes in intensity. The intrinsic fluorescence lifetime of DREAM(Δ65) was measured by exciting the sample with the modulated light of a 280 nm diode and the fluorescence collected through a 320 nm long pass filter with 2,5-diphenyloxazole (PPO) in ethanol (τ = 1.40 ns) used a lifetime reference.

Circular dichroism measurements were conducted in a Jasco J-815 CD spectrometer along the 1 mm path of a quartz cuvette (J-815 Jasco, Easton, MD). Luminescence measurements were conducted on a custom-built instrument where the sample was placed in a 2x10 mm quartz cuvette in a temperature controlled sample holder (Quantum Northwest, Liberty Lake, WA) and excited along the 10 mm path. The 355 nm line of a Nd:YAG laser (Minilite II Continuum, San Jose, CA) was used to directly excite Tb\textsuperscript{3+} ions while the luminescence was measured perpendicularly through a 550 ± 10 nm band pass filter and detected by a H7360-01 PMT (Hamamatsu, Japan). The signal was digitized by a 400 MHz oscilloscope (WaveSurfer 42Xs, Teledyne Lecroy, NY) and the
initial 100 μseconds of each trace were deleted to eliminate contribution from scattered light and PMT recovery time. The fluorescence modulation-phase plots and luminescence decay traces were fit using Globals for spectroscopy software (LFD, Irvine CA).

2.10.2 Thermodynamics of the DREAM:Tb³⁺ complex

Isothermal calorimetry titrations were employed to determine the thermodynamics of Tb³⁺ displacement of Ca²⁺ from DREAM(Δ65) or CaM and they were carried out using a VP-ITC isothermal calorimeter (Microcal Inc. Northampton, MA). Protein constructs were dialyzed overnight in 5 mM Tris pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 100 μM CaCl₂ with/without 5mM MgCl₂. The use of 10 mM EDTA during purification of calmodulin required multiple overnight dialysis steps to ensure complete removal of contaminating EDTA. Terbium stock solutions were prepared in ITC dialysate buffer. The reaction cell was loaded with ~10 μM protein solution, determined spectrophotometrically prior to the ITC experiment, the concentration of Tb³⁺ in the syringe (297 μL) was 1.00 mM. Thirty injections of increasing volume were titrated into the protein solution with increasing time intervals between injections. Isotherms were corrected for the heat of dilution of ligand and all ITC experiments were conducted in triplicates. The recovered thermodynamic parameters were obtained by fitting the isotherms modeled either with an N-set-of-sites model or a sequential model using the Microcal ITC analysis plug in Origin 7.0.

2.10.3 Trapped ion mobility spectrometry—mass spectrometry studies (TIMS-MS)

2.10.4 Experimental:

Details regarding the TIMS operation and specifics compared to traditional IMS can be found elsewhere (Fernandez-Lima, Kaplan & Park 2011, Fernandez-Lima et al. 2011, Molano-Arevalo et al. 2014, Hernandez et al. 2014). Briefly, in TIMS, the mobility
separation is achieved by holding the ions stationary with an electric field against a moving gas. The separation in a TIMS device can be described by the center of the mass frame using the same principles as in a conventional IMS drift tube (McDaniel, Mason 1973). The TIMS analyzer was coupled to a maXis Impact Q-UHR-ToF (Bruker Daltonics Inc., Billerica, MA). Data acquisition was controlled using in-house software, written in National Instruments Lab VIEW (2012, v. 12.0f3), and synchronized with the maXis Impact acquisition program. The TIMS separation was performed using nitrogen as a bath gas at ca. 300 K and typical P1 (pressure at the ramp electrode) and P2 (pressure at the outlet electrode) values are 1.8 and 0.6 mbar, respectively. The pressure difference drives the input of the sample into the analyzer. The same RF (880 kHz and 200–350 Vpp) was applied to all electrodes including the entrance funnel, the mobility separating section, and the exit funnel. The purpose of the voltage potentials is to generate an electromagnetic field able to trap the ions in the TIMS analyzer and minimize loss of the charged ions through diffusion. Protein samples were prepared at 15 μM concentration protein and 15 μM TbCl₃·6H₂O using HPLC grade solvents from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (Waltham, MA) in 10 mM ammonium acetate at pH = 6.7. A custom built, nano electrospray ionization source was coupled to the TIMS-MS analyzer and was used for all analyses. A typical source voltage of 600-1200 V was used and analyses were performed in positive ion mode.

2.10.5 Theoretical

Theoretical CCS were calculated for the previously reported 2JUL NMR structure of DREAM (Lusin et al. 2008) using the IMoS (v1.04b) (Larriba, Hogan Jr 2013, Larriba, Hogan 2013, Ouyang et al. 2013) software with nitrogen as a bath gas at ca. 300K. In the
IMoS calculations, 100 total rotations were performed using the diffuse hard sphere scattering method with a Maxwell distribution. In addition, I have also developed a MATLAB script designed to extract the average peak intensity, calculate calibration constants, and convert mobility to collisional cross sections for multiple peaks. This script was designed in order to expedite and facilitate the analysis of multiple ion species. For instance, using TIMS-MS we are able to identify the apo, 1 Ca$^{2+}$, and 2 Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM produces. In the presence of NS5806, the same peaks with additional peaks corresponding to NS5806 + 1 Ca$^{2+}$ and NS5806 + 2 Ca$^{2+}$ can be identified. Thus, if the charge states from +6 to +22 are identified (which is common), this would result in a total of 80 peaks. In addition, TIMS experiments require the use of different ramp times (often a set of five ramp times are used), resulting in a total of 400 peaks to be analyzed. It becomes obvious that a large amount of time could be spent analyzing the data. The details about this script (which is not used in the presented work) are listed in appendix 2.

2.11 Methods used in chapter 7

2.11.1 Caged compounds

The DM-nitrophen samples were prepared by dissolving DM-nitrophen in buffers as described in the text. The Ca$^{2+}$-DM-nitrophen samples were prepared by adding CaCl$_2$ to DM-nitrophen sample to achieve a desirable ratio of [DM-nitrophen]$_{total}$:[Ca$^{2+}$]$_{total}$. The sample preparation and measurements were carried out in a dark room to prevent unwanted uncaging and release of the chelated ligand (H$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, or Tb$^{3+}$). Absorption spectra were recorded using a single beam UV-vis spectrophotometer (Cary 50, Varian) and the DM-nitrophen concentration was determined from the absorbance value at 350 nm.
The synthesis of the H2Cage and Cu(OH2)Cage compounds were performed in the laboratory of Dr. Konstantinos Kavallieratos at FIU and were done according to the procedure reported by Ciesienski et al. (2008). The purity was verified by H1 NMR (400 MHz Bruker NMR spectrometer) and IR (Nicolet Magna-IR 560 spectrometer) spectroscopy. For all trials and experiments, both the ligand and complex were dissolved in a MOPS buffer mixture (5mM MOPS buffer, pH 7.10) and then transferred to a 1 x 0.5 cm quartz cuvette. To minimize unwanted photo cleavage all samples were prepared and measured in a dark room. Absorptions spectra for both compounds were collected using a single beam UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Cary 50, Varian).

2.11.1 Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC)

Thermodynamic parameters for Terbium binding to DM-nitrophen or EDTA were determined using a VP-ITC titration calorimeter (Microcal Inc. Northampton, MA). The ITC buffer (20 mM MOPS pH 6.5 with and without 1 mM CaCl2) was prepared using ultrapure 18 MΩ water which was decalcified by filtering through a Chelex-100 resin (Bio-Rad). The cell sample and injection syringe were extensively cleaned with decalcified water and then with ITC buffer. The reaction cell was loaded with ~120 µM DM-nitrophen solution and the concentration of Tb3+ in syringe was 2 mM. Thirty injections (10 µL each) of Tb3+ were titrated into a protein solution with 2 min intervals between injections. Parallel experiments were carried out for titration of Tb3+ into buffer as a control for heats of dilution. The temperature was kept at 25 ºC and stirring speed was at 307 rpm. The ITC results were analyzed using Origin 7 ITC data analysis software (OriginLab Corp. Northampton, MA).
2.11.2 Photothermal beam deflection instrument

All photothermal beam deflection (PBD) measurements were performed on a custom-built instrument described in detail elsewhere (Mikšovská, Day & Larsen 2003). The sample was held in a 1.0x0.5 cm cuvette which was placed inside a temperature controlled holder (TC 125, Quantum Northwest). Illumination of the sample was done with a Nd: YAG laser (355 nm, 5 ns pulse, Minilite, Continuum, CA) passed through the 1.0 cm path length of the cuvette. A diode probe beam (635 nm, 5 mW output from He-Ne Laser, Edmund Optics) was aligned so that it is nearly collinear to the pump beam and crossed in the center of the sample cell. A mirror on the opposite side was used to align the probe beam onto the center of a split diode, so the intensity of the Gaussian laser beam is equally distributed between the two photodiodes. The detector is of our own design and the signal output was digitized by a 400 MHz digitizer (WaveSurfer, LeCroy). All data analysis was performed off line in Origin 8.0 data analysis software (OriginLab Corp. Northampton, MA or Microsoft Excel.

2.12 Methods used in chapter 8

2.12.1 Modeling of DREAM

The initial structure of Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM(Δ75) (PDB: 2jul, conformation # 1) was employed as a starting model of the core domain of DREAM protein (Lusin et al. 2008). This core domain contains helix 1 through 10 and 2 calcium ions bound at EF-hand and 3 and 4. All DREAM mutants were generated by the mutate residue plug in of VMD using the conformation #1 of DREAM as the initial structure (Humphrey, Dalke & Schulten 1996). The DREAM models were then solvated using TIP3P waters and ionized
with Na+ ions to neutrality using the ionize plug in of VMD. The complete system consisted of about 29800 atoms in a 81.5 Å x 68.6 Å x 58.1 Å rectangular box, which is big enough to prevent the protein from interacting with its own periodic image.

The dimeric form of DREAM was generated by overlaying the monomeric Ca²⁺ bound structure of DREAM (pdb: 2jul, conformation #1) on each subunit of the dimeric structure observed in the structure of KChIP1 (pdb: 1s6c) obtained by x-ray crystallography (Zhou et al. 2004b). This dimeric form of DREAM is in good agreement with the dimer structure proposed previously (Lusin et al. 2008), the dimeric structure was subsequently solvated and ionized as described above.

2.12.2 All atom molecular dynamic simulations

Classical molecular dynamics (cMD) and accelerated molecular dynamics (aMD) simulations have been widely employed to study the conformational dynamics and folding of proteins at the atomic level (Liu et al. 2005, Zhou et al. 2004a, Hamelberg, Mongan & McCammon 2004, Kern, Zuiderweg 2003). In this work, we have carried out cMD and aMD simulations using the NAMD (Phillips et al. 2005) simulation software and the CHARMM27 force field including the phi, psi, cross term map (CMAP) correction (MacKerell, Feig & Brooks 2004). These forcefield have been shown to be able to reproduce experimental NMR and unfolding data of the neuronal calcium sensor-1 protein (Bellucci et al. 2013, Heidarsson et al. 2013, Zhu et al. 2014). Accelerate MD simulations have also been shown to enhance the conformational space sampled by proteins within shorter simulation times (Hamelberg, Mongan & McCammon 2004). This method has been used to provide insight into the allosteric mechanisms of calcium binding in calcium binding proteins (Kekenes-Huskey et al. 2012).
In both simulation approaches, the long range interactions were treated with the PME method (Essmann et al. 1995) with a 12 Å cutoff. The system described above was energy minimized with a conjugate gradient algorithm, followed by: 1) heating at 1 K/ps from 0 to 155 K, 2) 200 ps of simulation using constant pressure, temperature, and number of particles (NPT), and 3) a second heating step from 155 K to 310 K at 1 K/ps. We have noticed that performing the short NPT equilibration step between the heating steps eliminates the formation of vacuum bubbles during the simulation. After reaching 310 K, a 100 ps equilibration step in the NVT ensemble using Langevin dynamics with a 1 fs integration time was conducted. Production runs were in the NPT ensemble (1 atm and 310 K) both with Langevin coupling and a 2 fs integration time. All simulations were performed on a i7-4770/ GTX-780Ti system using NAMD 2.10. Trajectory files were saved every 20 ps for further analysis.

Accelerated molecular dynamics (aMD) is an enhanced sampling technique in which the energy of the system is modified throughout the simulation in order to allow the protein to transition into structural conformations not readily sampled by classical molecular simulations (cMD). The acceleration in aMD arises from introduction of a non-negative energy to the dihedral angles of all amino acids in the protein whenever the total dihedral energy of the system goes below a predefined threshold (Miao et al. 2015). This concept of acceleration can be best explained by the following equations:

\[ V_{\text{boost}}(t) = V(t) \quad \text{if} \quad V(t) \geq E_{\text{reference}} \]  
\[ V_{\text{boost}}(t) = V(t) + \Delta V(t) \quad \text{if} \quad V(t) < E_{\text{reference}} \]  

Eqn. 2.12.1  
Eqn. 2.12.2

In which \( V(t) \) is the potential of the system at simulation time \( t \), \( E_{\text{reference}} \) is the reference threshold potential, and \( V_{\text{boost}}(t) \) is the modified potential. In our case, the \( E_{\text{reference}} \) value
has been obtained from a 10 ns simulation of the system using classical molecular
dynamics using:

\[ E_{\text{reference}} = [V_{\text{dihedral}}] + a \times N_{\text{residue}} \]  
Eqn. 2.12.3

Where \([V_{\text{dihedral}}]\) is the average dihedral energy of the cMD simulation, \(N_{\text{residue}}\) is the
number of amino acids in the protein, and \(a\) is an empirically determined constant usually
near 4. The parameter \(\Delta V(t)\) represents the boost potential, which takes the form of:

\[ \Delta V(t) = \frac{(E_{\text{reference}} - V(t))^2}{\alpha + E_{\text{reference}} - V(t)} \]  
Eqn. 2.12.4

In which the \(\alpha\) value has been introduced as an acceleration factor that must be either
adjusted based on simulation results. In our work, we have set the \(\alpha\) value as previously
reported using (Miao et al. 2015):

\[ \alpha = \frac{a}{5} \times N_{\text{residue}} \]  
Eqn. 2.12.3

We have observed that setting value for \(a\) near 4 would result in the N- and C-terminus
helices of DREAM to become unstable, thus in our simulations we have used a value of \(a = 3.26\). The scripts used are described in detail in appendix 3.

2.12.3 Coarse grained simulations

In order to explore conformational changes in DREAM which may occur on longer
timescales, we have performed coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations (cgMD).
In this approach, the atomic composition of amino acids in DREAM is reduced by
clustering of atom into larger bead models. These models have been optimized to maintain
the biophysical characteristics of the protein, while reducing the computational cost of
performing long simulations. We have performed simulation on DREAM using the same
model described above, in which the all atom representation was reduced from about 29800
atoms to about 6900 beads. The model was protonated using the pdb2pqr webserver (Dolinsky et al. 2004), solvated with WT4 waters and neutralized with NaW sodium-water coarse-grained ion representations. These simulations were performed on the GROMACS 5.0.7 software package (Hess et al. 2008) and the SIRAH coarse-grained force fields (Darré et al. 2015). The simulations protocol included 100000 minimization steps using the steeped descent algorithm, followed by 250000 steps of equilibration with 10 fs time step and positional restrain applied to all the protein beads. Production runs were performed in the NPT ensemble at 20 fs time step for 1 μs (Darré et al. 2015).

2.4 Analysis methods

Analysis of the cMD, aMD, nd cgMD simulations were carried using the VMD 1.9.2 software. Root mean square deviations (RMSD) were calculated for the alpha carbon of the whole protein (residue 76 to 256) using the structure of DREAM after minimization and equilibration as the frame of reference. A total of 500 frames (10 ns) of the alpha carbons of selected amino acids. The amino acids included in calculation of the N-terminus RMSF included residues 86 to 160 and residues 163 to 242 for the C-terminus included. The solvent accessible surface of Trp169 was calculated using a rolling sphere of 1.4 Å radius.

Analysis of the conformational dynamics of DREAM were analyzed by community network analysis of trajectories in which the equilibration time was deleted. We compared the RMSD of all simulations (apo and Ca²⁺ bound separately) and observed that all simulations were equilibrated within 20 ns. Therefore, we deleted the first 1000 frames of every simulation, in order to analyze only the dynamic networks present in the equilibrated
apo or Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound DREAM. The network analysis was performed using the VMD plug in NetworkSetup and visualized using Network View (Sethi et al. 2009). In this representation, each node represents the alpha carbon of an amino acids, and the edges connecting non-neighboring alpha carbons represents atoms which interacted over 75 % of the time within 4.5 Å. The thickness of the edges represents the degree of correlation between the nodes. These networks were further classified by the Girvan-Newman algorithm in order to split the networks into communities of dynamically coupled residues (Girvan, Newman 2002). In these communities, amino acids belonging to one communities are more correlated to each other than to amino acids of other communities. Furthermore, the connection between these communities, represent dynamic hubs through which most dynamic fluctuations propagate from one community to the rest of the protein.

2.12.2 Circular dichroism and Emission spectra

All CD spectra were measured using a Jasco J-815 CD spectropolarimeter. The far UV-CD spectra were measured at 10 μM protein concentration through a 1 mm path in 5 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4. Near-UV CD spectra were measured along a 1 mm path using 160 μM DREAM and 560 μM DREAM(Δ65) in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 and 10 mM LDAO. All measurements were conducted at 16 °C and traces represent an average of 20 scans.

2.12.3 Tryptophan fluorescence

The tryptophan fluorescence of DREAM was monitored by placing 20 μM to 40 μM DREAM protein in 20mM MOPS pH 7.4 and 1 mM DTT buffer in a 2x10 mm quartz cuvette. The sample was placed in the sample holder of a ChronosFD-PC1 custom spectrofluorometer, with 295 nm excitation along the short 2 mm path and emission.
collected along the 10 mm path. The bandwidth was set at ± 4 nm. All measurements were conducted at room temperature.

2.12.4 Ca\(^{2+}\) binding experiments

The binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) to all the DREAM constructs was carried out in a ChronosFD-PC1 custom spectrofluorometer (ISS). A protein concentrations between 4 μM to 6 μM was used throughout, and the sample was prepared by dilution of a concentrated DREAM stock (concentrations ranging from 450 μM to 800 μM) stored in 20mM Tris pH 7.4. No more than 50 μL of DREAM was added to 3 mL of 20 mM MOPS pH 7.4 supplemented with 1 mM EGTA and 1mM NTA. This buffer was prepared by dilution of 100 mM EGTA and 100 mM NTA standard solution prepared separately to an accuracy of ± 2 %, as determined by electrochemical titration using a Ca\(^{2+}\) electrode. In the event that the DREAM stock was highly concentrated, an additional volume of 20mM Tris pH 7.4 was added so that the total volume added to the 3mL EGTA-NTA buffer solution was always 50 μL. The addition of 50 μL of solution to all samples ensured that any dilution of EGTA and NTA was constant throughout all the experiments. The protein in EGTA-NTA buffer was placed in a 1x1 cm quartz cuvette and the sample was kept at room temperature throughout (~ 17 °C). The excitation wavelength was set to 295 nm with a bandwidth of ± 4 nm, while the emission was collected through a monochromatic with bandwidth of ± 4nm. The emission spectra was collected from 305 nm to 450 nm at a step of 2 nm. A total of twenty two samples were prepared, ranging from 0 mM to 1 mM CaCl\(_2\), with injection volumes ranging from 0.9 μL to 33 μL. The contribution associated with dilutions were not corrected, as we observe that this correction induced an erroneous increase in fluorescence; however, this effect should only be present in the last 3 to 4 point as most, since the dilution
of the first 18 points was not more ~ 3 % in total. Each injection was added with a Hamilton syringe (Sigma-Aldrich). The change in tryptophan fluorescence was determined by integrating the emission spectra between 309 nm to 423 nm. The data were analyzed by a NLLS fit to the data using origin 8.0 software and a simple one site HILL equation.

2.12.5 DREAM stability studies

The guanidium hydrochloride (GuHCl) induced protein unfolding was monitored by measuring the tryptophan fluorescence spectra as a function of increasing GuHCl concentration. The samples for fluorescence measurements were prepared by solubilizing a 80 μM DREAM protein stock in 20 mM MOPS pH 7.4, 1 mM DTT to a final concentration of 5 μM. The samples were then incubated in the presence of GuHCl for 18 to 24 hours prior to measurements. A total of twenty four samples were measured, each sample (~100 μL) was placed in a 3x3x5 (width-length-height) quartz cuvette. The emission spectra were recorded using a FluoroMax-3 spectrofluorometer (Horiba, Japan) at room temperature (~17 °C). The excitation was set a 295 nm with an excitation and emission bandwidth of 4 nm. A total of three scans were average for each sample. DREAM unfolding traces were analyzed as a one-step or two-step process involving an intermediate state:

\[
\text{N} \leftrightarrow \text{U} \quad \quad \text{Eqn. 8.2}
\]

\[
\text{N} \leftrightarrow \text{I} \leftrightarrow \text{U} \quad \quad \text{Eqn. 8.3}
\]

where N corresponds to the native state, I to the intermediate state, and U to the unfolded state. The unfolding curves were fit using the following equation (Hung et al. 2003, Santoro, Bolen 1988):
\[
\lambda(GuHCl) = \frac{\lambda_N + \lambda_I \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G_i^* - m_i[D]}{RT}\right) + \lambda_U \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G_U^* - m_U[D]}{RT}\right)}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G_i^* - m_i[D]}{RT}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G_U^* - m_U[D]}{RT}\right)}
\]

Eqn. 8.4

where \(\lambda(GuHCl)\) is the wavelength of the emission maxima of the tryptophan fluorescence, \(\Delta G\) is the standard free energy for the transition, \(m\) is the dependence of the free energy on the denaturant concentration, \(T\) is the temperature (\(T = 290\) K), \([D]\) is the concentration of GuHCl and \(R\) is the ideal gas constant, \(R = 8.314\) JK\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\). The parameters \(\lambda_N\), \(\lambda_I\), and \(\lambda_U\) represent the wavelength of the tryptophan emission maxima of each molecular species at zero GuHCl concentration.

2.12.6 Site 2 peptide binding to DREAM constructs

Binding of DREAM to the site 2 peptide of the voltage gated potassium channel Kv4.3 were carried out using the same spectrofluorometer as in section 2.12.3. In these experiments a 10 \(\mu\)M solution of Kv4.3 peptide (described in section 2.8.2) was solubilized in 20mM Tris pH 7.4 supplemented with 1mM DTT in the presence of 1mM EDTA or 500 \(\mu\)M CaCl\(_2\). The sample was placed in a 2x10 mm quartz cuvette and the dansyl chloride covalently bound to the peptide was excited with 330 nm light. The dansyl fluorescence was collected through a 400 nm longpass filter and a vertically oriented polarizer placed in front of a monochromator. The presence of the polarizer in the configuration described above eliminates strong contribution from scattering as well as the Wood’s anomaly of our instrument, which happens at 500 nm. The DREAM construct was added to the sample from a concentrated stock at concentration ranges between 0 and 100 \(\mu\)M, without exceeding 10% of the total volume of the sample (450 \(\mu\)L). Dilution effects were corrected.
3. ROLE OF THE HYDROPHOBIC CAVITY AT THE C-TERMINUS DOMAIN OF DREAM IN LIGAND BINDING AND RECOGNITION

3.1 Background and significance

The function of DREAM and its interactions with various target proteins are strongly dependent on its cellular localization. In the nucleus, DREAM functions as an agonist transcriptional modulator of the specific DRE (downstream regulatory element) sequence found in prodynorphin and c-fos genes (Carrion et al. 1999, Carrion, Mellstrom & Naranjo 1998, Ledo et al. 2000). DREAM regulates gene expression in a Ca\(^{2+}\) dependent manner with apoDREAM binding to DRE sequence as a tetramer whereas Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM forms a stable dimer with a decreased affinity for DRE sequence (Osawa et al. 2005, Carrion et al. 1999). In the cytoplasm, DREAM binds to the K\(_V\)4 voltage channels through the N-terminus in a calcium independent fashion (An et al. 2000, Bowlby et al. 2005). In addition, in vitro and in vivo studies have proposed DREAM involvement in regulating apoptotic processes, accumulation of amyloid β peptides, and N-cadherin processing through interactions with presenilin 1 (Buxbaum et al. 1998). It was recently also shown that DREAM forms a heterodimer with calmodulin in a Ca\(^{2+}\) dependent manner and regulates calmodulin function (Ramachandran et al. 2012).

However, the molecular basis of DREAM - target proteins/DNA association and the mechanism of how Ca\(^{2+}\) binding modulates DREAM – target protein/DNA interactions remains unclear. Based on the NMR structure of Ca\(^{2+}\) loaded DREAM, it has been proposed that solvent exposed basic residues located at the DREAM N-terminal domain may form the DNA binding pocket and that solvent exposed leucine residues stabilize the Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM dimer (Lusin et al. 2008). The leucine residue rich domain found close to
EF-hand 2 may also mediate DREAM interactions with leucine zipper proteins such as α-CREM (Ledo et al. 2000). The structure of the Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM reveals the presence of a large hydrophobic cavity located between EF-hand 1 and EF-hand 2 which extends into the C-terminal domain. In addition, solvent exposed hydrophobic residues were shown to be involved in DREAM interactions with K\(\alpha\)-4 channels and possibly with other intracellular partners. For example, the structure of the KChIP1–Kv4.3 complex reveals two binding sites for the T1 domain; the first binding site on KChIP1 is formed by a hydrophobic cavity extending from the N-terminal domain to the C-terminal domain. The second binding site has a more polar character and contains residues located at the N-terminal domain (Scannevin et al. 2004). In addition, the functional properties of the KChIP-K\(\alpha\)-4 complexes have been observed to be modulated by association of small hydrophobic molecules, such as arachidonic acid (Holmqvist et al. 2001) and NS5806 (Witzel, Fischer & Bähring 2012).

Considering the multifunctional role of DREAM in neuronal cells and its interactions with diverse intracellular targets, we have investigated the structural transitions induced by Ca\(^{2+}\) and/or Mg\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM. In particular, we are interested in identifying the hydrophobic binding sites that may be involved in stabilization of DREAM oligomers, specific recognition of intracellular partners, and providing binding sites for small hydrophobic molecules. Using the extrinsic fluorescent probes 8-anilino 1-naphthalene sulfonate (1,8-ANS) and 6-anilino 2-naphthalene sulfonate (2,6-ANS), both of which are highly sensitive to their immediate environment, two distinct hydrophobic sites with high affinity for ANS probes were identified. These sites were located at the C-terminal domain and were characterized using time-resolved fluorescence and docking...
studies. In addition, 1,8-ANS displacement studies show that site 2 located between helix 7, 8, and 10 serves as a binding site for arachidonic acid and possibly for other hydrophobic modulators of K,4:KChIP complexes. The identification of high affinity hydrophobic sites on DREAM surface may provide the structural insight necessary for development of small molecules for modulation of DREAM interactions with other proteins.

3.2 Results

3.2.1 Ca\(^{2+}\) triggers changes in DREAM surface hydrophobicity

**Figure 3.1.** Normalized fluorescence emission spectra of 1,8-ANS (left panel) and 2,6-ANS (right panel) bound to DREAM. Conditions: 40 µM DREAM in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 and 1 mM DTT. The excitation wavelength was 350 nm and 319 nm for 1,8-ANS and 2,6-ANS, respectively.
Figure 3.2 Calcium titration to 7 μM DREAM in the presence of 10 μM 1,8-ANS. Calcium titration into Mg$^{2+}$ bound DREAM (squares) and apostaite DREAM (circles). Samples were prepared in 20mM Tris pH 7.4, 100mM NaCl, and 1mM DTT which was decalsified by passing through an equilibrated CHELEX resin column (BioRad) and delivered into a decalsified 1cmx1cm quartz cuvette. The average of three trials is shown, and nonlinear fit with a Hill equation (solid lines) yields $K_d = 8.0 \pm 0.9$ μM with a Hill coefficient of $n=1.22$.

The ANS emission intensity increases in the presence of DREAM due to ANS association to the protein, a further increase in intensity is observed upon Ca$^{2+}$ addition to both apo- and Mg$^{2+}$DREAM indicating that ANS binds to hydrophobic cavities on the DREAM surface (Figure 3.1). The identical emission spectra for 1,8-ANS bound to Ca$^{2+}$DREAM and Mg$^{2+}$Ca$^{2+}$DREAM suggest that the change in surface polarity due to Ca$^{2+}$ binding to apo or Mg$^{2+}$ DREAM are identical. On the other hand, association of Mg$^{2+}$ to apoDREAM leads to a small but reproducible increase in the 1,8–ANS emission compared to the apoform. This change is consistent with a more stable tertiary structure of Mg$^{2+}$ bound DREAM, which may induce slight changes of the ANS binding sites (Osawa et al. 2005). Nonetheless the hydrophobic core is not fully exposed in the Mg$^{2+}$ bound form of the protein. The results for 2,6-ANS are consistent with those obtained using 1,8-ANS probe. We further observes that ANS association to DREAM does not impair the binding of Ca$^{2+}$, since the $K_d$ value determined for Ca$^{2+}$ association to DREAM-ANS complexes,
$K_d = 8.0 \pm 0.9 \, \mu M$ (Figure 3.2) is comparable to that determined by ITC, $K_d = 1 - 10 \, \mu M$ (Osawa et al. 2005).
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**Figure 3.3.** Titration of 10 µM 1,8-ANS by apoDREAM (squares), Mg$^{2+}$DREAM (circles), Ca$^{2+}$DREAM (up-triangles), and Mg$^{2+}$Ca$^{2+}$DREAM (down triangles). The solid lines correspond to the fit of experimental data.

Affinity of the ANS probes for DREAM was determined by conducting a series of titrations, with ANS titrated into a constant amount of protein (data not shown) or with protein titrated into a constant amount of ANS (Figure 3.3). Both approaches provided identical $K_d$ values, within the experimental error. The titration curve shown in Figure 3.3 were analyzed using a single binding site model according to eqn. 2.7.1. Approximately three times higher affinity for 1,8-ANS was observed for Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM (Table 3.1) than in the apoform or in the presence of Mg$^{2+}$. The affinity of 2,6-ANS for apoDREAM ($K_{dss} \sim 330 \, \mu M$) is significantly lower than that for 1,8-ANS but it is also promoted by Ca$^{2+}$ association to DREAM ($K_{dss} \sim 154 \, \mu M$) (Figure 3.4). Dynamic light scattering and gel filtration studies have shown that in the absence of calcium, DREAM adopts a tetrameric...
conformation whereas Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound DREAM forms dimers (Carrion et al. 1999, Osawa et al. 2001).

### Table 3.1 Equilibrium dissociation constants for 1,8-ANS binding to DREAM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DREAM</th>
<th>Kd\textsubscript{ss} (µM)\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>n\textsubscript{SS}\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>Kd\textsubscript{α1} (µM)\textsuperscript{c}</th>
<th>Kd\textsubscript{α2} (µM)\textsuperscript{c}</th>
<th>Kd\textsubscript{tetramer} (µM)</th>
<th>Kd\textsubscript{dimer} (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apo</td>
<td>195 ± 20</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>235 ± 36</td>
<td>158 ± 27</td>
<td>46 ± 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>198 ± 28</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>217 ± 20</td>
<td>131 ± 30</td>
<td>59 ± 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>62 ± 4</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>68 ± 13</td>
<td>63 ± 12</td>
<td>31 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg\textsuperscript{2+}Ca\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>60 ± 3</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>107 ± 16</td>
<td>49 ± 30</td>
<td>30 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Dissociation constants calculated from steady-state fluorescence. (b) Errors are less than 0.1 units. (c) Calculated from fluorescence decay parameters α\textsubscript{1} and α\textsubscript{2}.

**Figure 3.4.** Titration of 2,6-ANS by DREAM. The concentration of 2,6-ANS was kept constant (10 µM) and emission was collected from 405 nm to 600 nm using excitation wavelength of 319 nm. The data were corrected for scattering as described in Material and Methods section. The solid lines correspond to the fit of experimental data using a hill equation.

The dissociation constants show a stronger ANS binding to DREAM monomer in the dimeric Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound form than in the tetrameric Ca\textsuperscript{2+} free form of the protein. The increased accessibility of ANS binding sites could be due to a conformational change in each
monomer and/or due to the tetramer dissociation into individual dimers. The equilibrium constants were also determined by plotting the ANS emission intensity as a function of the tetramer concentration (for apo- and Mg$^{2+}$DREAM) and dimer concentration (for Ca$^{2+}$- and Ca$^{2+}$Mg$^{2+}$DREAM) (Table 3.1). The affinity of the DREAM dimer for 1,8-ANS is approximately two times larger than that of the DREAM tetramer which is consistent with an increased accessibility of the ANS binding sites upon tetramer dissociation into the individual dimers.

3.2.2 Ca$^{2+}$ association to the EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 increases accessibility of ANS binding sites

![Graph](image.png)

**Figure 3.5:** Frequency-domain intensity decay of ANS bound to apoDREAM (squares), and Mg$^{2+}$ (circles), Ca$^{2+}$ (up-triangle), and Mg$^{2+}$Ca$^{2+}$ (down triangle). Phase delay is shown as empty symbols, modulation ratio as solid symbols and the solid lines represent the calculated fitting curves. Protein concentration was 47.5 µM with 30 µM 1,8-ANS or 30µM 2,6-ANS.

The lifetimes for 1,8-ANS and 2,6-ANS DREAM complexes were determined using frequency domain fluorometry (Figure 3.5), and the decay parameters are summarized in Table 3.2. The lifetime of 1,8-ANS in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 was measured to be 0.27 ns with a minor fraction (~ 2%) of a 4 ns lifetime due to the non-planar excited state decay
(Upadhyay et al. 1995, Someya, Yui 2010). The lifetime of 2.6-ANS was determined to be 0.35 ns in agreement with a previously determined value (León et al. 2009).

In the presence of DREAM(Δ65), two additional lifetimes were resolved and attributed to ANS bound to at least two binding sites with distinct polarity. Heterogeneous binding is common and was reported for other ANS-protein systems (Parul et al. 2000, Togashi, Ryder 2008, Gasymov, Glasgow 2007). We associate the longer lifetime (~ 16 ns) to 1,8-ANS bound to a nonpolar solvent restricted binding site, labeled site 2, that promotes the decay of the excited state by populating the long lived non-planar conformation of 1,8-ANS (Upadhyay et al. 1995). The faster lifetime can be attributed to the 1,8-ANS probe bound to a partially solvent exposed binding surface, site 1, which facilitates rotation of the ANS aniline group into a lower energy planar configuration with respect to the naphthalene group (Gao, Mammen & Whitesides 1996).

### Table 3.2 Decay parameters for ANS–DREAM complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1,8-ANS</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>2,6-ANS</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>τ₁(ns)</td>
<td>α₁(%)</td>
<td>τ₂(ns)</td>
<td>α₂(%)</td>
<td>τ₁(ns)</td>
<td>α₁(%)</td>
<td>τ₂(ns)</td>
<td>α₂(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apo</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>16.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>22.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>10.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>39.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>13.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>16.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>26.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>42.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>41.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>10.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>60.0 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺Ca²⁺</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>17.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>44.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>56.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>17.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>41.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>34.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>10.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>60.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>56.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺Ca²⁺</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>56.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>34.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data recovered from Globals software using a sum of three discrete exponential decays with fixed 1,8-ANS lifetime (τ₀ = 0.27 ns) and 2,6-ANS lifetime (τ₀ = 0.35 ns). Phase and modulation errors were set at ≤0.2⁰ and ≤0.002 respectively.

The fluorescence decay parameters are also sensitive to structural changes associated with Ca²⁺ binding to DREAM(Δ65). 1,8-ANS bound to Ca²⁺Mg²⁺ or
Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(Δ65) shows a small increase in its long lifetime and almost twofold increase in the pre-exponential parameter \(\alpha_2\) compared to the apoprotein or Mg\(^{2+}\)DREAM(Δ65). The fact that the individual 1,8-ANS lifetimes are not significantly altered by Ca\(^{2+}\) association to DREAM(Δ65) is consistent with 1,8-ANS binding to identical binding sites in the Ca\(^{2+}\) free and Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM(Δ65). The small increase of the longer lifetime, \(\tau_2\), indicates that Ca\(^{2+}\) induced structural changes in the vicinity of site 2 further restrict the rotation of the aniline ring. We associate the increase in the pre-exponential factors (Table 3.2) with an increased accessibility of the ANS binding sites due to Ca\(^{2+}\) triggered change in the DREAM(Δ65) monomer structure and concomitant tetramer dissociation into dimers. Minor changes in the lifetime or pre-exponential factor values were measured for Mg\(^{2+}\) addition to either apoDREAM(Δ65) or Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM(Δ65), supporting the idea that Mg\(^{2+}\) association to DREAM(Δ65) does not significantly alter surface hydrophobicity and the structural changes due to Mg\(^{2+}\) association are localized in the vicinity of the EF-2. Two lifetimes were also resolved for 2,6-ANS bound to apoDREAM(Δ65) (Table 3.2) in agreement with two different binding environments for hydrophobic ANS probes. The increase in the pre-exponential parameters for 2,6-ANS-Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(Δ65) complexes is smaller compared to those for 1,8-ANS-Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(Δ65) complexes. This is expected since the elongated structure of 2,6-ANS could represent a larger steric hindrance for binding to hydrophobic pockets on the protein surface.

The phase and modulation data presented in Figure 3.5 were also analyzed using a phasor approach (Figure 3.6) (James et al. 2011). The resulting phasor plots clearly show a significant change in the signal between ANS in Tris buffer solution and in the presence
of apoDREAM and Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM. Interestingly, a small difference was observed between experimental data for apoDREAM(Δ65) and Mg$^{2+}$DREAM(Δ65); this shift is consistent with the small increase in the emission intensity due to the Mg$^{2+}$ binding to DREAM(Δ65). The phasor plot for 2,6-ANS bound to DREAM(Δ65) shows similar results as those observed for 1,8-ANS DREAM(Δ65) complex (data not shown).

**Figure 3.6.** Phasor plot representation of frequency domain data for 1,8-ANS (solid symbols) and 2,6-ANS (open symbols) bound to by apoDREAM (squares), Mg$^{2+}$DREAM (circles), Ca$^{2+}$DREAM (up-triangles), and Mg$^{2+}$Ca$^{2+}$DREAM (down triangles). Conditions: 64 µM DREAM and 30 µM ANS, modulation frequency ($\omega$) was 70 MHz.

The pre-exponential parameters ($\alpha_0$, $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$) corrected by their quantum yields correlate with the concentration of unbound ANS ($\alpha_0$) and ANS associated to individual binding sites ($\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$) with lifetimes $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$, respectively. These pre-exponential factors can be used to calculate the fraction of ANS bound to site 1 and site 2 (Robinson et al. 1978, Kosower, Kanety 1983) and determine ANS binding constants for individual binding sites. Since titrations of DREAM into 28 µM ANS solution resulted in very small deviations in individual lifetimes (< 0.5 ns), the lifetime data obtained at different DREAM(Δ65) concentrations were analyzed using the global analysis approach, i.e. the lifetimes corresponding to ANS bound to protein were treated as linked variables and the lifetime for unbound ANS was fixed. The plots of $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$ as a function of
Ca$^{2+}$DREAM($\Delta$65) concentration are shown in Figure 3.7 and the recovered dissociation constants are presented in Table 3.1.

**Figure 3.7.** Titration curves for 1,8-ANS binding to site 1 and site 2 of Ca$^{2+}$DREAM. The concentration of the free 1,8-ANS bound to site 1 ($\tau_1 \sim 6$ ns) and binding site 2 ($\tau_2 \sim 17$ ns) was determined based on the pre-exponential parameters $\alpha_0$, $\alpha_1$, and $\alpha_2$. The solid line corresponds to the fit using a Hill equation.

**Figure 3.8.** Titration of 28.2 $\mu$M 1,8-ANS by DREAM using pre-exponential factors. The concentration of ANS bound to site 1 ($a$) and site 2 ($b$) was determined based on the pre-exponential parameters $\alpha_0$, $\alpha_1$, and $\alpha_2$.

The plots for the titrations of apoDREAM, Mg$^{2+}$DREAM and Ca$^{2+}$Mg$^{2+}$DREAM are provided in Figure 3.8. Interestingly, the binding constants for 1,8-ANS binding to apoDREAM are similar with $K_d = 235$ $\mu$M for site 1 and $K_d = 158$ $\mu$M for site 2. The Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM exhibits an approximately 2-3 times increase in affinity for 1,8-ANS.
binding to both sites which is in agreement with the overall binding constants determined in steady-state emission measurements.

Figure 3.9. Differential angle and modulation ratio data for 1,8-ANS (left) and 2,6-ANS (right) in complex with DREAM. The solid lines correspond to the NLLS fit of the data as described in the text. Conditions were the same as in Figure 3.5.

3.2.3 Changes in DREAM oligomerization

We have taken further advantage of the long fluorescence lifetime of 1,8-ANS and 2,6-ANS DREAM complexes by monitoring the changes in DREAM oligomerization by probing the rotational diffusion of the ANS-DREAM complexes in time-resolved anisotropy measurements. The frequency-domain anisotropic decay of ANS-DREAM complexes in the presence of Mg^{2+} and/or Ca^{2+} are shown in Figure 3.9. Results from the non-linear least square analysis of the differential angle and amplitude ratio are listed in Table 3.3. The differential angle plots show a transition towards negative values at high modulation frequencies. This is characteristic for complexes with a fluorophore located in dissimilar environments with distinct depolarization contributions (Szmacinski et al. 1987). Under our experimental conditions, ANS is bound to at least two binding sites on
the surface of DREAM, while residual unbound ANS also contributes to the observed signal.

Nearly identical experimental data were observed for 1,8-ANS bound to Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM and Ca\(^{2+}\) Mg\(^{2+}\)DREAM, whereas data for 1,8-ANS-Mg\(^{2+}\)DREAM complex shows minor deviations from 1,8-ANS bound to apoDREAM. Non-linear analyses of experimental data yielded satisfactory \(\chi^2\) values only when a triple associative decay model was used with the rotational lifetime for freely rotating 1,8-ANS or 2,6-ANS being fixed at \(\Phi_0 = 0.105\) ns. This value was determined independently and is consistent with the value of 0.092 ns reported previously (Szmacinski et al. 1987). In addition to the rotational correlation time for unbound 1,8-ANS or 2,6-ANS, two rotational correlation times, \(\Phi_1\) and \(\Phi_2\), were resolved and are associated with a global and a local motion of the ANS-DREAM complexes, respectively, and are reported in Table 3.3. The rotational lifetimes of 1,8-ANS

| Table 3.3. Anisotropy decay parameters of 1,8-ANS-DREAM(Δ65) and 2,6-ANS-DREAM(Δ65) |
|----------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1,8-ANS                                | \(\Phi_1\) (ns) | \(f_1\) | \(\Phi_2\) (ns) | \(f_2\) | \(\chi^2\) |
| apo                                    | 55.0 ± 6.1 | 0.29   | 13.9 ± 0.6 | 0.38   | 1.15    |
| Mg\(^{2+}\)                            | 57.6 ± 5.8 | 0.25   | 12.3 ± 0.4 | 0.38   | 0.96    |
| Ca\(^{2+}\)                            | 26.4 ± 0.7 | 0.48   | 6.7 ± 0.4  | 0.17   | 0.99    |
| Mg\(^{2+}\)Ca\(^{2+}\)                | 23.8 ± 0.5 | 0.51   | 5.2 ± 0.4  | 0.11   | 1.16    |
| 2,6-ANS                                | \(\Phi_1\) (ns) | \(f_1\) | \(\Phi_2\) (ns) | \(f_2\) | \(\chi^2\) |
| apo                                    | 55.8 ± 7.7 | 0.20   | 9.4 ± 1.7  | 0.39   | 0.83    |
| Mg\(^{2+}\)                            | 54.9 ± 6.9 | 0.13   | 12.1 ± 1.9 | 0.39   | 0.97    |
| Ca\(^{2+}\)                            | 22.3 ± 1.5 | 0.69   | 5.7 ± 2.4  | 0.23   | 1.17    |
| Mg\(^{2+}\)Ca\(^{2+}\)                | 21.8 ± 1.2 | 0.43   | 6.9 ± 2.1  | 0.15   | 1.71    |

Conditions: 40 µM ANS and 53 µM DREAM(Δ65), rotational correlation for unbound ANS was fixed, \(\Phi_0 = 0.105\) ns. (a) Recovered rotational correlation lifetime. (b) Recovered fractional depolarization, errors are less than 0.05 units.
bound to DREAM closely matches those for 2,6-ANS, confirming that the measured correlation times reflect properties of the macromolecule. The rotational correlation times $\Phi_1 \sim 56$ ns and $\Phi_2 \sim 13$ ns are similar for apoDREAM and Mg$^{2+}$DREAM complexes with the fractional depolarizations, $f_1$ and $f_2$ accounting for 29% and 38% of the total depolarization. Upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding, the longer rotational correlation time decreases to $\sim 26$ ns for Ca$^{2+}$DREAM and to $\sim 24$ ns for Ca$^{2+}$Mg$^{2+}$DREAM complexes and accounts for $\sim 50\%$ of the anisotropy decay. The faster rotational time, $\Phi_2$, reaches 6.7 ns and 5.2 ns for 1,8-ANS bound to Ca$^{2+}$DREAM and Ca$^{2+}$Mg$^{2+}$DREAM, respectively. Analogous values were determined for the 2,6-ANS-DREAM complexes (Table 3.3).

The experimentally determined rotational correlation times can be compared to expected values for a spherical protein calculated by using the Stokes-Einstein equation ($\Phi_{1\text{calc}} = \eta V / RT$) (Lakowicz 2010) where $\eta$ is the viscosity of water ($\eta = 1.02$ cP) (Korson, Drost-Hansen & Millero 1969), $V$ is the hydrodynamic molecular volume, $R$ is the ideal gas constant, and $T$ is the temperature (20° C). Based on the molecular mass of 23 kDa for truncated mouse DREAM, and accounting for protein hydration we estimate the rotational correlation time for DREAM tetramer and dimer to be 48 ns and 24 ns, respectively. The rotational correlation time observed for Ca$^{2+}$DREAM and Ca$^{2+}$Mg$^{2+}$DREAM matches well the estimated rotational time for the DREAM dimer confirming that Ca$^{2+}$ binding to either apoDREAM or Mg$^{2+}$DREAM stabilizes the protein in its dimeric form. The measured correlation rotational time for apo- and Mg$^{2+}$DREAM, $\Phi_1 \sim 56$ ns, indicates that in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$, the protein adopts a tetrameric form. The observed rotational correlation times are somehow larger than the rotational correlation time calculated for the DREAM tetramer and the discrepancy between the observed and calculated values indicates that the
spherical model approximation applied here may not accurately describe the properties of the DREAM tetramer.

![Figure 3.10](image)

**Figure 3.10.** Fractional depolarization recovered from NLLS analysis of the anisotropy decay of 1,8-ANS-DREAM complexes at different protein concentration, with 28.2µM 1,8-ANS. The rotational correlation times (Φ) were fixed to those shown on Table 3 for the dimer, tetramer, and free dye; while the fractional depolarization and limiting anisotropy were the variable parameters. In all cases the global chi squared was less than 2, the phase and modulation error set to ≤0.2 and ≤0.002, respectively.

To probe the impact of protein concentration on the DREAM oligomerization state, we have determined $f_1$ and $\Phi_1$ values for the 1,8-ANS–Mg$^{2+}$DREAM(Δ65) and 1,8-ANS–Ca$^{2+}$DREAM(Δ65) complex in the protein concentration range from 5 µM to 300 µM (Figure 3.10). Both values remain constant with $\Phi_1 = 58$ ns confirming that Mg$^{2+}$DREAM(Δ65) retains tetrameric conformation in a broad concentration range and that the tetramer dissociation into individual dimers and/or monomers occurs at sub-micromolar concentration. On the other hand, the time-resolved anisotropy study of Ca$^{2+}$DREAM(Δ65) as a function of protein concentration revealed an additional rotational correlation time that corresponds to the rotational correlation time of the tetramer (~55 ns) appearing at DREAM(Δ65) concentrations above 170 µM. These data indicate that the K_d value for the
Ca\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM(Δ65) dimer to Ca\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM(Δ65) tetramer transition occurs around 170 µM DREAM(Δ65). This is consistent with pre-exponential parameters recovered from ANS titration data showing a decrease in ANS affinity at Ca\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM(Δ65) concentration above 170 µM (data not shown).

The shorter rotational correlation time, Φ\textsubscript{2} ~ 6 ns determined for Ca\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM(Δ65) and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}Mg\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM(Δ65), is too short to be attributed to the DREAM(Δ65) monomer (Φ\textsuperscript{calc} = 12 ns). Considering the molecular mass of the C-terminal domain to be 12 kDa and the location of ANS binding sites on the C-terminal domain of the protein (see below) we associate this rotational correlation time to the local motion of an isolated C-terminal domain of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM(Δ65). In the case of apoDREAM(Δ65) and Mg\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM(Δ65), the value of Φ\textsubscript{2} was determined to be similar, 14 ns and 12 ns, respectively. This value may reflect either the presence of the monomeric form of apoDREAM or Mg\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM(Δ65) in addition to the tetramer or it may indicate the slower rotation of the C-terminal domain in the DREAM(Δ65) tetramer. DREAM(Δ65) was detected as a monomer, dimer and tetramer in the nuclear extract, and as a dimer and tetramer in cytosolic extract using Western blot (Buxbaum et al. 1998). On the other hand, dynamic light scattering studies revealed that apoDREAM(Δ65) adopts a tetrameric form in the absence and presence of DRE sequence (Osawa et al. 2001). Thus we associate the slower rotational correlation time observed for both, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} free DREAM(Δ65) to the local motion of the C-terminal domain. This motion becomes slower in the tetrameric form of DREAM(Δ65) due to the interaction of the individual monomers.
3.2.4 Identification of ANS binding sites

In order to identify the ANS binding site(s) we have performed ligand docking simulations using AutoDock software version 4.2 (Morris et al. 1998). The NMR structure of Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM(Δ75) was used in the simulations and treated as a static macromolecule whereas ANS ligands were treated as flexible molecules. Dockings were conducted with binding grids covering the whole protein surface to include all possible binding sites. The ANS binding sites were selected based on the lowest binding energy as well as on favorable ANS interactions with protein such as the presence of hydrogen bonds between the sulfonate group and amino acids or hydrophobic interactions. Additional low affinity sites identified in docking simulations represent nonspecific ANS binding and are unlikely to be populated under experimental concentrations.

Two sites for 1,8-ANS and for 2,6-ANS were identified in the C-terminal domain and one site was found at the interface of the EF-hand 1 and EF-hand 2 (Figure 3.11 and Figure 3.12). In the case of 1,8-ANS one site is located between the entering and exiting helix of EF-hand 4, and shows a high affinity (Figure 3.11). This site is buried within the protein matrix and 1,8-ANS is surrounded by several hydrophobic residues (Try174, Ile182, Ile190, Ile194, Val215, Phe218, and Phe252). In addition to hydrophobic residues, three polar residues (M187, M191, and H214) form the binding site. The ANS sulfonate group points towards the solvent and forms a hydrogen bond with H214.

The second binding site was identified at the interface of EF-3 and EF-4. This site is more polar and the ANS molecule is surrounded by V163, L167, C239, N247 and Q250 and the ANS sulfonate group forms a salt bridge with K166. The third binding site identified in the docking study partially overlays with the solvent exposed hydrophobic
cavity between EF-2 and EF-1. However, in the model structure of DREAM dimer this hydrophobic cavity is part of the dimerization interface and may not be accessible for 1,8-ANS binding. Identical binding sites were found for 2,6-ANS (Figure 3.12).

**Figure 3.11.** Position of three docking site for 1,8-ANS on the surface of Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM as predicted using AutoDock 4.2 (Panel A). The binding sites were found in the vicinity of EF-4 (panel B, left), at the interface between EF-3 and EF-4 (panel B, middle) and at the hydrophobic crevice between the EF-1 and EF-2 (panel B, right). Cartoon representation of monomeric calcium bound DREAM (pdb: 2JUL) with EF-1 shown in green, EF-2 in orange, EF-3 in blue and EF-4 in red while calcium is shown as solid spheres and 1,8-ANS as licorice model.
Figure 3.12. Position of three docking site for 2,6-ANS on the surface of Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM as predicted using AutoDock 4.2 (Panel A). The binding sites were found in the vicinity of EF-4 (panel B, top), at the interface between EF-3 and EF-4 (panel B, middle) and at the hydrophobic crevice between the EF-1 and EF-2 (panel B, bottom).

3.2.5 RET from Trp to 1,8-ANS

Taking advantage of the spectral overlap between the emission spectrum of Trp169 and the absorption spectrum of 1,8-ANS (Figure 3.13), the distance between the 1,8-ANS binding sites and Trp residue can be determined based on the efficiency of the Förster energy transfer between the excited Trp169 donor and the 1,8-ANS acceptor. The emission intensity of Trp 169 is efficiently quenched upon addition of 1,8-ANS (Figure 3.14). The FRET efficiencies at saturating 1,8-ANS concentrations were determined to be ~0.97 for both, apoDREAM:1,8-ANS complex and the Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM: 1,8-ANS complex. Using Eq. 2.7.3 the Förster distance, \(R_o\), between Trp169 in Ca\(^{2+}\) free and Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM and 1,8-ANS was determined to be 25 Å.
Figure 3.13. Normalized absorption spectrum of 1,8-ANS bound to Ca\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM, normalized emission spectrum of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM and the overlap integral for the Trp169 - 1,8-ANS FRET pair.

Based on the FRET efficiency and accounting for the degree of flexibility of both 1,8-ANS and Trp 169 (Eq. 2.7.2 and Figure 3.14), we estimate the distance between 1,8-ANS and Trp169 to be between 8 Å and 16 Å, which matches well the position of the 1,8-ANS sites determined in docking studies. The flexibility of ANS and Trp 169 was determined from the steady state anisotropy and the limiting anisotropy as described in Figure 3.14. Notably, the Eff\textsubscript{50} value matches the value of K\textsubscript{d} for 1,8-ANS binding to apo- and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM suggesting that the FRET efficiency is determined by the 1,8-ANS site occupancy. Also the similar distance between bound 1,8-ANS and the Trp residue in apo and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM indicates that the size and overall shape of DREAM monomer is similar in the apo and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound form of the protein.
Figure 3.14. (Top left) Quenching of the DREAM fluorescence emission by addition of 1,8-ANS (Top right) resulting efficiency plot calculated using the decrease in fluorescence emission at 328 nm as a function of 1,8-ANS concentration. Protein concentration was kept at 53 µM and aliquots containing 53 µM protein and 260µM 1,8-ANS were added to the solution. (Bottom left) Equations used for the analysis of the ANS-W169 distances, where \( r_i \) represents the steady state anisotropy of the fluorophore in the absence of rotational diffusion and \( r_0 \) represents the limiting anisotropy determined from the modulated anisotropy extrapolated a high frequencies. (Bottom right) Steady state anisotropy determined and limiting anisotropy determined for the ANS-W169 FRET pair used to calculate the lower and upper limits for the kappa squared parameter.

3.2.6 Hydrophobic probe displacement studies

To determine if the hydrophobic sites occupied by ANS molecules can serve as binding sites for small hydrophobic modulators of \( K_v \) channels:KChIP complexes, the emission intensity of 1,8-ANS:DREAM(Δ65) complex was monitored upon addition of arachidonic acid. Addition of the fatty acid results in a decrease in the emission intensity in \( Ca^{2+} \)DREAM(Δ65) and in apoDREAM(Δ65), although to a smaller extent in the apoform (Figure 3.15). Also, the emission maximum of 1,8-ANS:DREAM(Δ65) complex
undergoes a bathochromic shift from 467 nm to 475 nm suggesting that the arachidonic acid preferentially displaces 1,8-ANS bound to a hydrophobic site 2. This was confirmed by the docking studies which show that the fatty acid molecule is positioned between α-helices 7, 8, and 9 with the carboxy group oriented towards the guanidino group of Arg217 (Figure 3.15c). The titration curves were analyzed using a competitive binding model (Epps, Raub & Kezdy 1995). Arachidonic acid binds to Ca^{2+}-DREAM with a dissociation constant of 19 ± 1 μM, whereas an approximately 10 time smaller affinity was determined for arachidonic acid association to the apo protein (K_{i} =160 μM).

Figure 3.15: Decrease in the emission intensity of 1,8-ANS bound to Ca^{2+}-DREAM upon addition of arachidonic acid (a). The change in 1,8-ANS fluorescence intensity as a function of arachidonic acid concentration. The solid line corresponds to the fit of the experimental data using a single binding site model (solid line) (b). The position of the docking site for arachidonic acid in the C-terminal domain of DREAM (c).

3.3 Discussion

In consideration of its multifunctional role in neuronal tissue, DREAM represents a unique model to study the mechanism of calcium signal transduction by neuronal calcium sensors. Unlike other members of the NCS family, DREAM adopts distinct oligomerization states depending on the Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} occupation of the EF-hands and possibly on DREAM interactions with other intracellular proteins. Fluorescence properties of hydrophobic probes such as 1,8-ANS and 2,6-ANS offer several advantages in studying conformational changes in proteins that undergo oligomerization. Besides the traditional
application of ANS probes to identify putative hydrophobic binding sites on the protein surface, the long fluorescence lifetime of ANS-protein complexes allows a direct characterization of the DREAM oligomerization by probing the rotational correlation time in time-resolved anisotropy studies.

Previous studies reported that DREAM forms a monomer, dimer, and tetramer in nuclear extracts, and as a dimer and tetramer in cytosolic extract (Carrion et al. 1999). In vitro, Ca\(^{2+}\) association to EF-hands stabilizes the dimeric form of DREAM (Osawa et al. 2001); however, a discrepancy about the oligomeric state of Mg\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM remains. Initially, Carrion et al. proposed that in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\), DREAM forms a stable tetramer with a high affinity for DNA (Carrion et al. 1999). On the other hand, using size exclusion chromatography, Ames et al. have reported that Mg\(^{2+}\) stabilizes DREAM in the monomeric form and that the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\) facilitates the specific recognition of the DRE sequence (Osawa et al. 2005). The long fluorescence lifetime of ANS:DREAM complexes enable us to probe the DREAM oligomerization state in solution as a function of protein concentration. The time-resolved anisotropy data presented here demonstrate that Mg\(^{2+}\)DREAM forms a stable tetramer at the concentrations range from 8 \(\mu\)M to 230 \(\mu\)M and the Mg\(^{2+}\)DREAM tetramer dissociates into dimers or monomers at concentrations below 8 \(\mu\)M indicating a strong affinity between the individual dimers or monomers of Mg\(^{2+}\)DREAM. Indeed, attempts to separate Mg\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM tetramer into individual monomers or dimers using low concentration of denaturant (up to 1M GuHCl), high salt concentrations (up to 200 mM), or a temperature increase (up to 33°C) were unsuccessful.

The concentration of free Mg\(^{2+}\) in the nuclei and cytoplasm of neuronal cells was determined to be \(\sim\) 110 \(\mu\)M and close to 1 mM, respectively (Gotoh et al. 1999).
Considering the $K_d$ value for $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ binding to DREAM of 13 $\mu$M (Osawa et al. 2005), *in vivo* $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ would constitutively bind to DREAM in the cytoplasm as well as in the nuclei and stabilizes its tetrameric structure.

Using fluorescence and computational studies, two ANS binding sites of comparable affinity were identified in the DREAM C-terminal domain; namely, in the vicinity of EF-hand 4 (site 2) and between EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 (site 1). The C-terminal domain carries both $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ binding EF-hands in DREAM and other KChIPs and this domain was reported to be essential for the KChIP1 binding to phosphatidylserine as well as for protein association to the membrane (Liao, Chen & Chang 2009). In addition, the crystal structure of $\text{K}_{v}4.3$ channel in complex with KChIP-1 reveals that the site 1 of the T1 domain of the $\text{K}_{v}4.3$ channel interacts with several hydrophobic residues in the C-terminal domain of KChIP-1 including Tyr144, Ile150, Ile154 and Tyr155 (Pioletti et al. 2006) and the replacement of Tyr144 by Glu prevents KChIP1 association to $\text{K}_{v}4.3$ channels (Wang et al. 2007). Tyrosine 144 in KChIP1 is analogous to Tyr174 in DREAM, an amino acid which we found to be in direct contact with 1,8-ANS bound at the hydrophobic site. This observation confirms the role of the hydrophobic site 2 (Figure 3.11) in interactions with the N-terminus of $\text{K}_{v}4$ channels. Interestingly, the 1,8-ANS displacement study shows that arachidonic acid binds to the hydrophobic site 2 between helix 7, 8 and 10 in the C-terminal domain in presence of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$. Previously it was shown that arachidonic acid modulates inactivation kinetics of $\text{K}_{v}4$ channels in complex with KChIPs (Boland, Drzewiecki 2008). Both the N-terminus of the T1 domain and arachidonic acid bind at the hydrophobic crevice at the C-terminus of DREAM. We hypothesize that the association of arachidonic acid to the hydrophobic C-terminus of
KChIPs triggers reorientation of the contacts between the T1 domain and the KChIP leading to modulation of the inactivation kinetics.

**Figure 3.16.** A cartoon model depicting DREAM interactions with Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ ions and arachidonic acid. Association of the Mg$^{2+}$ ions to apoDREAM tetramer does not lead to substantial changes in DREAM structure (step 1). Subsequent binding of Ca$^{2+}$ to EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 promotes tetramer dissociation and concomitant exposure of the hydrophobic cavity located in the C-terminal domain by repositioning of the C-terminal α-helix (step 2) allowing binding of the arachidonic acid to the C-terminus hydrophobic cavity (step 3).

To understand the mechanism of the Ca$^{2+}$ triggered increased occupancy of the site 2 by hydrophobic molecules, we have overlaid the structure of Ca$^{2+}$-DREAM with the structure of recoverin in its apoform (Tanaka et al. 1995). The C-terminal helix of recoverin partially restricts the solvent exposure of site 2 whereas this site is more solvent accessible in the Ca$^{2+}$ bound form of DREAM. We propose that a similar displacement of the C-terminal helix occurs in DREAM and thus concomitant reorganization of the C-terminal hydrophobic cavity contributes to the increase in 1,8-ANS and arachidonic acid binding to Ca$^{2+}$-DREAM. Since the hydrophobic cavity in the DREAM C-terminal domain serves as a binding sites for the N-terminus of the T1 domain, we propose that Ca$^{2+}$ induced exposure
of the hydrophobic cavity modulates interactions within the T1 domain: DREAM complex and such structural rearrangement represent an initial step in Ca\(^{2+}\) dependent regulation of current amplitudes and kinetics properties of Kv4- DREAM complexes (Zhou et al. 2004b). Also, the Ca\(^{2+}\) triggered increase in the exposure of the DREAM C-terminal hydrophobic cavity may control the affinity of DREAM for other small hydrophobic molecules such as NS5806 or CL-888 (Bowlby et al. 2005).

### 3.4 Summary

Members of the NCS family including DREAM share a cluster of highly conserved hydrophobic residues that form solvent exposed hydrophobic patches at the N-terminal domain and at the interface between the N- and C-terminal domains. The solvent exposure of hydrophobic patches regulated by Ca\(^{2+}\) association to the active EF-hands may represent a key factor for NCS interactions with target proteins. Based on the fluorescence lifetime data, two 1,8-ANS binding sites were identified in the apo and Ca\(^{2+}\) bound form of DREAM with site 2 being more accessible in Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM and Ca\(^{2+}\)Mg\(^{2+}\)DREAM compared to apo and Mg\(^{2+}\)DREAM. Unlike other NCS members, Ca\(^{2+}\) association to DREAM and possibly other KChIP proteins regulates solvent exposure of hydrophobic patches located on the C-terminal domain. Such limited exposure of the hydrophobic patches may represent a molecular mechanism used to fine tunes specificity for intracellular target proteins as well as small modulators of KChIP-K\(\text{v}4\) function.
4. REGULATION OF DREAM ACTIVITY BY THE NOVEL ITO CURRENT ACTIVATOR NS5806

4.1 Background and significance

Potassium is the most abundant ion in vertebrate cells, and its concentration is highly regulated by the coordinated activation and inactivation of Na⁺/K⁺ pumps and other K⁺ transporters, including voltage sensitive K⁺ channels. In excitable tissue such as brain and heart tissue, the higher intracellular concentration of K⁺ is used to restore the resting membrane potential after depolarization; this makes the regulation of K⁺ ions of utmost relevance to cell survival. Consequently, voltage-gated K⁺ channels are the most diverse and widespread cation-conducting membrane proteins, and have been found to be involved in numerous biological processes such as apoptosis (Szabò, Zoratti & Gullbins 2010), neuron signaling (Song et al. 1998), cell volume (Lang et al. 2007), and cardiac action potential (Niwa, Nerbonne 2010). In neurons and cardiomyocytes the Kv4 subfamily of voltage gated K⁺ channels regulate the fast-inactivating components of the I_{SA} and I_{TO} currents, respectively (Liss et al. 2001). These channels form octameric transmembrane complexes with accessory subunits such as potassium channel interacting proteins (KChIPs) (An et al. 2000), dipeptidyl-peptidases (DPPs) (Nadal et al. 2003), and Kvβ proteins (Martens, Kwak & Tamkun 1999). Interaction of KChIP2 with Kv4 channels in the heart results in an increase in current density, acceleration of recovery from inactivation, and slower inactivation kinetics (An et al. 2000). Similar effects on the biophysical properties of neural fast inactivating K⁺ currents have been observed for KChIP1 (Bourdeau et al. 2011) and KChIP3 (Witzel, Fischer & Bähring 2012). Even though the molecular structures of the Kv4 T1 domain in presence and absence of the regulatory KChIP1 is known, the mechanism by which these auxiliary proteins mediate channel gating remains unknown. Patch clamp studies using
heterologous expressed Kv4 channels highlight the relevance of the T1 domain in gating and mediating ancillary subunit interaction. Two regions on the Kv4 T1 domain (amino acids 7-11 “site 1” and 71-90 “site 2”) have been identified as being necessary for KChIP1 current regulation (Scannevin et al. 2004).

KChIP3 also known as DREAM or calsernilin is expressed in the brain/testis and heart and has been shown to interact with presenilin (Buxbaum et al. 1998), DNA (Carrion et al. 1999) and Kv4.3 (An et al. 2000). KChIP3DREAM contains four calcium binding domains “EF-hands”, of which EF-3/4 binds Ca$^{2+}$ with high affinity, EF-2 binds Mg$^{2+}$ preferentially, and EF-1 is inactive (Craig et al. 2002). Similarly to other KChIPs this protein contains a relatively polar surface while the opposite side is predominantly hydrophobic with a large nonpolar cavity (Lusin et al. 2008). The formation of the Kv4-KChIP complex has been shown to be necessary for proper regulation of the fast component of the ITO currents in the heart (Niwa, Nerbonne 2010) and I$_{SA}$ in the brain (Bourdeau et al. 2011). Demodulation of ITO currents is a characteristic trait in hypertrophied and failing hearts (Kääb et al. 1998) as well as in Brugada syndrome (Calloe et al. 2009). Normal functioning heart tissue displays an increasing ITO current density gradient from endocardium to epicardium, which has been shown to correlate with a transmural KChIPs density (Zicha et al. 2004). Studies using canine models of failing hearts have shown that heart failure results in a decrease of phase 1 repolarization due to a decrease in ITO current as well as a remodeling of the gating kinetics of this current (Cordeiro et al. 2012). Although numerous drugs have been shown to down regulate the amplitude or modify the gating kinetics of ITO current, drugs that directly upregulate the ITO current amplitude have not been reported.

Recently, Calloe et al. have introduced a new compound named NS5806 (Calloe et al. 2009) which was shown to modulate the ITO current kinetics and reverse the ITO current decrease induced
by heart failure in canine models (Callowe et al. 2010). Interestingly, this I_{TO} activator has also been shown to decrease I_{SA} currents in cultured hippocampal neurons where its effects on gating kinetics are comparable to those observed in heart tissue (Witzel, Fischer & Bähring 2012). Moreover, the induced potassium current modulation by NS5806 has been shown to depend on the presence of Kv4 auxiliary KChIP protein (Witzel, Fischer & Bähring 2012, Lundby et al. 2010).

In this study we investigate the mechanism of NS5806 I_{TO}/I_{SA} current regulation by determining the interaction between NS5806 and KChIP3 (herein referred as DREAM), and how this interaction modulates the DREAM-Kv4.3 association. We show that the I_{TO} activator NS5806 binds to the Kv4 auxiliary protein DREAM at the C-terminus near the calcium binding sites, EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4, with an affinity comparable to the previously determined EC50 for current potentiation. We also characterize the association constants between DREAM and peptide fragments of the T1 domain of Kv4.3 to show that calcium binding induces an increase in the affinity between DREAM the T1 domain of Kv4.3. In the presence of NS5806, the interaction between DREAM and the hydrophobic N-terminus of Kv4.3 is enhanced and the calcium dependency of this interaction is abolished. Furthermore, anisotropy data suggest that the complex between DREAM and the T1 domain adopts an altered quaternary structure in the presence of NS5806. Kinetic data indicates that NS5806 decreases the rate of dissociation between DREAM and site 1 of Kv4.3, which results in an overall increasing in affinity. These results support the idea that NS5806 binds at the interface between the hydrophobic N-terminus of Kv4.3 and the hydrophobic cavity at the C-terminus of DREAM and stabilizes the protein complex. These results are further supported by docking, molecular dynamic simulations and site directed mutagenesis.
Overall, the studies shown here provide a roadmap for the elucidation of the precise mechanism by which Kv4 current kinetics are regulated by KChIPs and NS5806.

**Figure 4.1.** (a) Fluorescence emission of 15 μM apoDREAM(Δ65) and 15 μM Ca$^{2+}$ DREAM(Δ65) in the absence and presence of 30 μM NS5806, λ$_{exc}$ = 295 nm. (b) Titration of DREAM(Δ65) with NS5806 by monitoring the change in tryptophan fluorescence in the apo and calcium bound form. The recovered dissociation constants were determined using Eqn. 2.8.1 (solid lines) and are listed in Table 4.1. (c) Average tryptophan fluorescence lifetime of 15 μM DREAM(Δ65) and 15 μM DREAM(Δ160) in the Ca$^{2+}$ bound state as a function of NS5806. Solid lines represent the best non-linear fit using a single site Hill equation.

**4.2 Results**

4.2.1 NS5806 binds at the C-terminus of DREAM

The Kv4 mediated current amplitude increase and slowing of inactivation induced by NS5806 have been reported to be enhanced or dependent on the presence of the auxiliary KChIP proteins (Witzel, Fischer & Bähring 2012, Lundby et al. 2010). In this study we probe whether this dependency is due to direct interaction between NS5806 and the neuronal calcium sensor DREAM(Δ65). The interaction of NS5806 with DREAM(Δ65) was initially characterized by monitoring the steady-state tryptophan fluorescence emission of DREAM(Δ65) upon addition of NS5806. The single tryptophan residue (Trp169) found in the DREAM(Δ65) protein is located at the interface between EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 2 and thus its emission may probe NS5806 association to either N- or C-terminal domains. Upon addition of two -fold excess of NS5806, a significant decrease in tryptophan emission intensity was observed (Figure 4.1a). The quenching
effect was present in the apo-state as well as in the calcium bound state of DREAM(Δ65). The absorption spectrum of NS5806 in 20 mM MOPS buffer shows an absorption peak at 340 nm with an extinction coefficient of $105 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$. This absorption band overlaps with the Trp169 emission spectrum suggesting that resonance energy transfer (RET) between Trp169 and NS5806 is responsible for the observed Trp emission quenching.

**Figure 4.2. (a)** Quenching of the tryptophan fluorescence of DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160)) in the apo-state as a function of NS5806, analyzed using a modified Stern-Volmer equation (inset). The linear fit recovered a slope of $45 \pm 2 \mu\text{M}$ and intercept of $1.0 \pm 0.2$ for DREAM(Δ65) and slope of $80 \pm 4 \mu\text{M}$ and intercept of $1.8 \pm 0.2$ for DREAM(Δ160). The inverse of the intercept for DREAM(Δ160) indicates that only 56 % of the tryptophan residues are quenched at saturating amounts of NS5806. After correction for the fraction quenched, both constructs result in identical dissociation constant values of ~$40 \mu\text{M}$ in the apoform. (b) The tryptophan fluorescence of 5 μM Zn$^{2+}$Kv4.3(1-152)-GST decrease due to binding of NS5806, inset shows the structural formula of NS5806. (c) Fluorescence intensity change as a function of NS5806 concentration, solid line represents best fit using eq. 1 with recovered $K_d=11 \pm 2 \mu\text{M}$.

To determine the DREAM(Δ65) affinity for NS5806, the Trp emission intensity was plotted as a function of NS5806 concentration of DREAM(Δ65) (Figure 4.1b), the dissociation constants were recovered using Eq. 2.8.1 and are listed on Table 4.1. The stoichiometry of the model was set to one since analysis of the tryptophan fluorescence by the continuous variation method yielded a stoichiometry of one to one (data not shown). The recovered dissociation constants implies that in the Ca$^{2+}$ bound form, DREAM(Δ65) binds NS5806 with a dissociation constant of $4.4 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{M}$. Separate experiment using the truncated C-terminus domain, DREAM(Δ160), shows roughly a
two-fold affinity increase to $K_d = 2.7 \pm 0.3 \mu M$ (data not shown). Upon Ca$^{2+}$ removal, the affinity of DREAM(Δ65) for NS5806 decreases, $K_d = 30 \pm 2 \mu M$, similarly the affinity of DREAM(Δ160) is reduced to $K_d = 26 \pm 4 \mu M$. Since both constructs show similar affinities for NS5806 in the calcium bound form and apo-state we propose that the C-terminus of DREAM is the binding site for NS5806.

It is interesting to note that the decrease in fluorescence of apoDREAM(Δ65) upon addition of NS5806 is about twice as large as that observed for apo DREAM(Δ160) (data not shown), we hypothesize that this could be due to a structurally different conformation of the isolated C-terminus in the apo-state. To investigate if this is the case, the quenching data was analyzed using a modified Stern-Volmer equation which accounts for the presence of tryptophan residues which may not be quenched by NS5806 (Figure 4.2a). The results show that both DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160) in the apo-form bind to NS5806 with the same affinity, and that the observed differences in the extent of quenching are due to the fact that in the apo-state, DREAM(Δ160) populates a second conformation (~40 %) which is not quenched by NS5806. This additional population may be present due to a lower stability of the truncated C-terminus domain.

To probe if RET is responsible for the observed fluorescence quenching we measured the tryptophan fluorescence lifetime of both DREAM constructs in the calcium bound form. The average lifetime of Trp169 decreases from 3.3 ns to approximately 1.3 ns in Ca$^{2+}$ DREAM(Δ65) and from 4.3 ns to 3.2 ns in Ca$^{2+}$ DREAM(Δ160) as the concentration of NS5806 is increased (shown in Figure. 4.1c). The fluorescence lifetime decrease indicates that the quenching mechanism happens during the excited state of tryptophan, and correlates well with a dynamic quenching process such as RET.
Furthermore, it is possible to use the fluorescence emission spectra of both DREAM constructs, the tryptophan fluorescence quantum yield, and the absorbance spectra of NS5806 while assuming a random NS5806-Trp169 orientation to obtain the overlap function and calculate the Förster distance between the NS5806-Trp169 pair for DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160). The Förster distances were calculated to be 12 Å and 14 Å for the apo-form DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160), respectively, while a Förster distance of 12 Å was recovered for the Ca$^{2+}$ bound form of DREAM(Δ65) and 13 Å for Ca$^{2+}$ DREAM(Δ160), (Table 4.1). Due to the location of Trp169 within the protein matrix, a random orientation value for $\kappa^2$ is not appropriate. However, an upper ($\kappa^2_{\text{max}}$) and lower ($\kappa^2_{\text{min}}$) limit for the orientation factor can be obtained from measurement of the frequency modulated anisotropy of Trp169 for both DREAM construct (data not shown). These values were used to calculate the upper and lower limits for NS5806-Trp169 distances as shown in Table 4.1. The recovered NS5806-Trp169 distances using fluorescence emission and fluorescence lifetime of Trp169 range from 5 Å to 13 Å and 8 Å to 20 Å, respectively. The larger values for the lifetime measurements support the presence of an additional static quenching process induced by binding of NS5806 which results in smaller distances being resolved in the steady state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$J(\lambda)$ (M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$nm$^{-4}$)</th>
<th>$R_0$ (Å)</th>
<th>$\Phi^{(a)}$</th>
<th>$\kappa^2_{\text{min}}$</th>
<th>$\kappa^2_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$r^{(b)}$ (Å)</th>
<th>$r^{(c)}$ (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apoDREAM(Δ65)</td>
<td>2.44 x10$^{12}$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$ DREAM(Δ65)</td>
<td>2.36 x10$^{12}$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>7-12</td>
<td>8-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoDREAM(Δ160)</td>
<td>8.38x10$^{11}$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>7-13</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$DREAM(Δ160)</td>
<td>1.57x10$^{12}$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>7-13</td>
<td>11-20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Quantum yield of Trp169 determined relative to L-Tryptophan. Experimental distance “r” determined using the energy transfer efficiency at saturating NS5806 concentration (150 μM) using the tryptophan fluorescence intensity.
quenching measurements. Additional contribution due to quenching of residual tyrosine fluorescence cannot be ruled out. Overall, these results show that NS5806 binds at the C-terminus of DREAM near EF-hands 3 and 4 and quenches the single tryptophan residue through resonance energy transfer. NS5806 also showed binding and quenching of tryptophan residues on a GST-Kv4.3(1-152) fusion protein; however, the dissociation constant for binding to this construct was 11 ± 2 μM (Figure 4.2b and 4.2c). The low solubility of the full T1 domain prevented us from further characterization of this interaction and from unequivocally showing that NS5806 binds at the T1 domain. Nonetheless, based on the more favorable binding of NS5806 with DREAM, it is likely that the site on DREAM is populated before binding at the T1 domain.

4.2.2 NS5806 binding on DREAM displaces 1,8-ANS bound at the hydrophobic cavity near EF-hand 4

Previously, we have identified two binding sites for the solvent sensitive fluorophore 1,8-ANS at the hydrophobic cavities located on the C-terminus of DREAM(Δ65) as well as on DREAM(Δ160) (Chapter 3). In order to determine the nature of interactions between NS5806 and DREAM, displacement studies were carried out in the presence of the hydrophobic fluorophore 1,8-ANS. Upon binding of 1,8-ANS to calcium bound DREAM(Δ65) an intense fluorescence emission at 475 nm is observed. The emission intensity of bound 1,8-ANS decreased sharply as the concentration of NS5806 is increased, indicating that NS5806 displaces 1,8-ANS from the hydrophobic cavity. The decrease in 1,8-ANS emission intensity is accompanied with a redshift of the emission maxima from 475 nm to 484 nm (Figure 4.3a). Using the decrease in fluorescence intensity and the previously determined affinities of 1,8-ANS for DREAM(Δ65) the affinities for
NS5806 binding to DREAM(Δ65) were determined (Eq. 2.7.6) to be $K_d = 23 \pm 7 \mu M$ in the apo-form and $K_d = 2.5 \pm 0.3 \mu M$ in the Ca$^{2+}$ bound form (Figure 4.3b), which are in good agreement with the quenching data.

**Figure 4.3.** (a) Fluorescence emission of 1,8-ANS:DREAM(Δ65) complexes as a function of increasing concentrations of NS5806, inset shows the shift in emission spectra maximum as a function of NS5806. (b) Replacement curves for the 1,8-ANS- DREAM(Δ65) complex in the apo and calcium bound states as a function of NS5806 concentration. The dissociation constants recovered using Eq. 2.8.2 are $K_d = 23 \pm 7 \mu M$ and $K_d = 2.5 \pm 0.3 \mu M$ for apo and calcium bound forms, respectively. Conditions: 95 μM 1,8-ANS, 10 μM Ca$^{2+}$ DREAM(Δ65) in 20mM MOPS, pH 7.4, and 100mM NaCl. (c) Change in fluorescence lifetime pre-exponential parameters $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ as a function of NS5806 concentration. Pre-exponential parameters were recovered using a triple discrete exponential decay model from analysis of emission decay data of 10 μM 1,8-ANS bound to 10 μM DREAM(Δ65) in the apoform and Ca$^{2+}$ bound state. The lifetime of unbound ANS was set as a fixed value at 0.28 ns (measured separately), while the second and third decay lifetimes were set as linked variables with lifetimes of 5.7 ± 0.4 ns and 15.4 ± 0.9 ns in the apoform ($\chi^2=2.7$) and 6.3 ± 0.5 ns and 16.7 ± 0.5 ns in the calcium bound state ($\chi^2=1.7$).

Previously, we have identified that 1,8-ANS binds to at least two different hydrophobic sites on DREAM, each bound 1,8-ANS being characterized by distinct lifetimes. Therefore it is possible to employ the fluorescence lifetime of 1,8-ANS in the presence of DREAM(Δ65) and the associated pre-exponential factors to pinpoint the NS5806 binding site. The 1,8-ANS:Ca$^{2+}$DREAM(Δ65) complex exhibits a fluorescence decay that is best modeled by the sum of three exponential decays. We associated the fastest lifetime ($\tau_0 =$
0.28 ns) as corresponding to unbound 1,8-ANS. The two additional decay lifetimes correspond to 1,8-ANS binding to a partially polar cavity on the protein surface with lifetime $\tau_1 \sim 6$ ns and 1,8-ANS bound to a predominantly hydrophobic site/cavity on the KChIP3 surface with a lifetime of $\tau_2 \sim 16$ ns. Addition of NS5806 to the 1,8-ANS: Ca$^{2+}$ DREAM(Δ65) complex did not alter the 1,8-ANS lifetimes. However, a systematic decrease in the pre-exponential factor for the long lifetime ($\alpha_2$) and an increase of the pre-exponential parameter for 1,8-ANS in solution ($\alpha_0$) were observed. The pre-exponential factor for 1,8-ANS bound at the site with 6 ns lifetimes ($\alpha_1$) remained fairly constant (Figure 4.3c). These results indicate that NS5806 binds to the hydrophobic site/cavity on DREAM, which results in a displacement of 1,8-ANS bound at that site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4.2. Thermodynamic parameters for NS5806 binding to Ca$^{2+}$KChIP3 constructs determined using ITC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_d$ (µM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ160)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.3 NS5806 binding to DREAM is enthalpically driven

The thermodynamic properties associated with the formation of NS5806- DREAM complexes were probed using isothermal calorimetry. Isotherms for the titration of NS5806 into Ca$^{2+}$ DREAM(Δ65) and Ca$^{2+}$ DREAM(Δ160) in presence of 5 mM Mg$^{2+}$ are shown in Figure 4.4. The isotherms were analyzed using a two-site binding model (solid line). The binding of NS5806 to DREAM was characterized with two dissociation constants with the specific binding site associated with a $K_d$ of 0.8 µM and a non-specific binding site which showed a very weak affinity with $K_d \sim 150$ µM (data not shown). This weak binding
site was not observed in the Trp169 quenching and 1,8 ANS displacement studies, probably due to an inefficient quenching or different binding site than that of 1,8-ANS. ITC thermodynamic parameters for NS5806 specifically binding to DREAM constructs are summarized in Table 4.2.

**Figure 4.4.** ITC binding isotherms for NS5806 association to Mg$^{2+}$Ca$^{2+}$DREAM(Δ65) (left) and to Mg$^{2+}$Ca$^{2+}$DREAM(Δ160) (right). The upper panels of each profile reflects the thermal power expressed in units of μcal·s$^{-1}$. The lower panel shows integrated reaction heats (ΔH) normalized for bound NS5806 and expressed in units of kcal·mol$^{-1}$. The solid lines represent fitting curves using the two-set of sites model. Conditions: 10 μM Mg$^{2+}$Ca$^{2+}$DREAM in 20 mM MOPS, pH 7.4, and 100 mM NaCl, 1mM CaCl$_2$ and 5 mM MgCl$_2$.

The association of NS5806 to DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160) exhibited an exothermic binding with ΔH = -4.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$ and ΔH = -6.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively. Association of NS5806 to DREAM(Δ65) showed different enthalpic and entropic contributions than DREAM(Δ160), which may indicate that the structural rearrangements induced by NS5806 are not localized solely on the C-terminus domain. An exothermic
interaction indicates that the overall interaction may involve a structural reorganization of the protein-drug complex upon association with the NS5806.

4.2.4 NS5806 increases the affinity between Kv4.3 Site 1 and DREAM and abolishes its calcium dependency

**Figure 4.5.** Titration curve for (a) Site 1 (Kv4.3(2-21)) binding to apoDREAM(Δ65) (open symbols) and apoDREAM(Δ160) (solid symbols) in the absence (circles) and presence of 200 μM NS5806 (up triangle). Conditions: 0.5 μM Kv4.3(2-22) and 200 μM NS5806 in 20 mM MOPS, pH 7.4, and 100 mM NaCl. (b) Titration curves of Ca^{2+} (open symbols) or NS5806 (solid symbols) binding to the DREAM-site 1 complex in the presence of NS5806 or Ca^{2+}. Solid lines represent fits to a Hill equation, with dissociation constants for NS5806 binding to Ca^{2+}DREAM-site 1 of $K_d = 51 \pm 2 \mu M$ and to apoDREAM-site 1 $K_d = 21 \pm 1 \mu M$. The recovered Ca^{2+} dissociation constants do not reflect the actual apparent calcium affinities since EDTA was present in the samples. Conditions: 1 μM Kv4.3(2-22) and 20 μM DREAM(Δ65) in 20mM MOPS, pH 7.4, 100mM NaCl with 1mM Ca^{2+} or 100 μM EDTA. (c) Time profile of the change in fluorescence intensity of 100 nM Kv4.3(2-22) peptide upon binding to 5 μM Ca^{2+} DREAM(Δ65) in the absence and presence of 200 μM NS5806. Solid line represents the NLLS fit using a double exponential decay model, recovered parameters listed on Table 4.

Previous structural and electrophysiological studies have identified the T1-domain at the N-terminus of Kv4 mediates binding of KChIPs (An et al. 2000, Bahring et al. 2001).

The association of KChIP1 was also shown to involve two binding sites on the Kv4.2 T1 domain, site 1 comprising mainly nonpolar residues 2-22 and the more polar site 2 on the T1 domain surface consisting of residues 70-90 (Callsen et al. 2005). Due to the poor solubility of the T1 domain of Kv4.3 (residues 1-152), we decided to investigate the
interactions by employing the fluorescently labeled peptides FITC-Ahx-Kv4.3(2-22) and Dansyl-Ahx-Kv4.3(70-90). A representative titration curve for DREAM(Δ65) binding to site 1 is shown in Figure 4.5a, with the recovered parameters listed on Table 4.3.

Binding of site 1 to Ca²⁺ DREAM(Δ65) was marked by an increase in anisotropy from r = 0.072 to r = 0.238 and a strong calcium dependency with a dissociation constant for Kv4.3 site 1 in the apoform of $K_d = 70 \pm 3 \mu$M and $K_d = 2.7 \pm 0.1 \mu$M in the calcium bound form. A stronger calcium dependency was observed for binding of site 2 to DREAM(Δ65), with a dissociation constant of ~ 500 μM in the apo-state and $K_d = 10 \pm 1$ μM upon calcium binding. Similarly, binding of Site 1 to the DREAM(Δ160) construct, which lacks the N-terminus domain, showed an increase in anisotropy to r = 0.230 in the presence of calcium as well as a strong calcium dependency with $K_d \sim 450 \mu$M in the apoform to $K_d = 24 \pm 1 \mu$M in the calcium bound form. On the other hand, binding of site 2 to calcium bound DREAM(Δ160) was weak ($K_d \sim 390 \mu$M), indicating that binding of this peptide is specific to the N-terminus domain as proposed previously (Pioletti et al. 2006, Wang et al. 2007).

Furthermore, upon addition of 150 μM of NS5806, the binding affinity of DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160) for site 1 becomes calcium independent and the $K_d$ values decrease to $1.9 \pm 0.2 \mu$M ($r = 0.289$) and $5.3 \pm 0.3 \mu$M ($r = 0.249$), respectively (Table 4.3). The NS5806 induced affinity increase is dose dependent and was observed in the apo and Ca²⁺ bound forms (Figure 4.5b). Interestingly, in the presence of Ca²⁺ and site 1 peptide, the affinity for NS5806 decreased significantly likely due to a restricted access to the hydrophobic cavity (Figure 4.5 and legend). On the other hand, addition of Ca²⁺ to the apo DREAM(Δ65)-NS5806-site 1 complex did not result in any change in anisotropy.
In the apoform, the affinity between site 2 and DREAM(Δ65) was not observed to be modulated by NS5806. These results support the idea that the interactions between DREAM(Δ65)- and the Kv4.3 T1 domain are regulated by calcium and that in the presence of NS5806, DREAM(Δ65) undergoes structural rearrangements that favor the association of the hydrophobic N-terminus of Kv4.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NS5806</th>
<th>Site 1</th>
<th>Site 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_d$ (μM)$^a$</td>
<td>$K_d$ (μM)$^b$</td>
<td>$K_d$ (μM)$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ65)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apo</td>
<td>30 ± 2</td>
<td>70 ± 3</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ160)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apo</td>
<td>26 ± 4</td>
<td>~450</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>24 ± 1</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Y174A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apo</td>
<td>17 ± 0.8</td>
<td>90 ± 16</td>
<td>25 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM( F218A )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apo</td>
<td>28 ± 3</td>
<td>90 ± 31</td>
<td>130 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>13 ± 1.3</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Determined by the integrated intensity decrease of Trp169 and fitted using equation 1. (b) Determined using steady state anisotropy change and corrected for change in fluorescence intensity using equation 3 (c) determined by the integrated intensity increase of dansyl labeled peptide upon binding.

4.2.5 NS5806 modulates Kv4.3 site 1 binding to KChIP3 by decreasing the rate of dissociation.

To better understand the mode of action of NS5806 we investigated its effect on the kinetics of binding between DREAM(Δ65) and site 1 of Kv4.3. Association of the fluorescently labeled peptide analogous to site 1 of Kv4.3 with DREAM(Δ65) in the apo-
form or calcium bound form resulted in an approximately 18% increase in total fluorescence intensity. The fluorescence intensity increase can be attributed to a decrease in solvent accessibility of the fluorophore upon binding. The change in fluorescence intensity was used to probe the binding kinetics of Kv4.3 site 1 to DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160), a representative trace is shown on Figure 4.5c. The kinetic traces were best analyzed using a double exponential decay, and the recovered parameters are listed in Table 4.4. The association rate for the fast and slow phase of site 1 binding to KChIP3(65-256) were similar in the apo and calcium bound state, with $k_{on}^{fast} = 17 \pm 5 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $k_{on}^{slow} = 2.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ in the apo-state and $k_{on}^{fast} = 28 \pm 8 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $k_{on}^{slow} = 2.6 \pm 0.7 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ in the calcium bound form. However, the pre-exponential factors associated with the slow ($\alpha_2$) phase showed an increase upon binding of calcium from 0.02 in the apoinstate to 0.13 in the calcium bound state. The parameters most influenced by binding of calcium were the dissociations rates of both phases, decreasing from $k_{off}^{fast} = 1170 \pm 30 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_{off}^{slow} = 2.9 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the apoform to $k_{off}^{fast} = 77 \pm 20 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_{off}^{slow} = 0.2 \pm 0.06 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the calcium bound form. Upon addition of 150 μM of NS5806, the observed association rate for the fast and slow phase decreased approximately twofold; consequently, the association rate decreased but remained independent of calcium, $k_{on}^{fast} = 12 \pm 2 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $k_{on}^{slow} = 1.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ in the apo-state and $k_{on}^{fast} = 9 \pm 0.8 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $k_{on}^{slow} = 1.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ in the calcium bound form. The pre-exponential factor associated with the slow phase increased were the dissociations rates of both phases, decreasing from $k_{off}^{fast} = 1170 \pm 30 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_{off}^{slow} = 2.9 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the apoform to $k_{off}^{fast} = 77 \pm 20 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_{off}^{slow} = 0.2 \pm 0.06 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the calcium bound form.
**Table 4.4.** Kinetic parameter recovered for Kv4.3(2-21) “site 1” binding to DREAM constructs in the presence of NS5806

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DREAM(Δ65)</th>
<th>τ1</th>
<th>α1</th>
<th>τ2</th>
<th>α2</th>
<th>k_{on}^{fast} (mM⁻¹s⁻¹)</th>
<th>k_{on}^{slow} (mM⁻¹s⁻¹)</th>
<th>k_{off}^{fast} (s⁻¹) x10⁻³</th>
<th>k_{off}^{slow} (s⁻¹) x10⁻³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apo</td>
<td>12 ± 3</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>81 ± 5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>17 ± 5</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1170 ± 30</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>7 ± 2</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>76 ± 2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>28 ± 8</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>77 ± 20</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apo + NS5806</td>
<td>16 ± 2</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>181 ± 13</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>24 ± 3</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺+ NS5806</td>
<td>22 ± 2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>191 ± 6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>17 ± 2</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DREAM(Δ160)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apo</td>
<td>18 ± 3</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>156 ± 43</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5050 ± 90</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>31 ± 2</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>270 ± 18</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.05</td>
<td>150 ± 10</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apo + NS5806</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>516 ± 33</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>53 ± 5</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺+ NS5806</td>
<td>36 ± 4</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>480 ± 43</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.04</td>
<td>29 ± 3</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Upon addition of 150 μM of NS5806, the observed association rate for the fast and slow phase decreased approximately twofold; consequently, the association rate decreased but remained independent of calcium, $k_{on,fast} = 12 \pm 2 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $k_{on,slow} = 1.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ in the apo-state and $k_{on,fast} = 9 \pm 0.8 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $k_{on,slow} = 1.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ in the calcium bound form. The pre-exponential factor associated with the slow phase increased from 0.08 in the apo-form to 0.33 in the calcium bound form. The calculated dissociation rates decreased upon addition of NS5806 and became partially calcium independent with $k_{off,fast} = 24 \pm 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_{off,slow} = 0.03 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the apo-form and $k_{off,fast} = 17 \pm 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_{off,slow} = 0.02 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the presence of calcium.

The change in fluorescence intensity associated with binding of Kv4.3 site 1 to DREAM(Δ160) were also best modeled with a double exponential function, Table 4.4. Similarly DREAM(Δ65), the association rate constants for the fast phase were mainly unaffected by binding of calcium. An increase in the pre-exponential factor associated with the slow phase ($\alpha_2$) upon binding of calcium to DREAM(Δ160) was also observed. The calculated dissociation rates were affected by calcium binding to a larger extent than in the case of DREAM(Δ65), with the fast phase decreasing from $k_{off,fast} = 5050 \pm 90 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ to $k_{off,fast} = 150 \pm 10 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and the slow phase decreasing from $k_{off,slow} = 6.5 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ to $k_{off,slow} = 0.11 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Addition of NS5806 resulted in a decrease in the association rate of the slow phase to $k_{on,slow} = 0.39 \pm 0.03 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ in the apo-form and $k_{on,slow} = 0.42 \pm 0.04 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ in the calcium bound form; as well as, a decrease in the dissociation rate of the fast and slow phases to $k_{off,fast} = 53 \pm 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_{off,slow} = 0.021 \pm 0.002 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the apoform and $k_{off,fast} = 29 \pm 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_{off,slow} = 0.012 \pm 0.001 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ upon calcium binding. Unlike
DREAM(Δ65), the pre-exponential parameters for the slow phase were unaffected by
addition of NS5806 to apo or Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM(Δ160). Together, these results indicate
that the observed increase in affinity between Kv4.3 site 1 and DREAM(Δ65) or
DREAM(Δ160) due to NS5806 binding can be attributed to a decrease in the dissociation
rate of the site 1 peptide.

Figure 4.6. (a) Docking site for NS5806 on DREAM(Δ65) and (b) DREAM(Δ160). Solid
line indicates the distance between tryptophan 169 and the dibromophenyl ring of NS5806.
The initial NMR structures of DREAM(Δ65) (pdb: 2JUL) and DREAM(Δ160)
(pdb:2E6W) were used as docking macromolecules, EF-1 shown in green, EF-2 in orange,
EF-3 in blue, EF-4 in red and NS5806 shown as licorice model. (c) Mutation of Tyr174 or
Phe218 to alanine completely abolishes the affinity enhancement observed in the absence
of calcium upon binding of NS5806. Conditions as described in figure 4.5a.

4.2.6 NS5806 binds at the hydrophobic cavity near EF-hand 4

To identify the NS5806 binding site, docking simulations using AutoDock 4.2
algorithm were employed. Identical docking sites for NS5806 were identified on both
constructs of DREAM (Figure 4.6). The most prominent NS5806-DREAM(Δ65)
interactions are hydrophobic, between the nonpolar brominated phenyl ring on NS5806
and Phe218, Tyr174 and Ile194 on DREAM, whereas the more electrophilic fluorinated
phenyl ring faces the solvent. We have previously used a similar approach to identify the
docking site of 1,8-ANS to DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160), and found that the same
hydrophobic cavity at the C-terminus was the most favorable binding site (Chapter 3). The
fact that docking simulations identify the same docking site for 1,8-ANS and NS5806
correlates well with the 1,8-ANS displacement studies shown above. The direct role of
Phe218 and Tyr174 in binding of NS5806 was confirmed by independent mutation of these
residues to alanine. We observed that mutation of either residues results in a 2 to 3-fold
decrease in the affinity of NS5806 in the calcium bound state, whereas the affinity in the
apostate for the Tyr174 mutant increases about 2 fold to 17 ± 0.8 μM (Table 4.3). The
binding affinity in the presence of calcium of the Kv4.3 site 1 peptide decreased 3-fold for
the Tyr174 mutant while remaining unchanged for the Phe218 mutant. In the presence of
NS5806, DREAM(Y174A) showed an increased affinity for the site 1 peptide in the apo
and calcium bound forms, but still weaker than DREAM(Δ65). On the other hand,
DREAM(F218A) in the presence of NS5806 showed lower affinity for the site 1 peptide
in both apo and calcium states. Overall, these results support the docking simulation and
the idea that these hydrophobic residues play an important role in NS5806 binding and its
observed effect on Kv4.3 site binding.

Furthermore, given that the binding site of NS5806 is located in the vicinity of the
EF-hand 3 and 4 we tested whether drug association alters the DREAM interactions with
Ca^{2+}. Titration curves for Ca^{2+} binding to DREAM(Δ65)-FlAsH and NS5806-
DREAM(Δ65)-FlAsH are shown in Figure 4.7, the resulting titration curves were best
analyzed using a model that assumes two protein populations in the apo-state, each with at
least two calcium binding sites (Eq. 2.7.8). The recovered parameters show a dual
population of DREAM(Δ65)-FlAsH in the apo-state with the larger population (f = 0.82)
having macroscopic binding constant $K_d = 170 ± 20$ nM (Hill coefficient $n_A = 1.5$) and the
minor population having a $K_d = 10 \pm 2 \mu M$ (Hill coefficient $n_B = 1.1$). The apparent dissociation constants are comparable to the calcium binding constants reported in previous studies, ranging from 0.1 $\mu M$ to 10 $\mu M$ (Craig et al. 2002), (Osawa et al. 2005), indicating that addition of the amino acid sequence CCPGCC at the C-terminus and labeling with the biarsenical fluorophore FlAsH did not alter the calcium affinity. Titration of calcium into DREAM(Δ65)-FlAsH in the presence of 150 $\mu M$ of NS5806 resulted in titrations curves which were also best fitted with a double Hill equation. The macroscopic binding constant recovered for the high affinity sites was $K_d = 120 \pm 20 \text{nM}$ (Hill coefficient $n_A = 1.1$) with $f = 0.66$, and a dissociation constant for low affinity sites of $K_d = 21 \pm 4 \mu M$ (Hill coefficient $n_B = 1.4$), indicating that the overall calcium affinity of DREAM(Δ65) is marginally affected by binding of NS5806.

**Figure 4.7.** Titration curve for calcium binding to 1μM DREAM(Δ65) labeled with the biarsenical fluorophore FlAsH-EDT$_2$ in the absence (squares) and in the presence (circles) of NS5806. The solid line represents the NLLS fitting using equation 4. Conditions: 1μM DREAM(Δ65), 200 μM NS5806 in 20 mM MOPS, pH 7.4, and 100 mM NaCl.

### 4.3 Discussion

The precise mechanisms underlying the gating regulation of Kv4 mediated $K^+$ currents are yet to be completely understood; however, it is widely accepted that these
channels do not undergo open state inactivation involving the N-terminus (Gebauer et al. 2004, Bähring, Covarrubias 2011). It has also been shown that association of KChIPs at the N-terminus of Kv4 channels impairs open-state inactivation (Beck et al. 2002). Electrophysiological studies support the idea that both the N- and C-terminus of Kv4 channels interact in order to regulate the inactivation kinetics (Jerng, Covarrubias 1997, Jerng, Shahidullah & Covarrubias 1999), opening the possibility that such interactions are modulated by binding of KChIPs at the N-terminus (Bahring et al. 2001), Gebauer et al. 2004). It is also proposed that the N-terminus functions as a membrane transport control, which in the absence of KChIPs, anchors Kv4 channels near the perinuclear region of the cell (Foeger, Marionneau & Nerbonne 2010, Cui, Liang & Wang 2008). Association of KChIPs at the T1 domain of Kv4 results in translocation to the membrane and a concomitant increase in total K⁺ current. In addition, the presence of KChIPs is necessary for recovery of K⁺ current with similar biophysical properties as those found in vivo.

Recent reports by Calloe and coworkers on the I_TO activating properties of a novel diphenyl urea compound (NS5806) whose activity depends on the presence of KChIPs has highlighted the role of these auxiliary proteins in regulation of I_TO currents (Calloe et al. 2009). This drug was shown to reverse the effect of induced heart failure in canine models (Calloe et al. 2009, Cordeiro et al. 2012). On the other hand, Witzel and coworkers hypothesized that the observed current inhibition in hippocampal neuronal cells was likely due to a higher concentration of NS5806 being used (20 μM) compared to the concentration used in canine cardiomyocytes (Witzel, Fischer & Bähring 2012). Indeed, at NS5806 concentrations above 100 μM a reversal of activation was observed in HEK293 cells. This highlights the possibility of multiple binding sites of NS5806 on the Kv4-auxiliary subunit.
complex. Nonetheless, NS5806 still resulted in a slowing of inactivation and accelerated recovery from inactivation in both cardiac and neuronal cells at concentrations below 20 μM.

Given the dependency K⁺ current modulation by NS5806 on the presence of KChIPs, in this report we investigated whether KChIPs could be a target binding partner for NS5806. Titration experiments show that both the apo and calcium bound forms of DREAM(Δ65) were quenched by NS5806 with an affinity fivefold lower in the apoform. The hydrophobic nature of NS5806 supports the idea that the binding site on DREAM is located in a solvent restricted hydrophobic cavity, and that calcium binding to DREAM increases accessibility of the drug to this cavity. Moreover, the similar dissociation constants recovered for both DREAM constructs indicate that in the calcium bound and calcium free state of the protein, the binding site of NS5806 is located at the C-terminus. Interestingly the dissociation constants recovered for NS5806 binding to Ca²⁺ DREAM(Δ65) is very similar to the EC50 value observed for current potentiation in CHO-K1 cells expressing Kv4.3/KChIP2 (EC50 = 5.3 μM) (Lundby et al. 2010) as well as in HEK293 cells expressing Kv4.2/KChIP3 (EC50 = 6.9 μM) (Witzel, Fischer & Bähring 2012). Similarly, the affinity of NS5806 for the Ca²⁺ free form of DREAM (Kd ~ 25 μM) is identical to the EC50 determined for NS5806 induced accelerated recovery from inactivation (EC50 = 26.25 μM) (Lundby et al. 2010). Displacement studies of the extrinsic fluorophore 1,8-ANS bound to DREAM(Δ65) confirm that NS5806 binds at a hydrophobic cavity. The emission spectrum of 1,8-ANS bound to DREAM shows a maxima at 475nm. Addition of NS5806 to 1,8-ANS:DREAM complexes resulted in a fluorescence intensity decrease in the presence or absence of calcium as shown in Figure 4.3b. Interestingly, upon
addition of ~40 μM NS5806 the total emission intensity of 1,8-ANS:DREAM complex is identical in the presence or absence of calcium. A fluorescence signal is still detected even at saturating amounts of NS5806 (150 μM). This is likely due the presence of additional 1,8-ANS bound to a site distinct than that occupied by NS5806. Furthermore, the emission maxima of 1,8-ANS bound to calcium or apoform DREAM(Δ65) in the presence of 150 μM NS5806 shows a maxima at 485 nm (Figure 4.3a inset). These results are in agreement with fluorescence lifetime data, which show that addition of NS5806 results in a concomitant decrease in the pre-exponential parameter $\alpha_2$ associated with 1,8-ANS bound at the solvent restricted cavity (Figure 4.3c), while the pre-exponential parameter that corresponds to 1,8-ANS bound to a solvent exposed site with emission maximum at 485 nm remains constant. These results suggest that 1,8-ANS binds at a physiologically active hydrophobic cavity on DREAM. These observations also support the idea that displacement of 1,8-ANS could be used as a fluorescence based high throughput method for the discovery of new drugs that bind at this hydrophobic cavity and could induce similar effects to that observed for NS5806. Indeed, an analogous 1,8-ANS screening assay has been previously reported for other drug targets (Martin et al. 2012).

Isothermal calorimetric titrations of NS5806 into DREAM further confirm the strong association between NS5806 and DREAM. The recovered thermodynamic parameters show that both enthalpic and entropic contributions stabilize the complex formation, with the enthalpic contribution becoming dominant in the DREAM(Δ160) construct. The large enthalpy contribution is in contrast to the idea that the binding site of NS5806 on DREAM is mainly hydrophobic (entropy driven binding); however, it is likely that binding of NS5806 triggers larger exothermic structural rearrangements on the protein.
Characterization of the interaction of DREAM and peptides homologous to Kv4.3(2-22) and Kv4.3(70-90) indicate that the interactions between site 1/site 2 and DREAM are modulated by calcium, Table 4.3. In the presence of calcium, DREAM(Δ65) showed a 26-fold increase in affinity for site 1, whereas, DREAM(Δ160) showed an 18-fold increase compared to the apoform. Furthermore, the dissociation constant recovered for site 1 binding to DREAM(Δ160) in the presence of calcium was about tenfold weaker than that of site 1 binding to DREAM(Δ160). The determined dissociation constants can be utilized to calculate the role of the N- and C-terminus domains of DREAM on binding of site 1 of Kv4.3. The energetic contribution of the N- and C-terminus of DREAM to the association with site 1 calculated from the dissociation constants in the calcium bound state are -1.3 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and -6.1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. The larger contribution of the C-terminus highlights the role of this domain on DREAM as mediator in protein-protein interaction. Interestingly, the Y134E mutation on KChIP1 (Y174E on DREAM) has also been shown to completely abolish current modulation by KChIP1 (Wang, Chai 2009). However, the contribution of the N-terminus hydrophobic cavity of KChIPs is necessary for Kv4 translocation to the membrane and KChIP-Kv4 complex formation (Zhou et al. 2004b, Kunjilwar, Qian & Pfaffinger 2013a). This is in agreement with structural studies, where the N-terminus of Kv4.3 was determined to bind across the hydrophobic face of KChIP1 contacting residues spanning both the N- and C-terminus of KChIP1 (Pioletti et al. 2006, Wang et al. 2007). The dissociation constant for site 2 binding to DREAM(Δ65) was also dependent on calcium, with an approximately 50-fold increase in affinity but still weaker than the site 1 interaction. The fact that calcium binding at the C-terminus EF-3 and EF-4 of DREAM modulates binding of site 2 is remarkable, suggesting that the
structural changes associated with the calcium binding to the C-terminal domain are propagated into the N-terminal domain of the protein. However, it is also possible that the calcium induced dimerization of DREAM is responsible for the increase in affinity.

Addition of saturating amounts of NS5806 completely abolished the calcium dependency of site 1 association with DREAM as well as further increased its affinity. These results are surprising, given that the experiments above support the idea that NS5806 and site 1 share the same binding site at the C-terminus of DREAM. Furthermore, a clear dose dependent increase in DREAM-site 1 association is observed upon addition of NS5806 in the absence of calcium ($K_d = 21 \pm 1$), but no further increase is observed upon addition of excess calcium. On the other hand, at saturating amounts of calcium addition of NS5806 induces a further increase in anisotropy. Suggesting that in the presence of NS5806 the DREAM-site 1 complex adopts an altered tertiary structure, as evident from the larger anisotropy upon binding in the presence of NS5806 (Figure 4.5b). These results are comparable to those obtained for the Kv4.3(1-143)-KChIP1 complex using size exclusion chromatography in the presence of the diphenyl-urea compound CL-888 (Bowlby et al. 2005). A similar effect due to the presence of NS5806 for site 1 bound to DREAM($\Delta 65$) on anisotropy was observed, indicating that structural rearrangement are localized on the C-terminus domain of DREAM.

A better understanding of the mechanism by which NS5806 modulates the affinity of site 1 peptide binding to DREAM construct is gained from the kinetics associated with binding, Table 4.4. The biphasic nature of the binding kinetics is potentially due to the presence of DREAM proteins which populate partially distinct conformations or oligomerization state. Overall, these results revealed that saturating amounts of NS5806
decreased the rate of dissociation between DREAM and site 1 of Kv4.3, independent of calcium. A possible explanation for the stabilization of the protein peptide complex, is that binding of NS5806 at the C-terminus cavity destabilizes this domain and increases the accessibility of the hydrophobic residues to interact with hydrophobic residues on site 1. Also, the fact that the affinity of Ca$^{2+}$ free DREAM for NS5806 is lower than in the presence of calcium indicate that there is a larger energy barrier for access to the hydrophobic cavity at the C-terminus. However, once NS5806 binds at the hydrophobic cavity in the apoform, the resulting structural changes are comparable to those present in the calcium bound form. This results in similar affinity and dissociation constants for the N-terminus of Kv4.3. On the other hand, the observed decrease in dissociation rate in the presence of NS5806 seem to indicate that the DREAM-NS5806 reorganizes into a conformation which is different from that of the apoform or calcium bound KChIP3 and which forms a more stable complex with site 1 of Kv4.3.

Computational simulation allowed us to pinpoint the potential docking site for NS5806 as being at a hydrophobic cavity between EF-3, EF-4 and the H10 helix. This docking site is also in good agreement with the distances from Trp169 determined using RET and with the displacement studies. However, previous docking studies of a similar diphenyl-urea compound (CL-888) identified a site on the hydrophilic surface of KChIP1 (Bowlby et al. 2005). The proposal that this is the docking site for NS5806 is not supported by the fact that similar dissociation constants were recovered for DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160), even though the C-terminus construct lacks half of the residues involved in the proposed docking site for CL-888. We also conducted docking simulation with NS5806 where we limited the docking grid to cover only the previously proposed binding
site at the hydrophilic surface. The predicted association energy of this site was 4 kcal mol$^{-1}$ weaker than predicted energy for the docking at the hydrophobic cavity near EF-3 and EF-4. Indeed, the role of Tyr174 and Phe218 identified in docking simulation as being involved in stabilization of NS5806 and Kv4.3 site 1 peptide interactions is supported by site directed mutagenesis studies, which show that mutation of either residue to alanine completely abolishes the effect of NS5806 (Figure 4.6c). We also have observed that a DREAM(F252A) mutant readily forms aggregates (data not shown), supporting the idea that this residue plays an important role in the stability of the protein. Thus, displacement of this amino acid by NS5806 could potentially play a major role in restructuring of the DREAM structure.

With these results in mind, we propose that binding of NS5806 at the C-terminus hydrophobic cavity results in an increase in flexibility of the H10 helix, which is likely facilitated by displacement of the hydrophobic residues on this helix (Fig. 4.8). This is supported by molecular dynamic simulations which show that in the absence of NS5806 the aromatic residue Phe252 on the H10 helix is stacked between Tyr174 and Phe218, inherently reducing the flexibility of this helix (Fig. 4.8). In contrast, simulations in the presence of NS5806 show a displacement of Phe252 residue and concomitant motion of the H10 helix in order to accommodate NS5806 in the hydrophobic cavity. Furthermore, simulation of the Kv4.3-DREAM complex show that Trp19 of Kv4.3 is positioned in an identical stacking position between Tyr174 and Phe218 as that found for Phe252 and NS5806; whereas, in the presence of NS5806 the cavity at the C-terminus of DREAM
expands in order to accommodate both NS5806 and the hydrophobic N-terminus of Kv4.3 while pushing Trp19 deeper into the hydrophobic cavity (Fig. 4.8).

Figure 4.8. Reorganization of the hydrophobic pocket in the DREAM C-terminus upon binding of NS5806 and/or site 1. Top left: Position of helix-10 (shown in yellow) in the C terminus hydrophobic pocket of DREAM(Δ65) is stabilized by stacking interactions among F218, F252 and Y174. Top right: Binding of NS5806 to the DREAM(Δ65) leads to the displacement of F252 and NS5806 is stabilized by hydrophobic interactions with F218 and Y174. Bottom left: Orientation of site 1 in the C-terminus hydrophobic pocket of DREAM(Δ65) with focus on the interaction of W19 from site 1 with hydrophobic residues from DREAM(Δ65). Bottom right: The reorientation of the hydrophobic domain at the DREAM C-terminus upon concomitant binding of site 1 and NS5806.

The motion of Trp19 into the hydrophobic cavity of DREAM C-terminal may contribute to the slower rate of dissociation of the hydrophobic site 1 peptide in the presence of NS5806. The structural rearrangement observed using molecular dynamics are consistent with the idea that binding of NS5806 induces structural rearrangements in the Kv4.3-DREAM protein complex. Whether these structural changes are isolated on DREAM and
the N-terminus of Kv4.3 or they propagate across the T1 domain remains to be determined. Previous studies favor the idea that such structural changes could propagate towards the K\(^+\) channel inner vestibule near the pore and modulate the K\(^+\) currents (Wang, Covarrubias 2006, Cushman et al. 2000).

### 4.4 Summary

The results presented here could potentially explain the observed increase in K\(^+\) current and the slowing of inactivation in the presence of NS5806 in cardiac, neuronal, and heterologous expression studies. The elimination of the calcium dependency of association between DREAM and site 1 of Kv4.3 as well as the increase in affinity in the presence of NS5806 support the idea that the observed increase in K\(^+\) current amplitude is due to an enhanced translocation of Kv4.3-DREAM protein complexes to the cell membrane induced by the presence of NS5806. We further hypothesize that the resulting decrease in inactivation kinetic is due to the decrease in accessibility of the N-terminus of Kv4.3 channel to interact with the cytoplasmic C-terminus of Kv4. Indeed, this interaction has been shown to be necessary for modulation of gating currents of Kv4.2 (Callsen et al. 2005). The fact that the dissociation constant was reduced in the presence of calcium also highlights the potential role of Ca\(^{2+}\) in regulation of K\(^+\) currents. In support of the role of calcium in regulating K\(^+\) current are studies which have shown that in rat stellate cells, a protein complex is formed involving the voltage-gated calcium channel Cav3, the potassium channel Kv4.2 and the auxiliary protein DREAM (Anderson et al. 2010).
5. MODEL OF DREAM PROTEIN AND IMPLICATION IN PROTEIN AND DNA INTERACTIONS

5.1 Background and significance

One of the most widely studied calcium binding proteins is calmodulin (CaM), a small and ubiquitous (148 residues) acidic protein, with four active EF-hand motifs able to bind calcium with affinities between 1 to 10 μM (Crivici, Ikura 1995). The structure of calcium bound CaM has been shown to follow a dumbbell arrangement of the N- and C-terminus domain, while removal of calcium induces local rearrangement of the EF-hands and an increase in flexibility of the N- and C-terminus (Chattopadhyaya et al. 1992, Zhang, Tanaka & Ikura 1995). The interaction of CaM with proteins as well as with their peptide fragments often surpass the affinity for calcium(Rhoads, Friedberg 1997). The mechanism of calcium induced protein binding to CaM can be simplified as a calcium triggered exposure of hydrophobic surfaces which facilitates the association of the target protein. The protein fragments involved in CaM association often function as an autoinhibitory domain or are directly involved in protein activity. These CaM recognition sequences are amphipathic in nature with numerous positive and bulky hydrophobic residues forming electrostatic and hydrophobic contacts. CaM binding sequences can be often grouped by those that are enhanced by calcium or are calcium independent (Rhoads, Friedberg 1997). The fact that CaM has been shown to constitute about 0.1 % of total cytosolic protein, also highlights the role of this signal transducer in calcium signaling (Chin, Means 2000).

DREAM is a relatively new member of the group of EF-hand calcium binding proteins and it has been shown to be one of the first proteins to regulate gene expression in a calcium dependent manner (Carrion et al. 1999). Additionally, this protein was identified
to be involved in presenilin fragmentation (Buxbaum et al. 1998), as well as regulation of
the Kv4 subfamily of voltage gated potassium channels (An et al. 2000). DREAM is a 256
amino acid long, 29 kDa protein highly expressed in the brain (An et al. 2000) and belongs
to the superfamily of neuronal calcium sensors (NCS) with close homology to NCS-1 and
recoverin (Burgoyne, Weiss 2001). Similarly to other NCS, DREAM contains four EF-
hand motifs of which EF-hand 1 is unable to bind calcium, EF-hand 2 binds preferentially
magnesium and the two EF-hands at the C-terminus bind calcium with affinities between
1 to 10 μM (Osawa et al. 2005). Three other homologous proteins with close homology to
DREAM (KChIP1, KChIP2 and KChIP4) have also been identified, and together form the
KChIP subgroup of NCS proteins (An et al. 2000). Despite the high homology of KChIPs
at their C-terminus, the N-terminus region and tissue expression are highly divergent.

A recent report by Ramachandran and coworkers showed that DREAM and CaM
form a complex and enhance the activation of calcineurin (Ramachandran et al. 2012).
Additionally, over 40 protein partners were identified to interact with DREAM in a calcium
dependent and independent manner, further highlighting the role of DREAM as a calcium
sensor involved in numerous cell processes (Ramachandran et al. 2012). Consequently,
given the wide spectrum of processes regulated by CaM and the multifunctional activity of
DREAM, a better understanding of the molecular mechanism by which these two proteins
interact will provide insight into the regulation of calcium signaling in neuronal cells.

Recent studies have addressed the molecular structure of DREAM and how calcium
induced changes are translated into regulation of protein-protein and protein-DNA
structures of the calcium binding C-terminus domain (EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4, residues
of the core domain (EF-hand 1 to 4, residues 76-256, PDB: 2jul) (Lusin et al. 2008) have been resolved. Interestingly, DREAM has been shown to be cleaved at Aps61-Asp64 by caspase-3, which indicates that inside the cell there may be a subpopulation DREAM with structure analogous to that resolved by NMR (Choi et al. 2001). However, no model for the full-length DREAM protein is currently available.

In this report, we seek to identify the amino acid sequence at the N-terminus of DREAM involved in CaM binding. We further characterize the secondary and tertiary structure of DREAM N-terminus using a combination of theoretical and experimental techniques to build a molecular model for the full-length protein. Finally, we propose a mechanism by which CaM associates to DREAM and sequesters its N-terminus domain which could potentially modulate DREAM’s interaction with binding targets. Altogether, the results presented here provide us with a molecular understanding of the CaM:DREAM interaction and underline the implication of this protein complex in calcium signaling and gene expression.

5.2 Results

5.2.1 Calmodulin stabilizes the N-terminus of DREAM and increases the solubility of the protein complex.

Previous reports have shown that DREAM readily forms inclusion bodies when overexpressed in BL21(DE3) E. coli cells, whereas DREAM(Δ65) was recovered in moderate yields as a soluble protein (Lusin et al. 2008, Osawa et al. 2001). Indicating that residues 1-65 in DREAM destabilize the protein under conditions used for recombinant protein expression. It has also been shown that the association between DREAM and CaM
is mediated by an amino acid sequence within the N-terminal 94 residues of DREAM (Ramachandran et al. 2012). We hypothesized that binding of CaM could stabilize DREAM and lead to an increase in solubility during recombinant expression. To test this hypothesis we co-expressed CaM and DREAM in BL21-DE3 *E. coli* at low temperature (see materials and methods). Under such conditions, DREAM was recovered as a soluble protein with yields of ~40 mg. Interestingly, we have observed that 10 mM LDAO abolishes the CaM:DREAM interaction and prevents DREAM aggregation in the presence of saturating calcium, which facilitates the purification of soluble DREAM. We envision this protocol being more robust than the previously employed method of inclusion body refolding (Osawa et al. 2001) or GST fusion tags (Ramachandran et al. 2012). These results support the role of CaM as a protein cofactor that stabilizes the N-terminus of DREAM and potentially facilitates its translocation in the cell.

5.2.2 Residues 29-44 at the N-terminus of DREAM mediate CaM binding in a calcium dependent manner.

Bioinformatics analysis of the N-terminus of DREAM revealed the presence of numerous basic, acidic and hydrophobic residues. Of particular interest is a short amphipathic 16-residue peptide segment (residues 29-44, sequence: SIKWQRPRFTRQALMR) with a high density of positively charged residues and hydrophobic residues (Figure 5.1).
Figure 5.1. (a) Bioinformatics analysis of the first 100 residues at the N-terminus of mouse DREAM indicating regions of helical structure and charge distribution. Proposed sites for posttranslational modification and ligand interactions are shown. (b) Helical plot analysis of residues 29 to 44 of DREAM. (c) DREAM amino acid sequence disorder prediction using the PONDR-FIT and VL3 prediction servers. (c) Potential energy surface of apoDREAM (lacking the first 77 residues) calculated using the published NMR structure and the APBS plugin of the VMD software with CHARMM parameters at 150 mM mobile ion concentration.

This short amino acid sequence shows close similarity with the 1-8-14 Type A binding motif associated with other calmodulin binding peptides (Rhoads, Friedberg 1997); with a total charge of +5 and bulky hydrophobic residues Ile30, Trp32, Phe37, Leu42 and Met43. Secondary structure prediction also shows this sequence as having a moderate helical propensity. Helical wheel diagram of DREAM(29-44) shows an arrangement of dispersed positively and hydrophobic residues (Figure 5.1b). This small helical region is surrounded by extended disordered domains (Figure 5.1c), which may enhance the flexibility of the N-terminus. The core domain of DREAM (residues 76 to 256) exhibits a strong
electronegative surface opposite to a hydrophobic groove which has been shown to be involved in ligand binding (Chapter 3 ) (Figure 5.1d).

Figure 5.2. Titration curves for calmodulin binding to (a) 100 nM of a FITC labeled peptide analogous to residues 29-44 of mouse DREAM “DRE29” in the absence (circles) or present (squares) of 1mM calcium (Kd = 136 ± 10 nM) and in the presence of calcium and 30 μM Trifluoperazine (up triangles). (b) Fluorescence emission spectra of 5 μM DRE29 peptide in the presence or absence of 10 μM Ca^{2+}CaM, sample was excited at 300 ± 4 nm which resulted in negligible contribution from tyrosine on CaM. Buffer background emission was subtracted to decrease Rayleigh, Raman and 2nd harmonic peaks contributing to the signal. (c) Observed shift and increase in tryptophan fluorescence upon calmodulin binding. (d) Normalized tryptophan fluorescence change at 370 nm of DREAM(1-256) upon addition of calmodulin in the absence (circles) and presence (squares) or 1mM calcium (Kd = 3.3 ± 0.9 μM).

Calmodulin is well known to bind positively charged amphipathic protein segments in a calcium dependent manner; therefore, we hypothesize that a similar binding mechanism is present on DREAM. To test this hypothesis we studied binding of CaM to a fluorescently labeled peptide homologous to residues 29 to 44 of DREAM (herein named DRE29). Upon addition of calcium bound CaM to DRE29 a large increase in fluorescence anisotropy was measured, indicative of binding. The binding curves show a clear calcium dependent binding of the DRE29 peptide to calmodulin, with a dissociation constant in the
calcium bound form of $K_d = 136 \pm 10 \text{ nM}$ and negligible binding in the absence of calcium (Figure 5.2a). Similar results were obtained using FRET experiments between DRE29 and CaMRR2, with a dissociation constant of $132 \pm 16 \text{ nM}$ (Figure 5.3a-b). The binding of CaM to DRE29 was inhibited in the presence of the antipsychotic drug and known calmodulin inhibitor trifluoperazine (TFP). Analysis of the binding using a competitive model in the presence of 30 μM TFP, using the reported affinity of TFP for CaM of 1 μM, (Levin, Weiss 1977) shows that a single bound TFP is sufficient to inhibit binding of the peptide (Figure 5.3c). Since the stronger affinity binding sites of TFP on CaM are at the C-terminus domain, the data shows that this domain is mainly responsible for binding the DRE29 peptide (Cook, Walter & Walter 1994).

**Figure 5.3.** (a) Fluorescence intensity quenching of 1 μM DRE29 upon association to Ca$^{2+}$CaMRR2. (b) Plot of the FRET efficiency between DRE29 and Ca$^{2+}$CaMRR2 complex, a dissociation constant of $134 \pm 16 \text{ nM}$ was recovered using a Hill equation. (c) Fluorescence anisotropy of 100 nM DRE29 versus calculated TFP free calmodulin. The drug free calmodulin concentration was determined assuming that a single TFP molecule is bound to calmodulin with an affinity of 1 μM, 30 μM TFP was held constant while the concentration of CaM was increased.

The emission spectra of DRE29 in the presence or absence of Ca$^{2+}$CaM show two peaks corresponding to Trp32 and FITC (Figure 5.2b). The weak fluorescence of Trp32 is due to an efficient energy transfer to the FITC label; however, upon association with CaM an increase in fluorescence and blue shift from 351 nm to 329 nm is observed. The increase
in FITC emission is expected based on the increase in quantum yield of Trp32. It is also possible that restructuring of the DRE29 peptide in the CaM:DRE29 complex increases the energy transfer efficiency. Overall, these results identify the amphipathic region in the N-terminus of DREAM spanning residues 29 to 44 as mediating the calcium dependent binding of CaM. It also shows that upon association with Ca\(^{2+}\)CaM the solvent accessibility of Trp32 decreases.

5.2.3 The N-terminus domain of DREAM does not block its hydrophobic cavity.

The intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence of DREAM decreases upon calcium binding, a transition similar to that observed for DREAM(Δ65) (Carrion et al. 1999, Pham et al. 2015). Upon association of Ca\(^{2+}\)CaM to DREAM a 5 nm blue shift from 337 nm to 332 nm is observed along with an increase in fluorescence intensity (Figure 5.2c). Similar to our peptide studies, CaM interacts with DREAM only in the presence of calcium (Kd = 3.4 ± 0.9 μM) with no detectable interaction in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Figure 5.2d), in good agreement with the previously reported value of 3 μM (Ramachandran et al. 2012). The decreased affinity of CaM for the N-terminus of DREAM compared to the affinity of the isolated residues 29 to 44 may indicate that the N-terminus is not completely solvent accessible. This could be easily explained by a model in which the N-terminus collapses on the DREAM core domain (residues 76 to 256) and reduces the affinity of CaM. Indeed, a similar folding was observed in the structure of calcium bound KChIP4 and Mg\(^{2+}\) bound caldendrin (Liang et al. 2009)(Reddy et al. 2014). Therefore, to test whether the N-terminus of DREAM (residues 29-44) physically interacts with the core domain we conducted titrations of DRE29 with DREAM(Δ65). We observe an interaction between
DRE29 and DREAM(Δ65) with K\text{d} \sim 30 \, \mu{\text{M}}; however, a similar affinity with DREAM was observed (Figure 5.4). In the presence of 100 mM NaCl, the affinity of the DRE29 peptide with DREAM(Δ65) decreased to K\text{d} \sim 0.3 \, \text{mM}. These results indicate that the observed association between residues 29-44 and the core domain of DREAM are nonspecific and mediated by electrostatic interactions.

![Figure 5.4](image-url)

**Figure 5.4.** Anisotropy change upon addition of DREAM and DREAM(Δ65) to 1 \, \mu{\text{M}} DRE29 peptide in the presence of CaCl\text{2} (solid symbols) or EDTA (open symbols). Line represent the best fit using Eqn. 1, with dissociation constant for DREAM of 57 \, \mu{\text{M}} while DREAM(Δ65) resulted in a K\text{d} \sim 30 \, \mu{\text{M}} in the absence of salt and K\text{d} \sim 0.3 \, \text{mM} in the presence of 100 mM NaCl.

DREAM(Δ65) protein has been shown to interact with small hydrophobic ligands such as arachidonic acid, the K\text{+} current activator NS5806 and the hydrophobic probes 1,8-ANS and 2,6-ANS (Chapter 3). These ligands associate with a hydrophobic cavity at the C-terminus of DREAM whose accessibility increases upon Ca\text{2\textsuperscript{+}} association to EF-3 and EF-4. Association of the hydrophobic N-terminus of Kv4 channels with DREAM and other KChIPs is also mediated by a hydrophobic groove which extends from C- to the N-terminus (Gonzalez, Pham & Miksovska 2014, Pioletti et al. 2006, Li et al. 2014). Therefore, we investigated whether the presence of residues 1 – 65 in DREAM can affect the binding of these hydrophobic ligands. Titrations of a peptide homologous to the
hydrophobic N-terminus of Kv4.3 (residues 2-22) show that the affinity is not only independent of calcium but also identical to the previously reported value for binding to the Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM(Δ65) construct (Figure 5.5) (Gonzalez, Pham & Miksovska 2014). Similarly, the hydrophobic probe 1,8-ANS and NS5806 compound showed interactions with DREAM which are similar to those observed for DREAM(Δ65) (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014, Gonzalez, Pham & Miksovska 2014).

![Figure 5.5](image)

**Figure 5.5.** (a) Modified Stern-Volmer analysis of tryptophan fluorescence quenching upon binding of NS5806 to apo and Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM, solid lines illustrate the expected traces for complete quenching and dashed lines show the intercept a 2.83 ± 0.12. (b) Association of Kv4.3(1-22)-FITC with apo and Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM monitored using fluorescence anisotropy; solid lines represent the best fit to the data using a Hill binding model with the recovered parameters shown in the inset. (c) Fluorescence spectra of 1,8-ANS bound to apoDREAM with \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 478\) nm and Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM with \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 475\) nm. These results support the idea that the accessibility of the hydrophobic cavity at the C-terminus of DREAM is not compromised by the presence of the N-terminus residues 1-65.

These results support the idea that the N-terminus of DREAM does not function as an autoinhibitory domain of the hydrophobic cavity as observed for the isoform 4 of KChIP4 (Liang et al. 2009). Analysis of the NS5806 tryptophan quenching data using a modified Stern-Volmer plot shows that unlike DREAM(Δ65), where Trp169 is completely quenched (see Chapter 4), only one out of three tryptophans is quenched in DREAM (Figure 5.5a). This observation can easily be explained if the N-terminus of DREAM is not near the hydrophobic C-terminus where Kv4.3 N-terminus, 1,8-ANS or NS5806 bind or if the association of the hydrophobic ligand induces the displacement of the N-terminus.
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5.2.4 Calcium binding to DREAM induces a structural rearrangement at the N-terminus

The structure of the N-terminus of DREAM (residues 1-75) has not been resolved and based on NMR results, it has been proposed to form a random coil (Lusin et al. 2008). In order to better understand the mechanism of CaM binding, we set forth to identify the potential secondary structure of the N-terminal residues of DREAM using structure prediction tools and CD. The N-terminus of DREAM show the potential formation of two alpha helices between residues 4 and 11 and between residues 27 and 53, herein named $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_0$ (Fig 1). Two additional alpha helices comprising residues 76-85 and 88-102 were also identified, these helices correspond to $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ previously identified by NMR (Lusin et al. 2008). Further analysis of the N-terminus of DREAM using protein disorder prediction tools also shows residues surrounding $\alpha_0$ as having high propensity to form disordered regions (Xue et al. 2010, Obradovic et al. 2003). Molecular dynamics simulations support that both N-terminus helices retain their secondary structure and are flexible relative to each other (Figure 5.6), with $\alpha_0$ showing an expected bend at Pro36. Overall, these bioinformatics analyses and simulations of the N-terminus domain of DREAM indicate that this domain forms a disordered region with a small helical structure connected to the core of DREAM by a 21 residues long disordered region rich in small hydrophilic residues (residues 54-75).
Figure 5.6. Eight 10 ns MD simulation at 313 K of DREAM N-terminus (residues 1-66) showing the overall stability of the predicted $\alpha_0$ helix. Bulky hydrophobic residues which form part of the CaM binding region (shown in red) are drawn as licorice model.

To gain insight into the secondary structure of DREAM and its N-terminus, we also performed near and far UV circular dichroism measurements on DREAM and DREAM(Δ65). The far-UV CD signal for both constructs show the characteristic spectra of alpha-helical proteins, with negative peaks at 220 nm and 207 nm and a larger positive peak near 190 nm (Figure 5.7a). The magnitude of the CD signal was dependent on Ca$^{2+}$ for both constructs with an increase in 190 nm and decrease in 220/207 nm peaks upon calcium binding (data not shown). However, the magnitude of the positive and negative peaks of DREAM in the absence or presence of calcium was smaller than that of DREAM(Δ65), indicative of less alpha-helical content in the full-length construct. Analysis of the far-UV CD spectra with the K2D secondary structure prediction tools shows 68% and 50% alpha-helical content for calcium bound DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM, respectively. A fully unfolded N-terminus domain in DREAM would result in a 47% alpha-
helical content, which is slightly lower than the value determined here. Therefore, the N-terminus domain of DREAM likely forms a disordered domain with a small region of alpha-helical structure near the CaM binding sequence.

Figure 5.7. (a) Far-UV CD spectra of DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM in the presence of 100 μM calcium in 5mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4. (b) Near-UV CD spectra of DREAM(Δ65) and (c) DREAM in the absence and presence of calcium.

On the other hand, the near-UV CD spectra for both DREAM constructs showed complex and distinct positive and negative peaks. In the absence of calcium, DREAM showed a broad negative band with fine structured positive and negative peaks. The peaks between 256 nm and 272 nm are likely due to phenylalanine residues whereas the peak between 275 nm and 305 nm are associated with tyrosine and tryptophan residues (Strickland 1974, Woody 2012). Calcium binding resulted in an increase in ellipticity signal between 256 nm and 295 nm (Figure 5.7b), without a significant shift of the observed vibronic structures. The near UV CD spectra of DREAM(Δ65) in the absence of calcium showed many similarities in the phenylalanine and tyrosine peaks to DREAM, whereas a larger peak at 290 nm was observed in the tryptophan region. Binding of calcium to DREAM(Δ65) did not induce major changes in the spectra, with the exception of a decrease in ellipticity near 305 nm (Figure 5.7c). The presence of only three additional aromatic residues in DREAM compared to the construct lacking the first 65 residues
indicates that the increase in the ellipticity between 270 nm and 290 nm likely arises from Phe37, Trp32 and/or Trp50. Additionally, the broad changes in ellipticity upon binding of calcium in DREAM but not in DREAM(Δ65) indicates that the N-terminus residues undergo a structural rearrangement which restricts solvent accessibility of Trp32 and/or Trp50 (Nagai et al. 2012). These results support the idea that the conformation of the N-terminal residues of DREAM may be affected by calcium binding.

5.2.5 The core domain of DREAM facilitates dissociation of the CaM:DREAM complex

To better understand the mechanism of CaM:DREAM interaction, we determined the kinetics of CaM:DREAM complex formation by monitoring the fluorescence change of CaM and CaMRR2 upon dissociation from DRE29 and DREAM. Initial attempts to resolve the association rate by mixing 1:1 volumetric ratio of 10 μM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}CaM and 0.5 μM DRE29 or 10 μM apoDREAM and 0.5 μM CaMRR2 with 1 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2} showed that the protein complex was formed within the dead time of our stopped-flow instrument (~ 20 ms), indicating that similarly to other CaM binding peptides and proteins (Brown, Martin & Bayley 1997, Kasturi, Vasulka & Johnson 1993), the bimolecular association rate constant is near the diffusion limit, with k\textsubscript{on} in excess of 10\textsuperscript{8} M\textsuperscript{-1}s\textsuperscript{-1}. Dissociation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from 2.5 μM CaM by 1 mM EDTA monitored using tyrosine fluorescence showed a monophasic decay with k\textsubscript{off} = 7.9 ± 0.6 s\textsuperscript{-1} at 25 °C, as previously reported (Brown, Martin & Bayley 1997). The calcium dissociation from 0.5 μM CaMRR2 determined by monitoring the anisotropy change of the rhodamine label at Cys148 was four times slower than that observed for CaM, with k\textsubscript{off} = 1.9 ± 0.1 s\textsuperscript{-1} at 25 °C. The slow Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dissociation from CaMRR2 could be likely due to stabilization of the calcium exposed hydrophobic
cavity at the C-terminus by the rhodamine label or due to measurement of a structural change sequential to calcium dissociation.

**Figure 5.8.** Dissociation kinetics of (a) 10 μM CaM and 1 μM DRE29 and (b) 1 μM CaMRR2 and 10 μM DREAM upon addition of 1mM EDTA monitored using T-format fluorescence anisotropy as a function of temperature. (c) Eyring plot analysis of the dissociation constant as a function of temperature, solid lines represent best linear fit to the data.

On the other hand, mixing of Ca\(^{2+}\)CaM:DRE29 and Ca\(^{2+}\)CaMRR2:DREAM with 2 mM EDTA resulted in a well-resolved decrease in fluorescence anisotropy indicative of complex dissociation upon Ca\(^{2+}\) removal (Figure 5.8a-b). The dissociation of CaM:DRE29 and CaMRR2:DREAM were best fitted by a double and single exponential decay functions, respectively, Table 5.1. The dissociation rate of CaM with the DRE29 peptide and DREAM protein were k\(_{off}\) = 2.3 ± 0.2 s\(^{-1}\) and k\(_{off}\) = 1.5 ± 0.1 s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The amplitude of the lag phase observed in the CaM:DRE29 accounted for about 22 % of the signal change with k\(_{off}\) = 8.3 ± 3.5 s\(^{-1}\). The dissociation of Ca\(^{2+}\) from CaM and CaMRR2 as well as CaM:DRE29 and CaMRR2:DREAM complexes was measured in the temperature range of 6.5 °C to 24.7 °C and the decay times are listed in Table 5.2. Analysis of the dissociation rates as a function of temperature using Eyring plots(Eyring 1935) shows a larger contribution of the enthalpic component to the activation parameters of Ca\(^{2+}\) dissociation from CaM and CaM:DRE29, Table 5.1.
### Table 5.1. Activation parameters for CaM:DRE29 and CaMRR2:DREAM dissociation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$k_{off}$ (s$^{-1}$)$^a$</th>
<th>$\Delta H^\ddagger$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$T\Delta S^\ddagger$ (kcal/mol)$^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CaM</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-3.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaM-DRE29$_{fast}$</td>
<td>8.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>13.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-2.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaM-DRE29$_{slow}$</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.16</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaMRR2</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-8.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaMRR2:DREAM$^b$</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>13.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-4.0 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ At 298 K
$^b$ Activation enthalpy and entropy corrected for the fraction of DREAM bound, $f_b = 0.57$ based on the concentration of DREAM of 5 μM and a $K_d = 3.3$ μM.

### Table 5.2 Dissociation kinetics of calcium bound CaM:DRE29 and CaMRR2:DREAM complexes upon addition of EDTA as a function of temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>CaM</th>
<th>CaM:DRE29</th>
<th>CaM:DRE29</th>
<th>CaMRR2</th>
<th>CaMRR2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fast</td>
<td>slow</td>
<td>fast</td>
<td>slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>127 ± 9</td>
<td>121 ± 51</td>
<td>438 ± 30</td>
<td>532 ± 19</td>
<td>675 ± 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>184 ± 8</td>
<td>179 ± 26</td>
<td>645 ± 25</td>
<td>713 ± 32</td>
<td>960 ± 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>235 ± 9</td>
<td>260 ± 64</td>
<td>992 ± 43</td>
<td>898 ± 38</td>
<td>1247 ± 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>328 ± 18</td>
<td>355 ± 71</td>
<td>1514 ± 53</td>
<td>1066 ± 44</td>
<td>1823 ± 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>555 ± 16</td>
<td>586 ± 26</td>
<td>2590 ± 59</td>
<td>1442 ± 74</td>
<td>2336 ± 92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Decay parameters recovered by using a double exponential decay function, where the fast and slow decay lifetimes correspond to Ca$^{2+}$ and peptide dissociation, respectively.
The activation parameters for Ca\textsuperscript{2+}CaM (ΔH\textsuperscript{‡} = 12.9 ± 0.5 kcal/mol and TΔS\textsuperscript{‡} = -3.5 ± 0.5 kcal/mol) and the fast phase of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}CaM:DRE29 (ΔH\textsuperscript{‡} = 13.8 ± 0.4 kcal/mol and TΔS\textsuperscript{‡} = -2.7 ± 0.2 kcal/mol) are identical, and likely correspond to the same process. On the other hand, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dissociation activation parameters for CaMRR2 show enthalpy and entropy contributions of ΔH\textsuperscript{‡} = 8.6 ± 0.6 kcal/mol and TΔS\textsuperscript{‡} = -8.6 ± 0.6 kcal/mol. The slow phase of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dissociation observed in the presence of the DRE29 peptide also shows a large enthalpy barrier with ΔH\textsuperscript{‡} = 16.1 ± 0.3 kcal/mol and TΔS\textsuperscript{‡} = -1.1 ± 0.2 kcal/mol. In the presence of the full-length DREAM protein, the dissociation kinetics from CaMRR2 were not significantly different (Table 5.1) than those in the absence of DREAM.

Figure 5.9. Frequency-domain fluorescence decay of apo and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}CaM-IAEDANS (b) Frequency-domain anisotropy decay of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound CaM-IAEDANS in the absence and presence of DREAM. Phase delay and modulation ratio are shown as open and solid symbols, respectively. Solid lines represent the best fit using multi-exponential decay models, recovered parameters are listed in Table 5.3 and 5.4.

Therefore, the recovered CaMRR2 activation parameters likely arise from a combination of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and protein dissociation activation barriers. The protein dissociation activation parameters can be approximated by subtracting the activation barrier for CaMRR2 from those of CaMRR2:DREAM, which result in ΔH\textsuperscript{‡} = 4.9 ± 0.5 kcal/mol and TΔS\textsuperscript{‡} = 4.6 ±
0.8 kcal/mol. These results show that the core domain of DREAM facilitates the
dissociation of residues 29-44 from CaMRR2 by lowering the enthalpic dissociation
activation barrier.

Table 5.3. Photophysical properties of DRE29 and CaM-IAEDANS upon
complex formation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\Gamma_{ss}$</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\alpha_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\alpha_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DRE29</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRE29:CaM</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAEDANS</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoCaM</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$CaM</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoCaM:DREAM</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$CaM:DREAM</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recovered parameters from global analysis of at least three independent
measurements of the phase delay and modulation ratio using a Gaussian or
double exponential decay model. The lifetime parameters ($\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$) were set as linked
variables, and the pre-exponential parameters ($\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$) as free variables; $\chi^2$ represents the goodness of the
fit. (a) A Gaussian lifetime distribution was used, with recovered width of 0.5 ns for DRE29 and 1.0 ns for
DRE29:CaM complex. Sample concentrations were: 0.5 μM DRE29 and 10 μM CaM
or 10 μM CaM-IAEDANS and 20 μM DREAM.

5.2.6 The DREAM-CaM protein complex forms a near spherical heterotetramer.

We employed time-resolved fluorescence anisotropy decay to recover the rotational
correlation time of calmodulin and DRE29 as well as the CaM:DREAM complex.
Fluorescence emission spectra and fluorescence lifetime decay of FITC labeled
DREAM(29-44) show no changes upon binding of calmodulin. Analysis of the frequency
domain fluorescence decay using a Gaussian model yields satisfactory fits for the DRE29
peptide and CaM:DRE29 complex, frequency domain data and decay parameters shown in
Figure 5.9 and Table 5.3, respectively. A bimodal model was necessary to accurately fit
the anisotropy decay data and the recovered rotational correlation times for the CaM:DRE29 complex are listed in Table 5.4. The fast rotational correlation time of 0.57 ns for DRE29 accounts for 57 % of the depolarization and can be attributed to a local motion of the fluorophore. The second rotational correlation time of 2.0 ns for the DRE29 peptide is about twofold larger than that expected for a spherical peptide of 1.0 ns, calculated using the Einstein-Stokes equation (2.6 kDa and 0.3 mL/g hydration) (Einstein 1905).

### Table 5.4. Anisotropy decay parameters of DRE29 and CaM-IAEDANS upon complex formation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\theta_1$ (ns)</th>
<th>$f_1$</th>
<th>$\theta_2$ (ns)</th>
<th>$f_2$</th>
<th>$\theta_3$ (ns)</th>
<th>$f_3$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DRE29</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$CaM: DRE29</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoCaM</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$CaM</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoCaM:DREAM</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$CaM:DREAM</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recovered from global analysis of at least three independent measurements of the phase and modulation data using a double exponential decay model. Decay parameters ($\theta_1$, $\theta_2$ and $\theta_3$) were set as linked variables, and the fractional depolarization ($f_1$, $f_2$ and $f_3$) were set as free variables, $\chi^2$ represents the goodness of the fit. Sample conditions identical to those on Table 2.

The CaM:DRE29 complex shows a similar local rotational time of 0.61 ns while the global motion increased to 10.8 ns. The expected rotational time of a sphere with molecular weight similar to that of the CaM:DRE29 complex (19 kDa and 0.30 mL/g hydration) yields a rotational diffusion time of 8.0 ns. The discrepancies observed could be due to deviations from a spherical conformation and/or a higher protein hydration. These results show that CaM binds to DRE29 as a monomer to form a complex with nearly spherical shape, likely similar to the often observed wrap around conformation of CaM:peptide complexes (Yamniuk, Vogel 2004).
To investigate the oligomerization state of calmodulin bound to full-length DREAM we employed a fluorescently labeled CaM-IAEDANS construct. The long fluorescence lifetime decay and solvent sensitivity of IAEDANS allow us to resolve slower correlation times as well as changes in the probe environment (Hudson, Weber 1973b). The fluorescence emission spectra of CaM-IAEDANS upon calcium binding shows an increase in fluorescence and a blue shift from 495 nm to 484 nm (Figure 5.9a). A modest increase in the steady-state anisotropy can be observed upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to CaM-IAEDANS, from \(r_{ss} = 0.049\) to \(r_{ss} = 0.081\). Additional information is obtained from lifetime measurements, which show a small increase in lifetime from 16.9 ns for apoCaM to 18.9 ns Ca\(^{2+}\) bound CaM and a change in pre-exponential factor \(\alpha_2\) of 25%, likely due to a decrease in solvent accessibility of the probe, Table 1. Analysis of \(\chi^2\) surface of the phase/modulation fits also reveal that in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\), the C-terminus domain of CaM is more heterogeneous and solvent exposed based on the smaller \(\tau_2\), Figure 5.9b. The rotational correlation times recovered from the fit of the anisotropy decay yield values of 0.51 ns and 0.64 ns for the local motion of IAEDANS attached to apo and calcium bound CaM, respectively, and 6.7 ns and 8.1 ns for the global motion of the apo and calcium bound CaM, respectively. The increase in \(\theta_1\) is significant (Figure 5.9c) and correlates with a decrease in local flexibility of the Cys148 region. On the other hand, increase in \(\theta_2\) upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding is likely due to a more rigid and elongated structure of Ca\(^{2+}\)CaM in solution. Nonetheless, the rotational correlation times are in good agreement with the expected rotational time for CaM-IAEDANS of 7.1 ns (17.2 kDa and 0.3 mL/g hydration). Overall, these results indicate that association of calcium induces the region near Cys148 to become less flexible and solvent
restricted, which is in good agreement with the rearrangement of CaM structure upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding (Chattopadhyaya et al. 1992, Kuboniwa et al. 1995).

Figure 5.10. (a) Fluorescence emission spectra transition of 5 μM CaM-IAEDANS upon association with 20 μM DREAM. (b) Global fluorescence decay lifetime \(\chi^2\) surface for the two component exponential decay of CaM-IAEDANS in the presence (red) or absence (black) of Ca\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\)CaM-IAEDANS:DREAM (blue) and Ca\(^{2+}\) free complex (green). Rotational correlation time \(\chi^2\) surface from the global analysis of the anisotropy decay data using a two component exponential decay for CaM-IAEDANS and triple exponential decay model for the CaM-IAEDANS:DREAM complex, color scheme as in panel b.

We also investigated the effects of CaM-IAEDANS association with DREAM and found that the emission of Ca\(^{2+}\) bound CaM-IAEDANS increases two-fold upon addition of DREAM with a maximum at 475 nm (Figure 5.10a). A small increase in intensity and blue shift to 486 nm was also observed for apoCaM-IAEDANS in the presence of DREAM. The fluorescence decay lifetime of Ca\(^{2+}\)CaM-IAEDANS was affected by association with DREAM, showing an increase in the long fluorescence decay lifetime from \(\tau_2 = 18.9\) ns to \(\tau_2 = 21.9\) ns. A larger increase in \(\tau_2\) was observed for Ca\(^{2+}\) free CaM-IAEDANS upon addition of DREAM (from 16.9 ns to 21.1 ns); however, the amplitude of this decay was about four-fold lower than that of the Ca\(^{2+}\)CaM-IAEDANS:DREAM complex. Further analysis of the fluorescence of CaM-IAEDANS using anisotropy decay shows that in addition to the local and global rotation of apo and Ca\(^{2+}\)CaM-IAEDANS, a third rotation with correlation time of 56 ns and 47 ns is present (Figure 5.10c and Table 5.4). The
rotational correlation time for the Ca\(^{2+}\)CaM-IAEDANS:DREAM complex is similar to that expected for a spherical CaM:DREAM heterotetramer (calc. 40 ns at 0.3 ml/g hydration). The larger rotation for the apoCaM-IAEDANS:DREAM complex could be due to the presence of a mixture of oligomers. Analysis of the $\chi^2$ surface reveals that the rotation of the CaM-IAEDANS:DREAM complex is heterogeneous, ranging from 43 ns to 52 ns and 51 ns to 61 ns in the presence and absence of Ca\(^{2+}\), respectively (Figure 5.10c). Such a heterogeneity likely arises from an extended and flexible conformation of the protein complex. These results indicate that not only calcium bound CaM can interact with DREAM but also the apo form, likely with a significantly lower affinity. The solvent accessibility of IAEDANS decreases upon protein complex formation, suggesting that Cys148 forms part of the protein interface. It also supports a model where a Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM dimer associates with two Ca\(^{2+}\)CaM to form a near spherical heterotetramer.

5.2.7 Molecular dynamics simulations support a model in which the N-terminus of DREAM interacts with an electrostatic patch on the surface of DREAM.

Figure 5.11. Initial structure of DREAM built using the protocol described in the Material and Methods section, where the structure derived from the published NMR is shown in green and the predicted structure of residues 1 to 75 is shown in orange with the CaM binding site highlighted in red licorice model. Calcium atoms are shown as yellow spheres.
To improve our understanding of the interaction between the N-terminus and the core domain of DREAM, we conducted multiple molecular dynamics simulations of using three different strategies starting with the initial structure shown in Figure 5.11. Our initial approach to model the N-terminus of DREAM as an extended domain with partial alpha-helical structure followed by 80 ns cMD simulation resulted in structures which are shown on Fig. 5.12a.

![Figure 5.12](image)

**Figure 5.12.** Molecular dynamics simulation of DREAM using an initial model constructed by combining a peptide model for residues 1 to 76 with the published NMR structure of DREAM (residues 76 to 256) shown in green. The alpha-helical section of the N-terminus domain was predicted using secondary prediction tools and shown in orange, the CaM binding region corresponding to residues 29-44 is shown in red licorice. All structures are aligned using residues 76 to 256; calcium is shown as yellow spheres. (a) Two final structures of DREAM after 80 ns classical MD simulations. (b) Three final structures of DREAM after 30 ns accelerated MD simulations. (c) Three independent structures of DREAM after 1000 ns of coarse grained molecular dynamics simulation in the absence of Ca²⁺, showing a collapsed N-terminus. The hydrophobic surface known to mediate ligand binding on DREAM is highlighted by a blue ellipse, the electronegative surface identified on Figure 5.1 is highlighted in black.

We observed that during the simulation most of the alpha helices are retained and there is a partial contraction of the structure. The extended conformation of the N-terminus likely arises from insufficient simulation time. To overcome the computational cost of longer cMD simulations, we performed three additional aMD simulations where an additional energy was applied to the dihedral angles. This type of simulation results in
larger conformational space sampling, and as can be seen in Figure 5.12b the N-terminus of DREAM adopts a more unfolded and collapsed structure. Nonetheless, the CaM binding region is still significantly accessible to solution. Finally, we modeled DREAM using a residue based coarse grained approach, which significantly decreases computational costs and increases sampling time. In Figure 5.12c, we show three representative all-atom structures obtained from coarse grained simulations which clearly show a collapse of the N-terminus. Furthermore, all of our simulation resulted in a collapse of the N-terminus near the electronegative surface of DREAM (shown as black ellipse) and away from the hydrophobic cavity (blue ellipse).

5.2.8 CaM modulates the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) dependent association of DREAM and DNA

It has been well reported that DREAM interacts with the DRE promoter sequence of many genes, including c-fos, c-jun, and prodynorphin (Osawa et al. 2005, Carrion et al. 1999, Ledo et al. 2000). It was proposed that the interaction between DREAM and its target DNA is mediated by basic residues residing on the entering helix of EF-hand 1 (Lys101, Arg98, Lys91 and Lys90) which form an electropositive region at the N-terminus (Figure 5.1d). Additionally, DREAM(Δ65) binds DNA with about two-fold lower affinity than the full-length DREAM(Osawa et al. 2005). We hypothesize that the presence of numerous basic residues at the N-terminus of DREAM enhance its interaction with DNA and that association with CaM may result in a decrease in DNA binding affinity.
Figure 5.13. Fluorescence anisotropy increase due to 0.5 μM FITC labeled dsDNA homologous to the DRE sequence of human prodynorphin gene binding to 5 μM DREAM in the presence or absence of Ca$^{2+}$. Addition of CaM in increasing concentrations (0 μM, 20 μM, 40 μM and 60 μM) results in a concomitant decrease in fluorescence anisotropy. Chelation of Ca$^{2+}$ by 2 mM EDTA results in an increase in anisotropy due to dsDNA association with DREAM, even in the presence of 60 μM CaM.

We employed a fluorescently labeled dsDNA homologous to the DRE sequence of the human *prodynorphin* gene and monitored the change in fluorescence anisotropy in the presence of DREAM and CaM. As shown in Figure 5.13, addition of 5 μM DREAM results in a sharp increase in fluorescence anisotropy in the presence or absence of Ca$^{2+}$. Furthermore, upon addition of increasing concentrations of CaM a decrease in anisotropy is observed, only in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$. Addition of 2 mM EDTA results in the reversal of the CaM induced decrease in anisotropy, to a value identical to that observed for DREAM in the absence of CaM. These results support the idea that in the presence of the N-terminus, Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM interacts with DNA, unless CaM is present to sequester its N-terminus domain.
5.3 Discussion

The principal goal of this study is to present a model for the interface between the CaM:DREAM protein complex. Based on our results, it is clear that residues 29-44 of DREAM associate with CaM in a Ca\(^{2+}\) dependent manner with \(K_d \sim 136\) nM. Association of CaM at the N-terminus results in a blue shift and increase in tryptophan fluorescence on DREAM as well as on the DRE29 peptide, likely due to solvent restriction of Trp32 (Figure 5.2). The calcium dependency of CaM association with DRE29 peptide is similar to that of the intact DREAM protein; however, the affinity is decreased to \(K_d = 3.3 \pm 0.9\) μM. This indicates that the core domain of DREAM (residues comprising helix 1 to 10) contribute to a decrease in binding energy of about 1.8 kcal/mol. Such a decrease in the free energy of the complex could be due to larger steric hindrance of residues 29 to 44 in the presence of the rest of the protein, or due to a direct interaction between the N-terminus residues and the core domain of DREAM. Indeed, titration of residues 29 – 44 with DREAM(Δ65) or DREAM shows the formation of a complex, with \(K_d \sim 0.3\) mM (~ -3 kcal/mol). Such a low affinity for the N-terminus domain with the core domain of DREAM is not irrelevant. The local concentration of the N-terminus can be calculated to be ~3 mM, assuming an extended conformation of DREAM of about 100 Å (0.5x10\(^{-21}\) L), which would result in approximately 91 % of the N-terminus being associated with the core domain of DREAM. However, it is likely that the interaction between DRE29 and DREAM is favored by increased peptide flexibility. Therefore, using a \(K_d \sim 5\) mM would be more appropriate (calculated from the decrease in binding energy of 1.8 kcal/mol), which yields that only about 60 % of the N-terminus domain is accessible to bind CaM. The collapse of the N-terminus seems to be nonspecific and mainly driven by electrostatic interactions. This
conclusion explains why the hydrophobic cavity of DREAM at the C-terminus is not compromised and can efficiently bind small hydrophobic ligands such as 1,8-ANS and NS5806 as well as large peptides such as the N-terminus of Kv4.3 (Figure 5.5). Moreover, the puzzling calcium independent association of DREAM with Kv4.3, indicates that the N-terminus of DREAM is able to modify the accessibility of the hydrophobic groove. A similar effect was observed for NS5806 (Chapter 4), and it is possible that the presence of the N-terminus results in a destabilization of the hydrophobic groove which facilitates association with large hydrophobic peptides such as Kv4.3. A calcium independent binding of KChIPs and Kv4 channels has been observed using full-length proteins (An et al. 2000, Pioletti et al. 2006). However, we and others have reported a calcium dependent association of KChIP1 (which lacks the extended N-terminus domain) and DREAM(Δ65) with fragments of Kv4 (Gonzalez, Pham & Miksovska 2014, Li et al. 2014, Gonzalez, Miksovska 2013). Therefore, the results presented here support a mechanism where presence of the N-terminus in DREAM enhance association with Kv4 channels, whereas its truncation by caspase-3 likely results in disruption of the DREAM:Kv4 complex in the absence of calcium (Choi et al. 2001).

Despite the observed flexibility of the N-terminus domain, far and near UV CD spectra support a model where the first 65 residues do not form a random coil, but rather a partial helical structure. This is supported by bioinformatics analysis which highlights a region of high helical propensity, here named $\alpha_0$ (residues 27-53). Additionally, analysis of the disorder propensity of the DREAM amino acid sequence reveals that the N-terminus is comprised of two disordered regions connected by a structured segment, identified as $\alpha_0$. The N-terminus tryptophan (Trp32 and Trp50) within $\alpha_0$ undergo a structural
reorganization upon calcium binding which correlates with an increase in solvent polarity (Nagai et al. 2012). However, contribution to the observed near-UV ellipticity change upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding being due to the disulfide bond between Cys45 and Cys46 cannot be ruled out (Woody 2012, Kelly, Jess & Price 2005a). We hypothesize that upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding the surface charge reduction on DREAM as well as structural rearrangements may lead to a decrease in the collapse of the N-terminus, thus inducing an increase in solvent exposure of the N-terminus. Near-UV data also does not support a model where the N-terminus collapses into the hydrophobic cavity, which would result in a reversal of the observed transition.

Similarly to other CaM binding peptides, the association between CaM and the N-terminus of DREAM is fast and close to the diffusion limit (Brown, Martin & Bayley 1997, Kasturi, Vasulka & Johnson 1993). On the other hand, dissociation of CaM from DRE29 proceeds only after dissociation of Ca\(^{2+}\) from CaM. Whether the dissociation requires dissociation of all Ca\(^{2+}\) ions or only those at the CaM C-terminus domain is not revealed by these experiments. However, the observed displacement of the DRE29 peptide by TFP bound at the C-terminus highlights the possibility that this domain plays a major role in complex formation. The fluorescence anisotropy change upon Ca\(^{2+}\) dissociation from CaMRR2 shows a much slower kinetics than that observed using tyrosine residues located at the C-terminus domain. The position of the rhodamine label at the C-terminus on the exiting helix of EF-hand 4 near the hydrophobic pocket may allow the xanthene group to stabilize the open conformation which results in much slower transition of CaM to the closed apo form. Furthermore, the temperature dependence of the dissociation kinetics allows us to extract the activation enthalpy and entropy for the dissociation of DRE29 and
DREAM from apoCaM. These thermodynamic parameters reveal that the presence of the core domain of DREAM resulted in approximately 3-fold lower enthalpy of activation than dissociation of DRE29 (16.1 kcal/mol versus 4.9 kcal/mol). We hypothesize that this difference arises from an electrostatic attraction of the N-terminus domain involved in CaM binding to the electronegative side of DREAM, Figure 5.1. On the other hand, the positive 4.0 kcal/mol activation entropy for CaMRR2 dissociation from DREAM compared to -1.1 kcal/mol for the DRE29 dissociation shows that the dissociation transition states are not identical. These results support our hypothesis that there is an electrostatic interaction between the N-terminus domain and the core domain of DREAM, as well as the idea that CaM decreases the disorder of the N-terminus which likely explains the increase in solubility of DREAM.

DREAM has been shown to undergo an oligomerization change upon binding of calcium; however, there seems to be some disagreement among the reported oligomerization states. We have recently reported that in the absence of calcium, the DREAM(Δ65) construct forms a stable tetramer in solution and a dimer upon calcium binding (Chapter 3). In contrast, Ramachandran and coworkers observed a dimer to monomer transition upon calcium binding to DREAM (Ramachandran et al. 2012), which is contrary to the initial finding favoring a tetramer to dimer transition (Osawa et al. 2001). The disagreement could be likely due to different expression methodologies (GST fusion vs inclusion body refolding), and/or sample conditions (high salt versus low salt and 10 mM LDAO). Therefore, to understand the impact of CaM association with DREAM we determined the structural arrangement and oligomerization of CaM:DRE29 and CaM:DREAM complexes using steady-state and time-resolved spectroscopy.
Figure 5.14. Cartoon representation of the proposed model for a heterotetrameric DREAM:CaM complex. The DREAM dimer is shown in cyan and calmodulin is shown in orange, calcium is shown as yellow spheres.

The fluorescence properties of the IAEDANS labeled CaM shows a clear transition upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding which include an increase in fluorescence, a blue shift in emission as well as slower decay lifetime and rotational correlation time of the protein. These results are in good agreement with the known transition of CaM from a flexible conformation in the apo form to a more rigid and elongated structure in the presence of calcium with concomitant exposure of a hydrophobic surface at the C-terminus (Chattopadhyaya et al. 1992, Kuboniwa et al. 1995). Association of CaM-IAEDANS with DREAM results in an even more dramatic increased fluorescence, a larger blue shift and increased decay lifetime as well as a more complex rotational decay. Noticeably, CaM and DRE29 form a spherical heterodimer whereas a heterotetramer was observed for the CaM-IAEDANS:DREAM complex, which supports a stoichiometry of 1:1. Moreover, the fact that Ca$^{2+}$DREAM forms a dimer in the presence of CaM supports the idea that CaM association does not disrupt the dimer interface which is partially solvent protected in calcium bound DREAM
dimer and likely does not affect the accessibility of the hydrophobic groove on DREAM or its interaction with hydrophobic partners (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014, Gonzalez, Pham & Miksovska 2014, Lusin et al. 2008). With these results in mind, we propose that in the presence of Ca\(^+\), DREAM and CaM form heterotetrameric complex as shown in figure 5.14.

Multiple simulations of a DREAM model derived from bioinformatics analysis (Figure 5.13) show that the N-terminus of DREAM likely collapses on a negative surface adjacent to the hydrophobic surface. The interaction between the core domain and the N-terminus of DREAM is mainly mediated by Asp and Glu residues on the exiting helix of EF-2 and EE-4 and entering helix of EF-3 as well as on the loop of EF-4. The position of the N-terminus also does not conflict with the proposed structure of KChIPs bound to the T1-domain of Kv4 channels (Pioletti et al. 2006, Wang et al. 2007). It is well known that the drosophila shaker channels (homologous to Kv4 in humans) can inactivate through pore occlusion mediated by a long and flexible positively charged N-terminus (Antz et al. 1997). The positive charge and conformational flexibility of the N-terminus domain of DREAM could highlight the role of this domain in modulation of Kv4 channels through a mechanism similar to that of shaker channels. Moreover, the divergent amino acid sequence among the KChIP subfamily of Ca\(^{2+}\) binding proteins could explain the observed variation in modulation of K\(^+\) currents by KChIPs.

Finally, binding of CaM to DREAM shows a clear effect on the DREAM interactions with the DRE sequence of the prodynorphin gene, an effect which likely translates to other DRE promoted genes regulated by DREAM (Ledo et al. 2000). Our observation that DREAM associates with DNA independent of calcium is in contrast to
previous work (Osawa et al. 2005). However, we believe that the discrepancy arise from different experimental conditions since previous ITC experiments were conducted in the presence of LDAO. We observed that both LDAO and CaM increase the solubility of DREAM, and it is possible that LDAO association with DREAM modulates the interactions between DRE and DREAM and potentially inhibits the interaction between Ca$^{2+}$DREAM and DNA. Indeed, we have observed inhibition of the CaM:DREAM interaction by LDAO as well as a strong interaction between DRE29 peptide and DRE dsDNA with LDAO (data not shown). The EC$_{50}$ for Ca$^{2+}$CaM induced DREAM DNA dissociation observed here is relatively high, ~20 $\mu$M. However, the high intra-cellular concentrations of CaM, the known Ca$^{2+}$ induced translocation of CaM from the cytosol to the nucleus as well as the Ca$^{2+}$ induced increase in the freely diffusing CaM concentration could facilitate the formation of DREAM:CAM complex and dissociation of DREAM from DNA (Deisseroth, Heist & Tsien 1998, Zaidi et al. 2004). It is also possible that post-translational modification such as myristoylation/palmytoylation at Cys45/Cys46 could enhance the affinity between CaM and DREAM and decrease the observed EC50, an effect which has been observed in other CaM binding proteins (Takasaki et al. 1999).

5.4 Summary

We have shown that an alpha-helical region at the N-terminus of DREAM comprising residues 29 to 44 contains a CaM binding surface. The Ca$^{2+}$ dependent association of CaM with DREAM increases its solubility in the presence of calcium. We also demonstrate that the N-terminus of DREAM forms a flexible Ca$^{2+}$ sensitive domain, which can collapse on the core domain of DREAM, but does not block the hydrophobic cavity at the C-terminus. The protein complexes between CaM and DRE29 or DREAM
follow a 1 to 1 stoichiometry, in good agreement with a heterodimer and heterotetramer oligomerization. Overall, our biochemical studies provide insight into the molecular mechanism of the CaM:DREAM complex and the impact of CaM association to DREAM and open up the possibility of CaM:DREAM complex being involved in gene regulation. The complex behavior of the N-terminus in DREAM highlights the functional diversity of members of the KChIPs group of calcium binding proteins, which share low homology in this region. These results also provide a roadmap for the design of a molecular model of CaM:DREAM complex, which may shed light on the understanding of these multifunctional proteins, and how they affect downstream binding partners such as Kv4 channels, DNA, and presenilin.
6. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE PHOTOPHYSICAL, THERMODYNAMIC AND STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF THE TERBIUM(III)-DREAM COMPLEX

6.1 Background and significance

DREAM, is a 29 kDa multifunctional Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensing protein found in different neuronal cell compartments (Carrion et al. 1999). Outside the nucleus, DREAM interacts with presenilin to regulate amyloid precursor protein processing and with potassium channels to regulate their membrane translocation and gating (An et al. 2000, Buxbaum et al. 1998). Moreover, DREAM represents a new class of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensing protein able to translocate to the nucleus and directly bind DNA (Carrion et al. 1999). In the nucleus, it regulates prodynorphin and c-fos gene expression by binding to the DRE regulatory sequence of those genes (Carrion et al. 1999, Cheng et al.). Association of DREAM with the DRE promoter regions in the absence of calcium leads to inhibition of gene transcription. These genes have been shown to be involved in apoptosis, cell homeostasis, and pain modulation (Costigan, Woolf 2002, Fontán-Lozano et al. 2009). The role of DREAM in pain sensing, memory retention, learning and Alzheimer’s disease highlight the multifunctional properties of this protein (Fontán-Lozano et al. 2009). As a calcium signal transducer, DREAM does not possess endogenous catalytic activity and its regulatory effect in biological processes arises from interaction with numerous binding partners. Therefore, understanding how calcium and other metals trigger structural changes on DREAM, and how this protein reorganization controls target recognition, would provide important insight into its mechanism of action.
The three dimensional structure of Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM has been obtained through NMR and is presented in Figure 6.1a (Lusin et al. 2008). DREAM has four EF-hand motifs of which EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 are able to bind Ca\(^{2+}\), while EF-hand 1 is unable to bind either Mg\(^{2+}\) or Ca\(^{2+}\). The coordination of calcium/magnesium in the EF-hand motif has been widely studied and it has been shown to form hexa- or hepta-coordination with oxygen atoms of proteins in order to form a pentagonal bipyramidal coordination (Figure 6.1b and c) (Gifford, Walsh & Vogel 2007a, da Silva, Kendrick-Jones & Reinach 1995). The oxygen-donating amino acids of the calcium selective metal binding loop in EF-hands follow a common organization such that the 1\(^{st}\), 3\(^{rd}\), 5\(^{th}\), positions are either an aspartic or an asparagine amino acid, while the amino acid at position 12\(^{th}\) is a well conserved glutamic acid (Figure 6.1b). Modulation of metal affinity and selectivity arises from distinct combinations of negatively charged amino acids at these positions. For instance, the EF-hand 2 of DREAM has been proposed to selectively bind Mg\(^{2+}\) due to Glu \(\rightarrow\) Asp mutation at the 12\(^{th}\) position, which eliminates the hepta-coordination necessary for strong binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Kretsinger, Nockolds 1973, Craig et al. 2002). Similarly, the presence of a lysine at the 1\(^{st}\), proline at the 5\(^{th}\), and aspartic acid at the 12\(^{th}\) position render the EF-hand 1 of DREAM unable to bind most metals (Craig et al. 2002, Osawa et al. 2001).

Association of calcium at the metal binding loop of the active EF-hand pair at the C-terminus of DREAM, induces a structural rearrangement which leads to exposure of a hydrophobic surface as well as changes in oligomerization state (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014, Osawa et al. 2001). However, details about the underlying molecular mechanism by which calcium binds and induces structural changes on DREAM are not known. Nonetheless, experiments using NMR to monitor the glycine residues in the EF-hand loops
and the associated chemical shift broadening upon metal binding would provide insight into the role of amino acids of DREAM. Of particular interest is the use of lanthanide ions, which have been shown to possess similar physical properties to calcium ions, and have been widely applied to study the metal binding properties of EF-hands (Mustafi et al. 2004) (Martin, Richardson 1979). Additionally, lanthanide-protein complexes have been shown to undergo magnetic alignment during NMR experiments, which is of great help in elucidating the three dimensional structure of protein-metal and protein-protein complexes (Pintacuda et al. 2006). The advantage of employing lanthanides to understand the mechanism of calcium binding is their unique luminescent properties as well as their ability to effectively displace calcium from EF-hand loops. Replacement of Ca$^{2+}$ by Tb$^{3+}$ has also been shown to induce structural changes on the EF-hand loops which are highly homologous to those observed upon calcium binding (Rao et al. 1996).

In this chapter, we implement a combination of fluorescence, luminescence, TIMS-MS, and calorimetric techniques to show that Tb$^{3+}$ binds at the EF-hands of DREAM and functions as a calcium biomimetic. Moreover, we show that association of Tb$^{3+}$ at EF-hand 3 and 4 of DREAM leads to a calcium-like conformation with similar hydrophobic surface exposure, oligomeric transition, and ion-neutral collisional cross section (CCS) as that observed for the Ca$^{2+}$ bound protein. Nonetheless, we observe small deviations in the dynamics of the environment near Trp169 as well as secondary structure organization, indicating that not all aspects of Tb$^{3+}$ binding are identical to Ca$^{2+}$. Using ITC and the fluorescent properties of Tb$^{3+}$ we are able to gain insight into the role of Mg$^{2+}$ and ligand binding to DREAM.
Figure 6.1. (a) NMR structure of DREAM monomer with highlighted hydrophobic residues (gray mesh) and charged residues (red mesh) (pdb entry 2JUL). The four EF-hand of DREAM are shown in green (EF-hand 1), orange (EF-hand 2), blue (EF-hand 3), and red (EF-hand 4). Calcium are shown as an orange sphere. (b) Coordination geometry of calcium bound to EF-hand 3 (left) and EF-hand 4 (right). Residues involved in coordination of Ca$^{2+}$ are shown in licorice model. EF-hand 3 shows a clear pentagonal bipyramidal coordination whereas EF-hand 4 is distorted. (c) Geometry and consensus sequence of EF-hand binding loops as well as sequence homology between neuronal calcium sensors and calmodulin at the metal binding loops.

6.2 Results

The well-known calcium biomimetic behavior of europium(III), terbium(III), and neodymium (III) as well as the unique spectroscopic properties of protein:lanthanide complexes have been widely employed to characterize the sequence of metal binding to calcium binding proteins (Mulqueen, Tingey & Horrocks 1985, Chaudhuri et al. 1997, Kilhoffer, Gerard & Demaille 1980), to observe protein conformational heterogeneity (Austin, Stein & Wang 1987), to determine water coordination of metals bound at the EF-hand motif (Horrocks, Sudnick 1979), and as binding assays (Hagan, Zuchner 2011).
Therefore, we envisioned that the properties of lanthanides could be employed to obtain information on the biophysical properties of DREAM protein. However, the association of lanthanides with the KChIP subfamily of calcium binding proteins has not been extensively studied. Thus, we first set forth to determine whether Tb$^{3+}$ is able to directly associate with the EF-hand of DREAM. This is important, since previous studies on DREAM(Δ65) using mass spectrometry and studies on NCS-1 using sensitized emission have presented contradicting results on whether Tb$^{3+}$ can bind to neuronal calcium sensors (Craig et al. 2002, Fisher et al. 2000). Additionally, we are interested in investigating whether Tb$^{3+}$ binding induces structural changes on DREAM homologous to those observed for calcium.

6.2.1 Terbium(III) binds to DREAM and is sensitized by energy transfer from W169

Calmodulin and DREAM are well known to undergo distinct structural changes upon binding of calcium, these structural changes are accompanied by changes in fluorescence of tyrosine and tryptophan residues, respectively (Carrion et al. 1999, Drabikowski, Brzeska & Venyaminov SYu 1982). These fluorescence transitions of these proteins are shown in Figure 8.2a and 8.2b. Upon calcium binding the tyrosine fluorescence of CaM increases whereas the tryptophan fluorescence of DREAM decreases, in agreement with previous reports. Of particular interest is the observation that in the presence of Tb$^{3+}$, at molar ratios of 4:1 for CaM and 2:1 for DREAM, the fluorescence emission are nearly identical to that observed in the presence of saturating calcium (Figure 6.2a, b).
Figure 6.2: Intrinsic fluorescence changes of 40 μM (a) CaM and (b) DREAM(Δ65) upon binding of 1 mM calcium or 160 μM Tb³⁺ on CaM or 80 μM Tb³⁺ for DREAM(Δ65), excited at 280 ± 4 nm. The observed tyrosine fluorescence change upon Tb³⁺ binding to CaM shows a small deviation from that observed for Ca²⁺, likely due to quenching of the fluorescence by energy transfer to Tb³⁺. The Tb³⁺ induced transition for DREAM(Δ65) is identical to that observed in the presence of Ca²⁺. Sensitized emission of (c) 160 μM Tb³⁺ bound to CaM and (d) 80 μM Tb³⁺ bound to DREAM(Δ65), excited at 280 nm with and without 2mM EDTA. The background emission of the Tb³⁺:EDTA complex is shown as reference. The observed sensitized emission of Tb³⁺ shows the characteristic sharp bands at 489, 544 (major), 586 and 622 nm due to the ⁵D₄→⁷F₆, ⁵D₄→⁷F₅, ⁵D₄→⁷F₄, ⁵D₄→⁷F₃ transitions of Tb³⁺, respectively. The major peak at 545 nm for DREAM(Δ65) is 40 % smaller than that observed for CaM. Addition of 2 mM EDTA to either CaM or DREAM(Δ65) resulted in an emission identical to that of Tb³⁺ in solution.

The slightly lower tyrosine fluorescence of CaM in the presence of Tb³⁺ is likely due to an efficient quenching of Tb³⁺ by aromatic amino acid, or due to incomplete binding of this ion. We also investigated whether binding of Tb³⁺ on DREAM leads to energy transfer from nearby aromatic residues toward the metal ligand, as previously observed for CaM (Kilhoffer, Gerard & Demaille 1980). The presence of the characteristic sharp emission
bands in the sensitized emission spectra of the DREAM(Δ65):Tb\(^{3+}\) complex supports the idea that aromatic residues, likely at the C-terminus of DREAM, are able to transfer energy to bound terbium (Figure 8.2c and 8.2d). The sensitized emission intensity of terbium bound to DREAM(Δ65) is about half of that observed for CaM, which is likely due to the presence of only two Tb\(^{3+}\) ions bound to EF-hand 3 and 4 of DREAM(Δ65), whereas four Tb\(^{3+}\) ions are bound to CaM (Craig et al. 2002). Nonetheless, it is also possible that the presence of Tyr-100 at position 7 of the EF-hand 3 binding loop and Tyr-139 at position 10 of EF-hand 4 on CaM provide a more efficient energy transfer to Tb\(^{3+}\) (Hogue et al. 1992).

Detailed analysis of DREAM(Δ65) excitation spectra due to the \(^{5}D_4 \rightarrow ^{7}F_5\) transition at 545 nm shows a broad peak with maximum at 280 nm and 32 nm FWHM (Figure 6.3a). The ratio of terbium luminescence intensity when exciting at 295 nm and 280 nm is 0.40, which indicates that tyrosine and tryptophan residues are able to transfer energy (Brittain, Richardson & Martin 1976). The excitation spectra of DREAM(Δ160), DREAM(E186Q), and DREAM(E234Q) are identical to those of DREAM(Δ65), with a broader FWHM of 36 nm and larger 295/280 ratio of 0.52 for DREAM(Δ160). Analysis of the C-terminus domain of DREAM shows the presence of three tyrosine residues (Y174, Y195 and Y203); however, only Y174 is within the range of 5 to 10 Å necessary for an efficient energy transfer to Tb\(^{3+}\) bound at EF-hand 3 and 4 (Figure 8.3b) (Kleinerman 1969, Wallace et al. 1982). Therefore, to quantify the energy transfer contribution of Y174 we constructed a DREAM(Y174A) mutant and determined the effect of this mutation on the sensitized emission and excitation spectra. This mutant shows identical circular dichroism transition and amplitude as well as the same Tb\(^{3+}\) induced tryptophan fluorescence change,
as the DREAM(Δ65) construct (data not shown). On the other hand, the efficiency of energy transfer in the DREAM(Y174A) mutant is decreased by ~60 %, judging from the decreased sensitized emission at 545 nm (Figure 6.3a). The excitation spectra of this construct also shows a 5 nm blue shift to 275 nm with a FWHM of 38 nm and 295/280 ratio of 0.41.

Figure 6.3: (a) Excitation spectra of DREAM constructs bound to Tb³⁺, 20 μM protein 40 μM Tb³⁺. The spectra was normalized so that the intensity corresponding to backbone to Tb³⁺ energy transfer at 240nm is the same for DREAM(Δ65), DREAM(Δ160), and DREAM(Y174A) while the magnitude is half for DREAM(E186Q) and DREAM(E234Q). (b) Calcium/terbium binding sites of EF-hand 3 and 4, only EF-hand 3 is shown (in blue) for clarity. (c) Titrations of Tb³⁺ into DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160) in 20 mM TRIS pH 7.4 and 100 μM Ca²⁺ with and without 5 mM Mg²⁺. (d) Titration of Tb³⁺ into DREAM(E186Q) and DREAM(E234Q) under identical conditions as panel (c). Solid lines represent the best fit using the quadratic equation for N-binding sites.
The decrease in sensitized emission and blue shift of the excitation spectra support the role of Y174 as an energy donor, while the identical 295/280 ratio for DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Y174A) is indicative of Tyr → Trp → Tb³⁺ being the predominant energy transfer pathway. The similar Tb³⁺ sensitization observed in DREAM(Δ160) and DREAM(Δ65) constructs indicates that aromatic amino acids at the N-terminus do not transfer energy to Tb³⁺ and that the observed luminescence arises from terbium bound at EF-hand 3 and 4. For comparison, the excitation spectra of CaM is also shown and a characteristic maxima at 277 nm with FWHM of 24 nm and 295/280 ratio of 0.07 is observed, which is in good agreement with a Tyr → Tb³⁺ energy transfer. Moreover, the lack of vibronic structures on the excitation spectra on all constructs indicates that phenylalanine residues do not play a major role in energy transfer.

The closer proximity of Tyr174 to EF-hand 3 than EF-hand 4 (10.5 Å vs 13.5 Å) supports the idea that monitoring the binding of Tb³⁺ using the sensitized emission may allow us to identify whether aromatic amino acids on DREAM can transfer energy to Tb³⁺ bound at both EF-hands. To confirm this hypothesis, we employed two DREAM constructs in which glutamic acid at position 12 of the calcium binding loop has been mutated to glutamine thus inactivating either EF-hand 3 in DREAM(E186Q) or EF-hand 4 in DREAM(E234Q) (Osawa et al. 2005). As expected, the excitation spectra of Tb³⁺ bound at EF-hand 3 in the DREAM(E234Q) mutant shows about 39 % larger fluorescence than that of Tb³⁺ bound at EF-hand 4 in the DREAM(E186Q) mutant (Figure 6.3a).

Titration of Tb³⁺ into Ca²⁺ bound DREAM(Δ65) or DREAM(Δ160) shows that both construct have similar affinity for Tb³⁺ in the presence of calcium. The dissociation constant obtained using the quadratic equation shows identical affinity for Tb³⁺ in the
presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) with K\(_d\) = 1.8 ± 0.6 μM for DREAM(Δ65) and K\(_d\)=1.6 ± 0.2 μM for DREAM(Δ160). Interestingly, in the presence of 5 mM MgCl\(_2\) the sensitized emission of Tb\(^{3+}\) is decreased by 53 % for DREAM(Δ65) but no significant changes are observed for DREAM(Δ160) (Figure 4.3c). To better understand the binding mechanism of Tb\(^{3+}\) to EF-hand 3 and 4 of DREAM, we have also conducted titrations in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) or Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) using the DREAM(E186Q) and DREAM(E234Q) protein mutants (Figure 6.3d). Displacement of Ca\(^{2+}\) from DREAM(E186Q) by Tb\(^{3+}\) shows a weaker dissociation constant of K\(_d\) = 11 ± 1 μM compared to DREAM(E234Q) with K\(_d\) = 5.9 ± 0.5 μM. However, a similar decrease in sensitized emission upon addition of 5 mM MgCl\(_2\) as that for DREAM(Δ65) was observed, with an emission decrease of 55 % and 80 % for DREAM(E234Q) and DREAM(E186Q), respectively (Figure 6.3d). Under the conditions used for these titrations (500 μM CaCl\(_2\) or 500 μM CaCl\(_2\) and 5 mM MgCl\(_2\)), Tb\(^{3+}\) binds first to the inactivated EF-hand (see ITC experiments below). Therefore, the data shown in Figure 6.3d, highlights that Mg\(^{2+}\) binding to EF-hand 2 induces structural rearrangements which have a larger impact on EF-hand 3. This is in good agreement with the fact that EF-hand 2 is adjacent to EF-hand 3, and that the exiting helix of EF-hand 2 is in direct contact with entering helix of EF-hand 3 (Figure 6.1a). Tryptophan 169 and tyrosine 174, both of which are shown to play a major role in energy transfer, both reside on the entering helix of EF-hand 3. The presence of Mg\(^{2+}\) also enhanced the binding of Tb\(^{3+}\), with dissociations constants of 5.8 ± 1.9 μM for DREAM(E186Q) and 2.7 ± 0.4 μM for DREAM(E234Q). This increase in apparent affinity is likely due to a decrease in nonspecific binding of Tb\(^{3+}\) to secondary sites or to EF-hand 2. Together these results support a model in which terbium
displaces Ca\(^{2+}\) from EF-hand 4 and EF-hand 3 as well as highlight the role of Mg\(^{2+}\) binding at EF-hand 2 as a structural cofactor in DREAM.

6.2.2 Terbium(III) binding to DREAM leads to similar structural rearrangement as those observed for Ca\(^{2+}\).

The terbium(III) induced tryptophan emission quenching observed in Fig. 6.2b indicates that Tb\(^{3+}\) is able to mimic the structural changes induced by Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to EF-hand 3 and 4. To test whether Tb\(^{3+}\) binding to DREAM induces a structural transition analog to that of Ca\(^{2+}\), we monitored the fluorescence and anisotropy decay of Trp169, the fluorescence of the extrinsic hydrophobic probe 1,8-ANS bound at the C-terminus of DREAM(Δ65) (see Chapter 3), and changes in secondary structure. Detailed information regarding the environment and dynamics of Trp169 and how they are affected by metal binding can be obtained by measuring the fluorescence and anisotropy decay lifetimes. As previously reported (Pham et al. 2015), the fluorescence decay of Trp169 on DREAM(Δ65) was best fitted by a Gaussian-discrete bimodal decay model, whose parameters are listed in Table 1 and Figure 6.4a. The small discrepancies between our results and those published previously likely arise from the lack of LDAO detergent under our conditions. Nonetheless, we observe that addition of Ca\(^{2+}\) or Tb\(^{3+}\) results in a decrease in the average excited state lifetime from 4.8 ns to 3.8 ns, which is due to a decrease in fractional intensity contribution of the long lifetime and a slightly faster Gaussian decay from 1.8 ns to 1.5 ns. The lack of significant lifetime quenching of Trp169 by Tb\(^{3+}\) is likely due to the poor efficiency of this energy transfer process.
Moreover, anisotropy decay measurements were conducted to identify whether binding of Tb\(^{3+}\) induces dimerization of DREAM as observed for Ca\(^{2+}\). The frequency domain anisotropy decay data was best fitted with a double discrete model, in which the fast \(\Theta_1\) is associated with fast local fluctuations of tryptophan and the slow \(\Theta_2\) corresponds to the global rotation of the protein (Table 1). A clear transition from 15 ns to 29 ns upon binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) and 27 ns in the presence of Tb\(^{3+}\) is observed. These rotational correlation times match well with the values of 14 ns for a monomeric and 28 ns for a dimeric DREAM(Δ65) protein approximated by the Einstein-Stokes equation at 17 °C, \(\eta=0.0100\) P, and 0.73 g/mL hydration. Differences in rotational correlation times of the fast local tryptophan motion can be observed between Ca\(^{2+}\) and Tb\(^{3+}\) bound DREAM(Δ65), where a two-fold faster local motion is measured in the presence of terbium (0.52 ns vs 0.23 ns).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(\tau_1) ((f_1)) (ns)</th>
<th>(w_1) (ns)</th>
<th>(\tau_2) ((f_2)) (ns)</th>
<th>(&lt;\tau&gt;) (ns)</th>
<th>(\Theta_1) ((f_1)) (ns)</th>
<th>(\Theta_2) ((f_2)) (ns)</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apoDREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.39)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>5.7 (0.61)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.40 (0.35)</td>
<td>15 (0.65)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^{2+})DREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.48)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>5.9 (0.52)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.52 (0.40)</td>
<td>29 (0.60)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb(^{3+})DREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.47)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>5.8 (0.53)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.23 (0.58)</td>
<td>27 (0.42)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses represent the fraction intensity and fractional depolarization. \(\tau_1\) is the mean decay time of the Gaussian distribution with a width of distribution \(w_1\). The lifetime of the discrete single exponential term is denoted as \(\tau_2\). The average lifetime \(<\tau>\) was calculated using Eq.1 and Eq.2 in Supplemental Material section. \(\alpha_1\) and \(\alpha_2\) are normalized pre-exponential decay and \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) are exponential decay fractions.
In the metal-free DREAM(Δ65), the local flexibility accounts for 35% of the depolarization while in the Ca\(^{2+}\) and Tb\(^{3+}\) bound DREAM(Δ65) form, this rotation contributes 48 % and 58 % in depolarization, respectively. Altogether, excited state and anisotropy decay of Trp169 reveal that even though binding of Tb\(^{3+}\) can induce dimerization of DREAM(Δ65) this metal also induces a more dynamic structure near the Trp169 compared to Ca\(^{2+}\).

**Figure 6.4.** (a) Tryptophan fluorescence lifetime distribution of DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) or Tb\(^{3+}\), decay data was modeled as a Gaussian distribution and a discrete decay, parameters listed in Table 1. (b) Circular dichroism spectra of 40 μM DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of 1 mM Ca\(^{2+}\), 2 mM EDTA or 160 μM Tb\(^{3+}\) in 5mM TRIS pH 7.4. (b) Binding of Tb\(^{3+}\) or Ca\(^{2+}\) to 10 μM DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of 40 μM 1,8-ANS.

As shown in Figure 6.4b, the CD spectra of metal-free DREAM(Δ65) shows a characteristic profile with minima at 222 nm and 208 nm (maxima at 190 nm is not shown) in good agreement with an alpha helical structure. Upon binding of Ca\(^{2+}\), an ellipticity decrease at 200 – 225 nm and increase at 190 nm is observed, which can be explained by an increase in alpha helical content and/or rearrangement of the alpha helices. On the other hand, in the presence of Tb\(^{3+}\) a CD spectra intermediate to that measured for apo and Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM is observed. This intermediate structure was also observed when 2-fold excess of terbium was added to a sample containing 100 μM calcium (not shown). The largest deviation in CD spectra between the Ca\(^{2+}\) and Tb\(^{3+}\) bound DREAM(Δ65) is observed near the 208 nm minima. Thus, the CD data indicates that Tb\(^{3+}\) is able to displace...
Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and induce a structural change distinct from those observed for metal free and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound DREAM(Δ65).

Despite the small differences in the protein dynamics near Trp169, as well as deviation in secondary structure upon binding of Tb\textsuperscript{3+}, we observed similar binding of 1,8-ANS at the C-terminal hydrophobic cavity of DREAM(Δ65) (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014). The fluorescence emission of this probe has been extensively shown to be sensitive to the immediate environment, and while the total intensity upon Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding is observed to increase, no changes in fluorescence of 1,8-ANS were observed upon displacement of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} by Tb\textsuperscript{3+} (Fig. 4c). Moreover, frequency domain analysis of the excited state of 1,8-ANS as well as the depolarization time reveal no significant differences between Ca\textsuperscript{2+} or Tb\textsuperscript{3+} bound DREAM(Δ65) (data not shown). Overall, the indication is that the hydrophobic cavity exposure induced by calcium is also triggered by terbium.

6.2.3 DREAM binds Tb\textsuperscript{3+} through an entropy driven mechanism.

To complement the Tb\textsuperscript{3+}:DREAM binding studies using sensitized emission we also conducted calorimetric studies where the heat associated with Tb\textsuperscript{3+} displacement of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound at the EF-hands of CaM and DREAM is measured. Isothermal calorimetry reveals that displacement of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from CaM is endothermic and can be best modeled as a sequential process (Figure 6.5a). The profile of the ITC isotherm for Tb\textsuperscript{3+} displacement of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound to CaM is similar to that obtained for calcium binding to a plant-mammalian CaM chimera (Gilli et al. 1998), albeit with slightly different thermodynamic parameters. The association of Tb\textsuperscript{3+} ions with CaM at EF-hand 1 through 4 have slightly lower association constants than those determined for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding, which is likely due to
competition effects. We associate the site with $K_1$ parameters as representing the displacement of $Ca^{2+}$ by $Tb^{3+}$, while the two other observed binding processes ($K_2$ and $K_3$) likely arise from a convolution of specific and nonspecific association of $Tb^{3+}$ ions. The presence of nonspecific binding of $Tb^{3+}$ ions is supported by the fact that addition of 5 mM $Mg^{2+}$ results in drastic changes in the thermodynamic parameters associated with $K_2$ and $K_3$. The observed decrease in enthalpy and entropy of $\sim 160 \text{kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ and $\sim 280 \text{kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ for $K_2$ and $K_3$ also support the idea that these supplementary sites are strikingly different and may involve different ligands and/or ion displacement. We associate the thermodynamic values recovered in the presence of $Mg^{2+}$ with the displacement of $Ca^{2+}$ specifically bound at the loops of EF-hands 1 thru 4, convoluted with any additional protein rearrangement to accommodate $Tb^{3+}$. It can be observed that in the presence of $Mg^{2+}$ there is a three-fold decrease in affinity for the $K_1$ site, with a minimal change in enthalpy and entropy; whereas sites $K_2$ and $K_3$ show similar affinity, with $K_2$ having two-fold larger enthalpy and entropy than $K_3$ sites. Altogether, these values can be interpreted as corresponding to $Tb^{3+}$ displacement of $Ca^{2+}$ ions from four EF-hands with $K_1$ representing the weakest $Ca^{2+}$ binding hand and $K_2$ and $K_3$ corresponding to the remaining three EF-hands. Following previous studies in which calmodulin was shown to follow a sequential filling of EF-hands 1 $\rightarrow$ 2 $\rightarrow$ 3 and 4 by $Tb^{3+}$ and $Ca^{2+}$, we associate the $K_1$ binding site to that of $Tb^{3+}$ binding to EF-hand 4 (Kilhoffer, Gerard & Demaille 1980, Wang et al. 1982). Interestingly, EF-hand 4 in calmodulin shows the presence of glutamic acid at position 11 near the $-z$ metal coordination (Figure 6.1), which may explain the lower enthalpic and entropic contribution upon displacement of $Ca^{2+}$ by $Tb^{3+}$. 
Figure 6.5: ITC isotherms for Tb$^{3+}$ displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ from CaM, DREAM(Δ64) and DREAM(Δ160). The upper panels of each profile reflects the thermal power expressed in units of µcal·s$^{-1}$. The lower panel shows integrated reaction heats (ΔH) expressed in units of kcal·mol$^{-1}$. The solid lines present the best fitting curve with parameters shown in Table 6.2.
Table 6.2. ITC parameters recovered for Tb$^{3+}$ displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ from EF hands of CaM and DREAM using a sequential model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$K_1 \times 10^4$</th>
<th>$K_2 \times 10^4$</th>
<th>$K_3 \times 10^4$</th>
<th>$\Delta H_1$</th>
<th>$\Delta H_2$</th>
<th>$\Delta H_3$</th>
<th>$T\Delta S_1$</th>
<th>$T\Delta S_2$</th>
<th>$T\Delta S_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CaM</td>
<td>29 ± 4.2</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.1 ± 8.4</td>
<td>12 ± 5</td>
<td>212 ± 38</td>
<td>-307 ± 127</td>
<td>19 ± 3.9</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>-302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaM + Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>8.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>13 ± 1.5</td>
<td>47 ± 0.6</td>
<td>23 ± 7</td>
<td>20 ± 1.4</td>
<td>52 ± 0.6</td>
<td>28 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>22 ± 1.3</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>13 ± 9.0</td>
<td>18 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-2.5 ± 2.7</td>
<td>20 ± 9.1</td>
<td>24 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.6 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ64) + Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>16 ± 2.5</td>
<td>11 ± 1.1</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>12 ± 1.3</td>
<td>18 ± 6.0</td>
<td>3.4 ± 3.2</td>
<td>19 ± 1.3</td>
<td>25 ± 5.9</td>
<td>9.9 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM (Δ160)</td>
<td>6.9 ± 3.2</td>
<td>8.9 ± 9.2</td>
<td>13 ± 1.7</td>
<td>8.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>19 ± 1.5</td>
<td>15 ± 1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM (Δ160) + Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>11 ± 2.2</td>
<td>7.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>17 ± 2.1</td>
<td>14 ± 2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM (E186Q) + Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>14.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>8.8 ± 2.2</td>
<td>7.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>15 ± 1.7</td>
<td>2.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td>14 ± 0.8</td>
<td>22 ± 1.8</td>
<td>9 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM (E234Q) + Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>27 ± 1.5</td>
<td>16 ± 6.2</td>
<td>11 ± 1.9</td>
<td>3.6 ± 2.7</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td>18 ± 1.9</td>
<td>9.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td>11 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All Experiments conducted in triplicate at 25 °C, errors are standard deviations. Enthalpy and entropy changes shown in kcal·mol$^{-1}$, association constants in M$^{-1}$. 
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The Tb\(^{3+}\) binding sites corresponding to K\(_2\) show thermodynamic parameters for \(\Delta H_2\) and \(\Delta S_2\) which are about two-fold larger than those of \(\Delta H_3\) and \(\Delta S_3\), which is likely due to two Tb\(^{3+}\) binding sites being reported by the K\(_2\) parameters. This allows us to approximate a value of \(\Delta H \sim 23\) kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) and \(\Delta S \sim 27\) kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) for Tb\(^{3+}\) displacement of Ca\(^{2+}\) bound at EF-hands 1, 2, and 3 and \(\Delta H = 13\) kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) and \(\Delta S = 28\) kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) for EF-hand 4.

Furthermore, displacement of Ca\(^{2+}\) bound to DREAM(Δ65) by Tb\(^{3+}\) shows a simpler isotherm (Figure 6.5b), which is similar to that obtained for Ca\(^{2+}\) displacement by Tm\(^{3+}\) in the *Entamoeba histolytica* calcium binding protein (Mustafi et al. 2004). Despite the ability to model the isotherm using the simpler one-set of sites model, we decided to present the results obtained from a three sites sequential model based on three accounts. First, it is expected that binding of Tb\(^{3+}\) is not associated with a cooperative behavior since the protein transitions from a calcium bound structure to a calcium-like structure. Second, replacement of glutamic acid at position 12 of the loop in EF-hand 3 resulted in an isotherm which could not be fitted by a one-set of sites model. Lastly, displacement of Ca\(^{2+}\) by Tb\(^{3+}\) in other calcium binding proteins with known calcium cooperativity have been observed to follow a sequential mechanism (Mustafi et al. 2004, Gilli et al. 1998). The recovered parameters using the sequential model are shown in Table 6.2. Interpretation of the data reveals that three Tb\(^{3+}\) ions can bind to DREAM(Δ65), with slightly different affinities, such that \(K_1 > K_2 > K_3\). Interestingly, the associated enthalpy and entropy of sites \(K_1\) and \(K_2\) are similar to those found for Ca\(^{2+}\) displacement of \(K_1\) sites on CaM, while the enthalpy and entropy of the site corresponding to \(K_3\) shows significantly lower enthalpic and entropic contributions. Titration of Tb\(^{3+}\) in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) and 5 mM Mg\(^{2+}\) in DREAM(Δ65) increase \(\Delta \Delta H_3\) and \(\Delta \Delta S_3\) by \(\sim 6\) kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) while the enthalpy and entropy
of sites $K_1$ and $K_2$ remain unchanged. Unlike CaM, in which all four EF-hands are believed to have binding preference for Ca$^{2+}$ over Mg$^{2+}$ (Wang et al. 1982); EF-hand 2 on DREAM is proposed to bind Mg$^{2+}$ preferentially (da Silva, Kendrick-Jones & Reinach 1995, Craig et al. 2002). Therefore, we assign the recovered thermodynamic parameters for sites with $K_1$ and $K_2$ association constants as representing Ca$^{2+}$ displacement from EF-hand 3 or 4, while those recovered for site with $K_3$ being representative of Tb$^{3+}$ binding to EF-hand 2. The assignment of $K_3$ to EF-hand 2 is also supported by the displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ by Tb$^{3+}$ in a DREAM($\Delta 160$) construct lacking the Mg$^{2+}$ binding EF-hand 2, which shows only two sites with affinities and thermodynamic parameters similar to those of $K_1$ and $K_2$ on DREAM($\Delta 65$). The recovered association constant and thermodynamic parameter for Tb$^{3+}$ binding to DREAM($\Delta 160$) are also independent of Mg$^{2+}$, in agreement with the sensitized emission titrations. However, despite the similarities, a decrease of $\sim$10 kcal·mol$^{-1}$ in the enthalpy and entropy for the $K_2$ site is observed for DREAM($\Delta 160$) compared to DREAM($\Delta 65$). This decrease in energetics could be due to a distinct metal coordination or loss structural rearrangement at the missing N-terminus. It is tempting to assign the $K_2$ parameters of DREAM($\Delta 160$) as representing binding of Tb$^{3+}$ to EF-hand 3, due to closer proximity to the now deleted N-terminus domain, but these results do not permit unequivocal assignment. Together, the recovered thermodynamic parameters for DREAM($\Delta 65$) and DREAM($\Delta 160$) show that Tb$^{3+}$ can efficiently displace Ca$^{2+}$ from the C-terminus EF-hand 3 and 4, and that deletion of the N-terminus amino acids (1 to 160) results in modification of the metal binding properties of EF-hand 3 and 4. Thus, we can approximate a value of $\Delta H \sim 15$ kcal·mol$^{-1}$ and $T\Delta S \sim 22$ kcal·mol$^{-1}$ for Tb$^{3+}$ displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ bound at EF-hand 3 and 4 of DREAM($\Delta 65$).
Additional experiments in which we monitored the thermodynamics of Tb\(^{3+}\) association with Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM(E186Q) with inactivated EF-hand 3 and DREAM(E234Q) with inactivated EF-hand 4 were also conducted and the recovered parameters are listed in Table 2. Displacement of Ca\(^{2+}\) by Tb\(^{3+}\) on the DREAM(E186Q) construct is best modeled as a three site sequential binding process, in which the K\(_1\) site has the highest affinity, while \(\Delta H_1\) and \(\Delta S_1\) are \(\sim 5\) kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) lower than those obtained for DREAM(Δ65). The Tb\(^{3+}\) binding at site with K\(_2\) shows identical affinity as the observed for K\(_2\) on DREAM(Δ65) in the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\), and similar enthalpic and entropic contributions. The third site, K\(_3\), shows similar parameters as those recovered for Tb\(^{3+}\) binding at the EF-hand 2 of DREAM(Δ65) and likely corresponds to the same binding process. The decrease in enthalpy and entropy upon binding of Tb\(^{3+}\) at site with K\(_1\) as well as its stronger binding affinity compared to K\(_2\) and K\(_3\), seem to indicate that this site likely corresponds to the inactivated EF-hand 3. The fact that only small changes are observed upon mutation of glutamic acid at position 12 (-z coordination) to glutamine supports the idea that this site may still be weakly bound to either Ca\(^{2+}\) or Mg\(^{2+}\). Interestingly, these results also show that the E186Q substitution does not affect the binding of Tb\(^{3+}\) at EF-hand 3 which is interesting, but not unexpected. This effect is likely due to weaker binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) which in turn facilitates Tb\(^{3+}\) association.

The DREAM(E234Q) construct, in which EF-hand 4 is inactivated, is also able to bind three Tb\(^{3+}\) ions with ranges of affinities similar to those obtained for the DREAM(Δ65) in the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\). In this construct, the site with association constant K\(_2\) binds stronger, followed by K\(_3\) and then K\(_1\) sites. However, the enthalpy for site K\(_2\) on DREAM(E234Q) is five-fold lower than those obtained for K\(_2\) on DREAM(Δ65). The sites
with $K_3$ association constant show identical enthalpy and entropy as the site corresponding to $K_3$ on DREAM(Δ65). In fact, the enthalpy and entropy for sites $K_2$ and $K_3$ are much closer to those obtained for $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ binding to the EF-hand 2 of DREAM(Δ65) in the presence of $\text{Mg}^{2+}$, with $\Delta H \sim 4 \text{ kcal\cdotmol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S \sim 10 \text{ kcal\cdotmol}^{-1}$. These results suggest that inactivation of EF-hand 4 likely results in a weak binding of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ or $\text{Mg}^{2+}$, both of which can be easily displaced by $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ (based on higher $K_2$ and $K_3$) with a concomitant lower enthalpic and entropic contribution. Overall, ITC demonstrates that in the presence of saturating amounts of calcium, the Glu → Gln mutation at position 12 of the EF-hand metal binding loop actually facilitates binding of $\text{Tb}^{3+}$.

6.2.4 Luminescence decay of $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ bound DREAM is sensitive to ligand binding.

Sensitized emission studies show that $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ is able to induce changes in the emission of bound $\text{Tb}^{3+}$; to further study this effect, we decided to determine if $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ can induce structural changes within the coordination sphere of $\text{Tb}^{3+}$. We employed direct excitation of $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ using the 355 nm line of a Nd:YAG pulsed laser and measured the luminescence decay of terbium bound to the EF-hands of CaM and DREAM constructs. This approach permits analysis of the local environment at the binding site of $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ ions, namely the EF-hand loops. Additionally, this method has been widely used to monitor changes in the coordination sphere of lanthanides as well as the effects of water coordination (Hungerford et al. 2010). Following the studies above, we first characterized the luminescence decay of $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ bound to CaM; and as expected, the luminescence decay shown in Figure 6.6a follows a mono-exponential decay with $\tau_1 = 1.38 \text{ ms}$. A single exponential decay of approximately 1 ms has been reported for the $\text{Tb}^{3+}$: CaM complex.
and double exponential decays has been observed for complexes of Eu$^{3+}$ and calmodulin (Mulqueen, Tingey & Horrocks 1985, Austin, Stein & Wang 1987).
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**Figure 6.6:** (a) Luminescence decay of Tb$^{3+}$ bound to CaM and constructs of DREAM, with stoichiometric ratios of 4:1 Tb$^{3+}$:CaM and 2:1 Tb$^{3+}$:DREAM. Solid lines represent the best fit using Globals software analysis, recovered parameters listed in Table 3. (b) Luminescence intensity decay profiles as a function of Tb$^{3+}$ binding to 10 μM DREAM(Δ64). The luminescence decays in (b) were analyzed using a triple discrete model and the fractional intensity contribution of the two slowest lifetimes is shown in (c). The fastest decay component had a lifetime of ~80 μs with less than 10 % contribution and is not shown. (d) Luminescence decay Tb$^{3+}$ bound to DREAM and DREAM(Δ64) as a function of the hydrophobic molecules TFP (top) bound to DREAM and NS5806 (bottom) bound to DREAM.

Luminescence decay of Tb$^{3+}$ bound to DREAM(Δ65) shows lifetimes of $\tau_1 = 0.86$ ms (59 %) and $\tau_2 = 2.20$ ms (14 %), whereas Tb$^{3+}$ bound to DREAM(Δ160) decays with lifetimes of $\tau_1 = 0.74$ ms (54 %) and $\tau_2 = 2.16$ ms (29 %). The faster lifetime likely corresponds to contribution from partially coordinated Tb$^{3+}$ ions, and is similar to the 780
μs decay obtained for Tb$^{3+}$ bound to NTA in water (Horrocks, Sudnick 1979). We and others have determined the lifetime of Tb$^{3+}$ in buffer at pH 7.4 to be 450 ± 30 μs; therefore, we associate $\tau_1$ to represent Tb$^{3+}$ bound to a low coordination site on DREAM (Bunzli, Eliseeva 2011). The second lifetime is much longer than that determined for Tb$^{3+}$ bound to parvalbumin (1.3 ms) or CaM (1.38 ms) but is similar to that of Eu$^{3+}$ bound to these proteins or complexes of Tb$^{3+}$ and bimetallic ligand in which no water coordination is observed (Mulqueen, Tingey & Horrocks 1985, Horrocks, Sudnick 1979, Deiters et al. 2009). Long-lived excited state decays are observed for lanthanides with little phonon quenching which often is due to limited water access (Bunzli, Eliseeva 2011). Interestingly, addition of 100 mM NaCl reduces the fast lifetime to values similar to those of Tb$^{3+}$ in water and increases the fractional intensity of the long lifetime component ~three-fold (Table 6.3). The luminescence decay of Tb$^{3+}$ was not significantly affected by LDAO, a detergent which has been proposed to stabilize DREAM in a single conformation (Osawa et al. 2001). Titration of Tb$^{3+}$ into DREAM(Δ65) in the presence of 100 mM NaCl and 10 mM LDAO shows that the fractional intensity of the $\tau_2$ lifetime increases in a dose dependent manner and saturates at a stoichiometric ratio of two to one, whereas the fractional intensity of $\tau_1$ decreases (Figure 6.6b and 6.6c).

Additional measurements of the luminescence decay of DREAM construct with inactivated EF-hands show similar bimodal exponential decays. One of the most salient observations is that the decay lifetime of DREAM(E234Q) is significantly shorter than that observed for DREAM(E186Q), $\tau_2 = 1.75$ ms (27 %) vs $\tau_2 = 2.02$ ms (46 %), respectively. This indicates that binding of Tb$^{3+}$ at EF-hand 4 induces a restructuring of the coordination sphere similar to that induced when both EF-hand 3 and 4 are bound to Tb$^{3+}$. On the other
hand, the structural arrangement of the binding loop at EF-hand 3 when Tb$^{3+}$ is bound at this site is significantly different, with a much more solvent exposed Tb$^{3+}$ ion. However, it could also be possible that these two lifetimes cannot be separately resolved in the DREAM(Δ65) decays.

Table 6.3. Terbium(III) luminescence decay parameters recovered upon binding to DREAM and DREAM constructs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ms)</th>
<th>$f_1$</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ms)</th>
<th>$f_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CaM</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaM + TFP*</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ64) + Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ64)(a) †</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ64)(b) ‡</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ64)(c) ‡ + NS5806</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM (Δ160)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM (Δ160) + Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM (E186Q)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM (E186Q) + Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM (E234Q)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM (E234Q) + Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All shown parameters were recovered using a triple or double discrete exponential decay, the error of the reported values is 2.5% on average. All Experiments conducted at room temperature (~20°C) at protein concentration of 20 μM with addition of 80 μM Tb$^{3+}$ for CaM and 40 μM Tb$^{3+}$ for DREAM constructs. An additional lifetime of ~ 80 μs/ was resolved for all DREAM construct, likely due to parasitic light or PMT recovery delay, and it is not shown. *Measured in the presence of 131 μM TFP. † Protein concentration for these experiments was 10 μM in the presence of (a) 100 mM NaCl, (b) 100 mM NaCl and 10 mM LDAO, or (c) same as (b) + 31 μM NS5806.
The lifetime of Tb$^{3+}$ bound at the solvent exposed site is identical on both constructs, with $\tau_1 = 0.62$ ms (50 %) for DREAM(E186Q) and $\tau_1 = 0.63$ ms (27 %) for DREAM(E234Q), but faster than that observed for the fully active proteins. Interestingly, in the presence of 5 mM Mg$^{2+}$ a decrease in the decay lifetimes and increase of intensity contribution of the long-lived decay is observed for all constructs, except for DREAM(E234Q). The observed changes are largest for DREAM(E186Q) where a decrease of 0.44 ms in $\tau_2$ is also accompanied by a 15 % increase in contribution of this decay component. The decay lifetimes of $\tau_1 = 0.74$ ms for DREAM(Δ65) and $\tau_1 = 0.63$ ms for DREAM(Δ160) are still longer than for Tb$^{3+}$ in water, while those of DREAM(E186Q) and DREAM(E234Q) are identical to that of Tb$^{3+}$ in water. The effect of Mg$^{2+}$ on the luminescent data is in good agreement with the changes observed in the sensitized emission titrations (Figure 6.3d).

Finally, we examined whether Tb$^{3+}$ luminescence decay would be sensitive to structural changes induced on CaM and DREAM upon binding of small hydrophobic ligands. To test this hypothesis we chose trifluoperazine (TFP) and a novel biphenyl-urea compound named NS5806. These compounds have been shown to bind at hydrophobic cavities on CaM and DREAM, respectively, in a calcium dependent manner (Gonzalez, Pham & Miksovska 2014, Cook, Walter & Walter 1994). Upon titration of each ligand into Tb$^{3+}$ bound CaM and DREAM(Δ65) we observe a strong dose dependent modulation of the luminescence decay (Figure 6.6d). Global analysis of the luminescence decay of the Tb$^{3+}$CaM and Tb$^{3+}$DREAM(Δ65) complexes reveals that binding of these small hydrophobic ligands induces a decrease in intensity contribution from $\tau_2$ on both construct while also decreasing the long lifetime on DREAM(Δ65). Plotting the change in $f_2$ as a function of TFP or NS5806 yields dissociation constants of ~37 μM for both ligands (data
not shown), which is larger than those observed in the Ca\(^{2+}\) bound form of these proteins (\(K_d \sim 1\ \mu\text{M}\) for TFP and \(K_d = 5\ \mu\text{M}\) for NS5806). The discrepancies could be due to secondary sites being populated (TFP has been shown to bind at four sites on CaM with dissociation constants between 1 \(\mu\text{M}\) and 5 \(\text{mM}\)), as well as due to distinct conformation of the hydrophobic cavity of the proteins in the Tb\(^{3+}\) bound form.

6.2.5 Displacement of Ca\(^{2+}\) by Tb\(^{3+}\) induces minimal changes in collisional cross section of DREAM

To further study the displacement of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions from the EF-hand domains of DREAM, as well as to determine the magnitude of the structural differences between the Ca\(^{2+}\) and Tb\(^{3+}\) bound DREAM structures, ion mobility measurements were conducted to determine the ion-neutral collisional cross section using a TIMS-MS analyzer (see Table 6.4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Theoretical (^a)</th>
<th>(+7) (Å(^2))</th>
<th>(+8) (Å(^2))</th>
<th>(+9) (Å(^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{DREAM((\Delta)64):Ca}^{2+}\ \text{Ca}^{2+})</td>
<td>2773</td>
<td>2798</td>
<td>2825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>(\text{DREAM((\Delta)64):Ca}^{2+}\ \text{Ca}^{2+})</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>2243</td>
<td>2297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{DREAM((\Delta)64):Ca}^{2+}\ \text{Tb}^{3+})</td>
<td>2205</td>
<td>2231</td>
<td>2260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{DREAM((\Delta)64):Tb}^{3+}\ \text{Tb}^{3+})</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>2228</td>
<td>2238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^a) Calculated using the IMoS software as described in the material and methods section.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mass spectrum of DREAM(\(\Delta\)65) under native nanoESI conditions shows a narrow charge state distribution (\(+7\) - \(+9\)), with multiple Ca\(^{2+}\) and Tb\(^{3+}\) adducts. A closer look at
the charge distribution shows the presence of the apo form $[M+nH]^n$ as well as three adduct series: $[M + Ca^{2+}_{x=1-3} + (n-x)H]^n$, $[M + Ca^{2+}_{x} + Tb^{3+}_{y} + (n-x-y)H]^n$ and $[M + Tb^{3+}_{x=1-2} + (n-x)H]^n$. (see example of the +8 charge state distribution of DREAM(Δ65) in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Tb$^{3+}$, and Tb$^{3+}$ in Figure 6.7a). Inspection of the mobility profiles for each adduct series show that as the charge state increases from +7 to +9, a decrease in mobility (and increase in CCS) is observed as a consequence of the interaction of the molecular ion with the external electric field, and not necessarily an indication of conformational changes.

**Figure 6.7:** (a) Typical nanoESI mass spectra of DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ and of DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ and Tb$^{3+}$. The isotopic distribution of the DREAM(Δ64):2Ca$^{2+}$ and DREAM(Δ64):2Tb$^{3+}$ are shown for clarity. (b) NanoESI TIMS mobility spectra of DREAM(Δ64) bound to Ca$^{2+}$ and/or Tb$^{3+}$.

However, small changes in the CCS are observed as a function of the adduct series within each charge state. For example, a decrease in the CCS is observed for DREAM(Δ65) bound to Tb when compare to DREAM(Δ65) bound to Ca$^{2+}$ and DREAM(Δ65) bound to Ca$^{2+}$ + Tb$^{3+}$ (see Figure 6.7b). Comparison of the observed CCS profiles (CCS$^{+7\rightarrow+9}$ = 2200-2300 Å$^2$) to that of a previously reported 2JUL NMR structure of DREAM (Lusin et al. 2008) (CCS$^{theo}$ = 2773 Å$^2$) suggest that the gas-phase conformations are more compact to that observed in solution, probably as a consequence of the adduct interaction with the EF-hands domains in the absence of the solvent. In addition, the higher affinity of Tb$^{3+}$ when
compared to Ca$^{2+}$ may induce a more compact structure (smaller CCS) for the DREAM(Δ65) bound to Tb$^{3+}$ when compare to DREAM(Δ65) bound to Ca$^{2+}$ and DREAM(Δ65) bound to Ca$^{2+}$ + Tb$^{3+}$ in the absence of the solvent.

6.3 Discussion

In this report we present conclusive evidence that Tb$^{3+}$ is able to bind at the C-terminus of DREAM and displace Ca$^{2+}$ from the binding loop at the EF-hands 3 and 4. Using circular dichroism as well as fluorescence intensity and anisotropy decay of intrinsic fluorescent probes, we demonstrate that Tb$^{3+}$ is able to induce identical structural changes on DREAM as those observed upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding. Circular dichroism shows a small deviation of the spectra near 208 nm, a region which is sensitive to the presence of antiparallel $\beta$-sheets (Kelly, Jess & Price 2005b). DREAM is mainly alpha helical, and the only region where a small antiparallel $\beta$-sheets are formed is between the metal binding loops of each EF-hand pairs (Lusin et al. 2008). Therefore, it is possible that association of Tb$^{3+}$ induces structural changes at the metal binding loop results in loss of these short $\beta$-sheet regions. Small differences between Tb$^{3+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM, were also observed by anisotropy decay measurements. Anisotropy decay revealed that dimers are formed either in the Ca$^{2+}$ or Tb$^{3+}$ bound form of DREAM, but binding of Tb$^{3+}$ induces a more dynamic environment near Trp169. Using molecular dynamics, we have previously shown that Trp169 in DREAM(Δ65) can populate two rotamers, and it is possible that Tb$^{3+}$ binding could enhance this rotamer transition, which would result in an increase of local dynamics (Pham et al. 2015).

Similarly to other calcium binding proteins, we observe that aromatic amino acids at the C-terminus are able to transfer energy to the bound Tb$^{3+}$. Specifically, we show that
mutation of Y174 to alanine results in a significant reduction (~60%) in the sensitized emission of Tb\(^{3+}\) at 545 nm, while maintaining identical 280/295 ratios compared to DREAM\((\Delta 65)\). Together, these results highlight the presence of an antenna effect, in which W169 is the main donor to Tb\(^{3+}\). Attempts to use sensitized emission and Tb\(^{3+}\) titrations in order to elucidate the lanthanide binding sequence to EF-hands 3 and 4 on DREAM indicate that both EF-hands bind Tb\(^{3+}\) with similar affinity (DREAM\((E234Q)\) with \(K_d = 5.9 \, \mu\text{M}\) and DREAM\((E186Q)\) with \(K_d = 11 \, \mu\text{M}\)). Since these experiments were conducted in the presence of excess calcium and magnesium, inactivation of each EF-hand actually favors binding to the mutated loop (see ITC results). Therefore, we are unable to identify whether the slightly lower affinity of Tb\(^{3+}\) with the DREAM\((E186Q)\) mutant is due to inherent lower affinity to this hand or because a metal ion (Ca\(^{2+}\) or Mg\(^{2+}\)) is loosely bound at this mutated site. Nonetheless, one of the most salient observations of these Tb\(^{3+}\) titration experiments is that in the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\), the sensitized emission of Tb\(^{3+}\) decreases by more than 50%. This decrease in intensity at 545 nm, could be due to either Mg\(^{2+}\) induced structural rearrangements of the environment near Trp169 or to rearrangement of the Tb\(^{3+}\) binding loop at EF-hands 3 and 4. Indeed, we observe that EF-hand 3, which is next to EF-hand 2, is more sensitive to magnesium binding. These results support the idea that Mg\(^{2+}\) plays a structural role on DREAM and that at physiological concentrations it may act as a functional cofactor through interaction with EF-hand 2.

Isothermal calorimetry experiments show that Tb\(^{3+}\) displacement of Ca\(^{2+}\) from EF-hands 3 and 4 on DREAM\((\Delta 65)\) in the presence of 5 mM Mg\(^{2+}\) is associated with a \(\Delta G = -14 \, \text{kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\), while the displacement energy for the DREAM\((\Delta 160)\) construct is \(\Delta G = -12 \, \text{kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\). The same displacement process for EF-hands 1 through 4 in CaM is
associated a free energy of $\Delta G = -18$ kcal·mol$^{-1}$. The more favorable displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ from CaM EF-hands compared to DREAM($\Delta 65$) highlights the stronger association of Ca$^{2+}$ in the latter (Osawa et al. 2005). Throughout this report we correlate the $K_1$ thermodynamic parameters with displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ from EF-hand 3 and $K_2$ with displacement from EF-hand 4 in the DREAM($\Delta 65$), DREAM(E186Q), and DREAM(E234Q) constructs. Even thought, these correlations are not unequivocally proven by our results, they are supported by the associated changes in enthalpy and entropy under different conditions. Following this assignment, we can approximate the enthalpy and entropy associated with coordination of Tb$^{3+}$ by Glu at position 12 of EF-hand 3 and 4 by calculating $\Delta H_{\text{Glu}}^{\text{Mutant}} = \Delta H_i^{\text{DREAM(\Delta 65)}} - \Delta H_i^{\text{DREAM(Mutant)}}$ and $T\Delta S_{\text{Glu}}^{\text{Mutant}} = T\Delta S_i^{\text{DREAM(\Delta 65)}} - T\Delta S_i^{\text{DREAM(Mutant)}}$. Where the subscript $i$ is 1 for DREAM(E186Q) and $i = 2$ for DREAM(E234Q). These calculations reveal that coordination of Tb$^{3+}$ by Glu186 of EF-hand 3 is associated with $\Delta H_{\text{Glu}}^{(E186Q)} = 4.5$ kcal·mol$^{-1}$ and $T\Delta S_{\text{Glu}}^{(E186Q)} = 5.0$ kcal·mol$^{-1}$. In contrast, Glu234 of EF-hand 4 has a three-fold larger enthalpy and entropy contribution, with $\Delta H_{\text{Glu}}^{(E234Q)} = 14$ kcal·mol$^{-1}$ and $T\Delta S_{\text{Glu}}^{(E234Q)} = 15$ kcal·mol$^{-1}$. In both cases, coordination of the metal ion is driven by favorable entropy contributions, likely due to release of a water molecule upon coordination of Glu at position 12. These results are similar to those observed for Ca$^{2+}$ binding to CaM and DREAM($\Delta 65$) in which entropy was observed to be the main driving force (Osawa et al. 2005, Osawa et al. 2005, Gilli et al. 1998). In contrast, the enthalpic contribution due to metal binding to the EF-hands of CaM and DREAM($\Delta 65$) has been shown to be very small. It is possible that the unfavorable endothermic process observed here, is due to additional structural changes associated with Glu-12 coordination. The larger enthalpy and entropy associated with coordination of Glu-
12 on EF-hand 4 highlights the role of this EF-hand in controlling the activation of DREAM. Indeed, Glu-12 is positioned on the exiting helix of EF-hand 4 which is immediately adjacent to a hydrophobic cavity that mediates the calcium regulated co-assembly with potassium channels and small ligands (Gonzalez, Pham & Miksovska 2014, Pioletti et al. 2006, Wang et al. 2007).

Figure 6.8: Plot of $T\Delta S$ versus $\Delta H$ for Tb$^{3+}$ displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ from DREAM(Δ64), DREAM(Δ160), DREAM(E186Q), DREAM(E234Q) and CaM. Solid line represents the best linear fit to the data.

Another interesting aspect of the recovered thermodynamic parameters shown in table 2 is that the enthalpy and entropy recovered for all the titrations are highly correlated. This correlation has been observed before for Ca$^{2+}$ binding to CaM (Gilli et al. 1998) as well as in other systems (Kuroki, Yutani 1998). More importantly, the linear relationship of $T\Delta S$ as a function of $\Delta H$ allow us to extrapolate the entropy associated with displacement of Ca$^{2+}$, prior to any structural change induced by Tb$^{3+}$(Figure 6.8). This is based on the idea that displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ by Tb$^{3+}$ is not associated with the formation or breakage of any new bond since the coordination of Ca$^{2+}$ and Tb$^{3+}$ in bulk water are identical. Extrapolating the linear relationship yields a value of $T\Delta S_{\text{displacement}} = 6.9 \pm 0.2$
kcal·mol⁻¹, which is similar to the value obtained for Ca²⁺ binding to metal free CaM in which TΔSbind = 7.2 ± 0.1 kcal·mol⁻¹ (Gilli et al. 1998). A possible explanation for the entropic gain, could be due to an increase in the dynamics of the protein, something which is supported by the anisotropy decay data. The correlation of enthalpy and entropy can also be explained by a process which involves the release of water following the association of two ions of opposite charges in solution. The resulting charge neutralization would facilitate the mobilization of solvent molecules from the surface of the protein into the bulk water (Bowman-James, Bianchi & García-Espana 2012). This release of water molecules is associated with an unfavorable endothermic process (breakage of water-protein hydrogen bonds) and favorable positive change in entropy. Indeed, Ca²⁺ bound DREAM(Δ65) (net charge -3 at pH 7.4) and Ca²⁺ bound CaM (net charge -16 at pH 7.4) would be neutralized by 2 and 8 units upon Tb³⁺ displacement, respectively. This charge neutralization effect, could also partially account for the decreased enthalpy and entropy contribution of the DREAM(E186Q) and DREAM(E234Q) mutants, both of which have one less negative charge compared to DREAM(Δ65).

Sensitized emission, circular dichroism, TIM-MS, and ITC experiment provide information on global structural changes of the protein upon displacement of Ca²⁺ by Tb³⁺. On the other hand, luminescent studies allow us to gain a better insight into the immediate coordination sphere of Tb³⁺ ion, and how this environment is affected by inactivation of EF-hands, Mg²⁺ binding, ionic strength, and/or ligand binding. Measurements of the luminescent decay of Tb³⁺ bound to different constructs highlight the idea that Tb³⁺ bound at EF-hand 3 is more solvent exposed than Tb³⁺ bound at EF-hand 4. Nonetheless, the decay observed for DREAM(Δ65) is much slower than that observed for CaM, indicating
that the coordination around Tb$^{3+}$ in DREAM highly restricts the accessibility of water. We also observe that the luminescence decays are widely affected by addition of excess Mg$^{2+}$, supporting the idea that binding of Tb$^{3+}$ at some secondary sites, including EF-hand 2 on DREAM, are identical to those for Mg$^{2+}$ binding. The high sensitivity of EF-hand 3 to Mg$^{2+}$ binding could also be due to propagated rearrangement of EF-hand 2 upon association of Mg$^{2+}$. It is also possible that binding of Mg$^{2+}$ at these secondary sites is responsible for the observed rearrangement of the EF-hand loops. The overall picture that emerges from these observations is that Mg$^{2+}$ is able to modulate the protein structure and that secondary metal sites may play a role in modulating protein conformation. Indeed, previous work and our unpublished results support the idea that two Ca$^{2+}$ specific sites and additional secondary sites are found on DREAM(Δ160) (Craig et al. 2002). Lastly, titration of small hydrophobic ligands also reveal that the environment near the metal binding loops of EF-hand 3 and 4 are sensitive to ligand association with DREAM. Altogether, these observations highlight the idea that association of these small molecules at the hydrophobic surfaces of CaM and DREAM induce conformational changes which can not only distort the coordination geometry of Tb$^{3+}$ at the binding loops, but could also potentially facilitate Tb$^{3+}$ dissociation from the protein.

Finally, ion mobility and mass spectrometry measurement support the hypothesis that Tb$^{3+}$ can displace Ca$^{2+}$ from EF-hand 3 and 4 and that the resulting folded conformation of the Tb$^{3+}$ bound DREAM(Δ65) protein is almost identical to that of the calcium bound protein during native ESI conditions. Comparison of the observed CCS profiles to that of a previously reported NMR structure of DREAM (Lusin et al. 2008) suggested that the gas-phase conformations are more compact to that observed in solution,
probably as a consequence of the adduct interaction with the EF-hands domains in the absence of the solvent. In addition, the higher affinity of Tb$^{3+}$ when compared to Ca resulted in more compact structures (smaller CCS) for the DREAM(Δ65) bound to Tb$^{3+}$ when compared to DREAM(Δ65) bound to Ca$^{2+}$ and DREAM(Δ65) bound to Ca$^{2+}$ + Tb$^{3+}$ in the absence of the solvent.

**6.4 Summary**

In this chapter we show compelling evidence supporting the specific association of Tb$^{3+}$ with the EF-hands 3 and 4 of DREAM. We also demonstrate that replacement of Ca$^{2+}$ by Tb$^{3+}$ leads to an increase in the dynamics of the protein; however, the structural and functional properties between DREAM bound to either metal are highly similar. We show that similarly to CaM, Tb$^{3+}$ bound to DREAM can be sensitized by aromatic amino acids at the C-terminus, with tryptophan 169 being the main energy donor. The high affinity of the EF-hands for Tb$^{3+}$ and the fluorescent properties of this lanthanide has allowed us to highlight the role of Mg$^{2+}$ as a structural cofactor, which can bind to EF-hand 2 and modify the immediate environment near the calcium binding loops of EF-hands 3 and 4. Isothermal calorimetry also highlights the role of EF-hand 4 in mediating calcium regulated ligand recognition in DREAM. These findings provide structural information on DREAM and will facilitate future structural NMR studies and lanthanide resonance energy transfer experiments aimed at studying the association of DREAM with other proteins.
7. PHOTOTHERMAL BEAM DEFLECTION TO STUDY THE DYNAMICS OF DIVALENT ION-CAGE AND ION-PROTEIN COMPLEXES

7.1 Background and significance

Fluorescence spectroscopy has proven to be exceptionally useful to obtain detailed kinetic data for ligand induced structural changes in proteins. Spectroscopic techniques allow the study of processes from a distance, often with minimal perturbation of the system. In fact, the use of light in science is so pervasive, that there are few techniques today which do not rely on it. Current development in fluorescence microscopy have allowed the study of brain processes in freely behaving animal (Ghosh et al. 2011, Markowitz et al. 2015), cellular processes beyond the diffraction limit (Betzig et al. 2006), and biomolecular dynamic at the single-molecule level (Roy, Hohng & Ha 2008, Lee et al. 2005). Nonetheless, the need of efficient fluorophores and their lack of stability are often a major limitation in these studies (Cordes, Blum 2013, Schermelleh, Heintzmann & Leonhardt 2010). A simplified Jablonski-Perrin diagram highlights two competing pathways following excitation of a chromophore (Figure 7.1).

![Jablonski-Perrin diagram](image)

**Figure 7.1.** Jablonski-Perrin diagram highlighting the two major pathways by which the excited state of a chromophore can be depopulated.
An ideal fluorophore would not only need to have a large probability of absorbing a photon (a large extinction coefficient, $\varepsilon > 80,000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$), but it would also need to have a large probability of emitting this absorbed energy as a photon (a quantum yield near unity). On the other hand, photothermal methods, such as thermal grating (TG) (Fayer 1982), photothermal beam deflection (PBD) (Jackson et al. 1981b), and photoacoustic calorimetry (PAC) (Braslavsky, Heibel 1992) are capable of detecting the non-radiative processes following absorption of energy by a chromophore. An obvious advantage of these techniques, is that they do not require the use of fluorophores. In fact, the best signal would be obtained from a photochemical process in which all the energy absorbed is used only to drive a specific reaction, without the production of a photon. Thus, these techniques require that the photo-triggered process have a large probability of proceeding forward, as in eqn. 7.1:

$$A + B \rightarrow A^* + B \rightarrow C$$

Eqn. 7.1

$$\phi = \frac{C}{A^*} \leq 1$$

Eqn. 7.2

Where $A$ represents the ground state of the reactant and $A^*$ its excited state following laser excitation. These methods rely on the premise that the triggered processed would result in a detectable amount of heat being removed or added from their immediate environment. However, unlike the widely applied isothermal calorimetry titration (ITC) technique, photothermal methods have the advantage of being able to measure fast processes occurring in nanoseconds to milliseconds (Laman, Falvey 1996). This temporal resolution makes these techniques ideally suited to study fast biological processes in proteins with endogenous chromophores involved in photosynthesis (Schulenberg, Gaertner & Braslavsky 1995) or oxygen transport (Mikšovská, Day & Larsen 2003). Additional
approaches to study the activity of proteins have been developed through the use of caged-compounds (Gurney 1994). In these studies, a fast pulse of light is used to trigger the photochemical reaction of a compound whose byproducts are ligands for the protein of interest.

Despite the fact that all of these techniques measure the heat changes accompanying a photochemical process, their main differences arise from the way in which heat is measured, which in turn controls the temporal resolution of each technique. For instance, in photoacoustic calorimetry, the change in temperature of the environment results in a thermal contraction of the medium which then propagates as an acoustic wave and is measured with a tightly coupled microphone (Braslavsky, Heibel 1992, Astudillo et al. 2010). On the other hand, TG and PBD rely on the use of a probe laser in order to measure changes in diffraction patterns arising from the change in index of refraction of the media triggered by subtle increases in temperature (Fayer 1982, Falvey 1997). The advantage of PBD over TG are twofold: 1) the laser used to generate the transient change in index of refraction (pump laser) does not need to be Gaussian in shape, and 2) the probe beam does not need to be collinear with the pump laser. Furthermore, in PBD, the use of a probe beam allows the measurement of samples at a distance, which allows easy exchange of samples. However, in PAC, care must be taken to minimize changes in the interface between the piezoelectric microphone and the cuvette containing the sample to be measured. In PBD, the time resolution is limited by the detection sensors to be over 10 μs, compared to the 50 ns resolution of PAC. On long timescales, PBD resolution is limited to less than ~100 ms, due to significant contribution of heat diffusion, air drift, and vibrations as described below. However, the time resolution of 10 μs – 100 ms is ideally suited to study the
dynamics of structural changes in proteins. Finally, PBD allows the determination of thermodynamic parameters ($\Delta H$, $\Delta V$, and activation energies) which are not easily determined by other techniques.

Of particular interest is the use of PBD and the photosensitive compound DM-nitrophen to study the thermodynamics and dynamics of metal binding to calcium binding proteins. In this chapter, we explore the thermodynamics of ligand release from cage-compounds following ultrafast (5 ns) illumination. In addition, following our previous study on Tb$^{3+}$ binding to DREAM, we investigate whether this lanthanide can be chelated by DM-nitrophen and photo released upon photolysis. These studies are complemented by PBD experiment where we monitor the enthalpy and volume changes associated with Ca$^{2+}$ binding to DREAM following photo cleavage of Ca$^{2+}$DM-nitrophen complexes.

7.2 Results, theory and instrumentation

The instrumental design and theoretical principles of PBD have been reviewed in (Laman, Falvey 1996, Falvey 1997, Larsen, Mikšovská 2007, Whinnery 1974). Following these reports, here we present a complete derivation of the equations used in PBD as well as the design of a photothermal beam deflection instrument. To derive the theoretical aspect of PBD, we briefly follow the work by Jackson et al. (1981a) and Falvey (1997). The problem involves calculating the deviation of a beam of light passing through a medium in which a temperature gradient is present. The distribution of temperature in a medium at time $t$ after deposition of heat at distance $r$ can be derived from the conservation of energy and the heat conduction equation.

$$\frac{\partial T(r,t)}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot T(r,t) \vec{u} \quad \text{Eqn. 7.4}$$

$$T(r,t) \vec{u} = -D \nabla T(r,t) \quad \text{Eqn. 7.5}$$
Where the diffusion constant is $D = \kappa/\rho C_p$, $K$ is the thermal conductivity, $\rho$ is the density, and $C_p$ is the heat capacity. Thus, the temperature response at point $r$ and time $t$ resulting from a $\delta$ heat source at the origin at time $t=0$ yields:

$$T(x, t) = \frac{T_0}{2(\pi Dt)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-x^2/4Dt} \quad \text{at} \quad T(r, 0) = T_0 \delta(r) \quad \text{Eqn. 7.6}$$

And in radial coordinates:

$$T(r, t) = \frac{T_0}{8(\pi Dt)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-r^2/4Dt} - \infty < r, x < \infty \quad \text{Eqn. 7.7}$$

However, if the heat source does not follow a $\delta$-function and/or there is an additional heat sink or source, then the above equation is not a solution. To include this scenario, the heat diffusion must be modified so that:

$$\frac{\partial T(r,t)}{\partial t} = D \nabla^2 T(r,t) + f(r,t) \quad \text{Eqn. 7.8}$$

Where the $f(r, t)$ is due to the additional heat source/sink. This equation can be rearranged so that:

$$\frac{\partial T(r,t)}{\partial t} - D \nabla^2 T(r,t) = f(r,t) \quad \text{Eqn. 7.9}$$

Which allows the use Green’s theorem, so that if $Lu = f$ then $LG = \delta$ where $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - D \nabla^2$ and $u = T(r, t)$. This leads to:

$$LG(r', r, t) = \delta(r') \delta(r') \delta(t) \quad \text{Eqn. 7.10}$$
Application of the Fourier transform together with the boundary conditions shown in Figure 7.2 leads to:

\[ G(r', r, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi kt)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2 + r'^2}{4kt}\right) I_0 \left(\frac{rr'}{Dt}\right) \quad \text{Eqn. 7.11} \]

Since eqn. 7.11 is a solution of eqn. 7.10, then the sum of solutions is also a solution.

\[ T(r, t) = 2\pi \int_0^t dt' \int_0^\infty dr' r' G(r', r, t') f(r', t) \quad \text{Eqn. 7.12} \]

In order to find a complete solution to this equation, the function \( f(r', t) \) must be defined. This can be done if we first assume this function represents the convolution of the energy absorbed, the energy of the heat source (i.e. a Gaussian laser pulse), and the heat contribution of any additional processes. So that, \( f(r, t) = \text{amount of energy abs x pump pulse distribution x heat of others} \) which leads to:

\[ f(r, t) = \left(\frac{\alpha E_a}{\hbar \omega_0}\right) \frac{2}{\pi \omega_0^2} \exp\left(-\frac{2r^2}{\omega_0^2}\right) \sum_i \frac{dQ_i}{dt} \quad \text{Eqn. 7.13} \]

where \( \alpha \) is the absorption coefficient, \( E_a \) the energy of the photons per mole, \( \hbar \omega \) is the energy per photon, \( \omega_0 \) is the full width half maxima, and \( Q \) is the heat released.

Combining eqn. 7.13 and 2.11 into eqn. 7.12 yield:
\[ T(r, t) = 2\pi \int_0^t dt \int_0^\infty dr' r' \left( \frac{1}{4\pi \kappa t} e^{-\frac{r^2+r'^2}{4Dt}} I_0(\frac{rr'/D}{4D}) \right) \left( \frac{\alpha E}{\hbar \omega} \right) \frac{2}{\pi \omega_0^2} e^{-\frac{2r^2}{\omega_0^2}} \sum_i \frac{dQ_i}{dt} \]  

Eqn. 7.14

Which can be integrated

\[ T(r, t) = \left( \frac{1}{4\pi \kappa t} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha E}{\hbar \omega} \right) \int_0^t dt \int_0^\infty dr' r' e^{-\frac{r^2+r'^2}{4Dt}} e^{-\frac{2r^2}{\omega_0^2}} I_0(\frac{rr'/D}{4D}) \sum_i \frac{dQ_i}{dt} \]  

Eqn. 7.15

\[ T(r, t) = \left( \frac{\alpha E}{\pi \kappa \hbar \omega \omega_0^2} \right) \int_0^t dt \sum_i \frac{dQ_i}{dt} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4Dt}} \int_0^\infty dr' r' e^{-\frac{(\omega_0^2+8D\omega^2)r'^2}{4Dt+\omega_0^2}} I_0(\frac{rr'/D}{4D}) \]  

Eqn. 7.16

\[ D = \frac{\kappa}{\rho C_p} \]  

Eqn. 7.17

\[ T(r, t) = \left( \frac{\alpha E}{\pi \kappa \hbar \omega \omega_0^2} \right) \int_0^t dt \sum_i \frac{dQ_i}{dt} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4Dt}} \int_0^\infty dr' r' e^{-\frac{(\omega_0^2+8D\omega^2)r'^2}{4Dt+\omega_0^2}} I_0(\frac{rr'/D}{4D}) \]  

Eqn. 7.18

The last term on right hand side is of the form,

\[ \int x e^{-cx^2} dx = -\frac{1}{2c} e^{-cx^2} \]  

Eqn. 7.19

whose solution is:

\[ \int_0^\infty dr' r' e^{-cr'^2} = \frac{-(\omega_0^2+8D\omega^2)r'^2}{(8D\omega^0_0)(8D\omega^0_0+\omega^2_0)} \]  

Eqn. 7.20

which once plugged into eqn. 7.18 gives:

\[ T(r, t) = \left( \frac{2\alpha E}{\pi \kappa \hbar \omega} \right) \int_0^t dt \sum_i \frac{dQ_i}{dt} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4Dt}} e^{-\frac{(\omega_0^2+8D\omega^2)r'^2}{(8D\omega^0_0)(8D\omega^0_0+\omega^2_0)}} \]  

Eqn. 7.21

In the event that the waist of the Gaussian profile of the pump laser is much larger than the diffusion coefficient of the media (water \(D = 0.282 \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-2}\), air \(D=0.176 \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-2}\) (Cussler 2009), then \((4D + \omega_0^2) \sim \omega_0^2\) and eqn. 7.21 simplifies to:
Integration over the temperature gives the final solution for the heat diffusion due to a Gaussian heat pulse and additional processes.

\[
T(r, t) = \left( \frac{4aEa}{B\pi kh\omega} \right) \int_0^t dt \sum_i \frac{\omega_i^2(1+r^2)}{8D_i + \omega_0^2} \exp \left( -\frac{2r^2}{8D_i + \omega_0^2} \right) 
\]

Eqn. 7.23

In which the first term is correlated to sample and instrumental parameters such as the absorption of the sample, path length of the sample (Z), power of pump laser, and energy. The summation term corresponds to the heat deposited by the \(i\)th molecule at time \(t\) and position \(r\), and the last term correlates to the heat diffusion of such heat deposition.

Furthermore, to calculate the deflection of the probe beam in PBD we must also derive the response of the index of refraction of the media due to changes in temperature. This can be done by considering that the change in index of refraction (\(n\)) as a function of time can be due to at least three factors: i) changes in solvent density due to temperature change, ii) change due to photo-products which can affect the index of refraction, iii) and changes in molar volumes of the solvated species.

\[
\Delta n_{total} = \Delta n_{thermal} + \Delta n_{chemistry} + \Delta n_{volume} 
\]

Eqn. 7.24

Under conditions such that the probe laser is not sensitive to changes in the chemical composition of the media, i.e. the absorption of the sample does not overlap with the wavelength of the laser, then \(\Delta n_{chemistry} = 0\). Under this condition, using:

\[
\Delta n(r, t)_{thermal} \sim \left( \frac{dn}{dt} \right) \Delta T(r, t) 
\]

Eqn. 7.25

And
\[ \Delta n_{\text{volume}} = \int \frac{dn}{dv} dV = \int \frac{dn}{d\rho} d\rho dV \]  
Eqn. 7.26

Equation 7.24 simplifies to:

\[ \Delta n_{\text{total}} = \left(\frac{dn}{dT}\right) \Delta T(r, t) + \int \frac{dn}{d\rho} d\rho dV \]  
Eqn. 7.27

The \( \Delta T(r, t) \) term on the right has been derived in eqn. 7.23, whereas the second term on the right can be derived from the Claussius-Mossoti relation (also known the the Feynman relation) (Feynman, Leighton & Sands 1979, Böttcher et al. 1978).

\[ \rho \alpha = \frac{3(n^2-1)}{(n^2+2)} \]  
Eqn. 7.28

\[ \frac{dn}{d\rho} = \frac{-(n^2+2)(n^2-1)}{6nV} \]  
Eqn. 7.29

Where the number of particles per unit volume is \( \rho \), \( \alpha \) is the polarizability of the particle, and \( n \) is the index of refraction. Applying the chain rule on eqn. 7.78 leads to 7.29, and plugging into eqn. 7.27 together with eqn. 7.23, yields.

\[ \Delta n_{\text{total}} = \left(\frac{dn}{dT}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi \hbar \omega}\right) \left(\frac{E_a}{\rho C_p}\right) \sum_i Q_i + \int \frac{-(n^2+2)(n^2-1)}{6nV} dV \]  
Eqn. 7.30

In the event that the volume changes are small enough, so that:

\[ \int_{V_i}^{V_f} \frac{1}{V} dV \sim \Delta V \]  
Eqn. 7.31

Then eqn. 7.30 simplifies to:

\[ \Delta n_{\text{total}} = \left(\frac{dn}{dT}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi \hbar \omega}\right) \left(\frac{E_a}{\rho C_p}\right) \sum_i Q_i + \frac{-(n^2+2)(n^2-1)}{6n} \Delta V \]  
Eqn. 7.32

Which can be rearranged so that:

\[ \Delta n_{\text{total}} = A E_a \Phi \left\{ \frac{dn}{dT} \left(\frac{1}{\rho C_p}\right) \sum_i Q_i + \frac{-(n^2+2)(n^2-1)}{6n} \Delta V \right\} \]  
Eqn. 7.33

Where the constant A contains instrumental parameters, such as absorption, alignment and path length of the sample. Here it was assumed that \( \frac{dn}{dT} \) does not change with temperature,
the quantum yield ($\phi$) of the reaction was also included. For a process in which all the energy absorbed is released as heat ($\phi = 1$), then $\sum_i Q_i = E_{hv}$ and if there are no associated structural or chemical changes upon photoexcitation of the chromophore, the eqn. 7.33 reduces to:

$$\Delta n_{total} = A E_a \left\{ \frac{dn}{dT} \left( \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \right) E_{hv} \right\}$$

Eqn. 7.34

Even though the angle of deviation in PBD can be calculate by taking the path integral of this equation, a better approach is to measure the deviation of the beam and take the ratio of this same signal with a compound satisfying the conditions that lead to eqn. 7.34. In this scenario, the compound satisfying eqn. 7.34 is called a reference sample, thus we can write:

$$S = Sample = A_s E_a \phi_s \left\{ \frac{dn}{dT} \left( \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \right) \sum_i Q_i + \frac{-(n^2+2)(n^2-1)}{6n} \Delta V \right\}$$

Eqn. 7.35

And,

$$R = Reference = A_R E_a \left\{ \frac{dn}{dT} \left( \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \right) E_{hv} \right\}$$

Eqn. 7.36

Where the left hand side is equal to the PBD signal. Under conditions so that the alignment of the PBD instrument as well as the absorption at the excitation wavelength of both the sample and reference is the same ($A_s = A_r$), taking the ratio of eqn. 7.35 and 7.36 leads to:

$$\frac{S}{R} = \frac{\phi_s}{E_{hv}} \left[ \sum_i Q_i + \frac{-(n^2+2)(n^2-1)}{6n} \left\{ \left[ \frac{dn}{dT} \right] \left( \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \right) \right\}^{-1} \Delta V \right]$$

Eqn. 7.37

In this equation, $E_{hv}$ and $\phi_s$ are temperature independent constants while the term $\left[ \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \right) \right]^{-1}$ is a temperature dependent term which can be calculated from known parameter values for water, or other solvents. The term $-(n^2+2)(n^2-1)/6nV = \epsilon$ for water can be considered constant as a function of temperature, with a value of $\epsilon = -0.365$. 

Thus, a plot $\frac{S}{R} E_{hv}$ vs $\epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \right) \right]^{-1}$ follows a linear trend with intercept equal to $\Phi_s \Sigma_l Q_l$ and slope equal to $\Phi_s \Delta V$. If the quantum yield of the reaction is known, then the enthalpy change $\Delta H = \Phi_s \Sigma_l Q_l$ and volume change $\Delta V$ can be calculated. In addition, the temporal dependence of $\Delta H$ and $\Delta V$ can be determined in the range of 10 $\mu$s to ~ 100 ms.

The PBD measurements were performed on a home-build instrument described below. The samples were held in a 1.0x0.5 cm or 3x3x5 mm cuvette which were placed inside a temperature controlled holder (TC 125, Quantum Northwest). Illumination of the sample was done with a Nd: YAG laser using the 355 nm line. The pulse of this source lasts about 5 ns and the power was kept between 600 $\mu$J to 2400 $\mu$J (Minilite, Continuum, CA). The laser probe beam (635 nm, 5 mW output from He-Ne Laser, Edmund Optics) was aligned so that it is nearly collinear to the pump beam and crossed in the center of the sample cell. Mirror were used to reflects and align the probe beam onto the center of a split diode. The detector is of our own design and the signal output was digitized by a 400 MHz digitizer (WaveSurfer, LeCroy).

**Figure 7.3.** Experimental set-up for the photo-thermal beam deflection measurements in the collinear configuration.
The instrumental design is shown in Figure 7.3, where M\textsubscript{1} and M\textsubscript{2} represent flat mirrors used to focus the probe beam on the center of a position sensitive detector, M\textsubscript{355} represent high energy Nd:YAG laser mirrors, L\textsubscript{1} represents a convex lens positioned in front of a detector, P\textsubscript{1} and P\textsubscript{2} represents pinholes to shape the pump and probe beam diameter, respectively. a 500 nm long pass filter is represented by F\textsubscript{1}.

\textbf{Figure 7.4.} (Top) PBD noise on long timescales with and without air insulation. (Bottom) The PBD amplitude distribution of the noise.

The probe beam travels through a refractive index gradient created by a temperature gradient in a medium is deflected by an angle \( \alpha \). The deflection angle is measured using a position sensitive detector. We have recently modified this set-up to include vibration damping columns as well as an acrylic enclosure. These additions have resulted in significant decrease in vibration noise and probe beam drifts due to changes in air temperature. Air currents, such as those of nearby AC vents, can create temperature gradients which can effectively induce deflection of the probe beam. In addition, a strong airflow can carry dust particles that when crossing the probe beam laser will reduce the
intensity and shape of the beam arriving at the detector. As shown in figure 7.4, these artifacts can be significantly reduced by isolation from air current and reduction of vibrational noise.

**Figure 7.5.** (a) PBD signal amplitude of Na$_2$(CrO$_4$)$_2$ in 20 mM MOPS pH 7.4 and 100 mM NaCl as a function of absorbance at 355 nm. (b) Linear plots of the PBD data as a function of \( \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \right) \) at different optical absorbance at 355 nm. The inset shows the deviation from 0-intercept as a function of optical density at 355 nm. (c) PBD signal amplitude of the same sample as a function of pump laser power at an absorbance of 0.701 at 355 nm.

Additional experiments were carried out to characterize the linearity of the PBD measurements as a function of laser power and optical density of the sample. In figure 7.5a, the amplitude of the PBD signal as a function of absorbance at 355 nm is shown. The linearity of this plot shows that no multiphoton process is observed for Na$_2$(CrO$_4$)$_2$ at this laser power (1200 μJ) and temperature (20 °C). In contrast, plots of the sample signal as a function of \( \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \right) \) shows small deviations from zero y-intercept at increasing absorbance. This deviation likely arises from changes in ionic strength of the solvent due to the large concentrations of Na$^+$ and CrO$_4^-$ ions. This increase in ionic strength would likely need to be corrected by adjusting the \( \frac{dn}{dT} \) value. Lastly, analysis of the PBD signal amplitude as a function of pump laser power shows no deviation from linearity in the range between 60 μJ and 1400 μJ. Indicating that at these powers, no multiphoton absorption is
observed for Na$_2$(CrO$_4$)$_2$ in solution. These experiments highlight the robustness of the PBD set-up and must be carried out in the event that a new reference compound if used or if high concentrations of analyte are necessary.

7.3 Results

7.2.1 Data analysis and calculations

A typical PBD signal for sodium chromate (Na$_2$(CrO$_4$)$_2$) in aqueous solution is shown in Figure 7.6. In this plot, a fast rise upon illumination with the 355nm pump laser is observed, followed by a slow decay due to heat diffusion. As the temperature increases, the PBD signal increases, and becomes minimal at about ~0 °C. At this temperature the $\frac{dn}{dT}$ term becomes zero and the first term in eqn. 7.35 is negligible, thus the signal measured corresponds to the volume change ($\Delta V$).

**Figure 7.6.** (a) PBD signal of Na$_2$(CrO$_4$)$_2$ in 20 mM MOPS pH 7.4 upon excitation with 1100 μJ power at 355 nm. (b) PBD signal as a function of pump laser repetition rate at 20 °C. Inset shows the magnitude of the heat diffusion effect as a function of frequency.
In the timescales of 10 μs to 10 ms the PBD signal appears to be flat due to the negligible heat diffusion and disruption of the transient lens. However, it must be kept in mind that the slow diffusion of heat can lead to laser pump pileup. This can be observed in Figure 7.6b, where the diffusion effects become larger as the laser pump frequency is increased. As the frequency increases, the heat deposited increases due to pump pulse pileup and leads to a larger PBD amplitude decrease at times longer than 20 ms. This is an important aspect which must be taken into account, especially if the reference and sample signals are not measured using identical laser repetition rates or if processes with slow kinetics must be measured. Nonetheless, at low pump laser repetition rates and timescales shorter than 10 ms, the PBD signal can be assumed to follow a square wave shape. Thus, when using eqn. 35 and 36, the difference between the pre-pulse signal and post pulse signal is taken to represent the PBD signal, Figure 7.7.

**Figure 7.7.** A representative trace highlighting the kinetics of a photo triggered processes and the amplitude of the slow and fast phases used to calculate the enthalpy and volume changes.

The change in PBD signal can be associated with fast processes (those than happen faster than 10 μs) and slow processes (processes with kinetics within 10 μs and 10 ms). In this case the volume and enthalpy changes for the process of interest are calculated using:
\[ \Delta H_{fast} = -\left( Q_{fast} - E_{hv} \right) / \phi \]  
Eqn. 7.38

\[ \Delta H_{slow} = -Q_{slow} / \phi \]  
Eqn. 7.39

\[ \Delta V = \Delta V_{non-thermal} / \phi \]  
Eqn. 7.40

Following Eqn. 7.37, a plot of PBD signal vs \( \epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho Cp} \right) \right]^{-1} \) for the reference compound should be linear with intercept at zero. However, as shown in Figure 7.8, small variances in the value of \( \epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho Cp} \right) \right]^{-1} \) can lead to significant deviations from the linear fit intercepting zero. In addition, the presence of salts or organic solvents can result in significant deviation from linearity due to large changes on the \( \epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho Cp} \right) \right]^{-1} \) term.

**Figure 7.8.** (a) Differences in calculated \( \left[ \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho Cp} \right) \right]^{-1} \) values. In method 1, the values are obtained by fitting the \( \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right), \frac{1}{\rho} \) and \( \frac{1}{Cp} \) terms individually to a polynomial of rank 3. In method 2, the values of \( \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right), \frac{1}{\rho}, \) and \( \frac{1}{Cp} \) are first multiplied and the trace fitted with polynomial of rank 5. These polynomials are used to calculate the \( \epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho Cp} \right) \right]^{-1} \) at the temperature shown. (b) The deviation from linearity becomes more obvious at lower temperatures (near 0 on the x-axis). This plot was obtained using Na\(_2\)(CrO\(_4\))\(_2\) in 20mM MOPS pH 7.4 100mM NaCl with a laser power of 1100 \( \mu \)J. (c) Effect on the intercept due to increase in ionic strength, conditions are the same as in (b).
An alternative approach is to rearrange eqn. 7.35 and 7.37 to obtain a linear equation of sample PBD signal as a function of the reference PBD signal as in eqn. 7.41. Thus a plot of sample PBD signal vs reference PBD signal divided by the laser energy would result in a linear plot with slope $= \phi_s \sum_i Q_i$. The volume change associated with the process can be recovered if the parameter $A_s$ is determined, which can be done by plotting the reference PBD signal vs $\epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \right) \right]^{-1}$. However, in the event that the volume changes are not necessary, then eqn. 7.41 provides a convenient approach to determine the enthalpy of a process without previous knowledge of the solvent thermal properties. Also, determining the enthalpy from the slope of the linear plot leads to less systematic error due to extrapolation of the linear fit. Another important advantage of this approach is that the linear fit has equal contributions from all temperatures, whereas the linear plot following eqn. 7.37 has much larger contribution from lower temperatures where the $\epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \right) \right]^{-1}$ values show the largest changes.

$$S = \text{Sample} = \phi_s \frac{R}{E_{hu}} \sum_i Q_i + C \quad \text{Eqn. 7.41}$$

$$C = A_s E_a \phi_s \frac{-\left( n^2 + 2 \right) \left( n^2 + 1 \right) \Delta V}{6nV} \quad \text{Eqn. 7.42}$$

In the event that no thermal information is known for the solvent to be used, then it is possible to find a temperature at which the $\epsilon \left( \frac{dn}{dT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \right)$ term approaches zero, at this point the PBD signal for the reference approaches zero, while that of the sample are directly proportional to $\Phi_s \Delta V$. This temperature can be determined measuring the PBD signal as a function of temperature, as in Figure 7.9c. At this temperature the PBD signal for the reference approaches zero, while that of the sample is directly proportional to $\Phi_s \Delta V$. 
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Figure 7.9. (a) PBD signal of Na$_2$(CrO$_4$)$_2$ (reference) in 20 mM MOPS pH 7.4 100 mM NaCl. (b) Plot of the reference PBD signal as a function of \( \frac{d n}{d T} \left( \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \right) \) used to determine the instrument constant \( AE_\alpha \). (c) Linear fit of the PBD signal as a function temperature used to identify the temperature at which the PBD signal for the reference is equal to 0.

7.2.2 Time-resolved thermodynamics of Cu$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, Tb$^{3+}$, and H$^+$ release

Photo-thermal beam deflection enables the determination of time-resolved volume and enthalpy changes for a wide range of photo-initiated processes, including the release of a bioactive molecule from cage compound complexes (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014, Dhulipala et al. 2009). Cage compounds are molecules that are biologically inactive, but which can decompose upon irradiation with light to yield a biologically active by-product. The ability to control the spatiotemporal release of biologically active compounds has led to the development of numerous photosensitive caged compounds. Including caged adenosine triphosphate, inositol triphosphate, cyclic adenosine monophosphate, Ca$^{2+}$, H$^+$, and DNA, to name a few (Kaplan, Somlyo 1989, Ellis-Davies 2007). The main advantage of such compounds, is their ability to release the biologically active compound within milliseconds. Thus, caged compounds offer the opportunity to study ligand induced biological processes on timescales not accessible by other techniques. However, to be relevant to the study of biological processes, these caged compounds must release their
cargo within millisecond timescales and this process must have a relative large quantum yield (Gurney 1994).

![Scheme 7.1](image1)
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Table 7.1 Volume and enthalpy following photo-cleavage of caged compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ΔV₁ (mL mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔH₁ (kcal mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔV₂ (mL mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔH₂ (kcal mol⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-NBA</td>
<td>16 ± 3</td>
<td>-36 ± 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM-nitrophen</td>
<td>12 ± 1</td>
<td>63 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺DM-nitrophen</td>
<td>-12 ± 5</td>
<td>-50 ± 20</td>
<td>9 ± 3</td>
<td>-23 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb³⁺DM-nitrophen</td>
<td>-11 ± 2</td>
<td>-53 ± 21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂Cage</td>
<td>-29 ± 1</td>
<td>-2 ± 5</td>
<td>17 ± 2</td>
<td>-65 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(OH₂)Cage</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>-390 ± 94</td>
<td>-15 ± 3</td>
<td>-33 ± 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Determined in water adjusted to pH 9.8 with NaOH. b) determined at pH 7.4 in 20mM MOPS and 100 mM NaCl. Determined at pH 7.1 in 5mM MOPS. d) this data was obtained from a single PBD trial, errors are from the linear fit to the data.
In this section we use PBD to determine the thermodynamics of H⁺ release from the caged compound o-nitrobenzaldehyde, Ca²⁺ and Tb³⁺ from DM-nitrophen, and Cu²⁺ from a pyridyl-amide-nitrophenyl based compound (Scheme 7). The kinetics, enthalpy, and volume change associated with photo-cleavage of o-nitrobenzaldehyde to release a proton and 2-nitroso benzoic acid have been studied in (Bonetti, Vecli & Viappiani 1997, Choi, Hirota & Terazima 2001) using photoacoustic calorimetry and transient grating. Through implementation of PBD we have been able to determine the volume and enthalpy changes associated with this process, and the results are shown in Table 7.1. The measured value of ΔH = -36 ± 18 kcal•mol⁻¹ and ΔV = 16.1 ± 3.2 mL•mol⁻¹ at pH 9.8 are in good agreement with those reported by Viapiani et al. (Bonetti, Vecli & Viappiani 1997).

Following these results, we have also determined the volume and enthalpy associated with photo-release of Ca²⁺ ions from the cage compound DM-nitrophen. As shown in scheme 7.2, illumination with a 355 nm laser pulse induces the photo-cleavage of a C-C bond to yield free Ca²⁺ and photoproducts. Photo-dissociation of the Ca²⁺DM-nitrophen leads to a prompt (< 15 µs) reaction volume change that can be expressed as:

\[ \Delta V = \Delta V_{DM-nitrophen} + \Delta V_{Ca^{2+}} \]

Eqn. 7.43

where \( \Delta V_{DM-nitrophen} \) corresponds to the volume change associated with the photolysis of DM-nitrophen and \( \Delta V_{Ca^{2+}} \) is the partial molar volume of Ca²⁺ ion. Using \( \Delta V_{DM-nitrophen} = 12 \text{ ml•mol}^{-1} \), the partial molar volume of Ca²⁺ was determined to be - 24 ± 5 mL•mol⁻¹. A value similar to the partial molar volume determined using densometric techniques at 25 °C, \( \Delta V_{Ca^{2+}} = - 23 \text{ mL•mol}^{-1} \) (Millero 1971) and - 20 mL•mol⁻¹ as observed in transient...
grating measurements (Kondoh, Baden & Terazima 2008). Similarly, the enthalpy change determined for the fast phase can be described as:

\[
\Delta H = \Delta H_{DM-nitrophen} + \Delta_{\text{hyd}} H^\circ_{Ca^{2+}}
\]

Eqn. 7.44

where \(\Delta H_{DM-nitrophen}\) corresponds to the enthalpy change due to the DM-nitrophen cleavage and \(\Delta_{\text{hyd}} H^\circ_{Ca^{2+}}\), corresponds to the enthalpy of hydration for Ca\(^{2+}\). Using \(\Delta H_{DM-nitrophen} = 63 \pm 6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}\), we estimate the enthalpy of hydration to be \(-113 \pm 20 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}\) for Ca\(^{2+}\).

The 400 \(\mu\)s phase likely corresponds to Ca\(^{2+}\) rebinding to unphotolysed DM-nitrophen, which leads to a positive volume change of \(9 \pm 3 \text{ mL mol}^{-1}\) and a negative enthalpy change of \(23 \pm 12 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}\). The observed volume increase is consistent with the positive volume change observed for Ca\(^{2+}\) complexation to EDTA (\(\Delta V = 18.4 \text{ mL mol}^{-1}\)) or EGTA (\(\Delta V = 13.3 \text{ mL mol}^{-1}\)). In addition, the negative change in enthalpy determined for Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to un-photolyzed DM-nitrophen matches well the enthalpy change for Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to EDTA (\(\Delta H = -12 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}\)) as determined using ITC (Griko 1999).

**Figure 7.10.** Titration curves for Ca\(^{2+}\) and Tb\(^{3+}\) binding to DM-nitrophen. The titrations were conducted in 20 mM MOPS pH 6.5 and 100 \(\mu\)M DM-nitrophen. The absorbance at 350 nm is plotted.
In addition, we were interested in determining if DM-nitrophen is able to chelate Tb³⁺ ions and release these ions upon light illumination. Lanthanides have been widely reported to have similar coordination as Ca²⁺ ions, and are often used as Ca²⁺ biomimetics (Martin, Richardson 1979). However, there have been no reports of association between DM-nitrophen and lanthanides. To initially identify if such interaction is present, we have monitored the change in absorption of DM-nitrophen as a function of Tb³⁺ concentration (Figure 7.10). Addition of Tb³⁺ resulted in a sharp absorption decrease, up to an equimolar concentration. These changes are similar to those observed due to Ca²⁺ chelation, the deviation from 1:1 stoichiometry is likely due to about 5% error in the calculation of the Tb³⁺ stock concentration.

Figure 7.11. (a) Representative ITC isotherms for displacement of Ca²⁺ by Tb³⁺ upon binding to DM-nitrophen. (b) Heat due to Tb³⁺ binding to DM-nitrophen (black symbols), Tb³⁺ displacement of Ca²⁺ from DM-nitrophen (red symbols), and (c) from EDTA. The solid lines represent the best fit to the data using a one-site model.
Isothermal titration calorimetry provides further evidence that Tb\(^{3+}\) is not only able to directly bind to DM-nitrophen, but that it can also displace Ca\(^{2+}\) ions (Figure 7.11). The data for the association of Tb\(^{3+}\) binding to DM-nitrophen shows a one to one stoichiometry with an enthalpy of \(\Delta H = 1.9 \pm 0.1\) kcal\(\cdot\)mol\(^{-1}\). The complex isotherm observed in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) is likely due to contributions of Tb\(^{3+}\) binding to Ca\(^{2+}\) free DM-nitrophen (at low molar ratios) followed by Tb\(^{3+}\) displacement of DM-nitrophen bound to contaminating Ca\(^{2+}\). In the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\), the stoichiometry of 1:1 is maintained, but an increase enthalpy is observed (\(\Delta H = 7.1 \pm 0.1\) kcal\(\cdot\)mol\(^{-1}\)). The isotherm was also best fitted by a one-site model with \(n = 1.1 \pm 0.1\), \(K = 14 \pm 4 \times 10^6\) M\(^{-1}\), and \(T\Delta S = 16.8 \pm 0.3\) kcal\(\cdot\)mol\(^{-1}\). The more endothermic enthalpy due to ligand exchange on DM-nitrophen highlights the subtle differences between Tb\(^{3+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\). These differences likely arise from distinct solvation shells around Tb\(^{3+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) in bulk water as well as a due to a distinct structural organization of the Tb\(^{3+}\):DM-nitrophen complex (Martin, Richardson 1979). Similar isotherms and thermodynamic parameters were observed for Tb\(^{3+}\) displacement of Ca\(^{2+}\) from EDTA (\(n = 1.2 \pm 0.1\), \(K = 6 \pm 4 \times 10^7\) M\(^{-1}\), \(\Delta H = 4.5 \pm 0.1\) kcal\(\cdot\)mol\(^{-1}\), and \(T\Delta S = 15 \pm 0.2\) kcal\(\cdot\)mol\(^{-1}\) in 5 mM TRIS pH 7.4 and 100 mM NaCl). Indicating that enthalpic and entropic increases upon displacement of Ca\(^{2+}\) by Tb\(^{3+}\) likely arise from solvation effects and not structural changes of the chelator. The association constant determined for Tb\(^{3+}\) displacement of Ca\(^{2+}\) together with the published affinity of DM-nitrophen for Ca\(^{2+}\) (\(K = 2.5 \times 10^6\) M\(^{-1}\))(Kaplan, Ellis-Davies 1988), can be used to calculate the affinity of Tb\(^{3+}\) ions for DM-nitrophen using (Sigurskjold 2000):

\[
K_{ Tb^{3+} } = K_{ app } (1 + K_{ Ca^{2+} } [Ca^{2+}])
\]

Eqn. 7.44
where $K_{Ca^{2+}}$ is the association constant of Ca$^{2+}$ to DM-nitrophen, $[Ca^{2+}]$ is the concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ (1 mM), and $K_{app}$ is the association constant of Tb$^{3+}$ determined in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$. The association constant for Tb$^{3+}$ binding to DM-nitrophen and EDTA is thus calculated to be $K_{TB^{3+}} = 3.6 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $K_{TB^{3+}} \sim 10^{14} \text{ M}^{-1}$, respectively. The deviation for the association constant of Tb$^{3+}$ binding to EDTA from the reported value ($\sim 10^{16} \text{ M}^{-1}$) likely arises from the tight binding, which may not be easily determined under our conditions (Betts, Dahlinger 1959).

Furthermore, DM-nitrophen not only is able to chelate Tb$^{3+}$ with high affinity, but PBD experiments show that Tb$^{3+}$ can be released within microseconds after illumination with a 355 nm pulse of light. The PBD traces for the Tb$^{3+}$DM-nitrophen complex show that Tb$^{3+}$ release from DM-nitrophen happens within 10 μs. Plotting the sample PBD signal and reference PBD signal following eqn. 7.37 and eqn. 7.41, allows the calculation of $\Delta V$ to be $-11 \pm 2 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta H = -53 \pm 21 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. These value are in good agreement with those obtained for Ca$^{2+}$ photo-release (Table 7.1). However, unlike Ca$^{2+}$, the release from Tb$^{3+}$ was not accompanied by a slower rebinding processes. The lack of a slower rebinding process in the Tb$^{3+}$DM-nitrophen complex following photo-cleavage can facilitate the interpretation of Ca$^{2+}$ binding experiment with kinetics within 10 μs and 10 ms. The results presented here supplement numerous reports highlighting the biophysical similarities between Ca$^{2+}$ and lanthanides.

The last cage compound studied is a Cu$^{2+}$ chelating photosensitive compound synthetized by the group of Dr. Kavalieratos at FIU (Ciesienski et al. 2008). The quantum yield of photolysis for each compound ($\Phi_{H_2\text{Cage}} = 0.73$ and $\Phi_{[\text{Cu(OH}_2\text{cage}]} = 0.32$) were determined by Ciesienski (Ciesienski et al. 2008). As shown in scheme 7.3, illumination
with 355 nm light induces the breakdown of two pyridyl-amide arms which are initially connected by the photosensitive nitrophenyl group. The application of this Cu$^{2+}$ cage for fast induction of oxidative stress and trafficking of copper inside of the cell are highly dependent on the kinetics photo-release of this cage. Thus, in this section we study the kinetics and thermodynamics of breakdown of the ligand free cage (H$_2$Cage) and of the copper complex (Cu(OH$_2$)Cage).

**Figure 7.12.** (a) PBD signal of H$_2$Cage (dark lines) in 20 mM MOPS pH 7.1 100 mM NaCl as a function of temperature. (b) PBD signal of Cu(OH$_2$)Cage at different temperatures. The reference PBD signal of Na$_2$(CrO$_4$)$_2$ (light lines) at 30 °C (red) and 10 °C (black) are shown for comparison in plots a and b. (c) Plot of the sample to reference PBD signal ratio as a function of $\epsilon \left[ \frac{dn}{dT} \left( \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \right) \right]^{-1}$ for the fast and slow phases of H$_2$Cage and Cu(OH$_2$)Cage used to determine $\Delta H$ and $\Delta V$, following eqn. 7.37. The solid red lines represent the linear fit of the data. Recovered parameters listed in Table 7.1.

Photothermal beam deflection traces for the H$_2$Cage are shown in Figure 7.12a/b together with the reference compound. The H$_2$Cage and [Cu(OH$_2$)(cage)] photo-cleavage shows two distinct phases in the PBD signal. The fast phase occurs within the 10 µs resolution of the instrument, while the slow phase shows a life time of around 850 µs for H$_2$Cage and 50 µs for [Cu(OH$_2$)(cage)] at 20 °C. We attribute the fast phase to the rapid population of the aci-nitro intermediate and the slow phase to the subsequent decay of the aci-nitro intermediate, since its kinetics closely matched the aci-nitro intermediate lifetimes of both compounds, as determined using transient absorption spectroscopy at 435 nm (data
not shown). No additional kinetics were observed up to 100 ms timescale on PBD, suggesting that the aci-nitro decay is the rate-limiting step for both H₂Cage and [Cu(OH₂)(cage)] photodissociation. The PBD traces shown in Figure 7.12 confirms that chelation of Cu²⁺ by the H₂Cage increases the aci-nitro intermediate 17-fold.

The thermodynamic parameters were determined from a linear plot using eqn. 7.37 (Figure 7.12) and are listed in Table 7.1. The formation of the aci-nitro intermediate in the H₂Cage was accompanied by a contraction of -29 ± 1 mL·mol⁻¹ and near zero enthalpy. The decay of this intermediate resulted in an expansion of 17 ± 2 mL·mol⁻¹ and ΔH = -65 ± 14 kcal·mol⁻¹. These volume and enthalpy changes are consistent with a fragmentation of covalent bonds. Fragmentation reactions create larger surface areas for solvent molecules to distribute around and the loss of the aci-nitro intermediate anion eliminates any electrostriction of the solvation sphere. The Cu(OH)₂Cage also shows two phases; however, the volume and enthalpy changes are markedly different. The fast phase shows a volume expansion of 20 ± 5 mL·mol⁻¹ and a large endothermic process with ~ 400 kcal·mol⁻¹ of energy. The slow phase results in a contraction of -15 ± 3 mL·mol⁻¹ and enthalpy change of -33 ± 9 kcal·mol⁻¹. Unlike the H₂Cage, addition of Cu²⁺ results in a small but measurable absorption band near wavelength of the probe beam (632 nm). Absorption of the probe beam by the sample, or changes in the absorption band at this wavelength would lead to erroneous determination of the thermodynamic parameters by PBD. Thus, it is not possible to assign the ΔV and ΔH values to a chemical process, until the contribution of the absorption at 632 nm is verified to be negligible. An alternative approach is to employ a probe laser with longer wavelength than 632 nm. Nonetheless, the
data obtained from PBD allows facile determination of the activation enthalpy of H2Cage ($\Delta H^\ddagger = 7 \pm 1$ kcal•mol$^{-1}$) and Cu(OH2)Cage ($\Delta H^\ddagger = 12 \pm 01$ kcal•mol$^{-1}$) (Figure 7.13).

Figure 7.13. Eyring plot of H2Cage and Cu(OH2)Cage aci-nitro intermediate decay as determined by PBD. Solid lines represent the best linear fit to the data.

Altogether, the experiments shown here demonstrate that PBD can be used to determine the kinetic and thermodynamics of photo-triggered reactions within the 10 μs and 20 ms range. The data for DM-nitrophen confirm that this photosensitive compound is able to chelate Tb$^{3+}$ and release it following illumination with a short 355 nm pulse of light. In addition, the thermodynamic parameters for release of Tb$^{3+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ are very similar, in agreement with the idea that these two ions share similar biophysical properties in solution. Lastly, PBD data shows that the chelation of Cu$^{2+}$ by the H2Cage compound induces a 17-fold increase in the breakdown rate of this cage following illumination. Further studies are needed to fully determine the thermodynamic parameters of the Cu(OH2)Cage compound, but the data presented here show that the breakdown of this complex at about 50 μs is well within the resolution of PBD.
7.2.3 Time-resolved thermodynamics of Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM constructs

Following the application of PBD to study the photo-triggered release of Ca\(^{2+}\) from DM-nitrophen within 10 μs, this technique is also amenable to investigate the thermodynamics and dynamics associated with Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM. In figure 7.13 the PBD traces for DREAM(Δ64), DREAM(Δ160), and DM-nitrophen at 20 °C are shown. Due to the non-reversibility of the Ca\(^{2+}\) release process, the samples has to be exchanged after every pulse. To minimize the sample needed, a 3x3x5 mm (width-length-height) quartz cuvette was used (45 μL) and ten single shots were averaged. A sample concentration of 100 μM for DREAM protein, 795 μM DM-nitrophen, and 780 μM calcium in 20mM HEPES pH 7.4, 1mM TCEP, 100 mM NaCl solution was used. Under these conditions DM-nitrophen shows a rebinding kinetic of about 350 μs (black trace in fig. 7.14). On the other hand, Ca\(^{2+}\) binding kinetics to DREAM(Δ64) and DREAM(Δ160) are slower, with \(\tau = 650 \mu s\) and \(\tau = 1270 \mu s\), respectively. In the case of DREAM(Δ160) the association rate of \(k_{on} = 4.0 \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \mu M^{-1}\) determined here is in good agreement with the calculated value of \(k_{on} = 4.0 \pm 0.1 \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \mu M^{-1}\) from stopped-flow experiments. These results highlight the role of the N-terminus domain in regulating the kinetics of calcium binding at the C-terminus. Unfortunately, we observed that the Ca\(^{2+}\) rebinding kinetics to DM-nitrophen following illumination varied among different manufacturers (Figure 7.14b). Thus the overlap of the DM-nitrophen and DREAM kinetics, as well as large variations in the DM-nitrophen kinetics prevented further deconvolution of these signals.
Figure 7.14. (a) PBD traces for DREAM constructs at 200μM concentration, solid green line represent best NLLS fit to an exponential decay. Trace for DREAM(1-256) not shown. (b) PBD traces of Ca$^{2+}$DM-nitrophen from different manufacturers.

7.3 Summary

In this section I presented the theory behind photothermal beam deflects as well as improvements on the PBD set up which decrease noise levels at longer timescales. The current PBD instrument is able to measure processes faster than ~40 ms. However, at these timescales, much care must be taken to account for diffusion and vibrational effects. We demonstrate that PBD can be used to determine the kinetics and thermodynamics of ligand release from 2-NBA, DM-nitrophen, and a novel copper cage. In addition, we presented the use of DM-nitrophen to cage and photo-release Tb$^{3+}$. Kinetic analysis of the PBD data supports the idea that Ca$^{2+}$ can bind to DREAM within milliseconds. However, further studies need to be carried out in order to determine the thermodynamics of this process.
8. ROLE OF AROMATIC AMINO ACIDS IN ALLOSTERIC REGULATION OF DREAM ACTIVITY

8.1 Background and significance

The neuronal calcium sensors DREAM, KChIP1, KChIP 2, and KChIP 4 co-assemble with the Kv channels to enhance channel surface expression, facilitate channel assembly and regulate the functional gating properties of the channels. Despite numerous X-ray structures of the Kv4 T1 domain in the presence and absence of the regulatory KChIPs, the mechanism by which these auxiliary proteins mediate channel gating remains unknown. Two fragments on the Kv4 T1 domain (amino acids 7-11 “site 1” and 71-90 “site 2”) have been identified as being necessary for KChIP1 current regulation (Scannevin et al. 2004). The “site 1” interaction interface involves a large hydrophobic patch on the DREAM surface, while “site 2” interactions involves helix 2 at the KChIP N-terminus (Pioletti et al. 2006, Wang et al. 2007). Remarkably, we have shown that in the presence of calcium, DREAM(Δ65) exhibits a 26-fold increase in affinity for site 1, and approximately 50-fold increase for site 2 (Chapter 4). The fact that calcium binding at the C-terminus EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 of DREAM(Δ65) modulates binding of site 2 indicates that the structural changes coupled to calcium association are transmitted towards the DREAM N-terminus. The regulation of ligand binding at sites distant from the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding is also observed for DNA. However, DREAM interacts with DNA in a manner that opposes that of Kv4 site 2, that is, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} induces the dissociation from DNA (Osawa et al. 2005, Carrion et al. 1999) (Figure 8.1). Nonetheless, these interactions highlight that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding to DREAM can induce long range structural reorganizations that can regulate ligand binding. The concept of regulation at a distance is not new, and has been widely
observed in ligand binding proteins. The regulation of protein activity by binding of a
ligand (effector) at a distinct site than that of the site involved in activity has been termed
allosteric regulation.

**Figure 8.1.** Calcium induced dissociation of DREAM from DNA. The Ca\(^{2+}\) free DREAM
shown as a tetrameric blue pentagon, upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding a dimer is formed (green shape).
The dimeric form of DREAM has lower affinity for DNA. Dissociation of DREAM from
DNA induces the expression of \(DRE\) regulated genes.

Two widely studied models used to explain allosteric regulation in proteins are the
Monod-Wyman-Changeux (WNC) (Monod, Wyman & Changeux 1965) model and the
Koshland-Nemethy-Filmer (KNF) model (Koshland Jr, Nemethy & Filmer 1966). Both of
these models follow the premise that proteins can populate two states, a relaxed state with
high affinity for the ligand, and a tense state with lower affinity for the ligand. However,
these models differ on the condition of whether all subunits of a protein actively populate
only one state upon ligand binding (WNC, concerted) or whether ligand binding only
results in local structural changes, allowing the protein complex to populate both states
(KNF, model). However, the growing perspective that protein conformational dynamics
and fluctuations control allosteric regulation offers a novel paradigm in understanding
ligand binding. In view presented above, proteins do not behave in a binary fashion, but
can rather populate a multitude of isoenergetic conformational states (Jardetzky 1996).
These conformational states are highly dynamic and sensitive to perturbations resulting from ligand binding, which can induce a redistribution of the conformational space sampled by the protein (Cui, Karplus 2008, Tsai, Del Sol & Nussinov 2009). However, experimental determination of allosteric mechanisms and pathways have proven to be elusive. Even after decades of research on the allosteric regulation of hemoglobin, the precise mechanism remains unresolved. Nonetheless, significant advances in molecular dynamics simulations have opened the opportunity to observe the dynamic nature of proteins at the atomic level. Thus, it is now possible not only to study the role of protein dynamics in regulation of activity, but to dissect the pathways by which information propagates in these complex systems. The concept of dynamic regulation brings a shift in the classical view of the structure-function relationship of protein activity to a new focus in which structure-dynamic-function plays a major role.

**Figure 8.2.** Association of ligand with DREAM (shown in the center as a cartoon model). The electrostatic and hydrophobic regions of DREAM are highlighted in a red surface or gray surface, respectively. Ligand known to interact with DREAM are shown, (counterclockwise) calcium, terbium(III), 1,8-ANS, NS5806, arachidonic acid, site 1 of Kv4.3, site 2 of Kv4.3, DRE DNA, and magnesium.
In chapter 8, we implement the combination of theoretical and experimental techniques with the aim of understanding how calcium modulates DREAM activity. More importantly, we seek to decipher how structural changes associated with Ca\(^{2+}\) binding at the C-terminus are propagated towards the N-terminus. As presented in the previous chapters, DREAM is involved in multiple biological events and can interact with numerous small and large ligands (Figure 8.2). Therefore, understanding how structural information propagates across this protein would provide a new information which could potentially allow the development of approaches to control its activity. Knowledge of the molecular mechanism controlling structural changes could also be extrapolated to other neuronal calcium sensors and provide a fundamental understanding of Ca\(^{2+}\) binding and allostery.

Figure 8.3. Cartoon representation of the three dimensional structures of (a) NCS1 (PDB: 1fpw), (b) recoverin (PDB: 1jsa), and (c) DREAM (PDB: 2jul) in the Ca\(^{2+}\) bound form.

8.2 Results

8.2.1 Calcium binding regulates structural reorganization of the N-terminus helices of EF-hand 1

Elucidation of the structure of DREAM has provided valuable information in regards to the molecular organization of this protein. However, the NMR structures of DREAM(76-256) (PDB: 2jul) and DREAM(161-256) (PDB: 2e6w) have shown little deviation from the structure of recoverin or NCS-1 (Lusin et al. 2008, Yu et al. 2007a)
(Figure 8.3). Nonetheless, these proteins are known to regulate different processes inside the cell and associate with different protein ligands. Furthermore, despite their similar structural organization, DREAM, recoverin, and NCS-1 all bind Ca$^{2+}$ in different configurations. For instance, recoverin binds Ca$^{2+}$ at EF-hand 2 and 3, KChIPs bind Ca$^{2+}$ at EF-hand 3 and 4; while NCS-1 binds Ca$^{2+}$ in EF-hands 2, 3, and 4. Nonetheless, close analysis of the structural organization of these proteins reveal a high degree of sequence and structural homology at the C-terminus containing EF-hand 3 and 4. Therefore, it is possible that the C-terminus domain represents a fundamental tertiary organization with allows these proteins to convert the biochemical energy of Ca$^{2+}$ binding to structural information. In the hypothesis presented above, EF-hand 3 would play an essential structural role while EF-hand 2 and 4 function to relay structural information. We further hypothesize that in DREAM (and potentially other NCS proteins) structural information propagates in the form of structural and dynamic changes.

Figure 8.4. (a) Root mean square deviation (RMSD) of the alpha carbon (C$_{\alpha}$) DREAM (residues 76 to 256) as a function of simulation time. Notice that the classical simulation must undergo over 400 ns to reach a deviation similar to that observed using aMD. Heat map plot showing the RMSD of the C$_{\alpha}$ of each residue as a function of simulation time for the cMD simulation (b) and for the aMD simulation (c). Note the similar patter or reorganization between residue 76 to 96 on both simulations (marked as 1). The region 2 corresponds to residues connecting the exiting helix of EF-hand 3 and entering helix of EF-hand 4.
To clearly understand the structural changes associated with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding to DREAM, we first must obtain a structural model of the protein in the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} free form. To obtain this model we conducted a 450 ns classical molecular dynamics (cMD) simulation and a 100 ns accelerated molecular dynamics (aMD) simulation. These simulations were initialized using the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound DREAM structure (PDB: 2jul), in which the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions have been removed. As shown in Figure 8.4a, the cMD simulation does not reach equilibrium even after 450 ns, while the aMD simulation resulted in an equilibrated structure after about 20 ns. The fast equilibration is expected, since the aMD approach allows the protein to sample a larger number of conformations by effectively lowering the energy barriers separating these states (Hamelberg, Mongan & McCammon 2004). Both of the resulting DREAM structures in the absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} show an overall molecular reorganization of the N- and C-terminus, with an overall RMSD from the starting structure of 4.7 Å for the aMD simulation and 5.5 Å for the cMD simulation. However, analysis of the RMSF per residue as a function of simulation time reveal significant reorganization of the N-terminus domain near EF-hand 2 and 3 in both simulations (Figure 8.4b and c). Since the cMD simulation does not reach an equilibrium structure even after 450 ns, we do not include it in further analysis; instead, we focus on the structure obtained from the aMD simulation. Following the simulation in the absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, the overall structure of DREAM becomes more compact, with an evident collapse of the hydrophobic cavity at the N-terminus (Figure 8.5a and b). In addition, a reorientation of the N-terminus with respect to the C-terminus is observed, likely triggered by the collapse of the N-terminus hydrophobic cavity.
Figure 8.5. Global structural changes following 100 ns of aMD simulation. (a) Initial structure of DREAM following minimization and equilibration and (b) after 100 ns of aMD. (c) Overlaid of the initial structure shown in (a) with the N-terminus of DREAM after 100 ns of aMD simulation (b) represented as geometric cartoons. The C-terminus EF-hand 3 and 4 were used in the alignment.

The most obvious changes at the N-terminus are the reorganization of the entering helix of EF-hand 1, changing from a perpendicular orientation to a semi-parallel orientation with respect to the initial structure (Figure 8.6a), a similar rearrangement is observed for the EF-hand 2 (Figure 8.6b).

Figure 8.6. (a) Reorientation of the EF-hands of DREAM in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$ before (shown in solid colors) and after 100 ns of aMD simulation (shown in diffuse color with solid lines representing the backbone atoms). All alignments were conducted using the first 12 amino acids of the entering helix (shown horizontal). The EF-hand 1 residues 89 to 122 (a), EF-hand 2 residues 128 to 160 (b), EF-hand 3 residue 163 to 198 (c), EF-hand 4 residue 210 to 242 (d), and the H10 helix residues 243 to 256.
The reorientation of EF-hand 1 is accompanied by a collapse of the N-terminus hydrophobic cavity. However, at the C-terminus, a minimal reorganization of the helices is observed compared to the initial structure. The small reorganization is mainly localized on the EF-hand 3 and the H10 helix. A small bent of the entering helix of EF-hand 3 is observed (Figure 8.6c). The EF-hand 4 on the other hand, shows a minimal change in orientation of the entering and exiting helices (Figure 8.6d). As shown in Figure 8.6e, a slight shift in EF-hand 4 is also observed.
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**Figure 8.7.** Structure of the N-terminus domain of DREAM (EF-hand 1 in orange and EF-hand 2 in green) (a) before simulation and (b) after 100 ns of aMD simulation in the absence of Ca²⁺. Root mean square deviation per residue of the last 10 ns compared to the initial structure of Ca²⁺ bound DREAM (red) and Ca²⁺ free DREAM (black). The approximate positions of the EF-hand helices shown as colored squares, EF-hand 1 in orange, EF-hand 2 in green, EF-hand 3 in blue, and EF-hand 4 in red. Heat map representation of the RMSD per residue as a function of time for Ca²⁺ bound DREAM during 100 ns of aMD simulation.

At the residue level, the collapse of the N-terminus hydrophobic surface brings together numerous hydrophobic amino acids, namely Leu96, Phe100, Phe114, Ile117, Tyr118, Phe121, Phe122, Y151, Leu155, Leu158, and Leu159 (Figure 8.7a and b).
Interestingly, the entering helix of EF-hand 1, on which residues known to interact with Kv4 channels and DNA reside, shows the largest structural change. At the C-terminus, the structural changes observed in the presence or absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) are very similar, compare Figure 8.4c and 8.7d, with the most prominent change being due to motion of the long loop (residues 199 to 209) connecting EF-hand 3 and 4. As shown in Figure 8.6, the entering and exiting helices of EF-hands 3 and 4 do not move significantly; however, the metal binding loops of each hand undergo significant change in flexibility and structure (Figure 8.8). This change in structure and increase in flexibility is localized near Asn177 and Asp179 of EF-hand 3, which provide the Y and Z coordination to the Ca\(^{2+}\) metal (Figure 8.7a and b). Similarly, Asp223, Asn225, and Asp227 which provide the X, Y, and Z metal coordination of EF-hand 4 become significantly more flexible (Figure 8.8c and d).

**Figure 8.8.** (a) Root mean square deviation (RMSD) and root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of the EF-hand 2 (residues 163 to 198. RMSF calculated with a moving window of 1 ns with a step of 0.2 ns. The RMSD (c) and RMSF(d) of EF-hand 4. White square highlights the N-terminus of the metal binding loop.
These findings are in good agreement with a model in which the N-terminus amino acids of the EF-hand chelating loop are highly flexible in the absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, while metal binding induces a decrease in flexibility and large entropic gain which drives metal binding (Gifford, Walsh & Vogel 2007b). Interestingly, the fluctuations in EF-hand 4 are much larger than those observed in EF-hand 3, which is in good agreement with the observed loss of entropic gain when EF-hand 4 is inactivated by the Glu234Gln mutation as discussed in Chapter 6. However, to test whether the the collapse of the N-terminus domain is a result of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dissociation or the simulation conditions, we also performed aMD simulations of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound DREAM protein. As shown in Figure 8.7c and d, an increase in the N-terminus RMSD similar to that shown in Figure 8.4b and c is observed even in the presence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. However, the change is not as large as that observed for the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} free protein (compare Figure 8.4c and 8.7d). Nonetheless, in the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound state, DREAM is known to form dimers (Lusin et al. 2008), which may indicate that the observed changes at the N-terminus arise from intersubunit interactions. To determine if intersubunit interactions play a role in stabilizing the N-terminus domain, we conducted additional aMD simulations of a DREAM dimer structure. The DREAM dimer structure was generated using the dimeric structure of KChIP1 (PDB: 1S6C) (Zhou et al. 2004b), the structure of the DREAM dimer is shown in Figure 8.9a. The resulting structure is similar to the previously proposed structure, in which Leu155, Leu156, and Leu159 stabilize the dimeric structure in a head to tail fashion (Lusin et al. 2008). Following energy minimization and equilibration, the dimeric structure of DREAM reaches equilibrium within 10 ns of aMD simulation (Figure 8.9b). In addition, the RMSD of the entering helix of EF-hand 1 as well as the heat map plot reveal that the overall structure of DREAM is much less flexible in
the dimeric conformation (Figure 8.10b and c). These are remarkable results, and they clearly indicate that both binding of Ca$^{2+}$ at EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 as well as dimerization play a significant role in stabilizing the N-terminus EF-hand 1. More importantly, these results provide evidence for the presence of pathways which propagates structural signals from the C- to the N-terminus in DREAM.

**Figure 8.9.** (a) Cartoon representation of the proposed DREAM dimer in which Leu155, Leu158, Leu159, and Leu251 are shown in licorice model with a diffuse surface. The N-terminus EF-hand 1 and 2 shown in blue and the C-terminus EF-hand 3 and 4 shown in red. (b) RMSD of the C$_\alpha$ in EF-hand 1 (residues 89 to 102) as a function of aMD simulation time for the Ca$^{2+}$ free and bound DREAM as well as the dimer of DREAM. (c) Heat map of the RMSD per residue as a function of simulation time for each DREAM subunit in the dimer protein.
8.2.2 Molecular dynamics simulations reveal the role intersubunit and intrasubunit dynamic coupling

All members of the NCS subfamily are known to fold in a compact manner similar to that observed in recoverin (Figure 8.3), a domain organization significantly distinct than that observed in calmodulin. Also, unlike calmodulin, NCS proteins do not bind Ca\textsuperscript{2+} at the most N-terminal EF-hand 1. However, structural information on the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} free and bound recoverin seems to indicate that certain structural changes happen near the N-terminus, where a myristoyl group resides (Ames et al. 1997). The similar compact structural organization of NCS proteins brings to question whether the ability to transduce signal from the C- to the N-terminus is a common feature among these proteins. Based on the results of the previous section and chapters, we propose that there are two pathways by which Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding regulates structural changes at the N-terminus. One is through direct intrasubunit structural changes and another which involves intersubunit communications. However, the nature of these structural changes are not obvious, since we have observed that besides local changes in flexibility (Figure 8.9), Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding at EF-hand 3 and 4 does not lead to significant changes in the structure of the C-terminus. In this section, we test the hypothesis that monitoring of the dynamic fluctuation in DREAM provides useful information necessary to understand the mechanism controlling structural reorganization in this protein.
We propose that the transmission of allosteric signal in DREAM is coupled to dynamic fluctuations of amino acids in the protein, these fluctuations effectively propagate information and induce structural changes at the N-terminus of DREAM. To test this hypothesis we have analyzed the cMD simulations of Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM dimer and monomer as well as the Ca\(^{2+}\) free DREAM monomer using dynamic cross correlation of the alpha carbons between amino acids as a function of simulation time. The approach allows us to monitor all residues and identify cluster of amino acids (communities) in which dynamic fluctuations are highly correlated. These communities dissect the DREAM structure into segments that allows us to understand the pathways of signal transduction in DREAM protein. Figure 8.10 shows the residue-based dynamic cross correlation maps of DREAM as a function of metal binding and oligomerization state. These correlation maps were obtained by analyzing the equilibrium conformation of each state, namely, the last 80 ns of the simulation during which the RMSD did not change significantly. Therefore, these correlation maps represent fluctuations from equilibrium. Analysis of the cross correlation
map of Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM(Δ65) shows the presence of widespread regions of correlated and anticorrelated motion, shown as blue and red in Figure 8.10a. In the map shown in Figure 8.10a we observe three region; region A connects amino acids at the C-terminus and region C connects amino acids at the C-terminus. These two dynamic domains are connected by a strong correlation between amino acids 130 to 137 at the N-terminus and 168 to 174 at the C-terminus, highlighted as region B.

Furthermore, a clear widespread increase in dynamic coupling can be observed for the dimeric DREAM simulation (Figure 8.10b). The increase in correlation is present for regions A, B, and C, which were already partially correlated in the Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM monomer simulation. For instance, the region marked as C in Figure 8.10b corresponds to the C-terminus of DREAM and shows a strong increase in correlation, a similar increase if observe for the N-terminus. Interestingly, the anticorrelated region connecting the entering helix of EF-hand 1 (residues 80 to 102) to the exiting helix of EF-hand 4 (residue 230 to 242) is enhanced in the dimeric form as well, shown as region D. Therefore, these results suggest that dimerization strengthens already existing dynamic connections, likely enhancing the stability of the protein and transduction of dynamic information. On the other hand, the correlation map for Ca$^{2+}$ free DREAM shows a clear overall decrease in correlation, both at the N- and C-terminus (Figure 8.10c). Regions C and N become significantly less correlated, while region B becomes completely uncorrelated. These results show a clear transition triggered by Ca$^{2+}$ binding to DREAM, from a disordered protein conformation to a highly dynamically organized structure.
To better illustrate the dynamic organization of DREAM, we have carried out analysis of the simulations discussed above using dynamic network analysis. This type of analysis allows us to build three-dimensional networks which represent the cluster of amino acids whose motions are highly correlated. The resulting networks of Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM monomer overlaid on the DREAM structure are shown in Figure 8.11. One of the most salient observation of Figure 8.11a is the particularly defined organization of the N- and C-terminus domains, each of which is subdivided in three communities. At the N-terminus,
the blue community spans helix 1 and the entering helix of EF-hand 1, the orange community connects the exiting helix of EF-hand 2 and entering helix of EF-hand 3, while the green network contains the exiting helix of EF-hand 2 and the beginning portion of EF-hand 3. The C-terminus shares a similar organization, with three main communities, the largest one being the yellow network connecting the exiting helix of EF-hand 3 and entering helix of EF-hand 4. The metal binding loops at the C-terminus also form a highly correlate community (shown in gray) which connects both loops and the entering helix of EF-hand 3. Lastly, the H10 helix is minimally coupled to the rest of the protein and forms a community shown in red. Overall, these communities highlight the role of the entering helix of EF-hand 3 in connecting both domains. The entering helix of EF-hand 3 (H6) seems to function as a dynamic hub through which fluctuations between the N- and C-terminus can be propagated. In Figure 8.11c the critical amino acids connecting the N-terminus (Trp169, Glu165, and Lys87) and the C-terminus (Leu165, Phe171, and Tyr174) are shown. Analysis of the amino acids connecting the communities, herein referred as critical nodes, identifies Trp169, Phe171, and Tyr174 as playing major roles. The N-terminus connection is dominated by interactions of Trp169 with Phe138 and Leu134. Additionally, the dynamic coupling in this domain is also extended by Glu165 and Lys87 which make a strong salt bridge spanning the entering helix of EF-hand 3 and entering helix of EF-hand 1 (green to blue network). At the C-terminus the predominant connection is that of Leu167 and Phe171 on the entering helix of EF-hand 3 interacting with Phe235 and Ile232 on the exiting helix of EF-hand 4. At the C-terminus, the H10 helix is coupled to the C-terminus core (yellow network) by the interaction between Phe252 on the H10 helix and Phe219 on the entering helix of EF-hand 4. Interestingly, Phe218 is strongly
coupled to the EF-hand 3 Ca$^{2+}$ binding loop, connecting the yellow and the green network. Altogether, these dynamics networks highlight the role of hydrophobic amino acids, specially aromatic amino acids, in maintaining the dynamic coupling from the N- to the C-terminus.
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**Figure 8.12.** (a) Cartoon representation Ca$^{2+}$ free DREAM monomer with the colored communities shown as ball and stick colored cartoons. The structure was obtained following 450 ns of cMD simulation. The communities were calculated using the last 80 ns of the cMD simulation. (b) Cartoon representation of DREAM with EF-hands colored and helices labeled for reference to panel (a).

Analysis of the Ca$^{2+}$ free DREAM simulation shows that most of the communities are maintained, but the thinner edges connecting the nodes (representing alpha carbons) highlights a much weaker correlation (Figure 8.12). However, a strong reorganization of the networks at the C-terminus is observed, where the yellow and gray networks have rearranged. The yellow community now connects the entering and exiting helix of EF-hand 4 and partially the exiting helix of EF-hand 3. The gray network now connects the H10 helix and the exiting helix of EF-hand 3 and entering helix of EF-hand 4 while the red network is largely localized at the entering helix of EF-hand 3. These results allow us to propose that in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$, the H10 helix becomes dynamically coupled to the core domain of DREAM through interactions with EF-hand 3. As described in Chapter 3
and 4, binding of small hydrophobic ligands on DREAM is mediated by a hydrophobic cavity at the C-terminus. Therefore, it is likely that the mechanism being observed here, in which the H10 helix becomes free to move in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, but bound to the core domain of DREAM in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$, is what regulates ligand binding. At the N-terminus, the three communities observed in the Ca$^{2+}$ bound form of DREAM are maintained; however, the correlation strength is decreased significantly (judged by the thickness of the edges connecting the nodes).

Figure 8.13. (a) Cartoon representation of the proposed DREAM dimer on which the communities have been overlaid using a ball and stick representation. Subunit A and B are highlighted in a dotted square. The figure on the right is rotated 180 degrees. (b) cartoon representation of DREAM with EF-hands colored and Ca$^{2+}$ metal shown, LxxLL motif shown in purple licorice model. (c) Intersubunit salt bridges formed between DREAM subunits.
The Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM dimer shows the presence of much more extensive communities (Figure 8.13). The communities identified in the dimer are not symmetric, but in both subunit three well defined dynamic subdomains can be observed. The red community, is predominant in both subunit, and it includes both EF-hand 3, EF-hand 4, and the H10 helix. In addition, a community (shown in green) spanning the H1 helix, EF-hand 1, and EF-hand 2 is found in subunit A. In subunit B, this community is smaller, only including the exiting helix of EF-hand 1 and entering helix of EF-hand 2 (shown in green). Despite their different organization, both subunits show strong intersubunit correlation between the red and green communities which is mediated by the long loop connecting EF-hands 3 and 4 (Figure 8.13b and c). At the residue level, this intersubunit interaction is stabilized by a strong salt bridge between Glu103 on one subunit and Arg200 and Arg2007 on the adjacent subunit (Figure 8.13c). In addition a cluster of four aromatic amino acids (Phe121 and Phe122 on each subunit) form a stable connection between both green communities (not shown).

Analysis of the critical nodes connecting the network on each subunit revealed the role of Leu155, Leu156, Leu159, and Leu251 in stabilizing the dimeric structure of DREAM (Lusin et al. 2008). However, the interaction between the loop connecting EF-hands 3 and 4 in one subunit with EF-hand 1 have not been previously identified. Overall, the model that emerges from these analyses is that the entering helix of EF-hand 3 plays an important role in connecting the dynamic motions of the N- and C-terminus. This observation may seem obvious at first, given that this helix is at the middle of the N- and C-terminus pair of EF-hands. However, a closer look at the structure of DREAM shows that the exiting helix of EF-hand 3 and the entering helix of EF-hand 2 are in adjacent to
each other, and yet, no correlations was observed between these helices (Figure 8.11a). more importantly, the correlation between the entering helix of EF-hand 3 and the rest of the C-terminus is highly remodeled by binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) to EF-hand 3 and 4. Secondly, the dimeric DREAM shows enhancement of the dynamic coupling among residues at the C-terminus (red community in Figure 8.13). These simulation also highlight the role of charged residues at the flexible loop connecting EF-hands 3 and 4 in stabilizing the protein dimer. Indicating that the dimeric structure of DREAM is not only stabilized by hydrophobic interactions but also by the presence of salt bridges. Overall, these simulations have allowed us to identify the residues at the entering helix of EF-hand 3 and the flexible loop as playing a major role in connecting the N- and C-terminus. But more importantly, the observation that specific aromatic amino acids play a role in connecting the dynamic subunits at the N- and C-terminus provides an unique opportunity to investigate whether these amino acids are able to modulate the activity of this protein.

8.2.3 Dynamic network analysis reveals the role of key conserved aromatic amino acid at the C-terminus of DREAM

A closer inspection of the dynamic and structural changes triggered by removal of Ca\(^{2+}\) from DREAM during the cMD and aMD simulation of DREAM reveal and interesting reorganization of the C-terminus. As mentioned in the previous section, Ca\(^{2+}\) binding induces a strong reorganization of the C-terminus dynamic networks. These changes in dynamics show that upon binding of Ca\(^{2+}\), a strong dynamic coupling between then entering helix of EF-hand 3 (Figure 8.14a and b, purple helix) and the exiting helix of EF-hand 4 (Figure 8.14a and b, cyan helix) is created. As shown in Figure 8.14c and d, we
have observed that in the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound form, residue Phe235 is in close contact with Tyr174 and Phe171 at the entering helix of EF-hand 3. However, upon dissociation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, Phe235 undergoes a rapid change in conformation so that it is closely stacked with Phe218 and Phe219 at the entering helix of EF-hand 4. The structural switching behavior of Phe235 is subtle, but the induced dynamic coupling is clearly shown by the dynamic network analysis.

Figure 8.14. Dynamic networks at the C-terminus, highlighting the strong dynamic coupling between the exiting helix of EF-hand 4 (cyan) and the entering helix of EF-hand 3 (purple), (a) in the presence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and (b) in the absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. Reorganization of the C-terminus aromatic amino acids upon removal of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from the EF-hands 3 and 4 of DREAM.
Figure 8.15. (a) Sequence alignment of mouse NCS-1, recoverin, VILIP-1, GCAP-1, and DREAM. Turn loops of EF-hand 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in bold and underlined. The negatively charged amino acids involved in metal coordination are shown in red. The conserved aromatic amino acids are shown in bold underlined green letters. Asterisks indicate identical residues, semicolon indicates very similar residues, and the period is for somewhat similar residues. Amino acids involved in Kv4 binding are shown in green.

Analysis of the amino acid sequence of proteins belonging to all five groups of NCS proteins (recoverin, NCS-1, VILIP-1, GCAP-1, and DREAM) shows that these five aromatic amino acids are highly conserved among NCS proteins (Figure 8.15). Therefore, it is possible that the observed conformation shift of Phe235 may represent a fundamental conformation transition upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding in this family of CaBPs. Interestingly, in Chapter 4, we describe that the small hydrophobic compound NS5806 interacts with DREAM via a stacking interaction between the rings of Phe218 and Tyr174. The stacking interaction between N25805, Phe218, and Tyr174 induces a structural change on DREAM.
which facilitates Kv4 channel binding, even in the absence of calcium. Thus, we speculate that stacking of NS5806 between Phe218 and Phe219 would effectively displace Phe235 and enhance the correlation of this amino acid with the entering helix of EF-hand 3. Therefore, it could be possible that the switching mechanism of Phe235 observed in these simulation is essential in regulating DREAM activity.

8.2.4 Disruption of intrasubunit and intersubunit communication in DREAM by site directed mutagenesis and a DREAM-NCS1 chimera

The analyses using molecular dynamic simulations have highlighted the role of the entering helix of EF-hand 3, the loop connecting EF-hand 3 and 4, and aromatic amino acids at the C-terminus of DREAM in regulating Ca\(^{2+}\) induced oligomerization and structural changes. These theoretical approaches were carried out to identify the mechanism by which Ca\(^{2+}\) regulates binding of ligands at the N- and C-terminus. Dynamic network analysis supports a model in which the H10 helix become dynamically coupled to EF-hand 3 in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\), effectively eliminating the flexibility of this helix. This mechanism could explain the observed decreased in affinity for hydrophobic ligands binding at the C-terminus of DREAM. On the other hand, analysis of the simulation trajectory in section 8.2.1 and 8.2.2 show that propagation of structural changes from the C-terminus towards the N-terminus could potentially be mediated by intrasubunit and/or intersubunit couplings.

Therefore, to support these findings it is essential to develop experimental approaches that could verify the role of specific amino acids on Kv4 and drug binding. In this section and the next, we present extensive biochemical characterization of a small
library of DREAM mutants developed to understand the precise pathways of signal transduction in DREAM. Following results from the previous section, we have generated DREAM mutants in which Tyr174 and Phe235 were replaced by alanine in order to disrupt the intrasubunit pathways spanning the N- and C-terminus. These amino acids were identified as critical amino acid coupling dynamic motions between the N- and C-terminus. In addition, the single amino acid mutants Phe218Ala, and Phe219Ala have been generated to study the role of dynamic coupling at the C-terminus and the role of the transition shown in Figure 8.16.

**Figure 8.16.** (a) Sequence alignment of mouse NCS-1, recoverin, VILIP-1, GCAP-1, and DREAM. Turn loops of EF-hand 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in bold and underlined. The negatively charged amino acids involved in metal coordination are shown in red. The loop connecting the EF-hands 3 and 4 are shown in green bold and underlined, the respective negatively residue with whom these amino acids interacts is shown in bold underlined orange color. The LxxLL motif is shown in blue and the amino acid with whom they interact is shown in orange.
To investigate the role of intersubunit communications, we have generated a DREAM-NCS1 chimera in which the loop region connecting EF-hands 3 and 4 as well as the leucine rich motif in DREAM have been mutated to those present in NCS1. The neuronal calcium sensor-1 protein was selected because it is the only member of the NCS subfamily of Ca\(^{2+}\) binding proteins known to form monomers in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Lian et al. 2011). As shown in Figure 8.16, the Leucine charged domain with motif LxxLL is present in DREAM and partially in GCAP-1, but not in NCS-1, recoverin, and VILIP-1. Also, the hydrophobic amino acid with which the LxxLL motif interacts, is only present in DREAM (Leu251), NCS-1, and recoverin. Thus, indicating that the LxxLL motif may not be the only regulator of dimerization. However, analysis of the charge density of the loop connecting EF-hands 3 and 4 reveal a gradual decrease in total charge, with NCS-1 (charge -4), recoverin (charge -3), VILIP-1 (charge -1), GCAP-1 (charge -1), and DREAM (charge 0). At the N-terminus, the negatively charged residue forming a salt bridge with the charged loop is well conserved among all NCS proteins (Figure 8.16 highlighted in orange). Therefore, it is probable that NCS-1 would experience a strong repulsion between the negatively charged loop connecting EF-hands 3 and 4 and Asp37 at the N-terminus, while DREAM would form a favorable salt bridge between Arg200, Arg2007, and Glu103. It is interesting to note that Glu103 corresponds to the –Z coordination of the Ca\(^{2+}\) binding loop of EF-hand 1. Therefore, it is possible that in NCS proteins, the structural changes induced at EF-hand 1 are not regulated by direct Ca\(^{2+}\) binding, but by intersubunit contact. On the basis of these observations we hypothesize that replacement of the loop residues of DREAM (residues 200 to 207, sequence: RHTYPILR) by the loop of NCS (residues 134 to 141, sequence: NTVELPEE) and of the LxxLL motif of DREAM by the LxxTS motif
of NCS would result in inhibition of dimerization. The purpose of eliminating the
dimerization of DREAM would serve to identify if whether the structural changes observed
at the N-terminus of DREAM are triggered by intersubunit communications.

In addition, we have also expressed and purified a DREAM(Δ160) protein
construct in which the N-terminus domain (EF-hands 1 and 2) have been deleted. The
DREAM(Δ160) construct allows us to investigate the role of the N-terminus in modulating
the stability of the C-terminus. It would also provide insight in how the presence of EF-
hand 1 and 2 can affect the fluorescence of Trp169 residing at the entering helix of EF-
hand 3. Lastly, a mutant in which Phe252 has been replaced by alanine would allow us to
investigate whether disruption of the critical node between Phe252 and Phe218 can
enhance or inhibit association of ligands at the C-terminus hydrophobic cavity.

8.2.5 Mutation of the proposed aromatic amino acids and replacement of the LxLL and
loop region of DREAM does not induce unfolding of the protein

Expression of these DREAM mutants in E. coli results in good protein yields, with
quantities ranging from 10 to 15 mg of protein per liter of TB media. To ensure that these
protein mutants are in a folded conformation, we have measured the CD spectra of all the
mutants (Figure 8.17). These spectra clearly show that all mutants have a significant degree
of alpha helical content, as expected for a fully alpha helical protein. These data also show
that addition of Ca\(^{2+}\) induces an increase in alpha helical content, or reorganization of the
alpha helices, which results in an overall decrease in ellipticity. However, not all mutants
showed this transition, indicating that these amino acids mutations have effectively
affected the ability of Ca\(^{2+}\) to reorganize the protein structure. For instance, the
DREAM(F235A) mutant (Figure 8.17e and inset) shows a reverse transition to that of DREAM(Δ65), in which Ca\(^{2+}\) binding induces a decrease in ellipticity. The small deviations in ellipticity likely arise from deviations in protein concentration or small differences in the overall structure of the proteins.

**Figure 8.17.** Far-UV circular dichroism spectra of DREAM mutants. (a) DREAM(Δ65), (b) DREAM(Δ160), (c) DREAM(Y174A), (d) DREAM(F218A), (e) DREAM(F235A), and (f) DREAM-NCS. The spectra are shown in the presence of 1 mM EDTA (apo), 5 mM MgCl\(_2\) and 1 mM EGTA (Mg\(^{2+}\)), 1 mM CaCl\(_2\) (Ca\(^{2+}\)), and 1 mM CaCl\(_2\) and 5 mM MgCl\(_2\) (Mg\(^{2+}\)Ca\(^{2+}\)). The coloring scheme is the same for all spectra. The protein concentration was kept near 10 μM for all protein constructs in 5 mM TRIS pH 7.4.

8.2.6 Mutation of Phe219, Phe235, or loop replacement induce a reversal of the transition in tryptophan fluorescence upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM

Another convenient approach to determine the extent of structural reorganization upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding, is to monitor the intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence of these construct. All constructs contain a single tryptophan amino acid located at the entering helix of EF-hand 3. The helix discussed above is important for coupling of the N- and C-terminus dynamic motions, and should be highly sensitive to local structural changes as a result of
the mutations. As shown in Figure 8.18, all mutants show a clear tryptophan fluorescence near ~330 nm; however, there are clear difference in the transition observed upon metal binding. The DREAM(Δ65) shows the expected decrease in tryptophan fluorescence upon Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding, while the DREAM(Δ160) mutant shows a similar decrease transition. In addition, the DREAM(Δ160) construct shows an additional sensitivity to Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, which induces an increase in fluorescence in the absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}.

In contrast, DREAM(Y174A) (Figure 8.18c), shows a decrease in fluorescence upon Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding to the apo protein, but a strong increase upon Mg\textsuperscript{2+} binding. The increase in fluorescence observed upon Mg\textsuperscript{2+} binding is eliminated once Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is added, indicating that both metal share the same metal binding site on this protein, or that the structural changes induced by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} eliminate those induced by Mg\textsuperscript{2+}. The DREAM(F218A) and DREAM(F252A) mutants show a transition identical to that observed in the DREAM(Δ65) construct, indicating that this mutation does not induce significant changes in the Trp169 environment upon metal binding. On the other hand, the DREAM(F219A) mutant shows an inversed transition upon Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding, in which Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding to the protein induces an increase in tryptophan fluorescence (Figure 8.18e). The reversed transition is also observed in the DREAM(F235A) and DREAM-NCS mutants (Figure 8.19f and h). In all cases the presence of Mg\textsuperscript{2+} did not extensively affect the tryptophan fluorescence of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} free or bound form, with exception of DREAM(Δ160) and DREAM(Y174A) construct. Overall, these results reveal that the structural changes near the Trp169 residue are highly sensitive to mutations of aromatic amino acids at the C-terminus.
Figure 8.18. Tryptophan fluorescence spectra of DREAM mutants. (a) DREAM(Δ65), (b) DREAM(Δ160), (c) DREAM(Y174A), (d) DREAM(F218A), (e) DREAM(F219A), (f) DREAM(F235A), (g) DREAM(F252A), and (h) DREAM-NCS. The spectra are shown in the presence of 1 mM EDTA (apo), 5 mM MgCl$_2$ and 1 mM EGTA (Mg$^{2+}$), 1 mM CaCl$_2$ (Ca$^{2+}$), and 1 mM CaCl$_2$ and 5mM MgCl$_2$ (Mg$^{2+}$Ca$^{2+}$). The coloring scheme is the same for all spectra. The protein concentration was kept near 10 μM for all protein constructs in 5 mM TRIS pH 7.4.

Additionally, using frequency domain fluorescence and anisotropy decay of 1,8-ANS bound to DREAM-NCS1 as described in chapter 3, we have determined that this construct effectively forms monomers in solution. Moreover, addition of Ca$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$ does not induce the formation of the dimer. However, an increase in Ca$^{2+}$ 1,8-ANS fluorescence supports a model in which reorganization of the H10 helix and not dimerization mediate binding of small hydrophobic molecules such as 1,8-ANS, arachidonic acids, and NS5806.

The emission spectra of DREAM-NCS1 bound to ANS shows a transition towards stronger fluorescence upon binding of Ca$^{2+}$ as well as in the presence of Mg$^{2+}$. However, this increase in fluorescence is not additive, and addition of both metals leads to an intermediate fluorescence. Similarly, we observe that the fluorescence lifetime of 1,8-ANS bound to the hydrophobic cavity of DREAM ($\tau_3$) increase in the presence of Mg$^{2+}$ or Ca$^{2+}$ (Table 8.1).
The pre-exponential parameter ($\alpha_3$) also shows a strong increase from 50% in the metal free state, to about 60 to 70% upon binding of Ca$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$. The larger fraction of 1,8-ANS bound at the hydrophobic cavity, compared to that observed for DREAM(Δ65) in Chapter 3, indicates that this mutant is able to bind 1,8-ANS more readily than the wild-type protein. The anisotropy decay of 1,8-ANs bound to DREAM reveals the presence of at least three depolarization processes. A fast rotation of about 1.15 ns as well as a ~11 ns and 52 ns rotational correlation times are observed regardless of the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$. These rotational correlation times are identical to those observed in the DREAM(Δ65) construct and likely represent a local motion (1.2 ns), monomeric (11 ns), and tetrameric DREAM-NCS1 (52 ns). Moreover, the fact that these rotations do not change, but the intensity of 1,8-ANS increases significantly, indicates that this protein construct form monomers in solution and is able to induce exposure of a hydrophobic cavity upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding.
Table 8.1 Fluorescence and anisotropy decay parameters of 1,8-ANS bound DREAM-NCS1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( f_1 )</th>
<th>( \tau_2 )</th>
<th>( f_2 )</th>
<th>( \alpha_1 )</th>
<th>( \theta_2 )</th>
<th>( \alpha_2 )</th>
<th>( \theta_2 )</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apo</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}^{2+} )</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Ca}^{2+} )</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}^{2+}/\text{Ca}^{2+} )</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A value of 0.28 ns was fixed for the lifetime of unbound 1,8ANS as described in Chapter 3. A rotation of 1.15 ns and a third with 52 ns were set as linked variables among all traces. A stoichiometric ration 1:1; 20 μM DREAM-NCS1 and 20μM 1,8-ANS in 20mM MOPS pH 7.4 1mM DTT was used throughout.

8.2.7 Aromatic amino acid mutation or loop replacement modulates the affinity of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding at EF-hand 3 and 4.

To determine whether mutations of the aromatic amino acid or the loop connecting EF-hand 3 and 4 affect \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding, we have conducted calcium titrations while monitoring the change in tryptophan fluorescence. In addition, we have also studied the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) affinity of DREAM(E186Q) and DREAM(E234Q), whose EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 have been inactivated, respectively. Overall, we have observed that the constructs presented here either undergo a decrease or increase in tryptophan fluorescence upon \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding. However, the magnitude of these transitions can be significantly different (Figure 8.17). In Figure 8.20 we present the normalized change in tryptophan fluorescence intensity of all mutants as a function of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding. The group of proteins showing a decrease in fluorescence include DREAM(Δ65), DREAM(Δ160), DREAM(Y174A), DREAM(F218A), DREAM(F252A), and DREAM(E186Q).
Figure 8.20. Calcium binding experiments monitoring the tryptophan fluorescence change upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding to each DREAM mutants. (a) All the titration curves for DREAM constructs showing a decrease in tryptophan fluorescence similar to that of DREAM(Δ65) and (b) DREAM mutants whose change in fluorescence is reverse to that observed in the DREAM(Δ65) protein. Protein samples contained 5 μM DREAM in 20mM MOPS pH 7.4 1 mM EGTA and 1 mM NTA. The concentration of calcium free was determined using the known fluorescence transition and dissociation constants of Quin-2.

They all show a single sigmoidal isotherm, with exception of DREAM(E186Q), which shows a monotonous almost linear decrease. In terms of Ca$^{2+}$ affinity, analysis of the data with a simple Hill equation reveals affinities ranging from 400 nM to 2.1 μM, as shown in Table 8.2. The DREAM(Δ160) and DREAM(Δ65) construct show the strongest Ca$^{2+}$ affinity, followed by DREAM(Y174A), DREAM(F218A), DREAM(F252A), and DREAM(E186Q). The Hill coefficient for all these constructs is near 2. The DREAM(E186Q) showed a similar decrease as DREAM(Δ65), but the Ca$^{2+}$ binding curve was markedly different. This construct showed the presence of strong binding site with Kd ~ 10 μM and n=1 and an additional binding with a dissociation constant in the millimolar range. We propose that the additional binding site is due to Ca$^{2+}$ binding to EF-hand 3 or 2, which likely happens at high Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations.
Table 8.2 Equilibrium dissociation constants for Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>(K_{dss}) (µM) (^{a})</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ65)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ160)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(E186Q)</td>
<td>~1.0</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(E234Q)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Y174A)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(F218A)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(F219A)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(F235A)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(F252A)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM-NC(S)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaM</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Dissociation constants calculated from steady-state fluorescence. Errors are less than 0.1 units. Not determined (n.d.)

The group of DREAM construct characterized by an increase in tryptophan fluorescence upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding included DREAM(F219A), DREAM-NC\(S\), and DREAM(E234Q) (Figure 8.20b). These mutants show somewhat larger differences in affinities (Table 8.2), with dissociation constants ranging from 1.2 µM to 6.0 µM. For comparison, the titration curve for calmodulin is shown, with a dissociation constant of 15 µM and Hill coefficient of 2.0, which are similar to the reported values of 5 to 20 µM (Kuboniwa et al. 1995). Remarkably, fitting of the DREAM(E234Q) titration yields a Hill coefficient larger than 1, which is not expected on the basis that only one high affinity Ca\(^{2+}\) binding site is present. However, it is possible that because of the small change in fluorescence observed upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding in this construct, additional effects such as changes in ionic strength and nonspecific binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) may contribute to the signal.
change. Similarly, fitting of the DREAM-NCS titration data yields a large Hill coefficient 
\( n = 3.7 \pm 0.2 \), this mutant also shows a small tryptophan transition upon calcium binding. 
Despite the differences, these \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding studies confirm that these protein construct are 
able to bind \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) with affinities in micromolar range and bind \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) with higher affinities 
than calmodulin. This strong binding affinity is a common characteristic among NCS 
proteins, which implicates that these constructs are properly folded (Burgoyne 2007)

8.2.8 Aromatic amino acids at the C-terminus provide significant stabilization of the N- and C-terminus domain of DREAM.

We carried out unfolding experiments to characterize the role of aromatic amino 
acids at the C-terminus as well as the role of the flexible loop connecting EF-hands 3 and 
4 in stabilizing the structure of DREAM. In these experiments we have monitored the 
intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence of each construct as a function of increasing 
concentrations of GuHCl, a compound known to destabilize the tertiary and secondary 
structure of proteins (Monera, Kay & Hodges 1994). At increasing concentrations of 
GuHCl (up to 6.9 M) all of the DREAM mutants show a clear shift in the emission maxima 
of tryptophan (Figure 8.21). However, the profiles for the unfolding curve are highly 
dependent on metal binding as well as to specific mutations. In the absence of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) or \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \), 
most DREAM construct have an emission maxima near 340 nm, with the exception of 
DREAM(Δ160) (maxima at 356 nm), DREAM-NCS (maxima at 350 nm), and 
DREAM(Y174A) (maxima at 346 nm). The differences in emission spectra between 
Figure 8.21 and those shown in Figure 8.18 is because the spectra of Figure 8.18 have not 
been corrected for the PMT response of the instrument. An intermediate unfolded state of
the protein is also clearly visible between 2 and 3 M GuHCl, with an emission maxim of 350 nm.

**Figure 8.21.** Unfolding experiment of DREAM constructs, in which the tryptophan fluorescence maxima was monitored as a function of guanidium hydrochloride (GuHCl) concentration. The protein was kept at 5 μM for all protein construct and at all GuHCl concentrations.

Even though it may not be visible in Figure 8.21a, DREAM-NCS also shows the presence of an intermediate with maxima at 352 nm, while the DREAM(Δ160) construct unfolds without populating an intermediate in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\). At 6.9 M GuHCl, all DREAM construct are in an unfolded state with emission maxima at 358 ± 1 nm. In the presence of excess Mg\(^{2+}\), the unfolding traces do not look remarkably different than Figure 8.21a, and similar emission maxima are observed (Figure 8.21b).

Upon binding of Ca\(^{2+}\), significantly different unfolding curves are recovered for most of the mutants (Figure 8.21c). The most obvious changes are observed for
DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(F252A), both of which show the presence of an additional blue shift upon addition of less than 1M GuHCl. The blue shift is followed by a red shift to 352 nm at which point an intermediate unfolded state can be observed. Also, upon binding of Ca²⁺, DREAM(F235A) unfolds through a a two-step process without populating a clear intermediate. In addition, upon Ca²⁺ binding, DREAM(Δ160) unfolds through a three-step process with a partially stable intermediate at between 2 and 3 M GuHCl and emission maxima of 356 nm. As observed before, addition of 5 mM Mg²⁺ to the Ca²⁺ bound proteins did not cause major changes in the unfolding curves (shown in Figure 8.21d).

The presence of an intermediate state becomes more obvious when the intensity of the tryptophan fluorescence is monitored as a function of GuHCl. As shown in Figure 8.22a and b, a clear redshift and increase in fluorescence is observed upon unfolding of Ca²⁺ free and bound DREAM(Δ65). In the absence of Ca²⁺, figure 8.22a and c, show that DREAM unfolds without a significant increase in fluorescence intensity upon formation of the intermediate. In the presence of Ca²⁺, however, formation of the intermediate state (between GuHCl concentrations of 3 M and 5 M) leads to an approximately two-fold enhancement in fluorescence intensity compared to the apo protein at the same GuHCl concentrations. In addition, in the presence of Ca²⁺, there is an additional initial process which triggers a blue shift of the tryptophan fluorescence, this process is not present in the absence of Ca²⁺. In the mutants, the brighter intermediate was only observed in the DREAM(F235A), DREAM(F219A), and DREAM(F252A) in addition to the DREAM(Δ65).
Even though there are very clear difference in the unfolding curves in the absence and presence of Ca$^{2+}$, in order to quantify the magnitude of the effects of mutation and metal binding on stability, we have fitted the data in figure 8.21 using equation 8.4. Equation 8.4 allows the extraction of the energetics associated with unfolding of the protein. A representative unfolding dataset with the fitted curve is shown in Figure 8.23a and b, and the recovered parameters for all constructs are shown Table 8.3 and 8.4. Most unfolding traces show the presence of a three-step unfolding pathways, with the exception of the Ca$^{2+}$ free DREAM(Δ160) construct as well as the Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM(F218A) construct.

Figure 8.22. Tryptophan fluorescence emission spectra as a function of GuHCl for (a) Ca$^{2+}$ free and (b) Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM(Δ65). The emission spectra of the native DREAM, intermediate, and unfolding protein in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$ (c) and presence of Ca$^{2+}$ is shown (d).
As shown in Figure 8.24, the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} free and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} bound DREAM(Δ65) unfolding curve is well fitted by Eqn. 8.4. However, in the presence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, the additional unfolding process happening at GuHCl concentrations below 1 M cannot be properly fitted. Nonetheless, the initial blue shift of tryptophan fluorescence only occurs when using GuHCl, since unfolding DREAM with Urea did not show the presence of an initial blue shift (Figure 8.23a inset). It has been proposed that changes in protein structure at low concentrations of GuHCl are due to direct binding of GuHCl to the protein, whereas at higher GuHCl concentration the unfolding is driven by solvent effects (Zarrine-Afsar et al. 2006, Mason et al. 2009). Therefore for the traces showing the blue shift at low GuHCl concentration, we have decided to not fit the initial blue shift, since it may actually represent a binding effect. Thus for the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(F252A) mutants, only the data for GuHCl concentrations above 1 M were fitted.
Table 8.3 Parameters recovered from fitting of the unfolding curves of DREAM mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DREAM(Δ65)</th>
<th>DREAM(Δ160)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apo</td>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{Native}}$</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{Intermediate}}$</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{Unfolded}}$</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta G_I$</td>
<td>$1.3 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$2.7 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m$\Delta G_I$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta G_U$</td>
<td>$4.9 \pm 0.8$</td>
<td>$4.6 \pm 0.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m$G_U$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta G_{\text{TOTAL}}$</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DREAM(Y174)</th>
<th>DREAM(F218A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{Native}}$</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{Intermediate}}$</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{Unfolded}}$</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta G_I$</td>
<td>$2.1 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$1.5 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m$\Delta G_I$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta G_U$</td>
<td>$4.6 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$2.8 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m$G_U$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta G_{\text{TOTAL}}$</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parameters recovered using equation 8.4 and data shown in Figure 8. The sum of $\Delta G_I$ and $\Delta G_U$ are used to calculate $\Delta G_{\text{TOTAL}}$. Emission wavelengths are shown in unit of nm, while the $\Delta G$ parameters are shown with units of kcal·mol$^{-1}$. 
Table 8.4 Parameters recovered from fitting of the unfolding curves of DREAM mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DREAM(F235A)</th>
<th></th>
<th>DREAM(F252A)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apo Mg(^{2+}) Ca(^{2+}) Mg(^{2+})Ca(^{2+})</td>
<td>apo Mg(^{2+}) Ca(^{2+}) Mg(^{2+})Ca(^{2+})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda_{\text{Native}})</td>
<td>342 341 343 343</td>
<td>349 351 352 351</td>
<td>339 337 333 344</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda_{\text{Intermediate}})</td>
<td>358 358 356 356</td>
<td>358 358 358 358</td>
<td>349 350 351 351</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda_{\text{Unfolded}})</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.8 1.4 ± 0.1 2.0 ± 0.1 2.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4 4.2 ± 0.1 4.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.5 2.7 ± 1.0 4.7 ± 0.6 4.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta G_{I})</td>
<td>2.1 1.2 1.4 1.8</td>
<td>2.1 1.9 2.0 2.0</td>
<td>0.6 0.7 0.9 0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m\Delta G_{I})</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.3 3.9 ± 0.6 4.3 ± 0.9 4.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.5 2.7 ± 1.0 4.7 ± 0.6 4.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta G_{U})</td>
<td>0.7 0.9 0.9 0.9</td>
<td>0.6 0.7 0.9 0.9</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.4 2.29 0.65 1.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mG_{U})</td>
<td>4.8 5.3 6.3 7.0</td>
<td>5.0 5.1 8.9 8.8</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.4 2.1 ± 0.3 4.0 ± 1.2 3.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta G_{\text{TOTAL}})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.5 4.4</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.4 2.1 ± 0.3 4.0 ± 1.2 3.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parameters recovered using equation 8.4 and data shown in Figure 8. The sum of \(\Delta G_{I}\) and \(\Delta G_{U}\) are used to calculate \(\Delta G_{\text{TOTAL}}\). Emission wavelengths are shown in unit of nm, while the \(\Delta G\) parameters are shown with units of kcal·mol\(^{-1}\).
We observe that in unfolding of the Ca\(^{2+}\) free DREAM(Δ65) protein, the first unfolding process is associated with a ΔGI of 1.3 ± 0.1 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) and the second with ΔGU = 4.9 ± 0.8 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\). In the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\), the first unfolding transitions shows an increase in stability, with ΔGI = 2.7 ± 0.1 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\), while the second remains unchanged at ΔGU = 4.6 ± 0.3 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\). A similar, but stronger, increase in stability of the protein is observed upon binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) to the apo protein (ΔGI = 2.6 ± 0.2 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) and ΔGU = 6.2 ± 1.0 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\)) and Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to the Mg\(^{2+}\) bound protein (ΔGI = 4.4 ± 0.2 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) and ΔGU = 5.8 ± 0.6 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\)). The stabilization provided by metal binding is clearly observed on the total energy required to unfold the protein, which increase from 6.3 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) in the apoform to 10.2 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) upon binding of Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\).

The DREAM(Δ160) construct in the metal free form unfolds via two-step process which is insensitive to the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\) (ΔGI = 2.0 ± 0.3 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) and ΔGU = 1.9 ± 0.2 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\)). However, this construct is stabilized by about 3 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) upon binding of Ca\(^{2+}\), regardless of the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\). In addition, the Ca\(^{2+}\) bound form unfolds though a three-step process in which the first step is marginally stable (0.5 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\)). The different emission maxima of the DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160) intermediate structures (352 nm vs 356 nm, respectively) as well as the different energetics, indicates that the initial N→I unfolding process in the Ca\(^{2+}\) bound form of these protein is not the same. Furthermore, the total energy required to unfold the EF-hands 3 and 4 present in the DREAM(Δ160) construct is identical to the necessary energy to transition from the intermediate to the unfolded state of the Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\)Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM(Δ65), compare ΔGI ~ 6.0 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) for DREAM(Δ65) and ΔG_{Total} ~ 5.6 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) for DREAM(Δ160).
The DREAM(Y174A) construct was also characterized by a three-step unfolding pathway, in which the native, intermediate, and unfolded states have a similar tryptophan fluorescence maxima at ~340 nm, 350 nm, and 358 nm. The N→I transition indicates that the native conformation is less stable than that observed in DREAM(Δ65) and only marginally affected by the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) or Mg\(^{2+}\) (apo: 2.1 ± 0.4 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\), Mg\(^{2+}\): 1.5 ± 0.2 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\), Ca\(^{2+}\): 0.7 ± 0.4 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) Mg\(^{2+}\): 1.8 ± 0.4 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\)). The transition from the intermediate structure to the fully unfolded conformation (I→U) shows a clear dependence on Ca\(^{2+}\) binding, but not Mg\(^{2+}\). On average, Ca\(^{2+}\) binding stabilizes the transition from the intermediate to the unfolded structure by about 1.2 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\), which is much less than that observed for binding of Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) to DREAM(Δ65). Interestingly, the DREAM-NCS and DREAM(F235A) constructs showed similar insensitivity to the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\) as that observed in the DREAM(Y174A) mutant as well as a modest increase in stability upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding. The DREAM-NCS construct was also less stable than the DREAM(Y174A) mutant, with a total energy of unfolding in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) of about 3.6 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) and 4.5 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding. On the other hand, DREAM(F235A), was slightly more stable in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) than the DREAM(Y174A) mutant (ΔG\(_{\text{TOTAL}}\) ~ 5 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) in the Ca\(^{2+}\) free and ΔG\(_{\text{TOTAL}}\) ~ 6.7 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) in the Ca\(^{2+}\) bound state). The DREAM(F235A) construct had similar tryptophan emission wavelength for the native conformation (λ\(_{\text{Native}}\) on average 343 nm), intermediate conformation (λ\(_{\text{Intermediate}}\) on average 351 nm), and unfolded state (λ\(_{\text{Unfolded}}\) on average 357 nm) as the DREAM(Δ65). However, DREAM-NCS had an emission maxima in the native state of about 347 nm (also note the plot on Figure 8.21), while the emission of the intermediate and unfolded states were similar to those of DREAM(Δ65).
The mutation of Phe218 on the entering helix of EF-hand 4 is characterized by a remarkable reversal of the unfolding stabilities compared to the DREAM(Δ65) and DREAM(Δ160) constructs. In this case, DREAM(F218A) is more stable in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) or Mg\(^{2+}\), and Ca\(^{2+}\) binding triggers the transition from a three-state to a two-state unfolding pathway. The overall unfolding stability of the Ca\(^{2+}\) free DREAM(F218A) construct (\(\Delta G_{\text{TOTAL}} = 10.5 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\)) is comparable to that of the Mg\(^{2+}\)Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM(Δ65) protein (\(\Delta G_{\text{TOTAL}} = 10.2 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\)). However, in DEAM(F218A), the first transition from native to intermediate is more stable (\(\Delta G_{I} = 6.4 \pm 0.6 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\)) than the intermediate to unfolded state transition (\(\Delta G_{U} = 4.1 \pm 0.6 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\)). Binding of Mg\(^{2+}\) to this mutant decreases the stability of both transition to about 3.7 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\), while binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) to the metal free or Mg\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM(F218A) protein also leads to a significant decrease in stability, with \(\Delta G_{\text{TOTAL}} = 2.5 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\).

**Figure 8.24.** Unfolding plot of (a) DREAM (F218A) and (b) DREAM(F219A) in the apo, Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), and Mg\(^{2+}\)Ca\(^{2+}\) bound forms. Solid lines represent the best fit using equation 8.4.
Table 8.5 Summary of observed unfolding effects on DREAM mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DREAM (Δ65)</th>
<th>DREAM (Δ160)</th>
<th>DREAM (Y174)</th>
<th>DREAM NCS</th>
<th>DREAM (F218A)</th>
<th>DREAM (F219A)</th>
<th>DREAM (F235A)</th>
<th>DREAM (F252A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unfolding path in Ca(^{2+}) free</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
<td>I→U</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfolding path in Ca(^{2+}) bound</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
<td>I→U→U</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
<td>N→I→U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(^{2+}) effect</td>
<td>Stabilize N→I→U</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Destabilize N→I in apo</td>
<td>Destabilize I→U</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^{2+}) effect</td>
<td>stabilize N→U</td>
<td>Stabilize N→U</td>
<td>Stabilize I→U</td>
<td>Stabilize N→U</td>
<td>Destabilize N→U</td>
<td>Destabilize N→U</td>
<td>weak Stabilize N→I→U</td>
<td>Stabilize N→I→U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bright Intermediate</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ(\lambda_{N→U})</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mutation of the amino acid adjacent of Phe218 in the DREAM(F219A) mutant leads to an overall decrease in stability of the protein, compared to the DREAM(Δ65) construct, mainly due to a decrease in stability of the I→U unfolding pathway. In the DREAM(F219A) mutant, we also observe a metal induced decrease in stability similar to DREAM(F218A); however, the decrease in stability due to Ca\(^{2+}\) or Mg\(^{2+}\) binding is restricted to the N→I or the I→U transition, respectively. The metal-selective decrease in stability seems to be additive, since in the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) the overall stability of the unfolding process decreases by half (from \(\Delta G_{\text{TOTAL}} = 4.6 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\) to \(\Delta G_{\text{TOTAL}} = 2.8 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\)).

Lastly, replacement of Phe252 on the H10 helix to alanine partially stabilized the transition from N→I in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\), compared to the DREAM(Δ65) construct, while Mg\(^{2+}\) had no effect on the stability (apo: \(\Delta G_I = 2.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\), \(\Delta G_I = \text{Mg}^{2+}: \Delta G_I = 2.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\), \(\Delta G_I = \text{Ca}^{2+}: 4.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) Mg\(^{2+}\): 4.2 ± 0.3 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\)). The second transition, from I→U, was identical to the N→I transition in terms of energetics and metal binding effect. Overall, the energy required to unfold apoDREAM(F252A) (\(\Delta G_{\text{TOTAL}}\)) was similar to that required to unfold the apoDREAM(Δ65) construct; however, no increase in stability was observed upon binding of Mg\(^{2+}\). The summary of observations described above are listed in Table 8.4.

8.2.9 Amino acid mutations modulate the conformational dynamics of Trp169

As show in the previous sections, the position of Trp169 at the entering helix of EF-hand 3 is highly sensitive to amino acid mutations, Ca\(^{2+}\) binding, and protein unfolding. To better understand how these experiment observations correlate to the molecular
organization of DREAM, in this section, we employ extensive biophysical characterization of tryptophan dynamics. In particular, we hypothesize that fluctuations of the rotameric states of Trp169 may help explain the observed changes in fluorescence due to amino acid mutation and calcium binding. In solution, tryptophan has been proposed to populate three rotameric states (the $g^+$, $g^-$, and $t$) (Figure 8.25). More importantly, the fluorescence decay of tryptophan has also been correlated to population of different rotameric states (Szabo, Rayner 1980, Clayton, Sawyer 1999). In solution, the $g^+$ is the most favored conformation, while $g^-$ is the least favored (Ross, Jameson 2008). However, in proteins, the structural organization of the backbone and other regions of the proteins may hinder or promote a specific rotameric conformation. Furthermore, it is possible that dynamic fluctuations in protein conformation may allow the population of multiple rotameric conformations depending on the protein structure and dynamics.

![Figure 8.25. Rotameric states of tryptophan in proteins. (a) Newman projection of the $t$ rotamer and (b) $g^-$ rotamer with view along the $\mathrm{C\alpha-C\beta}$ carbon bond. The corresponding indole conformations as observed in the aMD simulation of apoDREAM(Δ160). The measured $\mathrm{C\alpha-C\beta}$ and $\mathrm{C\beta-C\gamma}$ angles are highlighted with black arrows.](image)

Implementation of molecular dynamic simulations is ideal to investigate the structural organization of DREAM at the molecular level, and to define whether the
experimental observations can be associated with multiple rotameric states of tryptophan. However, the rotameric transitions in DREAM may occur in the microsecond to millisecond timescales, which are not readily available through classical molecular dynamics. To overcome the computational costs of obtaining MD data with temporal resolution similar to that observed experimentally, we have employed accelerated molecular dynamics (aMD). The aMD simulation method allows us to enhance the conformational sampling of the protein by lowering the energy barrier separating multiple conformations of the protein (Hamelberg, Mongan & McCammon 2004). The decrease in energetic barriers between multiple states is attained by increase of the potential energy wells by addition of an external energy. In our approach, the external energy is added to the dihedral angles of every amino acids. The energetic addition results in an enhanced sampling of rotameric states for all the amino acids as well as an increase in the conformational space that the protein can sample. As described in section 8.2.1, aMD effectively induced the transition from the Ca$^{2+}$ bound structure of DREAM to a proposed structure of the apoprotein within 20 ns. Therefore, we propose to use this method not only investigate the rotameric state of Trp169 in DREAM in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ but also in the absence of this metal.

In Figure 8.26 and 8.27 we show the population of rotameric states for the Ca$^{2+}$ free and bound state of the DREAM constructs. In these figures we plot the $C_\beta$-$C_\gamma$ angle as a function of $C_\alpha$-$C_\beta$ angle, this was taken from the observation that the benzene of the indole ring of DREAM was able to rotate 180° and point towards the nitrogen (angles less than 180°, herein referred as D+) or towards the carboxy group of the peptide bond (angles between 180° and 360°, herein referred as D-). In these plots, the $g^+$ rotamer of tryptophan
would lay between 120° and 239°, the g- between 240° and 360°, and the t rotamer between 0° to 119° on the x-axis. The Cα-Cβ dihedral angle distribution in Figure 8.26 and 8.27 clearly show that no conformation was present with angles above 240°. This observation is expected, since a g- conformation for tryptophan forming part of an alpha helix would result in significant steric hindrance and distortion of the helix.

**Figure 8.26.** Plots of the Cβ-Cγ as a function of Cα-Cβ dihedral angle of tryptophan 169 in the Ca²⁺ bound (a) DREAM(Δ160), (c) DREAM(Δ65), and (e) DREAM(Y174A), as well as the Ca²⁺ free of the (b) DREAM(Δ160), (f) DREAM(Δ65), and (f) DREAM(Y174A). A total of 4000 points representing 20 ps each are plotted, obtained from the aMD simulations. The angles at which the t or g⁺ rotamers are present as well as the orientation of the indole ring in the D+ or D- are show in in panel (a).

Thus, in DREAM, only the g⁺ and t rotamers are present. On the other hand, the distribution of Cβ-Cγ angles reveals that a wide range of angles can be populated for the dihedral plane between the Cβ and Cγ carbons, with angles between 50° to 90° and 200° to 300° being most common, depending on the protein construct. For instance, the DREAM(Δ160) construct shows the presence of g⁺ and t rotamers with indole ring in the
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D+ and D- conformation. In the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) the predominant specie is the t rotamer with D- indole conformation, while in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) the g\(^{+}\) rotamer with D- indole conformation is preferred (Figure 8.26a and b). The widespread distribution of Trp169 rotamers and indole orientation in the DREAM(Δ160) construct is likely because of the absence of the N-terminus domain, which significantly decrease the steric hindrance of tryptophan.

Figure 8.27. Plots of the C\(_\beta\)-C\(_\gamma\) as a function of C\(_\alpha\)-C\(_\beta\) dihedral angle of tryptophan 169 in the Ca\(^{2+}\) bound (a) DREAM(F218A), (c) DREAM(F219A), and (e) DREAM(F252A), as well as the Ca\(^{2+}\) free of the (b) DREAM(F218A), (d) DREAM(F219A), and (f) DREAM(F252A). A total of 4000 points representing 20 ps each are plotted, obtained from the aMD simulations.

In the DREAM(Δ65) construct, the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) results in the population of the t rotamer and indole in the D- conformation, while upon binding of Ca\(^{2+}\), a clear transition to the g\(^{+}\) rotamer with D+ conformation is observed. Interestingly, the D- rotameric state of Trp169 places the indole in direct interaction with Phe138 and Leu158, residing at the entering and exiting helices of EF-hand 2. The interaction is effectively eliminated when
the indole is in the D+ conformation (pointing towards the solvent). Similarly the DREAM(Y174A) mutant shows a similar transition, with Trp169 populating the t rotamer with D- indole orientation in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$, and the g+ rotamer with G+ indole orientation upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding.

In contrast, the conformational distribution of Trp169 in the DREAM(F218A) mutant shows a transition distinct than that observed in the DREAM(Δ65) construct. In DREAM(F218A), binding of Ca$^{2+}$ induces a transition from a single conformation (the g+ rotamer and D- conformation) to two conformations of the same rotamer, with indole in the D+ and D- conformation. The transition from organized (one conformation) to disorganized (two conformations) upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding is opposite to that observed in the DREAM(Δ65) construct. Similarly, the DREAM(F219), DREAM(F235A), and DREAM(F252A) show population of mainly the g+ rotamer with indole in the D- orientation in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$. However, upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding, a small change in orientation of Trp169 towards the t rotamer with D- indole orientation is observed for these three constructs (Figure 8.27c, d, e, f, and 8.28a and b). As shown in Figure 8.28c and 8.28d, the t rotamer with D- indole conformation shows a strong hydrophobic interaction of Trp169 with the hydrophobic N-terminus, while the g+ rotamer with the D+ indole orientation shows the most solvent exposure. Analysis of the simulation trajectory also reveals that rotation of the indole ring induces the largest change solvent accessible surface area, from ~365 Å$^2$ in the D+ conformation to ~345 Å$^2$ in the D- conformation. Even though the transition from a D- to a D+ conformation in the DREAM(Δ160) construct is not obvious, an increase in population with the D+ can be observed. However, in the
DREAM(Δ65), DREAM(Y174A), and DREAM(F218A) constructs a clear transition from D- to the D+ conformation can be observed upon Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding.

**Figure 8.28.** Plots of the Cβ-Cγ as a function of Ca-Cβ dihedral angle of tryptophan 169 in the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound (a) and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} free (b) of the DREAM(F235A). Solvent exposure of Trp169 in the (c) t rotamer with D- indole orientation and in the (d) g\textsuperscript{+} rotamer with D+ indole orientation. Inset shows the change in SASA as a function of time.

All these constructs show a decrease in tryptophan fluorescence (Figure 8.18) upon calcium binding, which allows us to propose that the decrease in tryptophan fluorescence observed upon Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding is caused by the reorientation of the indole ring of Trp169. The reorientation towards the D+ conformation effectively increases the solvent accessibility of tryptophan as well as its proximity to Lys168, which could induce
quenching of the fluorescence. Consequently, the increase in fluorescence observed for DREAM(F219A) and DREAM(F235A) (both which show retention of the D-conformation regardless of metal binding) could potentially be the result of the lack of change in indole orientation as well as structural changes at the N-terminus which effectively enhances the Trp169 fluorescence. A similar transition could be present for DREAM-NCS1, but we have not yet performed the simulations. However, we have also observed that the DREAM(252A) construct shows a lack of indole reorientation and yet, it is characterized by a decrease in tryptophan fluorescence (Figure 8.18). The disagreement with the idea that reorientation of the indole ring is causing the decrease in fluorescence. Nonetheless, the fact that these constructs are locked into a D-conformation, in which the indole is deeply buried between Phe138 and Leu158, may indicate that there may be additional structural changes at the N-terminus which induce the decrease in fluorescence. In addition, it is possible that the timescales necessary to observe D- to D+ transition in the DREAM(F252A) mutant exceeds the time sampled by our simulations.

8.2.10 Interdomain fluctuations in DREAM highly regulate structural stability of the protein.

Analysis of the structural rearrangement of Trp169 in the DREAM constructs reveals a certain degree of correlation with the tryptophan fluorescence data. However, to investigate whether structural dynamics play a role in the stability of DREAM we have conducted extensive analysis of the dynamic fluctuations of the protein. In this section we seek to identify whether the dynamic fluctuations of the N-terminus and C-terminus of DREAM can be correlated with the unfolding energy of these constructs determined in section 8.2.8. We hypothesize that the changes in unfolding energy of the N- and C-
terminus domain of DREAM are correlated with the interdomain dynamics measured in the aMD simulations.

**Figure 8.29 (a)** Helices of DREAM included in the analysis of fluctuations. The EF-hands 1 and 2 are shown in orange and green, respectively, and form part of the N-terminus. The EF-hands 3 and 4 are shown in blue and red, respectively, and form the C-terminus. The H1 helix, the connecting loop between EF-hand 3 and 4, and the H10 helix are not used in the calculations and are shown in diffuse cyan. (b) A representative RMSF plot of apoDREAM(Δ65) N- and C-terminus as a function of simulation time, the last 80 ns of simulation time was used for all constructs.

To test the interdomain communication hypothesis, we have determined the N-terminus fluctuations of the alpha carbons forming the EF-hands 1 and 2 as well as the fluctuations of the alpha carbons forming EF-hand 3 and 4 (Figure 8.29). The first helix (H1), the C-terminus loop connecting EF-hand 3 and 4, and the H10 helix have not been including in our analysis. However, preliminary calculation show that inclusion of these helices does not result in significant changes of the dynamics observed. Furthermore, exclusion of the EF-hand metal binding loops further enhances the difference in dynamics fluctuations described below (data not shown). These fluctuations are defined as the deviation of the alpha carbon, at a particular frame, from the averaged position of the same
carbon in the average position of 1000 frames (10 ns). The moving window average allows us to identify fluctuations from equilibrium once the structure has reached its final conformation (Figure 8.29b). All our simulations reached equilibrium within 20 ns or sooner, and thus only the last 80 ns are included in our analysis.

Figure 8.30. Plots of the root mean square fluctuations (RMSF) distribution for the last 80 ns of aMD simulation for N- and C-terminus of the (a) DREAM(Δ65), (b) DREAM(Y174A), (c) DREAM(F218A), (d) DREAM(F219A), (e) DREAM(F235A) and (f) DREAM(F252A) construct in the Ca$^{2+}$ bound and free conformations.

Figure 8.30 shows the resulting distribution of root mean squared fluctuations (RMSF) obtained for all the twenty four simulations corresponding the N- and C-terminus of the apo and Ca$^{2+}$ bound form of the mutants listed in the legend. The first clear observation is that the N-terminus of the DREAM(Δ65) construct is significantly more flexible than the C-terminus, and Ca$^{2+}$ binding induces an increase in rigidity of both domains. However, in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$, all the constructs show a larger flexibility of the C-terminus compared to the N-terminus. The only exception is the DREAM(Y174A) mutant, which shows similar flexibility of both domains, with RMSF magnitudes
intermediate to that of DREAM(Δ65). Nonetheless, Ca$^{2+}$ binding still induces an increase in rigidity of both domains. An increase in rigidity upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding compared to the Ca$^{2+}$ free form is observed for all constructs, and in all cases the C-terminus is more rigid (compare blue trace to others in Figure 8.30). However, there was an exception to this trend, DREAM(F219A) was characterized by a larger rigidity of the N-terminus compared to the C-terminus, in both the apo and Ca$^{2+}$ bound form (Figure 8.30d).

We also investigated whether the changes in flexibility of the protein, as quantified through backbone fluctuations, have any correlation to the experimentally determined stability of the protein. We determined the differences in fluctuations of the N- and C-terminus by subtracting the maximum of the RMSF distribution between the N- and C-terminus domain for both the apo and Ca$^{2+}$ bound forms. We also calculated the difference between N- and C-terminus unfolding energy from table 8.3 and 8.4. The resulting values are plotted in Figure 8.31, where the difference in unfolding energy is plotted as a function of the difference in RMSF. A linear fit to the data reveals a certain degree of correlation, with $R^2 = 0.68$, slope = -1.16 kcal mol$^{-1}$ Å$^{-1}$, and intercept of 1.8 Å. The linear relationship highlights the role of dynamic fluctuations in interdomain communications and stabilization of the protein. Moreover, it is likely that the deviations from linearity are due to small structural differences between the mutants.
Figure 8.31. Plots of the difference in unfolding energy ($\Delta \Delta G = \Delta G_1 - \Delta G_2$) as a function of the difference in RMSF ($\Delta \text{RMSF} = \text{RMSF}_{\text{N-terminus}} - \text{RMSF}_{\text{C-terminus}}$). The results for DREAM($\Delta 65$), DREAM(Y174A), DREAM(F218A), DREAM(F219A), DREAM(F235A), and DREAM(F252A) are shown in the apo (symbols with green outline) and Ca$^{2+}$ bound form.

8.2.11 Modulation of protein dynamics through mutation of hydrophobic amino acids effectively modulates allosteric regulation of DREAM

As a last confirmation of the essential role of aromatic amino acids and protein dynamics in regulation of the activity of DREAM, we are interested to determine whether the mutations described above can in fact regulate the ability of this protein to interact with Kv4 channels. In order to determine the ability of these DREAM construct to associate with Kv4 channels, we have monitored their ability to interact with a fluorescently labeled synthetic peptide homologous to the site 2 of the Kv4.3 voltage gated potassium channel. This peptide was used in Chapter 4, and it showed a clear 50-fold increase in affinity upon binding to the N-terminus of Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM($\Delta 65$) (Figure 8.33).
Figure 8.32. Binding site of the Kv4.3 site 2 peptide at the N-terminus of DREAM.

The affinity in Chapter 4 was monitored through the increase in fluorescence of the dansylated N-terminus of this peptide. In Figure 8.34 we show the emission spectra of the DREAM constructs, DREAM(F219A) and DREAM(F252A) are not shown. In this figure, a clear increase in fluorescence upon binding of DREAM(Δ65), DREAM(F218A), and DREAM(Y174A) is observed in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$. However, the affinity was determined to be about 90 ± 10 μM for peptide binding to the DREAM(Y174A) mutant, in contrast to the affinity determined for the DREAM(Δ65) construct of 10 ± 1 μM. On the other hand, the DREAM(F218A) showed similar affinity to the site 2 peptide as DREAM(Δ65), with an affinity of 17 ± 3 μM. Lastly, the DREAM(F235A) and DREAM-NCS1 constructs showed no binding in the presence or absence of Ca$^{2+}$ (Figure 8.33d and e). Altogether, these results show that the affinity between the Kv4.3 peptide and the N-terminus of DREAM can be modulated not only by mutations of aromatic amino acid at the C-terminus of DREAM but also by mutations which affect the oligomerization state of DREAM.
Figure 8.33. Emission spectra of dansylated Kv4.3 site 2 peptide in the absence and in the presence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound (a) DREAM(Δ65), (b) DREAM(Y174A), (c) DREAM(F218A), (d) DREAM(F235A), and (e) DREAM-NCS1.

8.2.11 Coarse grained simulations reveal a potential mechanism for regulation of Kv4 binding to DREAM.

The data presented above highlights the role of aromatic amino acids in transducing dynamic information to the N-terminus. The simulations also reveal that the N-terminus of DREAM can reorganize upon binding of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} at the C-terminus. This reorganization of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} free monomeric DREAM results in the collapse of the N-terminus and a transition of the EF-hand 1 from a perpendicular orientation to a parallel alignment of the entering and exiting helix of this EF-hand. Close analysis of the amino acids involved in stabilizing the KChIP1:Kv4.3 interaction
(PDB: 2NZ0) reveals the presence of two salt bridges between Lys50 and Arg51 on KChIP1 and Glu77 and Asp78 on the Kv4.3, respectively (Liang et al. 2009). An additional stacking interaction between Tyr57 on KChIP1 and Phe73 on Kv4.3 is also present. Also, overlay of residue 86 to 242 corresponding to EF-hand 1 through 4 on DREAM (PDB: 2jul conformation 1) with the structure of KChIP1:Kv4.3 (PDB: 2nz0) reveals minimal structural differences (RMSD = 1.866 Å between residues 50 to 201 in KChIP1 and residue 90 to 241 in DREAM).

**Figure 8.34.** (a) Structural alignment of the EF-hand 1 exiting helix of the Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM structure (PDB: 2jul) and the Ca$^{2+}$ bound structure of KChIP1 bound to the T1-domain of Kv4.3, shown in yellow (PDB: 2nz0). The exiting helix of EF-hand 1 was used for alignment. (b) Alignment of the exiting helix of EF-hand 1 of Ca$^{2+}$ free DREAM (structure obtained after 432 ns of cMD simulation) and the exiting helix of KChIP1 in complex with the Kv4.3 channel.

After aligning the structures, we observe that DREAM could potentially make the same contacts with Kv4.3 as KChIP1, where Lys90 and Lys91 on DREAM would form a salt bridge
with Glu77 and Asp78 on Kv4.3, respectively. In DREAM, Tyr97 would form the stacking interaction with Phe73 on Kv4.3. Therefore, we investigated whether these amino acids (which reside on the entering helix of EF-hand 1) could potentially reorganize in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) bound at EF-hand 3 and 4 on DREAM. Analysis of the structure of DREAM following 432 ns of cMD simulation in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) reveals that Tyr97 undergoes a slight rotation of about 60° towards the hydrophobic cavity at the N-terminus of DREAM (Figure 8.35a).

![Figure 8.35](image)

**Figure 8.35.** Structural reorganization of EF-hand 1 in the Ca\(^{2+}\) free form of DREAM obtained from: (a) 435 ns cMD simulation of apoDREAM and (b) 1 μs coarse-grained simulation. The inset shows the rotation Tyr97 into the hydrophobic cavity lining the N-terminus domain. The EF-hand 1 was aligned using the backbone atoms of residues 89 to 160. (c) Structure of DREAM after 1 μs coarse-grained simulation showing the rotation of Arg98 and Lys101 in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\).
We speculate that in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\), Tyr97 on DREAM becomes buried in the N-terminus hydrophobic cavity of DREAM. To support this hypothesis, we carried out coarse-grained simulations of DREAM in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) in order to access structural changes which could occur at longer timescales than 500 ns. As shown in figure 8.35b, we observe that Tyr97 can undergo an almost 180° rotation, and effectively become buried into the N-terminus hydrophobic cavity. At this position, it interacts with residues Phe148 and Val152 at the exiting helix of EF-hand 2. In addition, we observe that Arg98 and Lys101 undergo a similar rotation; however, these amino acids do not make clear electrostatic interactions with the T1 domain (Figure 8.35c). The rotation of these amino acids may be responsible for modulation of binding of other ligands, such as DNA. On the other hand, Lys90 and Lys91 at the start of the entering helix of EF-hand 1 do not undergo any significant rotation, and remain solvent exposed. Thus, it could be possible that while the Tyr97:Phe73 interaction is disrupted by a helix rotation, the Lys90Lys91:Glu77Asp78 salt bridges are broken by a different mechanism. The structural change regulating the salt bridge interaction becomes obvious when the exiting helix of EF-hand 1 is aligned with the same helix of the KChIP1:Kv4.3 complex (Figure 8.34a). Alignment in the Ca\(^{2+}\) bound state shows that all these three interactions (2 salt bridges and 1 π-π interaction) are present. However, alignment of the same helix in the DREAM structure obtained after 432 ns of cMD simulation in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) reveals that all three of these contacts are significantly altered. The disruption of these contacts is mainly mediated by the transition of EF-hand 1 from a perpendicular organization, to a parallel one (Figure 8.34b). The translation of the entering helix of EF-hand 1 effectively displaces Lys90 and Lys91 by about 27 Å from Glu77 and Asp78, while Ty97 is displaced by about 15 Å from Phe73. Altogether, the N-terminus structural reorganization of DREAM provide a clear description of the molecular mechanisms controlling Kv4.3 site 2 binding. Furthermore, the realignment of the
entering helix of EF-hand 1 may also underline the mechanism by which DREAM associates with DNA. In this model, the antiparallel orientation of the helices in EF-hand 1 would form favorable contacts, probably between the DNA grooves. The effect that dimerization has on the reorganization of the N-terminus could also be a mechanism by which Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding to DREAM would favor the dissociation from DNA.

8.3 Summary

In this chapter we have presented extensive biochemical and biophysical data supporting the role of global and domain dynamics in regulating the propagation of structural changes induced by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding to DREAM. In the first section, we demonstrate using long timescale classical and accelerated molecular dynamic simulations, that dissociation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from EF-hands 3 and 4 induces the collapse of the N-terminus. The collapse of the N-terminus is, to a lower extent, also observed in the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound structure of DREAM; however, modeling of DREAM as a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound dimer effectively eliminates structural changes at the N-terminus during our simulation time. These results highlight the role of oligomerization and dynamic coupling between the N- and C-terminus of DREAM. This intradomain interaction was also clearly observed upon analysis of the molecular dynamic simulations using cross correlation maps and dynamic network analysis. In this analysis approach, we identified that DREAM is dynamically organized in clusters of amino acids segregated at the N- and C-terminus domains. We also show that the entering helix of EF-hand 3 plays a pivotal role of connecting the N- and C-terminus communities. The behavior of EF-hand 3 as a dynamic hub and the presence of numerous conserved amino acids on this helix, may indicate that the dynamic coupling between the N- and C-terminus observed here may be a widespread phenomenon in NCS proteins. Furthermore, we identified critical nodes connecting the N- and C-terminus as well as the H10 helix. These amino acids were shown to be highly conserved among
NCS proteins, and could potentially represent a fundamental mechanism of dynamic reorganization in this group of EF-hand Ca$^{2+}$ binding proteins. Using dynamic network analysis, we were also able to identify amino acids at the long loop connecting the EF-hands 3 and 4 which play a role in stabilizing the dimeric form of DREAM. The fact that DREAM has the least negatively charged loop, while NCS-1 has the most negatively charge loop seems to support the idea that modulation of the charges on this loop may help these protein to regulate their oligomerization inside the cell.

Making use of the information obtained in section 8.2.1 through 8.2.3 we generated a small library of DREAM mutants with the aim of selectively modulating the dynamic coupling between the N- and C-terminus through elimination of intersubunit and intrasubunit critical nodes. The hypothesis being, that by mutating these amino acid, we would effectively modify the dynamic coupling between the N- and C-terminus of DREAM and induce changes in the activity. However, to rule out the potential protein unfolding arising from the mutation of hydrophobic amino acids we carried out extensive biochemical characterization of the purified mutants. Based on the circular dichroism data, all the proteins showed a largely helical secondary structure. Furthermore, tryptophan fluorescence and Ca$^{2+}$ binding studies demonstrate that these mutants are likely in a native-like conformation. This conformation is characterized by Ca$^{2+}$ affinities in the low micromolar range, a common feature among NCS proteins (Burgoyne 2004). Altogether, supporting the idea that these proteins are functionally folded. However, in DREAM(F219A), DREAM(F235A), and DREAM-NCS1 we observed a reversal of the tryptophan fluorescence upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding. This is an interesting finding since other NCS proteins, such as recoverin and NCS-1 are known to undergo an increase in tryptophan fluorescence upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding (Hughes et al. 1998, Baldwin, Ames 1998). Interestingly, a similar reversal of tryptophan fluorescence was
observed in a double mutant of myristoylated recoverin protein, where Ile52 and Tyr53 were mutated to alanine (Baldwin, Ames 1998). In this recoverin mutant, binding of Ca$^{2+}$ induced a decrease of the tryptophan fluorescence. These findings highlight the sensitivity of tryptophan at the entering helix of EF-hand 3 (Trp169 in DREAM), to changes in protein conformation induced by mutations of amino acids at the N- or C-terminus domain.

Unfolding experiments reveal the presence of subtle but significant differences in the stability of some of the DREAM mutants. With the most remarkable observation made for the DREAM(F218A) construct, which showed a completely reversal of the stability upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding. The DREAM(F219A) construct, despite having a mutation adjacent to DREAM(F218A), did not show such a change in stability. We also observe that the intermediate unfolded state of DREAM(Δ65), DREAM(F219A), DREAM(F235A), and DREAM(F252A) protein constructs is associated with a bright tryptophan fluorescence. Interestingly, the emission maxima of the bright intermediate were similar to those observed for the DREAM(Δ160) construct at 0 M GuHCl. Indeed, we have determined that the quantum yield for tryptophan fluorescence of the DREAM(Δ160) mutant (Φ ~ 0.13) is similar to that of tryptophan in solution, while the quantum yield of native DREAM(Δ65) is near 0.08 (data not shown). The emission maxima of this bright intermediate (~352 nm) is also identical to that of DREAM(Δ160) at 0 M GuHCl in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$. These observation allows us to speculate that the intermediate state observed for some of the DREAM construct may represent a conformation in which the N-terminus has been partially unfolded, and tryptophan is exposed to the solvent. Alternatively, it could be possible that the mutations have induced a complete decoupling of the tryptophan fluorescence to the N-terminus, as observed in the DREAM(Δ160) lacking this domain. Previous theoretical and single molecule studies have shown that the N-terminus domain of NCS1 unfolds first followed by the C-terminus.
(Heidarsson et al. 2013). This model being present in DREAM is supported by our data, in which we observe that the first transition in DREAM(Δ65) is stabilized by the presence of Mg$^{2+}$, while the second unfolding transition is not. Also, association of Ca$^{2+}$ induces a larger stabilization of the second transition. With this in mind, we have analyzed all our subsequent results on the basis that the first transition represents unfolding of the N-terminus followed by unfolding of the C-terminus at GuHCl concentrations of about 3 to 4 M. The fact that the bright intermediate was only observed for some mutants, and only in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, indicates that the unfolding pathways of the N-terminus can be modified by modifications of the C-terminus.

Furthermore, to better understand the tryptophan transition observed upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding and the reversal of the transition observed for the DREAM(F219A) and DREAM(F235A) mutants, we conducted analysis of the rotameric states of tryptophan at position 169 on DREAM. We have identified that in the absence of the N-terminus, Trp169 can populate two rotameric states (the t and g$^+$), in each of which the indole ring can be oriented towards the carboxy (D-) or amino group (D+) of the peptide backbone. However, the presence of the N-terminus in the DREAM(Δ65) construct effectively restricts the rotameric state in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$ to the t rotamer with indole ring pointing towards the carboxy group of the backbone (D- conformation). Upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding, a transition to the g$^+$ rotamer with the indole ring pointing towards the amide bond of the peptide bond is favored. The switching mechanism has not been studied extensively, but our findings show that the conformation orientation of the indole ring may play a major role in determining the transition in tryptophan fluorescence measured experimentally. In our model, we propose that transition from the D- to D+ induces a decrease in tryptophan fluorescence as a result of an increase in solvent quenching. In addition, in the D- conformation, Trp169 is observed to form hydrophobic contacts with Phe138 and Leu158. Therefore, it is possible that changes in the dynamics of the
interaction in the absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} could stabilize the N-terminus. It is also possible that the stacking observed between Trp169 and Leu158 could affect the stability of the dimer or binding of other proteins; Leu158 is known to form part of the LxxLL motif at the N-terminus of DREAM which has been proposed to mediate protein-protein interactions and oligomerization of DREAM (Lusin et al. 2008, Ledo et al. 2000). Therefore, in the model presented here, dynamic coupling between the exiting helix of EF-hand 4 and the entering helix of EF-hand 3 in the presence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (Figure 8.13), together with switching of Trp169 from the D- to the D\textsuperscript{+} conformation (Figure 8.27), results in the modulation of a hydrophobic bridge spanning the N- and C-terminus.

![Figure 8.36.](image)

Figure 8.36. Summary of the mechanism by which binding of Kv4.3 site 1 at the hydrophobic surface of DREAM is regulated by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding and changes in structural dynamics. (a) Structure of KChIP1:Kv4.3 complex (PDB: 2nz0) highlighting the role of the N- and C-terminus hydrophobic cavity in ligand binding. (b) Dynamic network analysis which shows the collapse of the hydrophobic cavities on DREAM and the enhanced dynamic coupling of the H10 helix (black network).

All atom and coarse-grained simulations reveal a potential mechanism by which Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding to DREAM and oligomerization changes may modulate Kv4 binding. In the case of site 1, we observe a clear transition in protein dynamics at the C-terminus of DREAM upon Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding. In the absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, the dynamic reorganization of Phe235 induces a decoupling of the H10
helix. In the state described above, the H10 helix is flexible and vulnerable to displacement by the N-terminus (site 1) of Kv4.3, which results in the reorientation of the H10 helix as shown in Figure 8.36a. The displacement of H10 upon ligand binding is not a new concept (Liang et al. 2009, Wang, Chai 2009); however, the dynamic mechanisms controlling this displacement have not been described before. In addition, in section 8.2.12 we show that the entering helix of EF-hand 1 undergoes a rotation and displacement which effectively disrupt favorable contacts between the N-terminus of DREAM and Kv4.3 (Figure 8.34). The rotation is driven by the collapse of the N-terminus hydrophobic cavity, the magnitude of which depends on Ca\(^{2+}\) binding and dimerization of DREAM.

Finally, in Figure 8.32 we show that mutations affecting the intrasubunit critical nodes (DREAM(F218A), DREAM(F235A), and DREAM(Y174A)) can modulate binding of DREAM with the site 2 of Kv4.3 channel. The observation allows us to postulate that structural changes propagated through these amino acids play a major role in inducing the proper conformation of the N-terminus favorable to interact with Kv4.3 site 2. However, perturbation of intradomain dynamics does not seem to be the only important mechanism. In fact, we observe that DREAM-NCS1 has the lowest affinity for the site 2 peptide, indicating that oligomerization state may also play a major role in ligand selectivity. We have also observed that a site 1 peptide, homologous to the N-terminus of Kv4.3 can also induce the monomerization of DREAM upon binding. The observation would explain why the x-ray structure of Kv4.3 and KChIP1 shows the interaction of a single KChIP1 subunit per N-terminus of the T1 subunit. Thus, it is possible that dimerization may allow binding to the site 2, while binding of site 1 induces the breakdown of the dimer into monomers. Alternatively, it is very likely that association of the site 1 peptide, which spans the N- and C-terminus of DREAM, prevents the collapse of the N-terminus and allows the protein complex to
associate with site 2. Thus, in chapter 8 we have provided extensive evidence for the role of protein dynamics in regulating structural changes at the N-terminus of DREAM. We have also laid the foundation for what could be a fundamental structural communication pathway in neuronal calcium sensors. More importantly, the approach taken in this section could potentially be applied to other more complex proteins in order to understand the mechanisms by which these proteins regulate ligand binding.

9. CONCLUSIONS

The hydrophobic cavity at the C-terminus of DREAM regulates binding of small hydrophobic ligands such as 1,8-ANS, 2,6-ANS, arachidonic acids, and NS5806. These interactions are mediated by numerous hydrophobic amino acids that connect the EF-hands 3 and 4. Using the solvent sensitive dyes 1,8-ANS and 2,6-ANS we show that binding of Ca$^{2+}$ at EF-hands 3 and 4 induces the exposure of a hydrophobic surface on DREAM. In addition, using fluorescence anisotropy and the long lived fluorescent decay of ANS molecules, we show that DREAM can populate a dimer structure in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$. Upon removal of Ca$^{2+}$, rotations with correlation times of 12 ns and 50 ns were resolved. These rotations could represent a monomeric and tetrameric oligomerization state of DREAM. The bright fluorescence of 1,8-ANS upon binding to Ca$^{2+}$ DREAM has allowed us to demonstrate, that the hydrophobic cavity at the C-terminus is a site relevant for binding of small hydrophobic ligands, such as arachidonic acids and NS5806. Also, we have shown that anisotropy decay measurement using 1,8-ANS can be successfully implemented to characterize the oligomerization state of this protein.

Interestingly, association of NS5806 at the C-terminus of DREAM induces a structural conformation able to associate with the hydrophobic N-terminus of Kv4.3,
independent of the presence of Ca$^{2+}$. The activation of DREAM through interaction with this small hydrophobic ligand, indicates that the changes at the hydrophobic cavity at the C-terminus can result in global changes in the structure of DREAM. Furthermore, we demonstrate the residues Phe218 and Tyr174 play a major role in regulating binding and the activity of NS5806. Docking and molecular dynamics simulation allowed us to observe the effect of NS5806 binding at the C-terminus of DREAM. At the C-terminus site, NS5806 showed a clear stacking interaction with Phe218 and Phe219. The interaction effectively resulted in the displacement of the exiting helix of EF-hand 4. Overall, these findings were used to explain the observed increase in K$^+$ current and slowing of inactivation kinetics in cardiac cells upon injection of NS806. Remarkably, we were able to recover identical affinity constants for NS5806 binding to Ca$^{2+}$ and apoDREAM as the EC50 for current activation and inactivation kinetics determined in vivo. Thus, indicating that the observed in vivo effects, are likely the result of direct interaction of NS5806 with KChIPs.

In addition, we have presented results which provide an insight into the potential structural organization of the flexible extended N-terminus of DREAM (residues 1 to 65). The N-terminus region of DREAM protein has largely been ignored in the literature, mainly because recombinant expression of DREAM proteins containing this flexible domain results in formation of insoluble inclusion bodies. Truncation of this N-terminus region has been proposed to minimally perturb the DNA and Kv4 binding properties of DREAM (Osawa et al. 2005). Furthermore, DREAM contains a cleavage site, which in vivo could lead to the production of a DREAM construct similar to that of DREAM(Δ65). Nonetheless, the fact that the main difference among KChIPs lies at the N-terminus,
indicates that the N-terminus domain of DREAM may play role in regulating the activity of this protein. The model of DREAM and the biochemical results presented in Chapter 5 provide a renewed view on the potential role of this domain in regulating protein-protein and protein-DNA interactions. Furthermore, these results demonstrate an interesting interplay between a member of the calcium sensor subfamily (calmodulin) and another protein belonging to the neuronal calcium sensor subfamily (DREAM). The biological implication of this protein-protein complex remain to be studied. However, given the strong affinity of calmodulin for the N-terminus of DREAM, as well as the numerous posttranslational modification sequences present in this region, it is possible that the interaction of these two proteins is highly regulated in vivo.

To further understand the role of EF-hand 3 and 4 in regulating the activity of DREAM; in Chapter 6 we presented an extensive biochemical study of the interactions between terbium(III) and DREAM. Here, we show that Tb$^{3+}$ can effectively bind at EF-hands 3 and 4, displace Ca$^{2+}$, and induce minimal disruption of the oligomerization and exposure of a hydrophobic cavity. Isothermal calorimetry data reveals that coordination of the Ca$^{2+}$ ion by Glu234 in EF-hand 4 is associated with a larger enthalpic contribution than that due to coordination of Ca$^{2+}$ by Glu186 at EF-hand 3. Indicating, that the structural reorganization triggered by Ca$^{2+}$ binding at EF-hand 4, are likely much larger than those due to Ca$^{2+}$ binding at EF-hand 3. Using the long lived luminescent properties of Tb$^{3+}$, we observed that binding of Mg$^{2+}$ at EF-hand 2, can result in structural reorganization of the environment near EF-hands 3 and 4. Thus highlighting the role of Mg$^{2+}$ as a potential cofactor in stabilizing the structure of DREAM.
In chapter 7, we described the theoretical and experimental details associated with the development of a photothermal beam deflection apparatus. We highlight different approaches to analyze the data as well as sample conditions to be considered when carrying out experiments. We further use this technique to study the ultrafast release of metal ions from caged compounds. The initial aim of this chapter was to employ the photosensitive Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator DM-nitrophen, in order to photo trigger the release of Ca\(^{2+}\) and monitor the photothermal profile due to Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM. However, the large variability of the purchased DM nitrophen stocks prevented the detailed analysis of the PBD signal in the presence of DM-nitrophen and DREAM. Also, the nonreversible photo release of Ca\(^{2+}\) from DM-nitrophen results in significant complications in terms of signal averaging. Nonetheless, we have shown that PBD can effectively be used to monitor the thermodynamics properties of metal photo release from caged compounds. We also demonstrate, that DM-nitrophen is able to form a highly stable complex with Tb\(^{3+}\) ions, and that photo release of this lanthanide results in enthalpy and volume changes identical to those observed for Ca\(^{2+}\).

Finally, in Chapter 8, we combine theoretical and experimental approaches to investigate the role of protein dynamics in regulation of DREAM activity. The aim of this chapter is to decipher the precise molecular reorganization induced by Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM, and how these changes regulate ligand binding. Following extensive studies, we show compelling evidence for a major role of the entering helix of EF-hand 3 of DREAM in regulating the Ca\(^{2+}\) induced structural changes. In particular, we show that the dynamic coupling between the helices at the C-terminus is strongly modulated by Ca\(^{2+}\) binding. More importantly, we identify that residue Phe218, Phe219, Phe235, Tyr 174, and Phe171
play a pivotal role in regulating the apo to Ca^{2+} transition. Of particular importance, is the Phe235 residue, which is observed to switch between two conformations upon metal binding. In addition, we observe that a conformational switch of Trp169 could explain the mechanism by which dynamic signals are transduced from the C- towards the N-terminus. The role of the dynamic coupling identified in this section is also supported by unfolding experiments. In these experiments, we observe the presence of a linear relationship between the difference in stability of the N- and C-terminus of DREAM, and the difference in fluctuations of these domains. Finally, we demonstrate that by mutation of the amino acids identified to play a major role in communication of dynamic fluctuations, we can effectively inhibit binding of DREAM to a peptide homologous to the human Kv4.3 channel. The fact that the mutations are located at the C-terminus and that peptide binding is mediated by the N-terminus, highlights the presence of a well-defined pathway by which these two domains communicate. Based on our results, we can effectively identify such pathway, as involving the dynamic coupling of hydrophobic (aromatic amino acids in particular) amino acids at the C-terminus, the entering helix of EF-hand 3, and the N-terminus.
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10. APPENDICES

10.1 Appendix 1

The DNA sequence of the plasmids used throughout this work is shown below. In all cases the T7 promoter was used as the primer for sequencing. In cases where a primer was used to generate the mutant, the primer sequence is underlined, while the start and stop codons are shown as bold letters.

DREAM(65-256) sequenced by Eurofins MWG operon
NNNNNNNNNNNNNNTTCCCTCTNAAANANTTTGGNNNANCTTTAAGAAGGAGATATACTATATGGAACCTTGGAGTTATCTCCACCGTGTTGACCACAAGCTCACCAAGAGGCTTTGAGTTAGATGGGGGTCTCCATCCCTGCTTCCAGGGACGGTCCATGAAGATCATCAAGTGGGCTCTCTACCTCAGAAGGATGAGAACATCATGAACTCCATGCAGCTGTTTGAGAACGTCATCTACCTCGAGCACACCACCACCACCAC

TAA
TGTTAATTAAGTTGGGCGTTCCTAGGCTGATAAAACAGAAT

>DREAM_E186Q_T7 Sample_Name=DREAM_E186Q_T7 Chromat_id=3790104
Read_id=3745990 Version=1 Length=1055
NNNNNNNNNNNNNNTTCCCTCTNAAANANTTTGGNNNANCTTTAAGAAGGAGATATACTATATGGAACCTTGGAGTTATCTCCACCGTGTTGACCACAAGCTCACCAAGAGGCTTTGAGTTAGATGGGGGTCTCCATCCCTGCTTCCAGGGACGGTCCATGAAGATCATCAAGTGGGCTCTCTACCTCAGAAGGATGAGAACATCATGAACTCCATGCAGCTGTTTGAGAACGTCATCTACCTCGAGCACACCACCACCACCAC

TAA
TGTTAATTAAGTTGGGCGTTCCTAGGCTGATAAAACAGAAT
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10.2 Appendix 2

The following scripts have been designed to work in MATLAB 2015b. Two inputs are necessary, the mz_table.csv and filename.csv files. The mz_table.csv file is an excel generated spreadsheet which contains three columns of data. Each row represents a charged ion species, the first column is the lowest m/z value of this specie, and the second column is the highest m/z value for the specie, while the third column is the charge of the ion. If background needs to be subtracted then a m/z range in which the background is present must be placed in the first and second column, while the third column must contain a charged state of 0. If no background is needed, then the scripts by default will not subtract any background. The second file, filename.csv, can be generated by right clicking the image of the m/z spectra versus mobility obtained from the UIMF_Viewer software and selecting “Export Intensity Values (Complete Bin Resolution)”. The export command will generate a .csv spreadsheet that can be recognized by the script. Both the mz_table.csv and filename.csv files must be in the same folder. I recommend appending a numeric value (i.e. 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5) at the end of the filename in order to be able to use the “timescale” option at line 11 of the first script.

% Start of Script 1
% Signal extraction script for TIMS data version 2.0
% This script was prepared in MATLAB R2011b, and may not work well with latest versions
% This script has not been yet optimized but provides the basis for further development.
% Script designed by WGG 01/19/2016
% Load data from CSV matrix exported from Bruker software as .csv
% Create transpose matrices, so that y=mobility and x=mass to charge
clear
cle
% Only this part needs to be changed.
% Only this part needs to be changed.
directory='C:\PATHtoFiles\';

filename = 'filename';
open=strcat(directory,filename,timescale,'.csv');
A = importdata(open,',');
mz_axis=str2double(A.rowheaders(2:end));
time_range=length(A.data(1,:));
MT=A.data(2:end,:);%
clear A;
%% extract m/z range from matrix, skip first cell due to text in it
clc
[rows,columns]=size(MT); % Determine size of data matrix create new matrix without
mobility headers

%If want to load table with mz ranges to scan use this code
filename= 'mz_table.csv';
open=strcat(directory,filename);
mz_table=importdata(open,',');
ion_number=size(mz_table);
output=ones(rows,ion_number(1,1));
scan_time=[1:time_range];
scan_time_range=max(scan_time);
%% this section allows the user to refine the m/z table values and saves a new modified
mz table
%all the analysis downstream is done on the modified m/z table. however, if
%the analysis is restarted then the original table is used unless replaced
%by the modified.
clc
k=1;
format long g
fig1 = figure('Name', 'Table of m/z values','Position', [500 250 700 500]);
columnname = {'min m/z','max m/z','charge'};
table=uitable('Parent', fig1, 'Position', [50 50 280 180], 'Data',
mz_table,'ColumnName',columnname);
set(table,'units','normalized');
table.ColumnEditable = [true true true];
mz_table_in=get(table);
while k <=ion_number(1,1)
i=1;
    [~, index1]=min(abs(mz_axis-mz_table_in.Data(k,1)));
    [~, index2]=min(abs(mz_axis-mz_table_in.Data(k,2)));
    width=index2-index1;
end
signal_mobility=zeros(rows,width);

for j=index1:1:index2
    signal_mobility(:,i)=MT(:,j);
    i=i+1;
end
output(:,k)=sum(signal_mobility,2);

figure(fig1)
subplot(2,2,[1,2])
y1=mz_axis(index1:index2);
mesh(scan_time,y1,signal_mobility');
aez=0;
el=90;
view(aez,el);
xlabel('Mobility') % label x-axis
ylabel('m/z') % label y-axis
charge=mat2str(mz_table_in.Data(k,3));
ion=mat2str(k);
lgnd=strcat('+ ',charge,' ion number = ',ion);
title(lgnd)
subplot(2,2,4)
plot(scan_time,output(:,k),'DisplayName','output','YDataSource','output');
title('Averaged mobility of selected m/z');
xlabel('Mobility Scans');
ylabel('Mobility Signal (a.u.)')
drawnow

choice = menu('Adjust m/z table','Modify','Undo','Refresh','Next Peak','Previous peak','Finish');
memory=[mz_table(k,1) mz_table(k,2) mz_table(k,3)];
if choice==1
    [x,y,button] = ginput(1)
    if button==1
        mz_table_in.Data(k,1)=y(1,1);
    elseif button==3
        mz_table_in.Data(k,2)=y(1,1);
    end
    k=k;
elseif choice==2
    mz_table_in.Data(:,k)=memory;
    k=k;
elseif choice==3
    k=k;
else
    break
end
mz_table_in=get(table);
elseif choice==4 && k<ion_number(1,1)+1
  k=k+1;
  mz_table_in=get(table);
elseif choice==5 && k>1
  k=k-1;
  mz_table_in=get(table);
elseif choice==6
  k=ion_number(1,1)+1;
  for l=1:1:ion_number(1,1)
    [~, index1]=min(abs(mz_axis-mz_table_in.Data(l,1)));
    [~, index2]=min(abs(mz_axis-mz_table_in.Data(l,2)));
    width=index2-index1;
    signal_mobility=zeros(rows,width);
    for j =index1:1:index2
      signal_mobility(:,i)=MT(:,j);
      i=i+1;
    end
    output(:,l)=sum(signal_mobility,2);
  end
  mz_table_in=get(table);
end

%columnname = {'min m/z','max m/z','charge'};
set(table,'Data',mz_table_in.Data)
table.ColumnEditable = [true true true];
filename='mz_table'; %get(handles.mz_table,'String')
save=strcat(directory,filename,'_modified.csv');
dlmwrite(save,mz_table_in.Data);
fclose('all');
end
close all

%% Substract background noise from each peak,
cle
[RowBlank, ColBlank]=find(mz_table==0);
lengthBlank=length(RowBlank);
[RowData, ColData]=size(output);
TF=isempty(RowBlank);
maxbound=ColData-lengthBlank;
output2=ones(RowData,maxbound);
if TF==1
  disp('No Blanks to substract')
else
disp('Data analysis includes background subtraction')
n=1;
for i=1:1:ColData
    output2(:,i)=output(:,i)-output(:,RowBlank(n));
    if i==RowBlank(n)
        n=n+1;
        i=i+1;
    end
end
output2(:,RowBlank)=[ ];
output2(output2<0)=0;

filename='mz_table';
save=strcat(directory,filename,'_noBlank.csv');
mz_table_noBlank=mz_table_in.Data;
mz_table_noBlank(RowBlank,:)=[ ];
dlmwrite(save,mz_table_noBlank);
fclose('all');
end

%% This section manipulates the output matrix to include headers and axis
%for exporting into data file
clc
corner=zeros(1,1);                  %adds a 0 to match array dimensions
charges=mz_table_in.Data(:,3)';             %if molecular ion is added to third column then it is included here
charges(:,RowBlank)=[ ];
charges_shifted=[corner charges];   %shift average of selected charges by blank cell
mz_average=mean(mz_table_in.Data(:,1:2),2)';
mz_average(:,RowBlank)=[ ];
ion_number=size(mz_average');
mz_shifted=[corner mz_average];
output_axes=[scan_time output2];
output_charges=[charges_shifted;output_axes];
output_header=[mz_shifted;output_charges];

%% This section will smooth the integrated mobility plots if it is necesary
%Savitzky-Golay used but other could be used if function known
clc
output_smooth=ones(rows,ion_number(1,1));
for k =1:1:ion_number(1,1)
output_smooth(:,k)=smooth(output2(:,k),15);
%select smooth model
output_axes_smooth=[scan_time output_smooth];
output_charges_smooth=[charges_shifted;output_axes_smooth];
output_header_smooth=[mz_shifted;output_charges_smooth];
end
%% Plot all data and save to file
fig1=figure;
subplot (2,1,1)
plot(output_axes(:,1),output_axes(:,2:end),'DisplayName','output','YDataSource','output');
figure(gcf)
title('Averaged mobility of selected m/z');
xlabel('Mobility Scans');
ylabel('Mobility Signal (a.u.)')
subplot(2,1,2)
mesh(output_axes(:,2:end));
figure(gcf);
% plot using + markers
xlabel('ion specie number');
ylabel('Mobility Signal (a.u.)')
%Naming of output files
save=strcat(directory,'\','data_',timescale,'.csv');
dlmwrite(save,output_header);
%save=strcat(directory,'\','data_smooth_',timescale,'.csv');
%dlmwrite(save,output_header_smooth);
fclose('all');

%% Find the maximum peak and look for the scan time at which it happens.
% provides initial parameters for the gaussian fit.
clc
coefficient_ft=zeros(12,ion_number(1,1));
rsquare=ones(1,ion_number(1,1));
ft_line=zeros(scan_time_range,ion_number(1,1));
ft_xpeaks_rsqr=zeros(ion_number(1,1),6);

open=strcat(directory,'mz_Table_noBlank.csv');
mz_table_in = importdata(open,',');
gauss=scan_time_range.*0.05;
YY=1;
xmin=1;
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while YY<=ion_number(1,1)

    %xmin=str2num(get(handles.xmin,'String'));
    %xmax=str2num(get(handles.xmax,'String'));

    [~, index1]=min(abs(mz_axis-mz_table_in(YY,1)));
    [~, index2]=min(abs(mz_axis-mz_table_in(YY,2)));
    i=1;
    width=index2-index1;
    signal_mobility=zeros(rows,width);
    for j =index1:1:index2
        signal_mobility(:,i)=MT(:,j);
        i=i+1;
    end

    fig2=figure('Name', '3-D Mobility plot','Position', [20 60 600 400]);
    y=mz_axis(index1:index2);
    mesh(scan_time,y,signal_mobility');
    az = 0;
    el = 90;
    view(az, el);
    xlabel('Mobility') % label x-axis
    ylabel('m/z') % label y-axis
    zlabel('Intensity') % label z-axis

    fig3=figure('Name','Fitting','Position', [600 60 1200 700]);
    subplot(2,1,1)
    plot(output_axes(xmin:xmax,1),output2(xmin:xmax,YY));
    fig3_obj = datacursormode(fig3);
    set(fig3_obj,'DisplayStyle','datatip','SnapToDataVertex','off','Enable','on')
    ion=mat2str(YY);
    charge=mat2str(mz_table_in(YY,3));
    lgnd=strcat('+ ',charge,' ion number:',ion);
    legend(lgnd)
    xlabel('Mobility - Scan')
    ylabel('Signal')
    title('Ion currently being fitted')

    if YY<=ion_number(1,1)-1
    subplot (2,2,4)
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plot(output_axes(:,1),output2(:,YY+1));
ion=mat2str(YY+1);
charge=mat2str(mz_table_in(YY+1,3));
lgnd=strcat('+ ',charge,' ion number:',ion);
legend(lgnd)
xlabel('Mobility - Scan')
ylabel('Signal')
title('Next ion to be fitted')
end

columnname = {'m/z','Peak 1','Peak 2','Peak 3','Peak 4','R-square'};
ft_xpeaks_rsqr=[mz_average' coefficient_ft(2,:)' coefficient_ft(5,:)' coefficient_ft(8,:)' coefficient_ft(11,:)' rsquare'];
table2=uitable('Parent', fig3, 'Position', [120 50 500 280], 'Data',
ft_xpeaks_rsqr,'ColumnName',columnname);
table2.ColumnEditable = [true true true];
ft_xpeaks_rsqr=get(table2);
choice = menu('Number of gaussians','1 Peaks','2 Peaks','3 Peaks','4 Peaks','Go Back 1 ion','Go Forward 1 ion','Redraw','Auto-Fit','Manual','Finish','Quit')

[xData, yData] = prepareCurveData(output_axes(xmin:xmax,1),
output2(xmin:xmax,YY));

if choice==5 && YY>=2
    YY=YY-1;
elseif choice==5 && YY==1
    disp('nothing to do')
elseif choice==6 && YY<ion_number(1,1)
    YY=YY+1;
elseif choice==7
    YY=YY;
elseif choice==8
    maxpeak=max(output_smooth(xmin:xmax,YY));
[xmaxpeak,
~]=ind2sub(size(output_smooth(:,YY)),find(output_smooth(:,YY)==maxpeak,1));
locs=round(scan_time_range.*0.15);
ft = fittype( 'gauss1');
opts = fitoptions( ft );
opts.Algorithm = 'Trust-Region';
opts.DiffMaxChange = 0.01;
opts.Display = 'Off';
opts.Lower = [-Inf -Inf 0 ];
opts.MaxFunEvals = 6000;
opts.MaxIter = 4000;
opts.StartPoint = [maxpeak locs w];

[firesult, gof(YY)] = fit( xData, yData, ft, opts );
ft_line(xmin:xmax,YY)=feval(firesult,xData);
rsquare(YY)=gof(YY).rsquare;
coeff=coeffvalues(firesult);
coefficient_ft(:,YY)=[coeff(1,1);coeff(2,1);coeff(3,1);0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0];

subplot(2,1,1)
plot( ftresult, xData, yData );
ion=mat2str(YY);
rsqr=mat2str(round(rsquare(YY),3));
lgnd=strcat('+ ',charge,' ion number:',ion, ' R^2 =   ',rsqr);
xlabel('Mobility - Scan')
ylabel('Signal')
YY=YY+1
elseif choice==9
   i=1;
   button=1;
   xx=zeros(4,1);
   while button==1 && i<=4
      [x,y,button] = ginput(1);
      xx(i)=x;
      if button ==1
         coefficient_ft(2,YY)=xx(1);
         coefficient_ft(5,YY)=xx(2);
         coefficient_ft(8,YY)=xx(3);
         coefficient_ft(11,YY)=xx(4);
         rsquare(YY)=1;
      end
      i=i+1;
   end
   YY=YY+1;
elseif choice==10
   YY=ion_number(1,1)+1;
   close all
elseif choice==11
   disp('Autodestroy!')
   close all
   Autodestroy
end

if choice <=4
   [x,y,button] = ginput(choice);
for i=1:length(x)
    parameters(i,:,YY)=[x(i) y(i)];
end

if length(x)==1
    % Set up fittype and options.
    ft = fittype('gauss1');
    opts = fitoptions(ft);
    opts.Algorithm = 'Trust-Region';
    opts.DiffMaxChange = 0.01;
    opts.Display = 'Off';
    opts.Lower = [-Inf -Inf 0 ];
    opts.MaxFunEvals = 6000;
    opts.MaxIter = 4000;
    opts.StartPoint = [parameters(1,2,YY) parameters(1,1,YY) gauss];
    [ftresult, gof(YY)] = fit(xData, yData, ft, opts);
    ft_line(xmin:xmax,YY)=feval(ftresult,xData);  
    rsquare(YY)=gof(YY).rsquare;
    coeff=coeffvalues(ftresult);
    coefficient_ft(:,YY)=[coeff(1,1);coeff(2,1);coeff(3,1);0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0];

subplot(2,1,1)
    plot( ftresult, xData, yData );
    ion=mat2str(YY);
    rsqr=mat2str(round(rsquare(YY),3));
    lgnd=strcat('+ ',charge,' ion number:',ion, '   R^2 =   ',rsqr);
    xlabel('Mobility - Scan')
    ylabel('Signal')
    button = 1;
    while button ==1  % read ginputs until a mouse right-button occurs
        [x,y,button] = ginput(1)
        YY=YY+1;
        if button==1
            YY=YY-1;
        end
    end
end

end

if length(x)==2
    % Set up fittype and options.
ft = fittype('gauss2');
opts = fitoptions( ft );
opts.Algorithm = 'Trust-Region';
opts.DiffMaxChange = 0.01;
opts.Display = 'Off';
opts.Lower = [-Inf -Inf 0 -Inf -Inf 0];
opts.MaxFunEvals = 6000;
opts.MaxIter = 4000;
opts.StartPoint = [parameters(1,2,YY) parameters(1,1,YY) gauss
parameters(2,2,YY) parameters(2,1,YY) gauss];

[ftresult, gof(YY)] = fit( xData, yData, ft, opts );
ft_line(xmin:xmax,YY)=feval(ftresult,xData);
rsquare(YY)=gof(YY).rsquare;
coeff=coeffvalues(ftresult);

coefficient_ft(:,YY)=[coeff(1,1);coeff(2,1);coeff(3,1);coeff(4,1);coeff(5,1);coeff(6,1);0;0;
0;0;0;0];

subplot(2,1,1)
plot( ftresult, xData, yData );
ion=mat2str(YY);
rsqr=mat2str(round(rsquare(YY),3));
lgnd=strcat('+ ',charge,' ion number:',ion, ' R^2 = ',rsqr);
legend(lgnd)
xlabel('Mobility - Scan')
ylabel('Signal')

button = 1;
while button ==1
    % read ginputs until a mouse right-button occurs
    [x,y,button] = ginput(1)
    YY=YY+1;
    if button==1
        YY=YY-1;
        button=3;
    end
end
end

if length(x)==3
    % Set up fittytype and options.
    ft = fittype('gauss3');
opts = fitoptions( ft );
opts.Algorithm = 'Trust-Region';
opts.DiffMaxChange = 0.01;
opts.Display = 'Off';
opts.Lower = [-Inf -Inf 0 -Inf -Inf 0 -Inf -Inf 0];
opts.MaxFunEvals = 6000;
opts.MaxIter = 4000;
opts.StartPoint = [parameters(1,2,YY) parameters(1,1,YY) gauss
parameters(2,2,YY) parameters(2,1,YY) gauss parameters(3,2,YY) parameters(3,1,YY)
 gauss];

[fresult, gof(YY)] = fit( xData, yData, ft, opts );
ft_line(xmin:xmax,YY)=feval(fresult,xData);
rsquare(YY)=gof(YY).rsquare;
coeff=coeffvalues(fresult);

coefficient_ft(:,YY)=[coeff(1,1);coeff(2,1);coeff(3,1);coeff(4,1);coeff(5,1);coeff(7,1);coeff(8,1);coeff(9,1);coeff(6,1);0;0;0];

subplot(2,1,1)
plot( fresult, xData, yData );
ion=mat2str(YY);
rsqr=mat2str(round(rsquare(YY),3));
lgnd=strcat('+ ',charge,' ion number:',ion,' R^2 = ',rsqr);
legend(lgnd)
xlabel('Mobility - Scan')
ylabel('Signal')

button = 1;
while button ==1   % read ginputs until a mouse right-button occurs
    [x,y,button] = ginput(1)
    YY=YY+1;
    if button==1
        YY=YY-1;
        button=3;
    end
end

if length(x)==4
    % Set up fittype and options.
    ft = fittype( 'gauss4');
    opts = fitoptions( ft );

opts.Algorithm = 'Trust-Region';
opts.DiffMaxChange = 0.01;
opts.Display = 'Off';
opts.Lower = [-Inf -Inf 0 -Inf -Inf 0 -Inf -Inf 0 -Inf -Inf 0];
opts.MaxFunEvals = 6000;
opts.MaxIter = 4000;
opts.StartPoint = [parameters(1,2,YY) parameters(1,1,YY) gauss
parameters(2,2,YY) parameters(2,1,YY) gauss parameters(3,2,YY) parameters(3,1,YY)
gauss parameters(4,2,YY) parameters(4,1,YY) gauss];

[ftresult, gof(YY)] = fit( xData, yData, ft, opts );
ft_line(xmin:xmax,YY)=feval(ftresult,xData);
rsquare(YY)=gof(YY).rsquare;
coeff=coeffvalues(ftresult);

coefficient_ft(:,YY)=[coeff(1,1);coeff(2,1);coeff(3,1);coeff(4,1);coeff(5,1);coeff(6,1);coeff(7,1);coeff(8,1);coeff(9,1);coeff(10,1);coeff(11,1);coeff(12,1)];

subplot(2,1,1)
plot( ftresult, xData, yData );
ion=mat2str(YY);
rsqr=mat2str(round(rsquare(YY),3));
lgend=strcat('+ ',charge,' ion number:',ion, '   R^2 =   ',rsqr);
legend(lgnd)
xlabel('Mobility - Scan')
ylabel('Signal')

button = 1;
while button ==1
  [x,y,button] = ginput(1)
  YY=YY+1;
  if button==1
    YY=YY-1;
    button=3;
  end
end
end
end
close(fig2,fig3)
end

%% Save fit lines and parameters
cle
%ft_line_axes=[scan_time ft_line];
%ft_line_header=[mz_shifted;ft_line_axes];
%save=strcat(directory,'\','fit_line_','timescale','.csv');
%dlmwrite(save,ft_line_header);
%fclose('all');

ft_xpeaks_rsqr=[mz_average' coefficient_ft(2,:)' coefficient_ft(5,:)' coefficient_ft(8,:)' rsquare'];
save=strcat(directory,'\','mz_Peak_Rsqr_','timescale','.csv');
dlmwrite(save,ft_xpeaks_rsqr);
fclose('all');
%notification='No more data to analyze. Fits and peaks saved in files fit_file and mz_Peak_Rsqr';
%set(handles.notification, 'String',notification);

% End of Script 1

% Start of Script 2
% CCS calculator version 2.0
% This script was prepared in MATLAB R2011b, and may not work well with latest versions
% this script has not been yet optimized bu provides the basis for further development.
%Script designed by WGG 01/19/2016
% Data analysis to extract CCS from TIMS experiments
% this script requires the outputs from Singal_extraction_V2 to be present
% The script is designed to analyze 5 timescales (100 200 300 400 and 500ms)
clc
clear
%
directory='PATHtoFILE'

for mmm=100:100:500
    timescale_export=mmm;                           %<<<<<<< only need to change this number to 100, 200, 300 etc and F5
    voltage=-42;                                   %Voltage set by user
    %calibration=-125.8;                             %Calibration determined using tunemix
    calibration=-101;
    peak_number=1;
    %TIMS_ramp_duration=[400;650;800;1200;1600];     %ramp times used
    TIMS_ramp_duration=[705;1205;1905;2605;3405];     %ramp times used
    P0_average=0;                     % if 0 then determined for each ion, otherwise obtained assuming a gaussian distribution of P0 vs ion
    %Import extracted data
timescale1='1.csv';
filename = 'mz_Peak_Rsqr_';
filename2='data_';
open=strcat(directory,filename,timescale1);
open2=strcat(directory,filename2,timescale1);
mz_Peak_Rsqr_1 = importdata(open,'');
mobility_signal_1=importdata(open2,'');

timescale2='2.csv';
open=strcat(directory,filename,timescale2);
open2=strcat(directory,filename2,timescale2);
mz_Peak_Rsqr_2 = importdata(open,'');
mobility_signal_2=importdata(open2,'');

timescale3='3.csv';
open=strcat(directory,filename,timescale3);
open2=strcat(directory,filename2,timescale3);
mz_Peak_Rsqr_3 = importdata(open,'');
mobility_signal_3=importdata(open2,'');

timescale4='4.csv';
open=strcat(directory,filename,timescale4);
open2=strcat(directory,filename2,timescale4);
mz_Peak_Rsqr_4 = importdata(open,'');
mobility_signal_4=importdata(open2,'');

timescale5='5.csv';
open=strcat(directory,filename,timescale5);
open2=strcat(directory,filename2,timescale5);
mz_Peak_Rsqr_5 = importdata(open,'');
mobility_signal_5=importdata(open2,'');

mz_Peak_Rsqr=[mz_Peak_Rsqr_1(:,1) mz_Peak_Rsqr_1(:,peak_number+1)
mz_Peak_Rsqr_2(:,peak_number+1) mz_Peak_Rsqr_3(:,peak_number+1)
mz_Peak_Rsqr_4(:,peak_number+1) mz_Peak_Rsqr_5(:,peak_number+1)];
clear mz_Peak_Rsqr_1 mz_Peak_Rsqr_2 mz_Peak_Rsqr_3 mz_Peak_Rsqr_4
mz_Peak_Rsqr_5;
clear open open2 filename filename2

%% Rearrange matrices and calculate P0
clc

data_table=size(mz_Peak_Rsqr);
rows=length(TLMS_ramp_duration);
peak_vs_ramp=zeros(rows,data_table(1,1)+1);
peak_vs_ramp(:,1)=TIMS_ramp_duration';

for j=1:1:data_table(1,1);
    peak_vs_ramp(:,j+1)=mz_Peak_Rsqr(j,2:end);'
end
clear i j

%% Fit peak shift vs scan time with linear equations
clc
rsquare=ones(data_table(1,1),2);
coefficient_ft=zeros(2,data_table(1,1));
mz_P0=zeros(data_table(1,1),2);
mz_P0(:,1)=mz_Peak_Rsqr(:,1);
for i=1:1:data_table(1,1)
    [xData, yData]=prepareCurveData(peak_vs_ramp(:,1),peak_vs_ramp(:,i+1));
    % Set up fittype and options.
    ft = fittype( 'poly1' );
    opts = fitoptions( ft );
    opts.Lower = [0 0];
    opts.Upper = [Inf Inf];
    % Fit model to data.
    [ftresult, gof] = fit( xData, yData, ft, opts );
    coefficient_ft(:,i)=coeffvalues(ftresult)';
    if coefficient_ft(2,i)>10 && coefficient_ft(2,i)<80
        mz_P0(i,2)=coefficient_ft(2,i)';
    else if i>1
        mz_P0(i,2)=mz_P0(i-1,2)-0.8;
    else
        mz_P0(i,2)=40;
    end
end
rsquare(i,2)=gof.rsquare;

% Show Fitting
%subplot(2,2,1:2)
%plot( ftresult, xData, yData );
%xlabel('Scan time');
%ylabel('Mobility Signal (a.u.)');
%legend('off');
%subplot(2,2,3)
%plot(rsquare(:,2));
%xlabel('Ion number');
%ylabel('R-squared');
%% Load charges from table and calculate Velution and K0
clc
filename= 'mz_table_noBlank.csv';
open=strcat(directory,filename);
mz_table=csvread(open);
mz_average=mean(mz_table(:,1:2),2);
charges=mz_table(:,3)';

%% Velution calculation: -voltage+(x-P0)*abs(voltage/TIMS_ramp_duration)
%where x is the scan frame (1st column in the mobility signal, x axis)
clc
if timescale_export==100;
mobility_scans=length(mobility_signal_1)-1;
A=mobility_signal_1(2:end,1);
else if timescale_export==200;
mobility_scans=length(mobility_signal_2)-1;
A=mobility_signal_2(2:end,1);
else if timescale_export==300;
mobility_scans=length(mobility_signal_3)-1;
A=mobility_signal_3(2:end,1);
else if timescale_export==400;
mobility_scans=length(mobility_signal_4)-1;
A=mobility_signal_4(2:end,1);
else if timescale_export==500;
mobility_scans=length(mobility_signal_5)-1;
A=mobility_signal_5(2:end,1);
end
end

Velution=zeros(mobility_scans,5);
D=zeros(data_table(1,1),1);
C=zeros(data_table(1,1),1);
E=zeros(data_table(1,1),1);
for i=1:1:rows
    B=abs(voltage./TIMS_ramp_duration(i));
    for j=1:1:data_table(1,1)
        C(j)=mz_P0(j,2);
        for k=1:1:mobility_scans
            if P0_average > 0
                D(k)=A(k)-P0_average; %P0_average;    %if C(j) used then the P0 value for each ion is used.
            else
                D(k)=A(k)-C(j); %P0_average;    %if C(j) used then the P0 value for each ion is used.
            end
            E(k)=D(k).*B;
        end
        Velution(k,j,i)=voltage+E(k); %this is a 3D matrix with P0 outputs
    end
end
clear A B C D E i j k
%% Calculate K0 using -101/(Velution-60)
A=zeros(mobility_scans,data_table(1,1),rows);
K0=zeros(mobility_scans,data_table(1,1),rows);
for i=1:1:rows
    for j=1:1:data_table(1,1)
        for k=1:1:mobility_scans
            A(k,j,i)=Velution(k,j,i)-60;
            %calibration=A(k,j,i).*0.2128-93.38;
            K0(k,j,i)=calibration./A(k,j,i); %this is a 3D matrix with K0 outputs
        end
    end
end
clear A i j k
%% Calculate CCS in Angstrons squared using
% (1/K0)*(18195*charge)/(sqrt((temperature*mw*28)/(mw+28))
clc
A=zeros(mobility_scans,data_table(1,1),rows);
mw=zeros(data_table(1,1),1);
B=zeros(mobility_scans,data_table(1,1),rows);
CCS=zeros(mobility_scans,data_table(1,1),rows);
C=zeros(data_table(1,1),1);
for i=1:1:rows
  
  for j=1:1:data_table(1,1)
    
    mw(j)=mz_table(j,3).*mz_average(j,1)-mz_table(j,3);
    C(j)=mz_table(j,3).*18195;
    for k=1:1:mobility_scans
      A(k,j,i)=1/K0(k,j,i);
      B(k,j,i)=sqrt((294*mw(j)*28)./(mw(j)+28));
      CCS(k,j,i)=A(k,j,i).*C(j)./B(k,j,i); %this is a 3D matrix with CCS outputs
    end
  end

clear A B C i j k

% Save files with CCS and mobility data
clc
extension=data_table(1,1).*2;
CCS_mobility=ones(mobility_scans,extension);
j2=1;
j3=2;

if timescale_export==100;
k=1;
timescale='1.csv';
else if timescale_export==200;
k=2;
timescale='2.csv';
else if timescale_export==300;
k=3;
timescale='3.csv';
else if timescale_export==400;
k=4;
timescale='4.csv';
else if timescale_export==500;
k=5;
timescale='5.csv';
end
end
end

for j=1:1:data_table(1,1)
  Intensity_Max1=sum(mobility_signal_1(3:end,j+1));
Intensity_Max2 = sum(mobility_signal_2(3:end,j+1));
Intensity_Max3 = sum(mobility_signal_3(3:end,j+1));
Intensity_Max4 = sum(mobility_signal_4(3:end,j+1));
Intensity_Max5 = sum(mobility_signal_5(3:end,j+1));
CCS_mobility(:,j2) = CCS(:,j,k);   % increase last axes for diff timescales
    j2 = j2 + 2;

if timescale_export == 100;
    CCS_mobility(:,j3) = mobility_signal_1(2:end,j+1)./Intensity_Max1;
else if timescale_export == 200;
    CCS_mobility(:,j3) = mobility_signal_2(2:end,j+1)./Intensity_Max2;
else if timescale_export == 300;
    CCS_mobility(:,j3) = mobility_signal_3(2:end,j+1)./Intensity_Max3;
else if timescale_export == 400;
    CCS_mobility(:,j3) = mobility_signal_4(2:end,j+1)./Intensity_Max4;
else if timescale_export == 500;
    CCS_mobility(:,j3) = mobility_signal_5(2:end,j+1)./Intensity_Max5;
end

j3 = j3 + 2;
end

filename = 'Mobility_CCS';
if P0_average > 0
    P0set = num2str(P0_average);
    save = strcat(directory, filename, '_', P0set, timescale);
dlmwrite(save, CCS_mobility);
fclose('all');
disp(strcat(filename, timescale));
else
    pks = num2str(peak_number);
    save = strcat(directory, filename, '_', pks, timescale);
dlmwrite(save, CCS_mobility);
fclose('all');
disp(strcat(filename, timescale));
end
if P0_average>0 && mmm==500
    filename='mz_P0.csv';
    P0set=num2str(P0_average);
    save=strcat(directory,'Avg_',P0set,filename);
    dlmwrite(save,mz_P0);
else
    filename='mz_P0.csv';
    pks=num2str(peak_number);
    save=strcat(directory,pks,filename);
    dlmwrite(save,mz_P0);
end
fclose('all');

% End of Script 2

% Start of Script 3
%% Import the data generated from CCS_calculator in order to create a binned histogram
clc
clear
binsize=500;
directory=C:\PATHtoFILE\;
timescale1='1.csv';
filename = 'Mobility_CCS_1_';
open=strcat(directory,filename,timescale1);
Intensity_CCS1 = importdata(open,',');

timescale1='2.csv';
filename = 'Mobility_CCS_1_';
open=strcat(directory,filename,timescale1);
Intensity_CCS2 = importdata(open,',');

timescale1='3.csv';
filename = 'Mobility_CCS_1_';
open=strcat(directory,filename,timescale1);
Intensity_CCS3 = importdata(open,',');

timescale1='4.csv';
filename = 'Mobility_CCS_1_';
open=strcat(directory,filename,timescale1);
Intensity_CCS4 = importdata(open,',');

timescale1='5.csv';
filename = 'Mobility_CCS_1_';
open = strcat(directory, filename, timescale1);
Intensity_CCS5 = importdata(open, ',');

%% Concatenate Datasets at different ramp time
cle
SuperData = vertcat(Intensity_CCS1, Intensity_CCS2, Intensity_CCS3, Intensity_CCS4, Intensity_CCS5);
%clear('Intensity_CCS1', 'Intensity_CCS2', 'Intensity_CCS3', 'Intensity_CCS4', 'Intensity_CCS5');
DataSize = size(SuperData);
Intensity = ones(DataSize(1, 1), 1);
DataSorted = ones(DataSize(1, 1), DataSize(1, 2));

for i = 1:2:DataSize(1, 2)
    [SortedMZ, SortedIndex] = sort(SuperData(:, i));
    for j = 1:1:DataSize(1, 1)
        Intensity(j) = SuperData(SortedIndex(j), i + 1);
    end
    DataSorted(:, i) = SortedMZ;
    DataSorted(:, i + 1) = Intensity.;
end

%% Smoothing the data
DataSmooth = DataSorted;
for i = 2:2:DataSize(1, 2)
    YY = smooth(DataSorted(:, i), 100, 'lowess');
    DataSmooth(:, i) = YY;
end
%compress

filename = 'Average_CCS_Mobility_';
save = strcat(directory, filename, '.csv');
dlmwrite(save, DataSmooth);
filename = 'Combined_CCS_Mobility_';
save = strcat(directory, filename, '.csv');
dlmwrite(save, DataSorted);

%% Create binned data
cle
CCS_domain = zeros(binsize, DataSize(1, 2));
for m = 1:2:DataSize(1, 2)
    CCS_min = min(DataSorted(:, m));
    CCS_max = max(DataSorted(:, m));
    domain = CCS_max - CCS_min;
    bin(m) = domain / binsize;
limits=CCS_min:bin(m):CCS_max;
CCS_domain(:,m)=limits(2:end).';
end

%%
BinnedData=zeros(binsize,DataSize(1,2));
for k=2:2:DataSize(1,2)
j=2;
for i=1:1:binsize
    while DataSorted(j,k-1)<=CCS_domain(i,k-1) && j<DataSize(1,1)
        BinnedData(i,k)=DataSorted(j,k)+BinnedData(i,k);
        j=j+1;
    end
end
BinnedData(:,k-1)=CCS_domain(:,k-1);
end

%Normalize the data
for k=2:2:DataSize(1,2)
    Intensity_Max(k)=sum(BinnedData(:,k));
    BinnedData(:,k)=BinnedData(:,k)./Intensity_Max(k);
end
filename='Binned_CCS_Mobility_';
save=strcat(directory,filename,'.csv');
dlmwrite(save,BinnedData);

10.3 Appendix 3

Scripts used for preparing the system and performing the cMD and aMD simulations are shown below, note that these must be copied into independent configurations file for them to be run by NAMD.

######################################## MINIMIZATION########################################
structure ../PROTEIN.psf
coordinates ../PROTEIN.pdb

#############################################################
## FORCE FIELD BLOCK
#############################################################
ParaTypeCharmm on
parameters ../par_all27_prot_lipid.inp
exclude scaled1-4
1-4scaling 1.0
dielectric 1.0

#############################################################
## LONG-RANGE INTERACTIONS
#############################################################
switching on
switchdist 8.0
cutoff 12.0
pairlistdist 13.5
stepspercycle 20
rigidBonds all

#############################################################
## Edwald electrostatics
#############################################################
# PME (for full-system periodic electrostatics)
PME yes
PMEGridSpacing 1.0
minimization on

#############################################################
## Force patch GRID to improve performance of small systems
#############################################################
TwoAwayX no
TwoAwayY no
TwoAwayZ no

#############################################################
## Output
#############################################################
outputenergies 1000
outputtiming 1000
binaryoutput no
outputname ../output/1_PROTEIN_min
restartname ../output/1_PROTEIN_min_rest
restartfreq  100000
binaryrestart  no
DCDfile    ../output/1_PROTEIN_min.dcd
dcdfreq  1000
numsteps  100000

#############################################################
## Periodic boundary specifications
#############################################################
# Periodic Boundary Conditions
cellBasisVector1      60.4179992676 0.0  0.0
cellBasisVector2      0.0  56.6269989014 0.0
cellBasisVector3       0.0    0.0  77.7019996643
cellOrigin         -45.022178649902344 10.466216087341309 24.586162567138672
wrapAll             on

#############################################################
## HEATING#############################
#############################################################
## ADJUSTABLE PARAMETERS
#############################################################
structure          ../PROTEIN.psf
coordinates      ../output/1_PROTEIN_min.coor

#############################################################
## FORCE FIELD BLOCK
#############################################################
ParaTypeCharmm      on
parameters           ../par_all27_prot_lipid.inp
exclude   scaled1-4
1-4scaling  1.0
dielectric  1.0

#############################################################
## LONG-RANGE INTERACTIONS
#############################################################
switching on
switchdist  8.0
cutoff     12.0
pairlistdist  13.5
stepspercycle  20
rigidBonds   all
## Edwald electrostatics

# PME (for full-system periodic electrostatics)
```plaintext
PME                  yes
PMEGridSpacing       1.0
```

## Force patch GRID to improve performance of small systems ##

```plaintext
TwoAwayX   no
TwoAwayY  no
TwoAwayZ  no
```

## Parameters for integrator and MTS

```plaintext
timestep  1.0
fullElectFrequency  4
```

## Output specifications

```plaintext
outputenergies  1000
outputtiming  1000
binaryoutput  no
outputname       ../output/2_PROTEIN_heat1
restartname      ../output/2_PROTEIN_heat1
restartfreq      10000
binaryrestart    yes
DCDfile         ../output/2_PROTEIN_heat1.dcd
dcdfreq         1000
```

## MD protocol block

```plaintext
seed    1010
numsteps  31000
temperature  0
reassignFreq  1
reassignIncr  0.005
reassignHold  155
```
## Periodic boundary specifications

# Periodic Boundary Conditions

cellBasisVector1    60.4179992676 0.0   0.0

cellBasisVector2     0.0   56.6269989014 0.0

cellBasisVector3     0.0    0.0   77.7019996643

cellOrigin         -45.022178649902344 10.466216087341309 24.586162567138672
wrapAll             on

## PRE-EQUILIBRATION NPT

### ADJUSTABLE PARAMETERS

structure ../PROTEIN.psf
coordinates ../output/2_PROTEIN_heat1.coor

### FORCE FIELD BLOCK

ParaTypeCharmm       on
parameters ../par_all27_prot_lipid.inp
exclude   scaled1-4

1-4scaling  1.0
dielectric  1.0

### LONG-RANGE INTERACTIONS

switching on
switchdist  8.0
cutoff   12.0
pairlistdist 13.5
stepspercycle  20
rigidBonds all

### Edwald electrostatics

# PME (for full-system periodic electrostatics)
PME yes
PMEGridSpacing  1.0
## Force patch GRID to improve performance of small systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TwoAwayX</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TwoAwayY</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TwoAwayZ</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Parameters for integrator and MTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>timestep</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fullElectFrequency</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Output specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>outputenergies</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outputtiming</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>binaryoutput</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outputname</td>
<td>../output/3_PROTEIN_npt_prequil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restartname</td>
<td>../output/3_PROTEIN_npt_prequil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restartfreq</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>binaryrestart</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCDfile</td>
<td>../output/3_PROTEIN_npt_prequil.dcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dcdfreq</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Constant Temperature Control

Constant-energy simulations are not recommended for equilibration because, without the energy flow facilitated by the temperature control methods, the desired temperature cannot be achieved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>langevin</td>
<td>on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>langevinDamping</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>langevinTemp</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>langevinHydrogen</td>
<td>off</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Constant Pressure Control (variable volume)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>useGroupPressure</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>useFlexibleCell</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>useConstantArea</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>langevinPiston</td>
<td>on</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
langevinPistonTarget 1.01325 # in bar -> 1 atm
langevinPistonPeriod 100.0
langevinPistonDecay 50.0
langevinPistonTemp 155

# MD protocol block
# Periodic boundary specifications
# Periodic Boundary Conditions
cellBasisVector1 60.4179992676 0.0 0.0
cellBasisVector2 0.0 56.6269989014 0.0
cellBasisVector3 0.0 0.0 77.7019996643
cellOrigin -45.022178649902344 10.466216087341309 24.586162567138672
wrapAll on

# HEATING
# ADJUSTABLE PARAMETERS
structure ../PROTEIN.psf
coordinates ../output/3_PROTEIN_npt_prequil.coor

# FORCE FIELD BLOCK
ParaTypeCharmm on
parameters ../par_all27_prot_lipid.inp
exclude scaled1-4
1-4scaling 1.0
dielectric 1.0
## LONG-RANGE INTERACTIONS

switching  on
switchdist  8.0
cutoff   12.0
pairlistdist  13.5
stepspercycle  20
rigidBonds   all

## Edwald electrostatics

# PME (for full-system periodic electrostatics)
PME                  yes
PMEGridSpacing       1.0

## Force Patch Grid To Improve Performance Of Small Systems

TwoAwayX   no
TwoAwayY  no
TwoAwayZ  no

## PARAMETERS FOR INTEGRATOR AND MTS

timestep  1.0
fullElectFrequency  4

## OUTPUT SPECIFICATIONS

outputenergies  1000
outputtiming  1000
binaryoutput   no
outputname   ../output/4_PROTEIN_heat2
restartname   ../output/4_PROTEIN_heat2
restartfreq  10000
binaryrestart yes
DCDfile      ../output/4_PROTEIN_heat2.dcd
dcdfreq   1000

## MD PROTOCOL BLOCK


seed    1010
numsteps  31000
temperature  155
reassignFreq  1
reassignIncr  0.005
reassignHold  310

#############################################################
## PERIODIC BOUNDARY SPECIFICATIONS
#############################################################
# Periodic Boundary Conditions
cellBasisVector1    60.4179992676 0.0   0.0
cellBasisVector2     0.0   56.6269989014 0.0
cellBasisVector3     0.0    0.0   77.7019996643
cellOrigin         -45.022178649902344 10.466216087341309 24.586162567138672
wrapAll             on

#############################################################
## EQUILIBRATION NPT#############################################################

# ADJUSTABLE PARAMETERS
structure          ../PROTEIN.psf
coordinates        ../output/4_PROTEIN_heat2.coor

# FORCE FIELD BLOCK
ParaTypeCharmm      on
parameters           ../par_all27_prot_lipid.inp
exclude   scaled1-4
1-4scaling  1.0
dielectric  1.0

# LONG-RANGE INTERACTIONS
switching on
switchdist  8.0
cutoff   12.0
pairlistdist  13.5
stepspercycle  20
rigidBonds   all

#############################################################
## EDWALD ELECTROSTATICS
#############################################################
# PME (for full-system periodic electrostatics)
PME        yes
PMEGridSpacing  1.0

#############################################################
## Force Patch Grid To Improve Performance Of Small Systems
#############################################################
TwoAwayX   no
TwoAwayY no
TwoAwayZ no

#############################################################
## PARAMETERS FOR INTEGRATOR AND MTS
#############################################################
timestep  1.0
fullElectFrequency  4

#############################################################
## OUTPUT SPECIFICATIONS
#############################################################
outputenergies  1000
outputtiming    1000
binaryoutput    no
outputname      ../output/5_PROTEIN_npt_equil2
restartname     ../output/5_PROTEIN_npt_equil2
restartfreq     10000
binaryrestart   yes
DCDfile         ../output/5_PROTEIN_npt_equil2.dcd
dcdfreq         1000

#############################################################
## CONSTANT TEMPERATURE CONTROL
#############################################################
langevin     on
langevinDamping  1
langevinTemp   310
langevinHydrogen     off

#############################################################
## CONSTANT PRESSURE CONTROL
useGroupPressure  yes  ;# needed for rigidBonds
useFlexibleCell   no
useConstantArea   no
langevinPiston    on
langevinPistonTarget 1.01325  ;# in bar -> 1 atm
langevinPistonPeriod 100.0
langevinPistonDecay 50.0
langevinPistonTemp 310

## MD PROTOCOL BLOCK

seed  3010
numsteps  100000
temperature  310
rescaleFreq  1
rescaleTemp  310

## PERIODIC BOUNDARY SPECIFICATIONS

# Periodic Boundary Conditions
cellBasisVector1  60.4179992676 0.0  0.0
cellBasisVector2  0.0  56.6269989014 0.0
cellBasisVector3  0.0  0.0  77.7019996643
cellOrigin  -45.022178649902344 10.466216087341309 24.586162567138672
wrapAll   on

## EQUILIBRATION NVT##

## ADJUSTABLE PARAMETERS

structure  ../PROTEIN.psf
coordinates  ../output/5_PROTEIN_npt_equil2.coor

## FORCE FIELD BLOCK

ParaTypeCharmm    on
parameters  ../par_all27_prot_lipid.inp
exclude    scaled1-4
1-4scaling  1.0
dielectric  1.0

#########################################################################
## LONG-RANGE INTERACTIONS
#########################################################################
switching  on
switchdist  8.0
cutoff   12.0
pairlistdist  13.5
stepspercycle  20
rigidBonds  all

#########################################################################
## EDWARL ELECTROSTATICS
#########################################################################
# PME (for full-system periodic electrostatics)
PME                  yes
PMEGridSpacing       1.0

#########################################################################
## Force Patch Grid To Improve Performance Of Small Systems
#########################################################################
TwoAwayX   no
TwoAwayY  no
TwoAwayZ  no

#########################################################################
## PARAMETERS FOR INTEGRATORR AND MTS
#########################################################################
timestep  1.0
fullElectFrequency  4

#########################################################################
## OUTPUT SPECIFICATIONS
#########################################################################
outputenergies  1000
outputtiming  1000
binaryoutput  no
outputname    ../output/6_PROTEIN_nvt_equil
restartname   ../output/6_PROTEIN_nvt_equil
restartfreq   10000
binaryrestart  yes
DCDfile      ../output/6_PROTEIN_nvt_equil.dcd
dcdfreq       1000
## CONSTANT TEMPERATURE CONTROL

```
  langevin on
  langevinDamping 1
  langevinTemp 310
  langevinHydrogen off
```

## MD PROTOCOL BLOCK

```
  seed 2010
  numsteps 100000
  temperature 310
  rescaleFreq 1
  rescaleTemp 310
```

## PERIODIC BOUNDARY SPECIFICATIONS

```
cellBasisVector1   60.4179992676  0.0   0.0
cellBasisVector2   0.0   56.6269989014  0.0
cellBasisVector3   0.0   0.0   77.7019996643
cellOrigin         -45.022178649902344 10.466216087341309 24.586162567138672
wrapAll            on
```

## PRODUCTION NPT

```
## ADJUSTABLE PARAMETERS

```
  structure ../PROTEIN.psf
  coordinates ../output/6_PROTEIN_nvt_equil.coor
```

## FORCE FIELD BLOCK

```
  ParaTypeCharmm on
  parameters ../par_all27_prot_lipid.inp
  exclude scaled1-4
```
1-4scaling 1.0
dielectric 1.0

#############################################################
## LONG-RANGE INTERACTIONS
#############################################################
switching on
switchdist 8.0
cutoff 12.0
pairlistdist 13.5
stepspercycle 20
rigidBonds all

#############################################################
## EDWALD ELECTROSTATICS
#############################################################
# PME (for full-system periodic electrostatics)
PME yes
PMEGridSpacing 1.0

#############################################################
## Force Patch Grid To Improve Performance Of Small Systems
#############################################################
TwoAwayX no
TwoAwayY no
TwoAwayZ no

#############################################################
## PARAMETERS FOR INTEGRATOR AND MTS
#############################################################
timestep 2.0
fullElectFrequency 4

#############################################################
## OUTPUT SPECIFICATIONS
#############################################################
outputenergies 10000
outputtiming 10000
binaryoutput no
outputname ../output/7apo65_npt_prodrun
restartname ../output/7apo65_npt_prodrun
restartfreq 100000
binaryrestart yes
DCDfile ../output/7apo65_npt_prodrun.dcd
dcedfreq 10000
### Constant Temperature Control

```
langevin             on
langevinDamping      1
langevinTemp         310
langevinHydrogen     off
```

### Constant Pressure Control (variable volume)

```
useGroupPressure       yes
useFlexibleCell        no
useConstantArea        no
langevinPiston         on
langevinPistonTarget  1.01325
langevinPistonPeriod  100.0
langevinPistonDecay   50.0
langevinPistonTemp    310
```

### PARAMETERS FOR AMD SIMULATIONS

```
#accelMD   on
#accelMDdihe  on
#accelMDE   1193
#accelMDalpha  118
#accelMDOutFreq  10000
```

### MD protocol block

```
seed    3010
numsteps  50000000
temperature  310
rescaleFreq  1000
rescaleTemp  310
```

### Periodic boundary specifications

```
# Periodic Boundary Conditions
# cellBasisVector1    60.4179992676 0.0   0.0
# cellBasisVector2     0.0   56.6269989014 0.0
```
cellBasisVector3 0.0 0.0 77.7019996643
cellOrigin -45.022178649902344 10.466216087341309 24.586162567138672
wrapAll    on
VITA

WALTER GABRIEL GONZALEZ

EDUCATION AND SELECTED AWARDS

2010  Bachelors of Physics
      Biophysics
      Florida International University
      Miami, Florida USA

2011 – 2016  Doctoral Candidate
              Florida International University
              Miami, Florida USA

ROLE OF AROMATIC RESIDUES IN MODULATING DYNAMICS AND
ALLOSTERIC CONTROL IN DREAM/KCHIP3. (2015) LFD Workshop Award, 10th
LFD workshop University of California Irvin

ROLE OF DREAM PROTEIN DYNAMICS AND OLIGOMERIZATION ON LIGAND
ASSOCIATION. (2015) Summer Research Award, NIGMS Biomedical Research
Initiative.

PROTEIN-LIGAND INTERACTIONS AND ALLOSTERIC REGULATION OF
DREAM PROTEIN ACTIVITY. (2015) MBRS RISE Graduate Fellowship, Florida
International University.

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE PHOTOPHYSICAL, THERMODYNAMIC AND
STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF THE TERBIUM(III)-KCHIP3 COMPLEX. (2015)
Biophysical Society Travel Award, Biophysical Society.

MECHANISM OF CA$^{2+}$ AND NS5806 INDUCED KCHIP3-KV4.3 ASSOCIATION. (2014)
Summer Research Award, NIGMS Biomedical Research Initiative.

STRUCTURAL MODULATION OF KV4.3 T1 DOMAIN BY THE CALCIUM BINDING
PROTEIN KCHIP3. (2013) Summer Research Award, NIGMS Biomedical Research
Initiative.

CA$^{2+}$ AND MG$^{2+}$ INDUCED CONFORMATIONAL CHANGES IN DOWNSTREAM
REGULATORY ANTAGONISTIC MODULATOR (DREAM) MEASURED BY
EXTRINSIC HYDROPHOBIC PROBES. (2012) Graduate Research Award, FIU Dept. of
Chemistry and Biochemistry.
BINDING STUDY OF EXTRINSIC HYDROPHOBIC PROBES TO DREAM IN THE PRESENCE OF MG\(^{2+}\) AND CA\(^{2+}\). (2011) Graduate Poster Presentation 2\(^{nd}\) prize, ACS FAME.

SELECTED PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

Gonzalez Walter G; Ramos, Victoria; Diaz, Maurizio; and Miksovska, Jaroslava; (2016) Photophysics, thermodynamics and structural properties of the Terbium(III)-KChIP3 complex. (ACS Biochemistry in press).


Gonzalez, Walter G; Pham, Khoa; Miksovska, Jaroslava; (2014) Modulation of the Voltage-gated Potassium Channel (Kv4.3) and the Auxiliary Protein (KChIP3) Interactions by the Current Activator NS5806. Journal of Biological Chemistry; 289 (46): 32201-32213.

Gonzalez, Walter G; Miksovska, Jaroslava; (2014) Application of ANS fluorescent probes to identify hydrophobic sites on the surface of DREAM. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta-Proteins and Proteomics; 1844 (9): 1472-1480.

Gonzalez, Walter G; Miksovska, Jaroslava; (2014) Submillisecond Conformational Changes in Proteins Resolved by Photothermal Beam Deflection. Jove (Journal of Visualized Experiments); 8; e50969-e50969.

ROLE OF AROMATIC RESIDUES IN DYNAMIC NETWORKS IN DREAM/KCHIP3. (2016) Biophysical Society 60\(^{th}\) Annual Meeting; San Diego, California.


MODULATION OF THE KV4.3-KCHIP3 INTERACTIONS BY CA\(^{2+}\) AND NS5806. (2012) South East Regional Meeting of the ACS; Raleigh, North Carolina.

CA\(^{2+}\) AND MG\(^{2+}\) INDUCED CONFORMATIONAL CHANGES ON DREAM (DOWNSTREAM REGULATORY ANTAGONIST MODULATOR) MEASURED BY EXTRINSIC HYDROPHOBIC PROBES. (2011) South East Regional Meeting of the ACS; Richmond, Virginia.