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ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

CHARACTERIZATION OF AN EPOXIDE HYDROLASE FROM THE FLORIDA RED TIDE DINOFLAGELLATE, *KARENIA BREVIS*

by

Pengfei Sun

Florida International University, 2015

Miami, Florida

Professor Kathleen S. Rein, Major Professor

Polyether compounds are a subgroup of natural products with regular occurrence of multiple C-O-C motifs. The biosynthetic origin of polycyclic polyethers has been studied and the majority of them are derived from polyketide or terpene pathways. Normally, the polycyclic polyethers can be divided into two groups based on their structural features: the first group features multiple rings that are interconnected by carbon-carbon single bond, which are produced by a biosynthetic cascade of *exo* epoxide-opening reactions; the other group has multiple fused cyclic ethers and are formed by an cascade of *endo* epoxide-opening reactions.

*Karenia brevis* (*K. brevis*) is known as principle harmful bloom (HAB) organism of the Gulf of Mexico which can cause red tides. Brevetoxins (PbTx) are a suit of cyclic polyether ladder compounds produced by *K. brevis*. Brevetoxins are neurotoxins that can bind to voltage-gated sodium channels in nerve and muscle cells, resulting in disruption of normal neurological processes causing the human illness which is clinically described as neurotoxic shellfish poisoning (NSP).
Inspired by Cane-Celmer-Wesley’s proposal regarding monensin biosynthesis, Nakanishi and Shimizu proposed a biosynthetic pathway for brevetoxin which suggests that PKS-mediated synthesis of the polyene is followed by epoxidation to afford a polyepoxide which then undergoes an epoxide-opening cascade, catalyzed by an epoxide hydrolase (EH).

To find evidence to support the hypothesis that an epoxide hydrolase from polyether ladder producing dinoflagellates will catalyze the construction of the polyether ladder framework from polyepoxide substrates, and to study the role of epoxide hydrolase in the biosynthesis of polyether ladder compounds, it is necessary to identify and produce one or more epoxide hydrolase from dinoflagellates. The methods to detect epoxide hydrolase activity in *K. brevis* and different techniques to obtain epoxide hydrolases from *K. brevis* are discussed. A microsomal EH identified from a *K. brevis* EST library was cloned and expressed. The characterization of this EH, including substrate selectivity and enantioselectivity as well as its potential to catalyze the critical *ento-tet* cyclization epoxy alcohol, is discussed.
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1. BACKGROUND

1.1 DINOFLAGELLATE

Dinoflagellates are members of the class Dinophyceae, which is a diverse group of unicellular, flagellate algae that fossil records suggested have been in existence for at least 400 million years \(^1\). Dinoflagellates are one of the three main phyla of the protozoan taxon Alveolata. The other two are the parasitic apicomplexans and the mostly free-living ciliates. Motile dinoflagellate cells have two flagella, one longitudinal and one transverse which encircles the cell, and this design causes a corkscrew-like swimming motion from which the name dinoflagellate is derived (Greek dinos = whirling). Their unique propulsion system has been studied over the last several decades \(^2\). In terms of number of species, dinoflagellates are one of the largest groups of marine eukaryotes \(^3\). However, the dinoflagellate nuclei are not characteristically eukaryotic, as they lack histones, nucleosomes and maintain continually condensed chromosomes during mitosis. However, they do contain typically eukaryotic organelles, such as mitochondria, chloroplasts, and Golgi bodies \(^4\). Figure 1 shows one dinoflagellate *Gonyaulax sp.* (http://www.sms.si.edu/irlspec/Phyl_Dinofl.htm).

![Dinoflagellate Gonyaulax sp.](http://www.sms.si.edu/irlspec/Phyl_Dinofl.htm)
Most dinoflagellates are marine plankton, but more than 200 species are found in fresh water. Their growth is affected by temperature, salinity or depth. Although many dinoflagellates are photosynthetic, a large fraction of these are in fact mixotrophic, combining photosynthesis with ingestion of prey. Some species are endosymbionts of marine animals and play an important role in the biology of coral reefs, for instance *Symbiodinium*, the coral symbiont. Other dinoflagellates are predators on other protozoa, and some forms are parasitic. They are also known to produce novel secondary metabolites including heterocycles, macrolides, highly oxygenated alkyl compounds among others. The chloroplasts of photosynthetic dinoflagellates have been studied for the evolution of organelle genomes. The most common type of dinoflagellate chloroplast is bound by 3-membranes and contain chlorophylls a and c2, the carotenoid beta-carotene and xanthophylls that seems to be unique to dinoflagellates, mainly peridinin, dinoxanthin and diadinoxanthin. Many dinoflagellates show goldenbrown color because of these pigments. However, *Karenia brevis*, *Karenia mikimotoi* and *Karlodinium micrum*, have other pigments through endosymbiosis, including fucoxanthin. Most dinoflagellates have a haplontic life cycle. The reproduction type is binary fission, either desmoschisis or eleuteroschisis. In a small percentage of dinoflagellates, sexual reproduction also occurs.

Dinoflagellates can bloom in a very high concentration resulting in red tides. The toxins, known as dinotoxins produced by dinoflagellates can kill fish and accumulate in filter feeders such as shellfish, which may be passed on to people who eat them. However, some dinoflagellate blooms are not hazardous. Bioluminescent dinoflagellate
can emit short flashes of light when disturbed. There are more than 28 dinoflagellates which are bioluminescent and most of them emit a blue-green light. These species contain dinoflagellate luciferase, the main enzyme in dinoflagellate bioluminescence and luciferin, a chlorophyll derived tetrapyrrole ring which acts as the substrate in the luminescent reaction.

The large amount of DNA of dinoflagellate is one of their most striking features. Dinoflagellate DNA can range from 3 ~ 250 pg/cell, whereas most other eukaryotic algae contain on average 0.54 pg/cell. In addition, dinoflagellate nuclei are unique in their morphology, composition and regulation.

1.2 *KARENIA BREVIS* (*K. BREVIS*)

*Karenia*, formerly included in the genus *Gymnodinium*, is a genus of 12 species of dinoflagellates. Several species can cause red tides, including *K. brevis*, and *Karenia mikimotoi*, which was first described in Japan, but is now found in the Atlantic ocean. These species are similar in their pigmentation and possession of a linear apical groove, but can be distinguished by morphological characteristics and large subunit (LSU) rRNA gene sequences.

*Karenia brevis* (genus *Karenia* species *brevis*, formerly known as *Gymnodinium breve* and *Ptychodiscus brevis*) is an unarmored, photosynthetic marine dinoflagellate. It is a microscopic, single-celled organism that is responsible for frequent blooms along Florida and Texas coastal waters. *K. brevis* uses its two flagella to move through the water in a spinning motion. *Karenia brevis* cell is about 20-40 μm in size, the cell color is yellow-green because of the presence of chloroplasts. *Karenia brevis* has a $10^{11}$ bp
genome, which is approximately 30 times the human genome. It has permanently condensed chromatin lacking nucleosomes. The condensed chromosomes have a characteristic banding pattern with stacked disks which form a continuous left-handed twist along the longitudinal axis. Due to its huge size, the genome has not yet been sequenced \(^9\). \textit{Karenia brevis} was shown in Figure 2 (https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/a/a0/Karenia_brevis.jpg).

![Karenia brevis](https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/a/a0/Karenia_brevis.jpg)

**Figure 2. Karenia brevis**

\textit{Karenia brevis} can be found in the Gulf of Mexico, along the coasts of Texas, Louisiana, Florida, and North Carolina. It is photosynthetic and performs much of the area's primary production, which is the synthesis of organic compounds from atmospheric or aqueous carbon dioxide \(^8\). Normally, \textit{K. brevis} moves towards greater light \(^9\) and against gravity \(^9\), which results in keeping the cells at the surface of water. Because of dependence on light, they cannot live at a depth below 200 feet. The optimal temperature for their growth is between 22-28°C, although they can survive in a temperature range between 4 and 33 °C. In addition, \textit{K. brevis} requires salinity between 25-45 ppt. They can provide oxygen to the environment contributing around 20 % of the primary production in the West Florida Shelf during blooms or red tides \(^8\).
Multiple reasons may lead to a chemical change in water. The most common is when chemicals are dumped into the water from local run-off zones. These chemicals can come from certain fertilizers which are used to promote agricultural growth. The algae will reproduce rapidly if nutrient levels such as nitrogen increase beyond normal levels, resulting in an algal bloom. These blooms can deplete oxygen in the water and create a shade from the sun, inhibiting the growth of other autotrophs. \textit{Karenia brevis} can produce harmful algal blooms (HABs) or red tides, during which toxins are released in the ocean and may kill marine animals, and cause human illness that can arise from eating seafood that have retained toxins. Blooms of \textit{K. brevis} may also provide a significant source of fixed carbon thorough photosynthesis. With nutrient regimes and light conditions, \textit{K. brevis} can bloom from 100,000 to 250,000 cells/liter within 2 months. Monospecific blooms can cover a surface area of up to $1.4-3.0 \times 10^4$ km$^2$. An historical assessment of \textit{K. brevis} in the western Gulf of Mexico found documented reports of massive fish kills together with human respiratory irritation and dry cough from Veracruz, Mexico in the late 1800s, and earlier evidence of red tides on the Mexican coastline dating back to the 1500s. Throughout most of the Gulf of Mexico and US south Atlantic Bight, \textit{K. brevis} is found in background concentration levels of 1-1000 cells/liter. Blooms are most frequent along the west coast of Florida, especially from Clearwater to Sanibel Island. Most commonly, blooms can last more than two months in regions of the Gulf of Mexico including: the west Florida shelf, the Campeche Bay between Rio Ciatzacoalcos and Rio Grijalva, and the Texas coast between Port Arthur and Galveston Bay.
*Karenia brevis* has attracted great interest among scientists. Microscopy or pigment analysis are among the traditional methods for the detection of *K. brevis*. However, those methods are time-consuming and require an experienced microscopist for identification 27. Molecular and PCR based methods for detection of *K. brevis* cells have been developed 28. Another technique, which uses a model-based examination of UV-vis spectra, multiwavelength spectroscopy has also been used for detection of *K. brevis* 29.

### 1.3 BREVETOXINS

Brevetoxins (PbTx) are a suit of cyclic polyether ladder compounds. Brevetoxins are neurotoxins that can bind to voltage-gated sodium channels in nerve and muscle cells, resulting in disruption of normal neurological processes causing the human illness which is clinically described as neurotoxic shellfish poisoning (NSP) 30. Brevetoxins have two main skeletal types, brevetoxin A and brevetoxin B, which differ in the carbon backbone. Each type of brevetoxin has several subtypes, which differ in the side-chain substituents 31.

![Figure 3. Different types of brevetoxins](image)

Brevetoxin-1 (PbTx-1) $R = \text{CH}_2\text{C}($=\text{CH}_2)\text{CHO}$
Brevetoxin-7 (PbTx-7) $R = \text{CH}_2\text{C}($=\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$
Brevetoxin-10 (PbTx-10) $R = \text{CH}_2\text{CH}($=\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$

Brevetoxin-2 (PbTx-2) $R = \text{CH}_2\text{C}($=\text{CH}_2)\text{CHO}$
Brevetoxin-3 (PbTx-3) $R = \text{CH}_2\text{C}($=\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$
Brevetoxin-8 (PbTx-8) $R = \text{CH}_2\text{COCH}_2\text{OH}$
Brevetoxin-9 (PbTx-9) $R = \text{CH}_2\text{CH}($=\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$
Brevetoxins are lipid soluble and adversely affect human health as well as ecosystems. Brevetoxins are most studied in *K. brevis*, but they are also found in other species of *Karenia*. The toxins activate voltage-gated sodium channels in vertebrates directly damaging the nervous system of an organism even at very small concentrations. This results in neurological symptoms in the affected organism, including humans. The most common way for humans to be exposed to these toxins is through the consumption of contaminated shellfish. Ecological health effects include massive mortality of invertebrates, fish, birds, and some marine mammals. This could be due to either direct exposure to the toxins or from the brevetoxins in the food web. Due to its extensive adverse impacts on coastal environments and communities, the growth physiology and toxicity of *K. brevis* have been studied for over 60 years. Research on the underlying molecular biology has not been initiated until the last decade, which is enabled by the availability of rapidly evolving molecular technologies from the biomedical field. The processes contributing to the development of *K. brevis* blooms span spatial and temporal scales from the molecular mechanisms within the cell that respond the environmental cues to the oceanographic and even atmospheric processes that drive the local environmental conditions in which *K. brevis* lives. How a bloom is initiated and terminated remains poorly understood, but is crucial for the prediction and management of bloom impacts. However, the integration of the cellular responses with these physical forcings is needed to refine these models. A lack of information on these processes severely limits predictive models as they require a more thorough understanding of the cellular level decisions through which *K. brevis* interacts with its environment.
1.4 POLYETHER COMPOUNDS

Polyether compounds are a subgroup of natural products with regular occurrence of multiple C-O-C motifs. Polyethers are commonly divided into two categories, linear and polycyclic. The latter group is especially interesting because of the structural diversity and biological activities, which include antibiotic, antifungal, and anticancer. The origin of polycyclic polyethers has been studied and the majority of them are derived from polyketide, such as brevetoxins (Figure 3, above), or terpene pathways such as ent-Abudinol B and terurilene. Normally, the polycyclic polyethers can be divided into two groups based on their structural features: the first group features multiple rings that are interconnected by carbon-carbon single bond, and it’s produced by an biosynthetic cascade of exo epoxide-opening reactions; the other group has multiple fused cyclic ethers and are formed by an cascade of endo epoxide opening.

Figure 4. Terpene derived polyethers (left: terurilene; right: ent-Abudinol B)

1.4.1 Ladder polyethers

Ladder polyethers are one type of polyether with ladder-like configuration. The ladder polyethers contain 4 to 32 five- to nine- member fused rings. The first isolated polyether ladder compound is brevetoxin B. Numerous others have been found including maitotoxin, the largest nonpolymer isolated from natural source. Ladder polyethers are
known to be associated with harmful algal blooms, commonly referred to as red tide. Due to the uniform structures, ladder polyethers show various biological activities ranging from extreme toxicity to beneficial anticancer and antifungal character \(^{37}\). Many ladder polyethers and their analogs were found to have the potential to improve human health. For example, brevenal, which is also a secondary metabolite of \(K. \ brevis\), has the potential of treatment of the debilitating lung disorder cystic fibrosis (CF) by effective clearance of mucus from the lungs \(^{38}\). Cystic fibrosis afflicts 30,000 people in the United States. It has been demonstrated that brevenal is one million times more effective than amiloride in enhancing mucus clearance from lungs. However, the supply of this dinoflagellate-derived substance is very limited, which has prevented full exploration of its therapeutic value. Figure 5 shows two ladder polyethers: brevenal and yessotoxin.

Figure 5. Ladder polyethers (top: brevenal; bottom: yessotoxin)
Normally, there are three ways to obtain the natural products: extraction and purification from the producing organism, total synthesis or genetically engineering biosynthetic pathways in a heterologous host. The first source for obtaining the PE ladder is also not practical due to its low yield. Several milligram of brevetoxins may be obtained from several hundred liters of \textit{K. brevis} culture. The total synthesis of many natural products, the other source, is quite challenging and time-consuming. The chemical synthesis of the PE ladder motif is still extremely challenging, though total syntheses of these complex molecules has been accomplished. In 1995, after 12 years of effort, the Nicoloau group completed the first total synthesis of a PE ladder, brevetoxin B\textsuperscript{39}. Brevetoxin A was first synthesized in 1998 also by Nicoloau group, in 34 steps\textsuperscript{40}. Another synthesis of brevenal, a relatively simple polyether ladder, was described as highly efficient, but still the longest linear sequence required no less than 32 synthetic steps\textsuperscript{41}. These compounds were synthesized several times subsequent to the first synthesis\textsuperscript{41b,42}. However, due to the structural complexity, all syntheses required over 30 steps and total yields were less than 5% even when the average yield in each individual step reached up to 90%.

Several biomimetic syntheses of PE ladders via epoxides have been reported. The epoxide ring opening can proceed via both favored, but undesired \textit{exo-tet} cyclization and the disfavored, but desired \textit{endo-tet} cyclization. Therefore, strategies to direct the cyclization have been introduced into the syntheses by incorporating directing groups to favor the \textit{endo-tet} cyclization or to disfavor the \textit{exo-tet}\textsuperscript{43}. More recently, the Jamison group utilized a polyxpoxide cascade to construct more than three rings in only one step; water was used to overcome the inherent \textit{exo-tet} selectivity. But this method needs
templating of the substrate with a pyran ring. Furthermore, the reaction is slow, the yields are poor, and side products were produced.

Figure 6. a) cyclization modes and directing strategies b) Jamison's polyepoxide cascade

1.4.2 Baldwin’s rules

As mentioned above, one of the biggest challenges challenging for the synthesis of PE ladder compounds through the biomimetic routes is that the endo-tet cyclization is disfavored. Baldwin’s rule, first proposed by Jack Baldwin in 1976, provides a set of guidelines ranking the relative favorability of ring closure in alicyclic compounds 44. Relative rates of ring closure of these types of compounds were discussed. These rules are not meant to describe the absolute probability that a reaction will or will not take place; rather they are used in a relative sense. A disfavored reaction does not have a rate that can compete effectively with the other reaction that is favored. However, the disfavored product may still be observed 44. Figure 7 (below) showed a ring closure reaction in the top, and favor or disfavor of the ring closure in the bottom.
1.4.3 Polyether ionophores

Besides ladder polyethers, polyether ionophores are another large group of polyethers. They are lipophilic carboxylic acids containing multiple five- and six-membered cyclic ethers, either as spiroketals or as linked bicyclic ethers. Nigericin and lasalocid are the first members isolated in this family. Not until an X-ray structure of monesin A was disclosed and cation binding abilities of these molecules were first examined, did this family of polyethers start drawing great attention. Over one hundred members in this family have been isolated and characterized, most of which are produced by bacteria of the genus *Streptomyces*. Their ability to control coccidiosis, a devastating poultry disease, and promote growth of ruminant, inspired numbers of scientists to isolate novel ionophore polyethers, make efforts on their total synthesis and study their biosynthesis. Polyether ionophores can exhibit numerous biological effects including antibiotic, anti-obesity and insecticide activity, by disturbing the delicate dynamic equilibrium of cations across the cell membrane. Figure 8 shows two ionophore polyethers tetronomycin and cationmycin.
1.5 POLYKETIDE BIOSYNTHESIS

Brevetoxins are members of the polyketide family of natural products. Polyketides are mostly produced by bacterial, filamentous fungi, plants and algae. Polyketides exhibit high structural diversity and vary widely in pharmacological effects, but they share a common biosynthetic origin \(^4^9\). All polyketides carbon backbone construction is catalyzed by polyketide synthase (PKS) through a pathway which is highly similar to fatty acid biosynthesis. In the polyketide biosynthetic pathway, small units derived from acetate, propionate or butyrate, are condensed into a carbon chain by a PKS. PKSs have been identified as type I (non-iterative), type II (iterative), and type III (chalcone synthase).

Type I PKSs are large multifunctional enzymes that are organized into modules, each of which directs one cycle of chain extension and condensation. Typically, one PKS module should contain the ketosynthase domain (KS) that elongates the chain, the acyl carrier protein (ACP) that provides a thiol binding site where intermediates are added, and the acyl transferase domain (AT) that transfers units from acyl-CoA precursors to the
ACP. Additional domains may exist to modify a β-keto including the keto-reductase (KR), dehydratase (DH), and enoyl reductase (ER). More recent studies have shown that in some type I PKS modules which lack AT domains, the corresponding function is carried out by an iterative AT enzyme^{50}.

![Figure 9. Type I PKS (Shen, 2003)](image)

Type II PKS, carrying a single set of iteratively acting activities, are multienzyme complexes. Four of the domains in type II PKS, KS, AT, KR and DH, are characteristic of known type I PKSs, however, the fifth domain, residing at the C-terminus (terminal domain, TD), is unique to type I PKSs. Type II PKS which lack ACP, utilize acyl CoA directly and catalyze both C-C and C-O bond formation in the biosynthesis.

![Figure 10. Type II PKS (Shen, 2003)](image)

Type III PKSs, known as chalcone synthase-like PKSs, are homodimeric enzymes that are iteratively acting condensing enzymes. Their structure are distinct from Type I
and Type II PKSs. Type III PKSs, which are ACP independent, act directly on the acyl CoA substrate, whereas Type I and II PKSs use acyl carrier protein (ACP) to activate the acyl CoA substrates and to channel the growing polyketide intermediates.

![Diagram of KS enzyme catalyzing the polymerization of a polyketide](image)

Figure 11. Type III PKS (Shen, 2003)

### 1.6 BIOSYNTHESIS OF BREVETOXINS

While the origins of the carbon atoms in the brevetoxins have been determined, the remainder of the polyether ladder pathway has yet to be revealed. The prevailing hypothesis, put forth nearly 30 years ago by Nakanishi, suggests that PKS-mediated synthesis of the polyene is followed by epoxidation to afford a polyepoxide which then undergoes an epoxide-opening cascade, catalyzed by an epoxide hydrolase (EH), to provide the polyether. This hypothesis, which remains unconfirmed, accounts for the oxygen-carbon-carbon (O–C–C) connectivity pattern and *trans-syn* topography in the natural products and is supported by the recent identification of molecular oxygen (O$_2$) as the source of the ether oxygen atoms in the structurally similar PE ladder yessotoxin, produced by the dinoflagellate *Protoceratium reticulatum*. 
1.6.1 Biosynthesis of ionophore polyethers

1.6.1.1 Cane-Celmer-Wesley model (1983)

The fact that the universal building blocks of the polyether carbon skeleton are acetate, propionate and butyrate was well recognized by the early 1980s. Studies on the origins of the oxygens in monensin were then carried by Cane and Wesley. They fed *Streptomyces cinnamonomensis*, monensin-producing bacterium, with $^{18}$O either in carboxylic acid precursors $^{53}$ or in molecular oxygen $^{54}$. Their studies revealed that not all oxygens are derived from the corresponding subunits like acetate, propionate, and butyrate, but also from molecular oxygen. The groups of Cane, Celmer and Wesley then proposed a unified stereochemical model of polyether structure and biogenesis in 1983 $^{55}$. According to their model for the biosynthesis of monensin A, an all-$E$ polyene precursor is first produced from five acetates, seven propionates and one butyrate in a type I PKS manner. Then the polyene is oxidized to the corresponding polyepoxide by oxygen. One hydroxyl group attacks the ketone forming a hemiketal, triggering a cascade of epoxide-opening and ring closure leading to the formation of monensin $^{55}$. This model was then extended to the biosynthesis of all known polyether ionophores.
1.6.1.2 Townsend-Basak model (1991)

Numerous polyether molecules followed Cane-Celmer-Wesley proposal. However, despite significant efforts to do so, the all-E premonensin, the proposed precursor of monensin was never found. Townsend and Basak then proposed an alternate biosynthetic pathway \(^{56}\). In this model, instead of an all-E precursor, it was proposed that monensin may be produced from an all-Z polyene precursor through several oxidative cyclizations involving the action of an iron-containing monooxygenase. This proposal
was supported by synthetic studies \textsuperscript{57} and the work on model systems related to all-Z premonensin by McDonald \textsuperscript{58}.

![Chemical structure of premonensin](image)

Figure 13. Townsend-Basak model

1.6.1.3 Leadlay model (2001)

Another precursor of monensin was proposed by Leadlay in 2001 \textsuperscript{59}. In his proposal, a Z,Z,E-polyene is required en route to monensin \textsuperscript{59}. The biosynthetic gene cluster was sequenced in their group. This is the first polyether gene cluster identified. They found that this polyketide gene cluster contains twelve modules, which are responsible for the incorporation of twelve acyl units of monensin. From the gene cluster, the genes \textit{monBI}, \textit{monBII} and \textit{monCI} were identified \textsuperscript{60}. The products of both \textit{monBI} and
monBII were thought to act as isomerases, supporting their modification of the Cane-Celmer-Wesley model. Deletion of monCl may lead to accumulation of all-E premonensin polyene \(^{61}\), indicating that the product of monCl, a single oxidase enzyme, is required in the production of polypeptide. MonCII showed significant sequence and structural similarity to the EH. However, latter they found that the active site nucleophile in MonCII enzyme is a serine, different from the conserved aspartate residue. They then reassigned monCII as a thioesterase responsible for releasing the product in monensin biosynthesis. Disruption of monBI and monBII genes, which were latter proved to be EHs, resulted in the production of partially cyclized intermediates \(^{62}\), suggesting the epoxide opening and ring closure are catalyzed by the MonB enzymes, rather than by the enzyme MonCII as previous thought.

1.6.1.4 Biosynthesis of lasalocid

More direct evidence of the involvement of an enzyme-catalyzed cascade of epoxide-opening reactions in the biosynthesis of polyether was provided by Oikawa in their study of lasalocid biosynthesis \(^{63}\). They analyzed biosynthetic genes of lasalocid, reavealing high homology of lsd19 to the putative EH genes monBI and monBII. They obtained pure Lsd19 enzyme by cloning and expressing the lsd19 gene in E. coli. A series of enzymatic reactions were carried out by incubating the enzyme and prelasalocid diepoxides in vitro \(^{64}\). The efficient transformation of a proposed substrate bisepoxyprelasalocid and its synthesized analogue suggested Lsd19 is indeed an EH. Leadlay’s in vivo studies on the production of lasalocid in the producing organism and a mutant lacking lsd19 clearly demonstrated that Lsd19 changes the regioselectivity of
polyether ring formation. They found when Lsd19 is not present, the formation of the second ring proceeds the kinetically favored pathway to form isolasalocid, instead of lasalocid. Latter studies on Lsd19 revealed it is composed two subunits, Lsd19A responsible for furan ring formation, and Lsd19B, taking roles in pyran ring formation, which are linked by a short loop and are oriented in a head-to-tail fashion.

Figure 14. Comparison of with or without Lsd19 (left: lasalocid; right: isolasalocid)
1.6.2 Biosynthesis of ladder polyethers

Shortly after the structure of brevetoxin B, the first ladder polyether reported, was determined in the 1980’s, efforts to understand the biosynthesis of these complex molecules began. Stable isotope incorporation experiments using $^{13}$C-labelled acetate revealed the polyketide origins of the brevetoxins, yet some anomalies in the labeling patterns, were also observed $^{51b, 66}$. In the feeding experiment, of the 50 carbons of brevetoxin B, 16 carbons showed enrichment from $[1-^{13}$C] acetate, 30 carbons from $[2-^{13}$C] acetate, and 4 carbons from methyl-methionine. The origins of the carbon atoms in the brevetoxins have been determined, the remainder of the polyether ladder pathway has yet to be revealed. Inspired by the Cane-Celmer-Wesley proposal on monensin biosynthesis, Nakanishi and Shimizu proposed a biosynthetic pathway for brevetoxin which suggests that PKS-mediated synthesis of the polyene is followed by epoxidation to afford a polyepoxide which then undergoes an epoxide-opening cascade, catalyzed by an EH, to provide the polyether (Figure 15) $^{51}$. They believed that the structural and stereochemical similarity among brevetoxins are a direct consequence of their biosynthetic origin. A single oxidase could be sufficient, as all alkenes in the hypothetical polyene precursor would require identical stereoselectivity of epoxidation to produce wither an all-$S$, $S$ or all-$R$, $R$ polyepoxide. As described above, according to Baldwin’s rules $^{44, 62}$, epoxide ring opening reactions favors THF rather than THP, suggesting that the cascades should have to overcome ten separate disfavored epoxide opening reactions.
Although the origins of the carbon atoms in the brevetoxins are confirmed, the subsequent epoxidation and cyclizations are still unrevealed. Labeling experiments with $^{18}\text{O}_2$ revealed that the oxygens in the fused THP diad of okadaic acid are derived from molecular oxygen $^{67}$, suggesting that an epoxide intermediate is involved in the formation of this ladder polyether like motif, which provided support for the proposal.
Giner and Mullins proposed that the ladder polyethers are derived from all-Z polyene precursor. In their proposal, an epoxy ester intermediate undergoes cyclization with the carbonyl as nucleophile, resulting in the formation of an orthoester. Upon the collapse of orthoester, the hydroxyl group attacks the previous electrophilic site of the starting cis epoxide, produces the ring in the ladder and generates an ester for next cyclization reaction. However, this proposal has not been tested.

Recent identification of molecular oxygen (O₂) as the source of the ether oxygen atoms in the structurally similar PE ladder yessotoxin, produced by the dinoflagellate
Protoceratium reticulatum \(^{52}\) provides significant support for Nakanishi’s proposal. This study examined the results of feeding experiment using \(^{18}\)O with either molecular oxygen or \([^{18}\text{O}_2]\) acetate. The analysis of these experiments revealed that the ether oxygens are derived from \(^{18}\text{O}_2\), and the hydroxyl oxygen is from \([^{18}\text{O}_2]\) acetate. These results suggest that a polyene precursor is oxidized by a monooxygenase after acetate condensation, which supports Cane-Celmer-Wesley model, as well as Nakanishi and Shimizu’s hypothesis. In addition, it was suggested the direction of epoxide ring formation was from tail to head (right to left) and a monooxygenase formed predominantly an all \((S, S)\)-polyepoxide intermediate from an \(E\)-polyene intermediate.

Figure 18. Origins of oxygen in yessotoxin

1.7 EPOXIDE HYDROLASE (EH, E.C.3.3.2.3)

Epoxide-containing compounds are very widely found in the environment, and a large variety of aromatic and alkenic compounds are also metabolized to epoxides endogenously \(^{69}\). An epoxide (also known as oxirane), a three-membered cyclic ether, has specific reactivity patterns owing to the highly polarized oxygen-carbon bonds in
addition to a highly strained ring. Most epoxides are moderately reactive, relatively stable at physiological pH, and do not present acute dangers to cells, but they still need to be transformed in a controlled manner. EHs can catalyze epoxide hydrolysis to yield the corresponding 1,2-diol, which is only one of several ways that cells transform epoxides.

1.7.1 Function of EHs

In different organisms, the role of EHs differs greatly. Generally, EHs have three functions: detoxification, catabolism, and regulation of signal molecules. In microorganisms, EHs are important in the catabolism of specific carbon sources from natural sources, like tartaric acid or limonene. However, studies on microbial EHs are mainly for their potential use as chiral catalysts for enzymatic syntheses. In plants, EHs seem important in cuticle formation, responses to stress, and pathogen defense. In several insects, EHs have also been characterized, where the role of the enzyme is mediating developmental chemical, juvenile hormone, and detoxification of many plant chemical defenses. In mammals, there are also many EHs, including soluble EH (sEH), microsomal EH (mEH), and cholesterol EH (chEH), which hydrolyze the 5,6-oxide of cholesterol and other epoxy steroids. In the past 30 years, sEH and mEH have been mostly studied. Although these enzymes were first distinguished by their subcellular localization, they also have distinct and complementary substrate specificity. These two enzymes are very concentrated in liver, but they are found in nearly all tissues. They are thought to complement each other in detoxifying a wide range of mutagenic, toxic and carcinogenic xenobiotic epoxides. However, recent studies found the mEH is involved in xenobiotic metabolism and the onset of several diseases, and the sEH is
involved in the regulation of blood pressure and inflammation. What’s interesting is that inhibition of the sEH seems to be a potential therapeutic treatment for several diseases, including high blood pressure, atherosclerosis, and kidney failure. Understanding of EHs mechanism of action is a prerequisite for development of potent inhibitors. Although the mechanism has been studied since the enzymes were discovered, major breakthroughs were made in the past 20 years because of the availability of recombinant EHs.

1.7.2 Different types of EHs

Three types of EH, which operate through distinct catalytic mechanisms, have been identified. The most studied of these belong to the larger family of hydrolases containing an α, β-fold and are often referred to as α, β-hydrolases. All sEH and mEH in mammals belong to this category. A second type of EH is the leukotriene-A₄ (LTA₄) hydrolase. The LTA₄ hydrolase is highly substrate specific, converting LTA₄ into leukotriene-B₄ (LTB₄). Only two other substrates, the double bond isomers LTA₃ and LTA₅, have been identified. The third EH is the limonene-1, 2-epoxide hydrolase (LEH), which was first identified to hydrolyze limonene 1-2-epoxide to limonene-1,2-diol (Figure 19) and recognized as a new type of EH in 1998.

![Figure 19. LEH hydrolysis](image-url)

Figure 19. LEH hydrolysis
1.7.2.1 α, β-fold EH

Most EHs belong to the α, β-hydrolase fold superfamily of proteins. The α,β-hydrolase fold is widely found in hydrolytic enzymes of differing phylogenetic origin and catalytic function. The core of the enzyme in this family is an α,β-sheet, containing 8 β-sheets connected by 6 α-helices. The enzymes have a catalytic triad, the elements of which are borne on loops, which are very conserved structural features of the fold. However, the catalytic domains of the enzymes do not share obvious sequence similarity, nor do they operate on similar substrates. Nevertheless, they are believed to evolve from a common ancestor due to their structural similarity and preservation of the arrangement of the catalytic residues. Beside EH, this superfamily also includes proteases, lipases, peroxidases, esterases, and dehalogenases.

All known α, β-fold epoxide EHs are characterized by a nucleophile-histidine-acid catalytic triad. The mechanism is two-step involving the formation of a covalent intermediate. Before the two-step mechanism was described, the generally accepted mechanism was a general-base-catalyzed direct attack of water on the epoxide ring. The triad of these enzymes can be described as nucleophile-histidine-acid. Two conserved tyrosine residues and a conserved Asp-His-Asp catalytic triad make up the active site. The tyrosines are believed to serve as general acids for activation of the epoxide by forming two hydrogen bonds with the oxygen. The carboxylate group of one of the Asp residues is activated by the His and other Asp in the active site and is the nucleophile that attacks the epoxide, typically at the least substituted carbon. In a second step a water molecule, activated by the His-Asp charge relay, cleaves the ester that is formed, liberating the diol and regenerating the enzyme as shown in Figure 20.
1.7.2.2 Leukotriene-A4 (LTA₄) hydrolase

Leukotrienes, first discovered in leukocytes, are a class of lipid mediators involved in inflammatory and allergic reactions. 5-Lipoxygenase converts arachidonic acid into epoxide intermediate LTA₄, which is then hydrolyzed into the proinflammatory lipid mediator leukotriene B₄ (LTB₄) by LTA₄ hydrolase. LTA₄ hydrolase (EC 3.3.2.6) is a bifunctional zinc metalloenzyme, besides EH activity, it also exhibits an anion dependent aminopeptidase activity. It is commonly believed that peptidase activity is involved in the bioactive peptides related to inflammation.⁷⁸

The LTA₄ hydrolase is highly substrate specific, converting LTA₄ into leukotriene-B₄ (LTB₄). Only two other substrates, the double bond isomers leukotriene A₃ (LTA₃) and leukotriene A₃ (LTA₃), have been identified.
In this enzyme's active site two histidines and a glutamate coordinate a Zn$^{2+}$ ion, which in turn coordinates the epoxide oxygen. Upon activation of the 5, 6-epoxide, an intermediate carbocation, whose charge is delocalized over a conjugated triene system, is quenched at C-12 by a water molecule which is activated and delivered by a conserved Asp residue, to produce a 5, 12-diol rather than a 1, 2-diol. The active site has two domains with structures very different from those of $\alpha,\beta$-hydrolase. A covalent adduct between the enzyme and substrate is not formed in this process making it distinct from the $\alpha,\beta$-hydrolase type.
1.7.2.3 Limonene EH

Limonene EH (LEH) was first found to be involved in the limonene degradation pathway in *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, in which it allows the bacterium to grow on limonene as carbon source. LEHs do not exhibit any sequence similarity to the α,β-hydrolases. LEH can hydrolyze limonene-1,2-epoxide to produce limonene-1,2-diol. In
both structure and mechanism, LEHs are different from $\alpha,\beta$-hydrolase fold EHs. Structurally, LEH is much smaller, and does not contain any highly conserved motifs of the catalytic triads found in $\alpha,\beta$-hydrolase fold EHs and exhibits a novel active site structure in the LEH crystal structure $^{84}$. LEH contains a curved $\beta$-sheet with three $\alpha$-helices on top. Five charged residues constitute the active site.

In LEH, the epoxide is activated by hydrogen bonds to an Asp residue. However, as in the case of LTA$_4$ hydrolase, a water molecule is activated and delivered by Asn, Asp and Tyr residues in a one-step mechanism and a covalent intermediate is not formed $^{84}$. Figure 23 shows the mechanism of LEH.

![Figure 23. Mechanism of LEH](image)

All EHs which are confirmed to be involved in the biosynthesis of non-ladder type polyethers are of the LEH type. Genes coding for LEHs have been identified in the biosynthetic gene clusters for the bacterial polyethers monenesin $^{60}$, lasalocid $^{65}$, nanchangmycin $^{85}$, tetronomycin $^{86}$ and nigericin $^{87}$. In the case of monensin $^{62,88}$ and lasalocid $^{63,89}$, these EHs encoding genes have been expressed and the EH activity of the enzymes confirmed.
2. SEARCH FOR EHS

2.1 INTRODUCTION

As described previously, EHs are believed to be able to cyclize polyepoxides into polyethers. EHs from *Karenia brevis* were proposed to catalyze a kinetically disfavored *endo-tet* cyclization to produce brevetoxins from polyene precursors. There are three types of EHs: α,β-fold EHs, limonene EHs, and leukotriene-A₄-hydrolase. Most known EHs belong to α,β-fold class of EHs.

Objectives

- Find evidence to support the hypothesis that EH from polyether ladder producing dinoflagellates will catalyze the construction of the polyether ladder framework from polyepoxide substrates
- Study the role of EH in the biosynthesis of polyether ladder compounds, and
- Evaluate the potential of EH in semi-enzyme synthesis.

To achieve these objectives, it is necessary to identify and produce one or more EH from dinoflagellates. In this chapter, different methods were described to search for various classes of EHs in *K. brevis*. 
2.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.2.1 Screening cell free extracts (CFE)

2.2.1.1 EH detection in *Karenia brevis*

Prior to attempting to obtain an EH from *K. brevis*, it is crucial to prove that EH(s) exist in the organism. Detection of EH activity from *K. brevis* utilized a sensitive fluorescent assay as shown below in Figure 24. The substrate 2 was prepared from transtyrylacetic acid and 7-methoxy-2-napthaldehyde.\(^\text{90}\).

![Figure 24. Synthesis of fluorescent probe 1](image)

Typically, an EH will catalyze epoxide ring opening to yield the corresponding 1,2-diol. An EH catalyzed hydrolysis of the epoxide substrate, compound 2 produces an intermediate diol which lactonizes to release the fluorescent reporter 3 after hydrolysis of the intermediate cyanohydrin as shown in Figure 25.
Cell free extracts (CFE) of *K. brevis* were prepared and evaluated for EH activity. The protein concentration of *K. brevis* CFE was adjusted to 0.25 ~0.5 mg/mL based on the Bradford protein assay. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase activity was evaluated to insure the CFE has retained sufficient enzymatic activity. The concentration of fluorescent reporter was calculated for each reading according to a standard curve of the reporter. The result (Figure 26) showed that the *K. brevis* cell free extracts have significant EH activity.
2.2.1.2 Detection of esterase activity

Esterase, which hydrolyzes an ester into a carboxylic acid and an alcohol, can also catalyze the hydrolysis of the fluorescent probe 2 and produce the fluorescent report 3 (Figure 27).

![Figure 27. Esterase catalyzed hydrolysis yields the same fluorescent reporter as EH](image)

To confirm the activity observed in the *K. brevis* CFE is due to EH activity not esterase activity, the precursor of the fluorescent probe 1 without the epoxide group was used as the substrate in the assay. An observation of significant difference (~2 fold in rate of formation of fluorescent reporter 3) in EH activity vs esterase activity suggested the *K. brevis* CFE did have EH activity, and that the difference between total activity using the EH probe and esterase activity reflected EH activity alone.
2.2.1.3 Assays with EH inhibitors

The EH activity of *K. brevis* CFE was examined in the presence of known inhibitors of two of the three classes of EH: adamantly urea 4, inhibitor of mouse (K_i of 0.33 μM) and human (K_i of 6.2 μM) α,β-hydrolase type 91 and two inhibitors of the *Rhodococcus erythropolis* LEH: hexylamine 5 (K_i of 35 μM) and valpromide 6 (K_i of 100 μM) as shown in Figure 29 84. Due to the substrate specificity and unique mechanism of the LTA_4 hydrolase, it is unlikely that our probe would detect such activity.

![EH inhibitors](image)

**Figure 29. Structure of EH inhibitors**

The inhibitor of α,β-hydrolase type EH, adamantyl urea 4 was synthesized from N-(1-adamantyl) urea 90, as shown in Figure 30.
The total protein concentration of *K. brevis* CFE was adjusted to 0.25 mg/mL. The fluorescent probe 2 (100 μM) with an equavalent concentration of inhibitors was incubated with *K. brevis* CFE.
To insure the inhibition observed in the EH assay with adamantyl urea was a result of the inhibition of EH rather than esterase, and the sensitivity of the esterase assay to adamantyl urea was examined using the alkene 1. No inhibition of esterase activity was observed under same condition (Figure 32).

Among the three EH inhibitors, only adamantyl urea 4 inhibited the total activity in the *K. brevis* CFE (Figure 31 top) when using the fluorescent probe 2 as the substrate.
These data suggested that the observed EH activity in *K. brevis* CFE can be attributed, at least in part, to an EHs of the α,β-hydrolase type.

2.2.2 EH from cDNA library

After detection of EH activity and inhibition with the known EH inhibitor adamantyl urea 4, efforts were made to identify EHs in *K. brevis*. A *K. brevis* cDNA library served as a resource to search for EHs.

2.2.2.1 Screening from high salt condition

An EH cDNA was isolated from the plant *Solanum commersonii* cDNA library by plating the cDNA library under high salt conditions (0.8M NaCl) 92. Of the 10^6 bacterial cells plated, only thirteen colonies grew, yielding seven genes related to stress response including a soluble EH. The authors speculated that the EH was able to hydrolyze reactive epoxides produced during oxidative stress induced oxidative burst. The same strategy was used for searching EHs from a *K. brevis* cDNA library.

A cDNA library from *K. brevis* poly (A)⁺ RNA was constructed in a λ ZAP Express vector 93. The phagemid was excised and host cells, *E. coli* strain, SOLR were infected. The cDNA library was plated on high salt plates (2YT agar with 50 ug/mL ampicillin, 1mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) and 0.8 M NaCl). One hundred sixty-six colonies (~ 2/3 of what grew) were picked and streaked on the same media. Seventy-eight out of 166 grew and were inoculated into LB broth. The plasmids were extracted (Qiagen) and sent to Eurofins Genomics for sequencing using T3 primer.
tBLASTx analysis was performed for all the sequences returned from Eurofins Genomics against the non-redundant protein database of NCBI. Some showed very high homology to known genes, such as that of the chloroplast light harvesting protein. However, many sequences did not share significant similarity with any known genes. Table 1 showed all the sequences with an $E$ value lower than $1e^{-4}$ to some known sequence. Of forty-six sequence with an $E$ value lower than $1e^{-4}$ to NCBI database, eight sequences showed homology to complete genome of some organisms, which lead to failure to identify the gene. For those assigned sequences, unfortunately, no EH-like genes were obtained. The survival strategies in high salt conditions for those recombinant cells are not known, but it’s very interesting to find these genes are of high salt stress tolerance.

Table 1. High salt screening results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicated protein</th>
<th>Accession No. of most similar known sequence</th>
<th>$E$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>XM_002291564.1</td>
<td>9e-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone-like protein</td>
<td>AF482694.1</td>
<td>6e-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light harvesting protein</td>
<td>EF134091.1</td>
<td>3e-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine S-adenosyl transferase</td>
<td>AB461927.1</td>
<td>7e-131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAP fibrillin family protein</td>
<td>XM_002293371.1</td>
<td>9e-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA binding protein</td>
<td>EF134110.1</td>
<td>3e-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-acetylglucosamine-6-</td>
<td>XM_001568585.1</td>
<td>3e-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein Description</td>
<td>Accession</td>
<td>E-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphate deacetylase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidase-like protein</td>
<td>XM_002683903.1</td>
<td>9e-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>CP001964.1</td>
<td>5e-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbamoyltransferase family protein</td>
<td>XM_001315691.1</td>
<td>5e-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRP superfamily protein</td>
<td>XM_001637522.1</td>
<td>1e-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown (basic nuclear protein)</td>
<td>EF134106.1</td>
<td>1e-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plectin-like protein</td>
<td>XM_002611742.1</td>
<td>3e-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase</td>
<td>XM_690776.2</td>
<td>1e-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>XM_001737438.1</td>
<td>2e-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amidase</td>
<td>CP000828.1</td>
<td>8e-158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>GU554554.1</td>
<td>9e-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light harvesting protein</td>
<td>DQ118623.1</td>
<td>6e-140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light harvesting protein</td>
<td>DQ118619.1</td>
<td>6e-122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR Domain containing protein</td>
<td>XM_001640397.1</td>
<td>2e-127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-transporter ABC protein</td>
<td>XM_003080218.1</td>
<td>3e-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphoadenosine-phosphosulphate reductase</td>
<td>XM_002293751.1</td>
<td>2e-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenesin</td>
<td>XM_002677784.1</td>
<td>4e-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light harvesting protein</td>
<td>DQ118623.1</td>
<td>4e-129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-adenosylmethionine synthetase</td>
<td>DQ865292.1</td>
<td>1e-43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Accession Number</td>
<td>E-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>GU554554.1</td>
<td>4e-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET domain-containing protein</td>
<td>XM_002952571.1</td>
<td>5e-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride channel protein</td>
<td>XM_002772754.1</td>
<td>2e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomal RNA protein</td>
<td>DQ779991.1</td>
<td>2e-131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoglycerate mutase</td>
<td>XM_002677423.1</td>
<td>3e-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRE-GRSP-2 protein</td>
<td>CP000251.1</td>
<td>2e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>XM_002783593.1</td>
<td>1e-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinesin-like calmodulin binding protein</td>
<td>XM_002263113.1</td>
<td>8e-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD40-repeat-containing protein</td>
<td>CP002013.1</td>
<td>4e-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubiquitin-like protein</td>
<td>EU153190.1</td>
<td>5e-85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thioredoxin/protein disulfide isomerase</td>
<td>GU555692.1</td>
<td>5e-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>AC140319.3</td>
<td>5e-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxylase</td>
<td>XM_002026350.1</td>
<td>5e-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyltransferase or transcriptional regulatory protein</td>
<td>YP_003265741.1</td>
<td>3e-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosylhomocysteinase</td>
<td>EU742862.1</td>
<td>1e-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure specific recognition protein</td>
<td>HP446650.1</td>
<td>1e-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>GU554259.1</td>
<td>5e-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR repeat-containing protein</td>
<td>XM_002140365.1</td>
<td>2e-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SGNH-hydrolase superfamily protein (lipase or esterase) EU449488.1 4e-4
β-lactamase GQ168544.1 1e-13
Nitrate transporter protein HM147134.1 3e-35

2.2.2.2 Screening from toxic chemicals

Another strategy used to screen the *K. brevis* cDNA library was to grow the *E. coli* in the presence of antibacterial compounds, which can be hydrolyzed by an EH. The same *K. brevis* cDNA library described above were plated on a M9 minimal media plate containing 0.2% glycidyl butyrate (Figure 33). After incubating overnight, only 12 colonies grew. The 12 colonies were then streaked on to LB plates to obtain single colonies. Plasmids were extracted through mini-prep and were sent to Eurofins Genomics for sequencing. After tBLASTx analysis, unfortunately, no EH-related genes were found. Table 2 showed all the sequences with an E value lower than 1e-4 to some known sequences. Four sequences were found to have an E value lower than 1e-4 to the sequences in NCBI database. Only one sequence was able to identify as histone-like protein, the other three were unable to assign because they were similar either to a whole genome or cDNA of some organisms in NCBI database.

![Figure 33. Structure of antibacterial compound, glycidyl butyrate](image-url)
Table 2. Screening using glycidyl butyrate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicated protein</th>
<th>Accession No. of most similar known sequence</th>
<th>( E )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>AC103411.8</td>
<td>6e-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>GU555355.1</td>
<td>4e-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone-like protein</td>
<td>AF482694.1</td>
<td>4e-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>CU367609.1</td>
<td>2e-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.3 Searching Expressed Sequence Tag (EST) library

EST is a short sub-sequence of a cDNA sequence. EST libraries are usually used to identify gene transcripts. Sequences from a \( K. \ brevis \) EST library consisting of 65,000 ESTs (22,000 contigs) has been published by The Marine Genomics Project \(^93\). Data analysis was made to search for the three types of EHs within this library.

2.2.3.1 Searching for \( \alpha, \beta \)-hydrolase EH

tBLASTn analysis of the \( K. \ brevis \) EST library against a variety of prokaryotic and eukaryotic EHs of the \( \alpha, \beta \)-hydrolase type revealed a transcript (MGID2034061) with significant homologies having Expect values ranging from \( 10^{-126} \) to \( 10^{-103} \). The identified EST coded for a 393 amino acid protein with a calculated molecular weight of 44.6 kD. BLASTp analysis of this protein against the NCBI non-redundant protein database returned a putative EH with Expect value of \( 10^{-126} \), from the polar, eukaryotic microalga \( Coccomyxa subellipsoidea \) \(^95\) as the closest match. Table 3 shows the alignment of the translated protein with the \( \alpha, \beta \)-hydrolase type EH from \( Aspergillus niger \) (NCBI
Accession Number: CAB 49813.1 \(^{96}\). The two conserved catalytic tyrosine residues can be identified in the translated protein. However, the Asp-His-Asp catalytic triad is replaced with Asp-His-Glu, which suggests the newly found EH was a microsomal EH (mEH) as all known mEHs except the EH from \textit{Aspergillus niger} have a glutamic acid in their catalytic triad \(^{96-97}\).

Table 3. Alignment of putative \textit{K. brevis} EH with \textit{Aspergillus niger} EH. Catalytic residues are highlighted in red.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K. brevis EH</th>
<th>Aspergillus niger EH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K. brevis EH</td>
<td>Aspergillus niger EH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. brevis EH</td>
<td>Aspergillus niger EH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. brevis EH</td>
<td>Aspergillus niger EH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.3.2 Searching for limonene EH

tBLASTn \(^{98}\) analysis of a \textit{K. brevis} EST library consisting of 22,000 predicted transcripts \(^{93}\) against \textit{Rhodococcus erythropolis} LEH and nine other known or putative LEHs which are associated with biosynthetic gene clusters of bacterial polyethers: monenesin (MonB1 and MonB2), lasalocid (Lsd19A and Lsd19B), nanchangmycin (NanIA and NanIB), tetronomycin (TmnB), nigericin (NigB1 and NigB3) was
performed. All failed to return any significant homologies with the smallest Expect values on the order of $10^{-5}$.

Even though data mining of EST library did not give any limonene EH, it still cannot exclude the possibility of limonene EH existing in *K. brevis*. Since many EHs have been identified, one possible way to search new EH from *K. brevis* based on the conserved region on the known EHs. The sequences including MonB1, MonB2, Lsd19A, Lsd19B, NanIA, NanIB, TmnB, NigB1 and NigB3 can be obtained from NCBI. Alignment of these known limonene EHs, revealed two conserved regions, ‘EDPV’ and ‘GD(E)VD(E)’. Degenerate primers were designed based on these common residue sequences. No conserved region was found near 3’ end, an oligo dT primer was used as *K. brevis* is eukaryotic, with polyadenylated mature mRNA.

### Table 4. Alignment of some known limonene EH involved in biosynthesis of polyether

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MonBII</th>
<th>NigB1</th>
<th>NanIA</th>
<th>Lsd19B</th>
<th>TmnB</th>
<th>Lsd19A</th>
<th>MonBI</th>
<th>NigBIII</th>
<th>NanIB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...MKRKAHISRNMA</td>
<td>...KLDGVLIDVAI...</td>
<td>...KLDGVLIDVAI...</td>
<td>...KLDGVLIDVAI...</td>
<td>...KLDGVLIDVAI...</td>
<td>...KLDGVLIDVAI...</td>
<td>...KLDGVLIDVAI...</td>
<td>...KLDGVLIDVAI...</td>
<td>...KLDGVLIDVAI...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-MNKRAHISRNMA</td>
<td>MIDERSRKKL...</td>
<td>MLNERTK...</td>
<td>-PDERRK...</td>
<td>MLDEDARK...</td>
<td>MPDEAQ...</td>
<td>MPDEAARK...</td>
<td>MADEATL...</td>
<td>DPDEAAL...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MonBII</td>
<td>-MNKRAHISRNMA</td>
<td>MIDERSRKKL...</td>
<td>MLNERTK...</td>
<td>-PDERRK...</td>
<td>MLDEDARK...</td>
<td>MPDEAQ...</td>
<td>MPDEAARK...</td>
<td>MADEATL...</td>
<td>DPDEAAL...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NigB1</td>
<td>MIDERSRKKL...</td>
<td>MLNERTK...</td>
<td>-PDERRK...</td>
<td>MLDEDARK...</td>
<td>MPDEAQ...</td>
<td>MPDEAARK...</td>
<td>MADEATL...</td>
<td>DPDEAAL...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NanIA</td>
<td>MLNERTK...</td>
<td>-PDERRK...</td>
<td>MLDEDARK...</td>
<td>MPDEAQ...</td>
<td>MADEATL...</td>
<td>DPDEAAL...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lsd19B</td>
<td>MLNERTK...</td>
<td>-PDERRK...</td>
<td>MLDEDARK...</td>
<td>MPDEAQ...</td>
<td>MADEATL...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TmnB</td>
<td>MLNERTK...</td>
<td>-PDERRK...</td>
<td>MLDEDARK...</td>
<td>MPDEAQ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lsd19A</td>
<td>MLNERTK...</td>
<td>-PDERRK...</td>
<td>MLDEDARK...</td>
<td>MPDEAQ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MonBI</td>
<td>MLNERTK...</td>
<td>-PDERRK...</td>
<td>MLDEDARK...</td>
<td>MPDEAQ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NigBIII</td>
<td>MLNERTK...</td>
<td>-PDERRK...</td>
<td>MLDEDARK...</td>
<td>MPDEAQ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NanIB</td>
<td>MLNERTK...</td>
<td>-PDERRK...</td>
<td>MLDEDARK...</td>
<td>MPDEAQ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total RNA was isolated from *K. brevis*. cDNA was obtained by using AMV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen), *K. brevis* RNA as template and oligo dT as the primer.
for the first strand cDNA synthesis followed by second strands synthesis using *taq* DNA polymerase. Degenerate primers were designed to amplify EH by PCR using the double strands cDNA as template. PCR conditions were varied through adjusting the annealing temperature and Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration. Gradient and touchdown protocols were also attempted for PCR. Unfortunately, with different conditions of PCR, products observable by gel electrophoresis were obtained infrequently, and all products were much smaller than expected size (300 ~500 bp). The products were sent for sequencing and all the products turned out to be some unknown sequences without any homology to any other sequences.

Representatives of the limonene type of EH were not identified in either the library search or by PCR amplification, which, while unfortunate, is consistent with inhibition assays performed on the *K. brevis* CFE. The involvement of limonene EHs in the biosynthesis of bacterial polyethers has been firmly established and it has long been believed that the dinoflagellate derived polyether ladders share a common biogenic origin with bacterial polyethers including the involvement of one or more EHs.

2.2.2.3 Searching for leukotriene-A\(_4\) (LTA\(_4\)) hydrolase

*tBLASTn* analysis against *Emeliania huxleii* LTA\(_4\) hydrolase (NCBI Accession number: XP_005787867) returned a single transcript having an Expect value smaller than 10\(^{-5}\) (3 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\)). However, BLASTx analysis of the single transcript did not return significant homologies to any other LTA\(_4\) hydrolase.
2.2.3.4 Additional library searches

Subsequent to these studies, three *K. brevis* reference transcriptome libraries containing 86,580 (Wilson strain), 93,668 (strain SP1) and 84,309 (strain SP3) predicted transcripts became available

100. tBLASTn analysis of these transcriptome libraries against the 10 LEHs and the *Emeliana huxleii* LTA$_4$ hydrolase were performed. Against the LEHs, a single transcript from the SP1 transcriptome returned an Expect value of less than $10^{-5}$ ($4 \times 10^{-6}$) against NanIB. However, BLASTx analysis against the NCBI non-redundant protein database did not return any significant homologies ($10^{-6}$ or less).

Against the *Emeliana huxleii* LTA$_4$ hydrolase, six transcripts from each library with expect values ranging from $10^{-12}$ to $10^{-14}$ were identified. All 18 sequences are BLASTed against the database in NCBI, revealing the three transcripts with the lowest E value showed very high homology with known LTA$_4$ hydrolase. These three sequences are from three libraries respectively and alignment results showed they are identical sequences. The remaining sequences were suggested to be aminopeptidase, which is not surprising because the query protein LTA$_4$ hydrolase is a bifunctional protein, the second function of which is aminopeptidase

101.

The cDNA sequence of the $\alpha,\beta$-EH which was found in Marine Genomics *K. brevis* EST library was used as a query for a nucleotide BLAST analysis of the three reference transcriptomes. Each of these transcriptome libraries returned identical sequences (Locus 28231 from SP1; Locus 35217 from SP3; Locus 51025 from Wilson) each having Expect values ranging from $10^{-79}$ to $10^{-84}$. Additionally, a single transcript which was identical to the previously found EH was identified in the SP3 library (SP3 Locus 73930). When the newly identified sequence (Locus 28231 from SP1) was used as
a query to search the Marine Genomics EST library, a single 50 bp sequence was
returned which exactly matched the query. The alignment of these two α,β-EHs is shown
in Table 5.

Table 5. Alignment of two *K. brevis* mEHs. Catalytic residues are highlighted

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH1</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH2</td>
<td>MLKIAGGICCIIFVAFLSALPIIDKLFIPTPRRESPALRRESETGWGEPMDSIQFYKI</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH1</td>
<td>TVFDQQLDLLKLYRISATR-WPDQQLDSFPANANNEYGETTYLKLAEYWRFTFSWRKQEEL</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH2</td>
<td>AYDLKHLTDLRRLRSSRPSWSDTVPHASKNNSYGVNPKYMQLSLSRDTYNWRSEYQK</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH1</td>
<td>LNAMPQPTAKLINGTVEHFVHRQCLSSPTAPALLIICGWPSTFEPHKLVGPAEN---PH</td>
<td>127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH2</td>
<td>INSLGLKKSTIDGRLVFEVNGKQ---KAIIILHGWPSTHEFSKVLKINASTQYES</td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH1</td>
<td>VVAPSIPGVGFSEPRAKRPAGAELPHALMLELGQYQEVFGGKSFSVITQCIGKLF</td>
<td>187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH2</td>
<td>IVCPSLPGFAPSSAPTEQYSSVEMALTLLRMAXKYRTFVQGYGGSVYVARAVAYD</td>
<td>237</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH1</td>
<td>PKQCKAIHHMTAPRPRKD--FDMSKLNPFAEVEG--LARRMFNTYG---TQCIGKSF</td>
<td>238</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH2</td>
<td>PVSVIGLHLHFFVLPFGTYPVVFPDFKWAFFDENSARAKKLDDAVANLPFGITC</td>
<td>297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH1</td>
<td>TKFQTLSYGLNDSPIGLLAWMTEKFRDWSDCNGTLEAFTQDEILTNAMIYVSQGSS</td>
<td>298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH2</td>
<td>TMPETLGFALSDPSAGLAAMILEKFGWSDPPDRIEDKFRDDELLTNLY DilTNTTS</td>
<td>357</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH1</td>
<td>MRFYENIAVMPGTSKEMIELAESVKSVPTAVAMFKFHPFCRAWCEQAYN-LQQYTR</td>
<td>357</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH2</td>
<td>MRMDKEVSLEA-----ISVLYLPVTVPVGLPSFFLYSLPPDVTIKHFTQIVPTKH</td>
<td>411</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH1</td>
<td>EAGGFAALEKPEELVOKIDKKFLRLDDLKNQGSR</td>
<td>393</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. brevis</em> EH2</td>
<td>KQGGFAALEKPEAFADLLALAFKLPKSVGQDTN</td>
<td>447</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.3.5 Analysis of identified EHs

As described previously, most known EHs are $\alpha,\beta$-fold EHs. In mammals, there are two types of $\alpha,\beta$-fold EHs: soluble (or cytosolic) EH (sEH) and microsomal EH (mEH). The mEHs are believed to be involved in the metabolism of xenobiotics whereas the sEHs are thought to be involved in the metabolism of fatty acids $^{69}$. However, only mammalian genomes encode both sEH and mEH whereas yeast, fungi, insects and plants encode only one type and the role of the absent EH type may be assumed by the other. These two types of EHs were first distinguished by their subcellular localization, but they were found to have distinct and complementary substrate specificity. Generally, sEH seems to prefer $\text{trans}$-$\text{di}$-, tri- and tetra- substituted epoxides, whereas mEH prefer mono- and $\text{cis}$-$\text{di}$- substituted epoxides $^{75}$. All the known mEH except that from *Aspergillus niger* have the catalytic triad Asp-His-Glu, whereas most sEH have an Asp-His-Asp instead. The mutation of glutamic acid in the rat mEH to aspartic acid increased the enzyme activity by more than an order or magnitude $^{102}$. Mutation of aspartic acid in the *Aspergillus niger* EH to glutamic acid led to an activity reduction by half $^{97}$. The mechanisms of these two enzymes are the identical, except difference in the aspartic acid and glutamic acid. Both of the two found EHs in *K. brevis* have glutamic acid rather than aspartic acid, suggesting they are mEHs.

Besides the catalytic triad, a highly variable N-terminal domain is another way to distinguish sEH and mEH. Both of the $\alpha,\beta$ fold type EHs identified in *K. brevis* belong to the mEH class. The N-terminal domains of mEHs are characterized by a microsomal domain which may or may not be preceded by an N-terminal membrane anchor. The membrane anchor is found in mammalian and insect mEHs, but not in EHs from yeast,
fungi or bacteria and is also absent in the two *K. brevis* mEHs. EHs may be further categorized according to the length of two loops: the NC-loop which links the N-terminal catalytic domain and the cap domain (the cap domain contains the two catalytic Tyr) and the cap-loop, which links two α-helices (α6 and α7) within the cap domain. The length of these loops may be determined from the pair wise alignment with the EH from *A. niger* (also an mEH) or by inspection of a homology model constructed using the *A. niger* EH crystal structure as template\(^\text{103}\). In *A. niger* the NC loop is located from Leu215 to Leu249 (35 residues) whereas the cap-loop is located from Trp284 to Ser291 (8 residues). The lengths of the NC loops of the *K. brevis* EHs are 36 and 43 residues whereas both cap-loops are 14 residues. mEHs are characterized by having long to very long NC-loops (33 or more residues) and short to medium cap-loops (8-36). The *K. brevis* NC-loops and cap-loops would be considered very long and medium in length, respectively. The length of these domains is believed to govern the substrate selectivity of the EH and this combination of long/med NC-loop/cap-loop would suggest selectivity for aromatic epoxides.

### 2.3 MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 2.3.1 Material

Substrate 2 was prepared from *trans*-styrylacetic acid and 7-methoxy-2-napthaldehyde, as previously described\(^\text{90}\). Chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). *K. brevis* culture (Wilson strains) were obtained from Mote Marine Laboratory (Sarasota, Florida) and maintained in L1-Si medium, with the exception that the NH 15 vitamin supplement\(^\text{104}\) replaced the L-1 supplement, in a
growth chamber at 20ºC. Growth was monitored by counting a 1:10 dilution of culture in Z-pak reagent using a Beckman Z-series Coulter Counter with aperture size between 10~30 μm according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Coomassie Plus Protein Assay Reagent for Bradford assay was purchased from Pierce (Grand Island, NY). Absorbance and fluorescence were read on a Synergy™ 2, micro-plate reader from Bioteck Instrument, Inc (Winooski, VT). Reagents for the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase assay were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Reagents for RNA isolation were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. 2YT agar for growing recombinant E. coli was purchased from Becton Dickinson (Franklin Lakes, NJ). Plasmid extraction kit (QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit) was purchased from Qiagen (Valencia, CA). Sequencing was performed by Eurofins Genomics (Huntsville, AL).

2.3.2 Methods

Prep of K. brevis cell free extract (CFE)

*K. brevis* culture (200 mL) was concentrated by centrifugation (5 min at 450 x g) and the supernatant discarded. The cells were resuspended in phosphate buffer (800 μL, 50 mM pH 7.0) and vortexed for 1 min. This suspension was centrifuged (14,000 x g for 10 min) and the pellet was discarded. Homogenates were analyzed for protein concentration and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase activity as described below. Typical protein concentrations ranged from (0.25 to 0.5 mg/mL).

Bradford assay
Protein concentration was determined by Bradford assay. Five concentrations of (Bovin serum albumin) BSA (0 mg/mL, 0.5 mg/mL, 0.25 mg/mL, 0.0625 mg/mL) in phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0) were prepared as standards. Commassie Protein Assay Reagent (250 µL) was added to microplate wells and 20 µL of standard or unknown sample were added. Absorbance was measured at 595 nm (Synergy™ 2, Bioteck Instrument, Inc.) after incubation for 5 min at room temperature. Each protein solution was assayed in duplicate; error bars are smaller than data points.

![Protein assay graph](image)

Figure 34. Standard curve for protein assay

Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) activity

A glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase assay was performed as positive control prior to the EH assay. *K. brevis* homogenate (150 µl) was mixed with assay buffer (50 µl, 31 mM phosphate buffer, 7.5 mM glucose-6-phosphate, 2.5 mM NADP, 38 mM MgCl$_2$). Absorbance at 340 nm was determined (Synergy™ 2, Bioteck Instrument, Inc.) after incubation for 1 hour at room temperature. Boiled homogenate were also tested; typical
activity of homogenate is more than twice that of boiled homogenate. Each sample was assayed in triplicate.

![Figure 35. A typical G6PD assay](image)

**G6DP assay**

EH/esterase assay

Fluorescent probe 1/2 (2 µL, 10 mM in DMSO, final concentration100 µM) was added to *K. brevis* CFE (198 µL) in duplicate. Fluorescence was monitored at 330 nm EX/ 465 nm EM (Synergy™ 2, Bioteck Instrument, Inc.) every 5 minutes at 30°C. For each data point, n = 2; the range is within the data point. The concentration of reporter 3 was calculated from a calibration curve prepared by measuring the fluorescence of 7-methoxy-2-naphthaldehyde (reporter 3) (0, 3.1, 12, 25, 50 µM in phosphate buffer (50 mM phosphate, pH 7.0). Each reporter 3 solution was assayed in duplicate; error bars are smaller than data points.
RNA isolation

Once EH activity is confirmed in *K. brevis* CFE, total RNA is extracted from *K. brevis* as follows: *K. brevis* culture (100 mL) was centrifuged (450 x g for 5 min) at 20° C. The pellet was resuspended in water (100 µL). Denaturing solution (600 µL, 5 M guanidium thiocyanate, 50 mM Tris pH 8, 25 mM sodium citrate, 0.5% w/v Sarkosyl, 2% PEG, 0.1 M DTT) was added. After standing at room temperature for 10 minutes, NaOAc (60 µL, 3 M, pH 5.2) was added and the mixture was vortexed. An equal volume of phenol: chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (125:24:1, pH 4.3), was added and the mixture centrifuged at (14,000 x g for 15 minutes) at 4°C. The top (aqueous) phase was transferred to a new tube followed by the addition of equal volume chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1) and the mixture was vortexed. After centrifugation at (14,000 x g for 15 minutes) at 4°C, the top (aqueous) phase was transferred to new tube which contains equal volume of ice cold EtOH. RNA was allowed to precipitate at -20 degrees overnight. The mixture was centrifuged at 4° C, for (30 minutes at 14,000 x g) and the pellet was
washed with cold 70% EtOH (700 µL). After air-drying, the pellet was reconstituted in water (50 µL). Total RNA concentration was quantitated using the Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific)

PCR reactions

Degenerate primers were designed based on the conserved region mentioned previously. Two forward primers (GTATATTGYNCARGGNGGNGAYTGG) and (TACCNWSNHTNCCNGGNTAYGGNT) were paired with oligo dT primer respectively. The PCR reaction components were mixed according to the Taq DNA polymerase manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration of each component in PCR are as following: dNTP (200 µM), reaction buffer (1x), primers (0.05-1 µM for both forward and reverse), template cDNA (< 1,000 ng), Taq DNA polymerase (1.25 unit/50 µL PCR). Typical reactions were carried out by using the following steps: initial denaturation at 94 ºC for 2 minutes followed by 35 cycles of 94 ºC for 15 seconds (denaturation), 65 ºC for 30 seconds (annealing), and 68 ºC for 30 seconds (extension). Gradient annealing temperatures (65, 63, 61, 59, 57, 55, 53, 51 ºC) were used to find optimize condition. A touchdown reaction with annealing temperature decrease from 65 to 51 ºC in first eight cycles was also tested.
3. CLONING, EXPRESSION AND ANALYSIS OF EH

3.1 INTRODUCTION

As described in the previous chapter, one EH was found from the Marine Genomics Project *K. brevis* EST library. It could be heterologously expressed in bacteria. To find evidence to support the hypothesis that EH from polyether ladder producing dinoflagellates will catalyze the construction of polyether ladder framework from polyepoxide substrates, it is necessary to carry out a series of *in vivo* enzymatic reactions. Similar behavior on proposed natural substrates and on designed substrates would strongly support the role of EH in brevetoxin biosynthesis.

In this chapter, one EH from *K. brevis* was cloned, expressed and characterized using different substrates. The potential of this EH in semi-enzyme synthesis of cyclic ethers was evaluated using a simple substrate *trans*-4,5-epoxy-hexanol. The EH activity and relative EH mRNA levels were evaluated in two strains of *K. brevis* in an effort to identify a correlation between EH activity or mRNA expression with the brevetoxin content.

3.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.2.1 Cloning and expression of *K. brevis* EH

As the EH was to be expressed in *E. coli*, optimization of the codon usage is necessary to improve the heterologous expression. The EH sequence found in *K. brevis* EST library was sent to Genescript (Piscataway, NJ) for optimization and synthesis. The optimized EH DNA sequence was synthesized and cloned into pUC19 by Genescript.
Table 6 shows the native and optimized sequence, the nucleoside without the stars are optimized for \textit{E. coli} codon usage.

### Table 6. Comparison of optimized (for \textit{E. coli}) and native \textit{K. brevis} EH sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optimized</th>
<th>ATGCCGCTGACGATCGTGGAAAAGTTACAGCCCGGATGGACGTCGGTGCAGCTGGATGAT 60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>ATGCCGCTGACGATCGTGGAAAAGTTACAGCCCGGATGGACGTCGGTGCAGCTGGATGAT 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>******************************************************************</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>******************************************************************</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>CTGAAAATACATCTGATCTGGCCACCCGCTGGCCGATCGATCAGCTGGATGAT 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>TTAAGATACCTGCATCTGCCCACAGCGCTGGCCAGACCAGCTGGATCCTCGTACACTG 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>** * *** ******** ** ******** ** ******** ** ******** ** ******** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>** * *** ******** ** ******** ** ******** ** ******** ** ******** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>AACCTGGAAAATAGGACGTCGGTGCAGCTGGATGAT 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>CACCTGGAAAATAGGACGTCGGTGCAGCTGGATGAT 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>******************************************************************</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>******************************************************************</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>CTGAATGGCGTGACCGTTCATTTTGTTCACCAGCGTTGCCTGAGCTCTCCGACCGCAGC 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>CTGAACGGTGTGACAGTGCACTTTGTTCACCAGCGCTGGAGCAGCTGGATGAT 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>***** **** ***** ** ** ***** ** ** ***** ** ** ***** ** ** ***** ** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>***** **** ***** ** ** ***** ** ** ***** ** ** ***** ** ** ***** ** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>GCACTGCTGATTTTGCTGATGGCCGAGGAGCTGGTGCGATTCTCCACACACAGCTGGAGG 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>GCTCTGACATGTCGGATGGCTGGCGAGGAGCTGGTGCGATTCTCCACACACAGCTGGAGG 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>** ********* ** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>** ********* ** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>CCGCTGCGAGAAAATTTTCTATGATGGCCGAGTATCCGCGGCTGATTTTGAAGTCAGGAA 420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>CTTTGGCTGAGAAATTTCTATGATGGCCGAGTATCCGCGGCTGATTTTGAAGTCAGGAA 420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>***** *** *** ** ** ***** *** *** ** ** ***** *** *** ** ** ***** *** *** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>***** *** *** ** ** ***** *** *** ** ** ***** *** *** ** ** ***** *** *** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>GCGCCGATACAAACGATGTTTCTAATGCAACCAGAAAGACGATGCTGGTTTCACCAGCATCAG 480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>GTCACACAAACGATGTTTCTAATGCAACCAGAAAGACGATGCTGGTTTCACCAGCATCAG 480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>CTGAGCTGGATATCAGAGGTAGCCTTCCAGCTGGAGGGTGAGTGGTGATGACGAGC 540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>CCTTGGCTGAGAAATTTCTATGATGGCCGAGTATCCGCGGCTGATTTTGAAGTCAGGAA 540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>***** *** *** ** ** ***** *** *** ** ** ***** *** *** ** ** ***** *** *** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>***** *** *** ** ** ***** *** *** ** ** ***** *** *** ** ** ***** *** *** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>CAGTGCACTGGAAACATGGTTTCTGCAAACCAGGACATTCCCATCAACTGACGACCACC 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>CAATTGCAATGTCGAGCCTTCTCAGCTGGTTCAGCTGGAGGGTGAGTGGTGATGACGAGC 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>GCACCAGCTGGAAAGAATTTCCATGATCAGCTGGATGGTGATGACGAGC 660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>GCACCAGCTGGAAAGAATTTCCATGATCAGCTGGATGGTGATGACGAGC 660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** ** ********** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>CGTCGACAGATGCATTACCTATGGAAGGCTGGTGCAGCTGGATGAT 720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>CGTCGACAGATGCATTACCTATGGAAGGCTGGTGCAGCTGGATGAT 720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Specific primers (Forward: CACAATCATATGCGCTGACGGA, Reverse: AATAAGCTTTAATGATGATGATGATGATGCAGACGGCTCTGATTATTAT) were designed to amplify the codon optimized *K. brevis* EH. The primers incorporated HindIII (reverse primer) and NdeI (forward primer) restriction sites for directional cloning and 6 histidine codons (reverse primer). Because NdeI needs at least 6 extra nucleotides at both sides of cutting site, the amplified gene was first ligated into a TA vector, pCR2.1 to improve digestion efficiency. The recombinant pCR2.1-KbEH was then transformed into...
*E. coli* competent cells and extracted using a mini-prep kit (Qiagen). After double digestion with HindIII and NdeI, the KbEH gene and expression vector pET30a+ were ligated to construct the final recombinant plasmid (Figure 37).

![Figure 37. Recombinant pET-30a+-KbEH](image)

The recombinant plasmid pET30a+ was transformed into *E. coli* strain BL21/DE3 for protein expression. After induction by IPTG, protein was then extracted and purified by nickel affinity chromatography. Molecular mass was confirmed to be 44 kD by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) comparing with protein ladder (Figure 38).
3.2.2 Optimization of pH for EH assay

In order to better study the characters of EH, the assay conditions should be optimized. pH is one of the most essential factors for enzyme activity. *K. brevis* CFE dissolved in different pH phosphate buffer were incubated with fluorescent probe. The result (Figure 39) showed that when pH was 7.0, the EH had the best activity. All subsequent assays were performed in pH 7.0 phosphate buffer.
3.2.3 EH vs Esterase activity

The EH found in the Marine Genomics K. brevis EST library is an α, β-fold EH, which belongs to α, β-fold hydrolase superfamily. Esterases, the hydrolase enzymes that hydrolyze esters into an acid and an alcohol, also belong to this superfamily. In the method we used to determine EH activity, if the enzyme is an esterase rather than EH, the fluorescent report will also be produced, as shown in Figure 27.

In order to confirm the enzyme obtained is EH rather than esterase, the precursor of the fluorescent probe without the epoxide group was used as the substrate in the assay. The expressed protein showed no activity with the alkene demonstrating that the enzyme was EH.

![Figure 40. EH vs esterase activity in K. brevis EH](image)

3.2.4 Optimization of fluorescent substrate

It was reasoned that a substrate containing aliphatic rather than aromatic substituents would be more representative of the native substrate if it were involved in
brevetoxin biosynthesis. Thus a second probe \(2b\) was prepared from \(\text{trans-3-hexenoic acid\) according to the procedure described by Jones for the synthesis of \(2\)} \(^{90}\).

\[
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Figure 41. Preparation of an aliphatic substituted fluorescent probe

Activity was detected in \(K.\ brevis\) CFE using both probes, but probe \(2b\) proved to be the better substrate as anticipated (Figure 42). The ratio of initial rates \((V_o)\) for substrates \(2: 2b\) was 1:3.

\[
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Figure 42. EH activity using two different substrates

3.2.5 Characterization of \(K.\ brevis\) EH

Substrate and enantioselectivity of the \(K.\ brevis\) EH was assessed by kinetic studies. Kinetic parameters of the enzyme using substrates \(2\) and \(2b\) were performed
using the sensitive fluorescent assay with different substrate concentration (100 µM, 75µM, 50µM and 25µM). Other substrates having no fluorescent reporter group were evaluated by GC-MS analysis of the reaction mixtures using 1-naphthol as an internal standard. A standard curve was obtained by using different substrate/internal standard ratio (10:1, 8:1, 6:1, 4:1, 2:1, 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:6, 1:8, 1:10). Figure 43 shows the molar ratio vs GC-MS response ratio of styrene oxide and internal standard.

![Molar ratio/GC-MS response ratio of styrene oxide](image)

Figure 43. Molar ratio/GC-MS response ratio of styrene oxide

Enantiomers of styrene oxide and 1-butane oxide were used to evaluate the enantioselectivity of the enzyme. Figure 44 shows the substrates used in kinetic studies.
The data shown in Table 7 indicates that aliphatic substituents are preferred over aromatic substituents by approximately 5:1 and the enzyme shows only a slight preference for \( R \) over \( S \) enantiomers.

Table 7. Kinetic parameters of the \( K. \) brevis EH with various substrates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>( K_M ) (μM)</th>
<th>( k_{cat} ) (sec(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( k_{cat} / K_m ) (sec(^{-1})/M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probe 2 (( R = \text{Ph} ))</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>7.81E-4</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probe 2b (( R = \text{Et} ))</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>4.46E-3</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (R) ) styrene oxide</td>
<td>6240</td>
<td>9.01E-1</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (S) ) styrene oxide</td>
<td>6430</td>
<td>8.32E-1</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racemic styrene oxide</td>
<td>6570</td>
<td>8.58E-1</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )-methyl styrene oxide</td>
<td>5310</td>
<td>3.31E-1</td>
<td>62.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (R) ) 1-butane oxide</td>
<td>25,800</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racemic 1-butane oxide</td>
<td>22,200</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,7,8-diepoxyoctane</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>1480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the exception of the fluorescent probes 2 and 2b, all the substrates above are terminal epoxides. Other substrates with substituents on both ends of epoxide were synthesized from the corresponding alkene by epoxidation with dimethylidioxirane.
(DMDO)\textsuperscript{105} and tested, but the reaction rates were too slow to be determined using the GC-MS method. However, disubstituted epoxides proved to be competitive inhibitors of the \textit{K. brevis} EH. Fluorescent probe 2b was used as substrate (100 μM), along with different concentrations of these non-terminal epoxides as inhibitors. IC\textsubscript{50} data was obtained for the aromatic inhibitors. No inhibition was observed when using cis-2,3-epoxybutane and 2,3-dimethyl-2,3-epoxybutane as inhibitors. Data in table 8 showed \textit{cis}-β-methylstyrene oxide has the lowest IC\textsubscript{50}, which indicated it was the most preferred substrate among these substrates. This is consistent with the previous reports that microsomal EH prefer \textit{cis}- over \textit{trans}- substituted epoxides\textsuperscript{75}.

Table 8. IC\textsubscript{50} for non-terminal epoxides using fluorescent probe 2b as substrate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>IC\textsubscript{50} (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textit{cis}-β-methylstyrene oxide</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{trans}-β-methylstyrene oxide</td>
<td>14.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-dimethylstyrene oxide</td>
<td>6.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cis-2,3-epoxybutane -  
2,3-dimethyl-2,3-epoxybutane -

3.2.6 Assessment of EH catalysis of a cyclization reaction

A noteworthy distinction between the monensin pathway and the proposed brevetoxin pathway is the site of nucleophilic attack on the epoxide substrate (Figure 45). In the case of non-ladder polyethers, the majority of epoxide ring opening reactions proceed via attack at the proximal carbon (exo-tet cyclization) while polyether ladder formation requires attack at the distal carbon (endo-tet cyclization). Both the empirical Baldwin’s rules and molecular orbital calculations indicate that the endo-tet cyclization pathway is kinetically disfavored. The exception among the bacterial polyketides is lasalocid. In this polyether, the pyran ring arises from the endo-tet cyclization of an intermediate epoxide. This reaction is catalyzed by the EH Lsd19. Lsd19 is a bifunctional enzyme consisting of two domains (A and B). Each domain is responsible for the cyclization of one of the rings. Lsd19B catalyzes the endo-tet cyclization (Figure 14 in chapter 1).

The ability of the K. brevis EH to perform cyclization was also evaluated. As the natural substrate analog of K. brevis are highly challenging to synthesize, the substrate trans-4,5-epoxy-hexanol was prepared as previously described. This substrate may undergo endo-tet cyclization to produce pyran, or exo-tet cyclization to produce furan, or simple epoxide hydrolysis to produce the triol. The substrate was incubated with the expressed EH in reaction buffer and the reaction was monitored by GC-MS. All three possible products were identified by GC-MS, by comparison with authentic samples.
however, neither the percent conversion nor the product ratio differed from that of the buffer alone.

![Figure 46. Alternate cyclization reactions or hydrolysis](image)

3.2.7 EH activity/expression and toxin content over the life of a culture

Two cultures of *K. brevis* whose toxin content differs by ten-fold were evaluated over a period of three weeks for EH activity and EH mRNA levels. Both cultures are of the Wilson strain. However, toxin production of one culture suddenly dropped for reasons which are not yet understood. We are unaware of any studies which have uncovered any metabolic differences between these two cultures until now.

EH activity was assessed using the EH/esterase probe set 1b/2b (ethyl probes). Esterase activity, determined using probe 1b, was subtracted from total activity. Cultures were diluted on day 0 to equal cell counts (~20,000 per mL) and monitored over a 21 day period. As shown in figure 47, 48, EH activity for the high toxin culture was consistently higher than that of the low toxin culture. On average, EH activity for the high toxin culture was 18% and 60% higher than the low-toxin culture when normalized to protein content or to cell counts, respectively. Over the three weeks that the cultures were
monitored, both showed a slight drop in EH activity when normalized to protein content and an increase in activity when normalized to cell counts.

Figure 47. Left: EH activity of two strains of *K. brevis* over a 21 day period; right: average EH activity of two strains of *K. brevis* over 21 day period (normalized to protein concentration)

Expression of the EH mRNA was also monitored over the same period by Q-PCR. Figure 49 shows the ratio of cycle thresholds (Ct) for the EH mRNA normalized to RuBisCO mRNA. As higher Ct results from less mRNA, EH mRNA levels of the low
toxin culture were either lower (Ct higher) or equal to that of the high toxin culture when normalized to RuBisCO. On average over the life of the culture, EH mRNA amount in two strains has a significant difference, even though gene expression in dinoflagellates is believed to occur principally at the translational level\(^{106}\).

![Graph showing ratio of Ct for EH/RuBisCO](image)

**Figure 49.** Left: Ratio of C\(_t\) for EH/RuBisCO for low and high toxin *K. brevis*; right: Average ratio of C\(_t\) for EH/RuBisCO

### 3.3 CONCLUSIONS

The EH identified from *K. brevis* EST library was cloned and expressed in *E. coli*. SDS-PAGE showed the EH was the anticipated size of 44 kD. The enzyme was confirmed to be an EH rather than esterase by comparing activity with two fluorescent probes 1 and 2. No activity was observed with the esterase probe 1.

As natural substrates of *K. brevis* EH would be very challenging to synthesize, other simple substrates were used to obtain indirect evidence of EH involvement of brevetoxin biosynthesis. The selectivity of the expressed *K. brevis* EH for aliphatic epoxides coupled with the consistently higher level of EH activity in the high toxin vs low toxin cultures of *K. brevis* is intriguing and may implicate the involvement of the EH
in brevetoxin biosynthesis. However, the expressed EH did not appear to be capable of catalyzing the cyclization of epoxy alcohol 7 to either a furan or pyran. However, epoxy alcohol 7 may not sufficiently resemble the native substrate. Lsd19 was also not capable of catalyzing the cyclization of monoepoxy alcohol which was significantly smaller than pre-lasalocid.

The *K. brevis* EH showed a preference for terminal epoxides over non-terminal epoxides. Inhibition studies using fluorescent probes as substrate, and other epoxides as competitive inhibitors revealed preference for cis- over trans- substituted internal epoxides. Even with terminal epoxides, the $k_{cat}$ was lower than those observed for soluble EHs. All these are consistent with microsomal EH characters.

The *K. brevis* EH showed only slight enantioselectivity on $R$ over $S$ enantiomer with a ratio of 1.08 for $R/S$ styrene oxide and 1.15 for $R/S$ 1-butane oxide. It has further been suggested that an epoxidase could catalyze both the epoxidation and cyclization for PE ladder formation without the need for EH involvement. Therefore, further studies on epoxidase in *K. brevis* would possibly reveal what is responsible for the enatioselectivity in ladder polyether compound biosynthesis.

3.4 MATERIAL AND METHODS

3.4.1 Material

Gene expression vector pET-30a+ and expression *E. coli* strain BL21/de3 were kindly provided by Dr. Leng (FIU). Restriction Enzymes *NdeI* and *HindIII* were purchased from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA). High fidelity DNA polymerase *Pfx50* kit and TOPO TA cloning kit were purchased from Invitrogen (Grand Island, NY).
Primers were designed using the Primer-BLAST on NCBI and synthesized by Invitrogen (Grand Island, NY). QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit, Qiaquick gel extraction kit and Ni-NTA agarose were purchased from Qiagen (Germantown, MD). Bacterial Protein Extraction reagent (B-PER) was purchased from Thermo Scientific. Unstained Protein Ladders were purchased from Bio-Rad. PCR reactions were performed on a Eppendorf Mastercycler Gradient thermal cycler. Optical density (OD$_{600}$) was measured with a micro-plate reader (Synergy™ 2 from Bioteck Instruments, Inc.) Protein expression induction reagent isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. LB agar and LB broth were purchased from Becton Dickinson (Franklin Lakes, NJ). The substrates of EH, such as styrene oxide, 1-butane oxide or their corresponding precursor alkene, such as trans-methylstyrene, cis-methylstyrene were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. iQ SYBR Green Supermix was purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA). Q-PCR reactions were performed using a DNA Engine from MJ Research (now Bio-Rad).

3.4.2 Methods

Amplification of EH gene

*Pfx50* DNA polymerase, which offers 50 times better fidelity than *Taq* DNA polymerase, was used to amplify the target gene. The PCR reaction components were mixed according to the *pfx50* DNA polymerase manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration of each component in PCR are as following: dNTP (200 µM), reaction buffer (1x), primers (0.05-1 µM for both forward and reverse), template DNA ( <1,000 ng) *taq* DNA polymerase (1.25 unit/50 µL PCR). The reactions were carried out by using the following steps: initial denaturation at 94 ºC for 2 minutes followed by 35 cycles of
94 °C for 15 seconds (denaturation), 65 °C for 30 seconds (annealing), and 68 °C for 30 seconds (extension).

Ligation of PCR products and TA vector

The PCR products were treated with 2 units of *Taq* DNA polymerase for 30 minutes to add an “A” at both 5 and 3’ ends. The PCR products were then purified by running an agarose gel and the band of the anticipated size was extracted from the gel using Qiagen Gel Extration kit. The ligation was performed using Invitrogen TOPO TA cloning kit by following the manufacture’s instructions. The recombinant plasmid was transformed into Invitrogen’s Mach1™ competent *E. coli* cells provided with the cloning kit. After incubating and shaking in SOC medium at 37 °C (250 rpm for 1 hour), 50 μL transformed *E. coli* cells were spread on LB agar with ampicillin (50 µg/mL) and X-gal (40 µg/mL) and incubated at 37 °C overnight. White colonies were picked and grown in LB broth (5 mL) with ampicillin (50 µg/mL). Plasmids were extracted using QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Recombination of EH gene with pET-30a+

The pCR TOPO plasmid containing the EH gene insert and expression vector pET-30a+ were digested by *NdeI* and *HindIII* at 37 °C for 4 hours. The EH gene (1.2 kb) with cohesive ends was separated through agarose gel electrophoresis and extracted using the Gel Extration kit (Qiagen). Doubly enzyme-digested EH gene was ligated to the *NdeI* and *HindIII* restriction sites of the expression vector pET-30a+ using T4 DNA ligase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
The newly constructed plasmid was transformed into the expression strain BL21(DE3) by electroporation. Transformed cells were spread on LB agar plates supplemented with kanamycin (50 µg/mL). These were then cultivated at 37 ºC overnight. Twenty colonies were picked randomly and grown in LB broth with kanamycin (50 µg/mL). Plasmids were extracted using QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Clones of the *E. coli* BL21(DE3) containing recombinant plasmid (6.6 kb) were selected by comparing the size of plasmids through agarose gel electrophoresis. The recombinant plasmids were sent to Eurofins Genomics for sequencing using T7 primer and T7 terminator primer, to insure the fidelity of the insert sequence. Glycerol stock were prepared by adding 15% sterilized glycerol and stored at -80 ºC until use.

Protein Expression and purification

The *E. coli* BL21/DE3 with recombinant pet30a+-KbEH was inoculated into LB broth with kanamycin (50 µg/mL) and shaken at 37 ºC (250 rpm) overnight. The overnight culture (5 mL) was diluted into fresh LB broth (500 mL) with kanamycin (50 µg/mL), shaken at 37 ºC (250 rpm for 2 ~3 hour). IPTG (1mM final concentration) was added when the OD₆₀₀ reached to 0.6 ~0.8. After shaking another 4~6 hour, the culture was centrifuged (5000 x g for 10 min). Lysozyme (2 µL, 50 mg/mL) and DNAse I (2 µl, 2500 unit/mL) as well as Bacterial Protein Extraction reagent (B-PER, Thermo Scientific, 4mL/ gram of cells) were added to resuspend the pellets. After incubation at room temperature for 10 min, the lysate was centrifuged (15000 x g for 5 min) to separate insoluble proteins. The supernatant was loaded on to a column of 1 mL Ni-NTA agarose
(Qiagen), which was pretreated with 10 mL phosphate buffer (20 mM phosphate, 0.5 M NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, pH 7.9). The column was washed by washing buffer (20 mM phosphate, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, pH 7.9) until no more protein was eluted (as determined by A\textsubscript{280}) in the wash (typically 10 mL). This was followed by elution buffer (20 mM phosphate, 0.5 M NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, pH 7.9) until no more protein was eluted also as determined by A\textsubscript{280}. The purified EH was dialyzed (MW cutoff 12-14 kD) in phosphate buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0) at 0°C to 4°C, overnight, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 ºC until use.

**SDS-PAGE**

Protein samples were mixed at 4:1 volume ratio with 5x loading buffer (10% w/v SDS, 10 mM β-mercapto-ethanol, 20% v/v glycerol, 0.2 M Tris-HCl, pH6.8, 0.05% w/v bromophenol blue), boiled for 5 min. The mixed protein samples and loading buffer (10 μl) were separated by SDS-PAGE with stacking gel (1.25 mL 0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 0.025 mL 20% (w/v) SDS, 0.67 mL acrylamide/bis-acrylamide (30%/0.8% w/v), 0.025 mL 10% (w/v) ammonium persulfate (APS), 0.005 mL TEMED, 3.075 mL H\textsubscript{2}O) and separating gel (7.5 mL 1.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 0.15 mL 20% (w/v) SDS, 15 mL acrylamide/bis-acrylamide (30%/0.8% w/v), 0.15 mL 10% (w/v) ammonium persulfate (APS), 0.02 mL TEMED, 7.2 mL H\textsubscript{2}O).

Probe synthesis
The fluorescent probe 2 was prepared as previously described. A second probe 2b was prepared in a procedure identical to that of 2 except that (E)-4-phenylbut-3-enoic acid was replaced with (E)-pent-2-enoic acid. Both alkene and epoxide were purified by radial chromatography on a chromatotron (Harrison Research Corp.) using plates coated with silica gel 60 PF254 containing gypsum, using 5:1 hexane/ethyl acetate. Spectroscopic data for probe 2 were consistent with literature data. 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR data were acquired on a Bruker Avance spectrophotometer (400 MHz for proton). 1H NMR spectra were referenced internally to the residual proton resonance in CDCl3 (δ = 7.26 ppm), or with tetramethylsilane (TMS, δ = 0.00 ppm) as the internal standard. Chemical shifts are reported as parts per million (ppm) in the δ scale downfield from TMS. 13C NMR spectra were recorded with continuous proton decoupling. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from TMS with the solvent as the internal reference (CDCl3, δ = 77.0 ppm). Accurate mass spectra were acquired using a Bruker Daltonics – ultrOTOF-Q, +ESI-Q-q-TOF.

Alkene probe 1b (65%, colorless oil): 1H-NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): δ 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.80 (t, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.53 (m, 1H), 7.15-7.23 (m, 2H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 5.47-5.66 (m, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.13 (m, 2H), 2.05 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, 3H, J = 7.6Hz). 13C-NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz): δ 170.5, 159.1, 137.8, 135.5, 130.0, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 126.8, 125.1, 123.8, 120.1, 119.1, 116.42, 105.9. HRMS (+ESI): calculated for C19H19NO3 [M+Na]+ 332.1257, found 332.1250.
Epoxide probe 2b (11%, yield yellow oil): $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): $\delta$ 8.26 (s, 1H), 7.80 (t, 2H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 7.52 (m, 1H), 7.15-7.26 (m, 2H), 6.60 (s, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.08 (m, 1H), 2.75 (m, 1H), 2.66 (m, 2H), 1.59 (m, 2H), 0.97 (t, 3H, $J = 5.6$ Hz). $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz): $\delta$ 168.8, 159.0, 135.4, 129.9, 128.2, 128.0, 127.8, 126.3, 125.0, 120.0, 116.0, 105.8, 63.4, 59.5, 55.4, 52.9, 37.3, 24.7, 9.6. HRMS (+ESI): calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{19}$NO$_4$ [M+Na]$^+$ 348.1167, found 348.1206.

Synthesis of trans-4,5-epoxy-hexanol 7, 2-methyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-3-ol (8) and 1-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)ethanol (9) were performed as previously described $^{105}$. Trans-4-hexen-1-ol (500 $\mu$L, 5.88 mmol) was mixed with NaHCO$_3$ (1.775 g), acetone (5.6 mL) and ethyl acetate (20 mL). Oxone (2.6 g, 17.1 mmol) was dissolved in water (18 mL) and added dropwise to the trans-4-hexen-1-ol solution over a period of 40 min. The reaction was stirred for another hour. The organic solvents were evaporated in vacuo. The residue was extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL). The organic layer was washed twice with an equal volume of brine, dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and the solvent evaporated in vacuo to yield trans-4,5-epoxy-hexanol 7 which was used in the next step without further purification. Spectroscopic data for 7 were consistent with literature data. Trans-4,5-epoxy-hexanol 7 was treated with a solution boron trifluoride etherate in diethyl ether as described previously to produce a mixture of 8 and 9. Analysis by GC-MS showed two products with the same m/z (116) in a ratio of 1:5 which were assigned as 8 and 9 respectively, based on previous reports $^{105}$. 
Synthesis of cis-β-methylstyrene oxide, trans-β-methylstyrene oxide, β-dimethylstyrene oxide and α-methylstyrene oxide were performed using the corresponding alkene precursors. The alkene (500 μL) was mixed with NaHCO₃ (1.775 g), acetone (5.6 mL) and diethyl ether (20 mL). Oxone (2.6 g, 17.1 mmol) was dissolved in water (18 mL) and added dropwise to the trans-4-hexen-1-ol solution over a period of 40 min. The reaction was stirred for another 3 hours to overnight. The organic solvents were evaporated in vacuum at 30 °C. The residue was extracted with ethyl ether (20 mL). The organic layer was washed twice with an equal volume of brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and the solvent evaporated in vacuo to yield the epoxide. As some of the synthesized epoxides are low boiling, of extended exposure to vacuum often resulted lower yields. The structures of the epoxide products were confirmed by ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz), which were consistent with literature data.

EH/esterase assay (fluorescent)

Fluorescent probe 1/1b, 2/2b (2 μL, 10 mM, final concentration100 μM) was added to K. brevis homogenate (198 μL) or purified EH (198 μL) in duplicate. Fluorescence was monitored at 330 nm EX/ 465 nm EM (Synergy™ 2, Bioteck Instrument, Inc.) every 5 minutes at 30°C. The concentration of reporter 3 was calculated from a calibration curve prepared by measuring the fluorescence of 7-methoxy-2-naphthaldehyde (0, 3.1, 12, 25, 50 μM in phosphate buffer (50 mM phosphate, pH 7.0). Fluorescence readings were normalized to protein concentration or cell number.
EH assay (GC-MS)

Substrate (4 mM to 10 mM final concentrations), internal standard, 1-naphthol (concentrations ranging from 0.4 to 4 mM; the concentration was optimized for each substrate to a relative response ratio of 1:5 ~5:1 for substrate/internal standard in the GC-MS), and purified EH (~0.1 mg) was combined in phosphate buffer (50 mM phosphate, pH 7.0). Aliquots were removed every 5 minute and extracted using an equal volume of dichloromethane. Samples were evaporated to dryness and reconstituted in dichloromethane to ~20 ppm. Samples were analyzed by GC-MS (Hewlett Packard 6890 with Alltech 30 m, DB5, capillary column, 0.25 mm (ID) 0.25 μm initial temperature 80 °C for 1 minute/increase to 300 °C at 10 °C/ minute/hold for 7 minutes) and compared to standard curve of either the diol product or starting epoxide with the internal standard. Each reaction was performed in duplicate.

EH assay (cyclization reaction)

Trans-4,5-epoxy-hexanol 9 (1 μL 0.4 M stock solution in DMSO diluted to a final concentration of 4 mM) was incubated with protein (100 μL 0.1 mg/mL in dialysis buffer) or dialysis buffer (100 μL) alone at 30°C for 1 to 3 h. The reaction was extracted using an equal volume of dichloromethane. The organic extracts were evaporated to dryness and reconstituted in dichloromethane to ~20 ppm and analyzed by GC-MS as previously described.
Determination of $K_M$ and $k_{cat}$

Specific enzyme activity was determined by the fluorescence assay (5a and 5b) or by GC-MS for non-fluorescent products. A constant enzyme concentration of the EH (100 μL, 0.1mg/mL, ~1.926 μM) was incubated with a range of substrate concentrations. For fluorescent probes (2, 2b), the final concentrations of substrate were 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100 μM. For other substrates, the final concentrations of substrate were 4, 6, 8, and 10 mM. The assays were performed as previous described. Lineweaver-Burk plots were obtained using initial velocities of substrate and their corresponding concentration, and $K_m$, $V_{max}$ and $V_{max}/K_m$ were determined from the generated equation. These experiments were performed in duplicate.

Inhibition assays

$IC_{50}$ values could be obtained by either using fixed substrate concentration with different concentration of inhibitors or several different substrate concentrations with a fixed inhibitor concentration. $IC_{50}$ were determined using fluorescent substrate 2b (100uM). EH (0.1 mg/mL) was incubated with inhibitors for 2 min in phosphate buffer (50mM, pH 7.0) at 30 °C prior to addition of the substrate. EH activity was measure as previously described by monitoring the fluorescence. Assays were performed by duplicate. By definition, $IC_{50}$ value is concentration of inhibitor that reduces EH activity by 50%. Six concentrations of inhibitors (0, 2, 4, 8, 12, 16 mM) were assayed to obtain an inhibition curve of inhibition/inhibitor concentration.
RNA isolation

*K. brevis* culture (100 mL) was centrifuged (450 x g for 5 min) at 20° C. The pellet was resuspended in water (100 µL). Denaturing solution (600 µL, 5 M guanidium thiocyanate, 50 mM Tris pH 8, 25 mM sodium citrate, 0.5% w/v Sarkosyl, 2% PEG, 0.1 M DTT) was added. After standing at room temperature for 10 minutes, NaOAc (60 µL, 3 M, pH 5.2) was added and the mixture was vortexed. An equal volume of phenol: chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (125:24:1, pH 4.3), was added and the mixture centrifuged at (14,000 x g for 15 minutes) at 4°C. The top (aqueous) phase was transferred to a new tube followed by the addition of equal volume chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1) and the mixture was vortexed. After centrifugation at (14,000 x g for 15 minutes) at 4°C, the top (aqueous) phase was transferred to new tube which contains equal volume of ice cold EtOH. RNA was allowed to precipitate at -20 degrees overnight. The mixture was centrifuged at 4° C, for (30 minutes at 14,000 x g) and the pellet was washed with cold 70% EtOH (700 µl). After air-drying, the pellet was reconstituted in water (50 µL). Total RNA concentration was quantitated using the Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific).

RT-PCR

Using the isolated RNA (~1µg) as template and oligo dT as primer (1 µL, 50 mM), cDNA was synthesized using the AMV First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reverse transcriptase reactions were diluted 1:5 in water. PCR reactions were carried out using the newly synthesized cDNA (1 µL of the diluted reverse transcriptase reaction), PCR mix (25 µL, iQ SYBR Green Supermix, Bio-Rad), 1 µL of each primer (50 mM). EH primer set:
forward; CAAGGTGCTGGGTCCTTTGG. Reverse; TTCCGGAGCGTTGAAACCAC.
RuBisCO primers set: forward; GCAGCCTTTATGCGGTATCG. Reverse;
CACCAGCCATTCCGCATCCATT. PCR reactions were monitored using the DNA
Engine Opticon (MJ Research) which can detect Sybr green (excitation: 497 nm,
emission: 520 nm) fluorescence. Cycling conditions: 94 °C denaturation for 1 min,
annealing for 45 sec at 60°C, extension 72°C (RuBisCO primer set only, no extension
time for EH primers), repeat 40 cycles. The efficiency of the PCR mix was determined by
titrating the template cDNA concentrations by dilution of the RT reactions (1:5, 1:25 and
1:125) in water. Efficiencies were calculated to be ~90 to 95%.
4. FUTURE DIRECTION

In the previous chapter, one EH identified from a *K. brevis* EST library was cloned and expressed. Through the enzymatic reactions with different substrates, and by EH activity monitoring over life time of the culture of two *K. brevis* strains, some evidence was obtained to support the proposed biosynthetic pathway of ladder polyether compounds. However, no direct evidence revealed the involvement of an EH in the biosynthesis of brevetoxins due to lack of natural-similar substrates. For the same reason, the potential of EH in semi-enzymatic synthesis to carry out kinetically disfavored cyclization was not developed. In this chapter, some thoughts about further study of the role of EH in biosynthesis of ladder polyethers will be discussed.

4.1 SYNTHESIZE OF MORE APPROPRIATE ENZYME SUBSTRATES

The study of Lsd19, the EH responsible for lasalocid cyclizations, revealed that the substrates’ similarity to natural substrates significantly effected enzyme efficiency\(^\text{107}\). As mentioned in chapter 3, Lsd19 did show any activity towards the most simple monoepoxy alcohol. The cyclization ability of monensin EHs was also evaluated using several substrates. The substrates with different length of aliphatic chains (Figure 50) were tested. Under the same conditions, the conversion of epoxide to cyclization products was 0%, 12%, 13%, and 30% when using C2, C6, C7 and C10 analogs\(^\text{88}\). Apparently, the C10 analog was most similar to the proposed natural substrates of monensin EH.
Therefore, in order to achieve successful cyclization reactions for *K. brevis* EH, it is essential to obtain a natural substrate analog. As the complicity of brevetoxins, even the smaller product brevenal, it is of great challenge to synthesize natural substrates of *K. brevis* EH. Fortunately, the structure elucidation of brevisamide\textsuperscript{108}, suggested the *K. brevis* EH may also act on some less complex substrates.

Nerolidol is a potential precursor for synthesizing *K. brevis* EH substrates. Both *cis* and *trans* nerolidol could be purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Figure 52 shows a scheme to synthesize the substrates of EH. The product of moneepoxide (Figure 52, left) is analog of brevisamide, whereas the product of bisepoxide (Figure 52, right) contains the first two rings of brevenal.
4.2 CHARACTERIZE THE OTHER $\alpha,\beta$-FOLD EH

Three *K. brevis* reference transcriptome libraries containing 86,580 (Wilson strain), 93,668 (strain SP1) and 84,309 (strain SP3) predicted transcripts became available. As discussed in chapter, another $\alpha,\beta$-fold EH was identified from these three libraries. Further sequence analysis revealed that it was also microsomal EH. As previously reported, many organism had more than one EHs that were involved in the biosynthesis of polyethers, such as MonBI, MonBII in monensin biosynthesis and Lsd19A, Lsd19B in lasalocid biosynthesis. Suppose the hypothesis of biosynthesis of brevetoxins is true, it is not known that how many EHs are involved in it. Therefore, it is worthy to characterize the other EH found in *K. brevis* EST library.

4.3 CONTINUE TO SEARCH FOR LIMONENE EH

By comparing of mechanisms of $\alpha,\beta$-fold EH and LEH, the one-step direct hydrolysis performed by LEH is more likely involved in polyether cascade cyclization.
In fact, as to now, all EHs confirmed to be involved in the biosynthesis of polyethers are LEHs.

In previous chapter, different methods were discussed to search for LEH. However, no sequences similar to LEH were identified. After another three *K. brevis* EST libraries were published, using the known LEHs, MonB1, MonB2, Lsd19A, Lsd19B, NanIA, NanIB, TmnB, NigB1 and NigB3, which were identified from polyether biosynthetic gene clusters as query, searches were made on the new libraries. However, still no LEH was found.

The fact that all the query sequences were from bacteria may be the reason for the failure to find LEH in the eukaryote *K. brevis*. However, no LEHs in eukaryotes were reported to be involved in the biosynthesis of polyether. BLASTp analysis of those known LEHs revealed that these enzymes belong to NTF2-like (Nuclear transport factor) superfamily, which includes members of the NTF2 family, Δ-5-3-ketosteroid isomerases, scytalone dehydratases, and the beta subunit of ring hydroxylating dioxygenases. In the sequences, a SnoaL domain, which belongs to the family of small polyketide cyclases was detected. SnoaL was recognized as polyketide cyclase and was found in the biosynthesis of nogalamycin and was responsible for the cyclization of last ring (Figure 53).
The BLASTp results drive us using other polyketide cyclase from other organisms rather than bacteria as query to search for LEH. One hundred and seventy-three polyketide cyclase from green plant were available in NCBI protein database. Of those one hundred and seventy-three, eight were from green algae. Using all polyketide cyclase sequences from green algae and another five sequences randomly chosen from green plants as query, searches on all available *K. brevis* EST libraries were made. Nothing was found from Marine Genomics *K. brevis* EST library, however, all three transcriptome libraries contained one sequence with an *E*-value at 1.0e-5 with the polyketide cyclase (Accession: CEG01925.1) from *Ostreococcus tauri*. BLASTp analysis of this sequence against NCBI protein database revealed an NTF2-like domain, as other known LEH, and a HAD-like (haloacid dehalogenase) domain.
Figure 54. Conserved domains of new found polyketide cyclase

More polyketide cyclases from other organism were then used as query to mine the *K. brevis* EST libraries. Another polyketide cyclase was identified when using the polyketide cyclase from *Hassallia byssoides*, a cyanobacteria as query. In this sequence, there were two and half NTF2-like domains, which were of great interest as the structural complexity of brevetoxins is much higher than the polyethers identified from bacteria like monensin and lasalocid, more domains are required.

Figure 55. Conserved domains of second found polyketide cyclase

These two polyketide cyclases are worthy of further investigation. As no aromatic compounds were reported, what is the function of polyketide cyclase in *K. brevis*? In the first found polyketide cyclase, why there is an HAD-like protein cotranscripted with polyketide cyclase. Are the multiple NTF2-like domains found in second polyketide cyclase correlated with the repeated ether rings in brevetoxins?
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