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The relationship between the frequency of eating, physical activity and Body Mass Index (BMI) was investigated. Seventy five women, aged 24 to 55, were recruited from Florida International University. Via interview, subjects provided information regarding demographics and habitual eating frequency over 24-hours, and completed both the Baecke Questionnaire of Habitual Physical Activity and the Health Insurance Plan of New York Questionnaire on Physical Activity. Pearson correlations and partial correlation coefficients were used to assess the relationship between eating frequency, physical activity, age, and BMI. Results revealed significant positive correlations between eating frequency and total physical activity scores, and leisure time physical activity scores, but not between eating frequency and physical activity on the job. Partial correlations suggest that there may be an effect of eating frequency on BMI both through an effect on physical activity and through another mechanism. These results suggest that more frequent eaters tend to be more physically active, which may partially explain why lower body weights is associated with more frequent eating.
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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS

Feeding frequency has been cited as a factor that influences energy balance and adiposity. Although there is significant evidence that those who eat less frequently tend to have a higher body weight and greater adiposity (1-7), there is also some conflicting evidence (8, 9). Overall, studies have failed to elucidate the mechanism by which feeding frequency may effect energy balance in man.

In order to better understand the relationship between feeding frequency and body weight one must consider the factors that influence energy balance.

\[
\text{(+/-) Energy Balance} = \text{Energy Intake (EI) - Energy Expenditure (EE)}
\]

Energy Intake = kilocalories consumed, and

\[
\text{Energy Expenditure} = \text{Thermic Effect of Food (TEF) + Basal Metabolic Rate (BMR) + Physical Activity (PA)}
\]

Energy balance (or a stable body weight) occurs when energy intake (EI) equals energy expenditure (EE). An increase in energy storage or body weight (positive energy balance) occurs when EI exceeds EE.

Feeding frequency may potentially effect either or both, EI and EE. When studies have looked at the “EI” side of the energy balance equation, some have found that there is a tendency for a greater amount of food to be consumed (both grams and kcal) when a larger number of meals/snacks are eaten (10). This finding is actually counter to research which indicates that people tend to weigh less and have less body fat when they eat a larger number of meals (1- 7). When studies have looked at the effect of eating frequency
on the “EE” side of the energy balance equation, some research has found that eating more often does not effect either the TEF or the BMR (11-13), while other studies have found that the TEF is significantly higher while on a regimen of less frequent eating (14, 15). Since TEF and BMR either do not change with meal frequency or are negatively correlated with meal frequency, changes in these variables do not explain reports that people who eat more often are less fat (1-7).

It has been hypothesized that a change in the third component of the “EE” side of the equation, PA, may account for the lower body weights and adiposity observed with high frequency of eating. A positive correlation between PA and the frequency of eating has been shown in one animal study (16), and has been implied in some other human studies (17-20). Thus, the purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between frequency of eating and PA in humans.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS:

1. Is there a relationship between the frequency of eating and total PA?
2. What is the nature of the relationship between Body Mass Index (BMI) and the frequency of eating?
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CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

INTRODUCTION:

The relationship between the frequency of eating and energy balance has been investigated in a number of studies. Although there is significant evidence showing that those who eat less frequently tend to have higher body weight and adiposity (1-7), some conflicting evidence still exists (8,9) and studies have failed to elucidate the mechanism by which feeding frequency affects energy balance in man.

Discovery of the Relationship Between Eating Frequency and Body Weight:

The relationship between feeding frequency and adiposity was first observed in the laboratory in rats (Cohn et al., 1955,) (3) (Table 1). The researchers observed that rats fed twice daily (gorgers) by a stomach tube, had almost double the amount of body fat of animals eating the same amount of the diet ad libitum (nibblers). At the end of the experiment, the nibbling rats had 9.6% body fat (down from 13.5% at the beginning of the experiment), compared with the tube-fed rats which had 17.6% body fat (up from 9.6% at baseline).

Cohn and colleagues were intrigued by the effect that the different feeding methodology had on body fat, but realized that the differences in body fat at the beginning of the experiment may have caused the force-fed animals to be overfed relative to the animals eating ad libitum. Subsequently Cohn et al., 1959, (4) repeated the experiment under appropriate conditions for “pair-feeding”. One group of rats was force-
fed the same amount of food consumed by the rats eating *ad libitum*. This time both groups had comparable initial body weights and body composition (at baseline, the force-fed rats weighed an average 152g with 12.6g body fat, and the rats eating *ad libitum* weighed an average 150g with 14.9g of body fat). At the end of the experiment, the nibbling rats had an average of 19.4 grams of body fat per rat compared to the gorging rats, which had an average of 25.8 grams of body fat per animal. Thus, although the “meal-eating” tube-fed rats received the same amount of food as did those eating *ad libitum*, the force-fed animals gained more body fat. No differences in body weight were reported.

About this same time in the early 1960s, experiments which manipulated meal frequency in humans noted that frequency of eating affected serum lipids, glucose tolerance, and body weight. Gwinup *et al.*, 1963, (10) reported a prompt decrease in serum lipid levels when meal frequency was changed from 3 meals per day to 10 meals per day, and a prompt increase when the pattern was changed from 3 meals per day to 1 meal per day. Thus, changes produced by nibbling were rapidly reversed by gorging and vice versa. These changes occurred in subjects with either hyperlipidemia or with normal serum lipid levels. In addition, in four subjects (out of five) there was a suggestion that weight loss tended to occur with nibbling and weight gain with gorging. Only in one subject, weight changes tended to occur in the opposite direction.

These experimental data were consistent with what was being observed in free living people. Several investigators observed an inverse relationship between meal frequency and adiposity. In 1964 Fabry *et al.* (7) studied 379 men between the ages of 60-64. In this study, the frequency of food intake was found to be negatively correlated with adiposity.
as measured by skin-fold thickness. Increased skin-fold thickness were significantly more common in those who consumed 3 or less meals a day compared to those who ate 5 or more meals a day. In addition, the incidence of overweight, hypercholesterolemia, and diminished glucose tolerance increased as the frequency of meals decreased. Hijda et al. (11) in 1964 reported a similar finding in an occupational group of 89 healthy men, aged 30-50. The subjects were divided into sub-groups according to their meal frequency. Among other findings, subjects with the smallest number of meals (including snacks) were found to be more overweight, and have thicker skin-folds than those subjects consuming 5-6 meals. Thus, just like the more experimentally controlled human and animal studies, studies in free-living humans also showed an inverse relationship between eating frequency and adiposity and body weight.

Contemporary Studies of the Relationship between Meal Frequency and Adiposity:

Since 1964 there have been a number of other studies supporting an inverse relationship between meal frequency and body weight. There have been basically two types of studies conducted: 1) those done under experimental conditions in which food intake is manipulated, and 2) studies which have examined feeding frequency in free living people (Table 2).

Metzner et al., 1977, (1) studied the relationship between the frequency of eating and adiposity in cross-sectional population of about 10,000 women 35-69 years of age. Trained interviewers administered a detailed 24-hour diet recall interview. Adiposity was based on an index using two skin-fold measurements, height, and weight. In this study,
investigators found that the frequency of eating was inversely related to the adiposity index for both men and women.

A similar relationship has been observed in post-partum women. In the Stockholm Pregnancy and Weight Development Study, Ohlen et al., 1996, (2) reported that successful return towards pre-pregnancy weight occurred more often in women with regular breakfast and lunch habits (those not skipping meals) than in women with irregular breakfast and lunch habits (those skipping meals). Taken together, these two studies offer additional support of an inverse relationship between the frequency of eating and body weight and adiposity in free living humans.

Experimentally controlled studies have confirmed the findings of the early animal studies and the studies in free-living humans. Fabry et al., 1966 (6) systematically studied the effect of meal frequency in school children. Three Prague boarding schools with comparable number of children, equipment, and facilities for outdoor exercise were selected. Once a week the schools were visited by a dietitian who advised the catering staff on the preparation of a weekly menu, which was the same in all three schools. One school was advised to serve the food as 3 meals, the second school as 5 meals, and the third school as 7 meals. The feeding patterns were followed for one year, and during the holidays the parents were asked to adhere to the required feeding frequency whenever possible. Height, body weight, and skin fold thickness were measured at baseline and at one year. Among the 11-16 year olds in the school serving 3 meals per day, there was a significantly greater percentage of subjects in whom the weight-height proportionality changed in favor of body weight than in the other two schools serving 5 or 7 meals per day. In the school serving 3 meals per day, the increment of skin-fold thickness was also...
significantly greater compared with that found in children of similar age who ate 5 or 7 meals per day. Thus, meal frequency may have an effect on weight gain and adiposity in growing children as well as in adults.

In order to examine the effect of meal frequency with overfeeding, Mahler et al., 1972, (13) over-fed four young men with a liquid supplement (7.5 MJ/D) for 5 weeks. The rate of weight gain was significantly greater during the 3 weeks when the supplement was taken in one large meal in the evening compared with the 2 weeks when it was taken in 16 small doses at hourly intervals throughout the day. However, the gorging protocol always preceded the nibbling one, and this might have affected the results, as the energy requirement of the subjects would have increased over time as they gained weight and their BMI increased.

Although the studies, reviewed above, supported an inverse relationship between the frequency of eating and body adiposity, other studies have failed to demonstrate such a relationship. For example, Hawkins, 1979, (14) investigated the self-defined ‘meal’ and ‘snack’ frequencies of 240 normal-weight and overweight undergraduate students. In this study, four-day food records showed little association between weight and eating frequencies. Edelstein et al., 1992, (15) also found no relationships between eating frequency and BMI after administering a food frequency questionnaire to 800 subjects. However, limited response options (1 to 2, 3, or 4 ‘meals and / or snacks’ per day) may have considerably reduced observed variance in the population.

Despite the conflicting evidence, both, animal and free living human studies support an inverse relationship between the frequency of eating and body weight and adiposity.
Possible Mechanisms to Explain an Inverse Relationship Between Eating Frequency and Adiposity:

The above review provides evidence of an inverse relationship between the frequency of feeding, and adiposity and body weight in free living people. However, the mechanisms for this phenomenon are not understood.

\[
(+/-) \text{ Energy Balance} = \text{Energy Intake (EI)} - \text{Energy Expenditure (EE)}
\]

\[
\text{Energy Expenditure} = \text{Thermic Effect of Food (TEF)} + \text{Basal Metabolic Rate (BMR)} + \text{Physical Activity (PA)}
\]

The following are possible mechanisms whereby increased meal frequency could reduce adiposity or body weight.

I. Increased eating frequency may reduce total EI.
II. Increased eating frequency may increase the TEF.
III. Increased eating frequency may increase BMR.
IV. Increased eating frequency may be associated with increased PA.

I. Total Energy Intake and Meal Frequency:

Energy intake (EI) includes calories from all food items and calorie beverages ingested. If lower EIs were associated with higher eating frequency, that would explain the lower body weights observed in frequent eaters. There is conflicting evidence regarding a relationship between EI and meal frequency.

Booth, 1988, (16) proposed that eating between ‘meals’ may be implicated in poor weight control, and suggested that caloric ‘snacks’ may fail to elicit appropriate satiety and compensatory response in subsequent ‘meals’ (poor appetite control), thereby
contributing specifically to overeating and obesity. However, empirical evidence to support this is lacking.

In contrast to Booth, Westerterp-Plantenga et al., 1994, (17) suggested that improved short-term compensatory eating responses might arise from habitual ‘snacking’. In a short-term study, they reported that habitual ‘nibblers’ (classified by eating frequency) made up the reduction in energy content of a light ‘lunch’ within 5 hours, while compensation by habitual ‘gorgers’ was not seen within 48 hours (17).

In a longer-term study, Hijda et al., 1964, (11) investigated whether a nibbling pattern of food intake promotes less total food consumption and consequently less total EL than a gorging pattern. In this study, the dietary pattern of an occupational group of 89 healthy men, aged 30-50 was evaluated, and the subjects were divided into sub-groups according to their meal frequency. Higher EL was reported in the subjects consuming their food in greater number of meals (5-6 or more). However, subjects with the smallest number of meals per day (3-4 including snacks) were found to be more overweight, and have thicker skin-folds than those consuming 5-6 meals. Skin-folds and weight values for subjects consuming 4-5 meals were intermediate.

Because it is well known that significant under-reporting occur in self reported dietary intake, especially by overweight people, the above study is certainly not conclusive evidence that feeding frequency does not affect total caloric intake. But, it can be said that this study does not support a mechanism of higher eating frequency resulting in lower adiposity through lower kcal intake.

In addition to the one study cited above, almost all of the more experimentally controlled studies, have controlled for EL, so that EL cannot be used to explain body
weight differences. For example, Dallosso et al., 1982, (24) fed eight young adult males an isoenergetic diet of similar composition either in 2-meals (gorging) or in 6-meals/day (nibbling) for 2-weeks on each dietary regimen. The mean body weight at the end of the gorging period was 0.79 kg higher than at the start of the gorging period, but there was no significant difference between the body weight at the beginning and end of the nibbling period.

II. Thermic Effect of Food and Eating Frequency:

The thermic effect of food (TEF), also referred to as the diet-induced thermogenesis (DIT), represents the energy used in the body’s processing of food. This includes work associated with the ingestion, absorption, transport, and storage of energy from ingested food. The percentage increase in energy expenditure over the BMR due to the TEF has been estimated to range from 5 to 15% (18, 19). It is logical to theorize that if this energy “used in the body’s processing of food” increases with increased meal frequency, it would explain the relationship between the frequency of eating and body weight and adiposity.

A number of studies have been conducted to investigate whether meal frequency has an effect on total EE through changes in the TEF. Kinabo et al., 1990, (20) investigated the effect of meal frequency and composition on the TEF in 18 non-obese female subjects. Eight subjects were given a high-carbohydrate low-fat diet once as a single meal and once as two meals. Ten other subjects consumed a low-carbohydrate high-fat diet once as a single meal and once as two meals. Their metabolic rate before and after consuming the test meal was measured by open circuit indirect calorimetry while the
subjects were in the resting state (lying down). No significant differences were found between the two feeding regimens.

Other studies reported an increase in the TEF while on a gorging pattern of food intake. Tai et al., 1991, (21) found a significantly higher effect on the TEF when a set amount of food was given all at one time compared when it was given as 6 equal portions through the day (241 kJ above resting levels on the gorging pattern, versus 174 kJ on the nibbling pattern). Verboeket-van de Venne et al., 1991, (22), also in their study, reported a greater contribution of the TEF to 24-hour EE while on the gorging pattern of food intake. Thirteen subjects were fed to energy balance in 2-meals/day (gorging) and 7-meals/day (nibbling) over a 2-day interval. They found increased lipogenic activity while on the gorging pattern, which resulted in an elevated EE during the postprandial hours. This indicated a greater contribution of the TEF to 24 hour EE. In a later study, Verboeket-Van de Venne et al., 1993, (23) found no effect of meal frequency on the contribution of TEF to the average daily metabolic rate.

In conclusion, these studies suggest an increase in meal frequency does not increase TEF. If there is any effect of feeding frequency on TEF, it is in the opposite direction. Thus, an increase in TEF does not seem to be the mechanism explaining the relationship between frequent eating and low body adiposity.

### III. Basal Metabolic Rate and Eating Frequency:

The basal metabolic rate (BMR) reflects the energy needed to support life. For the calculation of BMR, measurements of oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production are made under controlled conditions. Thus, an individual’s BMR is determined when he or she is in a post-absorptive state (i.e., no food intake for at least 12
hours), lying down, and completely relaxed (preferably very shortly after awakening from sleep in the morning). In addition, the temperature in the room in which the measurement occurs is made comfortable as possible for the individual (45).

Theoretically, an increase in BMR with increased meal frequency could account for the lower adiposity observed in frequent eaters. However, under controlled conditions, no differences have been reported in the BMR of nibblers versus gorgers. Verboeket-Van de Venne et al., 1993, (23) examined the effect of meal frequency on 10 males (25-61 years, BMI 20.7-30.4). During one week the subjects were fed to energy balance at 2-meals/day, and during another week at 7-meals/day. A 36 hour stay in the respiration chamber followed the first 6-days of each feeding regimen. Oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production were calculated over 24 hours. No statistical differences in 24-hour EE were reported when people had a gorging versus nibbling pattern of food intake.

Dallosso et al., 1982, (24), also reported no discernible effect of meal frequency on EE in a whole body calorimeter. The authors studied feeding frequency and energy balance in adult males. Eight young adult males were fed isoenergetic diet of similar composition on either 2-meals or on 6-meals/day at defined times. On each dietary regimen of 2-weeks the subjects occupied a whole body calorimeter for two, 31-hour periods, during which they followed a prescribed activity pattern. For each individual, the 24-hour EE in the calorimeter was highly reproducible and no discernible effect of meal frequency was observed under these controlled conditions. In summary, these studies suggest that BMR is not the factor explaining the relationship between the high feeding frequency and low body adiposity.
IV. Physical Activity and Feeding Frequency:

A greater EE through higher PA may account for the mechanism by which frequent eating is associated with less body fat. For this mechanism to work, both meal frequency and PA would have to be higher, apart from an increase in total EI. That is to say, an individual would have to eat more often and be more active, without eating more kcal, than a person who eats less often and exercises less.

One must consider the relationship between PA and total EI. Although, there is some evidence indicating that lean, active individuals increase their EI following exercise (25, 26, 27, 38, 29), overall, the body of evidence points to a rather weak coupling between PA-induced EE and total EI (30). In most studies, there is no observed increase in hunger or EI as a result of an exercise-induced energy deficit (31-34). For example, Imbeault et al., 1997, (31) put 11 lean young males on three activity regimens: exercise intensity at 30%VO₂ max, 70% VO₂ max, and a control condition. Energy intake was measured using ad libitum test meals. Under these conditions, there was no effect of exercise on EI and hunger. Keim et al., 1990, (32) put 12 obese female subjects on three 18-day treatments of varying EE: sedentary, moderate exercise (increased daily expenditure by 12.5%), and long duration exercise (increased daily expenditure by 25%). Food intake was measured by pre-weighing. Here also, the investigators found no effect of exercise on EI. Thus, although increased PA may trigger increased EI following exercise, the body of evidence points to no change in total EI after PA induced EE.

The studies described above manipulated the activity level and its effect on total EI, but they did not look at the relationship between PA and feeding frequency. Drummond et al., 1996, (35) has suggested two possible relationships between feeding frequency and
PA: (1) an active lifestyle may make people eat more often, and (2) an exerciser will have greater lean body mass which may explain why more frequent eating does not result in greater adiposity or body weight. A third possible relationship, not suggested by Drummond et al, is that feeding frequency may effect PA level.

Effect of Physical Activity on Eating Frequency:

There is some evidence indicating that individuals with higher levels of PA may eat more frequently. Butterworth et al., 1994, (36) reported that marathon runners achieved a relatively high EI by eating frequently, rather than by consuming larger meals. These runners rarely missed ‘main meals’ and also frequently consumed ‘snacks’. These results are also supported by other studies on the eating patterns of athletes (37, 38).

Increased eating frequency has also been observed in subjects adopting a quite modest increase in daily exercise (39). Durrant et al., 1982, (39) examined the effect of exercise on eating patterns in lean and obese subjects. Here, 12 obese and 4 lean subjects increased their EE by 100 kcal/day by cycling on a bicycle ergometer, which represented a very small increase in net EE. This amount was deliberately chosen so that it can be easily accomplished by the subjects (approximately 30-minutes). The researchers found that exercise significantly increased the frequency of eating an average of 0.5 bouts per day.

Effect of Eating Frequency on Physical Activity:

The only study to directly examine the relationship between eating frequency and PA, is an animal study by Leveille et al., 1967, (40). They looked at the spontaneous activity of meal-fed and rats eating ad libitum during the day and night. The spontaneous activity was determined by measuring the revolution of a wired cage. They found that the meal-
fed animals had a significantly lower level of activity than the nibbling rats, particularly
during the evening hours when activity was reduced by 75% in gorgers compared to the
nibblers. The greater feed efficiency (i.e. weight gain per gram of food consumed)
observed in the meal-fed rats, in this study was attributed to the lower activity level as
compared to the nibbling rats. In another animal study, Fabry et al., 1963, (41) noted
substantially lower oxygen consumption at night in intermittently starved rat, and they
also concluded that changes in spontaneous PA in ‘gorging’ rats seemed to contribute to
the apparent enhancement of feed efficiency on these regimens.

In humans, although it is possible that a ‘gorging’ pattern of eating may be associated
with reduced level of PA in free-living situations, Taylor et al., 1996, (42) did not see this
being clearly demonstrated in calorimeter studies. Only indirect evidence exists for a
relationship between meal frequency and PA in humans. Young, 1972, (43) reported on
subjective responses of normal weight young men, after being put on 1 meal per day
feeding regimen. Sixty percent of the men reported an overwhelming need to sleep after
one large meal/day. This data suggests that gorging can cause a feeling of tiredness. One
might speculate that this “overwhelming need to sleep” may result in less PA.

Verboeket-Van de Venne et al., 1993, (22) provided indirect evidence of decreased
PA on a gorging pattern of eating. As described earlier, the investigators found a greater
contribution of the TEF to 24-hour EE with the gorging pattern. But, because 24-hour EE
was not affected by the feeding frequency, the researchers speculated that, while on the
gorging pattern, EE during the rest of the day was decreased, possibly by a decrease in
PA. That is, they hypothesized that PA must have been reduced at the same time that TEF
was increased in order for total EE to be constant.
Dallosso et al., 1982, (24) also has indirect evidence of increased PA in people on nibbling patterns compared to gorging patterns. In spite of the fact that there was no discernible effect of meal frequency on EE in the calorimeter (two, 31-hour periods) under controlled conditions, a progressive small weight gain was observed throughout the 2-week period on the 2-meals-a-day system. The mean body weight at the end of the gorging period was 0.79 kg higher than at the start of the 2 week gorging period (P < 0.005), but there was no significant difference between the body weight at the beginning and end of the nibbling period. The investigators suggested that the increase in body weight may have been due to differences in PA throughout the day-time while subjects were not in the calorimeter. Thus, the investigators, suggest that increased frequency of eating may lead to changes in spontaneous PA.

The inability to measure a discrepancy between EI and energy output in the Dallosso study, illustrates how a small change in spontaneous activity could go unnoticed but still affect energy balance. Many factors may have an effect on spontaneous activity. Studies have shown that more ‘frequent eaters’ may have different lifestyle characteristics that may contribute to increased spontaneous PA. For example, Gallacher et al., 1988, (44), reported that ‘Type A’ behavior (characterized by time-driven conduct, a strong orientation towards work responsibilities or task completion and easily provoked hostility) has been associated with the consumption of small frequent meals. Eldestein et al., 1992, (15) surveyed over 800 subjects and found that lifestyle characteristics of frequent eaters might be quite different from those eating infrequently. They found that less frequent eaters were significantly younger, more likely to smoke, drank more alcohol, and had lower intakes of total energy and fat.
Thus, direct evidence from one animal study, and indirect evidence from human studies suggest that an inverse relationship may exist between the frequency of eating and PA.

**CONCLUSION:** Although some conflicting evidence still exists, both epidemiological (especially the early ones) and experimental studies provide evidence of an inverse relationship between the frequency of eating and adiposity. Although more definitive research needs to be done concerning the “EI” side of the energy balance equation, preliminary research indicates that nibblers actually eat the same amount of energy as gorgers, if not more. When examining available evidence concerning each parameter of the “EE” side of the equation, neither changes in BMR nor changes in the TEF appear to offer an explanation for this phenomenon. However, the third component of EE, PA, has been shown to have a positive correlation with frequency of eating in one animal study, and has been implied in some other human studies.

Thus, the purpose of this study is to further investigate whether there is a relationship between the frequency of eating and activity level in humans. This study will not determine if eating frequency is causing changes in PA or, if activity is causing changes in food intake. Thus, the causality of any reported relationship should be the subject of subsequent investigations.
TABLE 1: Nibbling and gorging, and snack/meal definitions in animal studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GORGING</th>
<th>NIBBLING</th>
<th>A MEAL/SNACK</th>
<th>STUDY TYPE</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ad libitum 2</td>
<td>The gorging rats were pair-fed the same diet consumed by the nibbling rats through a stomach tube twice a day (3)</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>The force-fed rats contained almost double the amount of body fat (17.6%) as animals eating the same diet ad libitum (9.6%).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad libitum 1</td>
<td>The gorging rats had access to food from 8 am to 10 am only, with water available at all times (42)</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>The meal fed animals had a significantly lower level of activity than the nibbling rats.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad libitum 2</td>
<td>The gorging rats were force-fed the same diet consumed by the nibbling rats twice a day (5)</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>The fat content of the force-fed animals relative to those that ate ad libitum became progressively greater as the dietary protein was increased in quantity from 0-67% of the diet.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad libitum 2</td>
<td>The gorging rats were force-fed the same diet consumed by the nibbling rats twice a day (4)</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>The nibbling rats had an average of 4.5 grams of fat per rat compared to the gorging rats, which had an average of 13.2 grams of fat.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIBBLING</td>
<td>GORGING</td>
<td>A MEAL/SNACK</td>
<td>STUDY TYPE</td>
<td>OUTCOME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>The 2 meals eaten at 8 hour intervals, and the 6 meals eaten at 2 hour intervals (24)</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>No discernible effect of meal frequency on EE was reported in a whole body calorimeter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A range 8 to 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Starting with the first time in the relevant 24-hour period, the components of two or more successive times are combined if these times are within an hour of each other and less than 30-minutes apart. The combined intake was counted as meal/snack if it was 40 calories or more (1)</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>The frequency of eating was inversely related to the adiposity index (2 skin-fold measurements, height, and weight) for both men and women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or more</td>
<td>3 or less</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>Successful return towards pre-pregnancy weight occurred more often in women with regular Breakfast and lunch habits (those not skipping meals).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Based on the estimation of energy required to maintain the weight of each subject, food was given as a single meal in one period, or as 10 equal meals every 2 hours (10)</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>There was a prompt decrease in serum lipid levels when the meal pattern was changed From 3-meals a day to nibbling, and a prompt increase when it was changed from 3-meals a day to gorging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 (snacks)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The same diet given as 1 Meal per day, or as 17 snacks eaten at hourly intervals throughout the day (12)</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>Serum total and LDL cholesterol and apolipoprotein levels were lowered by increasing meal frequency alone with no alteration in the nature or amount of food eaten.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Caloric values of individual meals (% of total daily caloric intake): 12.8, 7.0, 11.1, 35.4, 13.4, 21.5, and 8.8 (6)</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>A greater percentage of the 11-16 year olds consuming 3 meals/day had increased BMI and skin-fold thickness, than those who consumed 7 meals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Note</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>In the nibbling pattern, a meal was a range of 5% to 25% of total EI (23)</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>No statistical differences were reported in 24-hour EE when people had a gorging versus nibbling pattern of food Intake.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>In the nibbling pattern, a meal ranged from supplying 5% of total EI to 25% in no more than 2 ½ hours between meals (22)</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>No effect of meal frequency on the contribution to DIT to the average metabolic rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A set amount of food given all at one time or as two equal portions through the day (20)</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>A significantly higher effect on the TEF when the food was given all at one time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1400 kcal divided equally between meals (11)</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>Higher EI was reported in the subjects consuming their food in greater number of meals. However, subjects with the smallest number of meals (3-4 including snacks) per day were found to be more overweight, and to have thicker skin-folds than those with 5-6 meals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Definition</td>
<td>No Definition</td>
<td>Eating frequency determined by using self-defined 'meal' or 'snack' (14)</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>No significant associations between measures of fatness and numbers of eating occasions were found. The total number of eating occasions was positively associated with EI in females, which suggests that more active women were eating more frequently.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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INTRODUCTION

The relationship between the frequency of eating and energy balance has been investigated in a number of studies. Although there is considerable evidence showing that individuals who eat less frequently tend also to have higher body weight and adiposity (1,2,3,4,5,6,7), not all studies have revealed such a relationship (8,9) and these studies have failed to elucidate the mechanism by which feeding frequency effects energy balance in man.

In order to investigate how eating frequency may affect energy balance in man, one must consider the factors affecting overall energy balance. Energy balance (+/-) equals EI minus EE. On the “EI” side of the energy balance equation, one study has found that there is a tendency for greater amount of food to be consumed (both grams and kcal) when a larger number of meals/snacks are eaten (10). This finding is actually counter to the observation that people tend to weigh less and have higher body adiposity when they eat a larger number of meals (1-7). Although more definitive studies need to be conducted on the relationship between meal frequency and overall food intake, there is currently no evidence that people who eat less frequently consume more energy.
When examining available evidence concerning each parameter of the “EE” side of the equation, neither changes in BMR, nor changes in the TEF appear to offer an explanation for the higher BMI associated with less frequent eating (11, 12, 13, 14, 15). However, the third component of EE, PA, has been shown to have a positive correlation with the frequency of eating in one animal study (16), and in several human studies, and differences in PA have been suggested as a possible explanation for the observed differences in BMI seen in association with more frequent eating (13, 14, 17-20).

The objective of this study was to investigate the relationship between the frequency of eating and PA. In order to shed light on the possible mechanism of how eating frequency may be effecting total energy balance, we examined the relationship between frequency of eating and total PA, as well as PA on and off the job.

**METHODS:**

**Subjects**

Seventy five women in South Florida between the ages of 24 and 55 were recruited. Most subjects were faculty, employees, and graduate students at Florida International University. Subjects were recruited via university e-mails, flyers posted on campus, or were approached to take the interview while they were on the University’s campus grounds (i.e. University cafeteria, and Department offices). Additional subjects were recruited from outside the University grounds via referrals. Subjects were excluded if they indicated that they had any chronic illness, were pregnant, or were dieting to lose or gain weight.
**Study Design**

Potential subjects were first screened for eligibility (appendix A), then they signed a consent form (appendix B). All data were gathered via a questionnaire administered by an interviewer either in person or over the phone. Subjects provided information including age and self-reported height and weight, demographics, habitual eating patterns, and a recall of a typical 24-hour food intake (appendix C).

A “habitual meal frequency over 24-hours” (usual meal pattern) was used to determine the total number of eating occasions per day. Starting with the first eating occasion, the energy components of two or more successive times were combined if these were less than 30-minutes apart. The combined intake was counted as an “eating occasion” if it was 40 kcalories or more (1). For example, the subject reports having a coffee with milk and no sugar at 8:00 am, and at 8:20 am a piece of toast and cheese. This would be counted as one eating occasion because they were less than 30 minutes apart, and the combined intake was more than 40 kcalories. The typical number of eating occasions was confirmed by several questions which explored the usual eating frequency pattern. When a minor discrepancy occurred between the two numbers, the subject was asked to clarify it and a usual number of eating occasions was obtained. For example, one subject reported not having a lunch when answering the questions about her usual eating pattern, however, in her “habitual meal frequency” she reported consuming a series of snacks around lunch time which actually constituted two eating occasions.

Habitual PA was assessed through the use of two questionnaires. The Baecke Questionnaire of Habitual Physical Activity (Beacke) (appendix D) (21) provided the following physical activity scores: Total physical activity = work index + sports index +
non-sports index. The Health Insurance Plan of New York Questionnaire on Physical Activity (HIPNY) (appendix E) (22) provided the following scores: Total physical activity = occupational score + leisure score.

Calculations and statistical analyses

Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated for each participant using the reported heights and weights (BMI = [Body weight(lb.) × 705] / Height (In)²). Pearson and partial correlation coefficients were used to assess the relationships between PA scores, eating frequency, age, and BMI.

RESULTS:

The mean age of the subjects was 40 (range 24-55 years). Table 3 provides the reported mean age, BMI, eating frequencies and physical activity scores of the participants.

With both questionnaires, significant positive correlations were found between total PA scores and the frequency of eating (Figure 1). Significant positive correlations were also found between leisure time and sports PA scores and the frequency of eating in both questionnaires (Table 4). However, significant correlations were not found between eating frequency and PA on the job using either questionnaire (Table 4).

Significant negative correlation was also obtained between BMI, and PA scores in both questionnaires (Table 4). Significant correlations were not found between age and total PA in either questionnaire (Table 4).

Using partial correlations, to determine the inter-relationships between BMI, age, physical activity, and eating frequency, we found that there were independent
correlations between PA and BMI, and between eating frequency and BMI (Figure 2). There was also a significant partial correlation between eating frequency and PA.
Table 3
Mean Age, BMI, and PA scores of participants grouped by usual number of meals eaten per day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eating Frequency</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Baecke Scores</th>
<th>Health Ins. Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Sport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16 (21)</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12 (16)</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>21 (28)</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14 (19)</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>75 (100)</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 4**  
Correlations between PA, eating frequency, BMI, and age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baecke Work</th>
<th>Baecke Sport</th>
<th>Baecke Leisure</th>
<th>Baecke Total</th>
<th>HIPNY Work</th>
<th>HIPNY Leisure</th>
<th>HIPNY Total</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Eating Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eating Frequency</strong></td>
<td>r = 0.169</td>
<td>r = 0.554</td>
<td>r = 0.273</td>
<td>r = 0.519</td>
<td>r = 0.183</td>
<td>r = 0.659</td>
<td>r = 0.489</td>
<td>r = -0.600</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P = 0.147</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
<td>P = 0.018</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
<td>P = 0.115</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI</strong></td>
<td>r = -0.226</td>
<td>r = -0.453</td>
<td>r = -0.336</td>
<td>r = -0.508</td>
<td>r = -0.200</td>
<td>r = -0.495</td>
<td>r = -0.418</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>r = -0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P = 0.051</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
<td>P = 0.003</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
<td>P = 0.085</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>r = -0.026</td>
<td>r = -0.048</td>
<td>r = 0.048</td>
<td>r = -0.017</td>
<td>r = -0.042</td>
<td>r = 0.088</td>
<td>r = 0.011</td>
<td>r = 0.281</td>
<td>r = -0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P = 0.825</td>
<td>P = 0.683</td>
<td>P = 0.683</td>
<td>P = 0.882</td>
<td>P = 0.721</td>
<td>P = 0.454</td>
<td>P = 0.925</td>
<td>P = 0.015</td>
<td>P = 0.041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Eating Frequency and Physical Activity Scores in both questionnaires

- Baecke PA Score and Eating Frequency: $r = 0.519, p < 0.001$
- HIP PA Score and Eating Frequency: $r = 0.489, p < 0.001$
Figure 2: Partial correlation between measures of total physical activity, age, BMI, and eating frequency.

A. The Baecke Questionnaire of Habitual Physical Activity
B. The Health Insurance Plan of New York Questionnaire on Physical Activity.

![Diagram showing correlations between variables:]

- Eating Frequency: $r = -0.148$, $P = 0.212$
- HIPNY Total PA Score: $r = 0.346$, $P = 0.003$
- Age: $r = 0.188$, $P = 0.111$
- BMI: $r = -0.212$, $P = 0.072$
- $P$ values are for statistical significance.
DISCUSSION

The results of this study confirm previous studies that have shown that increased meal frequency is associated with lower BMI (1-7). But, in this study we also found a significant positive correlation between eating frequency and PA. This is the first direct evidence of a relationship between eating frequency and PA in humans. This finding provides support for a number of other studies which had indirect evidence of such a relationship. The positive correlation between eating frequency and overall PA level obtained in this study may, at least in part, explain the negative correlation between eating frequency and BMI.

Several studies have reported indirect evidence that PA is higher in people with higher feeding frequency. Verboeket-Van de Venne et al., 1991, (14) found that the TEF was increased when fewer meals were ingested, yet 24-hour EE was not affected. Although the researchers did not measure PA, they hypothesized that EE from PA must have been decreased at the same time that TEF was increased in order for total EE to be constant. Dallosso et al., 1982, (13) also found indirect evidence of increased PA in people on nibbling patterns compared to gorging patterns. In this study, the researchers observed no discernible effect of meal frequency on EE measured in a calorimeter (two, 31-hour periods), yet a progressive small weight gain was measured throughout the 2-week period on the 2-meal-a-day system. The investigators suggested that a plausible explanation for an effect of food frequency on energy balance is that the frequency of eating leads to changes in spontaneous PA. The only published study which has direct evidence of a relationship between frequency of eating and PA, was done in rats. Leveille et al., 1967, (16), found that the meal-fed rats (fed twice daily) had a significantly lower level of
activity than the nibbling rats (eating *ad libitum*). This was particularly true during the evening hours (the dark cycle) when the activity was reduced by 75% in gorgers compared to the nibblers. Although these studies were suggestive that PA and eating frequency may be correlated in humans, our study is the first to provide evidence of this relationship.

The nature of our study does not allow us to determine ‘causality’, that is, it does not explain the relationship between eating frequency and PA. There are several possible explanations for the relationship we have observed here. One theory is that expending energy through PA may require increased eating frequency in order to achieve adequate energy intake. Several studies have noted that frequent eating in trained athletes is necessary to meet energy needs (18-20). But, probably more relevant to the current study, increased eating frequency has also been observed in human subjects adopting a modest increase in daily exercise (21). In this study, obese and lean subjects increased EE by 100 kcal per day by cycling on a bicycle ergometer. This increase in activity increased the number of eating bouts by an average of 0.5 bouts per day. The authors of this study felt that periods of cycling caused meals to be more fragmented. Thus, it is possible that leisure time activities, including sports, may cause people to eat more frequent, smaller meals. This theory is supported by the results of the current study in which we found a significant positive correlation between eating frequency and leisure and sport PA scores.

Another theory that may explain the relationship between eating frequency and PA is that the eating pattern may affect the propensity to engage in PA. Young *et al.*, 1972, (17) reported on subjective responses of normal weight young men that were put on a feeding regimen of one meal per day. In this study, sixty percent of the men reported an
overwhelming need to sleep after one large meal. The researchers concluded that gorging can cause a feeling of tiredness and this “overwhelming need to sleep” may result in a reduction in PA. Thus, in this scenario, feeding frequency may be affecting activity level.

A third possible theory, that may explain the relationship between PA and eating frequency, is that personality type and lifestyle characteristics of frequent eaters might be quite different from those eating infrequently. For example, Edelstein et al., 1992, (9) found that less frequent eaters were significantly younger, more likely to smoke, drank more alcohol, and had lower intakes of total energy and fat. Gallacher et al., 1988, (24) also noted that ‘Type A’ behavior (characterized by time driven conduct, a strong orientation towards work responsibilities or task completion and easily revoked hostility) has been associated with the consumption of small frequent meals. This may suggest that people adopting certain lifestyles, or perhaps those with certain personality characteristics, may have the tendency to both eat more often and be more physically active. In our study, we did not collect information on personality type or lifestyle characteristics such as drinking or smoking, or diet composition. Further investigation into the relationship between personality type, lifestyle, PA, and eating frequency is warranted.

In this study, there was no evidence of a relationship between eating frequency and occupational PA. We found no significant correlation between eating frequency and PA level while on the job. It should be noted that we did not have a wide range of professions in our subject pool. Over 90% of the subjects in our study had professions where they worked in an office. On the job mobility and PA may be significantly limited by the job description and duties. It is possible that we would have found a correlation between on
the job PA and eating frequency if women with high-activity professions had been included in the study.

Although previous studies have shown that PA levels change as people age (25, 26), we found no significant partial correlation between age and total PA using either questionnaire. This discrepancy with published studies may be an artifact of our relatively small subject pool used for the study (75 subjects, between 24 and 55 years of age), and the study population (over 90% are educated, and were either students, faculty, or doing office work). Thus, because we have such a homogenous group of subjects, differences in activity due to age may not be apparent.

Significant partial correlations were found between both eating frequency and BMI and between PA and BMI. This suggests that eating frequency has an impact on BMI apart from its effect on PA. This finding raises the question of whether eating frequency could be affecting BMI through two separate mechanisms, only one of which being related to PA.

One limitation of this study is that we did not have a validated method to determine habitual meal frequency. We were forced to modify a standard “24-hour Recall” to be able to assess habitual eating frequency. This modification was necessary because 24-hour recalls are only valid to determine the habitual intake of populations, not of individuals (27). In future studies in this area, it is crucial that a validated tool to assess meal frequency be developed. A second possible limitation of the study is that the subjects heights and weights were self-reported. Miss-reporting or errors in these values would affect subsequent BMI calculations. Although self-reported values are not the
ideal, self-reported heights and weights have been shown to be valid in other studies (28). Thus, the results of this study are unlikely to be affected by this methodology.

**CONCLUSION**

In our study, we found that people who ate more frequently also had higher PA levels, especially in leisure time activities and sports. More frequent eaters were also found to have lower BMI. Although this study provided information on the relationship between PA and meal frequency, it did not specifically investigate whether or not increased meal frequency drives PA or if increased PA causes an increase in meal frequency. Therefore, future studies should further investigate what specific mechanism may be responsible for the relationship.

This area of investigation has important implications for health promotion. If in the future, research shows that increasing eating frequency helps people to be more physically active, RD’s may advise patients to eat smaller, more frequent meals to help them manage their weight and be more physically fit. On the other hand, if being more physically active drives changes in other lifestyle factors, such as meal size and frequency, exercise-promotion programs can help beginning exercisers cope with these lifestyle modifications.
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Appendix: A

Screening Form

1. Do you suffer from any disease or illness? Yes / No

2. If yes what? __________________________

3. Are you taking any medications, other than birth control, acne, or allergy medications? Yes / No

4. If Yes what? __________________________

5. What is your age? ______

6. Are you currently dieting to either lose or gain weight? Yes / No

7. If yes what diet are you following? __________________________

                                           __________________________
Appendix: B

Eating Frequency and Physical Activity Study
Consent Form

Principal Investigator: Mona J Ashchi, B.S., a graduate student working under the supervision of: Victoria H. Castellanos, Ph.D., R.D.
College of Health Sciences,
Florida International University

Procedure: The study involves an approximately 10-minute interview including 24-hour typical dietary recall, physical activity questionnaires, and some demographics.

Risks: There will be no risks involved during the interview, or while filling the questionnaires. All records will be kept confidential with no names or phone numbers identifying the records.

Benefits: As a participant, you will be contributing to our understanding of human food consumption patterns and its effects on physical activity.

Right to Withdraw: Participation in this study is completely voluntary. You may withdraw your consent and discontinue participation in this research project at any time with no negative consequences. You have the right to ask questions concerning the procedure and have any questions answered to your satisfaction.

Questions: If you desire further information about this research you should contact Mona J. Ashchi, B.S. at (305) 945-0001. Or Victoria Castellanos, Ph.D. at (305) 348-3235. A copy of this informed consent will be provided to you.

I have read and I understand the above.

I FURTHER UNDERSTAND THAT I AM FREE TO WITHDRAW MY CONSENT AND TERMINATE PARTICIPATION AT ANY TIME.

Participant’s Signature ___________________________ Date __________

I have explained and defined in detail the research procedure in which the participant has agreed to participate, and have offered her a copy of this informed consent form.

Investigator’s Signature ___________________________ Date __________
Appendix: C

Demographics, and Habitual Meal Frequency over 24-Hours

Age: _ Height: _____ Weight: _____

1. What do you normally have for breakfast?

2. Do you normally have lunch? Yes / No

3. Do you normally have something in-between breakfast and lunch, other than tea, coffee, or diet soda? Yes / No

4. If yes, how many separate times do you eat something between breakfast and lunch (at least ½ an hour in-between)? ____. Describe typical:

5. Do you normally have dinner? Yes / No

6. Do you normally have something between lunch and dinner, other than coffee, tee, or diet soda? Yes / No

7. If yes, how many separate times do you have something between lunch and dinner (at least ½ an hour in-between)? ______. Describe typical:

8. Do you normally have anything after dinner? Yes / No

9. If yes, list:

Habitual meal frequency over 24-hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time: From: ____ To ____</th>
<th>Food:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix: D

Baecke Questionnaire of Habitual Physical Activity

1. What is your main occupation? ________________________________________
   1 = “low level” occupation such as office or clerical work, driving, shopping, teaching, or studying.
   2 = “Middle level” occupation such as factory work, plumbing, or carpentry.
   3 = “High level” occupation such as dock work or construction work.

2. At work I sit
   never / seldom / sometimes / often / always 1-2-3-4-5

3. At work I stand
   never / seldom / sometimes / often / always 1-2-3-4-5

4. At work I walk
   never / seldom / sometimes / often / always 1-2-3-4-5

5. At work I lift heavy loads
   Never / seldom / sometimes / often / always 1-2-3-4-5

6. After working I am tired
   never / seldom / sometimes / often / always 1-2-3-4-5

7. At work I sweat
   never / seldom / sometimes / often / always 1-2-3-4-5

8. In comparison with others my own age I think my work is physically
   much heavier / heavier / as heavy / lighter / much lighter 1-2-3-4-5

9. Do you play sport
   yes / no
   if yes:
   - which sport do you play most frequently? _______ Intensity 0.75-1.26-1.76
     - how many hours a week? ___ <1 / 1-2 / 2-3 / 3-4 / >4 Time 0.5-1.5-2.5-3.5-4.5
     - how many months a year? ___ <1 / 1-3 / 4-6 / 7-9 / >9 Proportion 0.04-0.17-0.42-0.67-0.92
   If you play a second sport:
   - which sport do you play most frequently? _______ Intensity 0.76-1.26-1.76
     - how many hours a week? ___ <1 / 1-2 / 2-3 / 3-4 / >4 Time 0.5-1.5-2.5-3.5-4.5
     - how many months a year? ___ <1 / 1-3 / 4-6 / 7-9 / >9 Proportion 0.04-0.17-0.42-
10. In comparison with others my own age I think my physical activity during leisure
   time is
   much more / more / the same / less / much less 5-4-3-2-1

11. During leisure time I sweat
    very often / often / sometimes / seldom / never 5-4-3-2-1

12. During leisure time I play sport
    never / seldom / sometimes / often / very often 1-2-3-4-5

13. During leisure time I watch television
    never / seldom / sometimes / often / very often 1-203-4-5

14. During leisure time I walk
    never / seldom / sometimes / often / very often 1-2-3-4-5

15. During leisure time I cycle
    never / seldom / sometimes / often / very often 1-2-3-4-5

16. How many minutes do you walk and/or cycle per day to and from
    work, school, and shopping?
    <5 / 5-15 / 15-30 / 30-45 / >45 1-2-3-4-6
## Physical Activity Connected with Job

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Assigned Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time on the job spent sitting</td>
<td>Practically all</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than $\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>About $\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than $\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Almost none</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time on the job spent walking</td>
<td>Almost none</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than $\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>About $\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than $\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Practically all</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking to get to and from work</td>
<td>None or less than 1 block</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 or 2 blocks</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 or 4 blocks</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 to 9 blocks</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 to 39 blocks</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40+ blocks (2+ miles)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifting or carrying heavy things</td>
<td>Very infrequently or never</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequently</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation to and from work</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car and/or bus and/or railroad and/or ferry</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subway</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subway and one or more other modes of transportation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours on the job</td>
<td>Less than 25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25-35</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35-45</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-50</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51+</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Frequently</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takes walks in good weather</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Works around house or apartment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardening in spring or summer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takes part in sports other than golf, Bowling, pool or billiards is mentioned</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>