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ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS

NURSING CASE MANAGEMENT: A NEW PERSPECTIVE TO CARING FOR PATIENTS WITH HIP FRACTURE

by

Beverlin Allen

Florida International University, 1994

Miami, Florida

Professor Luz Porter, Major Professor

Nursing Case Management has motivated nurses to examine the effects of care provided to patients, and to devise means of improving this care. The success of this nursing care delivery model is well documented among a variety of acute and chronically ill patients. Utilizing non-parametric ANOVA for comparison of two means, this study investigates the outcome of the implementation of a nursing case management model on an orthopedic unit of a local hospital. A convenience sample (N=149) of hip-fracture patients for two separate eight months charting periods were used. The first period was pre-case management and the second period was after the implementation of nursing managed care on the unit. Results suggested that nursing case management was effective in reducing the total length of hospital stay and post-operative days significantly.
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CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

The current forty-year trend of increase in the elderly population is projected to continue well into the twenty first century (United States General Accounts Office, 1993). It is this group that accounts for the largest percent of patients with hip fractures. As the incidence of hip fractures in the elderly grows, the medical model of health care will fail to adequately address the functional needs of these patients (Reinhard, 1988).

Despite the government's attempts to control costs, total health care spending in 1992 rose by 11.9% to 838.5 billion dollars - 14% of the Gross National Product. Although total costs are increasing annually they are still surpassed by hospital expenditures (Nurse Executive, 1993). Patients with hip fractures consume substantial health resources. According to Jetty, Harris, Cleary, & Campion (1987), patients in the United States, with hip fractures, use more than half of all hospital days devoted to the care of fracture patients.

In October 1983, the Federal Government, cognizant of the problems within the health care industry, implemented its new prospective payment system, using diagnostic related
groups (DRG) as a basis for payment. Clients hospitalized for hip fractures are now categorized with fees set in advance for each DRG (Grimaldi & Micheletti, 1985). Currently, there is much pressure to regulate the health care system, mainly because of increasing inflation. Nurses and other care providers must take the challenge to design innovative systems of care delivery that will adequately assist patients and their families through this crisis.

Predicated on the belief that a person is an open unique holistic system, subjected to environmental stressors, Newman (1989) predicts that nursing case management might be a most effective and efficient approach to organizing patient care, thereby assisting patients through the pressure of illness. The purpose of this study is to examine the effectiveness of nursing case management (NCM) in improving the quality of life for patients with hip fractures.
PROBLEM STATEMENT

GENERAL PROBLEM:

What is the impact of nursing case management upon patients with hip fractures?

Specific Problems:

1. What is the effect of case management upon patient outcomes in terms of:
   1.1 Ambulation (Am)
   1.2 Activities of daily living (ADL)
   1.3 Length of hospitalization (LOS)

2. What human or environmental factors enhance the effect of case management upon patient outcomes?

Variables:

The key variables under study are nursing case management (independent variable) and patient outcomes (dependent variable) measured in terms of ability to ambulate (A), activities of daily living (ADL), and length of hospital stay (LOS). Extraneous variables that will be accounted for but not necessarily controlled are age, sex, physical fitness, ethnicity, and other debilitating illness.
Definition of Terms:
Case Management: A systematic and coordinated approach to delivery of patient care over an episode of illness in order to improve the quality and efficacy of patient care.

Patient Outcomes: Patient's response to nursing care that is based on knowledge of the usual course of events, and factors relevant to the care of the particular disease process. This is manifested by change in patient's current and future status: measured in related behavior changes, ability to perform activities of daily living, and health knowledge.

Ambulate: The distance walked with or without assistance or assistive devices such as canes or walkers.

Activities of Daily Living (ADL): Self care activities that the patient must accomplish each day to meet his/her own needs and the demands of daily life. ADLs include personal hygiene, bathing, dressing, grooming, feeding, and toileting (Smeltzer & Bare 1992).

Length of Hospitalization: The number of inpatient hospital days for each patient.
Significance of the Study:

Although the recent increasing focus on health care reform has heightened emphasis on cost of health care, this does not seem to be the only challenge of consumers. Ethridge (1989) found that "consumers are becoming more emphatic about the need to maintain, or in some cases improve, the quality of care that is provided. They are concerned that costs may be reduced without regard to subsequent effect on quality" (p.1). Subsequently, a new system of care delivery is needed to adequately address this predicament.

Nursing case management is suggested as the model of care that could address the needs of consumers, nurses and hospitals. This model of nursing care delivery requires that nurses utilize management skills such as controlling, delegating, directing, and collaborating with patients and other professionals. Such responsibilities could heighten levels of professional accountability as well as afford nurses greater autonomy over patient care. Zander (1985) stated, "assigning and holding the locus of accountability for individualized set of outcomes of nursing care at the staff nurse level will create major advancement in the very culture of nursing" (p.1). Staff nurses could experience greater sense of control and satisfaction regarding their
care of patients.

Orientation of new staff could be facilitated by the utilization of the critical pathway. This allows the orientees to visualize the total picture for the major case type on the unit. Nursing standard of care allows for examination of variances of individual patients. Since these standards are explicit, nurses are likely to become more goal focused.

Hospitals are not paid for clients based on length of hospitalization, rather the hospital gets a fixed amount based on a predicted length of stay for the particular DRG (Reinhard, 1988). Although the financial incentives for expeditious discharge are clear, hospitals could face penalties for clients readmitted for the same problem too soon (Grave & Kovner, 1986). Obviously an effective monitoring system is needed to minimize such a development. Nursing case management is likely to give administrators, finance officers, and third party payer, concrete evidence that clinicians are actually addressing the most efficient way to provide cost effective quality care for patients. Results of studies done on nursing case management could be a foundation for the improvement of patient care delivery and clinical outcomes per case.
A variety of issues have motivated nurses to reflect on the lack of empowerment within the profession, and to plan for advancement of professional nursing practice. These include restructuring of healthcare services, reimbursement systems, and consumer demand for effective quality care.

In spite of this heightened emphasis on healthcare being more competitive with other businesses, nursing has not kept current with this transformation. O'Mally, Loveridge, and Cunningham (1989), observed that "current systems do not possess the depth and sophistication required to manage both the quality and cost component of health care" (p. 29). Consequently, major tasks facing nursing is how to utilize the unique position as the only clinical discipline with the maximum patient clinical contact, to provide safe, cost effective patient care without jeopardizing the stance for quality care.

Nursing must venture to design a model of health care delivery that will simultaneously assure quality of care, patient satisfaction, and cost containment. According to Zander (1987), "the model should keep professional nursing at the pivotal junction of cost and quality, and should
empower the staff nurse so that he/she can truly be accountable for the clinical and financial outcome of nursing care" (p. 16).

Determining which method of care delivery to be used is still perplexing to many nurses. Christensen & Bender (1994) observed that a bewildering number of nursing care models have arisen from attempts to design the perfect systems. The dilemma is finding out as to which of these models can provide quality care in a cost-effective manner. Beyond the financial concerns, special attention must be directed to finding out which model best focuses attention on patient care and permits professional fulfillment of the nurse. Of the numerous models discussed which include paired practice, patient-focused care, cross-training, and product line management, case management is the most extensively addressed in hospital and nursing literature.

Nursing case management is suggested as a model of care delivery that restructures the role of nurses so that accountability, continuity, and coordination is fostered among all disciplines throughout the patient’s length of stay. This model of care delivery is fast becoming very popular in healthcare circles. Smith (1993) noted that "not since the introduction of primary nursing has such excitement and optimism existed in nursing practice as with
the introduction of the concept of case management" (p.13). In this scenario, focuses of care are geared towards various issues affecting healthcare resources such as, cost containment, DRGs, and decreasing capital.

Definition of nursing case management has similar connotation for many writers. Pelletier (1990) defines nursing case management as a system of patient care delivery which strategically positions nursing and recognizes the key role that nursing plays in the allocation of resources, consumer perceptions, and overall probability of healthcare organizations. Zander (1985) states that case management is a set of methodology and systems that ensures the attainment of the nursing product with the best outcomes possible for patients and families. Similarly, Ethridge (1989) explains this model of care as a system of patient care delivery that focuses on the achievement of outcomes within effective time frames and with appropriate use of resources. It is viewed as encompassing the entire episode of illness, across settings in which the patient receives care.

Regardless of the various definitions and multiplicity of informations available on case management, there is little scientific research documented. However, this has not dampened the enthusiasm of advocates of this model of nursing. Ethridge (1989) affirms that although the worth of case management is still unclear, the preliminary data is
positive yet not conclusive. "However, there is enormous worth in any process that finally identifies physician and nurses as accountable for the financial and clinical outcomes of patient" (p. 37). Nursing case management is proposed as the system of care that will stimulate nurses to futuristic ideas. Goals are designed to facilitate outcomes based on standard of care. These include well-coordinated continuity of care through collaborative practice patterns, efficient use of resources to reduce wasted time, energy and materials, timely discharge within DRG length of stay, professional development and satisfaction without jeopardizing the quality of care delivery (Brower, 1988, Zander, 1988, & Peirog, 1991).

The case management concept is not unfamiliar to nursing, rather, it reinforces established nursing role. According to Ward- Evans, Hodges, & Smith (1991), case management has been a dimension of practice since 1863. A form of case management was used in 1895 by pioneers of nursing such as Lilian Ward, and Mary Brewster, who provided in-home services to individuals referred by doctors or families. Nurses coordinated the care of patients with their physician, if they had none, nurses would call upon one. The nurses also arranged available resources for the poor, and hospitalization of their clients when necessary.
(Kalisch, & Kalisch, 1986).

Despite this rich history, the nursing role has not progressed in health institutions. Zander (1987) observed that, although historically nurses have been the managers of care in the hospital, their perspective was usually the management of care for the crisis, the shift, or the stay in a given geographic unit. Essentially, this stems from the way nurses perceive themselves as task workers, which limits their authority and weakens their image. Furthermore, "the inherent strength of nursing seems to be lost in roles which have tremendous responsibility, but impoverished authority within the healthcare institution" (p. 16). Over the years, the nursing case management role has progressed from community to hospital and back to community.

According to Smith & Danfort (1994), the pioneering of today's hospital-based case management is credited to the New England Medical Center (NEMC) in Boston, MA. Concepts from primary nursing have been expanded and New England Medical Center continues to be a motivating force in the promotion of case management among hospitals. It seems ironic that the nursing challenge is the same today as almost ten years ago when Twyon (1985) declares that nursing's very real challenge in 1985 was to move from a traditional posture of responding to external demands to a position that would influence changes in the healthcare
system. Although, this change was seen as indeed, a quantum leap it was one that nursing must make because it was necessary and there was one is in a better position to do it particularly in the inpatient area.

Nursing case management is proposed as the strategy for challenging existing predicaments in healthcare. The unique role that nursing plays in its development has the prospect of achieving the recognition for which the profession strives.

Critical Pathways.
Like many other health care providers, critical pathways form an integral path of the nursing case management at Mercy Hospital in Miami Florida. Millenson (1988) describes critical pathways as the tool used by case management teams to collaborate and communicate with staff and with others. Development of this tool for each case type has marked an important step in nursing case management.

Giffin & Giffin (1994) found that the critical pathway concept which originated in the late 1980’s from work done at the New England Medical Center, has evolved into an inter-disciplinary tool, facilitating coordination of care among multiple clinical departments and care-givers, and more recently, across multiple delivery settings. Various
definitions of critical pathways exist.

Zander (1987) explains that critical pathways are abbreviated versions of case management plans showing the critical or key incidents that must occur in a predictable and timely fashion to achieve an appropriate length of stay. Categories of key incidences of a critical pathway are consults, diagnostic tests, activities, treatments, diet, medications, discharge planning and teaching.

Specifically, critical pathways promote the identification of specific outcomes, process, and resources by case type. Nurses and doctors express little difficulty in developing critical pathways because this process simply requires that they outline their work. This allows nurses and other healthcare workers to plan and anticipate outcomes.

Although, critical pathways take existing information and makes it more useful to practitioners, it is suggested that development of the plan can be costly and time consuming, especially with regard to commitment of staff time for the successful implementation of a program. Additionally, a multi-disciplinary team is needed to research, develop, and implement each pathway. Comprising the team should be staff from every department which plays a role in the patient’s care for that diagnosis. Participating disciplines include: physical therapy, dietary, nursing,
occupational therapy, laboratory, respiratory, utilization, administration, quality assurance, and physician. The team is also responsible for reviewing and updating the pathway once it is in place.

The proposed benefits of critical pathways to nursing are many as noted by Metcalf (1991). These include assurance of quality care while maintaining consumers satisfaction, early problem identification, examination of over-use and under-use of resources as well as early discharge planning. As the patient’s care proceeds, actual care is compared with the expected plan of care. Deviation from the expected patterns are recorded as variances. For example, patients with hip surgery are out of bed by the second post-operative day. Failure to accomplish this is recorded as a variance.

The case manager in consultation with the physicians evaluate the variances. Modifications of the plan are made where required. Ethridge (1989) elucidates to three sources of variances - system, client, and patient. System variance occurs when, for example, physical therapy is not initiated on time due to not enough therapist on a particular day resulting in delayed discharge. An example of clinicians variance is found when a haemoglobin is required on Day two but is not ordered or obtained. Patients variance is exemplified by a patient developing a deep vein thrombosis
which results in prolonging the length of hospitalization.

Case Manager:

For any system to be effective, it is advantageous to have someone who is accountable. Similar to a gate-keeper, the nursing case manager actualizes and orchestrates the case management process. Much controversy still exists in healthcare circles as to who should be the case manager. Suggestions included the social worker, the doctor, and the utilization review coordinator. Still others firmly believe that the nurse is the person, who spends the most time with both patients and relatives so is best prepared to be the case manager.

Ethridge (1989) advocated that the staff nurse case manager is the person who makes the system work for patients, families, and physicians. As a primary care giver, the nurses as the case manager in a key position to adapt policies, procedures and to note any variance in the patient’s progress determined by the critical path.

The role of the nurse case manager includes collaborating with the health care team and patient in ensuring effective use of resources, establishing standards and meeting outcomes within an appropriate length of stay. O’Mally (1992) noted that central to the case manager role is accountability for managing clinical care across
departmental, service, and program lines as well fluctuations within the health care system. Moreover, it is the responsibility of the nurse case manager to ensure that variations based on a patient's individual needs are merited and well documented. For example, the nurse case manager strives for clinical goals such as maintenance of skin integrity; functional goals such as a patient performing activities of daily living; and social goals such as a family learning how to care for incisional site. Expected outcomes are both personal and professional rewards as patient care improves and staff nurses become more proactive.

O'Mally (1992) argues that today's nurse desires expanded career opportunities, empowerment with participation in decisions affecting his or her practice, more recognition by physician colleagues, and higher status within the health care organization. Nursing case management has been shown to facilitate nurses demonstrating creativity in leading the healthcare team to a more progressive model of patient care delivery, with measurable benefits for all.

Development of the case manager's role is vital to nursing and to the enhancement of the profession. Zander 1985 noted that until nursing has delivered clinical outcomes per "case" and developed a case manager who is
accountable for their actualization, we will not achieve the clarity, stature, or viability that our patients, their families, our colleagues, communities, and institutions so urgently need from our profession.

Patients with Hip Fractures: Need for Case Management.

Review of the literature indicates that much success has been achieved by using case management for some case types. However, little research has been documented on the utilization of case management model for hip fractured patients. Yet, in 1985 an annual incident of 200,000 hip fractures were reported, and predictions of a rise to 330,000 in the next 15 years. Further predictions indicate that by the year 2050, there will be 650,000 cases of hip injuries per year, with half of those injured above the age of 85 years (Brody, 1985).

The population in the United States over age 65 is growing rapidly and will increase from 12% to 20% by the year 2025 (National Institute on Ageing). The magnitude of this situation makes it imperative that immediate steps be taken to develop a clear understanding of fractures, and the process involved in the management of patients with hip fractures. In her definition of fracture, Hansel (1988) states that a fracture is a break in the continuity of a
bone which occurs when more stress is placed on a bone than it is able to absorb. Most fractures are the result of trauma. Any one who acquires one or more fractures therefore, has to make rapid and often long-range adjustments in his/her pattern of daily living.

Although not always apparent, hip fracture is an acute, devastating often fatal event for many people. Nineteen percent of patients die within six months of their injury (Zindrick, Daley, & Holleyfield, 1985). Care of these patients requires specialized nursing care based on scientific principles regarding fractures and the fracture healing process. (Hansel, 1988).

Recent studies done on hip fracture patients have shown that the incident of hip fracture is increasing rapidly with age so that the incident doubles every five years after age fifty (Bower, 1960; Jensen, 1980). The exact cause of hip fracture varies, and in many cases are unknown. Yet, there are many associated factors such as osteoporosis, decreased estrogen levels in women who are postmenopausal and who have had bilateral oophorectomies, low levels of physical activity, low dietary calcium, inadequate levels of vitamin D and its metabolites, high levels of dietary protein, caffeine consumption, alcohol consumption, and cigarette smoking (Kelsey & Hoffman 1987).

Although the management of this kind of injury varies
from institution to institution and among physicians, Hansel (1988), contends that there are three principles of treating fractures which are reduction or realignment of bone fragments, maintenance of realignment by immobilization and restoration of function. Because hip fracture leads to high mortality in the elderly, surgical repair of the fractured hip is becoming the most common surgical procedure for patients, especially, over 85 years old (Farmer, White, Brody, & Bailey, 1984).

Once the hip has been repaired, the major problems facing patients with hip fractures are functional rather than medical needs. Many people have difficulties performing basic activities of daily living for at least six months (Lukens, 1985). Therefore, caring for the hip fracture patients requires the combined principles of both orthopedic and geriatric nursing. Timely nursing interactions are essential and are based on knowledge of the specific need of the elderly from arrival in emergency through recovery.

The primary nursing goal is to assist the patient to achieve an optimum level of health and resume his roles in the home, family, and community. These goals should be based on realistic expectations. Hansel (1988) found that the specific aims of treatment are to relieve pain, obtain and maintain satisfactory position of the fractured
fragments, allow and, if necessary, encourage bony union, and restore optimum function not only in the fractured limb but the whole human system.

Barangan (1990) supports this by postulating that, for the elderly patient with a hip fracture the goals of nursing are to maintain or restore function within the patient’s ability and prevent increased disability. These goals are achieved through appropriate and timely nursing activities. Additionally, efforts to identify factors that contribute to recovery as well as prevent disability in hip fracture patients should begin with a comprehensive patient assessment. Supplementary goals will include physical therapy to maximize, the lower extremity strength, and to progress to optimum range of motion. Reinforcing small gains can be helpful in keeping the patient motivated despite perceived slow progress.

Most hip fracture patients are out of bed within 24 hours of surgery. The first activity is usually a bed to chair transfer. Gradually, the patient progresses from ambulating short distances with assistance, then to full ambulation. According to Twyon (1985), nurses are responsible both for task and outcomes of their care. Consequently, they must be able to explain the nature of their work, and what their contributions to the individual patient are in very concrete terms. Nursing diagnoses must
be meaningful. Nurses must look at the variables in a patient hospital stay in which nursing has the biggest impact. These relate to dependency, knowledge, mobility, and nutrition. An experimental study is in order to determine if length of stay can be reduced through nursing intervention. Nursing case management has the capability to afford nurses this opportunity.

Utilization of Nursing Case Management.

Nursing case management was first developed at the New England Medical Center in Boston, Massachusetts, as a direct response to the economic pressures exerted on hospitals, specifically by DRGs. This has provoked other healthcare institutions to begin the exploration and subsequent implementation of systems which would improve the quality and cost of patient care.

Although many institutions are just beginning to utilize this concept of nursing case management, Zander (1988) reported that positive results existed as early as 1986. Examination of the management of ischaemic stroke patients using case management and critical pathways, revealed both quantitative and qualitative findings. Data showed a 29% drop in the length of stay and a 47% drop in the average number of intensive care unit days. The decreased ICU stay increased quality by maximizing the
sensor deprivation experienced by patients in those settings. Patients were able to transfer out of ICU seven to ten days earlier than usual.

Similarly, in 1987, Hillcrest Medical Center initiated nursing case management in an effort to provide the best care at the lowest cost while using existing resources. This 646-bed hospital in Tulsa, Oklahoma had 190 DRGs, 106 of which were case managed. In 1988, results showed about $960,000 of billed charges and 430 patient days were saved through the nursing case management program. Overall findings were early patient discharge or discharge within appropriate length of stay, expected or standardized clinical outcome, promotion of collaborative practices and coordinated continued care (McKenzie, Torkelson & Holt, 1989).

Funded in 1989 by a grant known as the Nursing Incentive Reimbursement Award (NIRA), the New Jersey Department of Health challenged New Jersey hospitals to develop a system of care that would not only improve quality but increase nurses satisfaction and professional responsibility. Nursing case management was chosen in an effort to explicate the prioritization of goals with patients and families, collaborate with attending and inter-departmental levels, understand the DRG system of reimbursement; as well as impact the quality documentation
on financial reimbursement. Findings suggested cost savings and reductions in length of stay (Kovner, Hendrickson, Knickman & Finkler, 1993).

Comparison data for case management and non-case managed patients undergoing hip surgeries were generated in a study done by Strong (1986). Findings indicated that case management patients had an average length of stay of 8.1 days in comparison to an average length of stay of 10.2 days for non-case managed patients. Reduction in LOS was accomplished even though nursing case-managed patients had a higher average acuity (4.4) (Ethridge, 1989).

Metro Health Medical Center (MHMC), a large urban teaching facility, is a Level 1 trauma center which serves a multicounty area. In 1989, the Medical Director of Orthopaedics recognizing that there was fragmentation of care within their department, implemented nursing case management. They found that the benefits were improved communications among disciplines, clearer delineation of role expectation, and development of a more collaborative work team. Consequently, patient care was promoted and became more coordinated. The author explained that Betty Newman’s Systems Model of Care was particularly useful as case managers were able to identify systems stressors and act appropriately to promote positive outcomes (Mann, Hazel,
According to Metcalf (1989), Alliant Health System, a not-for-profit corporation based in Louisville, Kentucky, owns and operates Norton Hospital, a 375-bed, tertiary adult acute care facility, Kosair Children's Hospital, a 227-bed pediatric acute care facility, and Methodist Evangelical Hospital, a 372-bed adult general acute care facility. Between 1989 to 1990, results of critical path process show a decline in average length of stay for joint replacement patients of 2.6 days with an average charge per case saving of $2,136 compared to 1989 charges. Findings further suggest that there were many advantages to orthopaedic patients including more organization of care, decrease charges per case, and reduced length of stay while still maintaining quality care. Results of this study not only identify areas for improvement of care but reflected increased multidisciplinary collaboration among nurses, physicians, and ancillary departments.

St. Margarets Mercy Health Care Center in Dyer, Indiana, initiated a critical path for total hip and knee replacement in 1990. Since then, the LOS has dropped to five days from 11.7 days. The same path was introduced at the hospital's north campus in Hamond, Indiana, in 1992. According to sources from that center, reported benefits from critical path implementation include reduction in
length of stay to eight days from 14.2 days for total hip, reduced resource utilization, lower cost, improved patient outcome, and more collaborative patterns (Giffin & Giffin, 1994).

In like manner, Harper Hospital, a 700-bed tertiary care facility in Detroit, MI, reported comparable findings. Data indicated that patients were very satisfied with nursing case management. Physicians satisfaction increased as they gained better understanding of case management, and length of stay (LOS) was decreased by approximately two days (Cronin & Maklebust, 1989).

Westmead Hospital in West Sydney utilized the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness the nurse case manager in a study of fractured hip management program in 1993. This was part of a Commonwealth Government funded initiative incentive to increase hospital efficiency. Under this programme money was top-sliced from budget allocation to state governments and allotted to projects designed to reduce reliance on in-patient beds either by reducing admissions or by reducing length of stay. Evaluation of this program found that patients receive surgery sooner and spend less time in the hospital without adverse effect on outcome. Findings of this study suggest that recognition of the role of the nurse as patient advocate and case manager can lead to more cost effectiveness as well as higher
quality care (Shiell, Kenny & Farnworth, 1993).

Grayheal, Green, Mkenia (1993) reported that Overlake Hospital Medical Center in Washington developed a multi-faceted case management model. Objectives embraced improved clinical and financial outcomes for elected patient population, including Total Hip Replacement. Findings suggest both clinical and financial outcomes, namely, reduction in admission rates, decreased complications, financial outcomes measured in reduced length of stay and cost relative to reimbursement. Besides, case managers at Monticlear Baptist Medical Center (MBMC) in Birmingham, Alabama have been able to steadily decrease organizational operating expense, anticipate problems, and solve specific individual patient situations.

The Eastern Region of the Group Health Cooperative of Pueget Sound (GHCPS) has managed 88 total hip and total knee replacement under the pathway it began using in September 1993. Reported benefits include development of a systemic approach to patient education and reduced length of stay for these patients (Giffin & Giffin, 1994).

Case managers at Hennepin County Medical Center in Minneapolis were not only responsible for more than $1 million dollars of direct cost savings, but decreased readmission rates of patients having major bowel procedures
from 67% to 26%, and patients having cardiovascular
disorders (except TIA) from 43% to 31%. They even increased
compliance with antibiotic protocols in their patients
having hip and femur procedure from 38% to 89% (Zander, 1994).

According to Wimpsett (1994), Immanuel St. Joseph’s
Hospital, Mankato, Minnesota, used a team approach to
develop and maintain a patient-focused service for the
patients receiving total joint replacement. Issues and
barriers to quality and cost effective care were identified,
many of which were unique to the region. Among the
identified issues longer length of stay (LOS), low
reimbursement for some DRGs, duplication of equipment and
supplies, waste, misuse, abuse of resources, delays and
cancelled surgeries. Studies done suggest that nursing case
managed groups met their independence goal from 0.4 to 0.7
days earlier. There was also improved staff and patient
satisfaction, as well as increased team collaboration. Cost
savings were reflected in the decrease inpatient days,
delays and cancelling of surgery, as in the duplication of
testing and supplies. These outcomes were maintained
throughout 1993 with LOS decreasing by another day.

Although Zander (1988) reported that only 15% of
hospitals in America were using or exploring case management
concept, critical pathways are currently sweeping the nation's hospitals and integrated healthcare systems. Reports by surveys published in hospital and network magazines, indicated that 60% of hospitals have adopted critical pathways or similar modalities. These include Good Samaritan and Friendly Hills Health Care Network in Southern California (Giffin & Giffin, 1994).

Local sources affirm that many hospitals in Miami have adopted a form of nursing case management. Among them are Jackson Memorial Hospital, Mercy Hospital, Baptist Hospital and Cedars Hospital. As institutions become increasingly aware of the need for an infrastructure for the internal regulation of processes in order to create desirable costs and outcome, it is anticipated that the role of nursing case management will continue to evolve.

The literature review roaringly attests to the use of nursing case management as a possible effective method of managing certain case types. Much emphasis has been placed on the benefits related to the cost component of care however. This study seeks to examine nursing case management's effectiveness on improving the quality of life for patients with hip fractures.
The framework underlining this study is derived from Betty Newman's Healthcare Systems model which uses a total person approach to patient problems. According to this persons are unique, dynamic, holistic beings, who possess normal characteristics and responses. Human beings are constantly interacting with their internal and external environments thereby are subjected to stressors present in the environment. Stressors can disrupt individuals' normal lines of defense which have developed over time. According to Chinn & Kramer (1991):

The system's model is based on an individual's relationship to stress, the reaction to it, and reconstituting factors that are dynamic in nature. The nurse assesses, manages and evaluates patient systems. Nursing's focus is on variables that affect person's response to stressors. Assessment of individuals' consider knowledge of factors influencing a patient's perceptual field, the meaning stressors have to patients as validated by patient and care giver, and factors the care giver believes influences the patient situation. Nursing acts to impede or arrest an entropic state, or a state of disorder and
disorganization. (p.184)

Many stressors accruing in the orthopaedic population are related to trauma, unplanned admission, multiple surgical problems, psychological adjustments, and post discharge lifestyles changes (Mann, Hazel, Green, Huley 1989). Additionally, patients recovering from hip surgeries are particularly stressed by the possibility of forced personal dependence and of sustaining another fall. Nursing case management activities are, therefore, directly related to eliminating fragmentation and disorganization of care, thereby, reducing stress for patients and families.

Newman’s System model of care was utilized with orthopaedic patients at Metro-Health Medical Center (MHMC) in Cleveland. This Level 1 trauma center which provides a full range of orthopaedic services, found that Newman’s system model of care encompasses levels of prevention which strengthens lines of resistance and hence, lessen the impact of stressors on a system’s integrity.

The primary prevention role of the case manager is to identify and eliminate environmental stressors, thereby decreasing the probability of patients encountering stressor. In secondary prevention, the role looks to manage hospital patients who are potential DRG’s outliers and attempts to decrease length of stay by assessing rehabilitation, home, and ambulatory supports. In tertiary
prevention the case manager’s role closes the patient care circle by facilitating discharge planning and post-discharge care (Mann, & Hazel, 1993). This conceptual framework leads to the formulation of the research hypothesis hereby presented.

Hypothesis:

Based on the theoretical framework described above, it is hypothesized that:

1. Nursing case managed will be able to ambulate earlier than non-nursing case managed patients.

2. Nursing case managed patients will perform activities of daily living earlier than non-nursing case managed patients.

3. Nursing case management patients will have shorter hospital length of stay than non-nursing case management patients.
CHAPTER THREE
METHODOLOGY

To determine the possible effects of nursing case management on patient outcomes (PO) and length of hospital stay (LOS), the investigator conducted a retrospective study based on chart review. The research design and methodology used are described in this chapter.

Sample:

The population consisted of all patients with a diagnosis of hip fracture admitted to a local South Dade Hospital. The control group comprised of all patients confined in this hospital during the pre-case management period, from January to September 1990. Similarly, the experimental group consisted of all hospitalized patients during the nursing case management period, spanning from January to September 1994. Patients with co-morbidities such as altered mental status and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), Parkinsons Disease, Morbid Obesity, and amputation of the leg were excluded from the study, as these diagnoses could affect the results of the study. Because 1991 to 1993 were years of heightened awareness and planning for case management, this period was not included in the study.
Instrument

Patient Care Flow Sheet:

This was developed at a local South Dade Hospital in the late 1980's to be used by nurses for the assessment and recording of patient activities and equipment used in their management. The patient Care Flow Sheet is divided into nine sections with columns designated for all three shifts. Through use of this tool, the nurses can quickly and comprehensively record the patient's status in terms of nutrition, hygiene, activity, elimination, safety, and sleep. Also included on this sheet are spaces for documenting intravenous therapy, support measures and treatments such as: oxygen therapy, walkers, and crutches.

On the alternate side of the patient care flow sheet is the systems assessment sheet for each shift. This tool is employed in the documentation of evaluation pertaining to musculo/skeletal, neurological, cardiovascular, respiratory, skin, gastrointestinal, urinary, and psychosocial/sexuality of individual patients. Findings are recorded as "yes" or "no" by placing a check mark in the applicable column. Also present on both sides of the record is a place for signatures of nurses for the various shifts.

This tool has been used in this facility for many years and has existed in both the case management and non-case management periods. Reports from nurses indicated that the
use of this tool has not only significantly reduced the amount of time spent on nursing documentation, but it has greatly enhanced systematic and comprehensive patient assessment. Each patient care flow sheet is used for 24 hours only and forms a permanent part of the medical record. A copy of this tool is presented in appendix B.

Patient Care Activities and Associated Response Periods (PCAARP).

The Patient Care Activity and Associated Activity Response Period tool is designed to collect and compile the data obtained from patient records. This tool was used for collecting background records of subjects pertaining to age and sex. It allows for the on-going assessment of activities of daily living such as complete feed, feed with assistance, self feed, complete bath, bath with assistance, and self care. Patients' ability to ambulate was recorded as bedrest, out of bed with chair, and ambulate. Also noted in this tool are admission dates, surgery dates and discharge dates. Activities of daily living are recorded from surgery date to discharge date. Each sheet may be used to collect data on five patients.
Procedure for Data Collection

Data were collected once approval of the study was granted by the Institutional Review Board for the Protection of Human Subjects (Appendix B) and permission was granted by Mercy Hospital Research Committee (Appendix B). In an effort to investigate the effect of nursing case management programs since its adoption at this institution, activity periods of eight months in 1990 and 1994 respectively, were selected. Study patients were chosen by convenience from those admitted with a diagnosis of hip fractures. The investigator obtained a list of all patients from the operating room who had repair of hip fracture during the period specified. Since certain pre-existing conditions affect patients' length of stay, ability to ambulate and to perform basic ADLs, certain patients were excluded from the study. These include patients with a history of altered mental status, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), amputees, cardiac conditions necessitating monitoring prior to surgery; Parkinson disease and acute morbid obesity. All other patients were included in the study regardless of individual variables such as age, sex, and physical fitness.

Patient's ability to perform activities of daily living were assessed according to the Patient Care Flow Sheets and Systems Assessment Sheets. Particular attention was placed on nutrition, hygiene, musculo-skeletal and neurological
assessments. Additionally, nursing notes, and physical therapy notes were reviewed as necessary to clarify data. Patients were dropped from the study if the information was not available in these sources. Length of Stay (LOS) was measured by determining the number of days from admission to day of discharge.

**Method of Data Analysis**

Data for this study were tabulated into appropriate categories for statistical analyses. The population consisted of similar type of patients, similar gender mix and about similar age mix (or older for the 1994 period). To determine the effects of nursing case management on these patients, comparisons were made on the basis of length of stay (LOS), post-operative days, ability to feed self, bathing activities, and ambulatory activities during the period of 1990 and 1994.

The data were analyzed by non-parametric T-test of the effects of case management and other variables between the two groups. The non-parametric test was used since it was difficult to determine that the sample came from a normal population. Other analysis included frequency distributions by age and gender. Differences between group means were satisfied by T-test. In all analyses, the null hypothesis of no significant difference was tested with alpha = 0.05. This was compared to a p-value depicted in the ANOVA table. Findings at p-value less than .05 are interpreted as
supporting the research hypotheses, or showing significant effects of specific variables on given patient care outcomes.
CHAPTER FOUR

PRESENTATION OF FINDINGS

The results of the statistical analyses performed on the research data are presented in this chapter. The demographic characteristics of the sample are described and answers to the research hypotheses are presented.

Characteristics of the Sample

Table 1 presents detailed descriptions of the frequency distribution of subjects by age and gender. A convenience sample (N = 149) of hip fracture patients for two separate eight month charting periods were used. As is common in hip fractures, most of the subjects (N=114) were women ranging in age from 17 years to 98 years. Female subjects in 1994 appeared older than women in 1990.

Compared to females, male subjects (N=34) were younger for both time periods. In 1990, the mean age for male subjects was 60.00 years, and in 1994, it was 68.60. Males varied from 23 to 87 years of age for both study periods.
TABLE 1: FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF SUBJECTS BY AGE AND GENDER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>MIN (YRS.)</th>
<th>MAX (YRS.)</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>P-VALUE</th>
<th>T-VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>16.69</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>149</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>14.48</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-2.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case Management Impact on ambulation

Formerly, post operative hip fracture patients were kept inactive for many days. As shown in Table 2 this nodality seems to be changing with the implementation of nursing case management. Findings indicate reduction of time from 1990 to 1994 in all areas relating to patient’s ability to ambulate. The maximum improvement was noted in the area of full ambulation. Patients involved in nursing case management ambulated approximately two days earlier than non-case managed patients. The number of days for patients to ambulate varied from 1 to 18 days for non-case managed patients compared to 1 to 13 days for case managed patients. These findings support the hypothesis that nursing case management patients will be able to ambulate earlier than non-case managed patients.

**TABLE 2:  CASE MANAGEMENT IMPACT ON AMBULATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>$\bar{X}$ DAYS</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>MIN DAYS</th>
<th>MAX DAYS</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREST</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREST</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMB</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BREST: Bed Rest  
CREST: Chair Rest  
AMB: Ambulate
Case Management Impact on Activities of Daily Living

Table 3 depicts the impact of nursing case management on patient outcomes as relating to the ability to perform activities of daily living. Significant improvements were noted in the area of feeding in 1994, compared to 1990. Maximum improvement was noted in the area of assisted feed, the difference between the two groups being significant at p-value = 0.02. Complete bath and self bath showed no statistical significance; however, assisted bath showed improvement by as much as two days. These findings lend support to the hypothesis, that participants in nursing case management programs will perform activities of daily living earlier than those who are not involved in this program.

**TABLE 3: CASE MANAGEMENT IMPACT ON ACTIVITIES OF DAILY LIVING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>X DAYS</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>MIN DAYS</th>
<th>MAX DAYS</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFEED</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFEED</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFEED</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBATH</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABATH</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBATH</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CFEED:** Complete Feed  
**AFEED:** Assist Feed  
**SFEED:** Self Feed  
**CBATH:** Complete Bath  
**ABATH:** Assist Bath  
**SBATH:** Self Bath
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Case Management Impact on Length of Hospitalization.

With an older population, one would expect that these patients would be sicker and, therefore, would require increased hospital length of stay. However, data presented in Table 4 revealed the length of hospital stay was reduced from 12.12 days in 1990 to 8.2 days in 1994. Minimum to maximum ranges were from 4 to 22 days in 1990 and 3 to 18 days in 1994. Findings also showed significant reduction in the mean post operative (7.5) days for subjects in 1994, compared to 10.9 days for subjects in 1990. Findings supported the hypothesis as results showed that nursing case management was effective in reducing length of stay by 32% and post operative days by 31%.

TABLE 4: CASE MANAGEMENT IMPACT ON LENGTH OF HOSPITALIZATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>X̄ DAYS</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>MIN DAYS</th>
<th>MAX DAYS</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-OP DAY</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-OP DAY: Post Operative Day
LOS: Length of Stay
Summary Test Relating to Case Management Impact on Patient Care Outcomes

Table 5 depicts the overall significant impact of nursing case management on patients activities of daily living, ambulation and length of hospital stay. Based on the p-values it is evident that certain factors such as complete bath and self bath are statistically non-significant. However, all other variables lend support to the hypothesis that nursing case management is of paramount importance in the outcomes of patients post operatively.
### TABLE 5: SUMMARY TEST RELATING TO CASE MANAGEMENT IMPACT ON PATIENT CARE OUTCOMES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLES</th>
<th>$\bar{x}$ (count = 70)</th>
<th>$\bar{x}$ (count = 79)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGE MIX</td>
<td>71.0 yrs.</td>
<td>76.2 yrs.</td>
<td>0.0302</td>
<td>-2.18</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPLETE FEED</td>
<td>1.6 days</td>
<td>1.3 days</td>
<td>0.0394</td>
<td></td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSISTED FEED</td>
<td>3.3 days</td>
<td>1.5 days</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td></td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELF FEED</td>
<td>6.0 days</td>
<td>4.8 days</td>
<td>0.0332</td>
<td></td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPLETE BATH</td>
<td>5.5 days</td>
<td>4.5 days</td>
<td>0.0671</td>
<td></td>
<td>not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSISTED BATH</td>
<td>5.1 days</td>
<td>2.6 days</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELF BATH</td>
<td>0.4 days</td>
<td>0.3 days</td>
<td>0.6346</td>
<td></td>
<td>not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BED REST</td>
<td>2.2 days</td>
<td>1.6 days</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td></td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIR REST</td>
<td>1.7 days</td>
<td>1.2 days</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td></td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBULATION</td>
<td>7.0 days</td>
<td>4.7 days</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POST-OP DAYS</td>
<td>10.9 days</td>
<td>7.5 days</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LENGTH OF STAY</td>
<td>12.1 days</td>
<td>8.2 days</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As healthcare providers compete for shrinking resources, means to keep viable and prevent take-overs, many new systems are being tried with varying degree of success (Yong & Haynes, 1988). However, recent literature suggests that nursing case management has gained increasing popularity in healthcare circles, as many institutions are using this care model for the management of certain case types. This study draws upon other related literature, which points to the importance of collaborative practice. In order for nurses to impact patient care, there needs to be a system that facilities well-coordinated continuity of care through collaborative practice pattern, efficient use of resources, and timely discharge (Brower, 1988, Zander, 1988 & Peirog, 1991).

As indicated in this study and the review of the literature, most patients admitted with hip fractures are elderly. The preponderance of subjects participating in this study were women (N=115) with a mean age of 76.34 years. Male subjects (N=34) had a mean age of 65.06 years. Despite the increase in elderly population for 1994, findings from this study suggest that patients are more
active in the case management period than in the non-case management period. These findings concur with the study done by Jetty, Harris, Cleary, & Campion (1987) on Functional Recovery After Hip Fracture. Older patients were found to be at no greater long-term risk than younger patients for poor functional recovery.

Data from this study indicate that nursing case managed patients have shown significant improvements in performing basic activities of daily living (ADLs), such as feeding and ambulation. Significant statistical findings also support an improved quality of life for patients, since ones self esteem and feelings of helplessness can be greatly improved by being able to perform even this minimum of self help.

Review of the literature suggest that as a consequence of increased activity and increased ambulation, patients independence and sense of well being could be increased. Other studies have shown that patients confined to bed for long periods are subjected to increased complications such as deep vein thrombosis, pneumonia, fatigue, depression and decubitis ulcers. Such complications heighten cost and length of hospitalization.

No significant difference in bathing was noted in this study. However, these findings could be attributed to the nurturing nature of nurses, some of whom give credence to bathing patients as a gesture of compassionate nursing care.
Furthermore, patients recovering from hip surgeries are restrictive in their movements by discomforts and devices which keep the leg in alignment. Such devices as abduction pillows and sand-bags have been shown to hamper maneuvers necessary in the bathing process.

The data in this study strongly support the need for developing timely nursing interventions that are based on realistic expectations. Related literature support the need for nurses to develop comprehensive nursing models which set goals so that patients can achieve an optimal level of health and resume their role in their home, family and community. Hip fractures are devastating, and often fatal events for many patients. Understanding of the implications of this illness should motivate nurses to develop teaching strategies geared to prevent the disabilities and complications of hip fractures.

The findings in this are indicative of the effectiveness of nursing case management model of care in reducing overall length of stay and post operative days. This could be attributed to early discharge planning, which is a key concept in reducing length of stay (LOS). With this process, patients are more knowledgeable of their plan of care, expected progress, and their options for discharge (i.e., discharge home with physical therapy, discharge to a rehabilitation center or discharge to nursing home).
Necessary referrals are made early in the hospitalization period in order to avoid delays associated with the application process. The impact of decrease in length of stay is a known factor in financial planning and benefit analysis (Shamian, Hagen, Hu, & Fogarty, 1994).

Prior to the advent of diagnostic related groups (DRGs), the length of hospitalization was mainly left up to the discretion of physicians. Patients hospitalized for hip fractures were in many cases spending up to three weeks in hospital. The number of in-patient days have been reduced considerably since the implementation of DRGs. However, when compared to community standards, nursing case managed patients spend less time in hospital, have less complications and progress at a much quicker pace than non case managed patients.

The potential financial significance of nursing case management to the institution is related to appropriate utilization of human and financial resources. This reduces current fragmentation of care and facilitates timely discharge. For the consumers of health care it seems to be much more. According to Ethridge (1987) for the patient, nursing case management demystifies and decodes the healthcare delivery system into understandable words and predictable events. It brings the often blurred picture of healthcare into clear sharp focus.
In an era where much confusion exists as to mode of care delivery, findings from this study could address important professional, financial, and quality-of-care issues for nursing. Professional nurses, utilizing nursing case management models, have many care coordination and delegation responsibilities. These nurses must, therefore, be held responsible for clinical outcomes of their care.

Nursing case management effectiveness requires that nurses develop the increased collaborative and bargaining skills necessary to facilitate sharing and communication. Attainment of the goals of case management expounded on in the review of the literature could provide nurses with the structural support necessary to overcome the subordinate role, usually allocated to them in the healthcare industry. Such accomplishments will also enable nurses to achieve the clarity and vibrancy that our patients, families, colleagues, communities, and institutions so earnestly demand of the nursing profession.

Critical evaluation of the research data lends credence to the hypothesis that nursing case management can significantly impact certain patients outcomes. The researcher's own views have been strengthened as it relates to the need to implement changes in approach, attitude and effort in order to keep current with nursing technology of the 21st century.
Limitations and Recommendation for Further Research

The limitations of this study are related to the method of data collection which was from a convenience sample. Randomly selected samples are needed to define precisely the outcomes of nursing case management models on patients with hip fractures. This sample was also limited to one institution. Findings could have been enhanced if this study was conducted in more than one healthcare facility. Data from this investigation can be compared with research findings from other institutions. The possibilities of maturation effect accruing could be reduced by conducting concurrent studies on case management and non-case managed patients.

Further research should be done to establish the effects of nursing case management on the care of patients with other diagnoses such as knee and hand surgeries. Studies could be conducted to determine the effect of nursing case management on life style modification. Based on the findings of this study, several questions for further research are generated. Among these are:

1. Does the type of surgical procedure affect patient outcomes?
2. Is there a difference in outcome related to such co-morbidities as Diabetes Melliitus.
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Signature of Principal Investigator
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REVERLIN ALLEN
Printed name of Principal Investigator
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Before completing the application form carefully read this entire handout. It contains information about how to complete the application form and about federal and FIU regulations. When completing the application form please type or print legibly, and write clearly so the committee members can understand what you are doing. The entire application form must be completed; simply referring to an attached research or grant proposal is not acceptable. Incomplete applications, incomprehensible applications, and illegible applications will not be reviewed.

Line-by-line instructions for completing the application are given below. If you have any questions after reading this entire handout the URC chairperson will be happy to answer them.

Instructions for Completion of Application for Approval of Research Involving Human Subjects

1. If this is a renewal be sure you use the same title you used in the initial application.
2. The PI is the person whose research will be completed. Provide a home address only if the PI does not have a campus address.
3. If the PI is a student a faculty member must accept final responsibility for the research project.
4. If this is a renewal be sure the title of this proposal exactly matches the title of the previously approved project.
5. Specify the number of subjects and check all categories that apply.
6. The categories of exempt and expedited review are detailed on pages 6 and 7 of this handout. To receive exempt or expedited review you must check one of these types and enter the appropriate category on the line provided. Note that, despite the name, exempt research must be approved by the URC.
7-14. As indicated, only new information must be provided.
15. The PI must sign here. If the PI is a student, the faculty supervisor also must sign.
7. Research Objective:

Predicated on Betty Newman’s theory that a person is an open, unique, holistic system which is subjected to environmental stressors, this study seeks to determine the effects of nursing case management upon the care of patients with hip fractures. Specifically, the study focuses on defining the effects of case management upon patient outcomes in terms of: ambulation, activity of daily living (ADL), and length of stay (LOC).

8. Subject Recruitment:

This is a retrospective study which is based on chart review. The population will consist of all patients admitted to Mercy Hospital with a diagnosis of hip fracture. The control group will be comprised of all chart from the pre-case management period January, 1990 to September 1990. Similarly the experimental group will be comprised of all charts from the case management period January, 1994 to September, 1994. Patients with co-morbidities such as altered mental status, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) will be excluded from the study as these diagnosis could significantly affect the results of the study. Because 1991 to 1993 were years of heightened awareness and planning for case management, this period will not be included in the study.
9. **Benefits:**

There are no financial or other extrinsic renumerations to potential subjects in this study. However, the financial incentives to hospitals are clear since a hospital gets a lump sum based on a particular DRG. Case management, through promotion of efficient utilization of services can result in reduction of length of stay thereby conserving the hospital revenue.

Consumers are empathic about the need to hold costs and improve the quality of care provided to patients. Case management is likely to improve quality of care by reducing fragmentation in health care, and by providing consistency for families and patients. Its focus on clinical and social needs is designed to meet specific outcomes for the individual population (Pelletier, 1990).

Metcalf (1991) stated that the case management model requires nurses to utilize management skills such as controlling, delegating, directing, and collaborating with patients and other professionals. These responsibilities could afford nurses greater autonomy over patient care. Through case management nurses influence the hospital in areas of patient care and cost containment, thereby facilitating greater control over clinical and financial outcomes of patient care. The increased opportunity may promote professional development and satisfaction of
hospital-based registered nurse. Results of studies done on case management could be used in advancing nursing practice.

10. Informed Consent:

As this is a retrospective study comprising of chart review only, informed consent will not be necessary. However, institutional consent will be sought by submitting this proposal to the research committee at mercy hospital.

11. Confidentiality of Data:

To safeguard confidentiality, all data will be coded and stored safely in a locked filing drawer accessible only to the investigator. Research findings will be reported in terms of group phenomena and no reference will be made to identify any particular subjects. Coded raw data will be accessible only to the principle investigator, statistical consultant, and the major professor.

12. Method and Procedures:

Study patients will comprise of those admitted to Mercy Hospital with a diagnosis of Hip Fracture. The investigator will obtain from the operating room the names of all patients who have had repair of hip fracture during the periods to be investigated. With the exception of patients with history of altered mental status, morbid obesity, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD); all patients will be included in the study regardless of individual variables such as age, sex, and physical fitness.
Patient’s ability to perform activities of daily living will be assessed according to the Patient Care Flow Sheets and Systems Assessment Sheets. Particular attention will be placed on nutrition (ability to self-feed), hygiene, activity, and musculo skeletal neuro assessment. Additionally, nursing notes, and physical therapy notes will be reviewed. Length of Stay (LOS) will be measured by counting the number of days from admission to day of discharge.

To determine the effect of case management on patient outcomes, inferential statistics will be used to provide a means for testing the hypothesis. In addition differences between the group means will be tested using the t-test. The level of significance is set at 0.05 for the study.

13. **Stimulus Materials:**

See attached Copies.

14. **Risk To Subjects:**

There are no known immediate or long range physical, psychological, or social risks to subjects that may arise from this study.
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Dear Mrs. Allen:

Thank you for submitting an application for approval of your research project "Nursing Case Management: A New Perspective to Caring for Patients with Hip Fracture." I am pleased to inform you that your proposal has been unanimously approved by the Nursing Research Committee.
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DATA COLLECTING TOOLS

PATIENT CARE FLOW SHEET

PATIENT CARE ACTIVITY AND ASSOCIATED RESPONSE PERIODS

CRITICAL PATHWAY FOR HIP FRACTURE
# Patient Care Flow Sheet

**Mercy Hospital**

**3463 South Miami Avenue**

**Miami, Florida 33133**

## Date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet Type</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ate all food served</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ate approx. half of food served</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ate very little</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refuse tray</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Support Measures and Treatments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chair sent cushion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air mattress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special bed-type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traction-type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traction frame</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker/crutches/cane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating pad (K-pad)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating lamp (pericradle)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothermia/hyperthermia machine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice bag/collar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitz bath-type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigations-type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binder-type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ace bandages/e. hose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-applied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seq compression boot(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentive spirometry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cannula/mask</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracheostomy tube/care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory suction-type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest tubes/pleurovac</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dressing changed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure precautions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration precautions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatments/procedures/specimens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Enemas, cms check, pelvic exam, liver bx)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Diet Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluid Restriction - AMT.</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Force fluids - AMT.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Self-Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bath/assistance</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete bed bath</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Special Skincare/Kits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Skincare/Kits</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Back care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouth care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.S. care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Diaper/underwear/shampoo/nail care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diaper/underwear/shampoo/nail care</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Bed Rest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bed Rest</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turn &amp; position O --- HRS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Side</td>
<td></td>
<td>R Side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R.O.M/red chair exercises</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turn cough deep breathe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Stool Incontinence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stool Incontinence</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voiding/incontinence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incontinent pad-type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foley catheter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Suction/Gomco wall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suction/Gomco wall</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nasogastric tube</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Ostomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ostomy</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other-type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Upper Bedrails Up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upper Bedrails Up</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Bedrails Up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Bed Exit Alarm On

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bed Exit Alarm On</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restraints Applied/Released</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Safety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Call light in reach/functioning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Sleep

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sleep</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Awake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slept at intervals</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slept well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Patient Care Activities and Associated Active Response Periods
Year: ____________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients' ID #</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Complete Feed</th>
<th>Feed with Assistance</th>
<th>Self-Feed</th>
<th>Complete Bath</th>
<th>Bath With Asst.</th>
<th>Self Care</th>
<th>Bed Rest</th>
<th>Out Of Bed To Chair</th>
<th>Ambulate</th>
<th>Admission Date</th>
<th>Surgery Date</th>
<th>Discharge Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Critical Pathways for Hip Fractured Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Admission/Surgical</th>
<th>POD #1</th>
<th>POD #2</th>
<th>POD #3</th>
<th>POD #4</th>
<th>POD #5</th>
<th>POD #6</th>
<th>POD #7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre Adm.</td>
<td>72 hrs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1. Nurse Unit
- ER/4C
- PICU
- 7C/4C

### 2. Assessment
- Identify
- Deficits
- & Diagnosis
- Neurovascular Checks

### 3. Consults
- Med.
- Clearence: PT/OT
- Anesthesia Clearence

### 4. Ortho Tests
- Hip/Pelvis or X-Ray
- RR X-Ray

### 5. Lab Tests
- CBC, SMA
- 12, PT, PTT TEC
- 2U, PRC, RT, U.A.
- Urine C&S

### 6. Activity
- Bedrest
- Turning
- Per MD's Order

### 7. Medications Daily
- Meds for S.E.
- Medical
- Maintenance
- Analgesics

### Sample Medications
- IV Fluid D/C IV fluid 24-48 hrs.

---

**Note:** This representation is a simplified interpretation and may not capture all the nuances and details present in the original document. For a comprehensive understanding, please refer to the original medical documentation.