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ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS

GENETIC STRUCTURE OF THE FLORIDA KEY TREE CACTUS, *Pilosocereus robinii*, USING RESTRICTION SITE ASSOCIATED DNA (RAD) MARKERS
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Rare plant conservation efforts must utilize current genetic methods to ensure the evolutionary potential of populations is preserved. One such effort involves the Key Tree Cactus, *Pilosocereus robinii*, which is an endangered columnar cactus native to the Florida Keys. The populations have precipitously declined over the past decade because of habitat loss and increasing soil salinity from rising sea levels and storm surge. Next-generation DNA sequencing was used to assess the genetic structure of the cactus populations. Twenty individuals representative of both wild and extirpated cacti were chosen for Restriction Site Associated DNA (RAD) analysis. Samples processed using the *HindIII* and *NotIII* restriction enzymes produced 82,382,440 high quality reads used for genetic mapping, from which 5,265 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) were discovered. The analysis revealed that the Keys’ populations are closely related with little population differentiation. In addition, the populations display evidence of inbreeding and low genetic diversity.
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INTRODUCTION

Biological systems around the globe are being plunged into crisis by the anthropogenic effects of landscape changes, habitat degradation and climate change (Barnosky et al. 2011; Lindenmayer & Fischer 2006; Thomas et al. 2004; Tilman et al. 1994). Although there is a considerable threat across the globe, numerically the threat is highest in the biodiversity hotspots of the world. Of those hot spots, South Florida and the Caribbean are considered in the top five areas for conservation action because of the high level of endemism and threat (Myers et al. 2000). South Florida contains roughly 125 endemic species and is the northernmost limit of the distribution of many tropical species (Abrahamson 1984; Gann et al. 2002). Most of South Florida and the Caribbean are threatened by a sea level rise of >1 m within the century (Maschinski et al. 2011).

As we enter into the sixth mass extinction in the fossil record, restoration of imperiled populations is a priority for mitigating this process (Barnosky et al. 2011). Repopulating previously occupied areas or supplementing a local population of existing individuals is a strategy that improves the odds that a population can recover from the fate of being the “living dead.” To maximize the benefit of applying limited conservation funds, restoration projects must proceed with genetic information about the populations being augmented. Reintroduction efforts guided by genetic data have consistently had more successful outcomes (Falk et al. 1996; Godefroid et al. 2011; Maschinski & Haskins 2012). More typically projects proceed with ecological information (Barrett & Kohn 1991; Neale 2012) and some efforts have been successful (Menges 2008), but all could have benefited from genetic information. Undertaking conservation actions with genetic considerations will have a much higher chance of success and can bolster overall
genetic diversity, thus improving a species' chance of persistence (Godefroid et al. 2011).

Genetic factors affect population success at the same rate or faster than demographic or ecological factors (Frankham & Ralls 1998; Saccheri et al. 1998) making their consideration just as vital as overall population numbers.

To inform restoration efforts effectively, genetic considerations must be incorporated. Plant populations with low numbers of individuals are affected by increased rates of genetic drift and inbreeding. Plants, by their sedentary nature, also have other genetic considerations such as outbreeding depression and clonality that require consideration in planning efforts. Supplementing a population with individuals can increase local genetic diversity and genetic information can drastically improve the odds of successful restoration of populations.

One such ongoing effort is the reintroduction of the United State federally endangered Key Tree cactus, *Pilosocereus robinii*, in South Florida. My project will contribute to the restoration of this species by examining the current genetic structure and diversity in the South Florida cactus populations utilizing a novel genetic analysis for an endangered species.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

**Genetic Drift and Inbreeding**

Populations of small size are affected by an increase in two genetic processes, genetic drift and inbreeding. Genetic drift in a population is a change in allele frequency by random fluctuations caused by the sampling effect. In small populations, there are fewer copies of alleles than in larger populations and through the process of differential
reproduction and sampling, the allele frequencies change faster because they are more driven by unpredictable fluctuations (Ellstrand & Elam 1993).

Inbreeding is the mating of individuals that are genetically similar (Hedrick & Kalinowski 2000). Selfing in plants, where an individual pollinates itself, is the most extreme form of inbreeding. Excessive inbreeding among individuals decreases the fitness of their offspring by expressing deleterious mutations in the homozygote form and by decreasing heterozygosity at loci that express overdominance (Charlesworth & Willis 2009). Inbreeding is greater in small populations. Because there are fewer mating opportunities than in larger populations, individuals inevitably mate more with those closely related to themselves.

Effects on Genetic Diversity and Extinction

Genetic drift and inbreeding affect the fitness of populations by lowering overall genetic diversity. Effectively large population sizes must be maintained to minimize the effects of genetic drift and maintain the rarest of alleles (Lynch & Lande 1998).

Conservation biology has often utilized genetics to help ensure the continued persistence of at-risk species and mitigate the effects of small population size. The main focus of conservation genetic studies has been to understand the current and historical genetic diversity of imperiled species in order to remove them from the extinction vortex that is often the fate of collapsing populations. The vortex is characterized by decreased genetic diversity, which decreases fitness, evolutionary potential, and reproductive potential; all of which reinforce each other (Frankham et al. 2002).

The key element needed to incorporate genetic analysis into conservation of rare and endangered species is to understand the current genetic structure of the populations,
not merely to increase or stabilize population numbers. Well done genetic analysis should provide meaningful information so that management decisions can be executed to maintain the populations’ ability to evolve rather than simply provide a defined number of individuals or geographic population size (Vogler & Desalle 1994). To be able to preserve the evolutionary potential of a species, it is critical to understand the current level and distribution of genetic variation since this is the foundation of adaptation (Hamrick et al. 1991). The loss of genetic diversity has been correlated with decreased time to extinction and is therefore directly related to a species persistence (Frankham 2005; Frankham & Ralls 1998; Gilpin & Soulé 1986). The greater the genetic diversity of a population, the greater the probability of persistence (Frankham 2005; Lande & Barrowclough 1987).

There is a relationship between the inbreeding coefficient and fitness indicators since inbreeding itself declines the fitness of populations. The relationship between fitness and inbreeding was demonstrated in Drospholia (Frankham 1995b; Miller & Hedrick 2001) and also confirmed for terrestrial plants (Ouborg & Vantreuren 1994). However, not all instances of heightened inbreeding actually lead to lower fitness in the population. Inbreeding in populations that are isolated, such as island populations or self-pollinating individuals, can result in the purging of deleterious mutations as they are expressed and selected against. Thus, the population rids itself of harmful alleles yet will display a high amount of inbreeding (Crnokrak & Barrett 2002; Ellstrand & Elam 1993). However, not every inbred population will undergo a purging effect because this process is stochastic and not deterministic (Miller & Hedrick 2001).
Inbreeding depression is affected by other factors in addition to population size, such as life history traits and environmental conditions, further complicating our ability to predict the genetic outcome of inbreeding depression and low population size. Plant species with a history of bottlenecks, colonization events, and lack of pollinators should show more self fertilization rates and display less inbreeding (Lande & Schemske 1985). Furthermore, inbreeding depression is influenced by environmental conditions with populations under stress displaying more inbreeding (Armbruster & Reed 2005). It has been difficult for conservation genetics to define and measure inbreeding depression appropriately, particularly in the case of plants where self-pollination occurs (Ellstrand & Elam 1993; Frankham & Ralls 1998). Inbreeding depression, taken as an estimate of the genetic variation or heterozygosity, nevertheless remains a central concern in small populations because it is negatively correlated with time to extinction (Ellstrand & Elam 1993; Frankham & Ralls 1998) and the magnitude of the effect of inbreeding is related to population size (Angeloni et al. 2011).

Outbreeding Depression

When crossbreeding occurs between locally adapted populations, the reduction in fitness of their progeny is referred to as outbreeding depression (Frankham et al. 2011). Unlike the heterosis of most outcrosses, the subsequent generations of individuals are maladapted to local conditions (McKay et al. 2005). Mating outside the local population can disrupt co-adapted gene complexes and have unpredictable effects on epistasis (McKay et al. 2005). When local populations become genetically distinct based on adaptation to microsite conditions rather than genetic drift, reintroductions from genetically dissimilar individuals can dampen the local effect and reduce the overall
fitness of the population (Hufford & Mazer 2003). Plants are particularly prone to this effect because of their sedentary and often perennial lifestyle (Frankham 1995a; Hufford & Mazer 2003). Understanding and identifying the genetic distinctiveness based on local adaptation of subpopulations is critical to restoration efforts so that outbreeding depression does not occur.

Markers in Conservation Genetics

Genetic diversity is a measure used to quantify the health of species of conservation concern. To examine genetic diversity, gene expression or the basis of heredity, markers must be chosen as proxies for the entire genome of the individuals. Ideally, the marker system chosen would be highly informative with little labor investment and would directly relate to the underlying adaptive genetic diversity in order to be effective. In the past thirty years, several markers have been utilized for study such as microsatellites, amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLPs), and allozymes (Avise 1994). Although useful, these markers are plagued by several shortcomings, particularly, lengthy development time for primers, variable reproducibility in different labs, and failure to cross amplify in related species or taxonomic groups (Seeb et al. 2011). Because of the laborious nature of discovery involved in these marker systems, the return on investment is usually 20 or less codominant markers for any particular organism. Also, many unknowingly utilize areas in the genome that are effectively neutral in terms of evolution and have limited application to interpret future adaptive potential.

However, the advent of next generation sequencing is making high throughput sequencing readily available for non-model organisms (Mardis 2008; Thomson et al.
Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that are generated via next generation technologies have less genotyping error and increased statistical power (Allendorf et al. 2010; Hohenlohe et al. 2011). Restriction site associated DNA (RAD) is one such technique that can create hundreds to thousands of markers without the development of a primer system thus relieving the necessity for a lengthy discovery time for non-model organisms which could prove to be a very powerful tool for conservation (Davey & Blaxter 2010; Rowe et al. 2011). This new technique has the ability to resolve fine scale patterns of variation allowing for resolution of past genetic flow or introgression (Hohenlohe et al. 2013). The statistical power of next generation sequencing and RAD methods can be directly applied to the conservation field for parameters that have been difficult to estimate such, as inbreeding coefficients and kinship. These methods have the potential to offer new insight into genetic questions that previous marker sets have been unable to address.

**Study Species: Pilosocereus robinii**

The focal species of this study is the Key Tree Cactus, *Pilosocereus robinii*, which is a federally endangered columnar cactus native to the tropical hardwood hammocks in the Florida Keys (Figure 1). As early as 1917, botanist John Small noted in his description that the cactus was rare in the Keys and in danger of extirpation as a consequence of colonization in the area (Small 1917). An extensive survey done in 1984 also noted declines in previously occupied areas (Adams & Lima 1994). Although historically low, the number of remaining individuals has declined by more than 80% in the past decade because of continued habitat loss and environmental change (Goodman et al. 2012; USFWS 2010). The tropical hardwood hammocks of the Florida Keys are
found on limestone outcroppings that represent the areas of highest elevation on the islands. These forests harbor a large number of endemic populations from the Caribbean region. These populations represent the northernmost distribution of most of these plant species, all of which are threatened by environmental change (Maschinski et al. 2011; Ross et al. 2009; USFWS 1999). Tropical hardwood hammocks are threatened currently and historically by urbanization, anthropogenically-induced change in fire frequency, conversion to agriculture, and climate change (Harveson et al. 2007; Ross et al. 2001; Ross et al. 2009; USFWS 1999). Rising sea level is of particular concern and, coupled with a recent increase in storm frequency and intensity, is predicted to have a potentially devastating impact on the small remaining populations in the Florida Keys (Maschinski & Haskins 2012; Maschinski et al. 2011). Recent habitat surveys and experiments conducted by Fairchild Tropical Botanic Garden have suggested that increasing soil salinity has contributed to the Key Tree cactus’ decline and that rapid climate change is the major driving cause (Goodman et al. 2012; Maschinski et al. 2011).

*Pilosocereus robinii* is part of a larger *Pilosocereus* complex of species found in the Caribbean but is the only representative of the genus that occurs in North America. The phylogenetic relationships of the various *Pilosocereus* populations in the Keys have been disputed since their discovery in 1838. Botanists John Torrey and Asa Gray first officially documented the cactus in 1838 as *Cereus peruvianus* (Torrey & Gray 1838). The cactus was renamed six more times including genus and species names (see full history USFWS 1999). The Key Largo population has historically been treated as *P. bahamensis* (Britton) Byles & G.D.Rowley (USFWS 2010). Despite this classification, both *P. robinii* and *P. bahamensis* have at times been grouped into the more widespread
Caribbean-based species *Pilosocereus polygonus* (Lem.) Byles & G.D.Rowley (Anderson 2001; Zappi 1994), but inclusion into *P. polygonus* has not been upheld elsewhere (ITIS 2013). Therefore, the relationship between the Key Largo population and the rest of the Keys population has to be resolved for appropriate management and reintroduction action for this species. The conservation effort for *P. robinii* would also benefit from clarification of the taxonomic relationships within the *Pilososcereus* genus across the entire Caribbean complex.

**METHODS**

**DNA Isolation**

Root material was collected from twenty individuals from the Fairchild Tropical Botanic Garden *ex situ* collection which included 11 individuals that were alive in the wild and 9 individuals that were extirpated from the wild (Table 1). Root samples were collected by unearthing individually potted *Pilosocereus* stems from the Fairchild Tropical Botanic Garden holdings. The collection of stems from specific populations were taken as a proxy for field collection. Care was applied to ensure that root collections were connected to the main cactus stem and not adventitious sprouts. Root samples were then dried on Drierite© indicator 10-20 mesh desiccant (W.A. Hammond Drierite, Xenia, OH) for a minimum of 24 hours and then DNA was extracted using a DNeasy© Plant Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).

Restriction site associated DNA mapping (RAD) was used to identify SNPs in the twenty samples. Samples were processed at the Genome Center at the University of California at Davis. The DNA from *P. robinii* was digested using two restriction enzymes, HindIII and NotIII, and an adapter was ligated to the fragment overhanging
ends that contained an identifier allowing the samples to be pooled. The product was sheared randomly then a second adapter was ligated to the ends. PCR was used to amplify the fragments and were then sequenced on an Illumina sequencing platform. The cactus sample from Key Largo was used as a reference genome for mapping the other samples. A total of 151,829,113 reads using the Illumina Hi-Seq next generation DNA sequencer were recovered. After quality filtering, 82,382,440 high quality (HQ) reads were used for mapping. The high quality reads were used as a reference to recover 5,265 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for analysis. Additionally, 2,215 microsatellite loci were identified from the reference genome, which could be used for future genetic studies.

Statistical Methods

Genetic diversity among the populations was assessed using the program GenAlEx 6.5b3, which determined the percentage of polymorphic loci (p), the observed heterozygosity (H₀), and expected heterozygosity (Hₑ) across the population samples (Peakall & Smouse 2012). Global estimates of the inbreeding coefficient (Fᵢₛ) for each population were calculated using Genepop in the method of Weir and Cockerham (1984). Global deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for each population were also calculated using Genepop 4.2 with 10,000 dememorization steps, 20 batches with 5,000 iterations per batch (Raymond & Rousset 1995; Rousset 2008).

The program GenAlEx was used to test for genetic differentiation within and among stands of cacti using an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) (Excoffier et al. 1992) among populations (with p-values obtained after 9999 permutations) and Phiₚₛ pairwise comparisons between populations.
There are several statistical approaches for clustering genetic data to find areas of diversity among populations. Discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) was chosen because the method has few assumptions and an ability to refine the differentiation between populations to minimize the within population differences, thus yielding reliable population information (Jombart et al. 2010). This analysis was conducted using the R statistical environment with the package adegenet (Jombart & Ahmed 2011). Principal Coordinate Analyses (PCO) among all the individuals included in our study was computed with GenAlEx based on the algorithm developed by Orloci (1978). Prior to this analysis, these data were converted to pairwise individual genetic distances (Smouse & Peakall 1999), standardized, and formatted as a covariance matrix. Lastly, to examine whether the mortality status of each individual has a genetic component, an AMOVA and PCO were performed in GenAlEx.

RESULTS

Genetic diversity

Genetic diversity was relatively low across the populations sampled. The percent of polymorphic loci across the populations ranged from 6.27% to 64.16% (Table 2). Although relatively low, the observed heterozygosity estimates were generally higher than what was expected across the populations. Inbreeding coefficients were all positive, indicating a deficit of heterozygotes, consistent with inbred populations. The Lower Matecumbe Key population displayed less inbreeding than the other populations with a value closer to zero. All populations significantly deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Table 2).
Genetic differentiation

We found a small amount of subdivision in populations with pairwise \( \Phi_{PT} \) values of 0.436 and 0.395 (Table 3). Only four pairwise comparisons were significant at \( P<0.05 \) with Big Pine having the most numerous pairwise differences from the rest of the samples. Key Largo had the least amount of variation, displaying zero difference between every population except Lower Matecumbe. Additionally, AMOVA indicated that 86% of the genetic variation was shared across the group and only 14% of the overall variation was restricted to a particular population (\( F=0.139, p=0.020 \)).

The principal coordinate analysis showed that 39.69% and 16.62% of the genetic variation was explained on the first two axes, respectively. The Lower Matecumbe population grouped together in the lower half (Figure 1). The two Key Largo samples did not cluster in the same quadrant suggesting this clump of stems is not clonal. The subdivision of the two populations is further supported by the DAPC cluster analysis which revealed the true number of populations as two \([k=2]\) (Figure 3).

Assessing Genetic differences between Living and Dead Cacti

The examination of a genetic component between living and extirpated cacti showed that only 1% of the variation were specific to the plant status in the wild and was not significant (\( F=0.010, p=0.340 \)). The PCO analysis did not reveal a relationship between mortality status and genetic relatedness (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

The populations of Pilosocereus robinii in the Florida Keys display considerable amounts of inbreeding and low levels of genetic diversity. The results are consistent with
the species’ having a history of bottlenecks and colonization. Global inbreeding coefficients for *P. robinii* were all high which indicates populations that are characterized by inbreeding. There was very little genetic difference between the stands of cacti in the Florida Keys, with pairwise *Phi*<sub>pt</sub> values were relatively low. The AMOVA and pairwise comparisons indicated that most of the genetic variation is shared among the group as a whole rather than between individual populations. Although some values of *Phi*<sub>pt</sub> indicate low levels of population differentiation, others are relatively high particularly those between Big Pine and Lower Matecumbe. Big Pine Key had the most significant deviations in the pairwise comparison and according to the PCO diagram had the greatest breadth of genetic differentiation. Although Lower Matecumbe grouped out in the PCO diagram in a separate quadrant suggesting some unique variation, only one pairwise comparison was significant.

The Key Largo cactus has been thought to perhaps be a large clonal stand but the PCO diagram and the sequence data do not support this. They demonstrate that the putative clonal stand on Key Largo is in fact made up of separate individuals since truly clonal individuals would be represented by points that fall very near one another or right on top of one another. This cacti stand is known to be a prolific reproducer in the wild and one of the few individuals that does so. The low amount of differentiation between Key Largo and the other populations suggests that the Key Largo population is closely related to the settlement of *Pilosocereus* in the lower Keys. On the basis of the PCO and raw sequences, the individuals sampled are not completely clonal and reproduction has taken place in the wild at some point in the past. Currently, the Key Largo plant is the only cactus to set fruit successfully in the wild.
Additionally, the DAPC results confirm a true number of populations as k=2, which is supported by the PCO diagram showing Lower Matecumbe distinct from the result of the cacti. The lack of significant pairwise Phi_{pt} values could be based on the low sample size.

The comparisons of living versus extirpated cacti indicate that there is not a genetic basis for morality or survival that is detectable in this study. The Key Tree Cactus is known to be adversely affected by salinity levels and mortality has been demonstrated to be directly related to the surrounding levels of salinity in the soil (Goodman et al. 2012). However, the genetic basis of salinity tolerance in plants has proved challenging to unravel, so whether extirpation has a detectable genetic relation to soil salinity cannot currently be determined from this data.

Recent studies investigating the genetic diversity and clonality of *Pilosocereus* species in South America have revealed a high amount of genetic diversity with expected heterozygosity estimates of 0.3 (Figueredo et al. 2010; Moraes et al. 2005). An adequate indicator of differentiation is typically an $F_{ST}$ value above 0.30 and all pairwise comparisons for the Key Tree Cactus populations were below this threshold. Many rare species, however, when compared to their more widespread relatives appear deficient in genetic diversity (Hamrick & Godt 1996).

**CONCLUSION**

The lack of differentiation from the stand of cacti on Key Largo compared to the rest of the populations could indicate that the Key Largo population was established by an initial colonizing event and could be the mother plant to the rest of the *P. robinii* in the
Florida Keys. Further testing and comparisons between the *Pilosocereus* genus in the Caribbean can elucidate this issue. The Key Largo stand of cacti appears more similar to all of the rest of the populations than they do to each other. The lack of significant deviations from Key Largo to the rest of the populations lends credibility to the argument than *P. bahamensis* is in fact *P. robinii* since this particular cactus is more similar to the rest of the cacti in the Keys.

Given the limited knowledgebase concerning the taxonomic relationship of this genus in the Caribbean, further genetic work must be completed to reveal the relationship of this North American-based species to the rest of *Pilosocereus*. An inclusion of Bahamian and Dominican Republic samples of *P. polygonus* is currently being planned for analysis in the dataset to answer these remaining questions.

The reintroduction of *Pilosocereus robinii* into the Florida Keys is ongoing. The first transplant population was planted August 2012. Most of the transplants are thriving, although some mortality has occurred. My genetic analysis suggests that the plantings have not interfered with population structure across the Keys, and that lower Keys material can be safely transplanted to higher ground in the upper Keys. There is little genetic evidence to suggest that plantings need to remain within the population of origin. Lower Matecumbe and Long Key are priorities for collection since they contain distinct variation and Big Pine Key for its greater amount of genetic diversity.
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Figure 1. Map showing the populations of *Pilosocereus robinii* in Florida including the ex situ collection held at Fairchild Tropical Botanic Garden in Coral Gables, Florida
Table 1. Individuals from the Fairchild Tropical Botanic Garden *ex situ* collection of *Pilosocereus robinii* that were included in the genetic analysis and their population of origin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Dead in wild¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big Pine Key</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Key</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Matecumbe Key – stand 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Matecumbe Key – stand 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Matecumbe Key</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Largo</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ In situ plant subsequently extirpated after the vegetative ex situ collection was made beginning in 2007
Table 2 - Population genetic statistics for 20 samples of *Pilosocereus robinii*; p = percentage of polymorphic loci; HO = observed heterozygosity; HE = expected heterozygosity; nds = Number of loci that deviate significantly from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (p < 0.05); F_{IS} = inbreeding coefficient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>%p</th>
<th>HO</th>
<th>HE</th>
<th>F_{IS}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Key Largo</td>
<td>25.33%</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.125**</td>
<td>0.1875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cactus preserve</td>
<td>37.75%</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.146**</td>
<td>0.4319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Key</td>
<td>14.63%</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.067**</td>
<td>0.4247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Matecumbe Key</td>
<td>18.12%</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.070**</td>
<td>0.0359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Pine Key</td>
<td>64.16%</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.232**</td>
<td>0.4472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Matecumbe Key</td>
<td>6.27%</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.030**</td>
<td>0.3911</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Populations that deviate significantly from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium**
Table 3. $\Phi_{PT}$ values for the six groups of *Pilosocereus robinii* from the Florida Keys (* ** shows significance at 0.05 for pairwise $\Phi_{PT}$ values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Key Largo</th>
<th>Cactus preserve</th>
<th>Long Key</th>
<th>Lower Matecumbe</th>
<th>Big Pine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cactus preserve</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Key</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Matecumbe</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.292**</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Pine</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.134**</td>
<td>0.115**</td>
<td>0.214**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Matecumbe</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. This graph shows the output of the DAPC analysis. Bayesian information criterion (BIC) is provided for different numbers of clusters and the chosen number of clusters (2) is circled in red.
Figure 4. Two dimensional plot of the PCO for the six populations of *Pilosocereus robinii* in the Florida Keys. The first axis explained 37.69% and the second axis represents 16.63% of the total variation.
Figure 5. Two dimensional plot of the PCO for the mortality status of *Pilosocereus robinii*. The first axis explained 37.69% and the second axis represents 16.63% of the total variation.