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DOWNSTREAM REGULATORY ANTAGONIST MODULATOR (DREAM) IS 29 kDa NEURONAL CALCIUM SENSOR (NCS) PROTEIN WHICH IS EXPRESSED IN THE HIPPOCAMPUSS OF THE BRAIN. THE DREAM IS INVOLVED IN A WIDE SPECTRUM OF BIOLOGICAL PROCESSES, INCLUDING REGULATION OF POTASSIUM CURRENT IN BRAIN, MODULATION OF PAIN, PRESENILIN-1 PROCESSING, REGULATION OF MEMORY AND LEARNING. EXPOSURE TO TOXIC METALS SUCH AS LEAD (Pb²⁺) AND CADMIUM (Cd²⁺) HAVE BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH DIFFERENT TYPES OF NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES. HOWEVER, HOW THESE TOXIC METALS INDUCE NEUROTOXICITY REMAINS AN ACTIVE AREA OF RESEARCH. IN THE PRESENT STUDY, UTILIZING A COMBINATION OF SPECTROSCOPIC AND CALORIMETRIC TECHNIQUES, WE DEMONSTRATED BINDING OF THESE TOXIC METALS TO DREAM. WE SHOWED THAT Pb²⁺ AND Cd²⁺ BIND TO EF-HANDS IN DREAM AND BINDING OF THESE TOXIC METALS ALTERS DREAM SECONDARY AND TERTIARY STRUCTURE. LEAD AND CADMIUM ASSOCIATION TO DREAM ALSO MODULATES DREAM INTERACTIONS WITH FITC-LABELED PEPTIDES THAT MIMIC BINDING SITES OF DREAM EFFECTOR PROTEINS, PRESENILIN 1 AND Kv CHANNEL IN A SIMILAR WAY AS Ca²⁺ BINDING. CONSIDERING THE HIGH
sequence homology between DREAM and other NCS proteins, Pb\textsuperscript{2+} and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} could bind to other NCS proteins and interactions of Pb\textsuperscript{2+} and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} to with NCS proteins could provide novel insight into the molecular mechanism of Pb\textsuperscript{2+} and Cd\textsuperscript{2+}-induced neurotoxicity.

Lithium (Li\textsuperscript{+}) has been used for the treatment of mental disorders for more than six decades. Increasing evidences from in vivo and in vitro studies have implicated that Li\textsuperscript{+} is a drug candidate for the treatment of neurogenerative diseases. However, the molecular mechanism through which Li\textsuperscript{+} exerts its therapeutic action has not elucidated yet. Here we investigated whether Li\textsuperscript{+} directly binds to DREAM and impact its structural and functional properties. We demonstrated that Li\textsuperscript{+} binding triggers structural rearrangement of DREAM and enhances DREAM interactions with site-1 and site-2 of the voltage-gated potassium channel. Results from this study suggest that DREAM and probably other NCS proteins are molecular targets of Li\textsuperscript{+} and binding of Li\textsuperscript{+} to DREAM could provide a novel insight into the molecular mechanism of Li\textsuperscript{+} therapeutic action.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHAPTER</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 General principles of calcium signaling</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Neuronal calcium signaling</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Classification of Ca(^{2+}) binding proteins</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 Ca(^{2+}) binding motif: C-2 domain</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 Ca(^{2+}) binding motif: annexin</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 Ca(^{2+}) binding motif: the EF-hand</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7 EF-hand structural reorganization</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8 Binding of non-physiological metals to EF-hand proteins</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9 Neuronal calcium sensor (NCS) proteins</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10 DREAM/calsenilin/KChIP3</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.11 Objectives</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. MATERIAL AND METHODS</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Methods used in chapter 3</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Methods used in chapter 4</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Methods used in chapter 5</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Methods used in chapter 6</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Methods used in chapter 7</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 Methods used in chapter 8</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. LEAD BINDS TO DREAM AND MODULATES ITS INTERACTIONS WITH BINDING PARTNERS: A LINK BETWEEN NEURONAL CALCIUM SENSORS AND LEAD NEUROTOXICITY.</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Background and significance</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Results and discussion</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Summary</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. CADMIUM ASSOCIATION TO DREAM PROMOTES DREAM INTERACTIONS WITH INTRACELLULAR PARTNERS IN A SIMILAR MANNER AS ITS PHYSIOLOGICAL LIGAND, CALCIUM.</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Background and significance</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Results and discussion</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Summary</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. BINDING OF THE NEUROPROTECTIVE AGENT LITHIUM TO NEURONAL CALCIUM SENSOR PROTEIN DREAM: A NEW INSIGHT INTO LITHIUM THERAPY.</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1 Background and significance</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 Results and discussion</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 Summary</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. INVESTIGATION OF FEW OTHER METALS BINDING TO DREAM. ........136
   6.1 Background and significance ..............................................136
   6.2 Results and discussion ....................................................140
   6.3 Summary ........................................................................146

7. ROLE OF LYS RESIDUE AT POSITION 87 OF DREAM IN ALLOSTERIC
   REGULATION OF DREAM INTERACTIONS WITH INTERACTING PARTNERS. . 147
   7.1 Background and significance ..............................................147
   7.2 Results and discussion ....................................................150
   7.3 Summary ........................................................................158

8. IMPACT OF EF-3 OR EF-4 INACTIVATION OF DREAM ON ITS SECONDARY
   AND TERTIARY STRUCTURES AND INTERACTIONS WITH T-1 DOMAIN OF
   KV CHANNEL ........................................................................159
   8.1 Background and significance ..............................................159
   8.2 Results and discussion ....................................................162
   8.3 Summary ........................................................................171

9. CONCLUSIONS ........................................................................172

LIST OF REFERENCES ....................................................................178

VITA .........................................................................................203
# LIST OF TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table 3.1. Fluorescence decay parameters for DREAM(Δ64) as a function of Ca²⁺/Pb²⁺ binding to EF-hands.</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 3.2. Fluorescence decay parameters for DREAM(Δ64)–1,8-ANS complexes as a function of Ca²⁺ and/or Pb²⁺ binding to EF-hands.</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 3.3. ITC parameters recovered for Tb³⁺ and Pb²⁺ displacement of Ca²⁺ from EF hands of DREAM using a sequential model.</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 3.4. Equilibrium dissociation constants for presenilin-1 helix 9 “PS1HL9”, Kv4.3(2-22) “site-1”, and Kv4.3(70-90) “site-2” binding to DREAM constructs.</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 4.1. Fluorescence decay parameters of WTDREAM(Δ64) in the presence and absence of Ca²⁺ and Cd²⁺.</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 4.2. Fluorescence decay parameters of WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS complexes.</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 4.3. Thermodynamic parameters determined for displacement of Ca²⁺ by Cd²⁺ from EF hands of DREAM.</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 4.4. The equilibrium dissociation constants for PS1HL9, site-1 site-2 binding to DREAM constructs.</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 5.1. Thermodynamics parameters recovered for Li⁺ binding to EF-hand of metal-free DREAM.</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 5.2. Fluorescence decay parameters for Li⁺ and/or Ca²⁺ binding to DREAM(Δ64)–1,8-ANS complexes.</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7.1: Fluorescence decay parameters of DREAM(K87A) in the presence and absence of metals. ................................................................. 154

Table 7.2: Fluorescence decay parameters of DREAM(K87A):1,8-ANS complexes. ................................................................. 155

Table 8.1: Fluorescence decay parameters of DREAM constructs in the presence and absence of metals. .................................................. 165

Table 8.2: Fluorescence decay parameters of DREAM:1,8-ANS complexes. ..... 169
## LIST OF FIGURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIGURE</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.1. Components of Ca(^{2+}) signaling network. Maintenance of intracellular Ca(^{2+}) concentration is determined by the balance between ON/OFF reaction. Adapted from (Berridge, Lipp et al. 2000).</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.2. Three-dimensional structure of synaptotagmin-1 with Ca(^{2+}) bound to C2B domain (PDB: 1tjx). Cartoon representation of protein is shown as purple blue; Ca(^{2+}) is shown as red spheres.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.3. Three-dimensional structure of Ca(^{2+}) bound annexin V (PDB: 1yii). Protein is represented with purple blue cartoon; Ca(^{2+}) is represented with red spheres.</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.4. Single canonical EF-hand of parvalbumin (PDB: 1b8r). Entering and exiting helices are shown as cyan; Ca(^{2+}) chelating loop is shown as magenta; Ca(^{2+}) ion is shown as green sphere, β-sheet is shown as red.</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.5. Schematic diagram of the coordination sphere of canonical EF-hand loop. Blue represents coordinating ligands, coordinating water molecule is shown in dark blue. Light green represents conserved glycine residue which allows bending of the loop. Entering and exiting helices are shown in red. Figure adapted from (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007).</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.6. Structural reorganization of the EF-hands of CaM. Left) EF-1 in apo and Ca(^{2+}) bound forms are shown as firebrick color and slate colors, respectively. Right) EF-3 in apo and Ca(^{2+})-bound forms are shown as lime green and cyan, respectively. In both cases, Ca(^{2+}) is shown as magenta sphere. Ca(^{2+}) binding reorganizes both EF-hands from antiparallel to perpendicular orientation. PDB code of apo-CaM: 1cfc; PDB code of Ca(^{2+})-CaM: 1cll.</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1.7. Structural reorganization of the EF-hands of recoverin. A) EF-1 in apo and Ca(^{2+}) bound forms are shown as firebrick and slate, respectively. B) EF-3 in apo and Ca(^{2+})-bound forms are shown as lime green and cyan, respectively. In both cases, Ca(^{2+}) is shown as magenta sphere. Ca(^{2+}) binding does not lead to significant structural reorganization in the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1.8. NMR structure of NCS-1 protein (PDB: 2lcp). EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown as firebrick, slate, lime green, and cyan, respectively; Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions are shown as magenta spheres; and rest of the protein is shown as orange. EF-1 and EF-2 constitutes N terminus; EF-3 and EF-4 constitutes C-terminus. In each terminus, paired EF-hands communicate through a short antiparallel β-sheet.

Figure 1.9. Left: NMR structure of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free recoverin (PDB code: 1iku). Right: NMR structure of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-bound recoverin (PDB code: 1jsa). In both cases, EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown as firebrick, slate, lime green, and cyan, respectively. Rest of the protein is shown as cyan. Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions are shown as magenta sphere. Myristoyl group is shown as pink. Myristoyl group is buried in Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free recoverin, but Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding exposes the myristoyl group.

Figure 1.10. Representation of the amino acid residues of KChIP1 involved in interaction with N-terminus of Kv4.3 channel (PDB: 2nz0). N terminus of the protein is shown in firebrick, C-terminus is shown in slate. Residues involved in first interface is shown in cyan sticks, residues involved in the second interface is shown in lime green sticks.

Figure 1.11. Cartoon representation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-bound DREAM(78-256) (PDB: 2jul). EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in orange, red, green, and magenta, respectively. Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions are shown in cyan spheres. Rest of the protein is shown in blue. For clarity, structure of first 77 residues were not resolved.

Figure 1.12. The central hydrophobic patch of the DREAM that communicate between N- and C- terminal domain is shown in stick (PDB: 2jul). EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in diffuse firebrick, slate, lime green, and cyan, respectively. Rest of the protein is shown in orange. Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions are shown in magenta spheres.

Figure 1.13. The C-terminal hydrophobic patch of DREAM is shown in stick (PDB: 2jul). EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in diffuse firebrick, slate,
lime green, and cyan, respectively. Rest of the protein is shown in orange. Ca$^{2+}$ ions are shown in magenta spheres.

Figure 1.14. Model structure of DREAM dimer based on the NMR structure of DREM monomer (PDB entry 2JUL). Left panel shows hydrophobic interactions between Leu 155, 159 and 251 and right panel show a salt bridge between Arg 200, Arg 207 (in blue) and Glu 103 (in red). The two dimers were docked using Autodock and the initial structure of the dimer was refined in 50 ns molecular dynamic simulation.

Figure 1.15. Cartoon representation of the N-terminus of DREAM (PDB: 2jul). Cluster of basic amino acid residues that are proposed to interact with DNA is shown as stick.

Figure 3.1 Structure of Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM (PDB entry 2JUL). The four EF-hands of DREAM are colored in orange (EF-hand 1), green (EF-hand 2), blue (EF-hand 3), and red (EF-hand 4). The helix-1 and helix-10 are shown in cyan. Ca$^{2+}$ ions bound to EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 are shown as yellow spheres.

Figure 3.2. Fluorescence emission spectra of 20 μM DREAM(Δ64) in the metal free form and in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ and/or Pb$^{2+}$. Conditions: 20 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4), 1mM EDTA, 1 mM Ca$^{2+}$, 40 μM Pb$^{2+}$, and 1mM Ca$^{2+}$ and 40 μM Pb$^{2+}$; samples were excited at 295 nm and experiments were carried out at 20 °C (A). Titration curve for Pb$^{2+}$ binding to Ca$^{2+}$DREAM. The fraction bound was determined based on decrease in Trp169 emission intensity at increasing Pb$^{2+}$ concentration. Conditions: 20 μM DREAM(Δ64) in 5 mM Tris, pH 7.4 and 100 μM Ca$^{2+}$. Experimental points correspond to the average value determined from three independent measurements. The solid line represents the fit using Equation 2; the standard deviations are shown as error bars (B). Fluorescence emission spectra of 20 μM DREAM(Δ160). Conditions as described for Figure 3.2A (C).

Figure 3.3. (A) Far-UV CD spectra of 20 μM DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of 1mM EDTA, 1mM Ca$^{2+}$, 40 μM Pb$^{2+}$, and (1mM Ca$^{2+}$ and 40 μM Pb$^{2+}$) in 20 mM Tris (pH 7.4). (B) Near-UV CD spectra of 40 μM DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of 1mM EDTA, 1mM Ca$^{2+}$, 40 μM Pb$^{2+}$, and (1mM Ca$^{2+}$ and 40 μM Pb$^{2+}$) in 5 mM phosphate (pH 7.4).
Figure 3.4. Frequency-domain intensity decay of 20 µM apo (squares), Ca²⁺ (circle), Pb²⁺ (up triangle), and Ca²⁺Pb²⁺ (down triangle) DREAM(Δ64) in 20 mM Tris (pH 7.4). The phase delay is shown as solid symbols and modulation ratio as empty symbols. Solid lines represent data fitting using a (one Gaussian distribution and single exponential decay) model.

Figure 3.5. Emission spectra of (A) 1,8-ANS:DREAM(Δ64) and (B) 1,8-ANS:DREAM(Δ160) complexes as a function of Pb²⁺ or Ca²⁺ binding to the protein. Conditions: 20 µM DREAM(Δ64) and 20 µM 1,8-ANS; excited at 350 nm. (C) Titration of 10 µM 1,8-ANS with Pb²⁺DREAM(Δ64). The fit of the experimental data using Equation 2 is shown as a solid line. Inset: 1,8-ANS structure.

Figure 3.6. Frequency-domain intensity decay of 40 µM 1,8-ANS binding to 40 µM DREAM(Δ64) in presence of EDTA (squares), Ca²⁺ (circle), Pb²⁺ (up triangle), and Ca²⁺Pb²⁺ (down triangle). The phase delay is shown as solid symbols and modulation ratio as empty symbols. Solid lines represent data fitting using three-exponential decay model.

Figure 3.7. ITC isotherms for Pb²⁺ displacement of Ca²⁺ from (A) DREAM (Δ64) and (B) DREAM (Δ160). The upper panels of the profile reflect the thermal power expressed in units of microcalories per second, whereas the lower panel represents integrated reaction heats (ΔH) expressed in units of kilocalories per mole. The solid line represents the best fitting curve with parameters listed in Table 3.3.

Figure 3.8A,B. Titration of 0.5 µM PS1HL9 with Pb²⁺DREAM (Δ64). Left panel represents raw anisotropy data. Right panel shows fraction bound that was calculated using Equation 1. In both cases, solid lines correspond to fit of the experimental data using Equation 2.

Figure 3.9A,B. Titration of 0.5 µM Kv4.3 (2–22) “site-1” with Pb²⁺DREAM(Δ64). Right panel shows fraction bound that was calculated using Equation 1. In both cases, solid lines correspond to fit of the experimental data using Equation 2.

Figure 3.10A,B. Titration of 0.5 µM Kv4.3 (70–90) “site-2” with Pb²⁺DREAM(Δ64). Right panel shows fraction bound that was
calculated using Equation 1. In both cases, solid lines correspond to fit of the experimental data using Equation 2.

Figure 4.1. NMR structure of Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM monomer (PDB entry 2JUL). The four EF-hands of DREAM are shown in raspberry (EF-hand 1), slate (EF-hand 2), teal (EF-hand 3), and firebrick (EF-hand 4); Ca\(^{2+}\) ions are shown as magenta spheres; W169 is shown as limon stick; and rest of the protein is shown in limon.

Figure 4.2. Effects of Ca\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) on emission properties of W169 in (A) DREAM(Δ64), (B) DREAM(Δ160), (C) DREAM(E186), and (D) DREAM(E234Q). Conditions: 20 µM protein in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA or 2 mM Ca\(^{2+}\), and/or 100 µM Cd\(^{2+}\). Emission spectra were recorded using 295 nm excitation light.

Figure 4.3. Emission spectra of DREAM(Δ64) as a function of increasing concentration of Cd\(^{2+}\). Conditions: 20 µM WTDREAM(Δ64) in 20 mM Tris in presence of 100 µM Ca\(^{2+}\). The emission spectra were recorded using 295 nm excitation (A). The decrease in the emission intensity of DREAM(Δ64) due to the Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM. The experimental data were fitted using Equation 1 for obtaining equilibrium dissociation constant (B).

Figure 4.4. Far-UV CD spectra of (A) 20 µM DREAM(Δ64), (B) 20 µM DREAM(Δ160), (C) 20 µM DREAM(E186), and (D) 20 µM DREAM(E234Q). Conditions as described in Figure 4.2.

Figure 4.5. Frequency-domain intensity decay of 20 µM apoDREAM(Δ64) (square), Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(Δ64) (circle), Cd\(^{2+}\)DREAM(Δ64) (up triangle), and Cd\(^{2+}\)Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM (Δ64) (down triangle). Solid symbols represent phase delay, whereas empty symbols represent modulation ratio. Solid lines correspond to the fitting of the data using a sum of three exponential decay model.

Figure 4.6. Emission spectra of (A) 1,8-ANS:DREAM(Δ64), (B) 1,8-ANS:DREAM(Δ160), (C) 1,8-ANS:DREAM(E186), and (D) 1,8-ANS:DREAM(E234Q) complexes. Conditions: Conditions: 20 µM protein in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 20 µM 1,8-ANS, 2 mM EDTA or 2 mM
Ca²⁺, and/or 100 μM Cd²⁺. Emission spectra were recorded using 350 nm excitation light.

Figure 4.7. Titration curve for 1,8-ANS binding to Cd²⁺:DREAM(Δ64). The solid line represents the best fit of the experimental data using Equation 1. Standard deviations are shown as error bars.

Figure 4.8. Frequency-domain intensity decay of 40 μM 1,8-ANS:54 μM DREAM(Δ64) complexes in the presence of EDTA (squares), Ca²⁺ (circle), Cd²⁺ (up triangle), and Cd²⁺Ca²⁺ (down triangle). Solid symbols represent phase delay, whereas empty symbols represent modulation ratio. Solid lines represent the fitting of the data using three-exponential decay model.

Figure 4.9. ITC isotherms for the displacement of Ca²⁺ by Cd²⁺ from EF-hand of (A) DREAM (Δ64) and (B) DREAM (Δ160). The upper panels of the profiles represent the thermal power expressed in μcal.s⁻¹, whereas the lower panels show integrated reaction heats (ΔH) expressed in kcal. mole⁻¹. The solid lines represent the best fitting curve using sequential model (2 sites). Thermodynamics parameters recovered from the model are listed in Table 4.3.

Figure 4.10. Titration of 0.5 μM PS1HL9 against Cd²⁺-bound DREAM constructs. The solid lines represent the fits of the experimental data using Equation 1; standard deviations are shown as error bars.

Figure 4.11. Titration of 0.5 μM Kv4.3 (2–22) “site-1” against Cd²⁺-bound DREAM constructs. The solid lines represent the fits of the experimental data using Equation 1; standard deviations are shown as error bars.

Figure 4.12. Titration of 0.5 μM Kv4.3 (70–90) “site-2” against Cd²⁺-bound DREAM constructs. The solid lines represent the fits of the experimental data using Equation 1; standard deviations are shown as error bars.

Figure 5.1. Impact of Li⁺ binding on tryptophan emission of (A) DREAM(Δ64), (B) DREAM(Δ160), (C) DREAM(E186Q), and (D) DREAM(E234Q). In each case, 20 μM protein samples in 20 mM Tris were excited at 295
nm; concentrations of EDTA, Ca$^{2+}$, and Li$^+$ in each DREAM construct were 2 mM, 2 mM, and 50 µM, respectively; Ca$^{2+}$Li$^+$DREAM constructs were prepared by adding 2 mM Ca$^{2+}$ to DREAM constructs in the presence of 50 µM Li$^+$.  

Figure 5.2. Impact of Li$^+$ binding to DREAM(65-256) in the presence of 100 mM Na$^+$ or 100 mM K$^+$. Condition: 20 µM DREAM(65-256), 2 mM Li$^+$, excited at 295 nm.  

Figure 5.3. Impact of Li$^+$ binding on far-UV CD spectra of (A) 20 µM DREAM(Δ64), (B) 20 µM DREAM(Δ160), (C) 20 µM DREAM(E186Q), and (D) 20 µM DREAM(E234Q) in 20 mM Tris. Concentrations of EDTA, Ca$^{2+}$, and Li$^+$ in each DREAM construct were 2 mM, 2 mM and 50 µM, respectively; Ca$^{2+}$Li$^+$DREAM constructs were obtained adding 2 mM Ca$^{2+}$ to DREAM constructs in the presence of 50 µM Li$^+$. All experiments were conducted at 18°C using a J-810 Jasco CD spectrometer through the 2 mm path of a (2 mm x 10 mm) quartz cuvette.  

Figure 5.4. ITC isotherms for Li$^+$ binding to EF-hand of metal-free A) DREAM(Δ64) and B) DREAM(Δ160). 30 x 6 µL of 1 mM Li$^+$ were titrated into 20 µM DREAM.Contribution of buffer was corrected. Thermal power expressed in µcal.s$^{-1}$ is shown in the upper panels of the profiles, whereas integrated reaction heats (∆H) expressed in kcal.mole$^{-1}$ is shown in the lower panels. The solid lines correspond to the best fitting curve using sequential model with two sites; thermodynamics parameters obtained from the model are listed in Table 1.  
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</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,8-ANS</td>
<td>1-anilinonaphthalene-8-sulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aMD</td>
<td>Accelerated molecular dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>Calcium ion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaBP</td>
<td>Calcium binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaM</td>
<td>Rat calmodulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cMD</td>
<td>Classical molecular dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd$^{2+}$</td>
<td>Cadmium ion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Circular dichroism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM</td>
<td>Downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>2-({2-[Bis(carboxymethyl)amino]ethyl} (carboxymethyl)amino)acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFX</td>
<td>EF-hand X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FITC</td>
<td>Fluorescein isothiocyanate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITC</td>
<td>Isothermal titration calorimetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPase</td>
<td>Inositol monophosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTG</td>
<td>Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InsP$_3$R</td>
<td>Inositol triphosphate receptors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KChIP</td>
<td>Potassium channel interaction protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>Emission maxima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$^+$</td>
<td>Lithium ion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTD</td>
<td>Long-term depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(^{2+})</td>
<td>Magnesium ion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAIP</td>
<td>Neuronal apoptosis inhibitor protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCS</td>
<td>Neuronal calcium sensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(^{2+})</td>
<td>Lead ion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKC</td>
<td>Protein Kinase C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POPOP</td>
<td>1,4-bis(5-phenyloxazol-2-yl)-benzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS1-CTF</td>
<td>Presenilin-1 carboxy-terminal fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS1HL9</td>
<td>Helix-9 of presenilin-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROCs</td>
<td>Receptor-operated ion channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD</td>
<td>Root mean square deviation</td>
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<td>RYR</td>
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</tr>
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<td>SASA</td>
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 General principle of calcium signaling

Calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)) is a universal intracellular signaling ion that binds to a wide spectrum of calcium-binding proteins (CaBPs) and regulate numerous cellular functions, including muscle contraction, fertilization, gene transcription, exocytosis, energy metabolism, neurotransmitter secretion, activity of ion channels, and signal transduction (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Berridge, Lipp et al. 2000, Carafoli, Santella et al. 2001). Dysregulation of calcium signaling could result in cancer, manic depression, hypertension, heart disease, and Alzheimer’s disease (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Berridge, Bootman et al. 2003). In the resting cells, intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration is about 100 nM, while concentration of extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) is about 2 mM (Vogel 1994, Clapham 2007). Such an enormous difference between intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) and extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) (10,000 fold) generates a chemiosmosis force that facilitates the influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) into the cell. The ATP-dependent pump maintains intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration by pumping excess Ca\(^{2+}\) out of the cells or into internal stores such as mitochondria, endoplasmic and sarcoplasmic reticulum (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Clapham 2007). Intracellular calcium binds to a wide array of CaBPs that regulate a wide range of cellular processes. Consequently, the Ca\(^{2+}\) signal is translated into diverse biochemical responses by triggering different intracellular pathways (Carafoli, Santella et al. 2001, Berridge, Bootman et al. 2003, Clapham 2007).

The regulation of a wide range of cellular processes by a single Ca\(^{2+}\) ion emphasizes the versatility of this ion in cellular function (Berridge, Lipp et al. 2000). The question remains how Ca\(^{2+}\) regulates so many cellular processes? The versatility of calcium signaling could be explained by its wide range of spatial and temporal signals, speed and
amplitude (Berridge, Lipp et al. 2000). The versatility is achieved through the use of an extensive Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling toolkit. The calcium-signaling toolkit comprises voltage-operated channels, receptor-operated channels, calcium pumps and exchangers, calcium buffer proteins, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} effector proteins, receptor proteins, transducer proteins, transcriptional factors, endoplasmic reticulum (ER), sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR), Golgi apparatus, mitochondria, to name a few (Berridge, Lipp et al. 2000, Berridge, Bootman et al. 2003). Each cell type utilizes distinctive components from the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-signaling toolkit and generates Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals with widely different spatial and temporal properties (Berridge, Bootman et al. 2003). Thanks to the flexibility of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} as a ligand. Although Ca\textsuperscript{2+} has similar physical properties as Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding sites have significantly higher affinity for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} than Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, as the coordination chemistry of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} allows it to bind to the binding site of irregular geometry whereas the strict requirement of Mg\textsuperscript{2+} for octahedral geometry is hard to satisfy.

![Figure 1.1. Components of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling network. Maintenance of intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration is determined by the balance between ON/OFF reaction. Adapted from (Berridge, Lipp et al. 2000).](image)
within the structure of proteins (Brini, Calì et al. 2014). A common calcium signaling network comprises four functional units, where at any moment, the level of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) is determined by the balance between ON/OFF reaction as shown in Figure 1.1 (Berridge, Lipp et al. 2000). Calcium signaling is initiated by stimuli such as membrane depolarization, extracellular signaling molecules, intracellular messengers, stretch, and noxious stimuli, which generates Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilizing signals (Berridge, Bootman et al. 2003). Calcium mobilizing signals activate the ON mechanism and extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) enter the cytoplasm through different channels, including voltage-operated ion channels (VOCs), store-operated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (SOCs), receptor-operated ion channels (ROCs), and secondary messenger operated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (SMOCs) (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Berridge, Bootman et al. 2003). Additionally, internal storages such as the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) can also release Ca\(^{2+}\) into the cytoplasm. The release of Ca\(^{2+}\) from internal stores is controlled by different channels, including ryanodine receptors (RYR) and inositol triphosphate receptors (InsP\(_3\)R). Calcium itself is the activator of these channels. Activation of those channels by Ca\(^{2+}\) is dependent on whether stimuli bind to cell surface receptors. For instance, inositol triphosphate (InsP\(_3\)) diffuses into the cells to bind inositol triphosphate receptors (InsP\(_3\)R) and release Ca\(^{2+}\) from ER (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Berridge, Lipp et al. 2000). The Ca\(^{2+}\) that enters the cytoplasm during the ON mechanism does not remain unbound. Instead, it interacts with different CaBPs. Most of cytoplasmic Ca\(^{2+}\) rapidly binds to calcium buffer proteins, whereas a small proportion of it binds to numerous Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor proteins, including calmodulin (CaM), recoverin, troponin, and neuronal Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor proteins (NCS) in the brain (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Berridge, Bootman et al. 2003). These calcium sensors
transduce chemical signals of an increased Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration into biochemical responses by triggering diverse signaling pathways (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007). At last, once Ca\textsuperscript{2+} has completed its signaling functions, it is rapidly removed from the cytoplasm with different exchangers and pumps via OFF mechanism. Na\textsuperscript{+}/Ca\textsuperscript{2+} exchangers and plasma membrane Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-ATPase (PMCA) pumps extrude Ca\textsuperscript{2+} outside of the cells. Other ion channels, including sarco-endoplasmic calcium ATPases (SERCAs), H\textsuperscript{+}/Ca\textsuperscript{2+} exchangers, and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} uniporters return Ca\textsuperscript{2+} into the internal stores, such ER, SR, and mitochondria (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Berridge, Lipp et al. 2000).

1.2 Neuronal calcium signaling

Calcium plays an important and diversified role in neuronal physiology. For instance, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} impacts both long-term depression (LTD) and long-term potentiation forms of synaptic plasticity by controlling the release of neurotransmitter from the presynaptic terminal (Bolshakov, Siegelbaum 1994, Christie, Magee et al. 1996, Grover, Teyler 1990, Impey, Mark et al. 1996). As a universal secondary messenger, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} has been demonstrated to regulate the process of learning and the formation and consolidation of memory, synaptogenesis, membrane excitability, and dendrite development (Brini, Calì et al. 2014, Südhof 2004, Redmond, Ghosh 2005, Michaelsen, Lohmann 2010, Tanaka, Nakada et al. 2008). The intracellular concentration of neuronal cell is in the range 100–500 nM, whereas extracellular concentration is 1 mM (Kawamoto, Vivar et al. 2012). Like other cells, neuronal cells use different components from the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling toolkit to generate neuronal Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals with a wide range of temporal and spatial properties. Neuronal life is dependent on the correct functioning of the above processes. Since Ca\textsuperscript{2+} plays a prominent role in the neuronal function, subtle alteration in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis leads to
profound functional changes (Brini, Calì et al. 2014, Kawamoto, Vivar et al. 2012). Several lines of evidence have demonstrated that perturbation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis is a causative factors of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s, Huntington disease, and other neurodegenerative disease (Christie, Magee et al. 1996, Kawamoto, Vivar et al. 2012, Bezprozvanny 2009, Bezprozvanny 2007, Mattson 2007, Mattson 2004, Rowland, Shneider 2001, von Lewinski, Keller 2005, Lim, Fedrizzi et al. 2008). Alteration of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis could be attributed to the decrease in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering capacity of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-buffer proteins, malfunction of membrane channels and mitochondrial Ca\textsuperscript{2+} controlling system (Brini, Calì et al. 2014, Kawamoto, Vivar et al. 2012).

1.3 Classification of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding proteins

As it is mentioned earlier, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} that flows into the cell during the ON reaction interacts with numerous CaBPs; the human genome encodes more than 200 CaBPs(Berridge, Bootman et al. 2003). Functionally, CaBPs are divided into two classes: Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffers and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensors (Brini, Calì et al. 2014). Calcium buffers bind Ca\textsuperscript{2+} with high affinity but Ca\textsuperscript{2+} association does not lead significant conformational changes. Calcium buffers proteins modulate Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling and help maintain Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis by releasing Ca\textsuperscript{2+} during the ON reaction and sequestering Ca\textsuperscript{2+} during OFF reaction (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Berridge, Bootman et al. 2003). Another important purpose of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffer is to regulate the transmission of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling throughout the cells by limiting the amplitude and duration of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling (Braunewell, Gundelfinger 1999). Parvalbumin, calbindin D-9k, calbindin D-28k, and calretinin are few examples of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffer proteins (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Berridge, Bootman et al. 2003). On the other hand, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensors proteins undergo significant conformational changes upon Ca\textsuperscript{2+} association, which enables them to
interact with binding partners to regulate a plethora of Ca$_{2+}$-dependent processes (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Braunewell, Gundelfinger 1999). Recoverin, calmodulin, troponin C, calcineurin B, and myosin light chain are well-studied examples of Ca$_{2+}$ sensing proteins (McCue, Haynes et al. 2010). Some proteins have both Ca$_{2+}$ buffering and sensing capability; CaM is one classic example of such proteins (Brini, Calì et al. 2014). All CaBPs comprise one of the three types of Ca$_{2+}$ binding structural motifs: the C2-domain, the annexin domain and the EF-hand domain.

1.4 Ca$_{2+}$ binding motif: C-2 domain.

The C2-domain is a conserved functional domain that is composed of approximately 130 amino acid residues. Structural studies demonstrated that C2-domain comprises eight β strands; 4 pairs of antiparallel β strands are connected through flexible loops as shown Figure 1.2 (Essen, Perisic et al. 1996, Nalefski, Falke 1996). These flexible

![Figure 1.2. Three-dimensional structure of synaptotagmin-1 with Ca$_{2+}$ bound to C2B domain (PDB: 1tjx). Cartoon representation of protein is shown as purple blue; Ca$_{2+}$ is shown as red spheres.](image-url)
loops provide Asp residues for Ca$^{2+}$ coordination. Each Ca$^{2+}$ is hepta-coordinated in C2-domain; five of the coordinating ligands come from Asp residue of the flexible loop and two of them are supplied by the water molecule (Nalefski, Falke 1996). It has been shown Ca$^{2+}$ binding to C2-domain facilitates C2domain-containing protein interaction with phospholipid membranes and other proteins (Scott, White et al. 1990, Newton 1995). Modulation of interaction between C-2 domain protein and binding partners by Ca$^{2+}$ can be explained by the exposure of hydrophobic residues as well as exposure of charged residues which can form electrostatic interaction with phosphate groups of phospholipid membranes (Scott, White et al. 1990, Newton 1995).

1.5 Ca$^{2+}$ binding motif: annexin.

The annexin family of proteins possess a highly variable N-terminal region, conserved core domain and C-terminal region (Figure 1.3) (Ortlund, Chai et al. 2004). The core domain contains a characteristics annexin repeat formed by a 70-residue-long

![Figure 1.3. Three-dimensional structure of Ca$^{2+}$ bound annexin V (PDB: 1yii). Protein is represented with purple blue cartoon; Ca$^{2+}$ is represented with red spheres.](image)
segment. Typically, four of such annexin repeats are present in the core domain of annexin proteins. Because of the compact packing of the central core, the overall structure of the protein looks like a disc with a slight curvature forming a convex and concave surface on the top and bottom of the protein surface, respectively (Ortlund, Chai et al. 2004, Weng, Luecke et al. 1993). Core domain concave side comprises the Ca^{2+} binding site. Annexin has been shown to interact with phospholipid membranes in a Ca^{2+}-dependent manner (Weng, Luecke et al. 1993). Annexin interacts with the phospholipid membrane as follows: convex surface faces towards the membrane and concave surface points away from the membrane (Weng, Luecke et al. 1993). In the apo-form of the protein, the N-terminal domain has an irregular structure that integrates into the central domain. Ca^{2+} association exposes the N-terminal domain and facilitates annexin interaction with other proteins, including S100 proteins (Weng, Luecke et al. 1993). Annexins have been implicated in the regulation of different biological processes, such as endocytosis, exocytosis, membrane organization, and signal transduction (Rescher, Gerke 2004, Gerke, Moss 2002, Moss, Morgan 2004, McNeil, Rescher et al. 2006).

1.6 Ca^{2+} binding motif: the EF-hand.

The EF-hand is by far mostly used Ca^{2+} binding motif, having more than 250 proteins in the superfamily (Braunewell, Gundelfinger 1999). The EF-hand Ca^{2+} binding motif was first observed in the Ca^{2+} buffer protein parvalbumin (Kretsinger, Nockolds 1973, Moews, Kretsinger 1975). The name EF-hand was proposed on the basis of the observation that E and F helices of parvalbumin are oriented around the Ca^{2+} binding loop in such a way that resembles the thumb and index finger of a hand. After the first discovery on EF-hand motif in parvalbumin, this motif was found in troponin C (Collins, Potter et al.
myosin light chains (Collins 1974), calmodulin (Stevens, Walsh et al. 1976) and recoverin (Flaherty, Zozulya et al. 1993), to mention a few. Most protein contains even number of EF-hands, with number four being the most prevalent. The canonical EF-hand motif comprises a helix-loop-helix structural unit, where a 9-residue entering α-helix and an 11-residue exiting α-helix is bridged by a 9-residue Ca\(^{2+}\) chelating loop (Figure 1.4) (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007). Ca\(^{2+}\) is hepta-coordinated in most EF-hand protein with pentagonal bipyramidal geometry (Grabarek 2006). Because of the geometric and space constrain helices and sheets provide only few ligands for Ca\(^{2+}\) coordination. The 9-residue long flexible loop provides five oxygen ligands for Ca\(^{2+}\) coordination; the rest two oxygen ligands come from the side chain of a bidentate carboxylate ligand glutamic acid, which is

Figure 1.4. Single canonical EF-hand of parvalbumin (PDB: 1b8r). Entering and exiting helices are shown as cyan; Ca\(^{2+}\) chelating loop is shown as magenta; Ca\(^{2+}\) ion is shown as green sphere, β-sheet is shown as red.
located in the exiting helix (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007). The coordination sphere of the canonical EF-hand loop is shown in Figure 1.5. Most of the residues in the EF-hand loop have individual roles for maintaining stability and folding of the loop: The first residue defines stereochemical rearrangement of the loop through various intraloop hydrogen bonds. The oxygen atoms of the side chains of Asp residues at positions 1, 3, 5, and 9 and backbone carbonyl group at position 7 provide five ligands for Ca\(^{2+}\) coordination. Less sterically hindered amino acid residue glycine is conserved in the 6\(^{th}\) position, which allows 90° turn of the loop that enables remaining oxygen atoms to take coordinating positions. Hydrophobic amino acid residues are conserved in the 8\(^{th}\) position; main chain NH and CO groups face away from Ca\(^{2+}\) binding site and form a short antiparallel β-sheet in this position. Aspartate residue in position 9 of the EF-hand loop can coordinate Ca\(^{2+}\) both
directly and through bridging water. Glutamic acid, supplied by the C-terminal exiting helix, is present at the 12th position of the canonical EF-hand loops and provides the remaining two oxygen atoms for Ca\(^{2+}\) coordination (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007). The location of the amino acid residue at the 12th position of the loop is critical as it determines the structure and function of the loop and specificity of the EF-hand. For instance, when glutamic acid is present in the 12th position, it can donate two ligands to fulfill the hepta-coordination of Ca\(^{2+}\) and EF-hand binds Ca\(^{2+}\) selectively. On the contrary, when aspartate is present in the 12th position, because of its smaller size, it can provide only one ligand, which can satisfy the hexa-coordination of Mg\(^{2+}\), but not the hepta coordination of Ca\(^{2+}\) and EF-hand binds Mg\(^{2+}\) preferentially. Besides coordinating the calcium ion as described above, the EF-hand loop possesses an extensive network of hydrogen bonds between chelating and non-chelating residues which stabilizes the loop (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007).

1.7 EF-hand structural reorganization.

The EF-hand proteins that are Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensors undergo structural rearrangement upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding. The question is how does Ca\(^{2+}\) binding trigger structural reorganization in the EF-hand protein? As noted above, Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites are located in the flexible loop that is located between entering and exiting helices of EF-hand. Residues 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 of the loop provide five ligands for Ca\(^{2+}\) coordination. The rest two ligands are provided by the 12th position of the loop (glutamic acid) which is located in the exiting helix. Glutamate residue at the 12th position is far away to chelate the Ca\(^{2+}\). To complete the coordination sphere of Ca\(^{2+}\), the exiting helix is repositioned by ~2 Å and consequently, glutamic acid donates two ligands and fulfills the pentagonal bipyramidal geometry of Ca\(^{2+}\). Movement of the exiting helix leads to the conformational change in that EF-hand
which is transmitted to other parts of the protein. \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) induced structural reorganization can be exemplified by comparing the structure of ubiquitous \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding protein calmodulin (CaM), which contains four EF-hands, in the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) bound form and in \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-free form (Figure 1.6). In the metal-free form of the CaM, entering and exiting helices are antiparallelly oriented (interhelical angle ~140°) and both helices experience extensive hydrophobic contacts (Figure 1.6, left); this conformation is known as closed conformation. Upon \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding, helices become perpendicular and interhelical contact between the helices is lost; this conformation is called open conformation (Figure 1.6, right). This conformation change exposes the buried hydrophobic cavities, which enables CaM to interact with a wide range of targets. However, the extent by which EF-hand proteins undergo structural reorganization varies among proteins and within EF-hands of the same protein. For example, \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding to metal-free recoverin, a protein associated

![Figure 1.6. Structural reorganization of the EF-hands of CaM. Left) EF-1 in apo and \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) bound forms are shown as firebrick color and slate colors, respectively. Right) EF-3 in apo and \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-bound forms are shown as lime green and cyan, respectively. In both cases, \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) is shown as magenta sphere. \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding reorganizes both EF-hands from antiparallel to perpendicular orientation. PDB code of apo-CaM: 1cfc; PDB code of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-CaM: 1cll.](image-url)
with vision, does not lead to the pronounced structural reorganization; however, Ca$^{2+}$ association leads to domain organization which exposes buried myristoylated group and enables recoverin to associate with the membrane (Figure 1.7). EF-hand proteins that act as calcium buffers, for example, parvalbumin and calbindin D-9k, undergo minimum structural reorganization upon Ca$^{2+}$ association.

![Figure 1.7. Structural reorganization of the EF-hands of recoverin. A) EF-1 in apo and Ca$^{2+}$ bound forms are shown as firebrick and slate, respectively. B) EF-3 in apo and Ca$^{2+}$-bound forms are shown as lime green and cyan, respectively. In both cases, Ca$^{2+}$ is shown as magenta sphere. Ca$^{2+}$ binding does not lead to significant structural reorganization in the protein. PDB code of apo-recoverin: 1iku; PDB code of Ca$^{2+}$-recoverin: 1jsa.]

1.8 Binding of non-physiological metals to EF-hand proteins.

In addition to the binding of physiological ligands Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$, EF-hand proteins have been shown to bind non-physiological ligands. A wide spectrum of studies has demonstrated that Pb$^{2+}$ interacts with EF-hand protein CaM and modulates its interaction with intracellular partners which might contribute to Pb$^{2+}$-induced neurotoxicity
(Gorkhali, Huang et al. 2016). For instance, Pb$^{2+}$ displaces Ca$^{2+}$ that is bound to EF-hands of CaM and Pb$^{2+}$:CaM complex stimulates myosin light chain kinase and phosphodiesterase (Habermann, Crowell et al. 1983). Lead also has been shown to bind sites other than EF-hands, which could disrupt multiple downstream activities and induce neurotoxicity (Kern, Wisniewski et al. 2000, Goldstein, Ar 1983). Statistical analysis of Pb$^{2+}$-bound proteins that were obtained from the protein data bank (PDB) have shown that negatively charged amino acid residues such as Asp and Glu provide ligands for Pb$^{2+}$ coordination; the geometry of Pb$^{2+}$-bound EF-hands is analogous to Ca$^{2+}$-bound EF-hands as both Pb$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ are coordinated by same binding ligands. Because of the preponderance of negatively charged amino acid residues in the EF-hand loop of EF-hand proteins, Pb$^{2+}$ is a molecular target of a wide range of EF-hand proteins (Kirberger, Yang 2008).

Analogous to Pb$^{2+}$ binding, Cd$^{2+}$ also displaces Ca$^{2+}$ from the EF-hand of CaM (Forsén, Thulin et al. 1980, Andersson, Forsen et al. 1983) which facilitates CaM interaction with myosin light chain kinase and phosphodiesterase (Suzuki, Chao et al. 1985, Chao, Bu et al. 1995, Mazzei, Girard et al. 1984). It has been proposed that competition between Cd$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ for EF-hand of CaM is crucial for determining CaM toxicity at the molecular level (Suzuki, Chao et al. 1985, Mazzei, Girard et al. 1984). Few other studies have demonstrated that Cd$^{2+}$ displaces Ca$^{2+}$ from the EF-hand of troponin C, a protein that regulates muscle contraction (Ellis, Strang et al. 1984, TELEMAN, DRAKENBERG et al. 1983). Cadmium shares similar properties with Ca$^{2+}$ with ionic radii of 0.97 Å and 0.99 Å and charge/radius ratio 2.06 e/Å and 2.02 e/Å, respectively (Choong, Liu et al. 2014). Computation studies have shown Cd$^{2+}$ also has
the tendency to be coordinated by negatively charged amino acid residues Asp and Glu (Friedman 2014, Jesu Jaya Sudan, Sudandiradoss 2012). So, both similar size and tendency of being coordinated by similar ligands support Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to EF-hands. In addition to divalent metal ions binding, trivalent lanthanide ions (e.g. Tb\(^{3+}\), La\(^{3+}\) and Lu\(^{3+}\)) have also shown to interact with EF-hand protein (Edington, Gonzalez et al. 2018, Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016). It has been demonstrated that lanthanide binding slightly distorts the geometry of the EF-hand loop as evidenced by the fact that lanthanide-bound CaM exhibits greater conformation flexibility and larger structural fluctuation than Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound-CaM (Edington, Gonzalez et al. 2018). Using fluorescence and calorimetric techniques, it was shown that Tb\(^{3+}\) associates to neuronal calcium sensor (NCS) protein DREAM (Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016). Binding of monovalent ions to EF-hand protein is unlikely; however, one study has shown that monovalent ion Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) can occupy EF-hand of parvalbumin which attenuates Ca\(^{2+}\) affinity for parvalbumin (Henzl, Larson et al. 2004b).

1.9 Neuronal calcium sensor (NCS) proteins

The neuronal calcium sensor (NCS) group is a subgroup of the EF-hand superfamily. Most members of this group are expressed in the brain and retina. The human genome comprises 14 NCS proteins, and the amino acid sequence of those members are highly conserved, especially in the EF-hand loop region (Ames, Lim 2012). These proteins regulate diverse neuronal processes, such as Ca\(^{2+}\) channel regulation, short-term synaptic plasticity, neuronal growth, neurotransmission regulation, light adaption (recoverin), anti-apoptosis (hippocalcin), memory and learning (calsenilin, neuronal calcium sensor protein-1), recycling and activation of guanylyl cyclase (visinin-like proteins 1 and 2, neurocalcin-\(\delta\)), potassium channels regulation (potassium channel interacting proteins (KChIP1–4),
modulation of pain (KChIP3), and presenilin processing (KChIP 3 and 4) (Ames, Lim 2012, Burgoyne, Weiss 2001, Burgoyne 2007, Pongs, Lindemeier et al. 1993). Regulation of diverse neuronal processes could be explained by the fact that each NCS protein generates a unique Ca$^{2+}$ signal that varies in duration, magnitude, and localization (Burgoyne, Weiss 2001, Burgoyne 2007). Additionally, regulation of the above processes depends on the localization of NCS proteins, the affinity of NCS proteins for Ca$^{2+}$, and the interaction of NCS proteins with interacting partners (Burgoyne 2007). All NCS family members comprise four EF-hands and two distinct domains: EF-1 and EF-2 constitute the N-terminal domain; EF-3 and EF-4 constitute the C-terminal domain (Figure 1.8). In all NCS proteins, EF-hands always occur in pairs. Paired EF-hands in NCS proteins communicate through a short antiparallel β-sheet and because of this pairing protein display positive cooperativity for Ca$^{2+}$ binding, that is, protein needs less Ca$^{2+}$ to reach the

![Figure 1.8. NMR structure of NCS-1 protein (PDB: 2lcp). EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown as firebrick, slate, lime green, and cyan, respectively; Ca$^{2+}$ ions are shown as magenta spheres; and rest of the protein is shown as orange. EF-1 and EF-2 constitutes N terminus; EF-3 and EF-4 constitutes C-terminus. In each terminus, paired EF-hands communicate through a short antiparallel β-sheet.](image-url)
saturation (Ames, Lim 2012, Burgoyne, Weiss 2001). Four EF-hands of NSC proteins have distinct metal-binding properties: EF-1 is inactive in all members of the family as the presence of sterically hindered residue proline and cysteine in the EF-hand loop (conserved CPxG sequence, x any residue) distort Ca$^{2+}$ binding geometry; EF-2 and EF-3 binds Ca$^{2+}$ with micromolar or submicromolar affinity in all members; and EF-4 is active in some members (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Braunewell, Gundelfinger 1999, Ames, Lim 2012, Burgoyne, Weiss 2001, Haynes, Sherwood et al. 2007). Even though EF-1 of NCS proteins does not bind any Ca$^{2+}$, some members contain a buried palmitoyl or myristoyl group near this EF-hand. Calcium binding to the C-terminus of some members has been shown to expose the prosthetic group which facilitates membrane association of those prosthetic groups (Figure 1.9) (Zozulya, Stryer 1992, Hughes, Brzovic et al. 1995, Ames, Tanaka et al. 1996). Each member of the NCS family has different Ca$^{2+}$ affinity which depends on

Figure 1.9. Left: NMR structure of Ca$^{2+}$-free recoverin (PDB code: 1iku). Right: NMR structure of Ca$^{2+}$-bound recoverin (PDB code: 1jsa). In both cases, EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown as firebrick, slate, lime green, and cyan, respectively. Rest of the protein is shown as cyan. Ca$^{2+}$ ions are shown as magenta sphere. Myristoyl group is shown as pink. Myristoyl group is buried in Ca$^{2+}$-free recoverin, but Ca$^{2+}$ binding exposes the myristoyl group.
amino acid sequence variation of each EF-hand (Burgoyne 2007). The NCS proteins have a flexible structure in the Ca\(^{2+}\)-free form but become more ordered in Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound form (Burgoyne, Weiss 2001). Burgoyne et al. classified NCS proteins into five classes (Burgoyne, Weiss 2001). Class A has only one member named frequenin. Frequenin is alternatively known as neuronal calcium sensor protein 1 (NCS-1). The NCS-1 contains a buried myristoyl group inside a hydrophobic moiety formed by the EF-3 and EF-4 in the C-terminus. In most NCS proteins, Ca\(^{2+}\) association exposes the buried myristoyl group, but in NCS-1, the extrusion of the myristoyl group is independent of Ca\(^{2+}\) association (O'Callaghan, Ivings et al. 2002). However, it has been shown that NCS-1 associates with lipid bilayer membrane strongly in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Handley, Lian et al. 2010). Class B comprises three members, named hippocalcin, neurocalcin-\(\delta\) protein, visinin-like proteins (VILIP 1, 2, and 3). Biological properties of the members of class B are not well known as Ca\(^{2+}\) affinity and interactions of these proteins with binding partners vary (Braunewell, Szanto 2009). Class C contains only recoverin; it is first discovered NCS protein (Dizhoor, Ray et al. 1991). Recoverin is exclusively expressed in rod photoreceptors where it regulates vision processes through the inhibition of rhodopsin kinase in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent manner (Calvert, Klenchin et al. 1995, Makino, Dodd et al. 2004). In contrast to NCS-1 protein, where myristoyl group is sequestered in the hydrophobic cavity in the C-terminus, in recoverin, myristoyl group is buried inside the hydrophobic moiety formed by EF-1 and EF-2 in the N-terminus (Tanaka, Amest et al. 1995). It has been shown that binding of one Ca\(^{2+}\) at EF-3 is not sufficient for exposing the myristoyl group, but binding of two Ca\(^{2+}\) at EF-2 and EF-3 leads to an exposure of the myristoyl group from the hydrophobic cavity which facilitates the association of myristoyl
group to the membrane as shown in Figure 1.9 (Ames, Lim 2012). Class D possesses guanylate cyclase-activating proteins (GCAPS) 1, 2, and 3. These proteins are exclusively expressed in the photoreceptor cells and play important roles in vision processes by activating retinal guanylate cyclase at low Ca$^{2+}$ concentration and inhibiting it at high Ca$^{2+}$ concentration (Ames, Lim 2012, Dizhoor, Lowe et al. 1994). In contrast to other NCS proteins, Ca$^{2+}$ association with GCPAs causes small conformational changes and therefore does not release the buried myristoyl group (Lim, Dizhoor et al. 2014). Additionally, EF-2 and EF-3 of GCAPS are capable of binding both Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$, but EF-3 binds Ca$^{2+}$ selectively (Peshenko, Dizhoor 2006). The molecular mechanism of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ binding to GCAP-1 has been resolved, but the mechanism of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ binding to GCAPs 2 and 3 has not been deciphered yet. In metal-free form, GCAP-1 shows a flexible/disordered structure and does not interact and activate retinal guanylate cyclase (Peshenko, Dizhoor 2004, Lim, Peshenko et al. 2009). Magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$) binds at EF-2 of GCAP-1 and stabilizes the tertiary structure of GCAP-1, and the stabilization enables GCAP-1 to interact with retinal guanylate cyclase and subsequently activate it. Binding of three Ca$^{2+}$ ions at EF-2, EF-3, and EF-4 of GCAP-1 leads to a distinct conformation that allows inhibition of retinal guanylate cyclase (Dizhoor, Lowe et al. 1994, Lim, Dizhoor et al. 2014, Peshenko, Dizhoor 2006). Class E contains four types of potassium channel interacting proteins (KChIPs) which are the latest member of the NCS family. These proteins are called potassium channel interacting proteins because they have been shown to interact with voltage-gated potassium channels (An, Bowlby et al. 2000). Each member of KChIPs has different isomers (five for KChIP-1, nine for KChIP-2, three for KChIP-3, and five for KChIP-4) (Patel, Campbell et al. 2002). Experimental results from a northern blot study have unveiled that
KChIP-1 is expressed in the brain, KChIP-2 is expressed in brain and heart, KChIP-3 is expressed in the brain and heart (An, Bowlby et al. 2000). The KChIP-4 was discovered from the database search of the proteins that have high sequence similarity with the first three members of the group (Holmqvist, Cao et al. 2002). All member of this group has high sequence homology in the C-terminus but differ each from other in the N-terminus (Patel, Campbell et al. 2002). Each member of the family has been shown to interact with the N-terminus of voltage-gated potassium channels (Kv channels) and control the fast I_{TO} and I_{SA} currents in the heart and brain, respectively. It has been shown that KChIP-1, KChIP-2, and KChIP-3 associate with Kv4 channels expressed in xenopus oocytes which causes rise in current density, slower inactivation kinetics, and acceleration of recovery from inactivation (An, Bowlby et al. 2000). All twenty-two isomers of the group interact with Kv4 channels in many different combinations which lead to a wide spectrum of

Figure 1.10. Representation of the amino acid residues of KChIP1 involved in interaction with N-terminus of Kv4.3 channel (PDB: 2nz0). N terminus of the protein is shown in firebrick, C-terminus is shown in slate. Residues involved in first interface is shown in cyan sticks, residues involved in the second interface is shown in lime green sticks.
potassium channel tuning (Bourdeau, Laplante et al. 2011, Liss, Franz et al. 2001, Kunjilwar, Qian et al. 2013). The X-ray crystallography study has demonstrated that KChIP-1 forms an octameric complex with Kv4.3, in which each KChIP-1 monomer interacts with two adjacent Kv4.3 N-termini in a 4:4 manner (Pioletti, Findeisen et al. 2006, Wang, Yan et al. 2007b). Two contact interfaces are involved in KChIP-1: Kv4.3 interaction (Wang, Yan et al. 2007b). The most significant interaction occurs between hydrophobic cavities on the KChIP-1 surface and the hydrophobic α-helix of the Kv4.3 channel. There are two hydrophobic cavities on the KChIP-1 surface. The N terminus residues of KChIP-1, Gly 59, Phe 60, Phe 74, Ile 77, Tyr 78, Leu94, Phe 111, Leu 115, and Leu 118, interact with Trp8 and Phe 11 of Kv4.3 channel (shown in cyan stick on firebrick background in Figure 1.10). The C-terminus residues, Tyr 134, Ile 150, Val 151, Ile 154, Tyr155, His174, and Phe178, interact with Trp19 of Kv4.3 channel (shown in cyan stick on slate background in Figure 1.10) (Wang, Yan et al. 2007b). The second contact interface is formed between residues 70–78 Kv4.3 channel and entering helix of EF-1 on KChIP-1 (Wang, Yan et al. 2007b). In the interface, Leu 39, Leu 42, Leu43, Tyr57, and Phe108 of KChIP-1 interacts with Phe73 of Kv4.3 channel through stacking interactions (shown in lime green stick on firebrick background in Figure 1.10). Furthermore, the interaction is stabilized by two salt bridge formations between Lys50 of KChIP-1 and Glu77 of Kv4.3 channel and between and Arg51 of KChIP-1 and Asp78 of Kv4.3 channel (Wang, Yan et al. 2007b).

Although all members of the KChIPs interact with voltage-gated potassium channels, only the structure of KChIP-1:Kv4 is available. On the basis of high sequence homology of KChIPs, especially the residues involved in the formation of the complex, other KChIPs could interact with Kv channel in an analogous manner (Liang, Wang et al. 2009).
1.10 Calsenilin/DREAM/KChIP3

Interestingly, KChIP-3 has three different names. This protein was discovered by three independent groups and each group named it differently. On the basis of the fact that this protein interacts with presenilin and controls the activity of \( \gamma \)-secretase complex, one research group named it calsenilin (Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998). Later, another group named it as Downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM) because the protein interacts with downstream regulatory element (DRE) and directly modulate transcription of downstream genes (Carrion, Link et al. 1999). Finally, the protein was renamed as KChIPs as it has high sequence similarity with KChIP-1 and KChIP-2 (An, Bowlby et al. 2000). I will use the name DREAM throughout. The DREAM is a 29 kDa NCS protein that is expressed in the brain and heart and has been shown to control a wide spectrum of cellular processes (An, Bowlby et al. 2000, Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998, Carrion, Link et al. 1999). The DREAM is the only Ca\(^{2+}\) binding protein that directly binds to DNA sequence (DRE) and represses transcription of genes (prodynorphin and c-fos genes) (Carrion, Link et al. 1999). In the Ca\(^{2+}\)-free state, DREAM associates with DRE of human prodynorphin and c-fos genes and inhibit their transcription, but Ca\(^{2+}\) binding induces conformation change in the protein that prevents binding of DRE and subsequently reactivates the transcription (Carrion, Link et al. 1999, Cheng, Pitcher et al. 2002). Prodynorphin and c-fos genes have been shown to regulate pain modulation, cell homeostasis, and apoptosis (Dace et al. 2005, Osawa, Tong et al. 2001). The fact that DREAM represses transcription of DRE emphasize role of this protein regulating those processes (Costigan, Woolf 2002, Fontán-Lozano, Romero-
Granados et al. 2009). In addition to direct regulation of gene expression, DREAM protein has been shown to indirectly regulates gene expression through interactions with CREB and α-CREAM proteins. Interaction between DREAM and CREB enables regulation of genes that have promoters different than DRE (Ledo, Kremer et al. 2002).

In the cytoplasm, DREAM associates with carboxy-terminal fragment (CTF) of presenilin-1 (PS1) and presenilin-2 and stimulates the activity of γ-secretase complex in a calcium-dependent manner and eventually overproduces Aβ42 peptide, a peptide which has been linked to Alzheimer’s disease (Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998, Jo, Jang et al. 2005). The DREAM protein also interacts with the T1 domain of potassium channels and plays a prominent role in the regulation of fast I_{TO} and I_{SA} current in the heart and brain, respectively. The aforementioned interaction also facilitates the translocation of the channel to the membrane and alters the gating properties of the channel (An, Bowlby et al. 2000). Recently, Rashid et al. postulated that DREAM protein could be involved in the mechanism of nicotine treatment-prevented learning and memory impairment in REM sleep-deprived rats by altering its expression level in the hippocampus (Abd Rashid, Hapidin et al. 2017). It was also demonstrated that Ca^{2+}-bound DREAM interacts with CaM and DREAM:CaM complex formation abolishes DREAM interaction DRE sites and promotes activation of calcineurin (Gonzalez, Arango et al. 2015, Ramachandran, Craig et al. 2012).

DREAM protein includes 256 amino acid residues. The structure of the first 77 residues of the DREAM has not been resolved yet because the poor solubility of the full-length DREAM (residue 1-256) prevented structural determination of those residues by NMR study (Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008). After deletion of the first 64 residues, the
solution structure of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-DREAM (residue 65-256) was resolved by Ames group (Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008). The DREAM protein remains fully functional after deletion of N-terminal 64 residues as evidenced by the fact that DREAM (residue 65-256) interacts with DNA, Kv.4 channel and the deletion does not impact Ca\textsuperscript{2+} induced oligomerization of the protein (Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008). The three-dimensional NMR structure of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-bound DREAM (residue 78-256) is shown in Figure 1.11. Like other NCS proteins, the DREAM protein contains two domains, and each domain possesses two EF-hands. The EF-1 (residue 90-119) and EF-2 (residue 128-157) coupled with a short N-terminus \alpha-helix constitute the N-terminal domain, whereas the EF3 (residue 163-192) and EF4 (residue 211-240) and C-terminal helix that is not part of the EF-hand constitute the C-terminal domain (Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008). The four EF-hands of DREAM protein differ in terms of metal binding property (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007). The EF-hand 1 is

![Figure 1.11. Cartoon representation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-bound DREAM(78-256) (PDB: 2jul). EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in orange, red, green, and magenta, respectively. Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions are shown in cyan spheres. Rest of the protein is shown in blue. For clarity, structure of first 77 residues were not resolved.](image-url)
not functional as the presence of sterically hindered amino acid residues in the EF-hand loop (CPXG sequence) perturb metal-binding geometry. Because of the presence of Asp in the 12th position of the EF-hand loop instead of glutamate, the EF-2 binds Mg\(^{2+}\) preferentially. It has been shown that Mg\(^{2+}\) binding to EF-2 stabilizes the tertiary structure of DREAM and facilitates DNA binding. The EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 bind Ca\(^{2+}\) preferentially with \(K_d\) of 1–10 µM (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008, Osawa, Dace et al. 2005, Osawa, Tong et al. 2001). Research from our lab demonstrated that EF-3 and EF-4 in DREAM bind non-physiological metal Tb\(^{3+}\) with higher affinity than Ca\(^{2+}\) (Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016).

The N- and C-terminal domain is connected through a central hydrophobic patch formed by the residues of α4 (Y130, F133, and A137), α6 (W169, L173), and α7 (I190, and M197) (Figure 1.12). Furthermore, hydrophobic residues of EF-3 (L167, A170, M191, and L194),
EF-4 (F218, and M222), and α10 (M246, M249, F252, and I256) constitute another hydrophobic patch at the C-terminal domain (Figure 1.13). The aforementioned hydrophobic patches play a prominent role in DREAM interaction with KV4.3 channels and hydrophobic molecules, including arachidonic acid and NS5806 (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014, Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014).

In the absence of any metal, DREAM exists as a tetramer, but Ca\(^{2+}\) binding triggers conformation changes in the protein that dissociates the tetramer into two dimers if the concentration of protein is above 150 µM. When the concentration of protein is above 250 µM DREAM is tetramer in both metal-free forms and Ca\(^{2+}\) bound form (Osawa, Dace et al. 2005). However, to the best of my knowledge, the molecular mechanism of DREAM oligomerization has not been conclusively established yet. Lusin et al. proposed a model dimer structure in which N-terminus leucine residue region (Leu 155, Leu 158, Leu 159)

![Figure 1.13. The C-terminal hydrophobic patch of DREAM is shown in stick (PDB: 2jul). EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in diffuse firebrick, slate, lime green, and cyan, respectively. Rest of the protein is shown in orange. Ca\(^{2+}\) ions are shown in magenta spheres.](image)
of one monomer interacts with C-terminus Leu 251 of the second monomer in a head-to-tail fashion (Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008) (Figure 1.14: left panel). Docking study of our group has demonstrated that in addition to hydrophobic interaction, dimer could be stabilized through a salt bridge formation between positively charged amino acid residues (Arg 200 and Arg 207) of one monomer and negatively charged amino acid residue (Glu 103) of another monomer (Figure 1.14: right panel). The study of Lusin et al. also revealed a cluster of basic amino acid residues (Lys87, Lys90, Lys91, Arg98, Lys101, Arg160, and Lys166) at the N-terminus of DREAM (Figure 1.15). They proposed that these amino acid residues could be the potential DNA binding sites. The fact that many of that residue (Lys87, Lys90, Lys91, Lys101) are not conserved in the NCS proteins that do not bind DNA support their hypothesis. In the model dimer structure, the cluster of basic amino acid residues

![Figure 1.14. Model structure of DREAM dimer based on the NMR structure of DREM monomer (PDB entry 2JUL). Left panel shows hydrophobic interactions between Leu 155, 159 and 251 and right panel show a salt bridge between Arg 200, Arg 207 (in blue) and Glu 103 (in red). The two dimers were docked using Autodock and the initial structure of the dimer was refined in 50 ns molecular dynamic simulation.](image)
residues is sterically blocked, which might explain why Ca$^{2+}$ binding abolishes DREAM interaction with DNA (Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008).

Figure 1.15. Cartoon representation of the N-terminus of DREAM (PDB: 2jul). Cluster of basic amino acid residues that are proposed to interact with DNA is shown as stick.
1.11 Objectives

Objective 1:

Lead exposure has been associated with neurological and psychological disorders. Especially, children and seniors are vulnerable to Pb\textsuperscript{2+} exposure because Pb\textsuperscript{2+} exposure has been implicated with cognitive deficiencies and learning difficulties. It has been shown that Pb\textsuperscript{2+} displaces physiologically relevant ligands, such as Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, Mg\textsuperscript{2+} and Zn\textsuperscript{2+}, from binding sites, which is believed to be one of the possible mechanisms of Pb\textsuperscript{2+} toxicity. Especially, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding proteins are molecular targets of Pb\textsuperscript{2+}-induced toxicity. Lead has been shown to displace Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from the EF-hand of ubiquitous calcium-binding protein CaM. Displacement of physiological ligand Ca\textsuperscript{2+} by Pb\textsuperscript{2+} was suggested to interfere with CaM interactions with downstream partners, and each interference represents a potential mechanism of Pb\textsuperscript{2+} induced toxicity. However, the exact mechanism through which Pb\textsuperscript{2+} induces toxicity has not been demystified yet. Statistical analysis of Pb\textsuperscript{2+}- and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-bound protein has revealed that Pb\textsuperscript{2+} and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} are coordinated by analogous binding ligands (Asp, Glu) and Pb\textsuperscript{2+} binding does not distort the geometry of the EF-hand. Pb\textsuperscript{2+} exposure has been shown to impact the hippocampus region, the part of the brain that regulates memory and learning processes. On the basis of the fact that DREAM protein possesses a cluster of negatively charged amino and residues in the EF-hand regions, DREAM protein contains four EF-hands analogous to CaM, and DREAM protein is expressed in the hippocampus, we postulated that DREAM protein is a molecular target of Pb\textsuperscript{2+}. Using spectroscopic techniques (fluorescence, CD), we investigate whether Pb\textsuperscript{2+} addition induces the secondary and tertiary structural rearrangement of the DREAM and alters DREAM interactions with binding partners. We determine the affinity of Pb\textsuperscript{2+} for
DREAM using isothermal titration calorimetry and fluorescence titration. Our results provided conclusive evidence of Pb\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM. Because the DREAM protein shares high sequence homology with NCS proteins, other NCS proteins could bind Pb\(^{2+}\) with high affinity and explain the causes of Pb\(^{2+}\)-induced toxicity.

Objective 2:

Cadmium exposure has been implicated with different types of cancers, neurodegenerative diseases. Children, pregnant women, and seniors are more susceptible to Cd\(^{2+}\) intoxication. It has been proposed that Cd\(^{2+}\) induces toxicity through interfering with Ca\(^{2+}\)-and Zn\(^{2+}\)-dependent processes, generating reactive oxygen species, inducing apoptosis, to name a few. But the exact mechanism of Cd\(^{2+}\)-induced toxicity remains an active area of research. Previously, it has been shown that Cd\(^{2+}\) is capable of displacing Ca\(^{2+}\) from the EF-hand of CaM and troponin C and Zn\(^{2+}\) from protein kinase C. Because Cd\(^{2+}\) binds to EF-hands of CaM and troponin C and DREAM is an EF-hand protein, we hypothesize that Cd\(^{2+}\) could bind to EF-hand of DREAM and displace the Ca\(^{2+}\). Utilizing spectroscopic techniques and analyzing data of four different DREAM mutants, we investigate whether Cd\(^{2+}\) is capable of displacing Ca\(^{2+}\) from the EF-hand, whether Cd\(^{2+}\) binding triggers structural rearrangement in the DREAM, and whether Cd\(^{2+}\) association impacts DREAM interaction with intracellular partners. We employ ITC and fluorescence titration for determining the affinity constant of Cd\(^{2+}\) for DREAM. Our results provide evidence of Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM for the first time, which could provide insight into Cd\(^{2+}\)-induced toxicity. Our study could form the basis of NMR and X-ray crystallography on Cd\(^{2+}\)-bound NCS proteins to provide atomic-level insight into the mechanism of Cd\(^{2+}\) interactions with NCS proteins.
Objective 3:

Lithium has been used extensively for the treatment of psychological disorders for more than six decades. Increasing evidence from in vivo and in vitro studies in humans and rodents have implicated that Li\(^+\) is a drug candidate for the treatment of neurogenerative diseases such as Parkinson’s disease, Alzheimer’s disease, and Huntington’s disease. Despite having numerous clinical applications for treating devastating diseases, the exact mechanism through which Li\(^+\) exerts its therapeutic action has not been resolved yet. Several mechanisms have been proposed. Among them, inhibitions of inositol monophosphatase (IMPase) is considered the most relevant mechanisms of action. Lithium treatment has been shown to inhibit IMPase by displacing native cofactor Mg\(^{2+}\). Lithium has been shown to associates with EF-hand protein neuronal calcium sensor-1 and alters its interaction with interacting partners. Lithium administration has been shown to alter the expression of voltage-gated potassium channel 4.2 (Kv4.2) and potassium channel-interacting protein (KChIP-1). Since Li\(^+\) binds to NCS-1 protein, which is homologous to DREAM, and impact the expression of KChIP-1, we investigate whether Li\(^+\) directly binds to DREAM. Molecular dynamics simulation from our collaborator has identified potential Li\(^+\) binding sites on DREAM. Using fluorescence spectroscopy and circular dichroism, we investigate whether Li\(^+\) addition triggers structural rearrangement of DREAM, whether Li\(^+\) addition impact DREAM interactions with binding partners. Our results provided the binding of monovalent ion to DREAM protein for the first time. Results from the study suggest that DREAM and probably other NCS proteins are the molecular targets of Li\(^+\).
Objective 4:
The aMD data indicate the presence of a salt bridge between Lys87 and Asp 165 of DREAM that couples the loop between helix-1 and helix-2 in the N- terminal domain with the EF-3 in the C- terminal domain. We postulate that the salt bridge could be involved in the propagation of calcium-triggered structural changes between the C- terminal and N-terminal domain. To determine the role of Lys87 to the interdomain communication Lys87 was mutated to Ala and the consequence of the mutation on DREAM secondary and tertiary structures and DREAM interactions with interacting partners were probed.

Objective 5:
The Ca$^{2+}$ binding protein DREAM binds Ca$^{2+}$ at EF-3 and EF-4. We and other researchers demonstrated that Ca$^{2+}$ binding causes secondary and tertiary structural rearrangements of the protein and consequently alters DREAM interaction with intracellular partners. But exact mechanism of Ca$^{2+}$-triggered structural rearrangements of DREAM and modulation of interactions with binding partners remains unknown. In the present study, we mutated glutamate residue at 12$^{th}$ position of EF-3 (DREAM(E186Q)) and EF-4 (DREAM(E234Q)) to glutamine for making these EF-hands Ca$^{2+}$ insensitive and investigated whether mutations impact Ca$^{2+}$-induced secondary and tertiary structural rearrangements of the protein and whether mutations impact Ca$^{2+}$-induced modulation of DREAM interaction with T-1 domain of Kv channel.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Methods used in chapter 3

2.1.1 General

The Pb(CH₃COO)₂·3H₂O was purchased from Fisher Scientific. The Pb²⁺ stock was prepared in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 (prepared in decalcified ultrapure 18 MΩ water). The hydrophobic fluorescent probe 1,8-ANS was purchased from Invitrogen and was used without further purification. Peptides with sequences corresponding to the sequence of the site-1 (FITC-AAGVAAWLFPARAAAIGWMPV) and site-2 ((FITC-LLGSTEKEFFNEDTKYFFD) of human Kv4.3 were purchased from Think Peptides and received at higher than 98% purity. The synthetic peptide derived for helix-9 of presenilin-1 “PS1HL9” (residues 445FYFATDYLVQPFMDQLAFHQFY1467) of human PS1-CTF with FITC covalently attached to the amino-terminus was purchased from ProImmune (Sarasota, FL, USA). All other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and Fisher Scientific unless stated otherwise.

2.1.2 Isolation and purification of DREAM(Δ64) and DREAM (Δ160)

Recombinant mouse DREAM(Δ64) (residues 65–256) and DREAM(Δ160) (residues 161–256) with the C-terminus His-tag were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells and purified according to previously published procedures with minor modifications (Osawa, Tong et al. 2001). Briefly, plasmids encoding for the his-tagged mouse DREAM(Δ64) and his-tagged DREAM (Δ160) were purchased from GeneCopoeia and transformed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) competent cells and grown in TB medium at 37°C in the presence of 100 μg/mL ampicillin until reaching an optical density of 0.8 at 600 nm. Protein expression was induced by adding 0.5 mM IPTG. The medium was placed back in
the shaker at 250 rpm for an additional 12 hours at room temperature followed by the harvesting of the cells by centrifugation for 20 minutes at 5000 rpm. The cell pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer (100 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mM CaCl$_2$, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM imidazole, 1.0 % Triton-X100, 0.5 % Tween 20, and 10% glycerol), supplemented with 20 μg/mL DNAse, and 200 μg/mL lysozyme and sonicated in an ice bath for 30 cycles at 30% duty cycle. The cell lysate was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 4 hours, and the supernatant was loaded to a Ni-NTA column equilibrated with buffer A (20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM CaCl$_2$, and 5mM imidazole). The Ni-NTA column loaded with DREAM was washed extensively with buffer A and an increasing concentration of imidazole (5-40 mM). Protein was eluted in buffer A and 250 mM imidazole. Selected fractions were loaded onto a DEAE-Sepharose anion exchange column previously equilibrated with 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, washed with 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 and 50 mM NaCl, and then eluted with 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 and 200 mM NaCl. After anion exchange, the protein was dialyzed twice against two liters of 20mM Tris pH 7.4 for 12 hours. The purified protein was stored in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4. The purity of all protein constructs was assessed using SDS-PAGE. The proper secondary structure and tertiary structure of all protein constructs were confirmed by using the known far-UV CD transition of DREAM protein as well as changes in tryptophan fluorescence upon calcium binding (Gonzalez, Arango et al. 2015, Osawa, Dace et al. 2005, Osawa, Tong et al. 2001).

2.1.3 Sample preparation

Protein samples were prepared from stock in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4. Protein concentrations were measured by UV-VIS spectrophotometry using $\varepsilon_{280nm} = 19,000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ for DREAM ($\Delta$64) and $\varepsilon_{280nm} = 10,544 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ for DREAM ($\Delta$160). The concentrations
of 1,8-ANS and Kv4.3(2–22) “site-1”, Kv4.3(70–90) “site-2” and helix-9 of presenilin-1 “PS1HL9” were measured by using $\varepsilon_{350nm} = 4999 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\varepsilon_{493nm} = 75000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, respectively. Ca$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, EDTA, 1,8-ANS, Kv4.3(2–22) “site-2”, Kv4.3(70–90) “site-2”, and helix-9 of presenilin-1 “PS1HL9” were added to the sample to obtain the desired concentration.

2.1.4 Steady-state emission spectra

Steady-state emission spectra were measured at room temperature (20°C) in a 0.2 x 1-cm path length quartz cuvette with excitation along the 0.2-cm path using a custom Chronos$^{\text{FD}}$ spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign IL). For the Trp emission measurement, samples were excited at 295 nm, whereas for 1,8-ANS emission measurement, samples were excited at 350 nm. To determine the equilibrium dissociation constant for Pb$^{2+}$ binding to apoDREAM, small aliquots of Pb$^{2+}$ stock solution (500 μM Pb(CH$_3$COO)$_2$ dissolved in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4) was added to 20 μM DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of 100 μM Ca$^{2+}$, 20 mM Tris buffer pH 7.4. The fraction of Pb$^{2+}$-bound protein ($f_b$) was determined from the decrease in Trp emission intensity at 329 nm using Equation 1.

$$f_b = \frac{(F_i - F_0)}{(F_{\text{max}} - F_0)}$$

Where $F_i$ is the fluorescence intensity in different concentrations of Pb$^{2+}$; $F_0$ is the fluorescence intensity in the absence of Pb$^{2+}$, but in the presence of 100 μM Ca$^{2+}$; and $F_{\text{max}}$ is the saturation fluorescence intensity due to Pb$^{2+}$ addition. The equilibrium dissociation constants ($K_d$) were determined using single site binding model (Equation 2).

$$f_b = \frac{(K_d + [nP_t] + [L_t]) - \sqrt{(K_d + [nP_t] + [L_t])^2 - 4[nP_t][L_t]}}{[nP_t]}$$
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where \( P_t \) is total protein concentration, \( L_t \) is the total ligand concentration, and \( n \) is the number of binding sites. For direct titration data such as 1,8-ANS binding to \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \)-DREAM(\( \Delta 64 \)) and peptide binding to \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \)-DREAM(\( \Delta 64 \)), \( K_d \) in Equation 2 corresponds to the equilibrium dissociation constant. In the case of displacement studies, \( K_d \) represents \( K_{\text{app}} \) for \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \) binding to \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-DREAM(\( \Delta 64 \)). The reported \( K_{\text{app}} \) or \( K_d \) value represents the mean and standard deviation from three independent measurements. Based on the apparent dissociation constant for \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \) binding to \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) bound DREAM, the dissociation constant \( (K_d) \) for \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \) binding to \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) free DREAM was calculated using Equation 3 (Kirberger, Wong et al. 2013).

\[
K_d = \frac{K_{\text{app}}K_{d_{\text{Ca}^{2+}}}}{(K_{d_{\text{Ca}^{2+}}} + [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_T)} \tag{3}
\]

where \( K_d \) is the dissociation constant of \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \) binding to apoDREAM, \( K_{\text{app}} \) is the apparent dissociation constant of \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \) binding to \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-DREAM, \( K_{d_{\text{Ca}^{2+}}} \) is the equilibrium dissociation constant for \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), which was reported previously to be 1 \( \mu \text{M} \) (Osawa, Dace et al. 2005); \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_T \) is the total concentration of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), which is 100 \( \mu \text{M} \).

To determine the affinity for 1,8-ANS binding to \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \)-bound DREAM(\( \Delta 64 \)), 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) 1,8-ANS was prepared in 20 mM Tris in the presence of 40 \( \mu \text{M} \) \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \). Stocks of DREAM(\( \Delta 64 \)) were prepared with 40 \( \mu \text{M} \) \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \) and 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) 1,8-ANS. Aliquots of DREAM(\( \Delta 64 \)) stock were added to 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) 1,8-ANS and the emission spectra were recorded. The increase in emission intensity at the emission maximum of 466 nm was used to calculate the fraction of 1,8-ANS bound to protein by Equation 1, and data were fitted to Equation 2 to determine the dissociation constant for 1,8-ANS.
2.1.5 Circular dichroism

Circular dichroism measurements were carried out using a J-810 Jasco CD spectrometer at room temperature (20°C). For far-UV CD, 20 µM DREAM(Δ64) in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 and 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM Ca^{2+}, or 40 µM Pb^{2+} was placed in a quartz cuvette (1 mm x 10 mm) and data were collected through 1 mm path from 200 to 250 nm. Amount of secondary structure content was obtained using secondary structure prediction tool K2D3 program. For near UV CD, 40 µM DREAM(Δ64) in 5 mM phosphate pH 7.4 in the presence of 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM Ca^{2+}, or 40 µM Pb^{2+} was placed in a quartz cuvette (1 mm x 10 mm) and data were recorded through 1 cm path from 250 nm to 330 nm. The baseline was subtracted from each spectrum.

2.1.6 Fluorescence lifetime measurements

Frequency-domain fluorescence lifetime measurements were performed at room temperature (20°C) using a Chronos^FD spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign IL). 1,8-ANS:DREAM complex was excited with the output 300 nm laser diode through 305 nm bandpass filter and emission were collected through 400 nm long pass and 600 nm short-pass filter. For Trp lifetime measurements, samples were excited using an output of 280 nm laser diode and emission was collected through 320 nm long-pass filter. 1,4-bis(5-phenyloxazol-2-yl)benzene (POPOP) in ethanol was used as a reference in lifetime measurements(τ = 1.35 ns). For 1,8-ANS:DREAM complexes lifetime measurements, modulation-phase data were analyzed using three discrete exponential decay component, whereas for Trp lifetime measurements, data were fitted by using a sum of a Gaussian distribution model and a single exponential decay model in Global software. For Trp
lifetime data, discrete lifetime $\tau_2$ was used as a linked variable in the Global Analysis. The $\chi^2$ parameter and residuals were used as a criterion for the goodness of the fit.

2.1.7 ITC measurements

Isothermal calorimetry titrations were used to determine the thermodynamics of displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ from DREAM($\Delta$64) by Pb$^{2+}$. Titrations were carried out using a VP-ITC isothermal calorimeter (Microcal Inc. Northampton, MA). Protein solutions were prepared in (5 mM Tris pH 7.4, 100 $\mu$M CaCl$_2$) buffer and dialyzed overnight three times in the same buffer. Pb$^{2+}$ stock solutions were prepared in an ITC dialysate buffer. The reaction cell was loaded with ~20 $\mu$M protein solution in 5 mM Tris pH 7.4, 100 $\mu$M CaCl$_2$ buffer, and the protein concentration was determined spectrophotometrically prior to the ITC experiment. The concentration of Pb$^{2+}$ in the syringe was 400 $\mu$M; 30 aliquots (6 $\mu$L each) of 400 $\mu$M Pb$^{2+}$ stock were titrated into 20$\mu$M DREAM($\Delta$64). The ITC experiments were conducted three times at 25 °C. Thermodynamic parameters were obtained by fitting the isotherms with the sequential model (2 sets of sites) using the Microcal ITC analysis plug-in Origin 7.0. Overall apparent dissociation constant was obtained from individual association constants using Equation 4 (Aravind, Chandra et al. 2008).

$$K_{\text{app}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_1K_2}} \hspace{1cm} \text{(4)}$$

Where $K_{\text{app}}$ represents the overall apparent dissociation constant for Pb$^{2+}$ binding to Ca$^{2+}$DREAM($\Delta$64), whereas $K_1$ and $K_2$ represent apparent association constants of Pb$^{2+}$ binding to Ca$^{2+}$DREAM($\Delta$64).
2.1.8 Steady-state polarization measurements

The steady-state polarization measurements were carried out in a ChronosFD spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign IL). To investigate the interaction between DREAM(Δ64) and FITC-labeled PS1HL9, FITC-labeled site-1, and FITC-labeled site-2, the samples were excited at 493 nm, and the emitted light was collected through a 500 nm long-pass filter. Binding of site-1, site-2, and PS1HL9 to Pb$^{2+}$-bound DREAM(Δ64) were probed by an increase in anisotropy. The fraction of site-1, site-2, and PS1HL9 bound to Pb$^{2+}$-bound DREAM(Δ64) were calculated using Equation 1. Dissociation constants between the titration of Pb$^{2+}$DREAM(Δ64) and site-1, site-2, and PS1HL9 were determined by using a single-site binding model, Equation 2. All experiments were repeated three times; average $K_d$ values determined from 3 independent measurements are reported in Table 4, and the standard deviations are shown as errors.

2.2 Methods used in chapter 4

2.2.1 General

CdCl$_2$ and 1-anilinonaphthalene-8-sulfonic acid (1,8-ANS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Invitrogen, respectively, and used without further purification. CdCl$_2$ and 1,8-ANS stocks were prepared in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 using decalcified water. The synthetic peptide corresponding to the helix-9 of presenilin-1 (PS1HL9), residues 445FYFATDYLVQPFMDQLAFHQFYI467 of the human presenilin-1 C-terminal fragment with FITC covalently attached to the amino-terminus was purchased from ProImmune (Sarasota, FL, USA). A FITC labeled peptides corresponding to residues 2-22 (FITC-AAGVAAWLPFARAAAIGWMPV) and residues 70-90 (FITC-
LLGSTEKEFFNEDTKEYFFD) of human Kv4.3 and labeled site 1 and site 2, respectively, were obtained from Think Peptides and received at more than 98% purity. Unless noted otherwise, all other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Fisher Scientific.

2.2.2 Isolation and purification of DREAM constructs

Expressions and purifications of recombinant mouse WTDREAM(Δ64) (residue 65–256), WTDREAM(Δ160) (residue 161–256), DREAM(E186Q) (residue 65–256 with mutation at position 12 in the loop of EF3), and DREAM(E234Q) (residue 65–256 with mutation at position 12 in the loop of EF4) constructs were carried out following published protocols (Osawa, Tong et al. 2001, Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014). The purified protein stock solutions were stored in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4. SDS-PAGE was employed for assessing the purity of all DREAM constructs.

2.2.3 Sample preparation

Protein samples were prepared diluting concentrated protein stock in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 and final protein concentrations were determined using $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 19,000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ for WTDREAM (Δ64), DREAM(E186Q) and DREAM(E234Q) and using $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 10,544 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ for WTDREAM (Δ160). The concentrations of 1,8-ANS was determined using $\varepsilon_{350\text{nm}} = 4,999 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$, whereas concentrations of site-1, site-2, and PS1HL9 were determined using $\varepsilon_{493\text{nm}} = 75,000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$. To prepare ApoDREAM samples, protein stock was solubilized in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4. 2 mM EDTA was added to prepare metal-free samples. Ca$^{2+}$ or Cd$^{2+}$DREAM samples were prepared by adding 2 mM Ca$^{2+}$ and 100 µM Cd$^{2+}$, respectively. Cd$^{2+}$Ca$^{2+}$DREAM
was prepared by adding 100 µM Cd$^{2+}$ to DREAM in the presence of 2 mM Ca$^{2+}$. For the titration measurements, concentrated stocks of site-1, site-2, and PS1HL9 were diluted in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 to have the final concentration of 0.5 µM.

2.2.4 Steady-state emission spectra

Steady-state emission spectra were recorded at room temperature (20°C) using Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies). Tryptophan emission spectra were measured using 295 nm excitation along the 0.2 cm path of a 0.2 x 1.0 cm path length quartz cuvette, 1,8-ANS emission spectra were measured using 350 nm excitation light along the 0.2 cm path of a 0.2 x 1.0 cm path length quartz cuvette. The emission spectra were normalized to 1 at the maximum emission wavelength for the apoprotein in Fig. 2 and for Ca2+DREAM:1,8-ANS complex in Figure 4. The equilibrium dissociation constant for 1,8-ANS association to Cd$^{2+}$-bound DREAM was obtained by titrating Cd$^{2+}$-bound WTDREAM(Δ64) into 10 µM 1,8-ANS. The increase in emission intensity at an emission maximum of 468 nm was recorded and data were fitted to the single-site binding model according to Equation 1.

\[
\Delta F = \frac{(K_d + [nP_t] + [L_t])}{[nP_t]} \sqrt{(K_d + [nP_t] + [L_t])^2 - 4[nP_t][L_t]} 
\]

(1)

where ΔF is the change in fluorescence intensity, K_d is the equilibrium dissociation constant, n is the number of binding sites, P_t is the total concentration of the protein, and L_t is the total concentration of the ligand. Three independent measurements were carried out to determine the average value of ΔF.
2.2.5 Circular dichroism

Far-UV circular dichroism measurements were conducted at room temperature (20°C) using a J-810 Jasco CD spectrometer through the 2 mm path of a (2 mm x 10 mm) quartz cuvette. Data were collected from 200 to 250 nm. Secondary structure prediction tool K2D3 was used for calculating the percentage of secondary structure content of DREAM constructs (Louis-Jeune, Andrade-Navarro et al. 2012).

2.2.6 Fluorescence lifetime measurements

Frequency-domain fluorescence lifetime measurements were carried out at 20°C using a ChronosFD Spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign IL). The fluorescence lifetime of 1,8-ANS–WTDREAM(Δ64) complexes were determined using the 305 nm laser diode output as excitation light and emission was recorded using the combination of a 400 nm long-pass filter and a 600 nm short-pass filter (Andover Corp., Salem, NH). To measure Trp lifetimes, samples were excited with 280 nm laser diode output and emission was recorded using a 320 nm long-pass filter. 1,4-bis(5-phenyloxazol-2-yl)benzene (POPOP) in ethanol was used as a reference for lifetime measurements (τ = 1.35 ns). The lifetime modulation-phase data were analyzed using Vinci analysis software. The goodness of the fit was determined based on χ² values and residuals.

2.2.7 Thermodynamics of Cd²⁺ displacement of Ca²⁺ from EF-hand of DREAM

Thermodynamic parameters for Cd²⁺ association to DREAM were determined using a VP-ITC isothermal calorimeter (Microcal Inc. Northampton, MA). DREAM protein stock in 20 mM Tris was diluted to prepare 10 µM DREAM
protein solution in 5 mM Tris pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl and 100 μM CaCl₂ and dialyzed overnight three times against the buffer described above. After dialysis, the concentration of protein solution was determined and the protein solution was loaded in the reaction cell. 1 mM Cd²⁺ stock solution prepared in the dialysate buffer was used as the titrant. ITC experiments were performed three times at 25 °C by adding 30 aliquots (6 μL each) of 1 mM Cd²⁺ stock into 10 μM WTDREAM(Δ64) and 10 μM WTDREAM(Δ160). Data were fitted with the sequential model (2 sets of sites) using the Microcal ITC analysis plug-in Origin 7.0. The overall apparent dissociation constant (K₀app) for Cd²⁺ binding to Ca²⁺DREAM was calculated based on individual association constants according to Equation 2 (Aravind, Chandra et al. 2008).

\[
K_{\text{app}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_1 K_2}} \tag{2}
\]

where \(K_1\) and \(K_2\) are apparent association constants for Cd²⁺ binding to Ca²⁺DREAM.

The overall equilibrium dissociation constant (K₀) for Cd²⁺ binding to apoDREAM was calculated from the overall apparent dissociation constant using Equation 3 (Kirberger, Wong et al. 2013).

\[
K_0 = \frac{K_{\text{app}} K_{\text{dCa}^{2+}}}{(K_{\text{dCa}^{2+}} + [\text{Ca}^{2+}])T} \tag{3}
\]

where \(K_{\text{dCa}^{2+}}\) represents the equilibrium dissociation constant for Ca²⁺ binding to apoDREAM, which was previously reported to be 1 μM (Osawa, Dace et al. 2005); \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]T\) is the total concentration of Ca²⁺, which is 100 μM.
2.2.8 Steady-state anisotropy measurements

The steady-state anisotropy measurements were conducted at room temperature (20°C) using a Chronos\textsuperscript{FD} spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign IL). To investigate whether FITC-tagged PS1HL9, FITC-tagged site-1, or FITC-tagged site-2 binds to Cd\textsuperscript{2+}-bound DREAM, Cd\textsuperscript{2+}-bound DREAM constructs were titrated into 0.5 µM PS1HL9, 0.5 µM site-1, or 0.5 µM site-2 peptides. Samples were excited at 470 nm and emitted light was recorded through a 500 nm long-pass filter (Andover Corp., Salem, NH). Three independent measurements were carried out and average values of anisotropy change were plotted as a function of Cd\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM concentration and analyzed using Equation 1.

2.3 Methods used in chapter 5

2.3.1 General

The LiCl was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. 100 mM LiCl stock was prepared by dissolving LiCl in 20 mM Tris buffer pH 7.4. To eliminate residual Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, 20 mM Tris buffer was prepared in decalcified ultrapure 18 MΩ deionized water. Peptides with a sequence corresponding to the site-1 and site-2 binding sites from T1 domain of Kv channel with a FITC fluorescence probe attached to the N-terminus (FITC-site-1 of human Kv4.3) (FITC-AAGVAAWLPFARAAIGWMPV) and (FITC-site-2 of human Kv4.3) (FITC-LLGSTEKEFFNEDTKEYFFD) were purchased from Think Peptides and received at higher than 98% purity. The synthetic peptide derived for helix-9 of presenilin-1 (FITC-\textsuperscript{-445}FYFATDYLVQPFMDQLAFHQFYI\textsuperscript{467}) of human PS1-CTF was purchased from ProImmune (Sarasota, FL, USA). All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Thermo Fisher unless stated otherwise. The concentration of
peptides mimicking site-1, site-2, and helix-9 of presenilin-1 were measured by using 
\[ \varepsilon_{493\text{nm}} = 75000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \] (Crabtree, Shammas 2018).

2.3.2 Isolation and purification of DREAM constructs

In the present study, a truncated form of DREAM protein that carries residues 65-256 (DREAM(Δ64)) was used, as the full-length protein readily forms inclusion bodies. Recombinant mouse DREAM(Δ64), DREAM(Δ160), DREAM(E168Q), and DREAM(E234Q) constructs were expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells and purified according to published procedures (Osawa, Tong et al. 2001, Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014). Briefly, corresponding mouse DREAM plasmid was transfected in E. coli BL21(DE3) competent cells and grown in Terrific Broth medium at 37°C in the presence of 100 μg/mL ampicillin. Protein expression was induced by adding 0.5 mM IPTG. Cells were grown for an additional 12 hours at room temperature and harvested by 20 min centrifugation at 5000 RPM and 4 °C. The cell pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer (100 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM imidazole, 1.0 % Triton-X100, 0.5 % Tween 20, and 10% glycerol, 20 μg/mL DNAse, and 200 μg/mL lysozyme) and sonicated in an ice bath for 30 cycles at 30% duty cycle. The cell lysate was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 4 hours and the supernatant was loaded to a Ni-NTA column equilibrated with buffer A (20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, and 5 mM imidazole). The Ni-NTA column was loaded with DREAM and then washed extensively with buffer A and increasing concentration of imidazole (5-40 mM) and protein was eluted in buffer A and 250 mM imidazole. Selected fractions were loaded onto a DEAE-Sepharose anion exchange column previously equilibrated with 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, washed with 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 and 50 mM NaCl, and then eluted with 20 mM Tris, pH 7.4 and 200 mM NaCl.
After anion exchange, the protein was dialyzed twice against two liters of 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 for 12 hours. The purified protein was stored in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4. The purity of all protein constructs was assessed using SDS-PAGE. Protein concentration was determined by UV-VIS spectrophotometry using $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 19,000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ for DREAM ($\Delta 64$) and $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 10,544 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ for DREAM ($\Delta 160$) (Pham, Dhulipala et al. 2015). Apoprotein samples were prepared by dialyzing the isolated protein three times against 20 mM Tris buffer that was prepared using decalcified water. The absence of Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM in apoDREAM samples was confirmed based on the emission spectra of apoDREAM in the absence/presence of EDTA. Li$^+$/DREAM samples were prepared by adding 50 µM or 1 mM LiCl to 20 µM protein in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4. Mg$^{2+}$/DREAM samples were prepared by the addition of 5 mM MgCl$_2$ and 1 mM EGTA to 20 µM protein in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, and Ca$^{2+}$/DREAM samples were prepared by adding 1 mM CaCl$_2$ to 20 µM protein in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4.

2.3.3 Isolation and purification of rCaM

Rat calmodulin (rCaM) plasmid was a kind gift from J. P. Davis (The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH). The plasmid was subcloned in BL21(DE3) cells and purified using phenyl sepharose chromatography as previously described (George, Su et al. 1993).

2.3.4 Steady-state emission spectra measurements

Emission spectra for a single Trp residue in DREAM constructs were measured in a 0.2 x 1-cm path length quartz cuvette with 295 nm excitation along the 0.2-cm path using a custom Chronos$^{FD}$spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign, IL). The concentration of DREAM constructs was 20 µM. The equilibrium dissociation constant for Li$^+$ binding to
apoDREAM was determined by adding small aliquots of Li⁺ stock solution to 20 µM apoDREAM(Δ64) in 20 mM Tris buffer pH 7.4.

The apparent equilibrium dissociation constant, $K_d$ was obtained according to Eq.1.

$$
\Delta F = \frac{(K_d + [nP_t] + [L_t]) - \sqrt{(K_d + [nP_t] + [L_t])^2 - 4[nP_t][L_t]}}{nP_t} \quad \ldots (1)
$$

where $K_d$ is the dissociation constant, $P_t$ is total protein concentration, $n$ is the number of binding sites, $L_t$ is the total ligand concentration. The experiment was repeated three times and the average fluorescence intensity values were used to construct the titration curve.

2.3.4 Circular dichroism measurements

Far UV-CD spectra were recorded using a J-810 Jasco CD spectrometer. 20 µM DREAM in 20 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.4 and 1 mM Ca²⁺ and/or 50 µM Li⁺, were placed in a quartz cuvette (1 mm x 10 mm) and data were collected from 200 nm to 250 nm.

2.3.5 ITC measurements

Isothermal calorimetry titrations were used to determine the thermodynamics of Li⁺ binding to DREAM constructs. Titrations were carried out using a VP-ITC isothermal calorimeter (Microcal Inc. Northampton, MA). Protein solutions were dialyzed against 5 mM Tris, pH 7.4, prepared in decalcified water for 12 hours. Water was decalcified using Chelex-100. The buffer was exchanged three times during the dialysis. 100 mM Li⁺ stock solution was prepared by dissolving LiCl in an ITC dialyzeate buffer. The reaction cell was loaded with ~20 µM protein solution in 5 mM Tris, pH 7.4. The concentration of Li⁺ in the syringe was 1000 µM; 30 aliquots (6 µL each) of Li⁺ stock solutions were titrated into 20 µM DREAM constructs at 25 °C. Three independent ITC experiments were conducted. Thermodynamic parameters were obtained by fitting the isotherms with the sequential
model (two sets of sites) using the Microcal ITC analysis plug-in Origin 7.0. Overall
dissociation constant was obtained from individual association constants by using Equation
2 (Aravind, Chandra et al. 2008).

\[ K_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_1 K_2}} \]  

where \( K_d \) represents overall dissociation constant for Li\(^+\) binding to apoDREAM
constructs, whereas \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) represent association constants of Li\(^+\) binding to
apoDREAM constructs.

To determine whether Li\(^+\) binds to Ca\(^{2+}\)-DREAM, we titrated 30 aliquots (6 µL each)
of 100 mM Li\(^+\) into 20 µM DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of 100 µM Ca\(^{2+}\) at 25 °C.

2.3.6 Molecular Dynamics

The protein structure of DREAM was taken from the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID: 2JUL) (Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008). We considered residues 76 to 256 of the DREAM
monomer for the molecular simulations. The CHARMM-GUI web-server was used to set
up the system for molecular dynamics simulation (Jo, Kim et al. 2008). The Ca\(^{2+}\) ions
bound to the protein in the crystal structure were removed and lithium ions were placed
randomly in the solution. The system was solvated using TIP3 water molecules and
neutralized by counterions. All-atom molecular dynamics simulations were performed
using NAMD 2.12 with CHARMM36m force field at 300 K temperature (Phillips, Braun
et al. 2005). The system was minimized for 10,000 steps and equilibrated for 200 ps with
backbone and side chain restraints, followed by a 200-ns production run using 2 fs time
step. The SHAKE algorithm was used for constraining covalent bonds and particle mesh
Ewald method was employed for long-range electrostatic interactions. Langevin
temperature coupling with a friction coefficient of 1 ps was used to control the temperature. Analysis of the trajectory as well as visualization of accessible surface area was done with VMD (Humphrey, Dalke et al. 1996).

2.3.7 Fluorescence lifetime measurements

Frequency-domain fluorescence 1,8-ANS lifetime measurements were performed using a ChronosFD spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign IL). Samples were excited using an output of 300 nm laser diode and emission was collected through a combination of 400 nm long-pass filter and 600 nm short-pass filter (Andover Corp.). 1,4-bis(5-phenyloxazol-2-yl) benzene (POPOP) in ethanol was used as a reference in lifetime measurements (τ = 1.35 ns). Experimental data were fitted by using a three exponential decay model in Vinci software (ISS, Champaign IL). The χ² parameters and residuals were used as a criterion for the goodness of the fit.

2.3.8 Steady-state polarization measurements

The steady-state polarization measurements were carried out in a ChronosFD spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign IL). To investigate the interaction between DREAM(Δ64) and FITC-labeled peptides, samples were excited at 493 nm and the emitted light was collected through a 500 nm long pass filter (Andover Corp). For anisotropy measurements, 20 μM DREAM was added to 0.5 μM FITC labeled peptides in the absence/presence of 1 mM Li⁺ or 1 mM Ca²⁺. The presented anisotropy values represent the average values of 3 independent measurements.
2.4 Methods used in chapter 6

2.4.1 General

Barium chloride, strontium chloride, arsenic pentoxide, and mercuric oxide were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Manganese chloride tetrahydrate was purchased from Fisher Scientific. 1,8-ANS was purchased from Invitrogen.

2.4.2 Isolation and purification of DREAM

Recombinant mouse DREAM(Δ64) was expressed and purified following published protocols (Osawa, Tong et al. 2001, Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014). SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis was used for evaluating the purity of the protein.

2.4.3 Sample preparation

Concentrated DREAM(Δ64) protein stock was diluted into 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 to get 20 µM DREAM(Δ64). Protein concentration was determined using $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 19,000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$. 1,8-ANS concentration was determined using $\varepsilon_{350\text{nm}} = 4,999 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$. 1 mM of Ba$^{2+}$, Sr$^{2+}$, As$^{5+}$, Hg$^{2+}$, or Mn$^{2+}$ was added to DREAM(Δ64) or DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS complex both in the absence and presence of 1 mM Ca$^{2+}$ to investigate impact of these metals addition on Trp emission and 1,8-ANS emission spectrum.

2.4.4 Steady-state emission spectra

Steady-state emission experiment was conducted at room temperature (20°C) employing Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies). Samples were excited with 295 nm excitation light through the 0.2 cm path of a 0.2 x 1.0 cm path length quartz cuvette to obtain tryptophan emission spectra. 1,8-ANS emission spectra were obtained by exciting the samples with 350
nm excitation light through the 0.2 cm path of a 0.2 x 1.0 cm path length quartz cuvette.

2.5 Methods used in chapter 7

2.5.1 Isolation and purification of DREAM(K87A)

Expressions and purifications of recombinant mouse DREAM(K87A) were executed following published protocols (Osawa, Tong et al. 2001, Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014). Concentrated protein stock solutions were stored in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4. The purity of the protein was assayed by SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis.

2.5.2 Sample preparation

Protein samples were prepared by diluting concentrated stock in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 and final protein concentrations were determined using $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 19,000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$. The concentrations of 1,8-ANS was determined using $\varepsilon_{350\text{nm}} = 4,999 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$, whereas concentrations of site-1, site-2, and PS1HL9 were determined using $\varepsilon_{493\text{nm}} = 75,000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$. For Trp emission, 1,8-ANS study, CD study, and lifetime studies, the concentration of DREAM(K87A) was 20 µM. apoDREAM(K87A) was prepared by adding 1 mM EDTA to protein solution. Ca$^{2+}$DREAM(K87A) samples were prepared by adding 1 mM Ca$^{2+}$ to protein solution in the absence of EDTA or EGTA. Mg$^{2+}$DREAM(K87A) was prepared by adding 5 mM Mg$^{2+}$ to protein solutions in the presence of 1 mM EGTA. Ca$^{2+}$Mg$^{2+}$DREAM(K87A) was prepared by adding 5 mM Mg$^{2+}$ to DREAM(K87A) in the presence of 1 mM Ca$^{2+}$. For the titration measurements, concentrated stocks of site-1, site-2, and PS1HL9 were diluted in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 to get the final concentration of 0.5 µM.
2.5.3 Steady-state emission spectra

Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies) was employed at room temperature (20°C) to record steady-state emission spectra. For tryptophan emission, samples were excited with 295 nm excitation light along the 0.2 cm path of a 0.2 x 1.0 cm path length quartz cuvette. For 1,8-ANS emission, samples were excited through 350 nm excitation light along the 0.2 cm path of a 0.2 x 1.0 cm path length quartz cuvette.

2.5.4 Circular dichroism

A J-810 Jasco CD spectrometer was employed at room temperature (20°C) to measure far-UV circular dichroism measurements through the 2 mm path of a (2 mm x 10 mm) quartz cuvette. Data were recorded from 200 to 250 nm. The percentage of secondary structure content was obtained using secondary structure prediction tool K2D3 (Louis-Jeune, Andrade-Navarro et al. 2012).

2.5.5 Fluorescence lifetime measurements

Frequency-domain fluorescence lifetime measurements were conducted at room temperature (20°C) utilizing a ChronosFD Spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign IL). The fluorescence lifetime of 1,8-ANS–DREAM(K87A) complexes were measured by exciting the samples through 305 nm laser diode output and emission was collected using a combination of a 400 nm long-pass filter and a 600 nm short-pass filter (Andover Corp., Salem, NH). For Trp lifetimes, 280 nm laser diode output was used to excite the samples and emission was recorded using a 320 nm long pass filter. 1,4-bis(5-phenyloxazol-2-yl)benzene (POPOP) in ethanol was the reference for lifetime measurements (τ = 1.35 ns). Vinci analysis software was
employed to analyze the modulation-phase data. $\chi^2$ values and residuals of the fit was used to evaluate the goodness of the fit.

2.5.6 Steady-state anisotropy measurements

The steady-state anisotropy measurements were carried out at room temperature (20°C) employing a ChronosFD spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign IL). To investigate whether mutation of Lys87 to Ala impacts DREAM interactions with binding partners, DREAM(K87A) samples were added to FITC-tagged peptide helix 9 of presenilin-1 (PS1HL9) and FITC-tagged site-1 and site-2 of T-1 domain of Kv4 channel. Samples were excited through 493 nm excitation light and emitted light was collected through a 500 nm long-pass filter (Andover Corp., Salem, NH). 200 µM DREAM(K87A) in the presence of 1 mM Ca$^{2+}$ or 1 mM EDTA was added to 0.5 µM PS1HL9. Corresponding wild-type DREAM (DREAM($\Delta$64)) was also added to the same peptide in the same day as a control experiment. Similarly, 100 µM and 200 µM of DREAM(K87A) were respectively added to 0.5 µM site 1 and site 2 peptides of the T1 domain of Kv4 channel in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ or EDTA. DREAM($\Delta$64) was added to both site 1 and site 2 peptides on the same day as a control.

2.6 Methods used in chapter 8

2.6.1 Isolation and purification of DREAM constructs

Recombinant mouse DREAM($\Delta$64), DREAM($\Delta$160), DREAM(E186Q), and DREAM(E234Q) were expressed and purified following published protocols (Osawa, Tong et al. 2001, Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014). SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis was employed for assessing the purity of the protein.
2.6.2 Sample preparation

Concentrated protein stock was diluted into 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 to get the desired concentration. For DREAM(Δ64), DREAM(E186Q), and DREAM(E234Q), protein concentrations were determined using $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 19,000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$. For DREAM(Δ160), protein concentration was determined using $\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 10,544 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$. 1,8-ANS concentration was determined using $\varepsilon_{350\text{nm}} = 4,999 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$; site-1 and site-2 concentrations were determined using $\varepsilon_{493\text{nm}} = 75,000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$. For Trp emission, 1,8-ANS emission, circular dichroism, and lifetime studies, the concentration of each DREAM protein construct was 20 µM. apoDREAM samples were prepared by adding 1 mM EDTA to protein solutions that were stored in 20 mM Tris. Ca$^{2+}$DREAM samples were prepared by adding 1 mM Ca$^{2+}$ to protein solutions that were stored in 20 mM Tris. For the titration measurements, 0.5 µM site-1, site-2, and PS1HL9 were prepared by diluting concentrated stock into 20 mM Tris pH 7.4.

2.6.3 Steady-state emission spectra

Steady-state emission spectra were obtained at room temperature (20°C) utilizing Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies). Samples were excited through 295 nm excitation light through the 0.2 cm path of a 0.2 x 1.0 cm path length quartz cuvette to obtain tryptophan emission spectra. Samples were excited with 350 nm excitation light through the 0.2 cm path of a 0.2 x 1.0 cm path length quartz cuvette to obtain 1,8-ANS emission spectra.
2.6.4 Circular dichroism

Far-UV circular dichroism spectra were recorded employing a J-810 Jasco CD spectrometer through the 2 mm path of a (2 mm x 10 mm) quartz cuvette. Data were collected from 200 to 250 nm. Secondary structure prediction tool K2D3 was used to determine the percentage of secondary structural content of the protein. (Louis-Jeune, Andrade-Navarro et al. 2012).

2.6.5 Fluorescence lifetime measurements

Frequency-domain fluorescence lifetime measurements were recorded at room temperature (20°C) employing a ChronosFD Spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign IL). The fluorescence lifetime of 1,8-ANS–DREAM complexes were measured by exciting the samples with 305 nm laser diode output and emission were recorded using a combination of a 400 nm long-pass filter and a 600 nm short-pass filter (Andover Corp., Salem, NH). A 280 nm laser diode output was used to excite the samples and emission was recorded using a 320 nm long-pass filter for determining the lifetime of tryptophan. The reference for lifetime measurements was 1,4-bis(5-phenyloxazol-2-yl)benzene (POPOP) in ethanol (τ = 1.35 ns). Vinci analysis software was utilized to analyze the modulation-phase data. χ² values as well as residuals of the fit was used to evaluate the goodness of the fit.

2.6.6 Steady-state anisotropy measurements

The steady-state anisotropy measurements were conducted at room temperature (20°C) utilizing a ChronosFD spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign IL). 100 µM DREAM(E186Q) and 100 µM DREAM(E234Q) samples were added to FITC-tagged site-1 and site-2 of the T-1 domain of Kv4 channel both in the presence
and absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. Samples were excited with 493 nm excitation light and emitted light was collected through a 500 nm long-pass filter (Andover Corp., Salem, NH). Corresponding 100 µM wild-type DREAM (DREAM(Δ64)) was also added to the same peptide in the same day as a control experiment both in the presence and absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. 
3. LEAD BINDS TO DREAM AND MODULATES ITS INTERACTIONS WITH BINDING PARTNERS: A LINK BETWEEN NEURONAL CALCIUM SENSORS AND LEAD NEUROTOXICITY.

3.1 Background and significance

Pb\(^{2+}\) is an anthropogenic toxicant and has been viewed as a global health concern (Deibler, Basu 2013). The chronic and acute exposure to Pb\(^{2+}\) cause neurophysiological and psychological deficiencies through altering the development of the neuronal system or through direct interactions with neuronal proteins (Mason, Harp et al. 2014). Especially children are sensitive to Pb\(^{2+}\) exposure as Pb\(^{2+}\) intoxication leads to learning difficulties, IQ drop and cognitive deficiencies (Canfield, Henderson Jr et al. 2003, Weisskopf, Hu et al. 2004). Several metal-binding proteins have been identified as targets for Pb\(^{2+}\) neurotoxicity as Pb\(^{2+}\) displaces physiological ligands such as calcium and zinc; however, the mechanism of Pb\(^{2+}\) induced toxicity remains obscure. It was reported that at subnanomolar concentrations, Pb\(^{2+}\) activates protein kinase C (PKC), a family of proteins that mediate central nervous system development and cell proliferation, by replacing a Ca\(^{2+}\) ion bound to the C2 domain (Markovac, Goldstein 1988, Long, Rosen et al. 1994, Morales, Lasagna et al. 2011). Pb\(^{2+}\) was also shown to directly inhibit the constitutive kinase activity of PKC through binding to a lower affinity site in the catalytic domain. Several studies have demonstrated that Pb\(^{2+}\) interacts with calcium-binding protein calmodulin (CaM), and modulate interactions between CaM and its downstream partners what may play a considerable role in Pb\(^{2+}\)-induced neurotoxicity (Gorkhabi, Huang et al. 2016). For example, Habermann et al. (Habermann, Crowell et al. 1983) reported that Pb\(^{2+}\) replaces Ca\(^{2+}\) bound to EF-hands in CaM and Pb\(^{2+}\):CaM complex activates calcium-dependent
phosphodiesterase and myosin light chain kinase. Pb\(^{2+}\) can also bind to sites other than EF-hands, which may disrupt multiple downstream activities and therefore contribute to lead neurotoxicity (Kern, Wisniewski et al. 2000, Goldstein, Ar 1983). Statistical analysis of the structures of Pb\(^{2+}\)-bound proteins available from the protein data bank (PDB) showed that an oxygen atom from amino acids (mainly Glu and Asp) represents the prominent binding ligand for Pb\(^{2+}\), followed by oxygen from water, sulfur, and nitrogen (Kirberger, Yang 2008). The basic geometry of Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound EF-hand was found to be conserved in Pb\(^{2+}\)-bound EF-hand, as both metals, Ca\(^{2+}\) and Pb\(^{2+}\), use the same binding ligands, (Kirberger, Yang 2008) suggesting that Pb\(^{2+}\) could tightly bind to EF-hands of other neuronal calcium-binding proteins (CaBPs). Indeed, the multitude of symptoms associated with Pb\(^{2+}\) poisoning points towards multiple molecular targets in neuronal tissue.

Neuronal Calcium Sensor (NCS) is a subgroup of EF-hand superfamily, which includes frequenin (NCS1), recoverin, GCAP, neurocalcin, and potassium channel-interacting protein 1–4 (Burgoyne 2007). NSC proteins are predominantly expressed in brain and retina, where they regulate diverse intracellular processes, including vision transduction, DNA expression, neurotransmission, neuron development, cognitive functions, potassium voltage channel kinetics, etc. (Ames, Lim 2012, Burgoyne, Weiss 2001, Burgoyne 2007). Although NCS proteins have relatively low sequence homology with CaM, NCS proteins comprise four EF-hands analogous to CaM. Similarly, NCS proteins are sensitive to increased intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration and bind Ca\(^{2+}\) with ~10 times higher affinity than CaM (McCue, Haynes et al. 2010).

Downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM), alternatively known as calsenilin or potassium channel-interacting protein 3 (KChIP3), is a 29 kDa NCS
protein, which is involved in numerous biological processes, such as gene apoptosis, pain
sensation, memory and learning processes, and modulation of Kv4 voltage channels
expression and kinetics (An, Bowlby et al. 2000, Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998, Carrion, Link
et al. 1999). In the nucleus, it regulates the expression of prodynorphin and c-fos gene by
binding to the DRE (downstream regulatory element) sequence in a calcium-dependent
manner (Carrion, Link et al. 1999, Cheng, Pitcher et al. 2002). In the absence of Ca^{2+},
DREAM associates to the DRE promoter region and consequently inhibits gene
transcriptions. These genes have been found to be involved in cell homeostasis, apoptosis,
2009). In the cytoplasm, DREAM interacts with the carboxy-terminal fragment (CTF) of
presenilin-1(PS1) and facilitate the production of the Aβ42 peptide, a peptide involved in
Alzheimer’s disease (Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998). DREAM also binds to the T1 domain of
potassium channels and regulates their translocation to membrane and gating kinetics (An,
Bowlby et al. 2000). The role of DREAM in memory retention, learning, pain sensing, and
Alzheimer’s disease emphasizes the multifunctional nature of this protein (Buxbaum, Choi
absence of interacting partners, DREAM does not possess an enzymatic activity, and thus
its ability to regulate diverse biological processes arises from interaction with different
binding partners.

The three-dimensional NMR structure of Ca^{2+}-bound DREAM (residue 78-256)
is presented in Figure 3.1 (Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008). DREAM contains two domains,
and each of the domains is composed of two EF-hands (Figure 3.1). A short N-
terminus α-helix together with EF1 (residue 90-119) and EF2 (residue 128-157) form an N–terminal
domain, whereas EF3 (residue 163-192) and EF4 (residue 211-240) and a 13 residue long C-terminal α-helix form a C-terminal domain. Both domains are arranged in a tandem array and form a flexible structure with the solvent-exposed hydrophobic groove (Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008). The four EF-hands have the distinct metal binding property (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007). EF-hand 1 does not bind metal because sterically hindered amino acid residues in the EF-hand loop (Cys 104 and Pro 105) prevent binding of metal; EF-2 binds Mg$^{2+}$ selectively due to the presence of Asp in the 12th position of the EF-loop instead of usual glutamate; and EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 bind Ca$^{2+}$ selectively (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008, Osawa, Dace et al. 2005, Osawa, Tong et al. 2001). In addition, we have recently shown that EF-hands in DREAM are capable of binding non-physiological metal Tb$^{3+}$ with higher affinity than Ca$^{2+}$ (Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016).

DREAM protein is expressed in the hippocampus, the part of the brain involved in learning and memory consolidation (Carrion, Link et al. 1999, Fontán-Lozano, Romero-Granados et al. 2009). The hippocampus region is strongly impacted by Pb$^{2+}$ as the Pb$^{2+}$ exposure alters the expression of 167 hippocampus genes in rats (Schneider, Anderson et al. 2011) and chronic exposure to Pb$^{2+}$ leads to cell death (Weisskopf, Hu et al. 2004, Chao, Moss et al. 2007). Also, Pb$^{2+}$ poisoning leads to a decrease in neuronal density in the hippocampus (Weisskopf, Hu et al. 2004). Because DREAM is expressed in the hippocampus and Pb$^{2+}$ strongly binds to EF-hands in calmodulin, we hypothesize that DREAM may represent a molecular target for Pb$^{2+}$ poisoning. In this report, we implement a combination of fluorescence and calorimetric techniques to show that Pb$^{2+}$ binds at the EF-hands in the C-terminal domain of DREAM. Then, we investigate whether Pb$^{2+}$ binding to DREAM modulates the interaction between DREAM and intracellular partners. We
report that Pb\(^{2+}\) binds to two EF-hands in DREAM with equilibrium affinity constant higher than that determined for Ca\(^{2+}\). Pb\(^{2+}\) association triggers changes in the DREAM secondary and tertiary structures that are analogous to the Ca\(^{2+}\) association, including increased exposure of hydrophobic cavities on the DREAM surface. Such structural reorganization results in increased affinity for small hydrophobic molecules such as 1,8-ANS. Pb\(^{2+}\) association also modulates interactions between DREAM and model peptides that correspond to binding sites of intracellular partners Kv channels and presenilin. These results indicate that DREAM, and other NCS, may represent molecular targets for Pb\(^{2+}\), and association of Pb\(^{2+}\) to the members of the NCS subfamily may lead to neuropathological conditions attributed to Pb\(^{2+}\) neurotoxicity.

Figure 3.1 Structure of Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM (PDB entry 2JUL). The four EF-hands of DREAM are colored in orange (EF-hand 1), green (EF-hand 2), blue (EF-hand 3), and red (EF-hand 4). The helix-1 and helix-10 are shown in cyan. Ca\(^{2+}\) ions bound to EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 are shown as yellow spheres.
3.2 Results and discussion

3.2.1 Pb$^{2+}$ Association Alters the Secondary and Tertiary Structure of DREAM.

Tryptophan emission is a popular tool to probe structural transitions in proteins. Ca$^{2+}$ binding to DREAM protein that lacks first 64 amino acid residues (DREAM(Δ64)) triggers structural changes that lead to a decrease in the emission intensity of the tryptophan residue W169, which is located between the N- and C- terminal domain (Pham, Dhulipala et al. 2015, Carrion, Mellstrom et al. 1998). The emission spectra of DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$Pb$^{2+}$ and in the apoform are shown in Figure 3.2A. The emission intensity of Trp decreases by ~24% at 335 nm upon binding of Pb$^{2+}$ to the apoprotein, pointing towards analogous structural rearrangement at the interface between EF-hand 2 and EF-hand 3 as observed upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding. Interestingly, the quenching of Trp emission intensity in Pb$^{2+}$-bound DREAM(Δ64) is more pronounced compared with Ca$^{2+}$-bound DREAM(Δ64). Addition of Pb$^{2+}$ to Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM(Δ64) results in the emission spectrum that is nearly superimposable with the emission spectrum of Pb$^{2+}$-bound DREAM(Δ64), suggesting that Pb$^{2+}$ effectively displaces Ca$^{2+}$ from the EF-hands, pointing towards a higher affinity of Pb$^{2+}$ for the Ca$^{2+}$ binding sites in DREAM. To determine the equilibrium dissociation constant for Pb$^{2+}$ interactions with DREAM, Pb$^{2+}$ was titrated into Ca$^{2+}$-bound DREAM(Δ64) and the fraction of Pb$^{2+}$ bound to the protein was calculated from the decrease in the Trp emission intensity at 329 nm according to Equation 1 (Figure 3.2B). Data were fitted to Equation 2 and the apparent dissociation constant was determined to be 2.0 ± 0.2 µM (Figure 3.2B). Using the $K_d$ value previously
Figure 3.2. Fluorescence emission spectra of 20 μM DREAM(Δ64) in the metal free form and in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) and/or Pb\(^{2+}\). Conditions: 20 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM Ca\(^{2+}\), 40 μM Pb\(^{2+}\), and 1 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) and 40 μM Pb\(^{2+}\); samples were excited at 295 nm and experiments were carried out at 20 °C (A). Titration curve for Pb\(^{2+}\) binding to Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM. The fraction bound was determined based on decrease in Trp169 emission intensity at increasing Pb\(^{2+}\) concentration. Conditions: 20 μM DREAM(Δ64) in 5 mM Tris, pH 7.4 and 100 μM Ca\(^{2+}\). Experimental points correspond to the average value determined from three independent measurements. The solid line represents the fit using Equation 2; the standard deviations are shown as error bars (B). Fluorescence emission spectra of 20 μM DREAM(Δ160). Conditions as described for Figure 3.2A (C).
determined for Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to apoDREAM(Δ64) (K\(_d\) = 1 μM), (Osawa, Dace et al. 2005) the equilibrium dissociation constant for the Pb\(^{2+}\) association to DREAM(Δ64) was found to be 20 ± 2 nM (Equation 3), indicating that Pb\(^{2+}\) binds to EF-hands in DREAM with 50 times higher affinity than Ca\(^{2+}\). This is consistent with the previous study for Pb\(^{2+}\) titration to CaM, in which a 10-times higher affinity for Pb\(^{2+}\) binding to the N-terminal domain of CaM compared with Ca\(^{2+}\) was reported (Kirberger, Wong et al. 2013).

Among four EF-hands found in the DREAM structure, only EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 in the C-terminal domain bind Ca\(^{2+}\) with the high affinity. To probe that Pb\(^{2+}\) replaces Ca\(^{2+}\) from the EF-hand located in the C-terminal domain, we measured the emission spectra of DREAM construct that corresponds to the C-terminal domain, DREAM(Δ160), under conditions identical to those for DREAM(Δ64) (Figure 3.2C). Pb\(^{2+}\) association to DREAM(Δ160) shows an analogous decrease in emission intensity as observed for Ca\(^{2+}\) binding in agreement with Pb\(^{2+}\) binding to EF-3, EF-4, or both. The Trp emission spectra are slightly red-shifted in DREAM(Δ160) (λ\(_{max}\) for apo and Pb\(^{2+}\) DREAM(Δ160) are 341 nm and 335 nm, respectively) compared with DREAM(Δ64) (λ\(_{max}\) for apo and Pb\(^{2+}\) DREAM(Δ64) are 335 nm and 329 nm, respectively), which could be explained by an increased solvent exposure of Trp side chain in this construct. Pb\(^{2+}\) association to DREAM(Δ64) is further supported by CD data. Far-UV CD data reveals that CD signal at 208-222 nm decreases upon Pb\(^{2+}\) binding (Figure 3.3A). Interestingly, the CD spectrum of Pb\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM(Δ64) is intermediate between the signal for apo and Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(Δ64). The decrease in CD signal in Ca\(^{2+}\)- or Pb\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM(Δ64) can be attributed to an increase of α-helical content as well as rearrangement of α-helices. The
Addition of Pb\(^{2+}\) to Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(Δ64) leads to a CD spectrum that is identical with Pb\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM(Δ64), providing further evidence of Ca\(^{2+}\) displacement by Pb\(^{2+}\). Analysis of the far-UV CD data using secondary structure prediction tool K2D3 shows that α-helical property of the protein increases from 64% in apoDREAM(Δ64) to 72% in Pb\(^{2+}\) DREAM(Δ64) and Ca\(^{2+}\)Pb\(^{2+}\) DREAM(Δ64) and 74% in Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(Δ64). Contrary to far-UV CD data, near-UV CD signal increases upon Ca\(^{2+}\) and Pb\(^{2+}\) binding (Figure 3.3B).

The peaks between 256–272 nm could be attributed to phenylalanine residues, whereas the peak near 292 nm is likely due to the tyrosine residue. The comparable increase in the near-UV CD-signal upon Ca\(^{2+}\) and Pb\(^{2+}\) binding suggest that the tertiary structure of Pb\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM(Δ64) is analogous to Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM(Δ64). The near-uv CD spectrum of Pb\(^{2+}\)-DREAM(Δ64) is identical with Ca\(^{2+}\)Pb\(^{2+}\)-DREAM(Δ64) corroborate far-UV CD data and fluorescence data that Pb\(^{2+}\) displaces Ca\(^{2+}\) from the EF-hand.

Figure 3.3. (A) Far-UV CD spectra of 20 µM DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of 1mM EDTA, 1mM Ca\(^{2+}\), 40 µM Pb\(^{2+}\), and (1mM Ca\(^{2+}\) and 40 µM Pb\(^{2+}\)) in 20 mM Tris (pH 7.4). (B) Near-UV CD spectra of 40 µM DREAM(Δ64) in the presence of 1mM EDTA, 1mM Ca\(^{2+}\), 40 µM Pb\(^{2+}\), and (1mM Ca\(^{2+}\) and 40 µM Pb\(^{2+}\)) in 5 mM phosphate (pH 7.4).
We have also determined the Trp169 lifetime in phase modulation measurements (Figure 3.4 and Table 3.1). The data were analyzed using a sum of a Gaussian distribution model and a discrete exponential decay. Two lifetimes were observed for both apo and metal-bound DREAM(Δ64) and were previously attributed to the presence of two Trp 169 rotamers (t and g+) (Pham, Dhulipala et al. 2015). G+-rotamer corresponds to more solvent-exposed Trp 169 side chain and thus displays the longer lifetime, whereas being more efficiently quenched by nearby amino acid residues, the t-rotamer exhibits a shorter lifetime (Pham, Dhulipala et al. 2015). Upon binding of Pb²⁺, the average lifetime of Trp 169 decreases from 4.4 ± 0.1 ns to 3.8 ± 0.1 ns, which is due to a decrease in the fractional intensity contribution associated with the longer lifetime and slightly faster Gaussian decay (from 2.1 ± 0.1 ns for apoDREAM to 1.6 ± 0.2 ns for Ca²⁺ or Pb²⁺DREAM) (Table 3.1). These data are consistent with the steady-state emission data, suggesting that the association of Pb²⁺ to DREAM triggers a structural transition that is analogous to that triggered by Ca²⁺ association to EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4.
Figure 3.4. Frequency-domain intensity decay of 20 µM apo (squares), Ca²⁺ (circle), Pb²⁺ (up triangle), and Ca²⁺Pb²⁺ (down triangle) DREAM(Δ64) in 20 mM Tris (pH 7.4). The phase delay is shown as solid symbols and modulation ratio as empty symbols. Solid lines represent data fitting using a (one Gaussian distribution and single exponential decay) model.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\alpha_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\alpha_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$f_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$f_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$w_1$</th>
<th>$&lt;\tau&gt;$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apo</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>17.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>82.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>41.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>59.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>15.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>84.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>49.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>51.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb$^{2+}$</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>15.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>84.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>49.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>51.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$Pb$^{2+}$</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>15.8± 0.5</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>84.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>50.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>49.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recovered from global analysis of the phase and modulation data using a (one Gaussian distribution and discrete single exponential decay) model. $\tau_1$ is the decay time of the Gaussian distribution with a width of distribution $w_1$; $\tau_2$ is the lifetime of the discrete single exponential term; $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ are pre-exponential decays; $f_1$ and $f_2$ are exponential decay fraction. $\chi^2$ represents the goodness of the fit. Lifetime parameters represent average of three independent measurements; standard deviations are shown as errors. Phase and modulation errors were set at $\leq 0.2^\circ$ and $\leq 0.004$ respectively.
3.2.2 Pb\(^{2+}\) Binding promotes DREAM Interactions with Hydrophobic Molecule 1,8-ANS.

We have previously shown that Ca\(^{2+}\) modulates DREAM affinity for small organic molecules such as 1,8-ANS and arachidonic acid (Gonzalez, Mikosovska 2014). To investigate whether Pb\(^{2+}\) binding influences DREAM interactions with hydrophobic molecules, we have monitored Pb\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM interactions with 1,8-ANS. This probe offers a spectroscopic approach for assessing the hydrophobicity of the protein surface due to its increase in the emission intensity upon the association to hydrophobic patches on the protein surface. 1,8-ANS emission intensity increases in the presence of apoDREAM(Δ64) because of 1,8-ANS binding to the protein. The further increase in the emission of Pb\(^{2+}\) or Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM(Δ64) compared with the apo form suggest that 1,8-ANS associates to the hydrophobic cavities on the DREAM (Δ64) surface with a higher affinity in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) or Pb\(^{2+}\) (Figure 3.5A). The emission spectrum of Pb\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS complex is identical with Ca\(^{2+}\)Pb\(^{2+}\)-DREAM(Δ64), suggesting that Pb\(^{2+}\) binds to DREAM(Δ64) with higher affinity and displaces Ca\(^{2+}\) from EF-hand, which is consistent with CD and Trp emission data. DREAM(160):1,8-ANS complexes show emission spectra that are analogous to DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS complexes (Figure 3.5B). We determined the affinity of the 1,8-ANS probe for DREAM by titrating the constant amount of 1,8-ANS against DREAM(Δ64) (Figure 3.5C). The fraction of 1,8-ANS bound to protein was calculated from the increase in emission intensity at 466 nm (Equation 1), and binding isotherm (Figure 3.5C ) was analyzed according to Equation 2. The K\(_d\) value obtained for 1,8-ANS binding to Pb\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM(Δ64) is \(87 \pm 4 \mu\text{M}\) (Figure 3.5C ), which is similar to the K\(_d\) value obtained for Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM(Δ64) \((107\pm11 \mu\text{M})\) (data not shown), suggesting that Ca\(^{2+}\) and Pb\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM(Δ64) have
similar affinity for 1,8-ANS. The affinity of Pb\(^{2+}\) DREAM (\(\Delta 64\)) for 1,8-ANS complex is approximately two times higher compared with the value previously determined for the apo form (195±20 \(\mu\text{M}\)) (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014), which is consistent with an increased accessibility of the 1,8-ANS binding sites in Pb\(^{2+}\) bound protein.

Figure 3.5. Emission spectra of (A) 1,8-ANS:DREAM(\(\Delta 64\)) and (B) 1,8-ANS:DREAM(\(\Delta 160\)) complexes as a function of Pb\(^{2+}\) or Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to the protein. Conditions: 20 \(\mu\text{M}\) DREAM(\(\Delta 64\)) and 20 \(\mu\text{M}\) 1,8-ANS; excited at 350 nm. (C) Titration of 10 \(\mu\text{M}\) 1,8-ANS with Pb\(^{2+}\)DREAM(\(\Delta 64\)). The fit of the experimental data using Equation 2 is shown as a solid line. Inset: 1,8-ANS structure.

The 1,8-ANS fluorescence lifetimes are also sensitive to structural changes associated with Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM(\(\Delta 64\)). To investigate whether Pb\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM influences the lifetime of 1,8-ANS, we measured the lifetime of DREAM(\(\Delta 64\)):1,8-ANS complexes (Figure 3.6). 1,8-ANS bound to apoDREAM exhibits two fluorescent lifetimes (\(\tau_1 = 4.8 \pm 0.1\) ns and \(\tau_2 = 17.2 \pm 0.1\) ns), which can be attributed to 1,8-ANS associated to at least two 1,8-ANS binding sites on DREAM surface (Table
3.2). Previously, we used AutoDock software version 4.2 to identify 1,8-ANS binding sites on DREAM surface (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014, Morris, Huey et al. 2009). One binding site was found between the helix 8 and helix 9 (EF-hand 4). This binding site is partially solvent-exposed and 1,8-ANS bound to this side was associated with a shorter lifetime. The second site was identified between the EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4. This is a more hydrophobic side and 1,8-ANS bound to this site is likely to exhibit a longer lifetime. Addition of Pb$^{2+}$ to apoDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS complex leads to an increase in the longer lifetime ($\tau_2 = 18.4 \pm 0.2$ ns) and an approximately two-fold increase in the corresponding pre-exponential parameter $\alpha_2$ compared with the apoprotein, suggesting Pb$^{2+}$ association to EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 increases the affinity for 1,8-ANS binding to the DREAM and

![Figure 3.6. Frequency-domain intensity decay of 40 μM 1,8-ANS binding to 40 μM DREAM(Δ64) in presence of EDTA (squares), Ca$^{2+}$ (circle), Pb$^{2+}$ (up triangle), and Ca$^{2+}$Pb$^{2+}$ (down triangle). The phase delay is shown as solid symbols and modulation ratio as empty symbols. Solid lines represent data fitting using three-exponential decay model.](image-url)
leads to an increased accessibility of the hydrophobic cavity in the C-terminal domain. Individual 1,8-ANS lifetimes are moderately changed by Pb$^2+$ to DREAM ($\Delta$64), which is consistent with 1,8-ANS binding to identical binding sites in Ca$^{2+}$-free, Pb$^{2+}$-, and Ca$^{2+}$-bound protein.
Table 3.2. Fluorescence decay parameters for DREAM(Δ64)–1,8-ANS complexes as a function of Ca\(^{2+}\) and/or Pb\(^{2+}\) binding to EF-hands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1,8-ANS</th>
<th>(\tau_1) (ns)</th>
<th>(\alpha_1) (%)</th>
<th>(\tau_2) (ns)</th>
<th>(\alpha_2) (%)</th>
<th>(f_1) (%)</th>
<th>(f_2) (%)</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apoDREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>17.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>14.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>80.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^{2+})DREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>15.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>18.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>34.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>88.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(^{2+})DREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>15.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>18.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>33.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>88.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(^{2+})Ca(^{2+})DREAM(Δ 4)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>15.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>18.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>35.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>88.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data recovered from Globals software using a sum of three discrete exponential decays with fixed 1,8-ANS lifetime (\(\tau_0 = 0.28\) ns). Lifetime parameters represent average of three independent measurements; standard deviations are shown as errors. Phase and modulation errors were set at \(\leq 0.2^\circ\) and \(\leq 0.004\) respectively.
3.2.3 Pb\(^{2+}\) Binding to DREAM is Entropy Driven.

To complement the results from fluorescence experiments, ITC studies were carried out to determine thermodynamic parameters for the Pb\(^{2+}\) association to Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(\(\Delta 64\)) and Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(\(\Delta 160\)) (Figure 3.7A,B). Isothermal calorimetry data reveal that displacement of Ca\(^{2+}\) from DREAM is endothermic and can be modeled as a sequential process with two binding sites. Satisfactory fits were obtained using both a one-set of sites model and sequential process with two sites. Because we used the sequential model previously to analyze Tb\(^{3+}\) binding to Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM (Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016), a sequential model with two sites was employed here and the thermodynamic parameters are listed in Table 3.3. Two Pb\(^{2+}\) ions bind to Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(\(\Delta 64\)) with \(K_{\text{app}}\) values 2.63 ±...
0.18 μM and 8.74 ± 0.02 μM, respectively. The profile of the ITC isotherm for Pb²⁺ displacement of Ca²⁺ bound to DREAM(Δ64) is similar to binding isotherm for Tb³⁺ displacement of Ca²⁺ bound to DREAM(Δ64) (Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016), points towards a similar mechanism for Ca²⁺ displacement from DREAM(Δ64) by Pb²⁺ and Tb³⁺ (Table 3.3). The calorimetric study for the Pb²⁺ displacement of Ca²⁺ bound at the EF-hands of DREAM(Δ160) under conditions identical to those for the Pb²⁺ displacement of Ca²⁺ bound at the EF-hands of DREAM(Δ64) (Figure 3.7B) provides thermodynamic parameters that are nearly identical to the parameters for Pb²⁺ displacement of Ca²⁺ bound from DREAM(Δ64) (Table 3.3). These results suggest that the first 160 amino acid residues have a negligible role in modulating Pb²⁺ interactions with EF-hands in the DREAM C-terminal domain in the presence of Ca²⁺. In both protein constructs, Pb²⁺ associates to two binding sites with a relatively high affinity (see below). However, we cannot eliminate the potential presence of additional sites for Pb²⁺ of lower affinity in the DREAM N- or C- terminal domain as precipitation of Ca²⁺-bound DREAM at increased Pb²⁺ concentrations (above 50 μM) prevented detection of those sites.

Pb²⁺ displacement of Ca²⁺ from the EF-hand of the DREAM(Δ64) is associated with ΔG of -14.48 ± 0.08 kcal·mol⁻¹, which is identical to the Pb²⁺ displacement of the Ca²⁺ from the EF-hand of the DREAM(Δ160) ΔG= -14.52 ± 0.05 kcal·mol⁻¹. Using the overall K_app value obtained from ITC measurements (4.81 ± 0.06 μM), we determined the K_d value for Pb²⁺ binding to apo-DREAM to be 47 ± 0.6 nM, which is similar to the value obtained from fluorescence titration data (K_d = 20 ± 2 nM). The reaction is entropy-driven as positive reaction enthalpy and positive entropy change are associated with the Pb²⁺ binding to Ca²⁺ DREAM. The entropy gain cannot be attributed to release of the water molecule(s) to the bulk solvent as the hydration
sphere of Ca$^{2+}$ consists of eight water molecules (Jalilehvand, Spångberg et al. 2001), whereas six molecules were found the first hydration sphere of Pb$^{2+}$ (Marcus 1991) Kirberger and Yang (Kirberger, Yang 2008) have analyzed the X-ray structures of Ca$^{2+}$-bound CaM and Pb$^{2+}$-bound CaM and reported a marginal change in the EF-hand geometry, suggesting that observed entropy-driven for Pb$^{2+}$ binding to Ca$^{2+}$DREAM may originate from subtle changes in the DREAM conformation. This is in agreement with the spectroscopic data presented here which shows decreased Trp emission intensity in Pb$^{2+}$DREAM compared with Ca$^{2+}$DREAM.
Table 3.3. ITC parameters recovered for Tb$^{3+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ from EF hands of DREAM using a sequential model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$K_{app1}$ (µM)</th>
<th>$K_{app2}$ (µM)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_1$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_2$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$T\Delta S_1$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$T\Delta S_2$ (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DREAM($\Delta$64) + Pb$^{2+}$</td>
<td>2.63 ± 0.18</td>
<td>8.74 ± 0.02</td>
<td>6.58 ± 0.39</td>
<td>6.10 ± 0.55</td>
<td>14.15 ± 0.47</td>
<td>13.01 ± 0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM($\Delta$160) + Pb$^{2+}$</td>
<td>2.94 ± 0.41</td>
<td>8.72 ± 0.01</td>
<td>6.92 ± 0.07</td>
<td>6.64 ± 0.09</td>
<td>14.45 ± 0.03</td>
<td>13.53 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM($\Delta$64) + Tb$^{3+}$</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13 ± 9</td>
<td>18 ± 6</td>
<td>20 ± 9</td>
<td>24 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All Experiments conducted in triplicate at 25 °C, errors are standard deviations. Data for the Tb$^{3+}$ association to DREAM are from ref (Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016).
3.2.4 Pb²⁺ Association to DREAM Facilitates DREAM’s Interactions with Intracellular Partners.

Jo et al. (Jo, Jang et al. 2005) previously reported that DREAM binds to the C-terminal of presenilin-1 (PS1-CTF) in the presence of Ca²⁺ and stimulates γ-secretase activity which facilitates the generation of Aβ42 in Alzheimer’s disease. To determine whether Pb²⁺ association to DREAM modulates DREAM affinity for presenilin, the equilibrium binding constant for helix-9 of presenilin-1 (PS1HL9) association to DREAM was measured. We used PS1HL9 as a model for presenilin-1 since pull-down studies have shown that DREAM interacts with residues from helix-9 (Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998, Pham, Miksovska 2016). FITC-labeled PS1HL9 was titrated with Pb²⁺-bound DREAM(Δ64) and the change in the anisotropy was probed (Figure 3.8A,B). The fraction of DREAM bound to PS1HL9 was then plotted as a function of Pb²⁺-bound DREAM(Δ64) concentration and the dissociation constant was recovered using Equation 2 (Table 3.4 and Figure 3.8A,B). Titration data shows that Pb²⁺-DREAM binds PS1HL9 with a four-time lower affinity compare to Ca²⁺-bound DREAM(Δ64) \( K_d = 2.56 \pm 0.23 \mu M \) and \( 0.6 \pm 0.1 \mu M \).
μM, respectively). However, the affinity of Pb$^{2+}$DREAM and Ca$^{2+}$DREAM for PS1HL9 is enhanced compared to apo DREAM(Δ64) ($K_d = 183 \pm 12 \, \mu \text{M}$) (Table 3.4) (Pham, Miksovska 2016). Several studies demonstrated that an early life exposure to Pb$^{2+}$ promotes developmental reprogramming of APP gene expression, leading to an augmented expression of amyloid precursor protein during adulthood (Huang, Bihaqi et al. 2011, Wu, Basha et al. 2008, Basha, Murali et al. 2005, Basha, Wei et al. 2005). The interaction between Pb$^{2+}$-bound DREAM and PS1HL9 observed here suggest that elevated concentrations of Pb$^{2+}$ may directly impact the proteolytic processing of the β-amyloid precursor protein.

In addition to binding to presenilin, DREAM and other KChIPs are integral auxiliary subunits of A-type potassium voltage channels and the formation of Kv4–KChIP complex has been shown to result in modulation of gating properties, surface expression and subunit assembly of Kv4 channels (An, Bowlby et al. 2000, Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014, Bähring, Dannenberg et al. 2001, Scannevin, Wang et al. 2004). Although DREAM binds to Kv channels in a Ca$^{2+}$-independent manner, the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ modulates kinetics of Kv channel inactivation (Patel, Campbell et al. 2002). Crystallographic studies demonstrated that the T-1 domain at the N-terminus of Kv4 interacts with KChIPs (An, Bowlby et al. 2000, Pioletti, Findeisen et al. 2006, Bähring, Dannenberg et al. 2001, Scannevin, Wang et al. 2004). Two binding sites were found to be involved in binding of Kv4.2 to KChIP1, namely site-1, which is comprised of non-polar residues 2–22 and site-2, containing more polar residues 70–90 (Callsen, Isbrandt et al. 2005). Our previous research showed that both site-1 and site-2 interact with DREAM in a calcium-dependent manner (Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014). To determine whether Pb$^{2+}$ binding to DREAM EF-
hands modulates interactions between DREAM and site-1 and site-2, we titrated FITC-tagged site-1 and site-2 with Pb\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM(Δ64) in anisotropy measurements.

![Graph A](image1.png)  ![Graph B](image2.png)

Figure 3.9A,B. Titration of 0.5 µM Kv4.3 (2–22) “site-1” with Pb\(^{2+}\)-DREAM(Δ64). Right panel shows fraction bound that was calculated using Equation 1. In both cases, solid lines correspond to fit of the experimental data using Equation 2. (Figure 3.9A,B and 3.10A,B). The equilibrium dissociation constants were determined analogously as described above for PS1HL9 (Table 3.4 and Figure 3.9A,B and 3.10A,B).
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Figure 3.10A,B. Titration of 0.5 µM Kv4.3 (70–90) “site-2” with Pb\(^{2+}\)-DREAM(Δ64). Right panel shows fraction bound that was calculated using Equation 1. In both cases, solid lines correspond to fit of the experimental data using Equation 2. The titration data show that Pb\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM has 50-times higher affinity for “site-2” (K\(_d\) = 10.61 ± 0.40 µM) and 12-times higher affinity for “site-1” (K\(_d\) = 5.9 ± 0.6 µM).
compared with the previously determined dissociation constant for metal-free DREAM (Table 3.4) (Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014). Analogous $K_d$ values for Ca$^{2+}$-bound DREAM($\Delta 64$) and Pb$^{2+}$-bound DREAM($\Delta 64$) association to site-1 and site-2 indicate that in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$ association to DREAM may impact the deactivation kinetics of Kv channels complexes in a manner analogous to Ca$^{2+}$ association. Considering the implication of Kv channels in hippocampus-dependent learning and memory (Lugo, Brewster et al. 2012), the Kv channels:KChIP complexes may represent a molecular target contributing to Pb$^{2+}$ induced memory and learning deficiencies. Previously, Gorkhali et al. (Gorkhali, Huang et al. 2016) have proposed that neuronal calcium sensor 1 (NCS-1) may be a plausible target for Pb$^{2+}$ neurotoxicity. NCS-1 was associated with numerous neuronal processes, including regulation of neurotransmission, nuclear Ca$^{2+}$ regulation, cognitive functions, and neuron development through interactions with various downstream partners, including the dopamine receptors, calcineurin, and phosphatidylinositol-4 kinase-IIib (Gorkhali, Huang et al. 2016, Boeckel, Ehrlich 2018). Considering high sequence identity of the C-terminal domain of neuronal calcium sensors (Ames, Lim 2012) and significant amount of Pb$^{2+}$ in the brain (0.52–4 ppm) due to occupational and environmental exposure to the Pb$^{2+}$ (Barry, Mossman 1970, Grandjean 1978), especially in the hippocampus (Grandjean 1978), other members of NCS family are likely to bind Pb$^{2+}$ with higher affinity than that for Ca$^{2+}$ and be involved in Pb$^{2+}$-induced neurotoxicity.
Table 3.4. Equilibrium dissociation constants for presenilin-1 helix 9 “PS1HL9”, Kv4.3(2-22) “site-1”, and Kv4.3(70-90) “site-2” binding to DREAM constructs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PS1HL9</th>
<th>Site-1</th>
<th>Site-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_d$ (μM)</td>
<td>$K_d$ (μM)</td>
<td>$K_d$ (μM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoDREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>183 ± 12</td>
<td>70 ± 3</td>
<td>~500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺DREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb²⁺DREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.23</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>10.61 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Determined using steady-state anisotropy change. Data were fitted to Equation 2. Data for apoDREAM(Δ64) and Ca²⁺DREAM(Δ64) are from reference (Pham, Miksovska 2016) and (Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014), respectively. Titrations of Pb²⁺DREAM(Δ64) with PS1HL9, site-1 and site-2 were conducted in triplicate. Average $K_d$ values are reported and standard deviations are shown as errors.
3.3 Summary

In this report, we demonstrate that Pb\(^{2+}\) binds to EF-hands in DREAM for the first time. Based on fluorescence emission, circular dichroism, ITC, and fluorescence lifetime data, we provide compelling evidence that Pb\(^{2+}\) is able to induce structural changes analogous to Ca\(^{2+}\) binding. Pb\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM also alters DREAM interaction with intracellular partners, such as presenilin-1 and site-1 and site-2 of potassium voltage channel. These results imply that DREAM and possibly other neuronal calcium sensors bind Pb\(^{2+}\) with a high affinity in vivo, and Pb\(^{2+}\) interactin with neuronal calcium sensors could explain lead-induced neurotoxicity.
4. CADMIUM ASSOCIATION TO DREAM PROMOTES DREAM INTERACTIONS WITH INTRACELLULAR PARTNERS IN A SIMILAR MANNER AS ITS PHYSIOLOGICAL LIGAND, CALCIUM.

4.1 Background and significance

Cd\(^{2+}\) has been recognized as one of the most toxic environmental and industrial pollutants which exerts adverse health effects in general population because of its prolonged half-life in human (15–20 years) and low rate of excretion from the human body (Järup, Åkesson 2009, Roels, Bernard et al. 1993, Satarug, Moore 2004, Jin, Lu et al. 1998). It has been assigned as class I carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans 1993). Occupational and as well as non-occupational exposure, including consumption of tobacco products, food, and dietary intake (Satarug, Moore 2004, IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans 1993, IPCS 1992) to Cd\(^{2+}\) has been associated with different cancers, including stomach, testis, renal and others (Waalkes 2000, Pesch, Haerting et al. 2000, Joseph, Muchnok et al. 2001). The central nervous system is also susceptible to Cd\(^{2+}\) toxicity (Cao, Chen et al. 2009, Lopez, Figueroa et al. 2003), especially in children, elderly people, and pregnant women. Elevated concentration of Cd\(^{2+}\) has been reported in children with mental retardation and learning difficulties compared with a reference group (Pihl, Parkes 1977, Marlowe, Errera et al. 1983, Capel, Pinnock et al. 1981). Other studies have shown that Cd\(^{2+}\) concentration in hair is inversely related to the intelligent quotient (Thatcher, Lester et al. 1982, Thatcher, McAlaster et al. 1984). An
increasing number of clinical investigations have suggested that Cd\textsuperscript{2+} intoxication is a probable etiological factor of neurodegenerative diseases, such as Parkinson’s disease, Huntington’s disease, and Alzheimer’s disease (Okuda, Iwamoto et al. 1997, Johnson 2001, Panayi, Spyrou et al. 2002). The mechanism through which Cd\textsuperscript{2+} exerts its toxic effects remains unresolved. Oxidative stress, interference with Ca\textsuperscript{2+}- and Zn\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent processes, epigenetic modification, apoptosis induction, and effects on neurotransmitters were proposed to be the underlying mechanism (Wang, Du 2013, Méndez-Armenta, Rios 2007). Animal model studies have shown that Cd\textsuperscript{2+} exposure has been associated with increased production of reactive oxygen species which in turns results in increased lipid peroxidation, altered calcium homeostasis, and DNA damage (Méndez-Armenta, Villeda-Hernández et al. 2003, El-Maraghy, Gad et al. 2001, Kumar, Agarwal et al. 1996, Lopez, Arce et al. 2006, Manca, Ricard et al. 1991). Even at relatively low concentrations, Cd\textsuperscript{2+} inhibits all known pathways of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx and thereby interferes with the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis (Méndez-Armenta, Rios 2007). Yoshida (Yoshida 2001) has shown that Cd\textsuperscript{2+}-exposed neurons have a dose-dependent elevated concentration of cytoplasmic and nuclear Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. Another study has shown that 50 μM Cd\textsuperscript{2+} inhibits Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx into hepatocytes through receptor-operated Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-channels (Thevenod, Jones 1992). The central nervous system is highly sensitive to Cd\textsuperscript{2+} exposure as 24-hour exposure to Cd\textsuperscript{2+} results in a decrease in dopamine, serotonin, and norepinephrine concentration (Lafuente, Gonzalez-Carracedo et al. 2003, Lafuente, Fenández-Rey et al. 2001). Additionally, experimental studies on rabbits and newborn rats exposed to an elevated Cd\textsuperscript{2+} concentration have demonstrated extensive hemorrhages in the
cerebral and cerebellar cortices, neuroglial cells with cytolysis, altered Purkinje
cells, and several pyramidal cells with pyknotic nuclei (Gabbiani, Baic et al. 1967, Wong, Klaassen 1982). Studies on mice have demonstrated that Cd$^{2+}$ exposure
impairs hippocampus-dependent memory and learning (Wang, Zhang et al. 2017). Rigon et al. (Rigon, Cordova et al. 2008) and Kanter et al. (Kanter, Unsal et
al. 2016) have shown that Cd$^{2+}$ administration causes a decrease in cell vitality and
neuronal apoptosis in the hippocampus in a rat model.

Cd$^{2+}$ has been shown to occupy Ca$^{2+}$ binding site of CaM (Forsén, Thulin et
al. 1980, Andersson, Forsen et al. 1983) and promotes CaM association and activation
of phosphodiesterase and myosin light chain kinase (Suzuki, Chao et al. 1985, Chao,
and Mazzei et al. (Mazzei, Girard et al. 1984) postulated that Cd$^{2+}$competition with
Ca$^{2+}$ for EF-hands on CaM is the key of CaM toxicity at the molecular level. Studies
on protein kinase C have shown that Cd$^{2+}$ binding to protein kinase C, displaces Zn$^{2+}$
from the regulatory domain and facilitates binding of protein kinase C to a nuclear
protein (Block, Freyermuth et al. 1992). Other studies have shown that Cd$^{2+}$ can
displace Ca$^{2+}$ from its binding sites in troponin C, the calcium-binding subunit of
the muscle protein complex troponin (Ellis, Strang et al. 1984, TELEMAN,
DRAKENBERG et al. 1983).

Neuronal calcium sensor (NCS) is a subgroup of EF-hand superfamily that
includes potassium channel-interacting protein 1–4, recoverin, NCS-1, GCAP, and
neurocalcin. These proteins are mainly expressed in the brain and retina where they
transduce Ca$^{2+}$ signals and regulate a myriad of intracellular processes (Ames, Lim
Even though NCS proteins share comparatively low sequence similarity with CaM, they are composed of four EF-hands analogous to CaM and are sensitive to changes in intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ concentration (McCue, Haynes et al. 2010). Downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM), also known as potassium channel-interacting protein 3 (KChIP3) or calsenilin, is a 29 kDa NCS protein that is expressed in the hippocampus and has been shown to regulate numerous cellular processes. DREAM is the first Ca$^{2+}$-regulated transcriptional repressor (Carrion, Link et al. 1999). In the Ca$^{2+}$-free state, DREAM binds to the downstream regulatory element (DRE) of human prodynorphin and c-fos genes and consequently represses transcription, whereas Ca$^{2+}$ binding alters protein oligomerization resulting in decreased affinity for DRE sequence (Carrion, Link et al. 1999, Cheng, Pitcher et al. 2002). Prodynorphin and c-fos genes have been associated with apoptosis, pain modulation, and cell homeostasis (Costigan, Woolf 2002, Fontán-Lozano, Romero-Granados et al. 2009). It has been demonstrated that in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, DREAM interaction with carboxy-terminal fragment (CTF) of presenilin-1 (PS1) and presenilin-2 (PS2) stimulates the activity of γ-secretase, which facilitates cleavage of amyloid precursor protein and eventually overproduces Aβ42 peptide, a peptide associated with Alzheimer’s disease (Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998, Jo, Jang et al. 2005). DREAM also associates with the T1 domain of potassium channels and regulates their translocation to the membrane, K$^+$ current, and gating properties (An, Bowlby et al. 2000). DREAM has been linked to memory and learning processes by acting as a transcriptional repressor of CREM in a calcium-dependent fashion (Fontán-Lozano, 2012, Burgoyne, Weiss 2001, Burgoyne 2007).
Study of Rashid et al. (Abd Rashid, Hapidin et al. 2017) proposed that DREAM protein may participate in the mechanism of nicotine treatment-prevented learning and memory impairment in REM sleep-deprived rats by changing its expression level in the hippocampus. Recent studies have shown that Ca\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM binds to CaM and the formation of DREAM:CaM complex eliminates nonspecific interaction between DREAM and DRE sites and increases activation of calcineurin (Gonzalez, Arango et al. 2015, Ramachandran, Craig et al. 2012).

The three-dimensional NMR structure of N-terminal truncated Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM (residue 78-256) (Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008), shown in Figure 4.1, reveals that DREAM possesses two domains, with each domain comprising of two EF-hands. EF-1 (residue 90-119) and EF-2 (residue 128-157) along with a short N-
terminus \(\alpha\)-helix form an N-terminal domain, whereas EF-3 (residue 163-192) and EF-4 (residue 211-240) combined with a 13-residue long C-terminal \(\alpha\)-helix form a C-terminal domain. Among four EF-hands of DREAM, EF-hand 1 is not functional because the presence of the CPXG sequence at the EF-hand loop distorts \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\)-binding geometry. The presence of Asp at the 12\(^{th}\) position of the EF-2 loop makes this EF-hand specific for \(\text{Mg}^{2+}\) and EF-3 and EF-4 bind \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) with a \(K_d\) of 1–10 \(\mu\)M (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Osawa, Dace et al. 2005, Osawa, Tong et al. 2001). We have recently shown that the EF-3 and EF-4 do not exclusively bind \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) as they can also bind non-physiological metals \(\text{Tb}^{3+}\) and \(\text{Pb}^{2+}\) with an affinity that is superior to that for \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) (Azam, Miksovska 2018, Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016).

4.2 Results and discussion

4.2.1 \(\text{Cd}^{2+}\) binding promotes the structural reorganization of DREAM.

A single tryptophan residue (W169) in \(\text{WTDREAM(}\Delta64)\) sequence is located between the N- and C-terminal domain and its emission properties can be used to probe inter-domain structural changes associated with the metal binding to DREAM. It has been shown previously that in the presence of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\), W169 emission intensity decreases and the emission spectrum undergoes a concomitant shift to the lower wavelength of 330 nm (Pham, Dhulipala et al. 2015). Close inspection of \(\text{WTDREAM(}\Delta64)\) and \(\text{WTDREAM(}\Delta160)\) structures reveals that four charged amino acid residues (K168, N172, E165, and E253) are located within 6 Å of the indole ring of W169 and may serve as Trp emission quenchers. The decrease in emission intensity can be explained by a model in which \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) binding causes structural rearrangement of the protein that brings the quencher amino acid
residue(s) closer to the indole ring of W169 resulting in a decrease of tryptophan emission intensity. The blue shift of emission maximum upon Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding is indicative of tryptophan being located in a less polar environment in the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound state. To investigate whether Cd\textsuperscript{2+} binds to WTDREAM(Δ64), we measured emission spectra of WTDREAM(Δ64) in the presence of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} (Figure 4.2A). Trp at the emission maximum of 335 nm decreases by \~25\% upon addition of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} and the emission maximum shifts to 329 nm, suggesting structural reorganization at the interface of EF-2 and EF-3 analogous to rearrangements triggered by the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding. Interestingly, Cd\textsuperscript{2+} association with WTDREAM(Δ64) leads to a more
pronounced decrease in emission intensity than observed for Ca^{2+} association. The addition of Cd^{2+} to Ca^{2+}bound WTDREAM(Δ64) results in an emission spectrum identical to Cd^{2+} bound WTDREAM(Δ64), indicating Cd^{2+} binds to DREAM with a higher affinity and displaces Ca^{2+} from the EF-hands.

Because the EF-3 and EF-4 of DREAM bind Ca^{2+}, it is expected that the EF-3 and EF-4 may also bind Cd^{2+} as Cd^{2+} shares similar physical properties with Ca^{2+}. To investigate whether Cd^{2+} binds at EF-3 and EF-4, first, we measured emission spectra of WTDREAM(Δ160), a protein construct that lacks EF-1 and EF-2, under identical conditions as for WTDREAM(Δ64) (Figure 4.2B). Cd^{2+} association causes a decrease in emission intensity analogous to Ca^{2+} binding, suggesting that Cd^{2+} binds at EF-hand in the C-terminal domain. The bathochromic shift of the emission maximum in WTDREAM(Δ160) (λ_{max} = 353 nm) construct compared to WTDREAM(Δ64) (λ_{max} = 335 nm) indicates that W169 sidechain in WTDREAM(Δ160) is located in the more polar environment due to the absence of the N terminal domain.

To provide additional insight into Cd^{2+} interaction with DREAM, we prepared DREAM(E186Q) and DREAM(E234Q) constructs with EF-3 and EF-4, respectively, being nonfunctional due to the replacement of Glu residue at the 12th position of EF-hand loop. Upon Cd^{2+} addition to DREAM(E186Q), the emission intensity decreases in a similar way as observed for WTDREAM(Δ64) and WTDREAM(Δ160), suggesting that Cd^{2+} binds at EF-4 and triggers structural changes that modulate emission properties of W169 (Figure 4.2C). Interestingly, the
emission spectrum of Cd\(^{2+}\) bound DREAM(E234Q) is nearly identical to that for apoDREAM(E234Q) (Figure 4.2D). Although these results may suggest that EF-4 does not bind Cd\(^{2+}\), at least at metal concentrations probed here, this interpretation is inconsistent with the data obtained for Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM(234Q):1,8-ANS complex and with the ITC results as shown below. The nearly identical emission spectra of apoDREAM(E234Q) and Cd\(^{2+}\)DREAM(E234Q) suggest that Cd\(^{2+}\) association to EF-3 does not promote structural changes that impact the emission properties of W169. This is consistent with the molecular dynamic data (Pham, Dhulipala et al. 2015) as the superposition of MD simulations structures of apoDREAM and Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM monomer reveals that the EF-3 undergoes a minor reorientation upon Ca\(^{2+}\) association, whereas Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to EF-4 leads to repositioning of \(\alpha\)-helices from a perpendicular to parallel orientation and displacement of \(\alpha\)-helix 10. The decrease in the emission intensity of W169 upon Ca\(^{2+}\) or Cd\(^{2+}\) association can be explained with a model in which Ca\(^{2+}\) or Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to EF-4 bring the residue E253, located in \(\alpha\)-helix 10, closer to the indole ring of W169, resulting in more efficient quenching of W169 emission.

To determine the dissociation constant for Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM, Cd\(^{2+}\) stock was titrated into DREAM(Δ64) protein in the presence of 100 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\) (Figure 4.3). Data were fitted to Equation 1 which reveals that Cd\(^{2+}\) binds to DREAM protein with an apparent dissociation constant 8 ± 1 \(\mu\)M. Substituting the value of apparent dissociation constant in Equation 3, equilibrium dissociation constant for Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM protein determined to be 80 nM.
Figure 4.3. Emission spectra of DREAM(Δ64) as a function of increasing concentration of Cd²⁺. Conditions: 20 µM WTDREAM(Δ64) in 20 mM Tris in presence of 100 µM Ca²⁺. The emission spectra were recorded using 295 nm excitation (A). The decrease in the emission intensity of DREAM(Δ64) due to the Cd²⁺ binding to Ca²⁺DREAM. The experimental data were fitted using Equation 1 for obtaining equilibrium dissociation constant (B).

Figure 4.4. Far-UV CD spectra of (A) 20 µM DREAM(Δ64), (B) 20 µM DREAM(Δ160), (C) 20 µM DREAM(E186), and (D) 20 µM DREAM(E234Q). Conditions as described in Figure 4.2.
The structural reorientation of DREAM upon Cd\(^{2+}\) association was also determined by far-UV CD spectroscopy. CD data presented in Figure 4.4 show that that CD signal at 208-222 nm decreases upon Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to WTDREAM(Δ64), WTDREAM(Δ160), and DREAM(E186Q) (Figure 4.4A–C). The decrease in the CD signal at 220 and 208 nm indicates an increase in an α-helical content and/or reorganization of α-helices. Similar CD spectra of WTDREAM(Δ64) constructs in Ca\(^{2+}\)- and Cd\(^{2+}\)-bound form suggests that binding of both metals causes analogous secondary structural rearrangement, which is consistent with Trp emission results. Also, the CD signal of DREAM(E234Q) is not affected by Cd\(^{2+}\) association to the apo-protein (Figure 4.4D). Far-UV CD data have been analyzed using secondary structure prediction tool K2D3 (Louis-Jeune, Andrade-Navarro et al. 2012) and results reveal that Cd\(^{2+}\) increases the α-helical property of the protein by ~6% in WTDREAM(Δ64) and DREAM(E186Q) and by ~10% in WTDREAM(Δ160).

In addition to steady-state emission properties of W169, we have characterized W169 fluorescence in frequency-domain measurements (Figure 4.5 and Table 4.1). Modulation-phase data were analyzed using a three discrete exponential decay model. Such multi-exponential decay of the single W169 residue can be attributed to the presence of multiple rotamers of the indole side chain and/or by the presence of multiple conformational states as proposed in Ref (Pham, Dhulipala et al. 2015). The average lifetime of W169 decreases from 3.8 ns in apoWTDREAM(Δ64) to 3.1 ns and 3.2 ns in Ca\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) bound protein, respectively. The decrease in the average lifetime can be attributed to the decrease
of the individual lifetimes and a concomitant increase in the pre-exponential factor $\alpha_1$ upon Ca$^{2+}$ or Cd$^{2+}$ binding to the protein (Table 4.1). Fluorescence decay parameters observed for Cd$^{2+}$WTDREAM($\Delta$64) closely resembles Ca$^{2+}$WTDREAM($\Delta$64), pointing towards a similar environment of W169 in Cd$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ bound DREAM.

Figure 4.5. Frequency-domain intensity decay of 20 µM apoDREAM($\Delta$64) (square), Ca$^{2+}$DREAM($\Delta$64) (circle), Cd$^{2+}$DREAM($\Delta$64) (up triangle), and Cd$^{2+}$Ca$^{2+}$DREAM ($\Delta$64) (down triangle). Solid symbols represent phase delay, whereas empty symbols represent modulation ratio. Solid lines correspond to the fitting of the data using a sum of three exponential decay model.
Table 4.1. Fluorescence decay parameters of WTDREAM(Δ64) in the presence and absence of Ca^{2+} and Cd^{2+}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>τ₁</th>
<th>τ₂</th>
<th>τ₃</th>
<th>f₁</th>
<th>f₂</th>
<th>f₃</th>
<th>α₁</th>
<th>α₂</th>
<th>α₃</th>
<th>&lt;τ&gt;</th>
<th>χ²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| apo      | 0.24±0.03 | 2.5±0.1 | 7.1±0.3 | 17.3±0.8 | 45.4±3.0 | 37.3±0.3 | 75.1±0.1 | 19.2±0.3 | 5.9±0.3 | 3.8±0.3 | 1.5
| Ca^{2+}  | 0.16±0.02 | 1.9±0.1 | 6.3±0.2 | 22.0±0.9 | 37.5±2.0 | 40.5±2.0 | 84.5±0.3 | 12.1±0.3 | 3.3±0.3 | 3.1±0.3 | 0.9 |
| Cd^{2+}  | 0.16±0.02 | 1.9±0.1 | 6.3±0.2 | 22.3±0.9 | 37.3±2.0 | 40.4±2.0 | 84.6±0.3 | 12.0±0.3 | 3.2±0.3 | 3.2±0.3 | 0.6 |
| Cd^{2+}Ca^{2+} | 0.16±0.02 | 2.0±0.1 | 6.3±0.3 | 22.5±0.9 | 37.5±2.0 | 40.0±2.0 | 83.9±0.3 | 12.3±0.3 | 3.6±0.3 | 3.2±0.3 | 0.9 |

Recovered from Vinci analysis software using a sum of three discrete exponential decays. Phase and modulation errors were respectively set at ≤0.2° and ≤0.004. τ₁, τ₂, and τ₃ represent lifetimes of the three discrete exponential decays; f₁, f₂, and f₃ are exponential decay fractions; α₁, α₂, and α₃ represent pre-exponential factors. χ² represents the goodness of the fit.
4.2.2 Cd\(^{2+}\) regulates DREAM affinity for 1,8-ANS

We have shown previously that Ca\(^{2+}\) association increases DREAM affinity for small hydrophobic molecules such as 1,8-ANS and arachidonic acid (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014). To probe whether Cd\(^{2+}\) association impacts DREAM affinity for 1,8-ANS, the emission spectra of WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS complex in the metal-free form and in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) or Cd\(^{2+}\) were determined. 1,8-ANS has been extensively used for evaluating hydrophobicity of the protein surface as 1,8-ANS is nearly non-fluorescent in aqueous solutions and its emission intensity increases upon the association to hydrophobic cavities on the protein surface (Cardamone, Puri 1992). The effect of Cd\(^{2+}\) binding on DREAM affinity for 1,8-ANS is shown in Figure 4.6. The rise in the emission intensity of 1,8-ANS in the presence of apoWTDREAM(Δ64) is indicative of 1,8-ANS binding to hydrophobic patches on the protein surface. The fluorescence intensity increases further upon Cd\(^{2+}\) addition to WTDREAM(Δ64), due to an additional binding of 1,8-ANS to the protein (Figure 4.6). Interestingly, the emission intensity of Cd\(^{2+}\)WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8ANS complex is lower than that measured for Ca\(^{2+}\)WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8ANS. These results suggest that overall structural changes triggered by Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM are somewhat limited and do not include a full exposure of the hydrophobic surface as observed in the case of Ca\(^{2+}\) binding. Analogous increase in emission intensity is observed for Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to metal-free DREAM(E186Q):1,8-ANS and DREAM(E234Q):1,8-ANS complex, indicating, that both EF-3 and EF-4 binds Cd\(^{2+}\) and the metal association to either EF-hand increases the accessibility of the 1,8-ANS binding sites. The emission
intensity of the Cd$^{2+}$DREAM ($\Delta 160$):1,8-ANS complex is comparable to that for Ca$^{2+}$DREAM($\Delta 160$):1,8-ANS. The emission maxima for both complexes are red-shifted with respect to the emission maxima observed for Cd$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ bound
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Figure 4.6. Emission spectra of (A) 1,8-ANS:DREAM($\Delta 64$), (B) 1,8-ANS:DREAM($\Delta 160$), (C) 1,8-ANS:DREAM(E186), and (D) 1,8-ANS:DREAM(E234Q) complexes. Conditions: 20 µM protein in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 20 µM 1,8-ANS, 2 mM EDTA or 2 mM Ca$^{2+}$, and/or 100 µM Cd$^{2+}$. Emission spectra were recorded using 350 nm excitation light.

WTDREAM($\Delta 64$) likely due to the large solvent exposure of the hydrophobic cavity in DREAM($\Delta 160$) construct. To determine the equilibrium affinity constant for 1,8-ANS binding to DREAM, we titrated WTDREAM($\Delta 64$) into a constant amount of 1,8-ANS and analyzed the experimental data using Equation 1 (Figure 4.7). Equilibrium dissociation constant recovered for 1,8-ANS binding to Cd$^{2+}$WTDREAM($\Delta 64$) ($K_d = 84 \pm 4$ µM) is two-fold lower than that previously
determined for 1,8-ANS binding to apoWTDREAM(Δ64) (195 ± 20 µM) (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014), supporting the idea that Cd²⁺ association with DREAM leads to increased exposure of hydrophobic cavities. However, the Kₐ value for 1,8-ANS binding to Cd²⁺WTDREAM(Δ64) (84 ± 4 µM) is higher than the value previously determined for ANS binding to Ca²⁺WTDREAM(Δ64) (Kₐ = 62 ± 4 µM) (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014). This is in agreement with the steady-state emission spectra that show lower emission intensity of Cd²⁺WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS compare to Ca²⁺WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS.

To corroborate the findings of the WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS steady-state emission study, we measured the lifetime of WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS in metal-free form and in the presence of Cd²⁺ or Ca²⁺ (Figure 4.8 and Table 4.2). The fluorescence decay parameters for 1,8-ANS bound to WTDREAM(Δ64) are sensitive to metal-induced structural rearrangement. 1,8-ANS in 20 mM Tris shows
a single lifetime of 0.28 ns, but upon binding to metal-free WTDREAM(Δ64) two additional lifetimes ($\tau_1 = 4.1$ ns and $\tau_2 = 16.9$ ns) were resolved, which can be attributed to 1,8-ANS association to two distinct 1,8-ANS binding sites on DREAM surface. Previously, we used a molecular docking approach to identify 1,8-ANS binding sites (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014) and attributed the shorter lifetime ($\tau_1 = 4.1$ ns) to 1,8-ANS association to a partially solvent-exposed binding site between the EF-3 and EF-4. The longer lifetime ($\tau_2 = 16.9$ ns) can be assigned to 1,8-ANS binding to a nonpolar solvent restricted binding site between helix-8 and helix-9 of EF-4. Cd$^{2+}$ addition to metal-free WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS results in an increase
in the longer lifetime ($\tau_2 = 17.8$ ns) and the $\alpha_2$ parameter increases from 21.9% for metal-free WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS complex to 36.7% for Cd$^{2+}$WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS complex (Table 4.2). Also, Ca$^{2+}$ binding to metal-free WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS complex leads to more pronounced increase in pre-exponential factor $\alpha_2$ than Cd$^{2+}$ association, in agreement with the results from the emission data that show higher emission intensity of WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS complex in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ with respect to Cd$^{2+}$ (Table 4.2). The small alteration of individual WTDREAM:1,8-ANS complex lifetimes upon Ca$^{2+}$ or Cd$^{2+}$ association compare to metal-free WTDREAM(Δ64) is indicative of 1,8-ANS binding to identical binding sites in metal-free, Cd$^{2+}$-, and Ca$^{2+}$-bound protein (Table 4.2).
Table 4.2. Fluorescence decay parameters of WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS complexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\alpha_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$f_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\alpha_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$f_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$\alpha_0$ (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apo</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>16.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>83.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>18.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>88.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd$^{2+}$</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>17.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>88.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd$^{2+}$Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>88.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data recovered from Vinci analysis software using a sum of three discrete exponential decays with fixed 1,8-ANS lifetime ($\tau_0 = 0.28$ ns). Phase and modulation errors were respectively set at $\leq 0.2^\circ$ and $\leq 0.004$. $\tau_0$, $\tau_1$, and $\tau_2$ represent lifetimes of the three discrete exponential decays; $f_0$, $f_1$, and $f_2$ are exponential decay fractions; $\alpha_0$, $\alpha_1$, and $\alpha_2$ represent pre-exponential factors. $\chi^2$ represents the goodness of the fit.
4.2.3 Cd\(^{2+}\) associates with DREAM through an entropy-driven process.

The thermodynamic parameters associated with Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to Ca\(^{2+}\)WTDREAM(Δ64) and Ca\(^{2+}\)WTDREAM(Δ160) were determined using ITC (Figure 4.9). The binding isotherms were analyzed using a sequential model with two binding sites and the fitting parameters are listed in Table 4.3. Cd\(^{2+}\) displacement of Ca\(^{2+}\) from EF-hands of WTDREAM(Δ64) is an entropy-driven process as evidenced by a positive change in enthalpy (ΔH\(_\text{total}\) = 25 ± 5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)). Two Cd\(^{2+}\) ions associate with Ca\(^{2+}\)WTDREAM(Δ64) with apparent association constants of 22 ± 4 M\(^{-1}\) and 7 ± 1 M\(^{-1}\). Slightly lower affinity constants were measured for WTDREAM(Δ160), K\(_1\) = 18 ± 2 M\(^{-1}\) and K\(_2\) = 5 ± 2 M\(^{-1}\), in agreement with Cd\(^{2+}\)
binding to the C-terminal domain. Based on these results we can not unambiguously assign the affinity constants to the individual EF-hands in the C-terminal domain. Using Equation 2 and 3, the overall apparent dissociation constant for Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to Ca\(^{2+}\)WTDREAM(Δ64) was determined to be 9 ± 1 μM and the overall dissociation constant for Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to metal-free WTDREAM(Δ64) was found to be 89 ± 10 nM, which is in agreement with the value obtained from fluorescence titration (80 ± 10 nM). These results show that apoDREAM binds Cd\(^{2+}\) with approximately 10-fold higher affinity than Ca\(^{2+}\). This is somewhat surprising, as another EF-hand protein, calmodulin, binds Cd\(^{2+}\) with 3-fold lower affinity than its physiological ligand Ca\(^{2+}\) (Milos, Schaer et al. 1989).

The Cd\(^{2+}\) association to both EF-hands shows a positive reaction enthalpy and entropy change. The gain of entropy over enthalpy cannot be explained in term of a water molecule(s) release as previous Raman and computational study suggested [Cd(OH\(_2\))\(_6\)]\(^{2+}\) clusters to be thermodynamically stable (Rudolph, Pye 1998), whereas a first hydration sphere of Ca\(^{2+}\) ion can include up to 8 water molecules (Jalilehvand, Spångberg et al. 2001, Marcus 1991). The X-ray structures of skeletal troponin C shows only small differences in the coordination of Cd\(^{2+}\) compared to Ca\(^{2+}\) with both metals adopting distorted pentagonal bipyramidal coordination (Rao, Satyshur et al. 1996). On the other hand, in the X-ray structure of the N-terminal domain of cardiac troponin C, Cd\(^{2+}\) bound to EF-1 exhibit non-canonical coordination with a Cys residue serving as a coordinating ligand (Fulcher 2015). Unlike Ca\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\) is a soft metal and forms a covalent bond with thiol ligands (Choong, Liu et al. 2014). In the sequence of mouse DREAM, Cys 181 is located
in the EF-3 loop and thus may participate in Cd\textsuperscript{2+} metal coordination. However, structural studies are necessary to conclusively identify which residues participate in Cd\textsuperscript{2+} coordination.
### Table 4.3. Thermodynamic parameters determined for displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ by Cd$^{2+}$ from EF hands of DREAM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$K_1 \times 10^4$ (M$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$K_2 \times 10^4$ (M$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_1$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_2$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$T \Delta S_1$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$T \Delta S_2$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DREAM($\Delta64$) + Cd$^{2+}$</td>
<td>22 ± 4</td>
<td>7 ± 1</td>
<td>9 ± 5</td>
<td>17 ± 2</td>
<td>16 ± 5</td>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM($\Delta160$) + Cd$^{2+}$</td>
<td>18 ± 2</td>
<td>5 ± 2</td>
<td>10 ± 3</td>
<td>16 ± 4</td>
<td>17 ± 3</td>
<td>22 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All experiments were conducted three times at 25 °C. Data were fitted with a sequential model (2 sites); errors represent standard deviations from three independent measurements.
4.2.4 Cd\textsuperscript{2+} Modulates DREAM Interactions with Binding Partners.

It has been shown previously that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} association facilitates DREAM interaction with C-terminal domain of presenilin-1 which stimulates the activity of γ-secretase and consequently facilitates the generation of Aβ42, a peptide that has been linked to Alzheimer’s disease (Jo, Jang et al. 2005). To investigate whether Cd\textsuperscript{2+} binding impacts DREAM affinity for presenilin, the equilibrium dissociation constant for DREAM association with PS1HL9 has been determined. We have chosen PS1HL9 as a model for presenilin-1 as earlier studies have shown that DREAM interacts with the residues from helix-9 of presenilin-1 (Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998, Pham, Miksovska 2016). Cd\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM was titrated into the solution of FITC-tagged PS1HL9 and the change in the anisotropy was determined and plotted as a function of Cd\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM concentration (Figure 4.10). The equilibrium

![Figure 4.10 Titration of 0.5 μM PS1HL9 against Cd\textsuperscript{2+}-bound DREAM constructs. The solid lines represent the fits of the experimental data using Equation 1; standard deviations are shown as error bars.](image)
dissociation constant was obtained by fitting the titration data to Equation 1. Cd²⁺WTDREAM(Δ64) has 10-fold lower affinity for PS1HL9 (K_d = 6 ± 1 μM) than Ca²⁺WTDREAM(Δ64) (K_d = 0.6 ± 0.1 μM, respectively) and about 30-times higher affinity for PS1HL9 than apoWTDREAM(Δ64) (K_d = 183 ± 12 μM) (Table 4.4), suggesting Cd²⁺ association modulates DREAM interaction with presenilin-1. PS1HL9 binds to Cd²⁺WTDREAM(Δ160) with a K_d similar to Cd²⁺WTDREAM(Δ64) confirms that N-terminal doesn’t have any role in PS1HL9 binding (Table 4.4). To determine Cd²⁺ binding to which EF-hand regulates DREAM interactions with PS1HL9, we investigated PS1HL9 binding to Cd²⁺DREAM(E186Q) and Cd²⁺DREAM(E234Q). Both Cd²⁺DREAM(E234Q) and Cd²⁺DREAM(E186Q) have a lower affinity for PS1HL9 (Table 4.4). This finding is consistent with our previous finding that Ca²⁺DREAM(E186Q) has a lower affinity for PS1HL9 than Ca²⁺DREAM(E234Q) (Pham, Miksovska 2016). It has been shown in transgenic mice model that Cd²⁺ treatment increases the level of Aβ42 and aggravates behavioral symptoms of Alzheimer’s disease (Li, Lv et al. 2012). The observed Cd²⁺ modulation of DREAM interaction with PS1HL9 indicates that at high concentration Cd²⁺, the formation of Cd²⁺DREAM complex might facilitate of β-amyloid precursor protein cleavage to produce Aβ42 and contribute to Alzheimer’s disease

DREAM, as well as other members of KChIPs, are integral auxiliary subunits of A-type potassium voltage channels and binding of KChIPs to Kv4 channels has been shown to result in regulation of fast component of ITO current in heart and ISO current in brain, modulation of gating properties, increased surface
expression and facilitation of subunit assembly of Kv4 channels (An, Bowlby et al. 2000, Bourdeau, Laplante et al. 2011, Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014, Bahring, Dannenberg et al. 2001, Scannevin, Wang et al. 2004, Niwa, Nerbonne 2010). Although binding of DREAM to Kv channels is Ca$^{2+}$ independent, the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ alters the kinetics of Kv channel inactivation (Patel, Campbell et al. 2002). Crystallographic studies have shown that Kv4 interacts with KChIPs through its N-terminus of T1 domain and resulting Kv4–KChIPs complex is octamer (4:4 manner) in which each KChIPs molecule simultaneously interacts with two Kv4.3 molecules (Pioletti, Findeisen et al. 2006, Wang, Yan et al. 2007a). Two binding sites are involved in the formation of Kv4–KChIPs octamer. Site-1 of Kv4 channel is composed of non-polar residues 2–22 and it binds to the deep hydrophobic pocket on the surface of KChIPs, whereas site-2 (residue 70–90) of Kv4 channel is composed of predominately polar residues and interacts with N-terminal helix-2 of KChIPs through the salt bridge and hydrophobic interaction (Pioletti, Findeisen et al. 2006, Callsen, Isbrandt et al. 2005, Wang, Yan et al. 2007a). Previous research in our group has demonstrated that Ca$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ modulate the interaction between DREAM and site-1 and site-2 (Azam, Miksovska 2018, Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014). To investigate whether Cd$^{2+}$ association with DREAM alters DREAM interactions with site-1 and site-2, Cd$^{2+}$DREAM constructs were titrated into FITC-labeled site-1 and site-2 and the change in FITC-labeled peptide anisotropy was monitored (Figure 4.11 and 4.12). Equilibrium dissociation constants were obtained by fitting the data to Equation 1 and the values are listed in Table 4.4. Titration results reveal that Cd$^{2+}$WTDREAM(Δ64) has seven-fold higher affinity for site-1.
Figure 4.11. Titration of 0.5 μM Kv4.3 (2–22) “site-1” against Cd\textsuperscript{2+}-bound DREAM constructs. The solid lines represent the fits of the experimental data using Equation 1; standard (K\textsubscript{d} = 10 ± 1 μM) than apoDREAM(Δ64) (K\textsubscript{d} = 70 ± 1) μM), and its affinity for site-1 is approximately four-times lower than that of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}WTDREAM(Δ64) (Table 4.4). Considering that a hydrophobic site-1 binds to the hydrophobic cavity on DREAM surface, these results are consistent with WTDREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS emission data that demonstrate a lower exposure of hydrophobic surface for Cd\textsuperscript{2+}-bound protein compared to Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-bound protein that may result in a lower affinity for site-1 (Table 4.4). DREAM(E186) and DREAM(E234Q) did not show any binding to site-1 in metal-free form and in Cd\textsuperscript{2+}-bound form. Inactivating EF-3 in DREAM(E186Q) or EF-4 in DREAM(E234Q) might trigger structural reorganizations in the protein which disturb the hydrophobic cavity and consequently abolish binding of site-1. Site-2 shows relatively weaker binding to Cd\textsuperscript{2+}DREAM(Δ64) than Ca\textsuperscript{2+}WTDREAM(Δ64), but the affinity of site-2 binding
Cd\(^{2+}\)WTDREAM(Δ64) is 15-times higher than site-2 binding to metal-free DREAM(Δ64), indicating Cd\(^{2+}\) binding may promote DREAM interactions with site-2 (Table 4.4). Cd\(^{2+}\)DREAM(E186) and Cd\(^{2+}\)DREAM(E234Q) bind to site-2 with an affinity comparable to site-2 association with Cd\(^{2+}\)WTDREAM(Δ64), suggesting binding of Cd\(^{2+}\) to a single EF-hand is sufficient to promote the site-2 association to DREAM (Table 4.4). Taken together, the above results suggest that Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM may impact the deactivation kinetics of Kv channels complexes. Kv channel–KChIP complexes may represent a molecular target for Cd\(^{2+}\)-induced memory and learning deficiencies as Kv channels have been
Table 4.4. The equilibrium dissociation constants for PS1HL9, site-1 site-2 binding to DREAM constructs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DREAM constructs</th>
<th>PS1HL9</th>
<th>Site-1</th>
<th>Site-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K_d (µM)</td>
<td>K_d (µM)</td>
<td>K_d (µM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ64) apo</td>
<td>183 ± 12</td>
<td>70 ± 3</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca^{2+}</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cd^{2+}</td>
<td>6 ± 1</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(Δ160) apo</td>
<td>no binding</td>
<td>&gt;450</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca^{2+}</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
<td>24 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cd^{2+}</td>
<td>7 ± 1</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(E186Q) apo</td>
<td>149 ± 22</td>
<td>no binding</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca^{2+}</td>
<td>32 ± 1</td>
<td>no binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cd^{2+}</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
<td>no binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM(E234Q) apo</td>
<td>146 ± 14</td>
<td>no binding</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca^{2+}</td>
<td>8 ± 1</td>
<td>no binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cd^{2+}</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
<td>no binding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for DREAM(Δ64), DREAM(Δ160), DREAM(E186Q), and DREAM(E234Q) interactions with PS1HL9 in apo and Ca^{2+}-bound form are taken from reference (Pham, Miksovska 2016); data for DREAM(Δ64) and DREAM(Δ160) interactions with site-1 and site-2 in apo and Ca^{2+}-bound form are taken from reference (Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014).
4.3 Summary

In this article, using a combination of spectroscopic and calorimetric techniques we first time report Cd\(^{2+}\) binding to EF-hands in DREAM. We also show that Cd\(^{2+}\) association with DREAM modulates DREAM interactions with interacting partners, such as site-1 and site-2 of potassium voltage channel and presenilin-1. Interestingly, despite the fact that Cd\(^{2+}\) ion has similar ionic radii and charge/radius ratio values as Ca\(^{2+}\), the association of Cd\(^{2+}\) to EF-3 and EF-4 leads to a conformational transition that is somewhat restricted compared to that determined for the binding of the physiological ligand, Ca\(^{2+}\). This is evident by 1,8-ANS emission data as well as by lower affinity constants for binding of peptides that mimic physiological effector proteins presenilin and T1 domain of Kv channels. However, the superior affinity of Cd\(^{2+}\) for DREAM suggest that under conditions of acute or chronic exposure to Cd\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\) may bind to DREAM and probably other neuronal sensors and these interactions may provide insight into molecular basis of Cd\(^{2+}\) induced neurotoxicity. We hope this study will encourage future NMR and X-ray crystallography on Cd\(^{2+}\)-bound neuronal calcium sensors to provide atomic-level insight into the mechanism of this neurotoxic metal’s interactions with neuronal calcium sensors.
5. BINDING OF THE NEUROPROTECTIVE AGENT LITHIUM TO NEURONAL CALCIUM SENSOR PROTEIN DREAM: A NEW INSIGHT INTO LITHIUM THERAPY.

5.1 Background and significance

Li⁺-based compounds have been used extensively for the treatment of severe mental disorders for more than six decades (Cade 1949, Marmol 2008, Vosahlikova, Svoboda 2016). However, the molecular mechanism of how lithium works remain one of the greatest challenges in modern psychology. Recent in vivo and in vitro studies in humans and rodents demonstrated the neuroprotective role of Li⁺ and proposed its probable application for treatment of neurogenerative diseases such as Parkinson’s disease, Alzheimer’s disease (AD), and Huntington’s disease (De Ferrari, Chacon et al. 2003, Youdim, Arraf 2004, Wei, Qin et al. 2001, Senatorov, Ren et al. 2004, Forlenza, De-Paula, Vanessa de Jesus Rodrigues et al. 2014). Despite numerous clinical applications of Li⁺ in psychopharmacology for treating devastating diseases, the exact mechanism through which Li⁺ exerts its therapeutic effects has not been elucidated yet. Several mechanisms have been proposed and its molecular targets remain unknown. Inhibitions of inositol monophosphatase (IMPase) and glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta (GSK-3β) are the most relevant mechanisms of action (Forlenza, De-Paula, Vanessa de Jesus Rodrigues et al. 2014, Williams, Harwood 2000, Brown, Tracy 2013). IMPase is overexpressed in bipolar disorder patients and this overexpression causes inositol level increase (Dudev, Mazmanian et al. 2018, Haimovich, Eliav et al. 2012). According to the inositol depletion hypothesis, Li⁺ treatment inhibits IMPase by displacing native cofactor Mg²⁺ and eventually decreases the level of inositol
Experimental studies in transgenic mice models overexpressing GSK-3β and in other animal models of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) have demonstrated that chronic Li⁺ administration causes a remarkable decrease in tau phosphorylation (Engel, Goñi-Oliver et al. 2006, Leroy, Ando et al. 2010, Noble, Planel et al. 2005). Similarly, it has been shown that chronic lithium administration reduces Aβ42 (overproduction of this peptide has been associated with AD) production through inhibition of GSK-3β and through modulation of the amyloid precursor protein (APP) (Rockenstein, Torrance et al. 2007, Su, Ryder et al. 2004). Multiple lines of studies have demonstrated that chronic Li⁺ administration prevents or reverses neuronal cell death and thus Li⁺ can act as a neuroprotective agent by up-regulating cell survival molecules, including brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), cyclic AMP-responsive element binding protein (CREB), β-catenin, and brain grey matter volume, but by down-regulating pro-apoptotic activities, such as excitotoxicity, Bax, caspase, cytochrome c release (Wada, Yokoo et al. 2005).

Increased expression of neuronal calcium sensor protein-1 (NCS-1) and reduced gamma band activity has been reported in the brain of bipolar disorder patient (Koh, Undie et al. 2003, Özerdem, Güntekin et al. 2011). NCS-1 overexpression is considered responsible for the decrease in gamma-band activity (D’Onofrio, Kezunovic et al. 2015). Li⁺ treatment has been shown to treat mood disturbances seen in bipolar disorder patients by inhibiting the interaction between NCS-1 and inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate receptor protein (Schlecker, Boehmerle et al. 2006a). Stasia D’Onofrio et al. (D’Onofrio, Hyde et al. 2017) have demonstrated that lithium treatment reduces the effects of overexpression of NCS-1 and thereby prevents the decrease of gamma-band activity, eventually restoring
the normal level of gamma oscillation. Lithium-pilocarpine administration has been shown to alter the expression of voltage-gated potassium channel 4.2 (Kv4.2) and potassium channel-interacting protein (KChIP1) and to alter calcium homeostasis in rat hippocampus (Su, Cong et al. 2008). A recent computation study based on competition between Na$^+$ and Li$^+$ in various neurotransmitters and G-protein coupled receptors Na$^+$ binding sites has revealed that neutral protein ligands such as Thr/Ser hydroxyl group preferentially bind Na$^+$, whereas negatively charged ligands such as Asp/Glu carboxylate favor Li$^+$ (Dudev, Mazmanian et al. 2018).

Decades of research have shown that monovalent ion K$^+$ activates enzymes including pyruvate kinase, tryptophanase, tyrosinase, and Na$^+$ activates thrombin, β-galactosidase, and tryptophan synthase (Page, Di Cera 2006, Gohara, Di Cera 2016). The highest affinity for K$^+$-protein interaction reported to be 0.08-0.6 mM range (Gohara, Di Cera 2016). It has been shown that Na$^+$ binds to thrombin with $K_d$ 110 mM and Na$^+$-bound form of thrombin is responsible for the blood procoagulant function of the enzyme (Page, Di Cera 2006). Na$^+$ also can occupy the EF-hand of parvalbumin and attenuates affinity of Ca$^{2+}$ for parvalbumin (Henzl, Larson et al. 2004a). Li$^+$ shares more similarity with Ca$^{2+}$ than Na$^+$ and K$^+$, with charge/ionic radii ratio 1.47, 2.02, 1.03, 0.75 e/Å, respectively, suggesting Li$^+$ has more likelihood to occupy Ca$^{2+}$ binding site than Na$^+$ and K$^+$ (Page, Di Cera 2006).

Downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM), also known as calsenilin, or potassium channel-interacting protein 3 (KChIP3), is a 29 kDa neuronal calcium sensor (NCS) protein, which is expressed in the hippocampus of the brain (Carrion, Link et al. 1999). DREAM is a multifunction protein that has been linked to pain sensing,
memory and learning process, AD, and modulation of Kv4 expression and kinetics (An, Bowlby et al. 2000, Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998, Cheng, Pitcher et al. 2002, Costigan, Woolf 2002, Fontán-Lozano, Romero-Granados et al. 2009, Jo, Jang et al. 2005, Abd Rashid, Hapidin et al. 2017). DREAM contains two domains, with each domain composed of two EF-hands. Nonfunctional EF-1 (residue 90-119) and EF-2 (residue 128-157) together with a short N-terminal α-helix form an N-terminal domain, whereas calcium-binding EF-3 (residue 163-192) and EF-4 (residue 211-240) along with a 13-residue long C-terminal α-helix form a C-terminal domain (Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008). Among four EF-hands of DREAM, EF-1 is non-functional due to the presence of Cys 104 and Pro 105 at the EF-hand loop, which distorts Ca$^{2+}$-binding geometry; EF-2 selectively binds Mg$^{2+}$ because of the presence of Asp at the 12th position of the EF-loop rather than usual glutamate; and the canonical EF-3 and EF-4 preferentially bind Ca$^{2+}$ with a $K_d$ 1–10 µM (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Osawa, Dace et al. 2005, Osawa, Tong et al. 2001). We have recently reported that EF-hands in DREAM are not calcium specific but can also bind lanthanide Tb$^{3+}$ and toxic metal Pb$^{2+}$ with an affinity that is superior to affinity for Ca$^{2+}$ (Azam, Miksovska 2018, Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016). Based on the rationale of Li$^+$ induced alteration of expression of KChIP1 (a protein homologous to DREAM), propensity of Li$^+$ binding through negatively charged amino acid residues, impact of Li$^+$ on hippocampus, and preponderance of negatively charged amino acid residues at EF-hand of DREAM, we postulate that DREAM is a molecular target of Li$^+$. Our hypothesis is supported by our computation data which shows three potential lithium binding sites. In this Communication, using multiple lines of evidence, we first time demonstrate that Li$^+$ bind
at EF-hand of DREAM; Li$^+$ binding leads to secondary and tertiary structural rearrangement of the protein; and Li$^+$ modulates DREAM interaction with Kv4 channel.

5.2 Results and discussion

To test the hypothesis that Li$^+$ binds to DREAM, our first approach was to probe the impact of Li$^+$ on emission spectra of DREAM(Δ64) construct that contains residues 65–256. Emission spectra reveal that Li$^+$ addition to metal-free DREAM(Δ64) causes ~20% decrease in emission intensity, which is identical to a decrease observed for Ca$^{2+}$ association to DREAM(Δ64), suggesting that both Li$^+$ and Ca$^{2+}$ induce analogous
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Figure 5.1. Impact of Li$^+$ binding on tryptophan emission of (A) DREAM(Δ64), (B) DREAM(Δ160), (C) DREAM(E186Q), and (D) DREAM(E234Q). In each case, 20 μM protein samples in 20 mM Tris were excited at 295 nm; concentrations of EDTA, Ca$^{2+}$, and Li$^+$ in each DREAM construct were 2 mM, 2 mM, and 50 μM, respectively; Ca$^{2+}$Li$^+$DREAM constructs were prepared by adding 2 mM Ca$^{2+}$ to DREAM constructs in the presence of 50 μM Li$^+$. 
rearrangement in the protein tertiary structure resulting in the modification of the W169 surrounding (Figure 5.1A) and pointing towards the possibility that Li\(^+\) may bind to the EF-hands. Also, no change in emission spectra was observed upon Li\(^+\) addition to Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(Δ64) and vice versa indicating that Ca\(^{2+}\) and Li\(^+\) may bind to identical binding sites. The addition of Li\(^+\) to Mg\(^{2+}\)DREAM(Δ64) leads to a comparable decrease in emission intensity, suggesting that the occupation of EF-2 by Mg\(^{2+}\) does not impact Li\(^+\) interaction with DREAM. Analogous decrease in the emission spectra was observed upon Li\(^+\) addition to the isolated C-terminal domain (DREAM(Δ160)). In addition, the emission spectrum of DREAM(Δ160) shifts from 351 nm in the absence of Li\(^+\) to 348 nm in the presence of metal (Figure 5.1B) pointing towards the Li\(^+\) binding site being located in the C-terminal domain. The longer wavelength emission maxima observed for DREAM(Δ160) is indicative of a more polar environment of W169 in this construct. To provide further insight into the location of Li\(^+\) binding site, we measured emission spectra of DREAM(E186Q) and DREAM(E234Q) which have glutamate in the 12th position of the

![Figure 5.2](image-url)

**Figure 5.2.** Impact of Li\(^+\) binding to DREAM(65-256) in the presence of 100 mM Na\(^+\) or 100 mM K\(^+\). Condition: 20 µM DREAM(65-256), 2 mM Li\(^+\), excited at 295 nm.
calcium-binding loop in EF-hand 3 or EF-hand 4, respectively, replaced by glutamine (Figure 5.1C,D). Emission spectra unveil that Li$^+$ or Ca$^{2+}$ addition to DREAM(E186) results in a comparable decrease in emission intensity as observed for DREAM($\Delta$64), whereas emission spectra of Li$^+$DREAM(E234Q) and Ca$^{2+}$DREAM(E234Q) are superimposable to the spectrum for apoDREAM. This is consistent with the fact that Li$^+$ or Ca$^{2+}$ association to EF-4 triggers structural rearrangement which leads to a more efficient W169 emission quenching. The identical emission spectra for apoDREAM(E234Q) in the absence or presence of Ca$^{2+}$ or Li$^+$ suggest an absence of

![Figure 5.3](image_url)

Figure 5.3. Impact of Li$^+$ binding on far-UV CD spectra of (A) 20 µM DREAM($\Delta$64), (B) 20 µM DREAM($\Delta$160), (C) 20 µM DREAM(E186Q), and (D) 20 µM DREAM(E234Q) in 20 mM Tris. Concentrations of EDTA, Ca$^{2+}$, and Li$^+$ in each DREAM construct were 2 mM, 2 mM and 50 µM, respectively; Ca$^{2+}$Li$^+$DREAM constructs were obtained adding 2 mM Ca$^{2+}$ to DREAM constructs in the presence of 50 µM Li$^+$. All experiments were conducted at 18°C using a J-810 Jasco CD spectrometer through the 2 mm path of a (2 mm x 10 mm) quartz cuvette.
conformational change affecting the W169 emission due to the metal binding to this EF-hand. To eliminate non-specific interactions between Li$^+$ and DREAM, emission spectra were also recorded in the presence of 100 mM NaCl or 100 mM KCl (Figure 5.2). The addition of Li$^+$ to DREAM in the presence of monovalent salts leads to the analogous emission decrease, eliminating the contribution of the non-specific Li$^+$ binding to DREAM.

To gain insight into the impact of Li$^+$ binding on protein secondary structure, we measured the far-UV CD spectra of DREAM constructs (Figure 5.3 A–D). CD spectra unveil that ellipticity decreases analogously upon Li$^+$ and Ca$^{2+}$ binding to DREAM constructs. The decrease in ellipticity could be attributed to an increase in $\alpha$-helices.
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Figure 5.4. ITC isotherms for Li$^+$ binding to EF-hand of metal-free A) DREAM(Δ64) and B) DREAM(Δ160). 30 x 6 µL of 1 mM Li$^+$ were titrated into 20 µM DREAM. Contribution of buffer was corrected. Thermal power expressed in µcal.s$^{-1}$ is shown in the upper panels of the profiles, whereas integrated reaction heats (ΔH) expressed in kcal.mole$^{-1}$ is shown in the lower panels. The solid lines correspond to the best fitting curve using sequential model with two sites; thermodynamics parameters obtained from the model are listed in Table 1.
rearrangement of α-helices, or both. Similar CD spectra of Li⁺DREAM and Ca²⁺DREAM can be interpreted by the fact that Li⁺ association triggers secondary structural rearrangement analogous to Ca²⁺ association.

Thermodynamic parameters for Li⁺ binding to apoDREAM(Δ64) were characterized in ITC study (Figure 5.4A and Table 5.1). Analysis of binding isotherms for Li⁺ association to apoDREAM(Δ64) using a two side sequential binding model reveals presence of two binding sites with association constants of $9.3 \pm 1.7 \times 10^4$ M⁻¹ and $9 \pm 1 \times 10^3$ M⁻¹ and comparable association constants were measured for Li⁺ binding to apoDREAM(Δ160) (Figure 5.4B and Table 5.1). Li⁺ binding to the higher affinity site is an endothermic reaction with a negligible change in enthalpy whereas association to the lower affinity site displays a positive enthalpy and entropy change. The apparent equilibrium dissociation constant ($K_d$) for Li⁺ association to metal-free DREAM(Δ64) and
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Figure 5.5. A) Emission intensity of 20 µM metal-free DREAM(Δ64) upon Li⁺ addition; DREAM(Δ64) was prepared in 20 mM Tris, excited at 295 nm B) Titration curve for Li⁺ binding to metal-free DREAM(Δ64). ΔF was calculated based on decrease in Trp169 emission intensity at emission maximum. Solid line represents fit of the data using Equation 2.
DREAM(Δ160) is 34 ± 4 μM and 50 ± 4 μM, respectively, indicating one order of magnitude lower affinity of EF-hands for Li⁺ than for its physiological ligand calcium (Equation 1). The analogous Kᵩ value was obtained by observing changes in the Trp emission upon Li⁺ titration into apoDREAM sample (Figure 5.5). The titration curve was analyzed using a single binding site model, (Equation 2) and provided an equilibrium dissociation constant of 31 ± 5 μM in a good agreement with the ITC results. We have also measured binding isotherms for Li⁺ association to DREAM in the presence of 100 μM Ca²⁺. The titration resulted in a negligible signal confirming that Li⁺ does not associate to Ca²⁺-bound DREAM either due to the occupation of binding sites by Ca²⁺ or Li⁺ binding sites do not overlay with binding sites for Ca²⁺, but are inaccessible in Ca²⁺ bound DREAM.
Table 5.1. Thermodynamics parameters recovered for Li$^+$ binding to EF-hand of metal-free DREAM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$K_1 \times 10^4$ (M$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$K_2 \times 10^4$ (M$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_1$ (kcal/mole)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_2$ (kcal/mole)</th>
<th>$T\Delta S_1$ (kcal/mole)</th>
<th>$T\Delta S_2$ (kcal/mole)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DREAM($\Delta$64) + Li$^+$</td>
<td>9.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-8.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>15.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-3.2 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAM($\Delta$160) + Li$^+$</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>14.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ITC experiments were performed three times at 25°C; parameters represent average of three independent measurements, standard deviations are shown as errors.
The 200 ns molecular dynamic (MD) simulation of Li$^+$DREAM complex structure revealed several Li$^+$ binding sites on the protein surface (Figure 5.6). Li$^+$, as a hard atom, preferentially interacts with oxygen atoms. One Li$^+$ binding site on DREAM surface is formed by sidechain residues that coordinate Ca$^{2+}$ in the EF-hand 4, namely Ap 223 and Glu 234. Another binding site is formed by side chains of residues Asp134 and Asp 141 that belongs to the Mg$^{2+}$ binding EF-hand 2. Li$^+$ ions were also found to bind to other negatively charged residues, namely Asp242 and Glu243 at the C- terminus and Glu164 and Asp 134 at the N-terminal domain. However, these interactions are likely to be transient and unlikely to contribute to the observed changes in the DREAM secondary and tertiary structure. Interestingly, Li$^+$ association to the protein leads to the stabilization of the protein structure as evident from the decreased root mean square fluctuation of backbone atoms during the last 50 ns of the simulation with respect to the initial 20 ns. Previous data showed that the lithium cation is coordinated with 4 to 6 oxygen atoms in complex with carboxylic acids (Olsher, Izatt et al. 1991). A survey of the protein data bank reveals 29 protein structures with bound Li$^+$ ion. In the majority of cases, Li$^+$ is coordinated to the protein through two to four oxygen atoms, predominantly from the side chains of aspartic acid residue and from carbonyl oxygen in agreement with the MD data presented here.

As calmodulin (CaM) and parvalbumin were previously shown to bind monovalent cations Na$^+$ and K$^+$ albeit with a millimolar affinity (Henzl, Larson et al. 2004b), we tested if Li$^+$ associates to rat CaM and trigger changes in the protein secondary or tertiary structure. The CD spectrum of rat CaM in the presence of Li$^+$ overlays with that for apoCaM, whereas upon Ca$^{2+}$ addition, the CD signal decreases which are consistent with Ca$^{2+}$ binding to apoCaM suggesting that Li$^+$ does not bind to CaM or its association does
not cause changes in the protein secondary structure (Figure 5.7A). The absence of Trp residue in CaM sequence allows for monitoring CaM structural change associated with metal binding to the N-terminal domain using intrinsic Phe emission and binding of metal to the C-terminal domain using intrinsic Tyr emission (VanScyoc, Sorensen et al. 2002). The emission spectrum of apoCaM using 250 nm excitation shows an emission maximum at 300 nm that corresponds to the emission of Phe residues located in the N-terminus (Figure 5.7B). The emission intensity increases upon the addition of 2 mM Ca$^{2+}$ but no
increase was observed upon the addition of 2 mM Li\(^+\). The 277 nm excitation leads to the emission spectrum with a maximum at 304 nm (Figure 5.7C). Addition of 2 mM Li\(^+\) to apoCaM does not result in changes in the emission spectrum of Tyr residues located in the C-terminal domain whereas addition of 2 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) increases the emission intensity at 304 nm in agreement with Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to the EF-hand 3 and 4 in the C-terminal domain. These results suggest that Li\(^+\) does not bind to EF-hand of CaM or its binding does not cause structural changes that are analogous to those triggered by Ca\(^{2+}\) association. Thus
unlike CaM, the EF-hands in the DREAM are able to bind Li\(^+\) at submillimolar concentration, suggesting that Li\(^+\) binding to EF-hands may be specific for DREAM and possibly other NCS proteins. This is supported by a previous result that demonstrated a decrease in the Trp residue emission upon Li\(^+\) binding to neuronal calcium sensor 1 (NCS-1), although no further characterization of Li\(^+\) interactions with isolated NCS-1 protein (Schlecker, Boehmerle et al. 2006b).

Previous studies from our group have shown that binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) or toxic metals such as Cd\(^{2+}\) or Pb\(^{2+}\) alters DREAM interaction with hydrophobic molecule 1,8-ANS (Azam, Miksovska 2018, Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014). 1,8-ANS has been widely used for assaying hydrophobicity of protein surface as emission intensity of 1,8-ANS increases upon binding to hydrophobic cavities on the protein surface. Utilizing AutoDock software version 4.2, we identified probable 1,8-ANS binding sites on the DREAM surface (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014, Morris, Huey et al. 2009). One binding site was identified between then helix-8 and helix-9 of EF-4, while another site was found between EF-3 and EF-4. To investigate whether Li\(^+\) association impacts DREAM affinity for 1,8-ANS, we measured emission spectra of DREAM–1,8-ANS in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\), Li\(^+\), and in the metal-free form (Figure 5.8). Increase in emission intensity of 1,8-ANS upon binding to apoDREAM confirms 1,8-ANS binding to DREAM(Δ64). Compared with apoDREAM(Δ64)–ANS, higher emission intensity is observed for Li\(^+\)DREAM(Δ64)–ANS, suggesting Li\(^+\) binding exposes the hydrophobic cavity on the DREAM surface, which facilitates 1,8-ANS binding. However, Li\(^+\)DREAM(Δ64)–ANS has a lower emission intensity than Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(Δ64)–ANS, indicating Ca\(^{2+}\) association to DREAM exposes the hydrophobic cavity more than Li\(^+\) association. DREAM(Δ160)–ANS
complexes follow the same trend as DREAM(Δ64)–ANS, providing additional evidence that Li\(^+\) binds to the C-terminal domain and its interaction with protein exposes the hydrophobic cavity of DREAM. To corroborate the finding of emission study, we determined lifetimes of DREAM(Δ64)–ANS complexes utilizing frequency domain fluorometry (Figure 5.9 and Table 5.2). 1,8-ANS bound to metal-free DREAM shows two fluorescent lifetimes (\(\tau_1 = 4\) ns and \(\tau_2 = 16.8\) ns), which is consistent with 1,8-ANS binding to two distinct binding sites on DREAM surface (Table 5.2). Li\(^+\) association with metal-free DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS leads to an increase in longer lifetime (\(\tau_2 = 17.9\) ns) and an increase in the pre-exponential factor \(\alpha_2\) from 21.2% in metal-free DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS to 35.8% in Li\(^+\)DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS, bolstering the findings of emission study that Li\(^+\) binding to DREAM induces structural reorganization in the protein that exposes the hydrophobic cavity. Additionally, Ca\(^{2+}\) association with metal-free DREAM(Δ64):1,8-
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Figure 5.8. Consequences of Li\(^+\) binding on emission spectra of (A) 1,8-ANS:DREAM(Δ64), (B) 1,8-ANS:DREAM(Δ160) complexes. Conditions: 20 µM DREAM, 20 µM 1,8-ANS; excited at 350 nm. Concentrations of EDTA, Ca\(^{2+}\), and Li\(^+\) were same as tryptophan emission.
ANS causes further increase in $\alpha_2$, which is consistent with additional changes in the protein structure.

Figure 5.9. Frequency-domain intensity decay of 40 μM 1,8-ANS:54 μM DREAM(Δ64) complexes in 20 mM Tris and in the presence of 2 mM EDTA (squares), 2 mM Ca$^{2+}$ (circle), 50 μM Li$^+$ (up triangle), and (2 mM Ca$^{2+}$ and 50 μM Li$^+$) Ca$^{2+}$Li$^+$ (down triangle). Phase delay and modulation ratio are respectively shown as solid and empty symbols. Solid lines correspond to the fitting of the data using three-exponential decay model.
Table 5.2. Fluorescence decay parameters for Li\(^+\) and/or Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM(Δ64)–1,8-ANS complexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>(\tau_1) (ns)</th>
<th>(\tau_2) (ns)</th>
<th>(\alpha_0) (%)</th>
<th>(\alpha_1) (%)</th>
<th>(\alpha_2) (%)</th>
<th>(f_1) (%)</th>
<th>(f_2) (%)</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apoDREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>15.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>83.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^{2+})DREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>18.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>89.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li(^+)DREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>89.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^{2+})Li(^+)DREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>18.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>89.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data obtained from Vinci analysis software using a sum of three discrete exponential decays with fixed 1,8-ANS lifetime (\(\tau_0 = 0.28\) ns). \(\tau_0\), \(\tau_1\), and \(\tau_2\) represent lifetimes of the three discrete exponential decays; \(f_0\), \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) are exponential decay fractions; \(\alpha_0\), \(\alpha_1\), and \(\alpha_2\) represent pre-exponential factors. \(\chi^2\) represents the goodness of the fit. Phase and modulation errors were respectively set at \(\leq 0.2^\circ\) and \(\leq 0.004\).
It has been shown previously that Ca\(^{2+}\) modulates DREAM interactions with Kv4 channels and presenilin-1 (An, Bowlby et al. 2000, Jo, Jang et al. 2005, Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014, Pham, Miksovska 2016). Recent studies from our group have shown that Pb\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) also modulate the aforementioned interactions (Azam, Miksovska 2018). To investigate whether Li\(^{+}\) association to the protein also alters DREAM interactions with Kv4 channel, we measured changes in the anisotropy of FITC-labeled peptides that correspond to residues 2–22 and 70–90 of Kv4.3 upon addition 100 \(\mu\)M DREAM(\(\Delta\)64) and 200 \(\mu\)M DREAM(\(\Delta\)64), respectively (Figure 5.10A,B). Anisotropy of Kv4.3(2–22) increases from 0.063 ± 0.008 to 0.099 ± 0.007, 0.229 ± 0.014, and 0.218 ± 0.010 upon addition of metal-free DREAM(\(\Delta\)64), Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(\(\Delta\)64), and Li\(^{+}\)DREAM(\(\Delta\)64), respectively, and anisotropy of Kv4.3(70–90) increases from 0.074 ± 0.005 to 0.116 ± 0.004, 0.193 ± 0.012, and 0.186 ± 0.009 upon addition of metal-free DREAM(\(\Delta\)64), Ca\(^{2+}\)DREAM(\(\Delta\)64), and Li\(^{+}\)DREAM(\(\Delta\)64), respectively. Significantly higher anisotropy of Kv4.3(2–22)–Li\(^{+}\)DREAM(\(\Delta\)64) and Kv4.3(70–90)–Li\(^{+}\)DREAM(\(\Delta\)64) compared with the corresponding apo-form indicates an increased affinity of Li\(^{+}\)DREAM for Kv4.3(2–22) and Kv4.3(70–90). Similar anisotropy values of Kv4.3(2–22)–DREAM(\(\Delta\)64) and Kv4.3(2–22)–DREAM(\(\Delta\)64) in the presence of Li\(^{+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) suggest that Li\(^{+}\) increases affinity between DREAM and Kv4 channel in a manner analogous to Ca\(^{2+}\). We have also probed if Li\(^{+}\) impacts on DREAM interactions with presenilin-1. A FITC-tagged peptide corresponds to helix-9 of presenilin-1(PS1HL9) were titrated with a saturation concentration of DREAM(\(\Delta\)64) (100 \(\mu\)M) (Figure 5.10C). Anisotropy value of PS1HL9 increases from 0.068 ± 0.004 in the absence of protein to 0.095 ± 0.003 in the presence of apoDREAM.
and 0.252 ± 0.009 in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\)-DREAM, and 0.097 ± 0.009 upon binding to Li\(^{+}\)-DREAM(Δ64). Similar anisotropy values of metal-free DREAM(Δ64)–PS1HL9 and Li\(^{+}\)-DREAM(Δ64)–PS1HL9 indicate that Li\(^{+}\) association to DREAM doesn’t enhance DREAM interaction with presenilin-1. Although additional studies are necessary, these results are consistent with the fact that Ca\(^{2+}\) association to DREAM facilitates DREAM interaction with presenilin-1, resulting in an increase in the accumulation of Aβ42, a peptide whose overproduction has been linked to Alzheimer’s disease, whereas Li\(^{+}\) administration has been shown to decrease Aβ42 production (Jo, Jang et al. 2005, Figure 5.10. Impact of Li\(^{+}\) association with DREAM on DREAM interactions with interacting partners. 100 μM, 200 μM, and 100 μM DREAM(Δ64) were respectively added to FITC-labeled A) 0.5 μM Kv4.3-(2–22). B) 0.5 μM Kv4.3-(70–90), and C) 0.5 μM PS1HL9. Samples were excited at 470 nm; emission was recorded through 500 nm long-pass filter. Concentrations of EDTA, Ca\(^{2+}\), and Li\(^{+}\) were same as Trp emission study.
Rockenstein, Torrance et al. 2007, Su, Ryder et al. 2004). These results may provide a first molecular insight into the neuroprotective role of Li⁺.

5.3. Summary

Utilizing a combination of fluorescence and calorimetric techniques, we first time comprehensively demonstrate that Li⁺ binds at EF-hand of DREAM; Li⁺ also modulates DREAM interaction with Kv4 channel. Our findings could open up a new avenue for NMR and X-ray crystallographic studies for obtaining detailed structural information of Li⁺DREAM. Our study also encourages in vivo study in an animal model for investigating the impact of Li⁺ administration on DREAM expression and the impact of Li⁺ treatment on DREAM interactions with interacting partners. Our findings also re-emphasized the potential of Li⁺ for the treatment of manic disorders and neurodegenerative diseases.
6. INVESTIGATION OF FEW OTHER METALS BINDING TO DREAM.

6.1 Background and significance

Mn$^{2+}$ is a transitional metal which is a cofactor of various enzymes. It is essential to support basic physiological function in the cells (Martinez-Finley, Gavin et al. 2013). However, overexposure to Mn$^{2+}$ leads to its accumulation in the brain, which causes neurotoxicity to several brain regions, including the hippocampus, frontal cortex, substantia nigra, and striatum (Robison, Zakharova et al. 2012, Tuschl, Mills et al. 2013). Studies have suggested that elevated Mn$^{2+}$ level has been associated with Alzheimer's disease (Tong, Yang et al. 2014). Studies on non-human primate brain demonstrated that chronic Mn$^{2+}$ exposure leads to aggregation of amyloid-β in the frontal cortex, indicating overexposure to Mn$^{2+}$ might be related to cognitive and memory deficits (Guilarte 2010). But the underlying mechanism of Mn$^{2+}$-induced neurotoxicity has not been resolved yet. Mn$^{2+}$ accumulation could influence the homeostasis of the brain microenvironment and has been implicated in cognitive impairment, developmental disorders and neurodegenerative diseases (Yu, Zhou 2018, Lucchini, Placidi et al. 2017). Mn$^{2+}$ can replace Mg$^{2+}$ from the active center of different enzymes including DNA-polymerase, RNA-polymerase, adenylate and guanylate cyclases (Bähre, Danker et al. 2014, Lakhin, Efremova et al. 2013, Lakhin, Tarantul et al. 2014). Displacement of Mg$^{2+}$ from the active center of enzyme is a probable mechanism of Mn$^{2+}$ intoxication. Besides the alteration of brain microenvironment homeostasis, the promotion of oxidative stress and the generation of reactive oxygen species are considered the possible mechanism of action (Milatovic, Yin et al. 2007, Gunter, Gavin et al. 2006, Archibald, Tyree 1987). It has been shown that Mn$^{2+}$ binds to EF-hands in Ca$^{2+}$ binding protein calmodulin and EF-hand protein calprotectin,
which is a heterodimer of S100A8 and S100A9 (Senguen, Grabarek 2012, Gilston, Skaar et al. 2016). Interestingly, calprotectin has a higher affinity for Mn\(^{2+}\) in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) compared with the corresponding metal-free form (Brophy, Nolan 2015, Damo, Kehl-Fie et al. 2013). Since Mn\(^{2+}\) impacts the hippocampus region of the brain and associates to EF-hand proteins and DREAM is located in the hippocampus and it’s an EF-hand protein, we investigated whether Mn\(^{2+}\) binds to DREAM and alters its tertiary structural rearrangements and impacts interaction with hydrophobic molecule both in the presence and absence of Ca\(^{2+}\).

Chronic arsenic exposure from groundwater is considered one of the worst environmental disorders in the world. More than 100 million people in the world, especially South Asian countries Bangladesh and India, are at risk of cancers and other arsenic-related diseases (Jain, Ali 2000, von Brömssen, Jakariya et al. 2007, Argos, Kalra et al. 2010). The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) have classified arsenic as a human carcinogen. Chronic arsenic exposure also has been associated with noncancerous effects (Chen, Wang et al. 2007, Chen, Graziano et al. 2011). It is widely considered that inorganic arsenate, a molecular analog of phosphate, can replace phosphate in some biochemical reactions (Hughes 2002). For instance, the substitution of phosphate with arsenate could inhibit the generation of adenosine 5’-triphosphate (ATP) during oxidative phosphorylation (Hughes 2002). Trivalent arsenic species have been shown to associate with protein due to high affinity for sulfhydryl groups (Summers 2009, Kitchin, Wallace 2005, Zhao, Chen et al. 2012). Arsenic binding to a particular protein could alter its conformation and interaction with interacting partners which could be a possible mechanism of arsenic toxicity. Arsenic binding to
protein has been associated with inactivation of about 200 enzymes, including glutathione S-transferase, glutathione peroxidase, glutathione reductase, thioredoxin reductase, thioredoxin peroxidase, pyruvate kinase galectin-1 (Ratnaike 2003, Webb 1966, Müller, Walter et al. 1995, Chouchane, Snow 2001, Lu, Chew et al. 2007, Chang, Lee et al. 2003, Lin, Huang et al. 2006). Trivalent arsenic species also have been demonstrated to inhibit the activities of various DNA repair proteins such as formamidopyrimidine-DNA glycosylase (Fpg) and xeroderma pigmentosum group A protein (XPA). Each of these proteins comprises a zinc finger DNA binding domain (Walter, Schwerdtle et al. 2007, Hartwig, Blessing et al. 2003). The association of arsenic compounds with these repair proteins resulted in the release of zinc. On the other hand, arsenic compounds have been used as drugs for more than 2400 years (Doyle 2009, Waxman, Anderson 2001). Particularly, As$_2$O$_3$ has been used for the treatment of patients suffering from acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL), probably because of arsenic association with cysteine residues in zinc fingers of the aberrant promyelocytic leukemia-retinoic acid receptor (PML-RAR) fusion protein expressed by these patients (Zhang, Yan et al. 2010, Jeanne, Lallemand-Breitenbach et al. 2010). Since our study demonstrated toxic metals such as lead and cadmium bind to DREAM (Azam, Miksovska 2018, Azam, St Luis et al. 2019), in this case, we investigated whether As$^{5+}$ binds to DREAM and triggers tertiary structural rearrangements.

Mercury is a very toxic metal, which naturally presents in the environment (Clarkson 2002). It exists in three different forms, namely, elemental mercury (0), inorganic mercury (I, II), and organic mercury. Among them, organic mercury is considered super-toxic (Park, Zheng 2012, Carocci, Rovito et al. 2014). After exposure, mercury is translocated to the bloodstream and important organs, ultimately causing kidney,
cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, immune, and nervous system malfunction (Clarkson 2002). The toxic effect exerted by numerous mercury compounds could be best explained by binding of Hg\(^{2+}\) to cysteine-thiol and seleno-cysteine residues of critical enzymes (Jan, Ali et al. 2011, Branco, Canário et al. 2012, Carvalho, Chew et al. 2008, Clarkson, Magos 2006). Mercury binding causes dramatic changes to the SH group as mercury is much larger than the H that it displaces. If the SH is essential for enzyme activity, binding of Hg\(^{2+}\) causes inhibition of that activity. For instance, binding of mercury causes inhibition of protein kinase C activity (Saijoh, Inoue et al. 1988). Binding of mercury to the SH group of protein can also lead to unfolding, precipitation, and aggregation of the protein (Konyaeva, Myshkin 1998a, Khromova, Myshkin 2000). In addition to binding to cysteine residues, Hg\(^{2+}\) has been shown to bind to non-cysteine residues of some proteins, including hemoglobin and chymotrypsin. Binding of Hg\(^{2+}\) to non-cysteine residues protects hemoglobin against aggregation (Konyaeva, Myshkin 1998c, Konyaeva, Myshkin 1998b, Stratton, Ericksen et al. 2017, Myshkin, Khromova 2000). In the absence of inaccessible cysteine residues, Hg\(^{2+}\) binds to histidine residues of digestive enzyme chymotrypsin, which causes denaturation of the enzyme (Stratton, Ericksen et al. 2017). Considering the similar ionic radii of Pb\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), and Hg\(^{2+}\) and the previously reported binding of Hg\(^{2+}\) to calcium binding sites on CaM (Vig, Nath et al. 1989), we set forth to determine whether heavy metal Hg\(^{2+}\) binds to DREAM and induces tertiary structural rearrangements both in the presence and absence of Ca\(^{2+}\).

Alkaline earth metals Sr\(^{2+}\) and Ba\(^{2+}\) have been shown to bind to EF-hand protein CaM and calcium-binding protein-1 from *Entamoeba histolytica* (EhCaBP1) (Kursula, Majava 2007, Kumar, Ahmad et al. 2012). Among different EF-hands of DREAM, Mg\(^{2+}\)
binds to EF-2 selectively, whereas EF-3 and EF-4 bind Ca\(^{2+}\) preferentially. Since Sr\(^{2+}\) and Ba\(^{2+}\) have similar ionic radii (1.21 and 1.38 Å, respectively) as Ca\(^{2+}\) (1.06 Å) (Gu, Cooper 2000), we set out to determine whether Sr\(^{2+}\) and Ba\(^{2+}\) bind to EF-hand of DREAM and trigger tertiary structural rearrangement of the protein.

6.2 Results and discussion

Tryptophan emission is a widely-used tool to probe structural rearrangement of the proteins. Our previous studies have shown that DREAM undergoes structural rearrangement upon binding of Ca\(^{2+}\), Pb\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), and Tb\(^{3+}\) in the EF-hand of the protein and emission intensity of tryptophan decreases upon binding of above metals (Azam, Miksovska 2018, Azam, St Luis et al. 2019, Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016, Pham, Dhulipala et al. 2015). We have also demonstrated that hydrophobic cavities of DREAM become more accessible to hydrophobic molecules 1,8-ANS in the presence of above metals compared with metal-free form. Consequently, the emission intensity of DREAM:1,8-ANS is higher in the presence of above metals compared with corresponding metal-free form (Azam, Miksovska 2018, Azam, St Luis et al. 2019, Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016, Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014). To investigate whether As\(^{5+}\), Hg\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\), Ba\(^{2+}\), or Sr\(^{2+}\) binds to DREAM, we added 1 mM of barium chloride, strontium chloride, arsenic pentoxide, mercuric oxide and manganese chloride into DREAM(Δ64) protein and DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS complex both in the presence and absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Figure 6.1–6.5A–D). Our results show that addition of As\(^{5+}\), Hg\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\), Ba\(^{2+}\), or Sr\(^{2+}\) doesn’t alter emission intensity of DREAM(Δ64) or emission intensity of DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS, suggesting either As\(^{5+}\), Hg\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\), Ba\(^{2+}\), or Sr\(^{2+}\) does not bind to DREAM(Δ64) or binding of As\(^{5+}\), Hg\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\), Ba\(^{2+}\), or Sr\(^{2+}\) does not trigger structural rearrangements of DREAM.
Figure 6.1. Impact of 1 mM Mn$^{2+}$ addition on Trp emission of metal-free and Ca$^{2+}$-bound DREAM($\Delta$64) (A,B) and emission of metal free and Ca$^{2+}$-bound DREAM($\Delta$64):1,8-ANS (C,D).
Figure 6.2. Impact of 1 mM As$^{5+}$ addition on Trp emission of metal-free and Ca$^{2+}$-bound DREAM($\Delta$64) (A,B) and emission of metal free and Ca$^{2+}$-bound DREAM($\Delta$64):1,8-ANS (C,D).
Figure 6.3. Impact of 1 mM Hg$^{2+}$ addition on Trp emission of metal-free and Ca$^{2+}$-bound DREAM(Δ64) (A,B) and emission of metal free and Ca$^{2+}$-bound DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS (C,D).
Figure 6.4. Impact of 1 mM Ba\(^{2+}\) addition on Trp emission of metal-free and Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM(Δ64) (A,B) and emission of metal free and Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS (C,D).
Figure 6.5. Impact of 1 mM Sr\textsuperscript{2+} addition on Trp emission of metal-free and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-bound DREAM(Δ64) (A,B) and emission of metal free and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-bound DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS (C,D).
6.3. Summary

Encouraged by the fact that toxic metals Cd$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ bind to DREAM, we investigated whether toxic metals Mn$^{2+}$, As$^{5+}$, and Hg$^{2+}$ bind to DREAM. Additionally, we investigated whether alkaline earth metals Ba$^{2+}$ and Sr$^{2+}$ bind to DREAM. On the basis of the fact that addition of As$^{5+}$, Hg$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$, or Sr$^{2+}$ did not change the emission intensity of DREAM(Δ64) or DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS complexes, we conclude that either As$^{5+}$, Hg$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$, or Sr$^{2+}$ does not bind to DREAM or addition of As$^{5+}$, Hg$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$, or Sr$^{2+}$ does not trigger structural rearrangements of DREAM.
7. ROLE OF LYS RESIDUE AT POSITION 87 OF DREAM IN ALLOSTERIC REGULATION OF DREAM INTERACTIONS WITH INTERACTING PARTNERS.

7.1 Background and significance

Downstream regulatory element antagonist modular (DREAM), alternative known as KChIP3/calsenilin, is a 29kDa NCS protein which is expressed in the brain and heart and regulate a wide spectrum of biological processes (An, Bowlby et al. 2000, Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998, Carrion, Link et al. 1999). DREAM is the only Ca$^{2+}$ binding protein that can directly interact with DNA sequence (DRE) of genes (prodynorphin and c-fos genes) and represses transcription of these genes (Carrion, Link et al. 1999). In the absence of Ca$^{2+}$, DREAM interacts with DRE of human prodynorphin and c-fos genes and inhibit transcription of these genes, but Ca$^{2+}$ association induces conformation change in the protein that prevents binding of DRE and consequently reactivates the transcription (Carrion, Link et al. 1999, Cheng, Pitcher et al. 2002). Prodynorphin and c-fos genes have been linked to pain modulation, cell homeostasis, and apoptosis. Repression of the transcription of DRE by DREAM highlights its role in the regulation of the above processes (Costigan, Woolf 2002, Fontán-Lozano, Romero-Granados et al. 2009).

In the cytoplasm, DREAM interacts with carboxy-terminal fragment (CTF) of presenilin-1 (PS1) and presenilin-2 and stimulates the activity of $\gamma$- secretase complex in a Ca$^{2+}$-dependent manner, which facilitates production of amyloid-beta plaques of Alzheimer’s disease (Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998, Jo, Jang et al. 2005). DREAM also associates with the T1 domain of voltage-gated potassium channels and plays a
crucial role in the regulation of fast \( I_{TO} \) and \( I_{SA} \) current in the heart and brain, respectively. The above interaction also promotes the translocation of the channel to the membrane and modulates the gating properties of the channel (An, Bowlby et al. 2000). It has been shown recently that DREAM protein might be involved in the mechanism of nicotine treatment-prevented learning and memory impairment in REM sleep-deprived rats by modulating its expression level in the hippocampus (Abd Rashid, Hapidin et al. 2017).

DREAM protein contains 256 amino acid residues. 3-D structure of the full-length DREAM is not known yet because its poor solubility prevented structural determination of the first 77 residues by NMR-studies (Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008). After the deletion of the first 64 residues, the solution structure of \( \text{Ca}^{2+}\text{DREAM} \) (residue 65-256) was resolved (Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008). That’s why in our study we used DREAM protein that possesses residues 65-256. DREAM protein contains four EF-hands. Each EF-hand has a distinct metal binding property. EF-1 doesn’t bind any metal because it has sterically hindered proline residues in the EF-hand loop. EF-2 binds \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) preferentially as it has aspartate residue in the 12th position of the EF-hand loop. EF-3 and EF-4 bind \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) selectively because glutamate residue is present in the 12th position of the EF-hand loop (Osawa, Dace et al. 2005, Osawa, Tong et al. 2001). Recently, we have shown that EF-hands of DREAM are also capable of binding heavy metals \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Cd}^{2+} \) (Azam, Miksovska 2018, Azam, St Luis et al. 2019).

Our previous studies demonstrated that DREAM undergoes tertiary structural rearrangement upon \( \text{Ca}^{2+}, \text{Tb}^{3+}, \text{Pb}^{2+}, \) or \( \text{Cd}^{2+} \) binding. We also have shown hydrophobic cavities of DREAM that binds hydrophobic molecule 1,8-ANS becomes more accessible.
to 1,8-ANS in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, Tb$^{3+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, or Cd$^{2+}$ association, compared with metal-free form (Azam, Miksovska 2018, Azam, St Luis et al. 2019, Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016, Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014). Additionally, we demonstrated that helix-9 of presenilin-1 is involved in DREAM–presenilin-1 interaction and site-1 (residues 2–22) and site-2 (residues 70–90) peptides of T-1 domain of Kv4.3 channel are involved in DREAM–Kv4.3 channel interaction (Pioletti, Findeisen et al. 2006, Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014, Pham, Miksovska 2016, Wang, Yan et al. 2007a). We have used accelerated molecular dynamics to get insight about the mechanism of allosteric regulation of DREAM.

![Figure 7.1](image)

**Figure 7.1.** Left: aMD structure of Ca$^{2+}$DREAM. EF-hands are shown in different colors: EF-hand 1, yellow; EF-hand 2, blue; EF-hand 3, green; EF-hand 4, red; rest part of the protein is shown in cyan; two Ca$^{2+}$ are shown as green spheres; Trp169, Glu165, and Lys87 are show as sticks. Right: Distance between Lys87 and Glu165 side chains determined from 100 ns accelerated molecular simulation of DREAM(Δ64).

interactions with intracellular partners. Unlike the NMR structure of DREAM, aMD data indicate the presence of a salt bridge between Lys87 and Asp 165 that couples the loop between helix-1 and helix-2 in the N-terminal domain with the EF-3 in the C-terminal domain (Figure 7.1). We hypothesize that the salt bridge involved in the propagation of
calcium-triggered structural changes between the C-terminal and N-terminal domain. To determine the contribution of Lys87 to the interdomain communication Lys87 was mutated to Ala and the impact of the mutation on DREAM secondary and tertiary structures and DREAM interactions with interacting partners were investigated.

7.2 Results and discussion

7.2.1 Impact of Lys87 to Ala mutation on DREAM secondary and tertiary structures.

It has been well established from our previous study that emission maximum of wild-type DREAM (Δ64) in metal-free form is 335 nm and emission maximum shifts to 329 nm upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding (Azam, Miksovska 2018, Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016, Osawa, Tong et al. 2001). Emission maximum of Trp169 in DREAM(K87A) is 5 nm red-shifted compared with corresponding wild-type protein, suggesting Trp169 is more solvent exposed in DREAM(K87A) (Figure 7.2A). The increase in solvent accessibility can be explained by the fact that the absence of salt bridge destabilizes the protein structure. Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM(K87A) leads to decrease in ellipticity which is similar to Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to corresponding WTDREAM(Δ64) (Figure 7.2B). Analyzing of the far-UV CD data with secondary structure prediction tool K2D3 reveals that DREAM(K87A) contains 53%,
54%, 63%, and 65% α-helical character in apo, Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), and Ca\(^{2+}\)Mg\(^{2+}\)-bound form, respectively. Interestingly, both metal-free and metal-bound form of DREAM(K87A) show about 10% less α-helical character than corresponding WTDREAM(Δ64), suggesting that the disruption of the salt bridge makes the protein more flexible. Analogously, emission spectra of 1,8-ANS in the presence of DREAM(K87A) is 10 nm red-shifted compared with corresponding wild-type protein, indicating the hydrophobic cavity that binds 1,8-ANS is more solvent exposed in DREAM(K87A) (Figure 7.3). However, the decrease in emission intensity of Trp and increase in emission intensity of 1,8-ANS upon calcium addition to metal-free DREAM(K87A) are analogous to calcium addition to metal-free wild-type protein, suggesting that the mutation of Lys to Ala does not prevent Trp transition and 1,8-ANS binding to DREAM. Alteration of tertiary structure of the protein is further confirmed by the lifetime data. Analogous to WTDREAM(Δ64), W169 residue of DREAM(K87A) exhibits three different lifetimes (Figure 7.4, Table 7.1), which could be due to the presence of multiple rotamers of the indole side chain as well as multiple conformational states.
Similar to WTDREAM(Δ64), upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding the average lifetime of W169 in DREAM(K87A) decreases by 0.3 ns, compared with corresponding apo-form, which supports emission data. Analogous to WTDREAM(Δ64), 1,8-ANS binds to two different binding sites on DREAM(K87A) surface as evident from two distinct lifetimes of 1,8-ANS in 1,8-ANS:DREAM(K87A) complexes (Figure 7.5, Table 7.2). While the mutation did not change the individual lifetimes of 1,8-ANS significantly, it decreased the affinity for 1,8-ANS, as evident by smaller α1 and α2 values compared with wild-type protein, likely due to the lower accessibility of the 1,8-ANS binding sites.

Figure 7.4. Frequency-domain intensity decay of 20 μM DREAM(K87A) in apo (square), Mg\(^{2+}\) (circle), Ca\(^{2+}\) (upper triangle), and Ca\(^{2+}\)Mg\(^{2+}\) (lower triangle) bound form. Solid symbols correspond to phase delay; empty symbols correspond to modulation ratio. Solid lines represent data fitting using a sum of three exponential decays model.
Figure 7.5. Frequency-domain intensity decay of DREAM(K87A):1,8-ANS in apo (square), Mg$^{2+}$ (circle), Ca$^{2+}$ (upper triangle), and Ca$^{2+}$Mg$^{2+}$ (lower triangle) bound form. Solid symbols correspond to phase delay; empty symbols correspond to modulation ratio. Solid lines represent data fitting using a sum of three exponential decays model.
Table 7.1: Fluorescence decay parameters of DREAM(K87A) in the presence and absence of metals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\tau_3$ (ns)</th>
<th>$f_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$f_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$f_3$ (%)</th>
<th>$\alpha_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$\alpha_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$\alpha_3$ (%)</th>
<th>$&lt;\tau&gt;$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apo</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>52.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>38.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>51.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>40.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>49.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>38.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>49.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>39.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recovered from Vinci analysis software using a sum of three discrete exponential decays. Phase and modulation errors were set at ≤ 0.2° and ≤0.004, respectively. $\tau_1$, $\tau_2$, and $\tau_3$ are lifetimes of the three discrete exponential decays; $f_1$, $f_2$ and $f_3$ represent exponential decay fractions; $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$, and $\alpha_3$ are pre-exponential factors.
Table 7.2: Fluorescence decay parameters of DREAM(K87A):1,8-ANS complexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>τ₁ (ns)</th>
<th>τ₂ (ns)</th>
<th>f₀ (%)</th>
<th>f₁ (%)</th>
<th>f₂ (%)</th>
<th>α₀ (%)</th>
<th>α₁ (%)</th>
<th>α₂ (%)</th>
<th>χ²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apo</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>33.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>33.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>58.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>21.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>74.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺Mg²⁺</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>21.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>74.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recovered from Vinci analysis software using a sum of three discrete exponential decays with 1,8-ANS lifetime fixed to 0.28 ns. Phase and modulation errors were set at ≤ 0.2° and ≤0.004, respectively. τ₀, τ₁, and τ₂ are lifetimes of the three discrete exponential decays; f₀, f₁, and f₂ represent exponential decay fractions; α₀, α₁, and α₂ are pre-exponential factors.
7.2.2 Consequence of Lys87 to Ala mutation on DREAM interactions with intracellular partners.

Previous studies have shown that KChIP1, a protein with high sequence homology with DREAM, interacts with the T-1 domain of Kv4 channel through two contact interfaces and this interaction has been demonstrated to result in increased surface expression, alteration of gating properties, and subunit assembly facilitation of Kv4 channels (Bourdeau, Laplante et al. 2011, Pioletti, Findeisen et al. 2006, Wang, Yan et al. 2007b, Bahring, Dannenberg et al. 2001, Scannevin, Wang et al. 2004). Site 1(residues 1-20) of T1 domain of Kv4 channel interacts with N- and C- terminal hydrophobic cavity of KChIP1. Site-2 (residue 70-78) of T1 domain of Kv4 channel interacts with KChIP1 through both stacking and salt bridge interaction (Pioletti, Findeisen et al. 2006, Wang, Yan

Figure 7.6. Anisotropy change associated with Kv4.3 site 1 (residues 2-22) (A), Kv4.3 site 2 (residues 70-90) (B) and presenilin 1 helix 9 (PS1HL9) (C) binding to DREAM(K87A) in the presence and absence of Ca$^{2+}$. 
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et al. 2007a). Our previous result demonstrated that DREAM interacts with site 1 (residues 2-22) and site 2 (residues 70-90) of the T-1 domain of Kv channel. To investigate whether Lys to Ala mutation at position 87 alters DREAM affinity for site 1 and site 2 of T-1 domain of Kv channel, we titrated DREAM(K87A) into FITC-tagged 0.5 μM site-1 and site-2 peptide (Azam, Miksovksa 2018, Azam, St Luis et al. 2019, Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014) (Figure 7.6A,B). Anisotropy value did not change upon the addition of saturation concentration of metal-free and Ca^{2+}-bound form of DREAM(K87A) to site 1 and site 2 peptides. As a control experiment, we added the corresponding metal-free and Ca^{2+}-bound form of WTDREAM(Δ64) to site 1 and site 2 peptides. In this case, anisotropy value increased significantly, especially in the presence of Ca^{2+}, which is in agreement with our previous study. Altogether, the above results suggest that disrupting interdomain communication between N- and C-terminal of DREAM by mutating Lys87 to Ala inhibits DREAM interactions with site 1 and site 2 of T1 domain of Kv channel. This result is consistent with the notion of 1,8-ANS lifetime data where DREAM shows a weaker affinity for hydrophobic molecule 1,8-ANS. We postulate that disrupting interdomain communication disrupts the hydrophobic cavities that are involved in the binding of site 1 and site 2.

Previous studies have shown that DREAM interacts with the carboxy-terminal fragment of presenilin-1 and stimulates the activity of γ-secretase complex which in turn facilitates production of Aβ42, a peptide associated with Alzheimer’s disease (Jo, Jang et al. 2005). Previous results of our group have shown that DREAM interacts with presenilin-1 of helix-9 (PS1HL9) in a calcium-dependent manner; DREAM interacts with PS1HL9 through cation–π interaction, salt bridge, and hydrophobic interaction. More
specifically, D450 and D458 of PS1HL9 form a salt bridge with R207 of DREAM; R200 of DREAM forms a cation–π interaction with F465 of PS1HL9; and F462 and F465 of PS1HL9 forms hydrophobic interaction with F252 of DREAM (Pham, Miksovska 2016). To investigate whether Lys to Ala mutation at position 87 influences the interaction between DREAM and PS1HL9, we titrated DREAM(K87A), in metal-free form and in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\), into 0.5 \(\mu\)M PS1HL9. Interestingly, our results show that the anisotropy value of PS1HL9 does not increase at all upon the addition of saturation concentration of DREAM(K87A), both in apo and Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound form. On the other hand, under identical conditions, the anisotropy value of PS1HL9 increases significantly upon the addition of corresponding WTDREAM(Δ64), indicating mutation of Lys to Ala at position 87 inhibits DREAM interactions with PS1HL9. We hypothesize that disrupting interdomain communication allosterically inhibits hydrophobic interaction between DREAM and PS1HL9 as supported by 1,8-ANS lifetime data where DREAM shows a weaker affinity for hydrophobic molecule 1,8-ANS.

7.3 Summary

In this study, we have demonstrated that disrupting interdomain communication between N- and C- terminal of DREAM by mutating Lys87 to Ala leads to solvent exposure of Trp169 and DREAM-bound 1,8-ANS molecule as supported by emission data; less accessibility of hydrophobic cavity of DREAM for 1,8-ANS association as evident from lifetime data; and inhibition of DREAM interactions with site 1 and site 2 peptide of T-1 domain of Kv channel and helix 9 of presenilin-1 based on anisotropy measurements.
8. IMPACT OF EF-3 OR EF-4 INACTIVATION OF DREAM ON ITS SECONDARY AND TERTIARY STRUCTURES AND INTERACTION WITH T-1 DOMAIN OF KV CHANNEL.

8.1 Background and significance

Downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM) is a 29kDa protein that is expressed in the hippocampus of the brain (Carrion, Link et al. 1999). Interestingly, this protein has three different names. It was discovered by three independent research groups and each group named it differently. On the basis of the fact that this protein interacts with presenilin and regulates the activity of γ-secretase complex, one research group named it calsenilin (Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998). Later, another group named it as downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM) as this protein interacts with downstream regulatory element (DRE) and directly modulate transcription of downstream genes (Carrion, Link et al. 1999). Finally, this protein was renamed as KChIPs as it has high sequence similarity with KChIP-1 and KChIP-2 (An, Bowlby et al. 2000). DREAM is a member of EF-hand superfamily; it also belongs to the neuronal calcium sensor family. DREAM is a multifunctional protein that regulates numerous biological processes, including gene apoptosis, pain sensation, and memory and learning processes (An, Bowlby et al. 2000, Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998, Carrion, Link et al. 1999).

DREAM has been associated with Alzheimer’s disease. It interacts with presenilin-1 (PS1) and presenilin-2 and stimulates the activity of γ-secretase complex in a calcium-dependent manner and facilitates the generation of amyloid beta plaques in
Alzheimer’s disease (Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998, Jo, Jang et al. 2005). DREAM also associate with the T1 domain of potassium channels and play a predominant role in the modulation of fast $I_{TO}$ and $I_{SA}$ current in the heart and brain, respectively. DREAM binding to the T1 domain of potassium channels also promotes translocation of the channel to the membrane and alters the gating properties of the channel (An, Bowlby et al. 2000). Ca$^{2+}$-bound DREAM interacts with CaM and DREAM:CaM complex formation inhibits DREAM interaction DRE sites and facilitates activation of calcineurin (Gonzalez, Arango et al. 2015, Ramachandran, Craig et al. 2012).

DREAM protein comprises 256 amino acid residues. It also contains four EF-hands. The four EF-hands of DREAM differ in terms of metal binding property. EF-hand 1 doesn't bind any metal as the presence of sterically hindered amino acid residue proline in the EF-hand loop (CPXG sequence) destroys metal-binding geometry. EF-2 binds Mg$^{2+}$ selectively because of the presence of Asp at the 12$^{\text{th}}$ position of the EF-hand loop instead of the usual glutamate. It has been demonstrated that Mg$^{2+}$ binding to EF-2 stabilizes the tertiary structure of DREAM which facilitates DNA binding. EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 bind Ca$^{2+}$ preferentially with $K_d$ of 1–10 $\mu$M as glutamate is present at the 12$^{\text{th}}$ position of the EF-hand loop (Gifford, Walsh et al. 2007, Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008, Osawa, Dace et al. 2005, Osawa, Tong et al. 2001). Our recent studies have shown that heavy metals Pb$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ and lanthanide Tb$^{3+}$ bind to EF-hand of DREAM with affinity higher than that of Ca$^{2+}$ (Azam, Mikovska 2018, Azam, St Luis et al. 2019, Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016) In the absence of any metal, DREAM exists as a tetramer, but Ca$^{2+}$ binding triggers conformation changes in the protein that dissociates the tetramer into two dimers.
if the concentration of protein is below 150 µM. When the concentration of protein is above 200 µM, DREAM is tetramer in both metal-free forms and Ca^{2+} bound form (Osawa, Tong et al. 2001).

It is well established that Ca^{2+} binding causes secondary and tertiary structural rearrangements of the protein and subsequently modulates DREAM interaction with binding partners (Osawa, Dace et al. 2005, Osawa, Tong et al. 2001, Pham, Dhulipala et al. 2015). Our previous studies have also demonstrated that DREAM interacts with hydrophobic molecule 1,8-ANS through hydrophobic cavities of its surface and hydrophobic cavities that bind 1,8-ANS becomes more accessible to 1,8-ANS in the presence of Ca^{2+} as evidenced by the fact that emission intensity of DREAM:1,8-ANS is higher in presence of Ca^{2+} compared with corresponding metal-free form (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014). But the underlying mechanism of Ca^{2+}-triggered structural rearrangements of DREAM and modulation of interactions with binding partners is not resolved yet. In this study, we mutated glutamate residue at 12th position of EF-3 (DREAM(E186Q)) or EF-4 (DREAM(E234Q)) to glutamine for making these EF-hands incapable of binding Ca^{2+} and investigated whether mutations impact Ca^{2+}-triggered secondary and tertiary structural rearrangements of the protein and whether mutations impact Ca^{2+}-induced modulation of DREAM interaction with hydrophobic molecule 1,8-ANS and T-1 domain of Kv channel.
8.2 Results and discussion

8.2.1 Consequence of EF-3 or EF-4 inactivation of DREAM on Its Secondary and Tertiary Structure

The previous study demonstrated that Ca$^{2+}$ binding triggers tertiary structural rearrangements of DREAM and emission intensity decreases upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding to DREAM($\Delta$64) and C-terminal of the DREAM, DREAM($\Delta$160)(Gonzalez, Ramos et al. 2016, Pham, Dhulipala et al. 2015). It is also well established from the previous study that Ca$^{2+}$ binds to EF-3 and EF-4 at the C-terminal of the protein. As a control experiment, we measured emission spectra of DREAM($\Delta$64) and DREAM($\Delta$160) both in the presence and absence of Ca$^{2+}$ (Figure 8.1A,B). Emission intensity decrease upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding to both DREAM($\Delta$64) and DREAM($\Delta$160), which is in agreement with previous studies. Then we measured emission spectra of the DREAM(E186Q), a DREAM construct that is not
expected to bind Ca$^{2+}$ and other metals at EF-3 due to glutamate to glutamine mutation at position 186, and DREAM(E234Q), a DREAM construct that is not anticipated to bind Ca$^{2+}$ at EF-4 due to glutamate to glutamine mutation at position 234 (Figure 8.1C,D). The emission intensity decreases upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding to DREAM(E186Q), a DREAM construct with EF-3 inactive, but EF-4 active, suggesting Ca$^{2+}$ binding to EF-4 could trigger structural rearrangement that causes the decrease in emission intensity. Interestingly, emission spectrum of DREAM(E234Q), DREAM construct with EF-4 inactive, but EF-3 inactive, is the same in the presence and absence of Ca$^{2+}$, indicating binding of Ca$^{2+}$ at EF-4 might not cause Ca$^{2+}$-triggered tertiary structural rearrangement. The emission study is supported by Trp lifetime study (Figure 8.2A,B and Table 8.1). The lifetime of Trp 169 is sensitive to structural rearrangement. The average lifetime of Trp169 in DREAM($\Delta$64) decreases from 3.8 ns to 3.1 ns upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding. Like DREAM($\Delta$64), the average lifetime of Trp169 in DREAM(E186Q) decreases from 4.2 ns to 3.6 ns upon Ca$^{2+}$ addition, but the average lifetime of Trp169 in DREAM(E234Q) remains same (4.3 ns) upon Ca$^{2+}$ addition, which bolster finding of Trp emission study. To get insight about Ca$^{2+}$ triggered secondary
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Figure 8.2. Frequency-domain intensity decay of 20 µM DREAM(E186Q) (A) and DREAM(E234Q) (B) in apo (square) and Ca$^{2+}$ (circle) bound form. Solid symbols represent phase delay; empty symbols represent modulation ratio. Solid lines represent fitting of the data using a sum of three exponential decays model.
structural rearrangement we measured far-UV CD spectra of all four DREAM constructs (Figure 8.3A-D). We calculated percentage of $\alpha$-helix using online program K2D3 and results show that Ca$^{2+}$ binding causes $\sim$ 6 increase in $\alpha$-helical content in DREAM(Δ64) and DREAM(E186Q) and $\sim$ 10% increase in $\alpha$-helical content of DREAM(Δ160) but $\alpha$-helical content of DREAM(E234Q) remains same upon Ca$^{2+}$ addition. Above far-UV CD data is in agreement with the notion of Trp emission and Trp lifetime data.

Figure 8.3. Far-UV CD spectra of DREAM(Δ64) (A), DREAM(Δ160) (B), DREAM(E186Q) (C), and DREAM(E234Q) (D) in the absence and presence of 1mM Ca$^{2+}$. 
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\tau_3$ (ns)</th>
<th>$f_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$f_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$f_3$ (%)</th>
<th>$\alpha_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$\alpha_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$\alpha_3$ (%)</th>
<th>$\langle \tau \rangle$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ApoDREAM ($\Delta64$)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>17.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>45.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td>37.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>75.1 ± 3.8</td>
<td>19.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$DREAM ($\Delta64$)</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>22.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>37.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>40.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>84.5 ± 12.1</td>
<td>12.1 ± 3.3</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoDREAM (E186Q)</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>7.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>44.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td>49.1 ± 3.0</td>
<td>34.2 ± 3.4</td>
<td>46.1 ± 19.6</td>
<td>16.4 ± 4.2</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$DREAM (E186Q)</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>10.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>49.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td>39.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td>45.3 ± 4.5</td>
<td>41.9 ± 12.8</td>
<td>12.8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoDREAM (E234Q)</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>46.6 ± 3.0</td>
<td>44.7 ± 3.0</td>
<td>56.2 ± 3.2</td>
<td>32.2 ± 11.5</td>
<td>16.5 ± 4.3</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$DREAM (E234Q)</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>48.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td>42.9 ± 3.0</td>
<td>56.5 ± 3.0</td>
<td>32.6 ± 10.8</td>
<td>10.8 ± 3.0</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recovered from Vinci analysis software using a sum of three discrete exponential decays. Phase and modulation errors were set at $\leq 0.2^\circ$ and $\leq 0.004$, respectively. $\tau_1$, $\tau_2$, and $\tau_3$ are lifetimes of the three discrete exponential decays; $f_1$, $f_2$, and $f_3$ represent exponential decay fractions; $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$, and $\alpha_3$ are pre-exponential factors.
8.2.2 Effects of EF-3 or EF-4 inactivation of DREAM on Its interaction with hydrophobic molecule 1,8-ANS.

Hydrophobic molecule 1,8-ANS has been widely used for probing hydrophobicity of protein surface as 1,8-ANS is almost non-fluorescence in solution but fluorescence intensity increases by many-folds upon association with hydrophobic patches of protein surface (Cardamone, Puri 1992). Our previous study has demonstrated that DREAM is capable of binding 1,8-ANS in metal-free form but Ca$^{2+}$ association to
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Figure 8.4. Fluorescence emission of DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS (A), DREAM(Δ160):1,8-ANS (B), DREAM(E186):1,8-ANS (C), and DREAM(E234):1,8-ANS complexes in metal-free form and in the presence of 1 mM Ca$^{2+}$. Condition: 20 μM DREAM constructs, 20 μM 1,8-ANS, excited at 350 nm. DREAM increases its affinity for 1,8-ANS. In this case, we investigated whether inactivation of either EF-3 or EF-4 impacts 1,8-ANS (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014). As a control, we measured emission intensity of DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS and DREAM(Δ160):1,8-ANS complexes (Figure 8.4A,B) both in the presence Ca$^{2+}$ and in metal-free form and the result is consistent with previous studies; that is, the emission
intensity of 1,8-ANS increases significantly upon binding to these protein constructs in metal-free form and the emission intensity of both DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS and DREAM(Δ160):1,8-ANS complexes are higher in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) compared with corresponding metal-free form. Like above complexes, the emission intensity of DREAM(E186Q):1,8-ANS and DREAM(E234Q):1,8-ANS complexes (Figure 8.4C,D) increase significantly upon Ca\(^{2+}\) association compared with corresponding metal-free form, suggesting binding of one Ca\(^{2+}\) in either EF-3 or EF-4 is good enough for increasing the accessibility for 1,8-ANS binding site.

To support the above emission studies, we also measured the lifetime of the above complexes in metal-free form and in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Figure 8.5A,B, Table 8.2). Previously we showed that 1,8-ANS binds to two distinct binding sites on the DREAM surface (Gonzalez, Miksovska 2014). Modulation-phase data for all complexes were best
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Figure 8.5. Frequency-domain intensity decay of DREAM(E186Q):1,8-ANS (A) and DREAM(E234Q):1,8-ANS (B) in apo (square) and Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound form (circle). Solid symbols represent phase delay; empty symbols represent modulation ratio. Solid lines represent fitting of the data using a sum of three exponential decays model.
fitted by a three exponential decay model with 1,8-ANS lifetime fixed to 0.28 ns. Faster lifetime $\tau_1$ could be attributed to 1,8-ANS binding to the partially solvent-exposed binding site on DREAM, whereas longer lifetime $\tau_2$ can be assigned to 1,8-ANS binding to non-polar solvent restricted binding site on DREAM. Inactivating EF-3 or EF-4 did not significantly alter individual lifetimes, but $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ values are smaller in DREAM(E186Q):1,8-ANS and DREAM(E234Q):1,8-ANS complexes both in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ and in metal-free form compared with corresponding DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS (Table 8.2), suggesting that inactivating either EF-3 or EF-4 decreases DREAM affinity for 1,8-ANS. Interestingly, the value of $\alpha_2$ did not change significantly upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding to DREAM(E186Q):1,8-ANS and DREAM(E234Q):1,8-ANS complexes, but the value of $\alpha_2$ increased by 2-folds upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding to metal-free DREAM(Δ64):1,8-ANS (Table 8.2). It is possible that binding of one Ca$^{2+}$ to DREAM(E186Q):1,8-ANS and DREAM(E234Q):1,8-ANS complexes may not fully expose the hydrophobic cavities that bind 1,8-ANS.
Table 8.2: Fluorescence decay parameters of DREAM:1,8-ANS complexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ns)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ns)</th>
<th>$f_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$f_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$\alpha_0$ (%)</th>
<th>$\alpha_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$\alpha_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apoDREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>15.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>83.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺DREAM(Δ64)</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>18.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>88.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoDREAM(E186Q)</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>17.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>83.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺DREAM(E186Q)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>17.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>88.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoDREAM(E234Q)</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>75.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺DREAM(E234Q)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>82.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recovered from Vinci analysis software using a sum of three discrete exponential decays with 1,8-ANS lifetime fixed to 0.28 ns. Phase and modulation errors were set at $\leq 0.2^\circ$ and $\leq 0.004$, respectively. $\tau_0$, $\tau_1$, and $\tau_2$ are lifetimes of the three discrete exponential decays; $f_0$, $f_1$ and $f_2$ represent exponential decay fractions; $\alpha_0$, $\alpha_1$, and $\alpha_2$ are pre-exponential factors.
8.2.3 Impact of EF-3 or EF-4 Inactivation of DREAM on Its Interaction with T-1 Domain of Kv Channel.

Previous studies have demonstrated that KChIP1, a protein with high sequence similarity with DREAM, interacts with the T-1 domain of Kv.4 channel through two contact interfaces and this interaction has been shown to cause modulation of gating properties, enhancement of surface expression, and facilitation of subunit assemblies of Kv4 channels (Bourdeau, Laplante et al. 2011, Pioletti, Findeisen et al. 2006, Wang, Yan et al. 2007b, Bahring, Dannenberg et al. 2001, Scannevin, Wang et al. 2004). Residues 1-20 (site-1) of the T1 domain of Kv4 channel interacts with N- and C- terminal hydrophobic patches of KChIP1. Residue 70-78 (site-2) of the T1 domain of Kv4 channel interacts with KChIP1 through both stacking and salt bridge interaction (Pioletti, Findeisen et al. 2006, Wang, Yan et al. 2007a). Our previous result showed that DREAM interacts with site 1 (residues 2-22) and site 2 (residues 70-90) of T-1 domain of the Kv channel (Azam, Miksovska 2018, Azam, St Luis et al. 2019, Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014). To investigate whether EF-3 or EF-4 inactivation of DREAM modulates DREAM affinity for site 1 and site 2 of the T-1 domain of Kv channel, we titrated DREAM(E186Q) and DREAM(E234Q) into FITC-tagged 0.5 μM site-1 and site-2 peptide (Figure 8.6A,B). Anisotropy value did not increase upon the addition of saturation concentration DREAM(E186) or DREAM(E234Q) to site 1 peptide both in the presence and absence of Ca^{2+}. As a control experiment, we added corresponding wild-type DREAM(Δ64) to site peptides both in the presence and absence of Ca^{2+}. In this case, anisotropy value increased significantly, especially in the presence of Ca^{2+}, which is similar to our previous study. Site 1 binds to N- and C- terminal hydrophobic
patches on DREAM surface. We postulate that inactivating either EF-3 or EF-4 disturbs big hydrophobic patches in the C-terminal of DREAM and subsequently prevents interaction between DREAM and site-1. DREAM(E186Q) and DREAM(E234Q) addition to site-2 show an increase in anisotropy, which is similar to wild-type DREAM(Δ64) addition site-2, suggesting inactivating either EF-3 or EF-4 may not modulate DREAM interaction with site-2 of T-1 domain of Kv4 channels. The site-2 binding site is located at the N-terminus of DREAM. Inactivating EF-3 or EF-4 at the C-terminus of DREAM may likely not have impact on site-2 binding at the N-terminus.

8.3 Summary

In this study, we have shown that Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to EF-4 triggers secondary and tertiary structural rearrangements of DREAM based on CD-data, Trp emission, and Trp lifetime data. Inactivation either EF-3 or EF-4 decreases DREAM affinity for hydrophobic molecule 1,8-ANS based on 1,8-ANS lifetime data. Lastly, inactivating EF-3 prevents DREAM interaction with site-1 of the T-1 domain of K\(^{+}\) channel.
9. CONCLUSIONS

Exposure to toxic metals such as Cd\(^{2+}\) and Pb\(^{2+}\) has been associated with learning difficulties, IQ drop, and cognitive deficiencies in children and different types of cancers and neurodegenerative diseases, but the molecular mechanism through which these metals induces toxicity remains unexplored. DREAM is expressed in the hippocampus, the part of the brain regulates memory consolidation and learning. Cd\(^{2+}\) and Pb\(^{2+}\) have been shown to strongly impact the hippocampus region. These metals also have been shown to bind to EF-hand of calmodulin (CaM) and troponin C (TnC) with a higher affinity that physiological ligand Ca\(^{2+}\). Binding of these toxic metals modulates CaM interactions with downstream partners, which is considered one of the possible mechanisms of Pb\(^{2+}\)-induced neurotoxicity.

Considering the facts that Pb\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) impact hippocampus region and bind to EF-hand protein and DREAM is an EF-hand protein and expressed in the hippocampus, we investigated whether Cd\(^{2+}\) and Pb\(^{2+}\) bind to DREAM. In chapter 3, we demonstrated the binding of Pb\(^{2+}\) to DREAM protein for the first time. We showed that Pb\(^{2+}\) binds to EF-hand on DREAM with a higher affinity than Ca\(^{2+}\) and capable of displacing Ca\(^{2+}\). Using fluorescence titration, we determined the dissociation constant for Pb\(^{2+}\) binding to DREAM to be 20 ± 2 nM. Pb\(^{2+}\) association induces the secondary and tertiary structural rearrangement of this protein that is analogous to Ca\(^{2+}\) association as evidenced from Trp emission and circular dichroism data. Pb\(^{2+}\) binding exposes the hydrophobic patches of the DREAM and subsequently facilitates the binding of hydrophobic molecule 1,8-ANS. From the fluorescence titration, we determined that 1,8-ANS associates to DREAM with a 2-fold higher
affinity in the presence of Pb\(^{2+}\) compared with the metal-free form. Isothermal titration calorimetry experiments provided thermodynamics insight of the Pb\(^{2+}\) association to DREAM. ITC data reveal two Pb\(^{2+}\) ions bind to DREAM protein with dissociation constants 26 nM and 86 nM, respectively and binding of Pb\(^{2+}\) to DREAM is entropy-driven as evidenced by positive enthalpy and positive entropy change. Most interestingly, we demonstrated that the Pb\(^{2+}\) association alters DREAM interaction with intracellular partners based on anisotropic titration of DREAM protein with peptide-based model systems. More specifically, we determined that DREAM interacts with Kv4.3(2–22) and Kv4.3(70–90) peptides of T-1 domain of Kv channel with about 7-fold and 50-fold higher affinity, respectively, in the presence of Pb\(^{2+}\), compared with the corresponding apo-form. Considering the implication of Kv channels in hippocampus-dependent memory and learning processes, Kv channel:KChIP complexes may represent molecular target contributing to Pb\(^{2+}\) induced memory and learning deficiencies. Also, DREAM interacts with helix 9 of presenilin-1 (PS1HL9) with about 70 times higher affinity than corresponding metal-free form. Several studies demonstrated that an early life exposure to Pb\(^{2+}\) promotes developmental reprogramming of APP gene expression, leading to an augmented expression of amyloid precursor protein during adulthood. The interaction between Pb\(^{2+}\)-bound DREAM and PS1HL9 observed here suggest that elevated concentrations of Pb\(^{2+}\) may directly impact the proteolytic processing of the β-amyloid precursor protein. Considering high sequence identity of the C-terminal domain of neuronal calcium sensors and significant amount of Pb\(^{2+}\) in the brain (0.52–4 ppm) due to occupational and environmental exposure to the Pb\(^{2+}\), especially in the...
hippocampus, other members of NCS family are likely to bind Pb\textsuperscript{2+} with higher affinity than that for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and be involved in Pb\textsuperscript{2+}-induced neurotoxicity.

In chapter 4, we demonstrated Cd\textsuperscript{2+} binding to DREAM. We show Cd\textsuperscript{2+} binding triggers secondary and tertiary structural rearrangement of the DREAM based on Trp emission and CD spectra. From the emission and CD spectra of DREAM protein in which either EF-3 or EF-4 is inactivated, we found that Cd\textsuperscript{2+} binding at EF-4 could trigger structural rearrangement of DREAM. We determined that Cd\textsuperscript{2+} binds to DREAM with a dissociation constant 89 ± 10 nM, which is about 5-times weaker than Pb\textsuperscript{2+} binding to DREAM. Interestingly, although Cd\textsuperscript{2+} ion has similar ionic radii and charge/radius ratio values as Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, the association of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} to EF-3 and EF-4 triggers a conformational transition that is somewhat restricted compared to that determined for the binding of the physiological ligand, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. This is substantiated by 1,8-ANS emission data as well as lower affinity constants for binding of peptides that mimic physiological effector proteins presenilin and T1 domain of Kv channels. Isothermal titration calorimetry data unveiled that Cd\textsuperscript{2+} association with DREAM is accompanied with a positive change in entropy and enthalpy, suggesting the reaction is entropy-driven. Considering high sequence similarity of DREAM with other NCS proteins, Cd\textsuperscript{2+} could bind to other NCS proteins and these interactions could provide insight into the molecular basis of neurotoxicity.

In chapter 5, we determined Li\textsuperscript{+} binding to DREAM. Although Li\textsuperscript{+}-based compounds have been used as a first line therapy for the treatment of severe mental disorders for more than six decades and have a wide range of applications in psychopharmacology, the molecular mechanism of Li\textsuperscript{+} action remains largely unknown. Inhibitions of inositol
monophosphatase (IMPase) and glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta (GSK-3β) were proposed as molecular mechanisms of Li⁺ action with Li⁺ inhibiting IMPase by displacing the native cofactor Mg²⁺. Recent in vivo and in cellular studies demonstrated that chronic Li⁺ administration provides a protective effect against neurodegenerative diseases including Alzheimer, Parkinson and Huntington disease. However, the exact mechanism through which Li⁺ exerts its therapeutic action remains an active area of research. We report that neuroprotective agent Li⁺ binds to DREAM with an equilibrium dissociation constant of 34 ± 4 μM and impacts DREAM structural and dynamic properties in a similar manner as observed for its physiological ligand, Ca²⁺. The results of fluorescence spectroscopy and molecular dynamics are consistent with Li⁺ binding at the chelating loop of the EF-hands. In the Li⁺ bound form, DREAM association to peptides mimicking DREAM binding sites in voltage-gated potassium channel is enhanced compared to the apoprotein, whereas DREAM affinity for presenilin binding site, helix-9, is impeded. The reduced affinity of Li⁺DREAM for helix-9 compared with Ca²⁺DREAM is in line with in vivo results showing that Li⁺ administration decreases levels of soluble Aβ42 in transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease. These results suggest that DREAM and possibly other members of neuronal calcium sensor family belong to Li⁺ intracellular targets and interactions between Li⁺ and NCS provide a molecular basis for Li⁺ neuroprotective action.

In chapter 6, we investigated whether toxic metals As⁵⁺, Hg²⁺, and Mn²⁺ and other alkaline metals such as Ba²⁺ and Sr²⁺ bind to DREAM. Based on tryptophan emission and 1,8-emission study we conclude that either As⁵⁺, Hg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Ba²⁺, or Sr²⁺ does not bind to DREAM or binding of As⁵⁺, Hg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Ba²⁺, or Sr²⁺ does not trigger structural rearrangements.
In chapter 7, we investigated impact of Lys87 to Ala mutation on DREAM secondary and tertiary structures and interaction with intracellular partners. Accelerated molecular dynamics data indicate the presence of a salt bridge between Lys87 and Asp 165 that couples the loop between helix-1 and helix-2 in the N-terminal domain with the EF-3 in the C-terminal domain. We postulated that the salt bridge involved in the propagation of calcium-triggered structural changes between the C-terminal and N-terminal domain. To determine the contribution of Lys87 to the interdomain communication, we mutated Lys87 to Ala in order to break the salt bridge and investigated the impact of the mutation on DREAM secondary and tertiary structures and DREAM interactions with interacting partners. Our data reveal that the emission maximum of Trp169 in DREAM(K87A) is 5 nm red-shifted compared with corresponding wild-type protein, suggesting Trp169 is more solvent exposed in DREAM(K87A). The increase in solvent accessibility can be explained by the fact that the absence of salt bridge destabilizes the protein structure. Also disrupting the interdomain communication by mutating Lys87 to Ala prevents DREAM interaction with PS1HL9 and site-1 and site-2 peptides of the T-1 domain of Kv channel. This result is consistent with the notion of 1,8-ANS lifetime data where DREAM shows a weaker affinity for hydrophobic molecule 1,8-ANS.

In chapter 8, we mutated glutamate residue at 12\textsuperscript{th} position of EF-3 (DREAM(E186Q)) or EF-4 (DREAM(E234Q)) to glutamine for destroying Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding properties of these EF-hands and investigated impact of mutations on Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-triggered secondary and tertiary structural rearrangements of the protein and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-induced modulation of DREAM interaction with T-1 domain of Kv channel. On the basis of CD, Trp emission, and 1,8-ANS emission data, we conclude that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding in EF-4 causes secondary and tertiary
structural rearrangement of the proteins. We also demonstrated that EF-3 or EF-4 inactivation inhibits DREAM interaction with Kv4.3(2–22) peptides of T-1 domain of Kv channel, but EF-3 or EF-4 inactivation doesn’t impact DREAM interaction with Kv4.3(70–90) peptides of T-1 domain of Kv channel. Kv4.3(2–22) binds to N- and C-terminal hydrophobic patches on DREAM surface; inactivating either EF-3 or EF-4 might disturb big hydrophobic patches in the C-terminal of DREAM and subsequently prevents interaction between DREAM and Kv4.3(2–22). Kv4.3(70–90) binding site is located at the N-terminus of DREAM. Inactivating EF-3 or EF-4 at the C-terminus of DREAM may likely not have impact on Kv4.3(70–90) binding at the N-terminus.
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