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Octanuclear ferric complexes involving achiral phenolates of the general formula

[Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-pz)$_{12}$R-C$_6$H$_4$O)$_4$, (R = 4-NO$_2$, 4-CN, 4-F, 4-Cl, 4-I, 4-CH$_3$O, and 3-NO$_3$),

where synthesized and characterized by single crystal X-ray crystallography, elemental analysis, $^1$H-NMR, UV-Vis, IR, $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy and electrochemical methods (cyclic and differential pulse voltammetry). Results indicate that the nature of the phenolate substituents allows the fine tuning of the spectroscopic data of the compounds.

Subsequently, this project focused on the resolution of the racemic octanuclear iron-oxo-pyrazolato complex [Fe$_8^{III}$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-4-R-pz)$_{12}$Cl$_4$, [Fe$_8$, (R=H, Me, Cl) to its $P$ and $M$ enantiomers. The strategy employed towards that goal was the diastereomer formation via substitution of the terminal chloride ligands with the chiral (R, S) 4-sec-butylphenol. Substitution with rac-4-sec-butylphenol reveals selectivity of the Fe$_8$ $P$ and $M$ enantiomers for the phenolate $R$ and $S$ enantiomers, respectively. In the case of the 4-H-pz and 4-CH$_3$-pz derivatives, this selectivity is manifested in their X-ray structures and $^1$H-NMR spectra. However it does not result in resolution, as the enantiomers
cocrystallize as racemates. In contrast, the 4-Cl-pz derivative crystallized in a non-centrosymmetric (chiral) space group, as determined by single crystal X-ray structure determination. The enantiomeric relationship of the $P/R$ and $M/S$ species was further established by Circular Dichroism experiments. These enantiomers are stable towards racemization. Re-introduction of the terminal chloride ligands was achieved via reaction of the $P/R$ and $M/S$ with HCl, and the Circular Dichroism spectra of the products showed the presence of the $P$ and $M$ enantiomers in solution with their absolute configuration persisting.

The selective coordination of the $R$ and $S$ phenolates to the $P$ and $M$ complexes constitutes an unprecedented example of enantiomeric recognition in a non-biological system resulting in spontaneous resolution upon crystallization by employing only racemic reagents and media.
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Chapter I: Introduction

1. History of chirality

The rotation of plane polarized light by chiral compounds was first observed by Jean-Baptiste Biot in 1815, whereas Luis Pasteur deduced the molecular basis of the phenomenon in 1848 by noticing the distinct behavior of ammonium sodium tartrate crystals under a microscope equipped with polarized light, achieving their separation manually with the use of tweezers.\textsuperscript{1} Chirality was initially thought to be a property solely of organic molecules; however, Alfred Werner proved this idea to be wrong by showing the resolution of a multinuclear cobalt compound, hexol, a purely inorganic compound containing coordinated ammonia and hydroxo bridges.\textsuperscript{2} Since then, chirality has been a fascinating topic of focus in science, especially after the discovery that the building blocks of life like amino acids, sugars, and the DNA, are chiral.

1.1. Chirality: Definition

Chirality is a property of objects which are not superimposable to their mirror image. In symmetry terms, chiral objects lack an improper rotation axis $S_n$. The $S_n$ is an operation combining a rotation $C_n$ with a reflection through a $\sigma_h$ plane perpendicular to the $C_n$ axis, or $C_n$ followed by $\sigma_h$. Chiral molecules exist in two enantiomeric forms, which rotate polarized light in opposite directions but in equal magnitude depending on the wavelength of the incident light.
The most common type of chirality is observed in organic molecules where a carbon atom is surrounded by four different substituents. The nomenclature assigned to the two enantiomers is $R$ and $S$, according to the Cahn-Ingold-Prelog system. Chirality, however, can arise in the absence of a stereogenic center. Such cases exist when an axis of chirality is present: an axis about which a set of substituents is held in a spatial arrangement that is non-superimposable on its mirror image. For example, in coordination chemistry, $\Delta$ (delta, right-handedness) and $\Lambda$ (lambda, left-handedness) prefixes are used to describe the twist of the propeller formed by chelating ligands attached to the metal center. The $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ prefixes are most commonly used for mononuclear complexes, such as one in octahedral arrangement with two or three equivalent bidentate ligands (e.g., $\Delta$- and $\Lambda$-[Co(en)$_3$]$^{3+}$, Figure 2). The $P$ (clockwise rotation) and $M$ (anticlockwise rotation) notation is also used to describe axial chirality and is preferable for molecules that resemble helices, such as helicenes or some polynuclear complexes (Figure 3). The DNA is another example of helical chirality. The significance of chirality can be perceived by its
various implications in chemistry, materials science, medicine, pharmaceuticals, magnetism, non-linear optics, agriculture, forensic analysis, and catalysis.

Figure 2. $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ configuration of $[\text{Co(en)}_3]^{3+}$.

Figure 3. a) $P$ and $M$ heptahelicene; b) Helical structure of bisdipyrrin dinuclear complex.
1.2. Absolute configuration determination by physical methods

The structural and spectroscopic study of chiral molecules, either in solution or solid state, is not a trivial task since their two enantiomeric forms exhibit identical physical and chemical properties in an achiral environment. Thus, a chiral medium is necessary to allow for observations regarding potential differences in the behavior of the two, which in many cases can be significant and have great consequences. Their aforementioned ability to rotate polarized light in opposite directions can be quantified by measurement of their optical rotation (OR). The OR is measured with a polarimeter and the specific rotation, which is characteristic of the compound, can be calculated by the following equation:

\[
[a]_\lambda^T = \frac{\alpha}{l \times c} \tag{1}
\]

where \( \alpha \) (degrees) is the optical rotation observed, \( l \) is the beam path length through the solution (dm) and \( c \) is the concentration of the sample (in g cm\(^{-3} \)), at temperature \( T \) (°C), and wavelength \( \lambda \) (nm). In most cases light of 589 nm wavelength is used (the sodium D line) and \( \lambda \) can be replaced by the symbol D in equation (1).\(^{38}\) The highest optical rotation value recorded to date is \([\alpha]_D = -9620^\circ\) for \(M\)-(-)-[13]helicene.\(^ {39}\) The (+) and (-) prefixes are used to describe the right (dextrorotary) and left (levorotary) rotation of the polarized light by an optically active molecule. However, the sign does not provide any information on the absolute configuration of a molecule. The method can be utilized for the calculation of the enantiomeric excess (ee), or optical purity of a sample, given that the specific rotation of its enantiopure form is known by the formula:

\[
ee(\%) = \frac{\alpha_{obs}}{[\alpha]_\lambda^T} \times 100 \tag{2}
\]
However, in practice gas chromatography with a chiral stationary phase is a more suitable method for the determination of ee.

1.2.1. Circular Dichroism

Circular Dichroism (CD) is a powerful spectroscopic technique for the study of chirality defined as:

\[ CD = A^l - A^r \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( A^l \) and \( A^r \) are the absorption of left and right circularly polarized light, respectively. Instrumentation for CD, like UV-Vis, measures the ellipticity \( \theta \) (mdeg) against the wavelength, and in analogy to Lambert-Beer law, the molar coefficient, or absorption coefficient is given by:

\[ \Delta \varepsilon = \varepsilon^l - \varepsilon^r = \frac{CD}{c \times b} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( c \) is the concentration (M) and \( b \) is the path length (cm). Therefore, a CD signal can only be observed when the optically active molecule exhibits electronic transitions in UV-Vis spectrum. The CD signal is a signed quantity, and it has to be opposite for each enantiomer, while no signal is observed for racemic mixtures (i.e. equimolar mixtures of the two enantiomers). The technique’s application in the configurational and conformational analysis of organic compounds, as well as transition metal compounds, has been unequivocally established. An example of a CD spectrum of two enantiomers of a tungsten biscardorrole complex is shown in Figure 4. Like optical rotation, circular dichroism can provide information about the enantiopurity of the
compound under study but additionally, in many cases, has the advantage of providing information about the absolute configuration when exciton coupling occurs. Exciton coupled CD results when two or more strongly absorbing chromophores are located near in space and have the appropriate orientation (asymmetrical). The intensity and the sign of the couplet (defined by the sign of its longer wavelength component) are related to the orientation, which depends on the molecular configuration and conformation.\(^{43}\)

Vibrational Circular Dichroism (VCD) is a similar spectroscopic technique that allows for absolute configuration and conformation determination by inspection of vibrational transitions occurring in the infrared (IR) and near-infrared (NIR) regions of the electromagnetic spectrum.\(^{44}\) The VCD analysis can also play an important complementary role to the X-ray crystallographic analysis.\(^{45}\)
1.2.2. X-ray crystallography

X-ray crystallography is considered the most efficient solid state technique for the determination of structures and absolute configuration assignment of chiral molecules, which is based on the anomalous scattering of heavy elements \((Z > 16,\) for diffraction of Mo-K\(\alpha\) radiation of \(\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}\)) and evaluated by the Flack parameter.\(^{46}\) Anomalous scattering or anomalous dispersion refers to a change in a diffracting X-ray’s phase that occurs from specific atoms in a crystal due to X-ray absorbance. The heavier the atom, the higher the amount of energy it absorbs when close to the atom’s absorption edge. For Mo-K\(\alpha\) radiation, atoms heavier than sulfur are required for the phenomenon to be observable. For non-centrosymmetric structures that also exhibit anomalous scattering, a small but measurable difference between the intensities \(I_{(hkl)}\) of a reflection from a set of planes \(hkl\) and \(I_{(-h-k-l)}\) of a reflection from the inversion center-related \(-h-k-l\) planes can be observed. The difference between these pairs, known as Friedel or Bijvoet pairs, allows the solution of the phase problem for the diffraction data and the determination of absolute structure.\(^{47}\) The Flack parameter is determined by the following equation:

\[
I_{(hkl)} = (1 - x)|F_{(hkl)}|^2 + x|F_{(-h-k-l)}|^2
\]

where \(I\) is the intensity of the diffracted beam, \(x\) is the Flack parameter, and \(F\) is the calculated structure factor. The physically meaningful values of \(x\) are \(0 \leq x \leq 1\), but as a result of statistical fluctuations and systematic errors, experimental values may lay a little outside of this range by a few estimated standard deviations (esd). The esd of the numerical value of the Flack parameter must be \(u \leq 0.04\). A crystal of an enantiomerically pure compound in the correct absolute configuration has \(x = 0\). When \(x\)
= 1, there is only one domain in the crystal, that of the inverted stereochemistry. A value of \( x \) near 0.5 corresponds to a racemic mixture. Values in between are possible when different proportions of each enantiomer are present.

1.3. The necessity for enantiopure compounds

The properties of the two enantiomers of a chiral compound vary when placed in a chiral environment. In some cases these properties are so different that one enantiomer can be a useful medicine able to treat health conditions, whereas the other one can be harmful, even lethal. One example is the infamous thalidomide case in the late 50’s. Thalidomide (Figure 5) was a drug administered as a racemic mixture to pregnant women as sleeping-inducing agent; however, a lot of children were later born with serious defects. It was later proven that the sleeping-inducing properties belonged to the \( R \) enantiomer of thalidomide, whereas the \( S \) one, being toxic and teratogenic, was responsible for this unfortunate event.\(^{48}\) Another example of a pharmaceutical product is Naproxen\(^{\text{TM}}\), the \( S \) enantiomer of which alleviates arthritis pain, whereas its \( R \) enantiomer can cause liver toxicity.\(^{49}\) These examples demonstrate the reason why isolation of the enantiomers of chiral molecules that possess desirable properties is crucial in fields such as the pharmaceutical industry.

Figure 5. \( S-(-) \)-thalidomide, and \( R-(+) \)-thalidomide.
1.4. Isolation of enantiopure compounds

One of the main routes towards enantiopure compounds (the other being chromatographic techniques) is asymmetric synthesis, the preferential synthesis of one of the two enantiomers, from prochiral precursors. Chiral catalysts play key role on the selectivity of these reactions and in most cases comprise transition metal complexes with chiral enantiopure ligands. One of the most characteristic examples was presented by the ingenious modification of Wilkinson’s catalyst by William S. Knowles. The Wilkinson’s catalyst, a square planar rhodium (I) complex with formula \([\text{RhCl(PPh}_3\text{)}_3]\) (PPh\(_3\) = triphenylphosphine), has been a successful catalyst for the hydrogenation of alkenes. Knowles expanded its use into their asymmetric analogues by substituting the achiral triphenylphosphines with chiral ones. His most successful complex, \([\text{Rh}(\text{R,R-DIPAMP})(\text{COD})]^+\) (Figure 6), was used for the enantioselective synthesis of L-DOPA, a drug used for Parkinson’s disease treatment (Figure 7).\(^{50}\) His contribution to the field was very significant and, as a result, he, together with Ryoji Noyori and K. Barry Sharpless, were awarded with the 2001 Nobel in Chemistry for their contributions in chemical chirality—Knowles and Noyori for “chirally catalyzed hydrogen reactions”, while Sharpless for “chirally catalyzed oxidation reactions”.\(^{51}\)

Asymmetric catalysis, in which a coordination compound is typically involved, is a characteristic example where the isolation of an enantiomer of an organic compound depends on the availability of the appropriate enantiomer of an inorganic compound. In other words, enantiopure organic molecules require enantiopure inorganic ones. The principles that govern the chiral resolution of the former are essentially the same as the
ones related to the latter. Thus, the methods and approaches used apply to both organic and inorganic. These include asymmetric synthesis, the direct synthesis of the desirable enantiomer from achiral precursors, and methods that deal with the resolution of racemic mixtures, such as spontaneous resolution by direct crystallization, analytical methods, like chromatography with chiral stationary phases, and diastereomer formation and resolution. A novel method that has been recently reported discusses the separation of enantiomers by their enantiospecific interaction with achiral magnetic substrates. Excellent reviews have been written on the topic of the separation of enantiomers.

Figure 6. [Rh(R,R-DIPAMP)(COD)]\(^+\) catalyst for the enantioselective hydrogenation of alkenes.

Figure 7. L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine or L-DOPA, a drug for Parkinson’s disease treatment.
1.4.1. **Enantiopure inorganic compounds**

1.4.1.1. **Inorganic asymmetric synthesis**

Stereoselective synthesis of coordination compounds can be achieved through enantiopure chiral ligands. Thus, the chirality of metal centers can be predetermined, yielding preferentially products of one absolute configuration.\(^6^2\) In other words, this method can be described as a transfer of the chiral information from the ligand or ligands, to the metal center.\(^6^3\) Meggers et al. demonstrated the synthesis of tris-heteroleptic ruthenium complexes with bipyridine based ligands using enantiopure salicyloxazoline as a temporary auxiliary ligand, which transferred its chirality to the intermediate complex and from it on to final complex containing only achiral bipyridines (Figure 8 and Figure 9).\(^6^4\) An analogous procedure was employed for the asymmetric synthesis of the \(\Delta\) enantiomer of a homoleptic tris-bipyridine ruthenium complex, where the chirality-inducing ligand was \((S)-\text{(isopropylsulfinyl)}\text{phenol}\) (Figure 10 and Figure 11).\(^3^3\) Bipyridine-based ligands have also been used for the diastereoselective synthesis of octahedral iron (II) complexes and silver (I) helicates.\(^6^5\)–\(^6^8\) Janiak et al. showed that the same principle can be applied to tetrahedral zinc complexes with enantiopure Schiff base ligands. The absolute configuration of the ligand determines the absolute configuration of the final product (Figure 12).\(^6^9\)
Figure 8. Chirality induction by the (S)-salicyloxazoline and formation of $\Lambda$ enantiomer with two bipyridine ligands L1 and L2.

Figure 9. Substitution of (S)-salicyloxazoline by bipyridine to yield the final $\Lambda$ tris-heteroleptic polypiridyl ruthenium complex $\Lambda_{\text{Ru}}$. 
Figure 10. Diastereoselective conversion of prochiral trans-[Ru-(bpy)$_2$(MeCN)$_2$]$^{2+}$ 1 into chiral cis-$\Delta$-[Ru(bpy)$_2$[(S)-SO]]$^+$ 2, where SO = (S)-(isopropylsulfinyl)phenol.

Figure 11. Acid-induced substitution of the chiral ligand (S)-SO for bpy to yield the $\Delta$ homoleptic ruthenium bipyridine complex.
Figure 12. (R)-salicylaldimine ligand promotes $\Lambda$ configuration on a tetrahedral zinc complex, whereas (S)-salicylaldimine promotes the $\Delta$ one.

Configurational control can also be applied in organometallic compounds. As an example $\Lambda$ and $\Delta$ configurations of octahedral cationic iridium hydride complexes were isolated with the aid of chiral oxazoline-based ligands.\textsuperscript{70} Often, these complexes are generated \textit{in situ} during catalytic tranformations.\textsuperscript{71} Asymmetric synthesis is not limited only to
mononuclear metal complexes, such as those already mentioned, but examples of multinuclear homometallic or mixed-metal clusters exist also in the literature, albeit not as many. A pair of enantiopure Cu₄O₄ cubane-type clusters was constructed by (R or S)-2-[(2-hydroxy-1-phenylethylimino)methyl]phenol and CuCl₂, and their magnetic properties were studied. Zhao et al. reported the synthesis of sigmoidal hexanuclear gold (I) clusters with enantiopure amine ligands and the study of the correlation between their metal atom arrangement and their chiroptical response (Figure 13).

Pentanuclear mixed-metal and mixed-valent clusters of the general formula \([\text{Mn}^\text{II}\text{Mn}^\text{III}_3 \text{M}']\), where \(\text{M}' = \text{Na}^\text{I}, \text{Ca}^\text{II}, \text{Mn}^\text{II}\), as well as a nonanuclear one with formula \([\text{Mn}^\text{II}\text{Mn}^\text{III}_6\text{Na}^\text{I}_2]\), built from enantiomerically pure Schiff base \((R\ or\ S)\)-phenylglysinol, were published by Escuer et al.\(^{74}\) Probably the most prominent example of the asymmetric synthesis of enantiomerically pure polynuclear complexes is the construction of \({\text{Fe}_{28}}\) wheels from the reaction of iron(III) nitrate and \(\text{L-}(+)\)- or \(\text{D-}(-)\)-tartaric acid in mildly acidic environment.\(^{75}\)

### 1.4.1.2. Direct resolution: Chromatography

Chromatographic techniques, particularly HPLC, are being routinely used for the separation of a wide variety of organic molecules. The main advantage of the technique is the fact that it can be used in both analytical and preparative scale. The separation depends on the interactions between the analyte and a chiral selector, the nature of which can be of hydrogen bonding, dipole-dipole interactions, steric hindrance, \(\pi-\pi\) interactions, and electrostatic or hydrophobic interactions.\(^{76}\) The columns used for these separations are typically equipped with a chiral stationary phase (CSP). Common commercial CSP’s are classified as molecular or polymeric. Molecular CSP’s, also called Pirkle-type, consist of small chiral molecules attached to a silica (SiO\(_2\)) surface.\(^{77}\) Among them, Whelk-O\(^{®}\)\(^1\) is considered one of the most successful and versatile chiral stationary phases (Figure 14).\(^{78}\)
Polymeric CSP’s mainly include modified polysaccharides like cellulose and amylose. One of the most efficient modifier is tris(3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate), present in the commercially available columns Chiralpak® AD (amylose-derived, Figure 15) and Chiralcel® OD (cellulose based).79

Figure 15. Carbamate-modified amylose CSP in the Chiralpak® AD column.
In contrast to the broad application of chromatography to the separation of organic molecules, examples of chromatographic separations of inorganic compounds are scarce in the literature. Chiralcel® OD was successful in the separation of tetrahedral organometallic clusters of a variety of first- and second-row transition metals.\(^{80-82}\) Cellulose-derived CSP’s, on the other hand, achieved the resolution of an iron(II) complex with formula \([\text{Fe}_2(\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{20}\text{N}_4)_3]\text{Cl}_4\),\(^{83}\) and the first enantioseparation and observation of circular dichroism of gold clusters protected by achiral thiolates with formula \([\text{Au}_{38}(\text{SCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ph})_{24}]\).\(^{84}\)

1.4.1.3. Spontaneous resolution

Spontaneous resolution is defined as the segregation of enantiomers upon crystallization. Luis Pasteur was the first to report the resolution of ammonium tartrate crystals using this method.\(^1\) When mixtures of mirror-image configurations or conformations of a compound—a racemate—condense, they may do so in three general ways: (i) as a racemic compound in which both enantiomers are present in equal amounts in the condensate; (ii) as a conglomerate in which molecules form crystals comprised of only one enantiomer (homochiral) but where the sample as a whole is racemic because it contains equal amounts of enantiomorphic condensates; (iii) as a pseudoracemate, in which the condensates contain the two enantiomers in a non-ordered arrangement. Only the second category, the conglomerate, implies spontaneous resolution.\(^{53}\) In crystallographic terms, enantiopure compounds can only crystallize into one of the 65 chiral or Sohncke space groups (of the total 230 space groups). On the other hand, achiral compounds can crystallize in both chiral and achiral space groups.\(^{85}\) The observation of a
chiral space group does not necessarily imply that crystals, as an entity, will be optically active since this activity can be a result of racemic twinning or lamellar epitaxy (where macroscopic layers of opposite enantiomers stack on top of each other). Although resolution via spontaneous crystallization is the most economical method, it is quite uncommon, and especially for metal-containing complexes, reports in the literature are few. Holm et al. reported the synthesis of a family of cubane-type clusters \([\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_4(\text{SR}^*)_4]^{2-}\) with several chiral racemic thiolate ligands, and from all possible diastereomers one pair of enantiomers was spontaneously resolved upon crystallization exhibiting mirror-image-related CD spectra (Figure 16). 

![Circular dichroism spectra of pair of enantiomers of Fe₄S₄-thiolate-cubane-type clusters with (RRRR) blue line and (SSSS) red line. (modified from reference 86).](image)

Figure 16. Circular dichroism spectra of pair of enantiomers of Fe₄S₄-thiolate-cubane-type clusters with (RRRR) blue line and (SSSS) red line. (modified from reference 86).
The first example of a trinuclear triple helix constructed by an oligobipyridine ligand and Ni (II) ions was reported by Fisher et al. A rare example of a labile Fe (III) complex was published by Muziol et al. Spontaneous resolution upon crystallization occurred when [Fe(oxalate)$_3$]$^{3-}$ was mixed with [Co(NH$_3$)$_4$(H$_2$O)$_2$]$^{3+}$ yielding the heterometallic complex $cis$-[Co(NH$_3$)$_4$(H$_2$O)$_2$] [Fe(ox)$_3$]·2H$_2$O, with the racemization process significantly obstructed by the extended H-bonding network developed in the crystal form. A case of two complexes of lanthanides has also been reported. Metal organic frameworks (MOF’s) of La (III) and Gd (III) with dihydroxymalonate were spontaneously resolved upon crystallization (Figure 17).

Figure 17. La (III) coordination sphere in (a) $\Lambda$ and (b) $\Delta$ MOF’s with dihydroxymalonate.
1.4.1.4. Indirect resolution: Diastereomer formation

Diastereomer formation is another approach for the resolution of racemic mixtures. Derivatization of the latter with other chiral moieties results in diastereomers, which by definition, exhibit different properties in achiral environments, in contrast to the enantiomers rendering their separation more feasible. The derivatization can take place either with the use of ionic species when the resolution target is ionic itself or by covalent bond formation between target and resolving agent when the starting racemate material is neutral. In both cases, the isolation of the diastereomers becomes simpler using conventional methods and can be achieved by solubility difference of solids, chromatography, or simply by kinetic resolution through crystallization. Some examples of anionic resolving agents, given in Figure 18, include carboxylates (quinate, mandelate, entries 1 and 2 respectively), sulfonates (10-camforsulfonate, entry 3), and phosphonates [(S)-(+)-binaphthyl-2,2'-diyl-phosphate], (entry 4) and Δ-tris(tetrachloro-1,2-benzenediolato)phosphate(V), (entry 5) or Δ-TRISPHAT. Δ-TRISPHAT was successful towards the resolution of a triangular host [Cp*Rh(5-chloro-2,3-dioxopyridine)]₃ after its modification with LiCl and AgOTf (silver triflate). The diastereomers formed, [Li(R, R, R)-{Cp*Rh(5-chloro-2,3-dioxopyridine)}₃][Δ-TRISPHAT] and [Li(S, S, S)-{Cp*Rh(5-chloro-2,3-dioxopyridine)}₃][Δ-TRISPHAT], were subsequently separated by fractional crystallization. Chiral cationic agents that have been employed for the resolution of anionic racemates are depicted in Figure 19. High levels of enantiomeric enrichment were achieved for dinuclear helicates of formula K₆[Ga₂L₃] [H₂L = 1,4-bis(2',3'-dihydroxybenzamido)phenylene] with the use of three
different chiral cations, \( N \)-benzyl-quininium (quin), \( N \)-benzyl-cinchoninium (cinc), and \( N \)-methyl-s-nicotinium (s-nic). Raymond et al. also employed \( N \)-methyl-s-nicotinium for the resolution of tetrahedral supramolecular assemblies of the type \( M_4L_1^1 \) and \( M_4L_2^2 \), where \( H_4L_1^1 = N, N' \)-bis(2,3-dihydroxybenzoyl)-1,5-diaminonaphthalene, \( H_4L_2^2 = N, N' \)-dihydroxy-\( N \)-\( N' \)-di-p-tolylisophthalamide, and \( M = \text{Ga(III), Fe(III), Al(III)} \). They then demonstrated the kinetic stability of these tetrahedral complexes towards racemization, a common problem with compounds bearing metal centers with no crystal field stabilization energy (CFSE).\textsuperscript{95,96}

Figure 18. Chiral anionic resolving agents.
Figure 19. Chiral cationic resolving agents.

Figure 20. M₄L₆ type cages, where H₄L¹ = N, N'-bis(2,3-dihydroxybenzoyl)-1,5-diaminonaphthalene (left), and H₄L² = N, N'-dihydroxy-N, N'-di-p-tolylisophthalamide (right). M = Ga(III), Fe(III), Al(III).
Extended metal atom chains (EMAC) consist of linearly bonded metal atoms surrounded by organic ligands, also known as molecular wires. A chiral analogue of this family, represented by a tricobalt chain with formula [Co$_3$(dpa)$_4$(MeCN)$_2$]$^{2+}$, was resolved by anion exchange with the use of the chiral salt (NBu$_4$)$_2$[As$_2$(tartrate)$_2$] and selective crystallization. The $\Lambda$ enantiomer of the EMAC crystallized with the $\Delta$ enantiomer of the anionic part of the salt [As$_2$(tartrate)$_2$]$^2^-$, whereas the $\Lambda$ enantiomer of the EMAC crystallized with the $\Lambda$ enantiomer, both of them in the chiral $P4_2$_1$2$ space group.$^{97}$ One last example of the use of chiral anions comprises a unique polynuclear gold cluster with formula [Au$_{102}$(p-MBA)$_{44}$] ($p$-MBA = para-mercaptobenzoic acid), resolved with the use of an ammonium salt of (-)-1R,2S-N-dodecyl-N-methylephedrinium bromide by preferable transfer of one of the enantiomers of the {Au$_{102}$} cluster from water into chloroform organic phase.$^{98}$ The crystal structure of the nanosize cluster is one of the few ever reported for nanometer-sized gold particles (Figure 21).$^{99}$

![Figure 21](image-url). Structures of the [Au$_{102}$(p-MBA)$_{44}$] cluster (left) and $p$-MBA ligand (right). Reprinted with permission from Knoppe et al. Chiral Phase Transfer and Enantioenrichment of Thiolate-Protected Au102 Clusters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136 (11), 4129–4132. Copyright (2014) American Chemical Society.
Diastereomer formation in the form of salts of ionic species is not the only possibility. Direct substitution reaction of one or more of the racemate’s achiral ligands with chiral ones, either in racemic or in enantiopure form, can lead to the formation of diastereomers which can subsequently be separated with the same methods described previously. Carmona et al. described the resolution of rhodium complexes with a tripodal tetradentate ligand with the general formula \([\text{Rh}(\kappa^4 C,N,N',P-L)AB][\text{SbF}_6]\), A, B = Cl, MeCN, H_2O, L = \(N\)-benzyl-\(N\)\{-2-(diphenylphosphanyl)benzyl\}-1-(pyridine-2-yl) methanamine (the synthesis was also reported by the same group). As depicted in Figure 22, reaction of the initial complex with (S)-phenylglycinate yields, by substitution of the coordinated MeCN, two diastereomers \((A, S)\) and \((C, S)\) in ca. 1:1 ratio which were thereafter separated by silica gel chromatography. Further substitution of the chiral ligands with terminal Cl by addition of excess HCl yields the two enantiomers A and C with retention of the configuration. The enantiopure compounds readily catalyzed the Diels-Alder reaction of methacrolein and cyclopentadiene after the removal of –Cl ligands with AgNO_3 with the open position temporarily occupied by MeCN or H_2O molecules. It is noteworthy that chiral-at-metal complexes like this fulfill the requirements of optical resolution, high configurational stability and labile coordinate sites. Chiral-at-metal catalysts seem to be more efficient at accomplishing asymmetric induction because of the proximity of the chiral center and the substrate; such systems have not been explicitly documented so far.
Figure 22. Resolution of Rh complex with tripodal tetradeinate ligand. (S)-phenylglycinate used as chiral auxiliary.
A similar approach was employed by Rong et al. for the resolution of a ruthenium chloride complex featuring chirality from the face-specific coordination of the 1-Me-3PhCp ligand (Figure 23). Substitution of the chloro ligand by the enantiopure thiol, (S)-α-methyl-benzenemethanethiol yielded a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers, which were then separated by fractional crystallization to give \((S_{Cp}, S)\)-11 and \((R_{Cp}, S)\)-11 (see Figure 24) in high diastereomeric ratios. Removal of the chiral thiolate ligand by complexation with Hg, and re-introduction of chloride using excess of LiCl allows for the isolation of one of the enantiomers of the starting complex \((S_{Cp})\)-8 (Figure 24). Enantiopure ruthenium complexes like these are excellent candidates for asymmetric catalysis. A summary of the synthetic procedure and resolution is given in Figure 24.\(^{103}\)
Figure 24. Synthesis and resolution of chiral Ru(PPh$_3$)$_2$Cl(1-Me-3-PhCp) complex. (modified from reference 103)
1.5. The octanuclear iron-oxo-pyrazolato complex

The octanuclear iron-oxo-pyrazolato complex \( [\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-\text{pz})_{12}\text{Cl}_4] \) \( [\text{Fe}_8] \), (Figure 25) has been reported and studied by Raptis’ group since 1999.\textsuperscript{104} Chirality, in many cases, can arise from the self-assembly of achiral subcomponents in supramolecular structures.\textsuperscript{105} The \( \text{Fe}_8 \) complex is one of those cases. It consists of a \( \text{Fe}_4\text{O}_4 \)-cubane core inside a shell of four outer \( \text{Fe} \)-atoms, twelve bridging pyrazolates, and four terminal chloride ligands. The \( \text{Fe}_4\text{O}_4\text{Cl}_4 \) moiety is in a tetrahedral arrangement, with each of the four \( C_3 \) axes running through \( \text{Cl}-\text{Fe}-\text{O}^\text{–} \text{Fe} \) atoms. The twelve pyrazolate ligands wrap around the iron-oxide core with a propeller twist, eliminating the symmetry mirror planes and reducing the \( T_d \) symmetry of the \( \text{Fe}_4\text{O}_4\text{Cl}_4 \)-core to that of the chiral \( T \) point group. As a consequence, the molecule crystallizes as an \( M/P \) racemic mixture.

Figure 25. Ball-and-stick diagram of the \( [\text{Fe}_8] \) complex. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity Color codes: gold, \( \text{Fe} \); red, \( \text{O} \); blue, \( \text{N} \); green \( \text{Cl} \); black, \( \text{C} \).\textsuperscript{106}
A variety of flavors of the general formula \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}_8(\mu_4-\text{O})_4(\mu_4-4-\text{R-pz})_4\text{X}_4]\) (R = H, Cl, CH$_3$, CH$_2$CH$_2$Cl, CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$Cl, X = Cl, Br, NSC) have been reported, as well as water-soluble derivatives (R = CH$_2$CH$_2$OH, CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$OH).\textsuperscript{106,107} The Fe$_8$ exhibits reach electrochemistry, showing up to four reversible reduction processes which can be tuned by differentiation of the R or X substituents (Figure 27). Additionally, it structurally resembles the Fe$_4$(\mu_4-O)$_4$ motifs encountered in magnetite, ferrihydrite, and maghemite. The resolution of the Fe$_8$ complex, or one of its derivatives, could render it an excellent candidate for enantioselective catalysis. Iron-based catalysts have been known for a long time and have implications in a great variety of organic transformations.\textsuperscript{108} During the last decade, in particular, iron catalysts have been developed to participate in more challenging reactions that were previously only possible via catalysis with second and third row transition metals.\textsuperscript{109–111} Furthermore, there is a great need for moving towards more sustainable chemistry and thus relying more on abundant, low-toxicity metals like iron.\textsuperscript{112} Asymmetric iron-based catalysis, on the other hand, is still a developing field.\textsuperscript{113}
In recent years, an increasing number of iron(II) and iron(III) complexes have found catalytic applications in asymmetric reactions, where second and third row transition metals were dominating, like, for example, cross-coupling reaction for C-C bond formation, C-H bond activation, epoxidations, etc.\textsuperscript{114–120} In addition to its potential application as an enantioselective catalyst, Fe\textsubscript{8} could possibly exhibit specific interaction with biological substrates and its properties could be exploited, finding applications in the intriguing and emerging field of artificial metalloenzymes.\textsuperscript{121}

![Cyclic voltammogram of \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{III}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu_4-pz)_12\text{Br}_4]_4, \text{vs. Fe}^+/\text{Fc}.\text{Reprinted with permission from Baran et al. Synthesis, Characterization, and Study of Octanuclear Iron-Oxo Clusters Containing a Redox-Active Fe4O4-Cubane Core. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47(2), 645–655. Copyright (2008) American Chemical Society.}]

Figure 27. Cyclic voltammogram of \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{III}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu_4-pz)_12\text{Br}_4]_4, \text{vs. Fe}^+/\text{Fc}.\text{Reprinted with permission from Baran et al. Synthesis, Characterization, and Study of Octanuclear Iron-Oxo Clusters Containing a Redox-Active Fe4O4-Cubane Core. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47(2), 645–655. Copyright (2008) American Chemical Society.}
Chapter II: Synthesis and characterization of Fe₈ complexes terminated with phenolates

2. Introduction

The strategy towards the resolution of the racemic mixture of the [Fe₈] complex includes the substitution of the terminal –Cl ligands with chiral phenols, which are expected to yield pairs of diastereomers (for detailed description see chapter III). Upon deprotonation, phenols become phenolates, which are known to have great affinity for Fe (III) metal centers, in contrast to alcohols. In addition, the lower pKa of phenols, ranging from 7.1 to 10.3 for a variety of mono-substituted ones, renders them amenable to deprotonation by mild bases. Therefore, it is appropriate to first optimize the synthetic protocols and crystallization methods of Fe₈-phenolates, as well as interpret their spectroscopic parameters, especially their ¹H-NMR. Towards that goal, seven achiral phenols and a chiral one were chosen, and their corresponding Fe₈-phenolates were synthesized and studied in detail by structural and spectroscopic methods.

2.1. Results and discussion

2.1.1. Synthesis

Complex [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)₁₂Cl₄] (1) was prepared using a literature procedure. Complexes 2-10 were synthesized by addition of a 20-fold excess of the corresponding phenol and triethylamine, to a CH₂Cl₂ solution of 1.
2.1.2. X-Ray crystallography

Crystallographic data and refinement parameters for complexes 2-5 are summarized in Table 3 and for complexes 6-9 in Table 4. Compounds 2, 3, and 9 crystallize in triclinic $P-1$ space group with one molecule per asymmetric unit for 2 and 3, and two molecules for 9 related by an inversion center. Compounds 4 and 7 crystallize in monoclinic $P2_1/c$ space group with a whole molecule per asymmetric unit, whereas 6 and 7 in monoclinic $C2/c$ with half molecule per asymmetric unit, the other half generated by the principal $C_2$ axis. Compound 8 crystallizes in $C2/c$, as well (for detailed crystallographic and structural description of 8 see Chapter III). All the compounds crystallize in centrosymmetric space groups as racemic mixtures. Two types of iron atoms are present in the structure. One is the cubane Fe$_c$, a six-coordinated distorted octahedral center surrounded by three N atoms from the pyrazolate ligands and three O atoms from the cubane (O$_c$). The other is the outer Fe$_o$, a five-coordinated trigonal bipyramidal center surrounded by three N atoms from the pyrazolate ligands, one O from the cubane and a terminal ligand (-Cl in case of 1 and the O$_{ph}$ of the corresponding phenolate in case of 2-9).
Figure 28. Ball-and-stick diagram of [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)₁₂(4-NO₂-C₆H₄O)₄] (2). Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Color codes: gold, Fe; red, O; blue, N; black, C.

Figure 29. Ball-and-stick diagram of [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)₁₂(4-NC-C₆H₄O)₄] (3). Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Color codes: gold, Fe; red, O; blue, N; black, C.
Figure 30. Ball-and-stick diagram of \([\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu_4-pz)_12(4-F-C_6H_4O)_4]\) (4). Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Color codes: gold, Fe; red, O; blue, N; F, olive; black, C.

Figure 31. Ball-and-stick diagram of \([\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu_4-pz)_12(4-\text{Cl-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]\) (5). Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Color codes: gold, Fe; red, O; blue, N; green, Cl; black, C.
Figure 32. Ball-and-stick diagram of \([\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-pz)_{12}(4-\text{I}-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4] \) (6). Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Color codes: gold, Fe; red, O; blue, N; purple, I; black, C.

Figure 33. Ball-and-stick diagram of \([\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-pz)_{12}(4-\text{CH}_3\text{O}-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4] \) (7). Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Color codes: gold, Fe; red, O; blue, N; black, C.
The common Fe₈(µ₄-O)₄(µ-pz)₁₂ core of all nine structures—the part of starting compound 1 that remains unaffected by substitution in the products 2-9—remains essentially identical within experimental error (three standard deviation), meaning that upon substitution of the terminal chloride ligands in 1 by phenolates, no significant structural changes are observed for the main core of the complex. The interatomic angles Oₖ-Feₒ-Cl vs Oₖ-Feₒ-Oₚh are unaffected, as well. The main variation is observed in the bond lengths of the outer Fe atoms with the terminal ligand, demonstrated by the decrease in distance from Feₒ-Cl ≈ 2.27 Å to Feₒ-Oₚh ≈ 1.85 Å. A comparison between the phenolate substituted compounds reveals no significant structural differences. The Feₒ-Oₚh bond lengths vary from 1.860 Å on average for the 4-F-substituted phenol (4), to
1.899 Å on average for 4-CN (3) and 4-^5^Bu (8). No specific trend can be observed moving from more electron withdrawing group phenolate substituents to more electron donating ones. A trend is also not observed regarding the Fe\textsubscript{O}-O\textsubscript{ph}-C angle (where C is the phenolate carbon bonded to phenolate oxygen). For compounds 2-9, the interatomic angle is quite similar on average, from 146.2 °- 149.8 °, with the most acute and more obtuse angles observed for compound 2 (137.2 ° and 162.4 respectively). A detailed list of bond lengths and interatomic angles for compounds 1-9 are given in Tables 1 and 2.
Table 1. Selected bond distances (Å) and interatomic angles (°) for 1 – 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;-O&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.032(6) - 2.066(7)</td>
<td>2.034(4) - 2.058(4)</td>
<td>2.033(3) - 2.052(3)</td>
<td>2.028(9) - 2.043(9)</td>
<td>2.028(2) - 2.040(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;-N</td>
<td>2.044(9) - 2.086(8)</td>
<td>2.058(4) - 2.082(5)</td>
<td>2.056(4) - 2.085(4)</td>
<td>2.056(9) - 2.081(9)</td>
<td>2.062(3) - 2.072(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe&lt;sub&gt;o&lt;/sub&gt;-O&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.940(7) - 1.960(6)</td>
<td>1.950(3) - 1.964(3)</td>
<td>1.959(3) - 1.966(3)</td>
<td>1.961(5) - 1.969(5)</td>
<td>1.957(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe&lt;sub&gt;o&lt;/sub&gt;-N</td>
<td>2.003(9) - 2.04(1)</td>
<td>1.996(3) - 2.059(3)</td>
<td>2.012(4) - 2.040(4)</td>
<td>2.004(7) - 2.044(6)</td>
<td>2.017(8) - 2.049(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe&lt;sub&gt;o&lt;/sub&gt;-O&lt;sub&gt;ph&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.862(3) - 1.900(3)</td>
<td>1.883(3) - 1.927(4)</td>
<td>1.854(5) - 1.865(4)</td>
<td>1.858(6) - 1.873(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe&lt;sub&gt;o&lt;/sub&gt;-Cl</td>
<td>2.271(4) - 2.274(4)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt; - Fe&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.067(2) - 3.091(2)</td>
<td>3.064(5) - 3.111(5)</td>
<td>3.056(9) - 3.077(9)</td>
<td>3.028(9) - 3.10(1)</td>
<td>3.027(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe&lt;sub&gt;o&lt;/sub&gt; - Fe&lt;sub&gt;o&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>5.841(3) - 5.888(2)</td>
<td>5.796(9) - 5.918(9)</td>
<td>5.842(9) - 5.913(9)</td>
<td>5.794(2) - 5.950(2)</td>
<td>5.937(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;-O&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt; - Fe&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>96.5(3) - 98.4(3)</td>
<td>96.7(6) - 99.3(7)</td>
<td>96.9(2) - 98.0(9)</td>
<td>96.2(3) - 99.3(6)</td>
<td>96.1(2) - 96.6(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;-Fe&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;-O&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>81.1(3) - 82.7(3)</td>
<td>80.0(7) - 82.6(6)</td>
<td>81.5(4) - 82.4(2)</td>
<td>82.1(1) - 82.9(2)</td>
<td>82.8(2) - 82.9(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;-Fe&lt;sub&gt;o&lt;/sub&gt;-O&lt;sub&gt;ph&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>176.9(4) - 178.2(2)</td>
<td>178.2(9) - 179.4(5)</td>
<td>178.1(3) - 179.0(2)</td>
<td>178.2(3) - 178.9(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;-Fe&lt;sub&gt;o&lt;/sub&gt;-Cl</td>
<td>176.9(2) - 179.5(2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe&lt;sub&gt;o&lt;/sub&gt;-O&lt;sub&gt;ph&lt;/sub&gt;-C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>145.6(6) - 152.5(5)</td>
<td>137.2(3) - 162.4(9)</td>
<td>140.2(2) - 158.2(8)</td>
<td>141.7(2) - 155.3(8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> From reference 106. Subscript c denotes cubane atoms, subscript o the outer iron atoms, and subscript ph the phenolate oxygen.
Table 2. Selected bond distances (Å) and interatomic angles (°) for 6 – 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₇-Oc</td>
<td>2.021(1) - 2.029(1)</td>
<td>2.028(8) - 2.045(6)</td>
<td>2.027(9) - 2.038(9)</td>
<td>2.010(5) - 2.060(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₇-N</td>
<td>2.058(1) - 2.077(1)</td>
<td>2.059(6) - 2.083(5)</td>
<td>2.060(9) - 2.080(9)</td>
<td>2.049(7) - 2.087(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₇-Oc</td>
<td>1.956(6) - 1.961(6)</td>
<td>1.956(6) - 1.961(6)</td>
<td>1.972(5) - 1.978(5)</td>
<td>1.947(6) - 1.962(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₇-N</td>
<td>2.030(8) - 2.052(8)</td>
<td>2.020(3) - 2.059(4)</td>
<td>2.020(7) - 2.049(7)</td>
<td>1.997(4) - 2.046(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₇-Op</td>
<td>1.862(6) - 1.885(6)</td>
<td>1.872(3) - 1.905(2)</td>
<td>1.870(5) - 1.926(5)</td>
<td>1.870(3) - 1.897(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₇-Cl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₇···Fe₇</td>
<td>3.025(1)</td>
<td>3.036(3) - 3.096(3)</td>
<td>3.046(10)</td>
<td>3.051(2) - 3.096(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₇···Fe₉</td>
<td>5.921(2)</td>
<td>5.787(9) - 5.950(9)</td>
<td>5.92(2)</td>
<td>5.830(3) - 5.930(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₇-Oc···Fe₉</td>
<td>82.4(2) - 96.8(2)</td>
<td>96.3(5) - 92.2(2)</td>
<td>96.9(2) - 97.2(2)</td>
<td>97.0(3) - 98.9(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₉-Fe₇-Oc</td>
<td>82.4(2) - 82.6(2)</td>
<td>80.7(2) - 82.9(2)</td>
<td>82.2(2)</td>
<td>81.6(4) - 82.6(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₉-Fe₇-Op</td>
<td>178.6(3) - 178.9(3)</td>
<td>178.4(2) - 179.3(7)</td>
<td>178.4(3) - 178.9(2)</td>
<td>177.0(6) - 177.9(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₉-Fe₉-Cl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₉-Op-C</td>
<td>140.6(2) - 152.3(8)</td>
<td>139.4(6) - 156.4(3)</td>
<td>138.9(2) - 153.5(7)</td>
<td>136.5(5) - 144.2(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compounds that resemble one or more sites of the \([\text{Fe}_8]\) complexes can be used as a comparison for the structural parameters in study. Byers et al. synthesized bis(imino)pyridine iron (III) bis(aryloxide) complexes for the catalysis of the polymerization of lactide (Figure 35). The coordination environment of this mononuclear Fe (III) complex is similar to the outer \(\text{Fe}_o\) sites of the \(\text{Fe}_8\). The two \(\text{Fe}-\text{O}\) distances, 1.815 and 1.820 Å are relatively shorter than the ones reported here, whereas the angles between \(\text{Fe}-\text{O}-\text{C}\) atoms which for the mononuclear species were measured 144.8° and 148.7° can be accounted as similar to the ones reported previously for complexes 2-9.

Figure 35. Bis(imino)pyridine iron(III) bis(aryloxide).
Table 3. Structure refinement parameters for complexes 2 – 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C₆₀H₅₂N₁₈O₁₈Fe₈</td>
<td>C₆₀H₅₂N₁₈O₁₈Fe₈</td>
<td>C₆₀H₅₂N₁₈O₁₈Fe₁₈</td>
<td>C₆₀H₅₂N₁₈O₁₈Fe₁₈</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>1868.09</td>
<td>1788.13</td>
<td>1760.05</td>
<td>1825.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature/K</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>P2₁/c</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>12.838(3)</td>
<td>13.074(6)</td>
<td>22.506(1)</td>
<td>24.500(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>14.219(3)</td>
<td>13.093(6)</td>
<td>25.360(1)</td>
<td>25.150(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>22.357(5)</td>
<td>24.26(1)</td>
<td>12.900(6)</td>
<td>13.007(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/°</td>
<td>104.38(2)</td>
<td>90.38(2)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/°</td>
<td>103.41(2)</td>
<td>100.4(1)</td>
<td>96.16(1)</td>
<td>106.12(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ/°</td>
<td>93.12(2)</td>
<td>108.9(1)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume/Å³</td>
<td>3818(1)</td>
<td>3855.9(3)</td>
<td>7320.3(6)</td>
<td>7700(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ calc/gcm⁻³</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>1.540</td>
<td>1.597</td>
<td>1.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ/mm⁻¹</td>
<td>1.556</td>
<td>1.530</td>
<td>1.616</td>
<td>1.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size/mm³</td>
<td>0.27×0.16×0.07</td>
<td>0.28×0.13×0.12</td>
<td>0.48×0.15×0.08</td>
<td>0.15×0.06×0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected/2Θmax(°)</td>
<td>17112 / 46.512</td>
<td>79167 / 53.628</td>
<td>123246 / 52.888</td>
<td>32260 / 52.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/restraints/parameters</td>
<td>10599/0/1009</td>
<td>16001/0/999</td>
<td>15036/0/1157</td>
<td>7892/0/485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F²</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>1.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1, wR2 [I&gt;=2σ (I)]</td>
<td>0.0568/ 0.1156</td>
<td>0.0698/ 0.1053</td>
<td>0.0364/ 0.0711</td>
<td>0.0678/ 0.1123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1, wR2 [all data]</td>
<td>0.1136/ 0.1416</td>
<td>0.0944/ 0.1159</td>
<td>0.0653/ 0.0800</td>
<td>0.1431/ 0.1333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Structure refinement parameters for complexes 6 – 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(8)</th>
<th>(9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C_{60}H_{52}N_{24}O_{8}Fe_{8}I_{4}</td>
<td>C_{64}H_{64}N_{24}O_{12}Fe_{8}</td>
<td>C_{76}H_{88}N_{24}O_{8}Fe_{8}</td>
<td>2(C_{60}H_{52}N_{28}O_{16}Fe_{8})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>2191.62</td>
<td>1808.19</td>
<td>1912.50</td>
<td>1910.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature/K</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>P2_{1}/c</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>P-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>24.636(2)</td>
<td>22.653(5)</td>
<td>25.791(1)</td>
<td>13.485(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>25.377(2)</td>
<td>25.754(5)</td>
<td>27.220(1)</td>
<td>21.299(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/°</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>110.85(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/°</td>
<td>104.68(1)</td>
<td>96.24(2)</td>
<td>105.53(1)</td>
<td>99.31(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ/°</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>94.84(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume/Å³</td>
<td>7991.4(7)</td>
<td>7705.3(3)</td>
<td>8866.1(7)</td>
<td>7691(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ_{calc}/gcm⁻³</td>
<td>1.822</td>
<td>1.559</td>
<td>1.433</td>
<td>1.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ/mm⁻¹</td>
<td>3.018</td>
<td>1.534</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>1.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size/mm³</td>
<td>0.30×0.16×0.22</td>
<td>0.49×0.14×0.03</td>
<td>0.22×0.10×0.09</td>
<td>0.23×0.14×0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections</td>
<td>111629 /54.504</td>
<td>86509 /54.246</td>
<td>49921 /54.266</td>
<td>82516 /52.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>collected/2Θmax(°)</td>
<td>8917/0/478</td>
<td>16966/0/977</td>
<td>9796/14/497</td>
<td>31276/0/2057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/restraints/parameters</td>
<td>8917/0/478</td>
<td>16966/0/977</td>
<td>9796/14/497</td>
<td>31276/0/2057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F²</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>1.038</td>
<td>1.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1, wR2 [I&gt;=2σ (I)]</td>
<td>0.0330/ 0.0820</td>
<td>0.0540/ 0.1362</td>
<td>0.0491/ 0.1478</td>
<td>0.0651/ 0.1767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1, wR2 [all data]</td>
<td>0.0765/ 0.1272</td>
<td>0.0923/ 0.1557</td>
<td>0.0750/ 0.1687</td>
<td>0.0968/ 0.1895</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.3. $^1$H-NMR

The shift and the line width in the $^1$H-NMR spectra of proton that are in proximity with paramagnetic centers such as Fe (III) with a spin $S = 5/2$ are significantly different than the ones observed for common organic compounds without the influence of paramagnetism. Thus the $^1$H-NMR spectra of $[\text{Fe}_8]$ and its derivatives are paramagnetically shifted and broadened. Despite the fact that the complex’s ground state is diamagnetic, in ambient temperatures a few excited states are populated, giving rise to its paramagnetism.\textsuperscript{106} The chemical environment for all twelve pyrazolate ligands is identical, thus, a single set of resonances is observed for each of them. The spectrum of the parent $[\text{Fe}_8]$, (1) is shown in Figure 36.

![Figure 36. $^1$H-NMR of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-pz)_{12}\text{Cl}_4]$ (1) in CD$_2$Cl$_2$. Solvents denoted with asterisk.](image-url)
The proton $^4\text{H}$ is assigned to the singlet peak at 40.31 ppm, which is not present in the spectra of analogues with substituents other than H at this pyrazole position (e.g. -Cl, -CH$_3$). Proton $^3\text{H}$, which is positioned closer to the cubane iron, Fe$_c$, is assigned to a broad singlet peak at 8.42 ppm, whereas $^5\text{H}$, closer to the outer iron, Fe$_o$, to the singlet at 0.04 ppm. The assignment for the protons on positions -3 and -5 of the pyrazolate is based on the distinct shift that $^5\text{H}$ exhibits upon substitution of the terminal -Cl of the Fe$_o$ atom by different ligands such as -Br. Compounds 2-9 were all characterized by $^1\text{H}$-NMR spectroscopy. An example is given in Figure 37 for compound 4 (for all spectra see Appendix).

![Figure 37. $^1\text{H}$-NMR of [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-pz)$_{12}$(4-F-C$_6$H$_4$O)$_4$] (4) in CDCl$_3$. Solvents denoted with asterisk.](image-url)
The assignment of protons $^4\text{H}$, $^3\text{H}$, and $^5\text{H}$ is straightforward, all of them shifting downfield upon substitution of the -Cl ligand of 1 by phenolate. In the case of 4-F-PhO, $^4\text{H}$ is found at 43.55 ppm, $^3\text{H}$ at 10.16 ppm and $^5\text{H}$ at 5.41 ppm displaying the highest shift among the three. The assignment of the phenolate protons was conducted on the basis of $T_1$ and $T_2^*$ relaxation measurements (Table 5).

For 4, $T_1$ and $T_2^*$ of $^a\text{H}$ were calculated at 1.9 msec and 1.6 msec, respectively, whereas the ones of $^b\text{H}$ were calculated at 14.7 msec and 5.3 msec. The faster relaxation time is expected for the protons closer to the paramagnetic Fe center, thus, the -ortho $^a\text{H}$ protons appear as a singlet at -41.27 ppm, while the -meta $^b\text{H}$ ones as another singlet at 39.94 ppm. The peak area integration provides additional information regarding the location of the peaks and their chemical and magnetic equivalence. All protons in these compounds appear as singlets with no coupling. Chemical shifts for compounds 2-7 and 9 are given in Table 6 (detailed assignment for compound 8 is described in chapter III).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$T_1$ of $^a\text{H}$ (msec)</th>
<th>$T_1$ of $^b\text{H}$ (msec)</th>
<th>$T_2^*$ of $^a\text{H}$ (msec)</th>
<th>$T_2^*$ of $^b\text{H}$ (msec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Chemical shifts $\delta$ (ppm) for compounds 2-7 and 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^3$H</th>
<th>$^5$H</th>
<th>$^7$H</th>
<th>$^9$H</th>
<th>$^{11}$H</th>
<th>$^{13}$H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>41.98</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>-26.50</td>
<td>36.73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>42.37</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>-29.14</td>
<td>37.61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.16</td>
<td>43.55</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>-41.27</td>
<td>39.94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>43.23</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>-38.28</td>
<td>40.75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>43.09</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>-37.17</td>
<td>41.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.64</td>
<td>43.80</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>-45.89</td>
<td>41.09</td>
<td>10.42(CH$_3$)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>42.40</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>-29.27</td>
<td>35.85</td>
<td>-28.74</td>
<td>-29.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A plausible explanation about the opposite direction of the shift of the -ortho and the -meta protons of the coordinated phenolates is depicted in Figure 38. The large electron spin on the Fe atom affects the local spin of the $pi$ system of the phenyl ring, and the $p$-orbitals that point in the same direction exhibit shift towards the same direction. This interpretation can also be confirmed by the spectrum of the meta-substituted nitrophenolate, compound 9, where the para proton shifts upfield similarly to the ortho ones (see Appendix).

Figure 38. Spin distribution on the Fe$_{o}$-OAr moiety.
An investigation of the temperature effect on the chemical shifts was also conducted with variable-temperature NMR experiments. Figures 39, 40, and 41 show the shift of the protons of compound 3 with decreasing temperature.

Figure 39. Chemical shift of protons $^4\text{H}$ and $^b\text{H}$ of [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-pz)$_{12}$(4-NC-C$_6$H$_4$O)$_4$] (3) with decreasing temperature.
Figure 40. Chemical shift of protons $^3$H and $^5$H of [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-pz)$_{12}$(4-NC-C$_6$H$_4$O)$_4$] (3) with decreasing temperature.

As shown, protons $^4$H, $^b$H, and $^5$H of 3 shift upfield by lowering the temperature, the effect being more prominent for the first two, whereas protons $^3$H and $^a$H shift downfield. The magnitude of the chemical shifts is given in Table 7. The shifts observed is to be expected by the electronic structure of the cluster. The number of populated excited states decreases with decrease of the temperature. Since the ground state of the complex is diamagnetic, moving towards very low temperatures, the effect of the paramagnetism is expected to be reduced.
Figure 41. Chemical shift of protons $^a$H of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-\text{pz})_{12}(4\text{-NC-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (3) with decreasing temperature.

Table 7. Magnitude of the chemical shifts of protons (ppm) of 3 with decreasing temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^4$H</th>
<th>$^5$H</th>
<th>$^6$H</th>
<th>$^8$H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. T.</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>42.37</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>-29.14</td>
<td>37.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>41.67</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>-28.81</td>
<td>37.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>42.21</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>-28.56</td>
<td>36.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-20</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>40.39</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>-27.90</td>
<td>36.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.4. UV-Visible Spectroscopy

The UV-Visible spectra of the family of Fe$_8$ complexes are characterized by a single broad band with $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at wavelengths between 350-400 nm, attributed to ligand-to-metal charge transfer bands (LMCT) from oxygen atoms, pyrazolates, and terminal ligands. The substitution of terminal ligands by phenolates has a noticeable effect on the spectra, with a new broad band appearing at lower energies, which can be unequivocally assigned to the phenolate-to-metal charge transfer, plus an intramolecular $\pi-\pi^*$ transition of the phenolate common to conjugate systems, between 260 and 280 nm (Figure 42).

![Figure 42. UV-Vis spectrum of [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-pz)$_{12}$(4-I-C$_6$H$_4$O)$_4$] (6) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$.](image-url)
Evidently, the variation of the phenolate substituent group affects the position of the band attributed to the phenolate LMCT, additionally confirming its assignment. It can be affirmed that the more electron-withdrawing groups shift the maximum absorption of this band ($\lambda_{\text{max}_1}$) to higher energy (lower wavelength), and, in contrast, electron-donating groups shift the $\lambda_{\text{max}_1}$ to lower energy (higher wavelength). The bands at around 350 nm attributed to the rest of the LMCT of the complex remain essentially unaffected. The wavelengths of the maximum absorption of the two different LMCT bands for compounds 2-9 are given in Table 8.

Table 8. LMCT bands maxima of compounds 2-9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{max}_1}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{max}_2}$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 (4-NO$_2$)</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (4-CN)</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (4-F)</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (4-Cl)</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (4-I)</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (4-CH$_3$O)</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (4-^Bu)</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (3-NO$_2$)</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.5. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer

Mössbauer spectroscopy is a solid-state technique that provides useful information about the oxidation states and coordination environment around metal centers. Numerical values extracted from a Mössbauer spectrum are the isomer shift $\delta$ (mms$^{-1}$), which describes the coulombic interaction between the nucleus and the electrons, giving information about the oxidation state of the metal, electronegativity of the ligands, character of bonds and spin state (high spin/low spin), and the quadruple splitting $\Delta E_Q$ (mms$^{-1}$), which additionally informs about the character of bonds and spin state, but mainly about the molecular symmetry.$^{125}$

The $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of [Fe$_8$] and derivatives have been thoroughly studied.$^{126}$ The terminal-Cl-substituted clusters present a similar pattern with two doublets, one for the cubane six-coordinate Fe sites and one for the five-coordinate outer Fe sites (Figure 43).

![Figure 43. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-4-Ph-pz)$_{12}$Cl$_4$] at 80 K (Fe$_c$ blue trace, Fe$_o$ red trace).](image-url)
Upon substitution of the terminal -Cl ligand with phenolates the major change on the spectrum is observed for the quadruple splitting of the outer doublet as expected by the change in the coordination environment of the outer Fe atoms (Figure 44).

Figure 44. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-pz)_{12}(4-I-C_6H_4O)_4]$ (6) at 80 K (Fe\textsubscript{c} blue trace, Fe\textsubscript{o} red trace).

The substitution of terminal -Cl to phenolates has little to no effect on the isomer shift $\delta$ values of both the Fe\textsubscript{c} and the Fe\textsubscript{o} sites. For $[\text{Fe}_8]$ (1) the reported values are 0.44 mm·s\textsuperscript{-1} and 0.36 mm·s\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively (recorded at 4.2 K).\textsuperscript{106} A small increase is observed on the $\Delta E_0$ of the Fe\textsubscript{c} (0.32 mm·s\textsuperscript{-1} on average for -Cl-substituted to 0.37 mm·s\textsuperscript{-1} for the phenolate ones), whereas the larger increase is observed for the $\Delta E_0$ of the Fe\textsubscript{o} that range from 0.65 mm·s\textsuperscript{-1} on average for the former and 1.20 mm·s\textsuperscript{-1} for the latter. In addition, the $\Delta E_0$ of the Fe\textsubscript{o} observed for the phenolate-substituted compounds described here are smaller than
two o xo-br idged polymeric analogues of the [Fe₈] reported earlier by our group (1.87 mm·s⁻¹ for 4-H-pz Fe₈ and 1.85 mm·s⁻¹ for 4-CH₃-pz Fe₈). Values for compounds 2-9 are summarized in Table 9. (For the rest of the spectra see Appendix).

Table 9. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer parameters of compounds 2-9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>$\delta$ (mm·s⁻¹)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_Q$ (mm·s⁻¹)</th>
<th>$\Gamma$ (mm·s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Site I (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>Cubane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Site II (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>Outer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Site I (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Cubane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Site II (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>Outer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Site I (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Cubane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Site II (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>Outer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Site I (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>Cubane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Site II (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>Outer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Site I (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Cubane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Site II (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Outer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Site I (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>Cubane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Site II (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>Outer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Site I (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>Cubane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Site II (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Outer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Site I (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Cubane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Site II (Fe₈)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>Outer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The electronic properties of the phenolate-substituents have a direct effect on the $\Delta E_Q$ of Fe$_0$ with the more electron-withdrawing ones decreasing it and the more electron-donating ones increasing it.

### 2.1.6. Electrochemistry

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) experiments were conducted to assess the electrochemical properties of the complexes. The rich electrochemistry already studied for the parent [Fe$_8$] and derivatives$^{106}$ (see for example Figure 27), is also present to the same or lesser extent in the phenolate analogues. The CV and DPV of 2 presented on the same diagram are depicted in Figure 45.

![Cyclic voltammogram and differential pulse voltammogram](image)

Figure 45. Cyclic voltammogram (solid line) and differential pulse voltammogram (dashed line) of [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-pz)$_{12}$(4-NO$_2$-C$_6$H$_4$O)$_4$] (2) in 0.5 M Bu$_4$NPF$_6$/CH$_2$Cl$_2$, vs Fe$^*/$Fe.
Table 10. Redox potentials ($E_{1/2}$ in V) and separation ($\Delta V$) between consecutive redox processes of compounds 2-9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$E_{1/2}(1)$</th>
<th>$E_{1/2}(2)/\Delta_{1-2}$</th>
<th>$E_{1/2}(3)/\Delta_{2-3}$</th>
<th>$E_{1/2}(4)/\Delta_{3-4}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.78/0.35</td>
<td>-1.07/0.29</td>
<td>-1.38/0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (4-NO$_2$)</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-0.99/0.49</td>
<td>-1.23/0.24</td>
<td>-1.48/0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (4-CN)</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-1.08/0.54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (4-F)</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>-1.26/0.55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (4-Cl)</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>-1.21/0.55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (4-I)</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>-1.18/0.53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (4-CH$_3$O)</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>-1.26/0.53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (4-^Bu)</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>-1.28/0.54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (3-NO$_2$)</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>-1.13/0.55</td>
<td>-1.51/0.38</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In close analogy with the parent [Fe$_8$] complex, compound 2 exhibits three fully reversible reductions and one quasi-reversible reduction. Two fully reversible processes can be observed for the rest of the compounds with a third one being present in the spectrum of 9 (Table 10). Two features can be readily observed comparing the $E_{1/2}$ values of the chloro-substituted compounds and the phenolate-substituted ones. The first is that they shift towards more negative potentials (cathodical shift), meaning that they become harder to reduce. The second is their increasing separation, in particular between $E_{1/2}(1)$ and $E_{1/2}$ (2), which for the former ones is of the range of 0.30 V, but for the latter increases to approximately 0.50 V. A larger separation between the waves could allow for more facile isolation of the reduced species upon chemical reduction. As for the other spectroscopic studies, a correlation between the phenolate-substituent and the redox
potential values is presented. Electron-donating groups tend to shift $E_{1/2}(1)$ and $E_{1/2}(2)$ to more negative potentials with a deviation observed for the fluoro-phenolate compound 4.

2.2. Conclusions

A series of octanuclear iron-oxo-pyrazolates where synthesized by substitution of the terminal chloride ligands of the parent Fe$_8$ complex with phenolates and characterized with a variety of techniques to better understand the structural and spectroscopic features of these compounds. The effect of the phenolatate substituents was assessed and found to be significant, especially to the variation of values obtained from experiments such as $^1$H-NMR, UV-Visible, $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer, and electrochemistry, demonstrating that by tuning of the chemical composition and structure of these unique complexes, a level of control of their properties can be achieved. These results can additionally be utilized as fingerprints for analogous compounds encountered either in nature or in synthetic forms.
2.3. Experimental section

2.3.1. Materials

Reagents were purchased from Aldrich and Fisher Scientific and used as received. Solvents were purified and distilled prior use by conventional techniques. The anhydrous Fe (III) salts were stored in a glove box compartment under argon.

2.3.2. Instrumentation

UV-Vis, IR, and $^1$H-NMR spectra were obtained with a Cary 50000 Series UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer, an Agilent 600 Series FTIR, and a Bruker AVANCE 400 MHz spectrometer, respectively. Electrochemical experiments were carried out with a BAS CV 50 W voltammetric analyzer in 0.5 M Bu$_4$NPF$_6$/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ using a non-aqueous Ag/AgNO$_3$ reference electrode for which the ferrocene/ferricenium couple occurs at 2.00 V, Pt auxiliary electrode, and glassy carbon and/or Pt working electrode. The $^{57}$Fe-Mössbauer measurements were recorded on a constant acceleration conventional spectrometer with a $^{57}$Co (Rh matrix) source. Variable-temperature spectra were obtained by using Oxford cryostats, operating at 4.2 – 300 K. Isomer shift values ($\delta$) are quoted relative to iron foil at 293 K. Analysis of the experimental spectra were performed with the WMOSS software (SEE Co, Edina, Minnesota). Elemental analyses were performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Knoxville, TN.

2.3.3. X-ray Crystallography

Crystals of the complexes 2-7 and 9 were obtained by recrystallization of dichloromethane/hexane solutions. In cases of 2-6 a suitable crystal for each complex was selected and mounted on a Bruker D8 Quest diffractometer equipped with PHOTON
100 detector, while in cases of 7 and 9 a suitable single crystal from each samples was selected and mounted on a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer equipped with CCD detector, both operating at T = 298 K. Data were collected with ω scan technique using graphite monochromated Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The total number of runs and images for data collection was based on strategy calculation from the program APEX3 (Bruker). Cell parameters were retrieved using the SAINT (Bruker) software and refined using SAINT (Bruker) on 10599 independent reflections for 2, 16001 for 3, 15036 for 4, 7894 for 5, 8917 for 6, 16964 for 7, and 31262 for 9. Data reduction was performed using the SAINT (Bruker) software, which corrects for Lorentz and polarization effects. The final completeness is 96.5% for 2, 96.9% for 3, 99.7% for 4, 99.6% for 5, 99.7% for 6, 99.7% for 7, and 98.7% for 9. Multi-scan absorption corrections were performed on all data sets using SADABS 2016/2. The structures for complexes 2, 3 and 9 were solved in space group P-1 (No. 2), for complexes 4 and 7 in P2_1/c (No. 14) and for complexes 5 and 6 in C2/c (No. 15) by intrinsic phasing method using the ShelXT structure solution program and refined by full matrix least square procedure on F2 using version 2016/6 of ShelXL. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically in all cases. Hydrogen atom positions were calculated geometrically and refined using the riding model. To alleviate the complications related to solvent accessible voids within the extended lattice in cases of 3, 5, 7 and 9, SQUEEZE operation (included in the PLATON program) was performed with the raw data set and the structure was refined form the data obtained upon SQUEEZE operation. In case of complex 3, one of the phenol fragments (with C58A as pivotal C atom) revealed a two-fold orientation disorder, which was modeled, and the anisotropic
displacement parameters of two pairs of atoms were constrained to be equal. In case of complex 4, the F atoms on the para position of the phenol rings exhibited two-fold disorder, which was modeled using PART refinement. All calculations and molecular graphics were preformed using SHELXTL 2016, and Olex2.\textsuperscript{135}

2.3.4. Synthesis

[Fe\textsubscript{8}(\textmu\textsubscript{4}-O)\textsubscript{4}(\textmu-pz)\textsubscript{12}Cl\textsubscript{4}] (1) was prepared by a literature method.\textsuperscript{106}

[Fe\textsubscript{8}(\textmu\textsubscript{4}-O)\textsubscript{4}(\textmu-pz)\textsubscript{12}(4-NO\textsubscript{2}-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}O)\textsubscript{4}] (2). A flask was charged with 50 mg, 0.034 mmol, of [Fe\textsubscript{8}(\textmu\textsubscript{4}-O)\textsubscript{4}(\textmu-pz)\textsubscript{12}Cl\textsubscript{4}] (1), and were dissolved in 10 mL CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} at room temperature. A 20-fold excess (95 mg, 0.68 mmol), of 4-nitrophenol and triethylamine (96 \mu l, 0.68 mmol), were added in the solution resulting in a color change from dark red to dark maroon within minutes. The reaction flask was sealed and kept stirring for 3 h. In the resulting solution 10 mL n-hexane were added, and the mixture was left stirring for 30 min. The reaction was filtered through celite, and X-ray quality crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of the CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}/hexane mixture. The crystals were washed with MeOH to remove excess of phenol, Et\textsubscript{3}N, and Et\textsubscript{3}NH\textsuperscript{+}Cl\textsuperscript{-} and dried under vacuum. Yield: 45%. Anal. Calcd for C\textsubscript{60}H\textsubscript{52}Fe\textsubscript{8}N\textsubscript{28}O\textsubscript{16}·CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}·H\textsubscript{2}O: C, 37.17; H, 2.86; N, 19.90. Found: C, 37.66; H, 2.89; N, 19.79. IR (CsI disk): 1582(vs), 1490(s), 1418(m), 1364(s), 1300(vs, N-O stretch), 1269(m), 1167(s), 1110(m), 1045(vs), 963(w), 873(m), 849(m), 764(s), 669(s), 617(m), 555(w), 482(vs, br Fe\textsubscript{c}-O), 351(s), 306(m). UV-Vis (CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}): \lambda\textsubscript{max1}, 18939 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, (528 nm), \lambda\textsubscript{max2}, 28328 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, (353 nm). \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR (\delta, ppm, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): 41.2 (s, 12 H, \textsuperscript{4}H), 8.3 (s, 12 H, \textsuperscript{3}H), 5.3 (s, 12 H, \textsuperscript{5}H), 36.7 (s, 8 H, \textsuperscript{b}H), -26.5 (s, 8 H, \textsuperscript{a}H).
[Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)₁₂(4-NC-C₆H₄O)₄] (3). Complex 3 was synthesized in a similar fashion to 2. Yield: 35%. Anal. Calcd for C₆₄H₅₂Fe₈N₂₈O₈·CH₂Cl₂: C, 42.51, 2.91, 21.35 C, 41.68; H, 2.91; N, 20.94. Found: C, 41.84; H, 2.95; N, 21.33. IR (CsI disk): 2218(m, C-N nitrile), 1592(s), 1417(m), 1364(m), 1308(vs), 1269(m), 1167(s), 1102(w), 1045(vs), 963(w), 868(m), 840(m), 763(s), 617(m), 549(m), 480(vs, br Fe₃-O), 353(m), 304(m). UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_max1, 18762 cm⁻¹, (533 nm), λ_max2, 28490 cm⁻¹, (351 nm). ¹H-NMR (δ, ppm, CDCl₃): 42.3 (s, 12 H, 4H), 8.7 (s, 12 H, 3H), 5.3 (s, 12 H, 5H), 37.6 (s, 8 H, bH), -29.1 (s, 8 H, aH).

[Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)₁₂(4-F-C₆H₄O)₄] (4). Complex 4 was synthesized in a similar fashion to 2. Yield: 70%. Anal. Calcd for C₆₀H₅₂F₄Fe₈N₂₈O₈: C, 40.95; H, 2.98; N, 19.1. Found: C, 41.59; H, 2.98; N, 18.98. IR (CsI disk): 1493(vs), 1417(m), 1364(s), 1289(s), 1270(s), 1209(s), 1169(s), 1146(m), 1086(m), 1046(vs), 963(m), 916(w), 878(m), 831(s), 786(s), 618(m), 553(m), 485(vs, br Fe₃-O), 378(m), 349(s), 326(m), 305(m). UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_max1, 17921 cm⁻¹, (558 nm), λ_max2, 29070 cm⁻¹, (344 nm). ¹H-NMR (δ, ppm, CDCl₃): 43.5 (s, 12 H, 4H), 10.2 (s, 12 H, 3H), 5.4 (s, 12 H, 5H), 39.9 (s, 8 H, bH), -41.3 (s, 8 H, aH).

[Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)₁₂(4-Cl-C₆H₄O)₄] (5). Complex 5 was synthesized in a similar fashion to 2. Yield: 55%. Anal. Calcd for C₆₀H₅₂Cl₄Fe₈N₂₈O₈: C, 39.47; H, 2.87; N, 18.41. Found: C, 39.56; H, 2.97; N, 17.96. IR (CsI disk): 1581(m), 1481(s), 1435(m), 1417(m), 1288(s), 1269(s), 1168(s), 1087(m), 1045(vs), 1002(w), 963(m), 916(w), 870(m), 828(s), 763(s), 688(s), 618(m), 552(w), 482(vs, br Fe₃-O), 351(s), 320(m), 306(s). UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_max1, 17857 cm⁻¹, (560 nm), λ_max2, 28985 cm⁻¹, (345 nm). ¹H-NMR (δ, ppm,
CDCl$_3$): 43.2 (s, 12 H, $^1$H), 9.9 (s, 12 H, $^2$H), 5.3 (s, 12 H, $^3$H), 40.7 (s, 8 H, $^4$H), -38.3 (s, 8 H, $^5$H).

$[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_{12}(\mu\text{-pz})_{12}(\text{4-1-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_{4}]$ (6). Complex 6 was synthesized in a similar fashion to 2. Yield: 80%. Anal. Calcd for C$_{60}$H$_{52}$Fe$_8$N$_{24}$O$_8$: C, 32.88; H, 2.39; N, 15.34. Found: C, 32.87; H, 2.42; N, 14.97. IR (CsI disk): 1569(m), 1490(m), 1476(vs), 1417(m), 1288(s), 1268(m), 1168(s), 1147(m), 1045(vs), 995(w), 962(w), 866(m), 823(m), 762(s), 648(m), 617(m), 551(w), 482(vs, br Fe$_c$-O), 375(m), 349(m), 303(w). UV-Vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): $\lambda_{\text{max}}$1, 17606 cm$^{-1}$, (568 nm), $\lambda_{\text{max}}$2, 28902 cm$^{-1}$, (346 nm). $^1$H-NMR (δ, ppm, CDCl$_3$): 43.1 (s, 12 H, $^1$H), 9.7 (s, 12 H, $^2$H), 5.3 (s, 12 H, $^3$H), 41.1 (s, 8 H, $^4$H), -37.2 (s, 8 H, $^5$H).

$[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_{12}(\mu\text{-pz})_{12}(\text{4-CH}_3\text{O-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_{4}]$ (7). Complex 7 was synthesized in a similar fashion to 2. Yield: 80%. Anal. Calcd for C$_{64}$H$_{64}$Fe$_8$N$_{24}$O$_{12}$: C, 42.51; H, 3.57; N, 18.59. Found: C, 42.46; H, 3.78; N, 18.37. IR (CsI disk): 1598(w), 1495(vs), 1465(w), 1439(w), 1417(m), 1364(s), 1268(vs), 1234(vs), 1169(s), 1098(w), 1045(vs), 962(w), 916(w), 875(m), 828(m), 764(s), 619(m), 548(w), 483(vs, br Fe$_c$-O), 348(s), 330(w), 304(m). UV-Vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): $\lambda_{\text{max}}$1, 16155 cm$^{-1}$, (619 nm), $\lambda_{\text{max}}$2, 28985 cm$^{-1}$, (345 nm). $^1$H-NMR (δ, ppm, CDCl$_3$): 43.8 (s, 12 H, $^1$H), 10.6 (s, 12 H, $^2$H), 5.3 (s, 12 H, $^3$H), 41.1 (s, 8 H, $^4$H), -45.9 (s, 8 H, $^5$H), 10.4 (s, 12 H, $^6$CH$_3$).

$[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_{12}(\mu\text{-pz})_{12}(\text{4-3Bu-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_{4}]$ (8). See chapter III.

$[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_{12}(\mu\text{-pz})_{12}(\text{3-NO}_2\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_{4}]$ (9). Complex 9 was synthesized in a similar fashion to 2. Yield: 70%. Anal. Calcd for C$_{60}$H$_{52}$Fe$_8$N$_{28}$O$_{16}$: C, 38.58; H, 2.81; N, 20.99. Found: C, 38.58; H, 2.70; N, 20.60. IR (CsI disk): 1608(w), 1560(w), 1524(s), 1491(m),
1473(m), 1436(m), 1363(s), 1308(s, N-O stretch), 1286(s), 1269(s), 1168(s), 1075(w), 1045(vs), 996(w), 871(w), 826(s), 763(s), 739(m), 675(m), 617(m), 556(w), 482(vs, br Fe=O), 352(s), 306(m). UV-Vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): $\lambda_{\text{max1}}$, 19305 cm$^{-1}$, (518 nm), $\lambda_{\text{max2}}$, 28985 cm$^{-1}$ (345 nm). $^1$H-NMR ($\delta$, ppm, CDCl$_3$): 42.4 (s, 12 H, $^4$H), 8.9 (s, 12 H, $^3$H), 5.5 (s, 12 H, $^5$H), 35.8 (s, 4 H, $^b$H), -29.3 (s, 4 H, $^a$H), -29.3 (s, 4 H, $^d$H) -28.7 (s, 4 H, $^c$H).
Chapter III: Resolution of Fe$_8$ via diastereomer formation with chiral phenols

3. **Introduction**

Utilization of chiral phenols for the formation of diastereomers was proven to be the most promising method for the resolution of the Fe$_8$ complex. The use of the racemic mixture of the phenol would yield a high number of the possible diastereomers that can be formed by the combination of the $P/M$ Fe$_8$ complex enantiomers and $R/S$ phenol enantiomers, since the substitution of terminal chloride ligands by four phenolates can give rise to combinations such as $P$ ($R,R,R,R$), $P$ ($R,R,R,S$), $P$ ($R,R,S,R$), $P$ ($R,S,R,R$), $M$ ($R,R,R,R$), $M$ ($R,R,R,S$), $M$ ($R,S,R,R$), $M$ ($R,R,S,R$) etc., rendering their separation a challenging task. However, a potential preference of each of the complex’s enantiomers for a specific enantiomer of the phenol would significantly decrease this number facilitating their isolation. Herein, we demonstrate how this preference exists and results in the resolution of one of the Fe$_8$ derivatives upon crystallization.

3.1. **Results and discussion**

3.1.1. **Synthesis**

Three Fe$_8$ derivatives were used, [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-pz)$_{12}$Cl$_4$] (1), [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-4-CH$_3$-pz)$_{12}$Cl$_4$] (10) and [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-4-Cl-pz)$_{12}$Cl$_4$] (11) and reacted with 4-$^t$Bu-phenol and base in a similar fashion to the compounds bearing achiral phenols, yielding compounds [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-pz)$_{12}$(rac-$^t$Bu-C$_6$H$_4$O)$_4$] (8), [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-4-CH$_3$-pz)$_{12}$(rac-$^t$Bu-C$_6$H$_4$O)$_4$] (12), and [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-4-Cl-pz)$_{12}$(rac-$^t$Bu-C$_6$H$_4$O)$_4$] (13).
3.1.2. X-ray crystallography

Compound 8 crystallizes in the monoclinic centrosymmetric \( C2/c \) space group with half molecule per asymmetric unit and half \( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) solvent. The molecule resides on a \( C_2 \) axis, thus the other half is generated by this symmetry element. The crystal structure confirms the replacement of all four chloride ligands by \( 4\text{-sec-} \)butylphenolates without other significant change in the structure (Figure 46). The crystallization of 8 in a centrosymmetric space group indicates its racemate nature, implying that this Fe\(_8\) derivative does not resolve upon crystallization. However, the configuration of the \( 4\text{-} \text{Bu-phenolate} \) ligands, all four of which are \( S\)-, indicates that there is selectivity of the cluster to one of the two enantiomers of the phenolate. There are four molecules in the unit cell; two pairs of enantiomers, the symmetry element relating each to the other being an inversion center. The characteristic Fe-O bond lengths of the Fe\(_4\)O\(_4\) cubane range from 2.027(3) to 2.038(3) Å, whereas the Fe\(_c\)-O-Fe\(_c\) and O\(_c\)-Fe\(_c\)-O\(_c\) angles vary from 96.9(1)° to 97.2(1)° and from 82.2(1)° to 82.3(1)°, respectively. Selected bond lengths and angles for 8 are summarized in Table 11.
Figure 46. Ball-and-stick diagram of [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ₄-pz)₁₂(rac-4-Bu-C₆H₄O)₄] (8): Gold, Fe; red, O; blue, N; black, C. Only hydrogen atoms on the stereocenters are shown.

Similarly 12 (Figure 47) crystallizes in the centrosymmetric C2/c space group with half a molecule per asymmetric unit and resides on a C₂ axis. However the configuration of one of the 4-Bu-phenolate ligands is obscured by crystallographic disorder, indicating partial occupancy of this position by either the S- or the R-enantiomer. Selected bonds and angles for 12 are summarized in Table 11.
Figure 47. Ball-and-stick diagram of [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-4-CH$_3$-pz)$_{12}$(rac-4-$^5$Bu-C$_6$H$_4$O)$_4$] (12): Gold, Fe; red, O; blue, N; black, C. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.

In contrast to 8 and 12, compound 13 crystallizes in the chiral non-centrosymmetric $P2_1$3 space group with $Z = 4$; the molecule occupies a general position with one third molecule per asymmetric unit. One 4-$^5$Bu-phenolate ligand is positioned on the threefold symmetry axis ($C_3$) with crystallographically imposed symmetry; thus, its absolute configuration is obscured by the crystallographic disorder. Nevertheless, this is irrelevant to the fact that the $P$ and $M$ [Fe$_8$] enantiomers crystallized separately. There are several possible diastereomers that can be formed with the racemic ligand, the number of which can be reduced to four if all peripheral positions are occupied by ligands with the same configuration (i.e., all $R$ or all $S$ would yield $P(S)$, $P(R)$, $M(S)$, and $M(R)$). X-ray analysis showed two different types of crystal structures, 13a and 13b, that correspond to the enantiomers $P(R)$ and $M(S)$ with Flack parameters -0.006(4) and -0.003(5), respectively.
The $P(R)$ and $M(S)$ compounds crystallized with different interstitial solvent content.

Selected bond lengths and angles for 13\textit{a} and 13\textit{b} are summarized in Table 11.

![Figure 48](image)

Figure 48. Ball-and-stick diagrams of 13\textit{a} ($P/R$ enantiomer, up and left), and 13\textit{b} ($M/S$ enantiomer, up and right). View parallel to one of the C\textsubscript{3} axes of 13\textit{a} (down and left), and 13\textit{b} (down and right). Three of the twelve pyrazolates and three of the four phenolates are shown: Gold, Fe; red, O; blue, N; green, Cl; black, C. Only hydrogen atoms on the stereocenters are shown.
Table 11. Selected bond lengths (Å) and interatomic angles (°) for compounds 8, 12, 13a and 13b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13a</th>
<th>13b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Fe}_o-\text{O}_c)</td>
<td>1.971(2)-1.979(3)</td>
<td>1.970(3)-1.971(3)</td>
<td>1.987(4)-1.994(4)</td>
<td>1.985(7)-1.991(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Fe}_c-\text{O}_c)</td>
<td>2.027(3)-2.038(3)</td>
<td>2.022(3)-2.039(3)</td>
<td>2.024(4)-2.036(4)</td>
<td>2.017(7)-2.030(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Fe}_c-\text{N})</td>
<td>2.060(3)-2.080(3)</td>
<td>2.055(3)-2.078(4)</td>
<td>2.080(5)-2.089(7)</td>
<td>2.070(9)-2.076(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Fe}_o-\text{N})</td>
<td>2.020(4)-2.049(3)</td>
<td>2.016(4)-2.030(3)</td>
<td>2.024(8)-2.038(7)</td>
<td>2.011(9)-2.036(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Fe}<em>o-\text{O}</em>{ph})</td>
<td>1.869(4)-1.926(3)</td>
<td>1.853(3)-1.874(3)</td>
<td>1.820(7)-1.824(5)</td>
<td>1.817(9)-1.819(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Fe}_c-\text{Fe}_c)</td>
<td>3.045(2)-3.090(3)</td>
<td>3.046(2)-3.056(2)</td>
<td>3.055(1)</td>
<td>3.049(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Fe}_o-\text{Fe}_o)</td>
<td>5.814(8)-5.943(9)</td>
<td>5.8764(3)-5.8793(3)</td>
<td>5.930(1)</td>
<td>5.915(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{O}_c-\text{Fe}<em>o-\text{O}</em>{ph})</td>
<td>178.4(1)-178.9(1)</td>
<td>178.3(1)-179.2(1)</td>
<td>179.1(2)-180.0(2)</td>
<td>179.1(4)-180.0(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{O}_c-\text{Fe}_c-\text{O}_c)</td>
<td>82.2(1)-82.3(1)</td>
<td>81.8(1)-81.9(1)</td>
<td>82.2(2)-82.3(2)</td>
<td>81.3(4)-82.3(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Fe}_c-\text{O}-\text{Fe}_c)</td>
<td>96.9(1)-97.2(1)</td>
<td>97.7(1)-97.9(1)</td>
<td>97.33(2)-97.6(2)</td>
<td>97.3(3)-97.9(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{N}-\text{Fe}_o-\text{N})</td>
<td>116.1(2)-125.2(2)</td>
<td>116.3(2)-124.9(1)</td>
<td>119.27(8)-121.6(3)</td>
<td>119.2(1)-122.0(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Fe}<em>o-\text{O}</em>{ph}-\text{C})</td>
<td>139.0(3)-153.5(3)</td>
<td>141.6(4)-154.9(4)</td>
<td>169.8(9)-175.1(8)</td>
<td>174.9(1)-180.0(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 12. Structure refinement parameters for complexes 8, 12, 13a, and 13b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(8)</th>
<th>(12)</th>
<th>(13a)</th>
<th>(13b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C_{76}H_{88}Fe_{8}N_{24}O_{8}</td>
<td>C_{88}H_{96}N_{24}O_{8}</td>
<td>C_{76}H_{76}Cl_{12}Fe_{8}N_{24}O_{8}</td>
<td>C_{76}H_{76}Cl_{12}Fe_{8}N_{24}O_{8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>1912.50</td>
<td>2064.68</td>
<td>2325.83</td>
<td>2325.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature/K</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>cubic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>P2_13</td>
<td>P2_13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>25.791(1)</td>
<td>13.074(6)</td>
<td>21.861(2)</td>
<td>21.797(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>27.220(1)</td>
<td>13.093(6)</td>
<td>21.861(2)</td>
<td>21.797(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>13.1084(6)</td>
<td>24.262(12)</td>
<td>21.861(2)</td>
<td>21.797(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/°</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/°</td>
<td>105.534(1)</td>
<td>104.686(2)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ/°</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>108.9(1)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume/Å³</td>
<td>8866.1(7)</td>
<td>11471(1)</td>
<td>10447(3)</td>
<td>10356(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_{calc}/\text{gcm}^{-3})</td>
<td>1.433</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>1.479</td>
<td>1.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu/\text{mm}^{-1})</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>1.444</td>
<td>1.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size/mm³</td>
<td>0.22 x 0.09 x 0.08</td>
<td>0.75x0.29x0.15</td>
<td>0.28 x 0.25 x 0.03</td>
<td>0.18 x 0.15 x 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected/2θmax(°)</td>
<td>49921 /54.26</td>
<td>67482 /52.94</td>
<td>118781 /54.64</td>
<td>184558 /49.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/restraints/parameters</td>
<td>9796/14/497</td>
<td>11820/11/596</td>
<td>7843/148/403</td>
<td>5892/181/385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on (F^2)</td>
<td>1.027</td>
<td>1.099</td>
<td>1.067</td>
<td>1.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1, (wR2) [I&gt;=2σ (I)]</td>
<td>0.049/ 0.1465</td>
<td>0.061/ 0.1793</td>
<td>0.049/ 0.1260</td>
<td>0.058/ 0.1508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1, (wR2) [all data]</td>
<td>0.076/ 0.1686</td>
<td>0.091/ 0.2053</td>
<td>0.066/ 0.1458</td>
<td>0.077/ 0.1782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flack</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.006(4)</td>
<td>-0.003(5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.3. UV-Vis

The UV-Vis spectra of compounds 8, 12, and 13 display the same features as the ones with achiral phenolates discussed in Chapter II. Two LMCT bands are present for all complexes together with a band attributed to the intramolecular $\pi-\pi^*$ transition of the phenolate. For 8 (Figure 49) these bands are located at 583 and 344 nm (LMCT bands), and 281 nm ($\pi-\pi^*$ transition) and accordingly, for 12, at 564, 349, and 281 nm (Figure 50), and for 13 at 643, 353, and 278 nm, respectively (Figure 51).

![UV-Vis spectrum](image)

Figure 49. UV-Vis spectrum of [Fe$_8$(µ$_4$-O)$_4$(µ-pz)$_{12}$(4-$^5$Bu-C$_6$H$_4$O)$_4$], (8), recorded at 295 K in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. 
Figure 50. UV-Vis spectrum of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-4-\text{CH}_3-\text{pz})_{12}(4^\text{tBu-6H}_4\text{O})_4]$, (12), recorded at 295 K in CH$_2$Cl$_2$.

Figure 51. UV-Vis spectrum of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-4-\text{Cl-pz})_{12}(4^\text{tBu-6H}_4\text{O})_4]$, (13), recorded at 295 K in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. 
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3.1.4. $^1$H-NMR

The assignment of the $^1$H-NMR spectra of 8, 12, and 13 was based on the methodology followed for the assignment of the compounds with achiral terminal phenolate ligands. The spectrum of 8 (Figure 52) shows one set of resonances for the twelve pyrazolate ligands. The pyrazolate protons shift downfield, compared to the parent chloro-terminated compound. The chemical shifts of protons $^3$H, $^4$H, and $^5$H are $\delta(^3$H) = 10.7 ppm, $\delta(^4$H) = 43.8 ppm, and $\delta(^5$H) = 5.2 ppm, respectively. The –ortho protons of the phenolate ligand, $^a$H, in closest distance from the Fe$_c$, appear as a broad singlet at $\delta(^a$H) = -43.7 ppm, whereas the –meta $^b$H ones at $\delta(^b$H) = 44.0 ppm overlapping with $^4$H.

Figure 52. $^1$H-NMR spectrum of 8, 400 MHz, CDCl$_3$. Solvents denoted with asterisk, phenol impurities with cross.
The chemical shift of the proton attached to the chiral carbon of the sec-butyl group is $\delta(c^H) = 20.9$ ppm. The diastereotopic $c^H$ and $e^H$ give two singlets at $\delta = 3.5$ ppm and $\delta = 0.4$ ppm, and $d^H$ and $f^H$ two singlets at $\delta(d^H) = 3.0$ and $\delta(f^H) = 1.1$ ppm, respectively.

Assignment of the spectra of compounds 12 (Figure 53) and 13 (Figure 54) was conducted in a similar fashion. For 12 the shifts of protons $^3H$, $^4H$ (CH$_3$), and $^5H$ are $\delta(^3H) = 8.8$ ppm, $\delta(^4H) = 24.8$ ppm, and $\delta(^5H) = 6.9$ ppm, respectively. The $-$ortho protons $^aH$, appear at $\delta(a^H) = -36.1$ ppm, the $-$meta $^bH$ at $\delta(b^H) = 39.5$ ppm, the proton on the chiral carbon $^cH$ at $\delta(c^H) = 17.6$ ppm, the diastereotopic $^eH$ and $^e'H$ at $\delta = 3.3$ ppm and $\delta = 0.5$ ppm, while $^dH$ and $^fH$ at $\delta(d^H) = 2.7$ and $\delta(f^H) = 1.0$ ppm, respectively.

![Figure 53. $^1H$-NMR spectrum of 12, 400 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$. Solvents denoted with asterisk.](image-url)
Accordingly for 13, protons $^3$H and $^5$H shift at $\delta(^3\text{H}) = 15.8$ ppm and $\delta(^5\text{H}) = 10.9$ ppm, respectively. The –ortho protons $^a$H, appear at $\delta(^a\text{H}) = -58.1$ ppm, the –meta $^b$H at $\delta(^b\text{H}) = 51.5$ ppm, the proton on the chiral carbon $^c$H at $\delta(^c\text{H}) = 26.8$ ppm, the diastereotopic $^d$H and $^e$H at $\delta = 4.2$ ppm and $\delta = 0.04$ ppm, while $^d$H and $^f$H at $\delta(^d\text{H}) = 3.7$ and $\delta(^f\text{H}) = 1.1$ ppm. A summary of the chemical shifts of protons for compounds 8, 12, and 13 is given in Table 13.

Figure 54. $^1\text{H}$-NMR spectrum of 13, 400 MHz, CDCl$_3$. Solvents denoted with asterisk, phenol impurities with cross.
Table 13. Chemical shifts $\delta$ (ppm) for compounds 8, 12 and 13.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^3$H</th>
<th>$^5$H</th>
<th>$^7$H</th>
<th>$^9$H</th>
<th>$^{11}$H</th>
<th>$^{13}$H</th>
<th>$^{15}$H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>-43.7</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>-36.1</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>-58.1</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.5. Circular Dichroism

The resolution was performed by circular dichroism spectroscopy on the single crystals of the compounds that crystallized in non-centrosymmetric space groups, 13a and 13b. CD experiments conducted on solutions of single crystals exhibit two mirror image spectra, confirming their enantiomeric relationship (Figure 55). The CD spectrum of the $M(S)$ diastereomer, 13b, displays positive Cotton effect with three maxima/minima at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 326$, 380, and 462 nm, assigned to LMCT bands of the complex, and a $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at 270 nm assigned to the intramolecular $\pi-\pi^*$ transition of the phenolate ligands. Accordingly, the $P(R)$ isomer, 13a, displays negative Cotton effect, being a mirror image of that of the $M(S)$. A comparison between the CD and the UV-Vis spectrum of 13 reveals that the UV-Vis band at 643nm, attributed to the phenolate-to-iron charge transfer, does not give rise to a CD signal, as the electric transition dipole of the O-to-Fe transition lies in the mirror plane relating the $P$ and $M$ enantiomeric forms.
Figure 55. CD spectra of $M(S)$ (red) and $P(R)$ (blue) diastereomers of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-4\text{-Cl-pz})_{12}(4'-\text{Bu-}C_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4] \ (13\text{b and }13\text{a})$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at 295 K.

The CD spectra of the above solutions were recorded again after a period of a month; No changes were observed, indicating that no racemization takes place. Furthermore, the CD spectra were recorded again after addition of an excess of a 0.06 M solution of HCl to substitute the phenolates and reintroduce the terminal chloride ligands (Figure 56), showing that the parent compound, $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-4\text{-Cl-pz})_{12}\text{Cl}_4] \ (11)$, is also configurationally stable. The $M$ and $P$ enantiomers display positive and negative Cotton effects, respectively, with $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at 327, 362, and 476 nm, while the opposite bands at 289 nm are attributed to free $S$- and $R$-phenol.
Figure 56. CD spectra of $M$ (red) and $P$ (blue) enantiomers of [Fe$_8$(µ$_4$-O)$_4$(µ-4-Cl-pz)$_{12}$Cl$_4$], (11), in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at 295 K.

To confirm the presence of only the [Fe$_8$(µ$_4$-O)$_4$(µ-4-Cl-pz)$_{12}$Cl$_4$] and free phenol, the UV-Vis spectrum of the above solution (Figure 57) was recorded and compared to the UV-Vis of [Fe$_8$(µ$_4$-O)$_4$(µ-4-Cl-pz)$_{12}$Cl$_4$] (Figure 58) and of 4-sec-butylphenol. Figure 57 shows that the spectrum after the addition of HCl matches the one of pure [Fe$_8$(µ$_4$-O)$_4$(µ-4-Cl-pz)$_{12}$Cl$_4$] plus two features at 284 and 277 nm. Subtraction of the two spectra gives rise to the one shown in Figure 59 which is an exact match to the free 4-sec-butylphenol spectrum.
Figure 57. UV-vis spectrum of a solution of 13 after addition of HCl 0.06 M recorded at 295 K in CH₂Cl₂.

Figure 58. UV-vis spectrum of [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-4-Cl-pz)₁₂Cl₄] (11), recorded at 295 K in CH₂Cl₂.
Figure 59. Subtraction of the UV-Vis spectra depicted on Figure 56 and Figure 57. The resulting graph matches the UV-Vis spectrum of 4-sec-Bu-C₆H₄OH recorded in CH₂Cl₂.

3.2. Conclusions

In summary, the racemic (P, M) chloro-terminated cluster, [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-4-Cl-pz)₁₂Cl₄], prepared from FeCl₃ and 4-Cl-pz, was converted to its 4-sec-butylphenolate derivative, rac-[Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-4-Cl-pz)₁₂(4-sec-Bu-C₆H₄O)₄], via a metathetical reaction with also racemic (R, S) 4-sec-butylphenol. The racemic octanuclear complex consists of the P/R and M/S enantiomers, which were resolved spontaneously upon crystallization. The choice of 4-R-pz ligand is critical; cluster-ligand recognition takes place for both pyrazolates studied here, however spontaneous resolution occurs only with the 4-Cl derivative.
3.3. Experimental section

3.3.1. Materials
See section 2.3.1

3.3.2. Instrumentation
UV-Vis, IR, and \(^1\)H-NMR spectra were obtained with a Cary 50000 Series UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer, an Agilent 600 Series FTIR, and a Bruker AVANCE 400 MHz spectrometer, respectively. Circular Dichroism spectra were recorded on a JASCO J-815 CD spectropolarimeter (1 nm bandwidth, scanning speed 200 nm/min, 2 mm path length). Elemental analyses were performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc.

3.3.3. X-ray Crystallography
X-ray diffraction data from crystals \(8, 12, 13a\) and \(13b\) mounted atop glass fibers, collected on a Bruker \(D8\) Quest diffractometer equipped with PHOTON 100 detector, operating at \(T = 298\) K. Data were collected with shutterless \(\omega\) scan technique using graphite monochromated Mo-K\(\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 0.71073\) \(\text{Å}\)). The total number of runs and images for data collection was based on strategy calculation from the program \(APEX3\).\(^{129}\) Resolution of \(\theta \geq 25^\circ\) was achieved. Cell parameters were retrieved using the \(SAINT\) software and refined using \(SAINT\) on 9796 independent reflections for \(8\), 11820 for \(12\), 7843 for \(13a\), and 5892 for \(13b\).\(^{130}\) Data reduction was performed using the \(SAINT\) software, which corrects for Lorentz and polarization effects. The final completeness is 99.7\% for \(12\), 99.8\% for \(8\) and \(13a\), and 99.9\% for \(13b\). Multi-scan absorption corrections were performed on all data sets using \(SADABS 2016/2\).\(^{131}\) The minimum and maximum transmissions for \(8\) are 0.753 and 0.898, for \(12\) are 0.704 and 0.853, for \(13a\) are 0.589 and
0.746, and for 13b are 0.668 and 0.745. The structures for complexes 13a and 13b were solved in a chiral space group $P2_13$ (No. 198) and in case of complexes 8 and 12 in $C2/c$ (No. 15) by intrinsic phasing using the ShelXT$^{132}$ structure solution program and refined by full matrix least square procedure on $F^2$ using version 2016/6 of ShelXL.$^{133}$ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically in all cases. Hydrogen atom positions were calculated geometrically and refined using the riding model. To alleviate the complications related to solvent accessible voids within the extended lattice in all cases, *SQUEEZE* operation (included in the *PLATON* program) was performed with the raw data set and the structure was refined from the data obtained upon *SQUEEZE* operation.$^{134}$

The relatively large thermal ellipsoids (associated with large thermal motion) of certain C atoms of the sec-butyl groups are restrained with Enhanced Rigid Bond Restrain. The application of this restrain enabled to achieve similar displacement parameters ($U_{ij}$) to emulate rigid body motion. In few cases two adjacent atoms were also constrained to have equivalent atomic displacement parameters. In case of 13a and 13b, one of the phenyl groups resides on a 3-fold axis and found to be severely disordered. This phenyl ring resides in three symmetry equivalent positions. Initially six C atoms of this phenyl ring are located from the difference map and appropriate AFIX constraint was applied to fit these atoms to a regular hexagon with default C-C distance of 1.39 Å. In case of 13a and 13b the site occupancy factor (sof) are refined in slightly different ways. In case of 13b, the pivotal C atoms of this phenyl ring (C23) are found to be on the same axis as the Fe4 and O4 atoms (C23-O4-Fe4 angle is 180°). This C atom (C23) is on a special
position and refined with a sof of 0.166 and is shared by three symmetry equivalent phenyl rings. The other C atoms (C24 to C28) of this constrained phenyl ring was refined with sof of 0.333. However, in case of 13a, the pivotal C atom (C23) is not on the same axis as O4 and Fe4 (C23-O4-Fe4 angle is 169.84°). Thus, in this case all the six C atoms of the constrained phenyl ring (C23-C28) are refined with sof of 0.333. In both cases the pivotal C atoms (C23 which is bonded to the O4 atom) has been located unambiguously from the difference map and has not been constrained or restrained with the O4 atom. PART -1 was applied to C23 to C28, to avert the symmetry equivalent atoms to bind to one another. PART -1 has also been applied on the C atoms of the sec-butyl fragment connected with this phenyl ring for the same reason. Appropriate restrain has also been applied as to impart U_{ij} values of the C atoms of this phenyl rings (C23-C28) to refine as approximately isotropic with the standard uncertainty. Few distances between the two bonded C atoms of the sec-butyl fragment have been restrained with DFIX command to possess reasonable bond distance with standard uncertainty. All calculations and molecular graphics were preformed using either SHELXTL 2016 or Olex2 programs.\textsuperscript{135}
3.3.4. Synthesis

[Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-4-CH₃-pz)]₁₂Cl₈ (10) and [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-4-Cl-pz)]₁₂Cl₈ (11) were prepared by a literature method.¹⁰⁶

[Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)]₁₂(4-̈Bu-C₆H₄O)₄ (8). 0.086g (0.059 mmol) of [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)]₁₂Cl₈, were dissolved in 10 mL CH₂Cl₂ forming a dark red solution in which 0.177 g, (1.18 mmol) of rac-4-sec-butylphenol, and 168 μL (1.18 mmol) of Et₃N (0.044g, 0.44 mmol) were added resulting in a color change from dark red to dark blue. The solution was stirred for 3 h, filtered and layered with hexane. X-ray quality crystals as blue needles were obtained by slow evaporation of the CH₂Cl₂/hexane solution. (Yield: 71mg; 67.7 %). Anal. Calc. for [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)]₁₂(4-̈Bu-C₆H₄O)₄·0.5CH₂Cl₂ (C₇₇H₈₉ClFe₈N₂₄O₈): C, 47.16; H, 4.57; N, 17.14. Found: C, 47.13; H, 4.58; N, 17.09. FTIR (CsI disk, cm⁻¹): 2961(w), 2926(m), 2872(m), 1598(w), 1499(s), 1417(m), 1365(s), 1271(vs), 1170(s), 1147(w), 1046(vs), 962(w), 876(m), 832(m), 762(s), 720(w), 618(m), 551(w), 482(vs, br Fe₃-O), 346(m), 304(m). UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂, nm): 281, 344 and 583. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, ppm): 10.7 (s, 12 H, ³H), 5.2 (s, 12 H, ³H), 43.8 (s, 12 H, ⁴H), -43.7 (s, 8 H, ³H), 44.0 (s, 8 H, ³H), 20.9 (s, 4 H, ³H), 3.0 (s, 12 H, ³H), 3.5 (s, 4 H, ³H), 0.4 (s, 4 H, ³H), 1.1 (s, 12 H, ³H).
[Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-4-CH₃-pz)₁₂(4-5Bu-C₆H₄O)₄] (12). Compound 12 was synthesized in a similar fashion to 8. (Yield: 20 %) Anal. Calc. for Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-4-CH₃-pz)₁₂(4-5Bu-C₆H₄O)₄·C₆H₁₄ (C₈₈H₁₁₂Fe₄N₂₄O₈): C, 50.80; H, 5.43; N, 16.16. Found: C, 51.06; H, 5.46; N, 16.09%. FTIR (CsI disk, cm⁻¹): 2959(m), 2927(m), 2872(m), 1499(s), 1457(w), 1387(m), 1357(m), 1313(s), 1286(s), 1166(s), 1056(vs), 1009(m), 873(w), 843(m), 718(w), 673(m), 551(w), 482(vs, br Fe–O), 381(w), 328(m), 280(w). UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂, nm): 281, 349 and 564. ¹H-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 400 MHz, ppm,): 8.8 (s, 12 H, 3H), 24.9 (s, 12 H, 4H), 6.9 (s, 12 H, 5H), -36.1 (s, 8 H, 6H), 39.5 (s, 8 H, 7H), 17.6 (s, 4 H, 8H), 2.7 (s, 12 H, 9H), 3.3 (s, 4 H, 10H), 0.5 (s, 4 H, 11H), 1.0 (s, 12 H, 12H).

[Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-4-Cl-pz)₁₂(4-5Bu-C₆H₄O)₄] (13). Compound 13 was synthesized in a similar fashion to 8. (Yield: 30 %) Anal. Calc. for Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-4-Cl-pz)₁₂(4-5Bu-C₆H₄O)₄·C₆H₁₄ (C₈₂H₉₀Cl₁₂Fe₈N₂₄O₈): C, 40.83; H, 3.76; N, 13.94. Found: C, 40.86; H, 3.79; N, 14.03%. FTIR (CsI disk, cm⁻¹): 2961(w), 2927(m), 2872(m), 1497(m), 1384(m), 1356(m), 1299(vs), 1270(w), 1191(m), 1151(m), 1042(vs), 992(w), 967(m), 883(w), 846(m), 830(m), 610(s), 526(w), 479(vs, br Fe–O), 397(w), 377(w), 356(w), 325(w), 303(m). UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂, nm): 278, 353 and 643. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, ppm,): 15.8 (s, 12 H, 3H), 10.9 (s, 12 H, 5H), -58.1 (s, 8 H, 6H), 51.5 (s, 8 H, 7H), 26.8 (s, 4 H, 8H), 3.7 (s, 12 H, 9H), 4.2 and 4.1 (s and s, 4 H, 10H), 0.04 (s, 4 H, 11H), 1.1 (s, 12 H, 12H).
4. Overall conclusions and future work

The enantiomeric resolution of racemic octanuclear iron-oxo-pyrazolate complexes with formula \([\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-\text{O})_4(\mu-4-\text{R-pz})_{12}\text{Cl}_4]\) \((\text{R} = \text{H}, \text{CH}_3, \text{Cl})\) was attempted via diastereomer formation by substitution of the terminal chloride ligands with \textit{rac}-4-sec-butylphenolate. Enantiomeric recognition between the \textit{P} and \textit{M} enantiomers of the metal cluster and \textit{R} and \textit{S} of the phenolate ligand was observed for all complex derivatives. However, only the cluster containing the 4-Cl-pz bridging ligand was spontaneously resolved by crystallization. This can be attributed to the difference in the crystal packing between the compounds. Nevertheless, this was the first example of such a non-biological system to demonstrate this level of recognition. Full structural and spectroscopic characterization was performed for all products bearing the chiral phenolate ligand, as well as for a series of compounds with achiral mono-substituted phenolates. The spectroscopic data and, in addition, electrochemical and \(^{57}\text{Fe}\) Mössbauer data reveal some interesting trends related to the nature and the position of the phenolate substituent, for example the increase of \(E_{1/2}\) values with increase of the electron donating ability of the substituent, or the increase of the quadruple splitting \(\Delta E_Q\) with increase of the electron donating ability of the substituent. Extensive interpretation of these trends, using computational analysis, between chemical properties of phenol substituted octanuclear iron complexes with inherent properties of the phenolate ligands (ei. pKa, Hammett parameter, \(\sigma\)) has the potential to open the window for the design of new complexes based on their desired properties. Catalytic studies of the enantiopure compounds resulting from the resolution is another future task since development of Fe (III) based catalysts resistant to racemizationFe for enantioselective synthesis is of high significance to the field.
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Appendix

$^{1}H$-NMR Spectroscopy

Figure 1. $^{1}H$-NMR of $\text{[Fe}_8(\mu_4-\text{O})_4(\mu-\text{pz})_{12}(4-\text{NO}_2-C_8H_4O)_4] \ (2).$

Figure 2. $^{1}H$-NMR of $\text{[Fe}_8(\mu_4-\text{O})_4(\mu-\text{pz})_{12}(4-\text{CN}-C_8H_4O)_4] \ (3).$
Figure 3. $^1$H-NMR of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu_4-pz)_{12}(4-\text{Cl-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (5).

Figure 4. $^1$H-NMR of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu_4-pz)_{12}(4-\text{I-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (6).
Figure 5. $^1$H-NMR of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-\text{pz})_{12}(4-\text{CH}_3\text{O}-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (7).

Figure 6. $^1$H-NMR of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-\text{pz})_{12}(3-\text{NO}_2-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (9).
UV-Vis-Spectroscopy

Figure 7. UV-vis of [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)₁₂Cl₄] (1).

Figure 8. UV-vis of [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)₁₂(4-NO₂-C₆H₄O)₄] (2).
Figure 9. UV-vis of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-\text{O})_4(\mu-\text{pz})_{12}(4-\text{CN-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (3).

Figure 10. UV-vis of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-\text{O})_4(\mu-\text{pz})_{12}(4-\text{F-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (4).
Figure 11. UV-vis of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu\text{-pz})_{12}(4\text{-Cl-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (5).

Figure 12. UV-vis of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu\text{-pz})_{12}(4\text{-CH}_3\text{O-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (7).
Figure 12. UV-vis of \([\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-pz)_{12}(3-\text{NO}_2-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]\) (9).
57Fe Mössbauer Spectroscopy

Figure 13. 57Fe Mössbauer of [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)₁₂(4-NO₂-C₆H₄O)₄] (2).

Figure 14. 57Fe Mössbauer of [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)₁₂(4-CN-C₆H₄O)₄] (3).
Figure 15. $^{57}\text{Fe Mössbauer of } [\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-pz)_{12}(4-\text{F-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4] \quad (4)$. 

Figure 16. $^{57}\text{Fe Mössbauer of } [\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-pz)_{12}(4\text{-Cl-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4] \quad (5)$.
Figure 17. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu_4-pz)_{12}(4-\text{CH}_3\text{O-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (7).

Figure 18. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu_4-pz)_{12}(4-\text{sBu-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (8).
Figure 19. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-pz)$_{12}$(3-NO$_2$-C$_6$H$_4$O)$_4$] (9).
Electrochemistry (Cyclic Voltammetry – Differential Pulse Voltammetry)

Figure 20. CV-DPV of \([\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-pz)_{12}(4-\text{CN-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]\) (3).

Figure 21. CV-DPV of \([\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-pz)_{12}(4-\text{F-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]\) (4).
Figure 22. CV-DPV of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-pz)_{12}(4-\text{Cl-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (5).

Figure 23. CV-DPV of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-pz)_{12}(4-\text{I-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (6).
Figure 24. CV-DPV of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-\text{O})_4(\mu-\text{pz})_{12}(4-\text{CH}_3\text{O}-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (7).

Figure 25. CV-DPV of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-\text{O})_4(\mu-\text{pz})_{12}(4^{-2}\text{Bu}-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (8).
Figure 26. CV-DPV of [Fe₈(μ₄-O)₄(μ-pz)₁₂(3-NO₂-C₆H₄O)₄] (9).
MID-FAR-IR Spectroscopy

Figure 27. MID-FAR-IR of $\text{[Fe}_8(\mu_4\text{-O})_4(\mu\text{-pz})_{12}\text{Cl}_4]$ (1).

Figure 28. MID-FAR-IR of $\text{[Fe}_8(\mu_4\text{-O})_4(\mu\text{-pz})_{12}(4\text{-NO}_2\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (2).
Figure 29. MID-FAR-IR of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4\text{-O})_4(\mu\text{-pz})_{12}(4\text{-CN-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (3).

Figure 30. MID-FAR-IR of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4\text{-O})_4(\mu\text{-pz})_{12}(4\text{-F-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (4).
Figure 31. MID-FAR-IR of \([\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-\text{O})_4(\mu-\text{pz})_{12}(4-\text{Cl-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4] \) (5).

Figure 32. MID-FAR-IR of \([\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-\text{O})_4(\mu-\text{pz})_{12}(4-\text{I-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4] \) (6).
Figure 33. MID-FAR-IR of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-pz)_{12}(4-\text{CH}_3\text{O-}C_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (7).

Figure 34. MID-FAR-IR of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu-pz)_{12}(4-^3\text{Bu-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4]$ (8).
Figure 35. MID-FAR-IR of \([\text{Fe}_8(\mu-\text{O})_4(\mu-\text{pz})_{12}(3-\text{NO}_2-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O})_4] (9)\).

Figure 36. MID-FAR-IR of \([\text{Fe}_8(\mu-\text{O})_4(\mu-4-\text{CH}_3-\text{pz})_{12}\text{Cl}_4] (10)\).
Figure 37. MID-FAR-IR of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu_4-\text{Cl-pz})_{12}\text{Cl}_4]$ (11).

Figure 38. MID-FAR-IR of $[\text{Fe}_8(\mu_4-O)_4(\mu_4-\text{CH}_3\text{-pz})_{12}(4^\circ\text{Bu-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O}_4)]$ (12).
Figure 39. MID-FAR-IR of [Fe$_8$(μ$_4$-O)$_4$(μ-4-Cl-pz)$_{12}$(4-3' Bu-C$_6$H$_4$O)$_4$] (13).
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