Florida International University [FIU Digital Commons](https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/?utm_source=digitalcommons.fiu.edu%2Fetd%2F2770&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations](https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd?utm_source=digitalcommons.fiu.edu%2Fetd%2F2770&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [University Graduate School](https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/ugs?utm_source=digitalcommons.fiu.edu%2Fetd%2F2770&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

4-12-2002

Embedded heat speaders in low temperature cofired ceramic substrates

Guangnan Deng *Florida International University*

DOI: 10.25148/etd.FI14062240 Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd](https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd?utm_source=digitalcommons.fiu.edu%2Fetd%2F2770&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Part of the [Mechanical Engineering Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/293?utm_source=digitalcommons.fiu.edu%2Fetd%2F2770&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Deng, Guangnan, "Embedded heat speaders in low temperature cofired ceramic substrates" (2002). *FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. 2770. [https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd/2770](https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd/2770?utm_source=digitalcommons.fiu.edu%2Fetd%2F2770&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This work is brought to you for free and open access by the University Graduate School at FIU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of FIU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dcc@fiu.edu.

FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Miami, **Florida**

EMBEDDED HEAT SPEADERS IN LOW TEMPERATURE COFIRED CERAMIC **SUBSTRATES**

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements **for the degree** of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

by

Guangnan Deng

To: Dean Vish Prasad College of Engineering

This thesis, written by Guangnan Deng, and entitled Embedded Heat Spreaders in Low Temperature Cofired Ceramic Substrates, having been approved in respect to style and intellectual content, is referred to you for judgment.

We have read this thesis and recommend that it be approved.

Norman D.H. Munroe

Kuang-Hsi Wu

W. Kinzy Jones, Major Professor

Date of Defense: Aprtil 12, 2002

The thesis of Guangnan Deng is approved.

Dean Vish Prasad College of Engineering

Dean Douglas Wartzok University Graduate School

Florida International University, 2002

ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS

EMBEDDED HEAT SPREADERS IN LOW TEMPERATURE COFIRED CERAMIC **SUBSTRATES**

by

Guangnan Deng

Florida **International** University, 2002

Miami, **Florida**

Professor W. Kinzy **Jones, Major Professor**

A new heat spreader that operates on a principle similar to heat pipes has been developed in Low Temperature Cofired Ceramic (LTCC) **substrate. The heat spreader use sintered metal** powder **as the wick** structure **and water as the working fluid.** Key **topics related to the fabrication of embedded heat spreaders** in LTCC **substrate** were **studied. The conventional** LTCC **procedure has been improved to suit the requirement of heat spreader. A novel sintered porous silver powder has been developed to provide** high **capillary pressure and permeability for the wick** structure. **The** maximum **mass transport rate of the wick was about 0.692 (g/min) at wick height of 4.5cm. The** thermal performance **test** demonstrated **that** the **prototype heat spreader could work properly at** power density of more than 70 W/cm² without any sign of dry out occur. The successful **fabrication of** the **prototype integrated heat spreader provides concept validation of using advanced two-phase heat management system to greatly** improve **the** effective **thermal conductivity** of LTCC **substrate.**

TABLE OF **CONTENTS**

LIST OF TABLES

vi

I .Overview of LTCC and heat spreader

Introduction **of** Low Temperature Cofired Ceramic

Because of their unique combination of mechanical, dielectric, physical and chemical properties, ceramic has played an important role in electronic packaging. Mainly, there are four ceramic-based technologies in electronic packaging: thick film, thin film, HTCC and LTCC.

The difference between thick film and thin film is the way they pattern the circuit on ceramic substrate. Thick film refers to the process of fabricating an electronic network through the sequential printing of conductive, resistive and dielectric patterns on an alumina ceramic substrate. After high temperature firing of the printed layers, the network is then integrated with active and passive components to realize the desired circuit function. While in thin film technology, thin films are formed by either evaporating or sputtering the desired material onto the ceramic substrate. The films are then patterned using photolithography and etching.

Because of the different circuitry patterning technology used, thick film and thin film processing have different geometrical capacity and hence different electrical performance. Table 1.1 lists the geometrical capacity of thick film and thin film processing.

Technology	Typical elements	Typical elements
	thickness	width
Thick film	>10 to 25 um	125 um
Thin film	0.1 to 1 um	25 um

Table 1. 1 Geometrical capacities of thick film and thin film processing

Therefore, thick film technology has been widely used for medium performance packaging solutions; while thin film technology is very competitive for high performance application.

Both thick film and thin film process are adaptable to single-layer and multiple layer applications. The multiple layer circuitry is produced **by** alternating circuitry layers with dielectric layers. The connections between two or more layers are achieved **by** metallized holes, called vias, formed in the dielectric layer.

Since both of them require sequential build up of layers, there are some obvious limitations in conventional thick film and thin film multi-layer technology. First of all, they are not cost effective because the yield and quality are determined **by** the layer with the lowest yield rate and the poorest quality. Secondly, they require multiple processing steps, which add additional cost and more complicity to the process. To solve this problem, new packaging and interconnect technologies known as High Temperature Cofired Ceramic **(HTCC)** and Low Temperature Cofired Ceramic (LTCC) have entered the main stream of electronic packaging.

These two technologies are actually developed from improved thick film technology. In these technologies, slurry of ceramic powders, organic binders, solvents and plastic materials are cast onto a smooth surface. The slurry layer is dried into a flexible, easy processable green tape. The green sheet is then cut into pieces. Then the registration holes, vias and cavities are formed in the green sheet **by** mechanical technologies such as punching, drilling, emboss or cutting. The conductor ink is screen printed onto the tape to **fill** the interlayer vias and form the circuit patterns. The tapes for

all the **layers of the final substrate** are **then** stacked and laminated together under pressure. Finally the laminated multiple **layers are sintered into a** highly compact ceramic structure by **a** single bum **out** and firing step.

Unlike the thick film technology, HTCC and LTCC are parallel processes. Each **layer is** fabricated separately and independent **of each** other. Also **the** multipliers including **the** dielectric **layers** and the passive elements are **fired** together **in a** single step, **called "Cofired".**

With **HTCC** and LTCC, **each tape layer can** be fully customized **with conductor lines, via fills,** embedded **resistors,** capacities and **cavities.** Individual **layer can** then be examined and inspected. **If** failure **is** found **prior to** lamination, **only** the defective **layer,** instead **of the whole** structure, **needs to be** fabricated again. High yield, reduction **in cost** and timesaving **can** be achieved. **The whole** process of HTCC and LTCC **are** almost **the** same. The only differences between **them** are their **tape** composition and **thus** the **firing** temperature. HTCC mainly **consists of** alumna material and thus require a firing temperature **of** 1600-1800*C, which **is** substantially above **the** melting temperature of high conducting materials. **Therefore** HTCC conductors are limited **to** refractory **metals** such **as** W **or Mo.** While LTCC are made from alumna filled glasses **or glass** ceramic. Usually it is fired at 850-1000°C, thus enable to use higher conductivity materials such as Ag and Au.

In **addition,** the basic LTCC composition **can** be modified **to** give dielectric material different **electrical** and physical properties. The **dielectric** constant **can** be varied in a wide rang from 4 **to** 12000; and the **coefficient of** thermal expansion can be adopted **to** match

 $\mathbf{3}$

alumna, gallium or silicon. Many standard thick film conductor, resistor and capacitor materials can thus be used in **LTCC** as buried or surface element.

Since LTCC has the unique ability to integrate a broad variety of components into a very compact arrangement, it is known as one of the most advanced approaches in miniaturization of electronic packaging. To achieve improved performance in data processing equipment, trends in electronic packaging have moved toward larger circuit chips, higher I/O, increased circuit density and improved reliability. There are constant pressures on military and commercial system designer to reduce system volume while increasing electronics complexity and power density. The primary advantage of LTCC technology in this miniaturization trend lies on its capacity to reduce weight, size and cost while improving reliability. Integrating resistors and capacitors into the LTCC substrate can eliminate many solder joints and wire bonds. Because of the excellent insulation provided **by** the thin layers of ceramic material, circuits can be alternately stacked, allowing digital, analog and micro wave assemblies to be embedded in the same LTCC structure. This eliminates the need for separate housing and provides significant space and weight reductions.

However, there is no perfect material in the world; LTCC also has its disadvantages. One of the major drawbacks to LTCC in many applications is its low thermal conductivity, which mainly limits the application of LTCC in high power density area. Over last **15** years, power density has increased exponentially with time and it appears that it will continue to do so in the near future.¹ As power density increase, the heat generated as a by-product become more severe. With the heat build up, increase the temperature of the system, which is an important parameter for reliability at all levels of electronic

packaging. Temperature related issues might include one or more of the following problems:

- 1. High operating temperature can accelerate various failure mechanisms such as creep, corrosion and electro migration.
- 2. Temperature difference that occurs as a system is cycled between power-on and power-off can lead to fatigue in composite structure.
- 3. In electronic packaging, a large variety of materials are used in order to meet performance, density and cost objectives. These materials have different coefficient of thermal expansion; therefore on/off cycles give rise to alternating stress at material interface.

Because too high operating temperature can introduce so many failures, removal of heat has played a key role in ensuing the reliability from chip to system level in electronic packaging. Heat build up is becoming one of the major limitations to creating tomorrow's more compact, complex micro electronic devices. Thermal management in LTCC technology is more severe in that LTCC has very low thermal conductivity. Table 1.2 list the thermal conductivities of some commonly used ceramics in electronic packaging.

Table 1.2 Thermal conductivities of some commonly used ceramics in electronic packaging

It should be **note** that LTCC materials have **the** lowest thermal conductivity compared **with other** ceramics used **as** substrate. Although **it is** better **that** organic laminate material (around 0.1 w/m-k), LTCC **is not suit for** applications **where** thermal management **is** an **issue.**

Introduction of heat pipe and heat spreader

A heat pipe **is** a **closed-loop** cooling device **wherein heat is** transferred from the **"evaporator" end to the "condenser" end of a sealed tube** using **the latent heat of vaporization as the** principal means of energy transfer. Taking advantage **of** high **latent heat of vaporization, heat pipe is one of** the most **efficient heat** transport systems **known** today.

The heat pipe **consists** of a sealed **container,** a wick structure and **a** small amount of working fluid, which **is in** equilibrium **with its own vapor.** Typically a **heat** pipe **can** be divided into **three sections: evaporate section,** adiabatic section and condenser **section.** Heat applied **to the** evaporate **section** by an external source **is** conducted through **the container** wall and the wick structure, **where it** vaporizes **the** working fluid. When **that** happens, **the** liquid picks **up** the **latent heat of** vaporization. **The** resulting vapor pressure **drives** the vapor through the adiabatic **section to the** condense section, **where it** condenses and gives **up the latent heat** of vaporization. Thus **heat is** moved from the input **to the** output **end of** the **heat** pipe. The capillary pressure **created** by the menisci **in** the wick structure pumps **the** condensed fluid back **to the** evaporate **section,** and **the process** begins again. Fig **1.1 illustrates the** working principle of **heat** pipe.

Fig 1.1 Illustration of the working principle of heat pipe

The benefits of heat pipe lies on many aspects.

- 1. Large amount of heat can be transported through small cross sectional area over a **considerable** distance **without additional power input to the** system
- **2. Easy to** design **and** manufacture.
- **3.** Small **end-to-end temperature drop.**
- **4.** Can **control** and transport high **heat rate at** various temperature **level.**

Due to the enormous **latent heat of vaporization of** some **fluids, the capabilities of heat pipes can be** enormous, **easily 10,000** times **that of solid copper rods.** In **addition, the difference in** temperature between **heat** source and **the condenser can be as low** as **a few degrees. Therefore heat pipe can be used as a very efficient** means **to** distribute heat from **a concentrated heat** load **to a surface** area many times **greater** than **the original, thus reducing the overall** component temperature. **This is the** principle **under which the heat** spreader **involved in our investigation works.**

Fig 1.2 Illustration of a heat spreader

Fig 1.2 shows the illustration of a heat spreader. Essentially it can be deemed as **an array of heat pipes shared with a** common **evaporator section. The heat spreader can** be embedded in a LTCC **substrate with the heat source** mounted **on the center of the heat spreader, which act as the evaporator section of the joined heat pipes. The heat pipes then** distribute **the heat to the** surrounding **area, acting as** a **"superconductor" of heat.**

Objective of the **research**

The primary **objective of this project is to fabricate a** prototype **heat spreader** using LTCC technology.

This prototype **heat spreader is meant to** demonstrate **validation of the concept of** integrate **heat spreader** into LTCC **substrate. With this** advanced thermal management system, LTCC technology **will be suit for the high power** density application.

Specific objectives including:

- 1. Identify key factors in fabricating hermetic cavity using LTCC
- 2. Developing of compatible wick structure for the heat spreader
- 3. Characterize the capillary limitation of the wick structure
- 4. Developing of heat spreader design and manufacturing process
- 5. Perform a experiment evaluation of the thermal performance of the heat spreader
- 6. Identify key factors for optimum heat spreader design

Si2nificance of the research

The research is unique in that the embedded heat spreader is the first of its kind that is fabricated using LTCC technology. The heat spreader operates on a principle similar to heat pipe. Taking advantage of high latent heat of vaporization, the integrated heat spreader can greatly improve the effective thermal conductivity of LTCC substrate and thus provide very high level of cooling technology for LTCC application. The heat spreader is also significant in that it has a novel porous sintered silver powder wick. The new wick improved the permeability of sintered powder wick while maintain the advantage of high capillary pressure. Furthermore, due to the complex nature of the heat spreader structure, technique problems such as sagging, cracking and leaking are unavoidable. Successful solution to these problems will lead to better understand on how to make complex three-dimension structure using LTCC technique. These structures can be used not only in cooling systems but also in complex sensors and Microsystems.

II.Literature review

Review of mini-scale heat pipe

The micro heat pipe is one of the most promising technologies for the achievement of high local heat removal rates and uniform temperatures in electronic packaging. Therefore many scientists have conducted research in this field. Cotter $(1984)^2$ was recognized as the first scientist who proposed the micro heat pipe concept. He defined the micro heat pipe as a heat pipe in which the mean curvature of the liquidvapor interface is comparable in magnitude to the reciprocal of hydraulic radius of the total flow channel. Based on Cotter's concept, early research for miniature heat pipe was conducted ITOH Research & Development Corporation, Babin et al, Wu and Peterson (1990) and Plesh et al. ITOH manufactured flat micro heat pipes with dimension of $0.6(W)^*0.1(T)^*25(L)$ mm, using silver and copper. They obtained a heat transport rate of 0.3 w, which correspond to heat flax on the order of 1W/cm^2 . Babin et al.³ (1989) tested a trapezoidal heat pipe with dimension of $1(w)^*1(T)^*57(L)$ mm. Their heat pipes had no wick structures inside, only using the four corners of the flow channel to provide the capillary pumping. Obviously the capillary force is not large enough to pump sufficient amount of fluid back to the evaporator section. The maximum heat transport rate was about 0.5 w at working temperature of 60 to 70 $^{\circ}$ C, which corresponds to heat fluxes of about $1 w/cm²$. Wu and Peterson (1990) ⁴tested a flat miniature heat pipes. The maximum heat transport rate was about 1.3 w, which corresponds to a heat flux of 5 w/cm². Plesh et al. $(1991)^5$ fabricated and tested micro heat pipes with very fine axial grooves on the

surface of the inner **wall,** the maximum **heat** flux were much higher at **60** W/cm2 vertically and 35 W/cm^2 horizontally. Lee et al. $(1992)^6$ and Chen et al. $(1992)^7$ conducted **visual study to** demonstrate **the** two phases **flow** patterns. **Zhou et al. (1992)8** found **that while the cooling air** temperature **had** important **effect, the** maximum **operating** power **of the** micro **heat** pipes may **be** limited **by their** capillary limit. **Li et al. (1992)9 found that the effects of the amount of** the working fluid **on heat** transfer and **the** influence **of** entrainment **on the** capillary limitation are more important for **small** diameter **heat** pipe than large **ones.**

Cao et al. (1993)10 reviewed and summarized **the** operating limitation **of** miniature **heat pipes.** In **1997, they fabricated** and **tested** two copper-water miniature **heat pipes** with an axial grooved wick structure¹¹. The maximum heat flux of 20.6 W/cm² was **obtained.** Gao et al. $(1999)^{12}$ applied numerical optimization technologies to optimize **Cao's heat** pipes. They found **that the** optimized **heat pipe** had **a** maximum capillary limitation **of 50W** and **70W in horizontal** and vertical orientation respectively.

Koichiro Tke et al. $(2000)^{13}$ fabricate and tested a roll bond heat pipe. By using Roll bonding process, **they** bonded two individual aluminum plates together **into one plate.** The **heat pipe passage is created** between **these** two **bonded** plates **by** printing **a** pattern **with release** lubricant **to prevent** bonding. **The roll bond heat** pipe does **not** have **the conventional** wick structure **such as** groove **or screen. The** capillary **effect is** provided **by the** arch-shaped **flow** passages. The **authors presented** prediction data **on** the maximum capillary limit and investigated **the** optimum working fluid charge volume and number **of** capillary **loops for the heat** pipe. They concluded that their model reasonably predict the capillary limit **of** the **roll bond heat** pipe. Also they found that the optimum charge volume **for roll bond heat** pipe **is** 25% and the eight-loop channel design **has** the best thermal performance.

Z. Jou Zao et al. (2001)14 discussed an advanced **heat** pipe mechanism **that has the potential of** achieving **heat** flux **over** 200w/cm2 . This advanced **heat** pipe mechanism **uses** thermal **driven pulsating** two-phase **flow to achieve high heat** flux **capacity** and **low** thermal resistance. **The authors** developed **theoretical** model to **predict the** thermal performance **of the** pulsating **heat pipe.** Also **they fabricated a** concept prototype **heat** pipe **using copper** and glass. They reported **that the heat** pipes **could** dissipate **over 200w/cm 2 without** signs **of** evaporate **dry out.**

Some **scientists focus on the** application **of** miniature **heat pipe in** cooling electronic devices. Kenichi Namba et al.(2000)¹⁵ evaluated the thermal properties and **reliability of the heat** pipe **for cooling** notebook computer. Their thermal **results show** that **the** temperature **difference** between **evaporator** and condense **section at the** maximum **heat** transfer **rate** was **within 2-3 'C. The long** term **accelerated test** of miniature **heat** pipe was performed **by test** the **life test up to 20000** hours. Their **conclusion is** that miniature **heat pipe is** extremely **efficient for cooling** notebook computers.

I.yusuf et aL.(2000) ¹⁶tried **to** manufacture **an** optimal thermal **solution,** combing **the heat** pipe and fold **fin heat** sink technology. Unlike **the** current extruded **heat** sink technology, **they** integrated **the** fold **fin heat** sink with **heat** pipe. Their results show **a** heat-sink **to** ambient resistance **of** 0.20C/W, which **is** four times better conventional **heat** sink.

Seok Hvan Moon **et al.** (2000)'7 performed experiment study **on** miniature **heat** pipe with **a** woven-wired **wick. They** fabricated **heat** pipe **with** diameters of 3mm and 4 **mm, all** have **a woven-wired** type **wick.** The **authors** thoroughly studied **the effects of** various **factors on the operational** performance **of heat** pips, including charging **ratio,** pipe length, **evaporator** and condenser length, **inclination** of installation, number of wick strands, thermal **loads et al.. they concluded that there is a** high possibility **of** application if **one or** two miniature **heat** pipes are **installed for cooling CPU with power** bigger than 10 W.

Rengasamy Ponnappan **et al. (2000)** "investigated **a novel screen wick** design suitable **for** miniature **heat** pipes. **Their** major **goal was** to develop **a** new design, which is easy **to fabricate** and **have** improved performance. Unlike the ordinary machine methodology such **as** metal rolling and **cutting, the authors use a** commercially available metal **wire cloth stock to** make **the wick** structure. The wick **was then** inserted **into a** straight rectangle copper **heat** pipe. The **heat** pipe **use** distilled water as **the** working fluid. The **authors** investigated the **heat** transfer characteristics of the **heat** pipe with various charging **ratio, at** various **heat rates, and different** operating temperature. The experiment data **was then** compared with **the literature** data. Their **heat** pipe demonstrated 115w/cm ² **at** a temperature difference of 370C. Comparing under similar operating conditions the literature data **show a** q-max **of** 150 **w/cm 2 at** unknown temperature difference. Hence they **concluded that their** simple and affordable wick design could replace the difficult and **expensive fine** groove machining process without compromising performance.

Besides experimental research, many **scientists** have conducted numerical analysis and **theoretical** research **of** miniature **heat** pipe.

The **first** analytical **mode for** micro **heat pipes** was introduced **by** Cotter(1984) ² **This** mode assumed **several** simplified assumptions:

- **1.** The capillary pressure gradient **was** assumed to be the driving **force of** the liquid flow.
- **2. The** liquid-vapor **interface velocity** was assumed to **be zero**
- **3. The vapor** flow **region was** assumed **to** be both laminar and incompressible

Later, it was believed that the mode significantly **over** predicated the maximum **heat** transport capacity. **The over** prediction **is** due **to the** assumption **of zero** velocity **at the** liquid-vapor **interface.** Since **the** vapor **velocity is** much higher than **the** liquid velocity. **The** resistance **on** the liquid-vapor **interface** due **to the counter** flow retards **the** liquid **velocity;** Khrusher and Faghir **(1994) 19 investigated the effect of** the shear **stress by** numerical analysis. Both demonstrated **that** neglecting **the** shear **stress can lead to** an overestimation of the maximum heat transport capacity. **J.M** Ha (1998)²⁰ believed that the assumption **of a fixed** evaporator section **also lead to the** over estimation. **To** developed a more **accurate** predict **tool,** They modified the model **by** incorporating the **effects of** the temperature intrusion **of** the evaporating region **into** the adiabatic **section of** the **heat** pipe; also they modified Cotter's original expression for the liquid flow shape factor to include a new analytical derivation of the dimensionless shape factor.

Peterson and Ma (1999) ²¹ developed a detailed mathematical model for predict the heat transport capacity and temperature gradient in a micro heat pipe. Their mode indicated that the temperature drops occurring in the evaporator section is considerably larger that those occurring in the condenser, and with increase in the input power, both temperature drops increase. In order to verify the model, they conduct experiment investigations. They reported good agreement between the experiment results and analytical model, thus they concluded that since the temperature drop between condenser and evaporator increase significantly, their micro heat pipe couldn't transfer high level of heat flux.

Review of fabricating 3-D structure using LTCC

One of the big advantages of LTCC is that it is flexible and easy to be handled and manufactured in green state. Three dimension structures like hole, cavity and channel can be formed in green LTCC tape using conventional mechanical methods such as cutting, drilling and punching et al.; therefore make it possible to fabricate embedded liquid cooling systems in LTCC substrate.

However, due to the stress produced by the high-pressure lamination process and the material flowing and shrinking during the sintering process, mechanical failures such as crack and sagging often occur when making complex structure using LTCC. Therefore some researchers have investigated in the fabricating issue of LTCC.

Espinoza et al. (1998)²² fabricated meso-scale LTCC structure using conventional **LTCC** process. They quantified the sagging and deformation **in 3-D LTCC** structures. They **also** explored **several** strategies **to** seeking **control of** sagging. In their **investigation,** the **authors utilized** Dupont **951** green **tape as substrate** material. The sagging of **LTCC** was measured optically in the green material after lamination. They found that the extents **of** the sagging **in** both green and **fired** ceramic tapes are function **of the size of** the suspended structures. **The** deformation **was** insignificant **for feature sizes** smaller than **16** mils. **To control** sagging, these researchers tried two strategies: **screen** printing **tensile over layer** and using **sacrifice** insert materials. They **found that** both strategies might be **effective to control** sagging.

Since **the authors** found **ways to control** the **sagging,** their method **is a good reference to** our research. However **it should** be **noted** that **the** feature **size in their investigation** is much smaller than the **one** discussed **in** this investigation. The sample structure **consists of only about 3-4 layers,** with the width of **about 1.** 2mm. Since sagging **is** a function **of** the **feature size,** the **problem of sagging in our** investigation is much more **serious.** Also **their** sample structures **did not** include vias, which is an indispensable **feature of** the **heat spreader in this investigation.** The methods mentioned **above** may not adoptable to complex structures **with** both **via** and **cavities.**

Thelemann. et al. (1999)²³ studied on making liquid cooled LTCC substrate for **high** power application. They **use** Nd:Yag **laser** to fabricate micro channels **in** the **LTCC** green tape. The height **of** this investigated micro channels are 500um **in** green **state.** In order **to** investigate **the** relationship between **feature size** and sagging, various samples were fabricated with various width. Also they studied the influence **of** lamination

pressure on sagging. the authors didn't propose method to control sagging, they believed that, due to the higher requirement on mechanical component, the integration of liquid cooling system in substrate is an interesting but limited future of multiplayer ceramic. Rebenklau et al. $(2000)^{24}$ also examined the possibility to realize "fluidic circuit board" using **LTCC.** Their main goal is to combine LTCC manifolds with silicon components to develop more complex fluidic modules, which has combined electrical and fluid functions. To demonstrate this concept, the authors developed a microscope chamber. The microscope chamber consists of a LTCC manifold, which contains the fluid channels with width varying in a range between 800um to 1.5mm. The total length of the integrated channels is about **60** cm on three different fluid layers. The chamber is connected to different inputs **by** two controlled channels, where the flow rate is adjusted between 0 ul/min to **50** ul/min **by** one micro valve and one flow sensor. **All** the channels are made **by** conventional LTCC process. The chamber is also equipped with a buried thick film resistor, which is used as heating element. After assembling, several measurement were done to evaluate the function of the chamber. Their conclusion is that complex system can be developed in combination with electrical and micro fluidic components.

Kita et al. $(2000)^{25}$ also investigated on how to make three dimension structures in LTCC. Their researches concentrate on making channels and cavities. The influence of lamination process parameters on cavities and channels was studied. The results agree with other researchers. That is, the size of the cavity play big role in sagging. Also, lamination pressure is a key parameter to determine the quality of the embedded cavity or channel in **LTCC.**

Since **LTCC** is easy to be handled and manufactured in green state. Mechanical methods are usually used to make structures in **LTCC.** However, other methods have been investigated by scientists to develop faster and more cost-effective process.

Taylor et al (2001) .²⁶ tried to combine photo-etching technology in LTCC fabrication process. They developed a new photo imaginable LTCC tape. This tape can be exposed and pattered using the standard photo-etching process. Espinoza et al. $(2000)^{27}$ also tried to develop a new patterning technique, but in a completely different way. Their goal is to develop a batch fabrication method based on laminable dry photo resist. Their new process includes five steps: partial sintering, resist lamination, resist UV exposure, developing and etching. It is well known that ceramic is hard to be etched. In order to combine pattern technology with **LTCC,** the authors introduced a new concept called "partial sintered tape". What they did is remove the tape at an early stage of the viscous sintering process. The resulting "partial sintered" tape is made of grains coated **by** thin glass neck. Since the glass is rich in silicon, it can be subjected to etching. The patterning is done **by** laminating the dry photo resist on the glass-ceramic substrate and exposing using **UV** light. After developing and etching, the partial sintered tapes are then fully sintered to get the final structure.

Zampino²⁸ did a lot of work in embedding heat pipe into ceramic substrate. Their work has been the first of its kind. Unlike this research, their heat pipes are embedded in **HTCC.** Due to the relatively high thermal conductivity (20 w/m-k) compared with LTCC (3-4 w/m-k), there is no need to use thermal via. Their research concentrate on the fabrication and design issue of miniature heat pipes that are compatible with co fired ceramics. Prototype miniature heat pipes were fabricated to provide validation of the

concept. A lot of work was done to determine the design parameter value and ranges for optimum heat pipe design, which are compatible with current thermal management and packaging requirements. The heat pipe channels were fabricated at Coors Electronic Packaging Inc, Chattanooga TN. The channels have a width of 2.4 mm and 3.9 mm. All channels had length of 101.6 mm. The heights of the channels were varied by changing the numbers of layers used in substrate. Chanels with height of 0.36, 0.71, 1.30 and 1.78 were fabricated. The top and bottom layer of all the heat pipes are 0.6 mm. The authors used standard End-milling and routing techniques to fabricate Axial grooved wick structures in green tape of top and bottom surface of heat pipe. Additionally they developed axial grooves along the **sidewalls** of the heat pipe. The thermal performance of the heat pipes was then evaluated. The evaporator section of the heat pipe **was insulated** to prevent heat loss. A kapton foil heater was adhered to the heat pipe acting as the heat resource. The thermal load was controlled by using a variable transformer to adjust the AC across the foil. The adiabatic and condenser section of heat pipe was exposed to ambient condition, which was cooled by natural and forced convection.

The temperature distributions along the heat pipes were tested with various thermal loads., in both vertical and horizontal orientation. To provide a baseline for measuring the performance of the heat pipe, the heat pipe was also tested without any working fluid, which means heat was transferred only by conduction through the shell material. The test results showed that the heat pipe have an effective thermal conductivity of over 3000w/i-k. While the overage effective thermal conductivity for the heat pipe's shell was found to be *32.5* w/m-k. That means the heat pipe have an effective heat conductance 100 times higher than the alumna it replaced within the substrate.

IILDevelopment of major components of heat spreader

Thermal **via**

As mentioned in the first chapter, **LTCC** has very low heat conductivity. That means, **when using** LTCC **as the shell material, even** through **the hat pipe can distribute the heat** efficiently **we still need some method to lower the heat resistance between the heat resource and the wick.** One **of the simple and** effective methods **is using thermal vias.**

Normally, **vias are used in multiplayer circuitry** technologies **for electronic** connection **between conductors in** different **layers. In LTCC technology, vias are formed by creating via holes in green LTCC tape. These via holes are then filled** with **via ink. The via** ink **is mainly made of high conductivity metal, such as silver. The** composition **of the via ink are well adjusted so that their shrinkage rate,** thermal **expansion rate are** matched with LTCC. **Since vias are made from** metal, **their heat conductivity is much** higher **than LTCC.** Hence **another important use of via is to improve the heat** conductivity **of LTCC substrate.** This kind **of via is** filled **with a good** thermal **conductor** material **and do not necessarily have any electronic** function. **Since their solo use is for thermal** management, **they are called thermal via.**

In our heat spreader, thermal **vias are used in the top and** bottom **surface of the** embedded **heat spreader. The** thermal **vias on the top surface can help to** conduct **heat from heat resource to the evaporator section. The thermal vias on the bottom are** designed **to transfer heat** from **vapor condensation** to **the large** surface **for heat** removal. **In** this

section, the fabrication and design **of** thermal **via** are investigated **to** identify the key **factors** and find **the** optimum parameters.

Fabrication procedure for thermal via

Forming via holes

Via are made **by** two steps, **via** holes forming and **via** filling. In LTCC technology, **via holes** can be **created on the** green **tape by** mechanical methods, **laser cutting or photoligraphy.** In **this** research, we **choose** the most commonly **used** mechanical method.

The **via holes** are **drilled by using an** OZO **SP model 17** manufacture system. **This** model **is a** numerically controlled micro-machining system capable **of** performing operations such as drilling, routing, etching and end milling with a precision of **0.001** inch. **It is** equipped **with** two high-speed spindle **(100k)** systems and **a set of** NC machining **tools (bits** and **cutters).**

Although LTCC offer simple handling, **correct** procedure **is** very important **to get high** quality **via** holes. **The conventional** procedure of **via in LTCC** technology **is** punching **or** drilling **via holes on each layer of** green tape. **Then** the tapes **are** stacked, aligned, **and** laminated. After that, **the vias are** filled with **via** ink In **our** investigation, **it** was found **that** this process could be adapted **to** be more suitable for fabrication of thermal **via.**

The major drawback **of the conventional** method **is** that **it is** not **cost effective.** Since each **layer is** processed separately, the same pattering **has to** be repeated **on** each

layer, thus cost multiple times and cost. Also additional consideration in alignment is needed to control the quality of via.

Fig 3.1 show a via with bad alignment. The rough wall of this via hole may bring trouble to via filling. Since the viscosity of via ink is not high, it may be difficult to flow into the rough edges. That means the via cannot be completely filled. The tiny unfilled space may be the source of micro crack in the flowing sintering process.

Fig 3.1 Cross section of a via hole with bad alignment

Another problem of the conventional process is that the high lamination pressure may introduce shape distortion of via hole. The purpose of lamination is to bind the individual tapes together. The material will flow under the high lamination pressure. This material flow may leads to the distortion at the edge of the via holes. Fig 3.2 shows how a via hole change its shape after lamination. This distortion can also bring trouble to the next via filling step.

Fig 3.2 Distortion of via hole after lamination

To eliminate these technical problems, the simplest solution is laminating the layers together before forming via holes. Since thermal via holes are through holes, we can drill the thermal via holes after pattering the electrical circuit and lamination. Because the individual layers are laminated into a whole part, all the via holes on different layers can be drilled in one step and thus save a lot of time and cost. Also no additional alignment steps are needed because the holes are drilled at the same time. In addition, the material will flow and get densification before holes are drilled, therefore totally eliminate the shape distortion. Fig 3.3 show a via hole drilled after lamination. Fig 3.4 show its cross section. Compared with fig **3.2** and fig 3.3, it is clear that the quality of the via hole is much better in that it has no alignment problem and shape distortion.

Fig 3.3 Via Hole drilled on pre-laminated piece showing no shape distortion

Fig 3.4 Cross section of the via hole drilled on pre-laminated piece

Via filling

Via holes are filled **by** via ink. Via filling is performed **by** using a conventional thick film screen printer. Vacuum, through a porous stone is used as an aid to via filling

along with green **sheet** attached **to the** tooling fixture. **Via ink is** printed **across** the **substrate by a blade,** while **the vacuum suck the ink into the via** hole. Fig 3.5 **illustrate** how **the via is filled by the using a conventional** thick film **screen** printer.

Fig 3.5 Illustration of filling via using extrusion **via filler**

After filling, vias are put into a box oven to be dried at 120° C for 5 minutes.

During **the investigation, it was found that the** thermal **via could** be stacked **instead of** through **the tape to** reduce **the** possibility **of** leakage from **via.** However, **the** thermal **conductivity of stacked** thermal **via is lower** than through **hole** thermal **via.** To **solve this** problem, **a layer of via ink was** printed between **the** stacked **interfaces to act as a** spreader **plate of** heat. Fig **3.6 shows the stacked vias** and **the silver layer**

Fig 3.6 Stacked vias and **the** embedded **silver layer**

Hermetic LTCC **container**

Basically **the heat spreader is a** hermetic **vapor container** with the **wick** structure **inside. The** function **of the container is to isolate the working** fluid from **the outside** environment. **It has to therefore** be leak-proof, maintain **the pressure differential across its walls,** and **enable transfer of heat to** take **place** from and **into the working** fluid.

In this **investigation, the container is made** by fabricating **a** hermetic **cavity inside a** LTCC **substrate.** In LTCC technology, **it** could be **done by** cutting **slots on several** green **tapes,** stacking **theses tapes with the slots** together forms **a cavity within the substrate. Then the whole** part **is** laminated and **sintered into final** ceramic. Due **to the** complex nature **of the heat** spreader structure, technical problems such as crack sagging and leaking are unavoidable. **To solve** these problems, **the** conventional **LTCC process has to been** modified. **For this** purpose, **key** parameters of the LTCC process are studied. Also

several possible methods are investigated **to select out** the most suitable process **for** fabricating **the** hermetic **cavity** in LTCC substrate.

Control of crack

Cracking **is a** common failure **in** ceramic product. Ceramic is brittle compared to metals **because the ionic** nature **male dislocation** motion extremely difficult. **LTCC is** more **subject to** cracking because **the** high-pressure lamination process **introduces** various green density **to the** ceramic part. **This situation** may become **worse** when **via or** cavity **were presented in the LTCC substrate, which can lead to greater uneven** density and **thus** inhomogeneous shrinkage through **the substrate.** In **this sense,** cracking **is** an **unavoidable** problem **in** this investigation **because** both **via** and **cavity** are indispensable features **of** the **heat** spreader.

Detailed investigation **of** cracking **in** ceramic **need a** thorough **investigation of density** distribution and flow behaviors of **LTCC** during the lamination and sintering process, and therefore **is** beyond the **scope of** this research. However possible **causes of cracks** and methods **to control them** have been studied. **It was believed** that **the** following **issues** are some possible **reasons to occur in LTCC** substrate:

- **1.** High pressure during **the** lamination process **cause** various density **in** the substrate.
- **2.** The unsupported **layers** above **the cavity in the LTCC** substrate may **get** uneven density distribution during lamination and therefore leads **to local** shrinkage difference through the substrate.
- **3.** Although the **via ink is** shrinkage matched with LTCC, the interface between them **is sensitive** and **thus** subject to cracking. This situation could be worse when the thermal **vias** are **located on the** top and bottom layers of the cavity, **because of** the **uneven stress** distribution **in** these unsupported **layers.**
- **4.** Cracks may **occur** during sintering **when** improper sintering profile **is** used. Also, **cracks** that occurred **during** the lamination process **usually** propagate **in** sintering process.

In **the** green **state,** LTCC **tape is** very flexible and **workable.** Normally, **no crack** will occur during mechanical **process.** However, **since LTCC tapes** have **to** be laminated together **under** high pressure and **sintered at** 850-875 *C , **cracks can** be introduced by material flow and shrinkage **in these** two steps. Therefore these two **steps** are **the key processes in** controlling cracks in LTCC.

The lamination process **is the** most susceptible **cause for** cracks. Even **cracks** appearing after sintering may be the propagation **of the existing** micro cracks during lamination. When green ceramic **tape is** laminated, **the tape** material undergoes **viscoplastic** deformation under **the** lamination **stress.** There are both compressive and **tensile stresses** existing **in the** tape. In the **z-axis,** the **entire** tape stack **has a** uniform compressive **stress,** which gives the z-axis shrinkage. This compressive stress **also** makes **the** density **of the tape** material **increase** rapidly. While **in** the **x-y** plane, there **is a** small expansion **of** the material, hence indicating **a tensile stress state in the x-y axes.** Normally, **the** compressive **stresses in the z-axis** and **the tensile stresses** in the x-y plane are relatively uniform throughout **the tape** stack, resulting **in a flat,** highly compacted monolithic structure. However, when lamination pressure **is too** high **or** the **size of** the piece is too large, the tensile stress in x-y plane can lead to cracks. These cracks usually occur at the edge of the tape, because of the free boundary condition. Fig 3.7 show a sample with small cracks on the edge. It was found that, the simplest way to eliminate these "edge cracks" is cut the edge off after lamination. Another samples was fabricated use the same procedure but the edge is cut off after lamination, no cracks was found on the edge

Fig 3.7 Sample with small cracks on the edge

The inhomogeneous density result from the lamination can lead to cracks, especially when different material was embedded in **LTCC.** Fig 3.8 illustrates how the insert material can result in inhomogeneous density.

Fig 3.8 Illustration of how insert material can result in inhomogeneous density When pressure is applied, the tape will have a compressive stress in z-direction. The tape material will then undergo viscous-plastic deformation and become densified. However if there are some different mnaterials embedded between the tapes, the comnpressive stress through the tape is not homogeneous. For example, if the insert material is harder than the tape material, the tape material above and under the insert will sustain higher stress than the surrounding material, and thus flow to the surrounding area. In this case the tape has a higher density in the central area than the surrounding area. The different density will result in mismatched shrinkage during sintering process and therefore may lead to crack between central and surrounding area.

Sintering is also a very important process to control cracks. Cracks appear after sintering may come from different resource. One is the propagation of the existing micro cracks occur in the lamination step. These cracks may be too small to be noticed in the green tape. During sintering, some of these micro crack can even disappear because of the material flow during sintering, but others will propagate. Also cracks may initiate

during sintering **because of the residual stress.** Shrinkage mismatches are the most **susceptible** resource **of** cracks **occurs** during **sintering.** In **the** green **state, LTCC tape has a** large volume **of** organics. During sintering **process,** these **organic materials** will be burned **off and the tape will** shrink. **The** shrinkage **of** Dupont **951 tape is:** 15% in x-y plane and 12% in z-direction. If the density after the lamination is homogeneous the shrinkage **will be** uniform through **the tape. If not,** areas **with different density would have** different shrinkage **rate, and thus introduce cracks.** Residual **stress is another possible cause of cracks.** When **the** temperature **profile is too** steep, **the residual stress** may **lead** to **cracks. To release the stress,** cracks **usually develop along the weakest direction in the** material. In **our investigation, it was found that crack often develop along the via** array, **indicating the** thermal **via array has low** resistance **to cracks.** Fig **3.9 show** a **crack choose the via array as its develop path.**

Fig **3.9** A **crack** develops along the **via** array

Since **the initiation** and propagation of **crack** are both depend on the stress release **rate,** an **effective and** simple *way* **to prevent crack in** sintering **is slowing** down the sintering process. **The** recommended sintering process **for** green **tape** *951* **is** 3C min. **it** was **found that cracks** may **occur if the** sample had complex features. In order **to** minimum **the** possibility of **crack to** occur during sintering, *1.5* C /min was use **in our** investigation.

Control of sagging

Sagging is a common **failure in** making **cavity** or suspended structure **in LTCC.** This **problem occurs** mainly during the lamination process. The lamination process is **fairly universal among the** various manufacturers. **For** Dupont **951 tape, it is** recommended to laminate the green material under a pressure ranging from 1500psi to **3000psi at 60-80C for** a **period of about 10** minutes. **The** primary purpose **of** lamination **is** bonding **the** individual **tape layers together.** Without lamination, the **layers** may separate from each **other** during **the** firing **cycle.** Lamination **also** gives **the** green material **a** high density, which **is** required **for effective** sintering **to occur** between the ceramic particles in **the** tape. Further more, high density **is also** very important **for the** quality **of** the ceramic. Without **densification of the** ceramic, the **fired** structure may be porous, making the ceramic non-hermetic. **Therefore** lamination **is an** indispensable step in **LTCC** process.

During lamination, the high pressure will **cause a** compressive **stress in** the zdirection and **a tensile stress in** the **x-y** plane. Usually the green material has a uniform **stress** distribution through out the tape, **resulting in a** homogeneous structure. However when laminating **a** LTCC substrate with complex structure inside such **as** cavity, the

32

stress distributions **in the** material will **not be** uniform **and** therefore leads the sagging to occur. [Bauer **et. al, 1997].**

As shown **in** Figure **3.10,** when **a LTCC substrate** with **a interior cavity was** laminated, **the** material **on the top** and bottom **layers of the** cavity are unsupported. Hence, **in** these areas, **there is no** compressive **stress state** in **the z-axis because the lack of the resisting force inside the cavity. The** materials **in these** unsupported **regions** have **no** reason **to** compress **in the z direction** compare **to other** region. As **the** remaining material **undergoes x-y** expansion **due to the** compressive load, **excess** material **will flow** toward **the unsupported regions on the top** and bottom **of the cavity. This flow of** material **causes the unsupported regions to gain** material **without** any **densification, and hence, the** material deforms **causing the** unsupported material **to sag into the cavity. [Zampino, 2001].**

Figure 3.10 Sagging caused by laminating green tape with interior cavity

During **their efforts to** embed **a heat** pipe **in HTCC.** Zampino **et al.** found that the sagging **could** be minimized **by** laminating parts **of** the total tape **stack** individually **(for densification)** and then laminating the parts together **as a** final group. **By** using this multiple lamination process, the whole part can **get a relatively** uniform density in the **first** lamination step. The second lamination step **is only used to bond** the parts together and would **not** change **the** density very much. As **the result,** the **final** whole part **has a relatively** uniform **density** and **therefore controls the** problem **of** sagging. This process **is** simple and **effective; in** addition **it is** compatible **to other** advanced process. In this **investigation,** we **use this** multiple lamination process as a standard process and combine **it to** other technique **to control** sagging.

Sintering can be another cause of sagging. During **sintering the** unsupported green **tape is** subjected **to** gravity. Since **the** green **tape is** soft and flexible before **it is** sintered **into** rigid ceramic, **the unsupported tape** region may undergo plastic deformation under **the** influence **of its** gravity. In **this** investigation, **it was** found **that the** influence **of** the gravity on sagging is small compared with the influence of lamination pressure. However **it** could **also play an** important **role** when **making** large **cavity in** LTCC.

To control **the** sagging **in** sintering, **a** common method **is** using printed **tensile over-layer. Patrici et al. (1998)** screen-printed **a** Dupont **resistor** formulation **onto** the bridge structure **to** compensate stress. **The** structure was then laminated and **fired** using the conventional sintering profile. **Since the resistor** paste **is** shrinkage matched to alumna substrate, which have **a** higher **coefficient of** thermal expansion than LTCC, the **over layer** apply a tensile force on the structure **surface** during sintering, hence compensate **the** sagging of LTCC. According **to** their **conclusion,** sagging **can** be **effectively** controlled using this method.

However **they** pointed **out that it is** important **to closely match** the **tensile** force with the gravity. **If the** anti-sagging **force is** too **great, it** may drag the structure toward the **resistor** side.

Zampino **et al. used a** similar method **in their** attempt **to** fabricate an embedded **heat pipe inside HTCC substrate.** They **add** additional **sacrificial layers to the** top and bottom **sides of the tape** stack. The **additional layers of tape** provide increased strength and resistance to sag **in the top** and bottom **layers.** After firing, the fired piece **is** polished, **hence,** grinding **off the** additional **layers of tape to achieve** the desired thickness **of** the **final product.**

Key parameter **in** sagging

Since sagging **is** occurring **because the** material flows under the high pressure, **the extent of sagging is a** function **of the** cavity **size** and **the** lamination pressure. To get an understanding **on the** problem **of** sagging, **we have** made some samples **to** study **the** influence of lamination pressure and cavity size on sagging. Kita²⁵ et al. in 2000 and Thelemann²³ in 1999 did similar works. Their investigation is different from ours in that **they use the conventional** lamination process **while** we **use the** multiple lamination technology. In **addition, the** feature **size** studied by **the** authors **was** very **small** compared with the **heat** spreader **involved in** this **investigation. Kita** studied the influence **of** width **on** sagging ranging from **100um to 100** um. The height **of** the **cavity is only** 1 **layer of Dupont 951 tape** *(114-254* um). **Thelemann's features** are **also** small, **width** vary from 0.4 **to 1** mm with height **of** 500 um. In **this** investigation, **the heat** spreader must have **a** cavity big enough **to contain the** wick structure; **in** addition **too** small vapor chamber would **retain the vapor flow.** Hence **methods** are **needed to** be developed to fabricate cavity larger than **10** mm.

It was found **that, although the** multiple lamination step cannot eliminate the **sagging, it is** quite **effective to reduce the** amount **of the sagging, thus** larger **cavities can be** made compare **to using conventional** lamination **process.**

Fig **3.11 show a** sample made **by conventional LTCC** technology. **Fig 3.12 show a** sample **with the** same **size cavity, but made by** the multiple lamination technology.

Fig 3.11 Sagging in sample made by **conventional LTCC** technology

Fig 3.12 Sagging in sample made by multiple lamination process

Compared **these** two pictures, **it is** clear that the pre-lamination process **can really help to control the** problem **of** sagging. By the conventional process, the sagging is so **severe that the entire cavity is** almost completely collapsed. Using multiple laminations, **the** sample **still has** some sagging but **the overall** sagging **is** much better. **It should** be **note that this** multiple lamination process cannot **totally** eliminate the sagging because of the material **flow in the** second lamination step. **Although the** material **flow** and **is densitified** during **the** pre-lamination **step, the** material doesn't **reach their final** density **until** after **the** final lamination. Actually, **under the high** pressure **of the** second lamination step, **these** material will **flow** slightly **again.** Therefore, other **processes** are needed **to** eliminate sagging. However **the** multiple lamination **process is effective in** sagging **control,** and **it is** simple and compatible with **other** techniques. Therefore **we** tried **to** find the capacity **of this** technique and combine **it** with other techniques **to control** sagging.

To study the influence **of size** and pressure, **several** samples have been fabricated. The samples are made by Dupont 951 tapes with geometry of 8^{*8} mm². All the samples are made **using** the multiple lamination process. The **tape stacks** are firstly broken **into 3** groups, **the top,** bottom and inner **layers.** Each **of the** group consists of 6 layers of LTCC green tapes. These groups are **then** laminated individually **to** form 3 parts----top, bottom and inner **pieces** respectively. Cavity **is** then **cut on** the inner pieces. Finally the three individual **pieces** are laminated again **to** be **bind** into a **whole** part. To investigate **the** influence **of cavity size** and lamination pressure on sagging, various width and pressure are **used.**

Fig 3.13 show how sagging is influenced by **cavity size. the** lamination pressure **is** 3000 **psi,** which **is the** recommended lamination pressure **of 951** green tape. **The** widths **of the cavities** are *0.25* **inch, 0.125** inch and **0.0625** inch.

Fig 3.13 The **effect on** sagging **due to variations in cavity** width **of: (A) 0.25 inch,** (B) *0.125* **inch,** and **(C)** *0.0625* inch.

In **the case of** small **width of 0.0625 inch, the sagging is not obvious. To the** larger **size of 0.125 inch, sagging can be easily identified** by **the** curved inner surface **of the cavity. this extent of sagging is still acceptable, because there is no too** much shape distortion **of the** cavity. However, **to the cavity** with **width of 0.25** inch, sagging **is very severe** and **hence not acceptable.** From **the** picture **it could be seen** that **the top** and bottom **are badly** curved **into the cavity** and **the whole cavity is** almost cracked **down.**

Therefore, using the conventional lamination parameter, **the** multiple lamination **process alone can only help to control** sagging **in a cavity** with **a** width **no** larger than **0.125 inch. To** develop **heat** spreader **in LTCC substrate, we need other** advanced **processes** or to combine this process **with other** techniques.

To study the influence **of** lamination pressure **on** sagging, samples with the same **feature size** are **fabricated using various** lamination pressures. In multiple lamination processes, the pre lamination step is used to give the individual pieces a uniform density. While **the second** lamination step **is used to bind the** pieces **into** a whole part, sagging occurs **in this** step because **of** the additional material flow. Therefore the pressure **of** the **second** lamination **step is** the key parameter **to control** sagging. In **our** experiment, we **used the** recommended lamination pressure **in the pre** lamination **step** and studied the influence **of** second lamination pressure **on** sagging.

All **the** samples **used have the** same feature **size,** Samples are made **by** Dupont **951 tapes** with geometry **of** 8*8 **mm2.** Each **of the top,** bottom and inner **pieces were** made **by** laminating **6 layers of LTCC** green **tapes under 3000** psi **at 70C for a period** time **of 10** minutes. **A cavity with** width **of 0.25 inch was then cut on the** inner **piece. The** three **parts were** laminated **together under** various pressure **of 500, 1000,** 2000 and 3000 **psi.**

Fig **3.14** The **effect on** sagging due **to variations in** lamination pressure **of:** (A) **3000** psi (B) 2000 psi, (C) 1000 psi and(D) **50psi**

Fig **3.17 to fig 3.20** show **how the** lamination pressure influent the **quality of cavity. Fig 3.17 show** the sample made under **3000** psi. **the** sagging **is** very **serious; even the cavity shape** distorted. **For** the sample made using 2000 **psi,** sagging is much **better but still obvious** from **the** curved shape **of the** top and bottom **surface. Logically,** the best sample **is the one** laminated **using 500** psi. from **fig** 3.14 **(D),** almost **no sagging is** found **in this** sample. However, de-lamination **is found at the** corner **of the** cavity. This delamination occurs **because of the** high **pressure of the** pre-lamination **step followed by too low a** lamination **process.** During **the** pre-lamination step, **the** materials **flowed and densitified; so it is relatively difficult to** flow **again at low** pressure. When **the pressure of the second** lamination **is too low, the** material may **not be able to undergo enough** plastic deformation **to** be **bonded together and therefore resulting ineffective sintering** between **layers. Fig 3.15** shows **the de-lamination at the** corner **of the cavity.**

Fig **3.15** De-lamination due to **the low** pressure.

From the investigation, feature **size** and lamination pressure are key parameter **to** determine the quality **of** the **cavity.** By **using a** multiple lamination process, sagging **can** be controlled **for feature size** smaller than 0.0625 inch. Low lamination pressure can help **to control sagging but** may **result in** de-lamination, therefore is not good for making hermetic **cavity.** To fabricate hermetic cavity **with** width larger than **0.25** inch, new techniques are needed.

Use **of insert** material

The problem **of sagging** comes from the **uneven** compression **of the** green material. **Thus the logical solution to sagging is the use** of an **insert** material **so that all of the tape** material **is** compressed uniformly.

Bauer **et a12 9., 1997** proposed **using** dummy **inserts** to **fill the cavities** during the lamination process. The inserts are removed prior to firing the ceramic. In **fact, the use of inserts is** typical **for** large open-faced **cavity** structures where the ceramic **is fired** with **the cavity** exposed, and **sealed** after the firing process by means **of a** metal **cover plate.** However, **since our heat spreader is** fully embedded **within** the substrate and **hence there** would be **no way to** remove **the insert** prior to firing, **this** method **was not suit for** fabricating **heat** spreader.

The insert material must provide necessary support during lamination step and can be removed without destroying the structure. One **of** the ideal insert materials would be fugitive material, which **can** be burned **off** during the sintering process.

Patrici **Espinoza-vallejos et al.** (1998) tried **to control** sagging by inserting sacrificial material **in** the lamination process. The researchers tried two kinds **of** insert material:

41

glass and carbon. **By** using glass, they hope to deposit glass underneath a bridge structure to control sagging. Then the glass was etched away **by** buffered hydrofluoric acid. However no conclusion was drawn on this method. Another sacrificial material they used was graphitic carbon. The carbon was inserted to control the sagging. During the sintering process, they firstly control the oxidation of carbon so that little graphite was lost before **LTCC** tapes become rigid. This was achieved by injecting nitrogen into the furnace to adjust the air to nitrogen ratio. When the LTCC become rigid, they increase the oxygen partial pressure or sintering time to completely burn off carbon. They found that this method is effective to control the sagging.

Although carbon is a proven insert material, it requires careful control of the oxygen partial pressure in the oven, thus special facilities are needed. Also the sintering profile is too complex. Therefore in our investigation, we choose wax as the insert material. Wax is a widely used insert material in industry. It can be melted and cast to easily get the desired shape to fill in the space. When firing at high temperature in air, wax would vaporize and burn off completely; thus can serve as a perfect insert sacrificial material.

To determine whether the wax is suitable as sacrificial material and compatible with LTCC process, **TGA** test are performed for wax. Fig 3.16 show its TGA curve. The sample TGA curve is obtained from ambient temperature to 700 °C using a heating rate of 2 ***C** /min.

Fig **3.16** TGA curve of wax

from the TGA curve, we can see that the wax begin to lose weight at about 200 °C. The weights lose rate change a little bit at about 300 \degree C. From 200 to 300 \degree C the wax lose weight rapidly, after 300 °C the weight losing slow down a little bit. The whole material is completely burned off after about *475* 'C . this sintering profile is well compatible with the conventional LTCC sintering profile. Fig 3.17 show the recommended sintering profile of Dupont *951* tape.

Fig 3.17 Recommended **sintering** profile of Dupont **951 tape**

Note the recommended **sintering profile for** Dupont **951 tape has a** burn **off** period at 450 C, **which is used to** bum **off the organic** material **in the green tape.** Wax **can** be completely burned **off at** this **period.** Therefore, **we can still use the** same **conventional sintering** profile.

To investigate **the** method **of using wax to control sagging,** small samples **were fabricated.** To combine **the** multiple lamination process, **the top,** bottom **and** inner **pieces** are **pre** laminated. **Cavity was cut in the** inner **piece** and **the** wax **was then deposited into the cavity.** The three parts **were** laminated **again to bind** the individual parts **into the final** sample. Fig **3.18** show **the** picture **of a** green **state** sample with **the** insert **wax.**

Fig 3.18 Green state sample with wax deposited in the cavity

From the picture, it can be seen that the wax effectively support the tape, and therefore no sagging occur during lamination. The sample is then put into oven and sintered using the conventional sintering profile. Fig 3.19 show the final ceramic sample after sintering. The cavity has a perfect shape without any sagging.

Fig 3.19 Sintered sample using insert wax

Post **fire** and **use adhesive layer**

Another principle method to make hermetic **cavity** in **LTCC is** using post **fire** components and assemble **with a** material that **act as a** post-fired adhesive. **By** using this **method, the top,** bottom and inner pieces are laminated **using** the **conventional** process. However **these pieces** are **not** assembled **in** green **state.** Instead, they are **sintered** separately **into** three **final** ceramic parts. These parts are then assembled and bonded **together by** an **adhesive layer. Since sagging occur during the** second lamination step, which **bind the individual** green pieces **into a** whole part. While this method does **not** laminate **the** three individual parts **in** green **state, therefore it has no** problem **of uneven** density and **thus no sagging** would **occur. The** problem **of this** method **lies in the selection of the** adhesive **layer. To** bind **the** individual parts **into** a hermetic **cavity,** the adhesive **itself** must be hermetic. The thermal expansion **coefficient** of **the adhesive** must be the same **or** very **close to the LTCC,** otherwise, thermal **stress** developed **at the interface** may **lead to** failure **of the** binding. Finally, **the** adhesive must be compatible **with the electronic** packaging industry.

It was found **that the** most suitable adhesive material in this application is the **LTCC itself.** As **it known,** LTCC green **tape is** made **by** casting **a** mixture **of** ceramic and glass paste **on** plastic **foil.** The **tape** paste **is then** dried **into the** green tape. This **tape** paste has **exactly** the same composition with LTCC tape, **and therefore all** the same properties. After **sintering, this paste** will become strong ceramic, and thus serve **as** tight and hermetic adhesive.

Small samples **are** fabricated using the post **fire and** binding method **to** investigate the possibility **of** using this method **to** make the **heat** spreader. The first step **is** making the

46

individual top, bottom and inner pieces. Each of the pieces is laminate and sintered using the conventional LTCC process. The individual pieces is then assembled and bonded together **by** a thin layer of LTCC paste applied on the surface of the pieces. The final part was sintered again to fire the paste into the final ceramic. Fig 3.20 shows the final sample.

Fig 3.20 Sample fabricated **by** post fire process

Since the pieces have already been sintered into rigid ceramic, there is no sagging. As expected, the LTCC paste has been sintered into strong ceramic and tightly bind the individual pieces into a whole part.

Co fire and use adhesive material

Although the post fire method can totally eliminate the sagging, it needs an additional sintering process, which add cost to the whole process. Since this method eliminates sagging by using an adhesive layer instead of lamination, a logical improvement of this method is to use an adhesive layer and cofire.

The idea is almost **the** same **as** post **fire using** adhesive. The **top,** bottom **and** inner **pieces** are laminated **into** three individual parts **at first.** Unlike **the post fire** method, these **pieces** are **not sintered** separately. On **the** contrary, they **are** assembled and **bonded** together **using** LTCC **paste in the** green **state.** The green part **is then** put **into oven** and **cofired in one** step.

Compared **with the post fire** method, **the whole** part **is cofired in one step, therefore is** more **cost effective and** adaptable **to conventional** LTCC process Compared with **the** multiple lamination process, **this** method **does not use the second** lamination step to bind the individual pieces together. Thus the whole part has a uniform **density** and **therefore can control sagging.**

Small samples **have been fabricated** to **investigate the** possibility **of using this** method **to** make **heat spreader. Fig 3.21 shows a** sample made by this method. The width of **the cavity is 0.25 inch.**

Fig 3.21 Sample fabricated by cofire and using binding paste method

From **the picture, it** shows **no** sign **of sagging.** However, **it was** found **later that** sagging would **occur when the cavity size is** very **large. Fig 3.22** shows **a** sample **with** big **cavity** made **using this** method. **The** width **of the cavity is 0.75** inch (the width became **about 0.64 inch due to the** shrinkage after **sintering).**

Fig 3.22 Sample fabricated by cofire and using binding paste method (big cavity)

From the picture, sagging occurs at the center of the cavity. Since the pieces are not bonded by lamination, there is no heterogeneous density distribution in this case. Therefore, the sagging occurred during the sintering process instead of the lamination process. This can also be proven in that the sagging only occurs at the top of the cavity. While the sagging due to lamination usually occur at the top and bottom of the cavity. The cause is that the unsupported tape undergoes plastic deformation under its gravity during sintering. Therefore this method has limited potential in making big cavity. To solve this problem, some insert materials are needed to be deposit in the cavity to support the tape during sintering, or using tensile over-layers to overcome the effect of gravity. However, since the primary purpose is only to make a prototype heat spreader for concept validation, this method was not chosen due to its possible complicity. However the study in this method is worth continued future investigation.

Control **of** leakage

The heat spreader has to be hermetic to isolate the working fluid from the outside environment and to maintain the pressure differential across its walls. Under normal condition, the LTCC itself is a hermetic material after sintering. In this respect, LTCC is a suitable material for embedding a heat spreader. However when via and cavity are made inside LTCC, they may introduce micro cracks and therefore result in leakage.

Based on the results of controlling sagging, the two methods were chosen as the potential methods to fabricate the heat spreader. However, in the attempt to control sagging, simple structures are used----all the samples have no thermal via, which are susceptible resources for leakage. In order to find the right method for making hermetic cavity, more complex testing structures have been fabricated using the two methods respectively. The testing structure is made of 16 layers of LTCC green tape. Each of The top and bottom pieces consists of 4 layers, while the inner piece has 8 layers. There are thermal via on the top piece. Cavity is cut in the inner piece. On the bottom piece a through hole is drilled to serve as the opening to take the vacuum. The vacuum is taken by an Edwards model e2m1.5 vacuum pump, which also has a penning gauge with a measuring range of 10^{-2} to 10^{-7} Torr.

Improved Post fire process

Several samples have been fabricated by using the post fire method discussed in last section. Three pieces are made separately. Thermal via are fabricated on the top piece using the conventional process. The individual pieces are bonded into a whole part by LTCC paste. The sample is then post fired into the final ceramic part.

Vacuum testing was done to check hermeticity. The pressure can only barely reach 10^{-2} torr, indicating leakage of the sample. **By** leakage detection, it was found that all the leakage comes from the binding layer. A close view of the binding found some micro cracks and holes in the binding ceramic. Fig 3.23 shows a crack at the edge of the sample. The cracks exist between the ceramic part and the paste.

Fig 3.23 Crack between the ceramic part and the paste

The cause may be the ineffective sintering at the interface between the pate and ceramic. Normally, individual LTCC tapes can be sintered into a **highly** compacted monolithic structure because they intimately contact the material in each other **by** the lamination process. Since no force was applied to ensure the paste binds the ceramic tape tightly, the paste may detach from the ceramic tape in some region during sintering. This situation is similar to the de-lamination failure in the conventional LTCC process.

Also the problem comes from the binding paste itself. To further investigate the binding quality, a sample was cut to check the interface of the binding paste and ceramic part. Fig 3.24 shows the binding interface.

Fig 3.24 Small pores in the paste

Form the picture; it could be found that the paste did not become compact and hermetic ceramic as expected. On the contrary, there were some pores in the paste and thus it leaks **by** itself. Those pores in the paste were formed when the material flows and shrink in the x-y plane during sintering. Like **LTCC** tape, the paste contains organic materials in the green state. During sintering, these organic materials will bum off, and the paste volume will shrink. Under free sintering condition, pores may form inside the paste due to the decrease of volume. In addition, the paste was not compacted **by** the laminate step and therefore **it** did **not get the** high density, which **is** necessary **for** the paste to be sintered **into** compact ceramic.

To solve this problem, some method **is** needed **to** compress the paste **so** that **it can** tightly **bond the tape** material and eliminate the **pores in the** paste. Constrained sintering **is** therefore **a** good **solution for** this problem.

Compare **to free sintering, constrained** sintering apply stress **to constrain** the sample during sintering. In LTCC technology, constrained **sintering is** often **used to control the** shrinkage **in** x-y plane **while only** allow shrinkage **to occur in the z** direction. **To** constrain and eliminate **linear** in-plane **(x-y)** during **the** sintering **of** plane LTCC **substrate is attractive because** near **net shape** forming **in the x-y** plane **can** be **realized,** which means **the** feature **put** down **in** the green **state will** remain essentially **in** the same position **in** the x-y plane throughout the firing cycle. In this investigation, constrained sintering **is** used to compress the paste during sintering, and **thus get** high quality of the binding paste.

By applying **a** compressing **force in the z** direction during **sintering,** the paste materials are **forced** to tightly contact with **the tape** material **so that** sintering will effectively **occur** between them. Furthermore, when organic materials are burned off **during** sintering and **the** paste volume shrinks, the applied force compress the paste material from **z** direction, thus eliminating the porosity resulting **in** the desired densification **to** the paste.

Stress **can** be applied to the sample by many methods, including hot pressure, additional weight and constrain **layer.** Since we only need the compress stress in z direction, additional weight **is** chosen **in** this application. **It was** found that the optimum

53

pressure is between 0.08- 0.24psi. Too low pressure cannot provide enough stress to compress the paste into a compact material. However, if the pressure is too high, the compression force may drive the paste out and thus is not desired. Fig 3.25 show the cut section of binding paste using constrained sintering, note no pore was found and the paste is compact.

Fig 3.25 Cut section of binding paste after constrained sintering

Testing Samples have been fabricated **by** using this improved post fire method. The test result showed that the sample could get a vacuum of 10^{-5} Torr. Thus proved that this method can be used to fabricate complex hermetic structure in **LTCC.**

Cofire use wax

To investigate the possibility of using cofire process to make heat spreader, test samples were also made using this method. The samples have the same structure as mentioned in the opening of this chapter. The top, bottom and inner pieces were made separately using conventional lamination process. Thermal via and cavity were made on the pieces respectively. Wax was deposited into the cavity before the pieces are assembled and laminated into a whole part. The green part was then put into oven and sintered in one cofire step. The final part was test for its hemeticity. However, the result showed that the cavity is not hermetic. Leakage detective show that leakage is occurring at the top surface, from the thermal via. After inspecting the sample under the microscope, it was found that the leakage comes from the micro cracks in the thermal via. Fig 3.26 shows a typical micro crack in thermal via.

Fig 3.26 Micro crack at edge of thermal via

It was believed that these cracks are caused by the shrinkage mismatch of the via and LTCC tape. Although the via ink is designed to have the same shrinkage as the LTCC tape, it is different from tape material in many aspects such as viscosity and flow behavior under high pressure. Therefore the shrinkage match between them is very sensitive to the process. This "weak" match is easy to be broken when insert material are used and therefore uneven stress distribution are introduced. The purpose of using wax insert is to give a relatively uniform density through the tape. However it is very difficult

to ensure uniform stress distribution through the substrate when a insert material was used, especially when the wax surface is rough or improper amount of wax is used. The uneven stress may alter the shrinkage behaviors of the via and tape. Even if the mismatch shrinkage occurs on micro scale, it is enough to result in micro cracks and thus make the whole sample non-hermetic.

It should be note that this method is able to make complex hermetic structure in LTCC in case that the all the process parameter are carefully controlled. However, since there are so many thermal vias on the tape and any micro crack in one of them would ruin the whole sample, the product rate of this method would be very low. Therefore this method was not chosen to fabricate the heat spreader in this investigation.

Wick **structure**

Theoretical Overview

Although a heat pipe is a very efficient heat transfer device, it has many limitations. The possible limitations of heat pipe are: continuum flow limit, frozen startup limit, viscous limit, sonic limit, entrainment limit, condenser limit, boiling limit and capillary limit.³⁰The operation of a specific heat pipe is limited by the limitation that has the lowest value at the working temperature. Generally, the most commonly encountered limitation of a capillary driven heat pipe is the capillary limit.

Capillary limitation can be defined as the pumping ability of a given capillary wick structure to provide the circulation for a given working fluid. Therefore, the wick structure is the key component that determines the performance of the heat pipe.

56

The basic mode **of** operation **in** the **heat** pipe **is** through a **cycle** of evaporation and condensation. **To sustain this** evaporation and condensation **cycle,** the liquid must be continuously supplied **to the** evaporator **section** by **a** porous wick.

A wick structure can drive the condensate back **to** the evaporation section because of **the** capillary pressure **created** by **its** menisci. In the **heat** pipe, the capillary pressure **exists at** the liquid-vapor **interface** due **to** the **surface tension of** the working fluid and the curved structure **of the interface.** Under working condition, the curvature **of** the menisci **along the** liquid-vapor **interface is not even along** the **heat** pipe. In the evaporator **section,** the menisci at the liquid-vapor interface are highly curved due **to the fact** that the liquid **recedes into the pores of the** wick. On **the other** hand, **the** menisci **in the condenser section** are nearly **flat** during **the** condensation process. The difference **in the** curvature **of** the menisci along **the** liquid-vapor **interface causes the** capillary pressure **to** change along the **heat** pipe. This capillary pressure gradient **then** drive the liquid **to the** evaporator, against the liquid and vapor pressure **losses,** and adverse body forces such as gravity. The fundamental equation **for** capillary **pressure is** the Young-Laplace equation.

$$
P_{cap} = \Delta P = \sigma(\frac{1}{R_{\rm I}} + \frac{1}{R_{\rm II}}) \tag{3.1}
$$

Where σ is the surface energy; R_I , R_{II} are two radii of curvature used to describe an arbitrarily curved surface.

In a small tube,

$$
R1 = R2 = \frac{r}{\cos \theta} \tag{3.2}
$$

Where θ *is the contact angle, r is the radii of the tube*

Hence

$$
P_{cap} = \frac{2\sigma}{r} \cos \theta \tag{3.3}
$$

It **can be generalized into:**

$$
P_{cap} = \frac{2\sigma}{r_{\text{eff}}} \tag{3.4}
$$

Where **reff is the effective pore radii**

The stable working fluid **circulation in a heat pipe can** be **achieved under condition such that the** capillary pressure **head** developed **by the wick** structure **is greater** than **or** equal **to the sum of pressure losses along the** vapor-liquid path. Beyond **this point, the heat pipe reaches its** capillary limitation **and the evaporator walls experience a sudden, continuous increase in** temperature.

Fig **3.27 Schematic show of the pressure distributions in the vapor and liquid** along the heat pipe length²⁹

In order **for the heat** pipe to operate properly, the following pressure balance must be satisfied

$$
\Delta P_{cap,\text{max}} \ge \Delta P_t + \Delta P_v + \Delta P_{e,\delta} + \Delta P_{c,\delta} + \Delta P_g \tag{3.5}
$$

Where ΔP_v is the vapor pressure drop along the heat pipe. ΔP_g is pressure drop in the liquid due to the gravitational force in the direction of the heat pipe axis. This pressure drop is a function of the inclination of the heat pipe from horizontal. $\Delta P_{e,\delta}$ and $\Delta P_{c,\delta}$ are the pressure drops due to the evaporation and condensation at the liquid-vapor interface respectively. These two pressure drops can usually be neglected. ΔP_l is the pressure drop of the liquid flow in a wick structure due to the frictional drag. It is one of the major factors that cause the capillary limit.

Choose of wick structure

Wick structure can be constructed from a single material or from several basic materials, and thus be called homogeneous wick or composite wick respectively. Wick structure can also have various forms: gauzes, sintered porous materials, gloves on the interior heat pipe wall, or any other material capable of transporting the liquid back to the evaporator section. Fig *3.28* shows some typical wick structure.

Fig 3.28 Some commonly used wick structures

From equation (3.5), the capillary pressure is determined by three basic factors: contacting angle, surface tension and pore radii. When a certain working fluid is chosen, the structure and geometries of the wick play a great role in determining the capillary pressure, which explain why the quality and type of wick usually determine the performance of the heat pipe. According to equation (3.4), the capillary pressure generated **by** a wick increase with the decrease of pore radii. However it does not mean that the smaller is the pore size the better is the performance. To choose the right kind of wick structure, many other properties need to be considered such as permeability, effective thermal conductivity and compatibility with the working fluid and the container.

Table 3.1 Comparison of major properties of some commonly used wick structure.

In this investigation, we selected the sintered silver powder as the wick structure based on the following listed considerations:

- 1. Since **LTCC** has low thermal conductivity, a wick structure with high effective thermal conductivity can help to reduce the temperature gradient.
- 2 The high capillary pressure of the sintered metal powder can help to raise the capillary limit, which is the most commonly encountered limit for mini scale heat pipe.
- 3. Sintering silver powder is well adaptable to **LTCC** technology

The biggest disadvantage **of** the **sintered** metal powder structure **is its low** permeability, which **is** the property of a porous material that characterizes its ability **to** transmit liquid **under** the **action of** an applied pressure gradient.

Develop of new **silver** powder wick

The **ideal** wick **should** have **high** capillary pressure and **high** permeability. But **they** conflict **with each other in that, with decrease in pore size, the** capillary pressure **increase** while **the** permeability decrease.

Our **solution to this** problem **is** developing **a porous silver** powder **to** server **as the wick** material. **The idea is** combing **several fine silver** particles **into one big particle. The big** particle **therefore has a** rugged **surface because of the** small particles **on it. By using** this **porous silver powder as the sintered** powder **wick** structure, **the** small **pore radii of the fine** powder **can provide** large capillary **pumping,** while **the high** permeability **of the big** particles **can give the heat** pipe **a** small **liquid pressure drop** and **therefore** higher **heat** transport **capacity.**

Fig 3.29 Illustration of the porous silver powders

Fabrication of porous silver powder

From the theory of sintering, it is possible to sinter the small particles into bigger particles. As the surface is a region of high energy, there is a thermodynamic tendency for the surface to lower its surface free energy. During sintering, this tendency will drive the adjacent particles to join each other which reduces the surface area and hence the amount of surface free energy.

The first theory of sintering was rendered by Frenkel, Pines, Ivensen and Kuczynski in the middle of $20th$ century³¹. The research work have been continued and further developed by many other scientists. According to sintering theory, the sintering process can be broadly placed into three stages 32^{32} .

1. Early stage

This stage occurs when the substance attains 1/4 of its melting point in Kelvin degree. At this stage, particles do not lose their individuality, nor merge or re-crystallize, and no appreciable shrinkage of compact powder will occur. However the particles may rearrange themselves to achieve the most points of contact. Also, at the points of contact where the surface energy is highest, the particles may bond together to form a neck. the initial stage is assumed to last until the radius of the neck between the particals has reached a value of 0.4 -0.5 of the particle radius. 34

2. Intermediate stage

This stage is believed to occur at a temperature 1/4 -3/4 of its melting point in Kelvin degree. During this stage more material is transferred towards the neck of the particles causing shrinkage and a decrease in the interstitial porosity. As this happens some of the particles increase in size at the expense of others, this is known as grain

growth. Shrinkage **only** occurs when material **is** transferred from the body **of** the particle or the boundary of the grain. the intermediate stage normally covers the major part of the sintering **process; it is** taken **to end** when **the** density **is** about 0.9 **of** the **theoretical.**

3. Final stage

At this **stage densification** process **will** finish, and **the size of the** grains will **continue to increase.** Also most **of the** remaining **pores** are removed by vacancy diffusion **along** grain boundaries.

Sintering mechanisms **could** be **one or a** combination **of the following** mechanisms: **viscous or plastic flow; evaporation** and **condensation;** volume diffusion and surface diffusion.36 **Fig 3.30** scheme two **spherical particles** connected **by a neck.**

Figs 3.30 Schematically **show of** two spherical particles connected by **a neck**

While X **is the radius of the interface; t is the** time **of heating at the** given temperature. The relationship between the radii **of the neck** and time **is** determined by the mechanism and could be approximately described as below³⁵.
Viscous or plastic flow: x^2 -t evaporation and condensation: x^3 -t volume diffusion: x^5-t surface diffusion: x^7-t

Normally it is believed that the plastic deformation occurs mostly in the early stage. At the intermediate and final stage, the diffusion at an atomistic level controls the sintering process 37 .

From the review of the sintering theory mentioned above, the small sliver particles should be sintered at early or intermediate stage in order to join into big particles while keep the maximum porosity. since the melting point of silver is 1234K [From Young, Hugh D., University Physics, 7th **Ed.** Table 15-4.], the sintering temperature should be between 34 and 651.5 \degree C. The surface area of the big particles can be controlled by adjust the sintering time and sintering temperature.

Before sintering, an additional aggregate step is needed to mechanically combine the small particles into big particles. This step is very important in that the small particles have a tendency of combining into a whole piece instead of many individual large particles to get the minimum free surface energy. Thus the only way to make individual large particles is to initially aggregate the small particles into big particles and sinter them separately. In addition this step can make the small particles contact each other intimately and thus ensure effective sintering to occur between them.

To bind the small particles together into a cluster, a binding material is needed. This material should provide "stickiness" so that a very thin film of this material on the surface of the silver particles can bind them together, and it is easy to bum off. Also its

64

burn **off** temperature **should** be **a little bit** higher **than the** sintering temperature **of** the particles **to** make **sure that the** particle **is** ready **to sinter** when **it is** burned **off.**

It was found **that** Polyvinyl Butyral (PVB) **is a** good binding material **for this application. PVB is** commonly **used in** industry to provide enhanced flexibility, toughness and adhesion **in** solvent-borne **coatings** and inks, and adhesive **or** binder **applications. Butvar resins are used in general** industrial and **wood** coatings, **wash** primers, ceramic **substrates,** composites and structural **adhesives,** and gravure **or** flexographic inks. **In LTCC** industry, **PVB is often used in the binding** system **of the** green **tape paste.**

To use PVB as the binding rmaterial, **it was firstly** dissolved **by acetone into** saturated **solution. The solution is then** sprayed **onto a thin layer of fine silver** powders. By **doing so, the tiny** mist droplet **can adhere the** small **particles into bigger** particles. **After that, the** large particles **are** categorized by passing through mesh. Fig **3.31 shows the picture of a big** particle congregated from many small particles.

Fig **3.31** Picture **of a** big particle congregated from many small particles

From the picture it could be seen that the small particles are tightly bonded together while the layer of PVB is so thin that it is almost invisible.

PVB can only provide temporary mechanical binding, which is relatively weak and thus easy to be broken. Sintering is therefore an indispensable step to get a durable lifetime binding of the small particles. To determine the sintering temperature, the **TGA** curve of PVB was tested. Fig 3.32 show the **TGA** curve of PVB.

Fig 3.32 **TGA** curve of PVB

From the fig it could be seen that the PVB begin to loss its weight at 300 \degree C. from 300 to 400 \degree C, the curve drops steeply, indicating a rapid burn off process is occurring. The total weight loss during this range is as big as about 80%. The rest material is burned off between 400 and 475 °C at a slower rate. The whole material is completely burned after 475 °C. Based on the TGA curve of PVB and the sintering theory mentioned above, the sintering temperature should between 475 °C and 650 °C. From experiments, it was found that the influence of temperature is not significant as far as it is in this range. The sintering profile is determined as ramping the temperature to

600 0C at 5 *C/min, followed by **a** held **for** 2 **hours to sinter** the fine particles together. After **cool down, the final** powders **go** through mesh again **to** be categorized. Fig 3.33 shows **the** picture **of a** large **silver** particle after sintering. Note the rugged surface **of** the big particle **is** made **of** numerous small particles, **just as** expected. Compared **with** Fig **3.31, it could** be **seen** that **the small** powders are permanently **bonded** together by the **sintered** neck between **the** particles.

Fig 3.33 Picture of big silver particle sintered from numerous small particles

Test of wick structure

An important property of wick structure is its capillary limit, which is the capacity of the wick to supply condensate back to the evaporate section. When the wick structure cannot provide sufficient condensate back, dry out would occur at the evaporate section, and the temperature at the evaporate area would suddenly increase.

In this investigation, the capillary limit of the wick structure was characterized by testing its maximum mass transmit rate of the wick, which is determined by the

maximum capillary pressure, permeability, and thickness of the wick. To **test** the maximum mass transmit **rate of** the porous wick structure, an experimental **test** structure similar **to the** working operation **of the heat** pipe **was set** up.

Experimental **setup**

The experimental setup **is** shown schematically **in Fig 3.34**

Fig 3.34 Experimental setup for testing mass transmit rate

The experimental set-up use a testing plate with the wick sintered onside. The testing plate is made of 4 layers of LTCC tape and has a dimension of **¹**1(W)*100(L)*O.5(T) mm. Porous silver powder wick was sintered on one side of the testing plate. On the other side, a serpentine platinum thick film resistor was sintered at one end to act as the heat source. The heater was insulated by using fire glass blanket. The power used by the heater was supplied by a regulated DC power supply. To measure the temperature of the evaporate section; a type K thermocouple was bonded on the wick, right above the heater. The data of the thermocouple was collected by a Scanning

Thermocouple thermometer. The testing plate was secured vertically on a beaker. Distilled water was added to the beaker so that certain length of the wick is dipped into the water. The height of the water level can be varied so that the maximum mass transmit rate of the wick can be tested at different wick length. The testing cell, including the beaker, testing plate and the power line, thermocouple onside, was put a Denver Instrument XP-600 digital scale. The scale has a range of **600g** and an accuracy of **0.02g.** To eliminate the influence of the weight of the power line and the thermocouple wire, all the lines and wires are hung on a laboratory stand. In addition, these lines were tightly bonded on the stand so that the weight under test would not change when the rest of the **lines** and wires are incidentally touched or moved. Since the water in the beaker can lose its weight because of its diffusion into the ambient condition, the opening of the beaker was covered **by** a layer of aluminum foil to eliminate the weight loss due to the diffusion from the water surface. The weight lose due to the water diffusion from the wick surface was included into the mass transmit rate because it was also provided **by** the wick. However it was found to be as small as 0.018 g/min, and thus was negligible anyway.

Experimental procedure and results:

When power is input into the heater, the test setup would loss weight due to the evaporation of the water in the wick. Unless its maximum capacity was meet, the capillary force of the wick can continuously supply water to the evaporate area. The mass transmit rate at given input power level can be tested **by** measuring the loss of the water over a certain period of time of operation. At any one of the power levels, the power was

set and the wick allowed **to reach** steady **state.** The steady **state** means the temperature did **not** change **with** time. However, during **test it was** found that **the** temperature data **oscillated in a range of** $0.1 \sim 1$ **°C due to the dynamic local temperature change and the** resolution **of the** data acquisition system. Steady **state is** reached when **the** temperature did not change **in a** steady perceivable direction (up or down). After the wick reach the **steady state, it was** allowed **to** operate **over a** certain period of time, **10** minutes **in** our **case.** Since **the** temperature **oscillated in a** range **of** 0.1-1*C, **so** the temperature **was** recorded **every** minute and **the even** temperature during **the testing** period **was** used. The weight **loss** during this period **of** time was recorded, and the **mass** transmit **rate** was **calculated** by **relation:** m=M/t

The primary **objective of the test is to find** the maximum **mass** transmit **rate of the** wick **at** different **wick** length. To **do this, the** input power **level was slowly** increased and **the mass** transmit **rate at** each **of the** power **level was** tested. The maximum mass transmit **rate is** the **one when the** dry **out occur,** which **can be** monitored from the temperature **at the** evaporate **section.**

From **the** experiment data, **it was found** that, **with** the increase **of** the input power, **the** temperature **rise steadily at the** beginning. However when temperature approach to 1000C, **it reach a** plateau. During this period the temperature rise very slowly with the increase **of the** input power. This **is** because the evaporation **of** the water removed enormous **heat** from the wick, thus keep **the** temperature almost **constant.** The plateau **can** continue **as** long **as** the wick **can** provide enough water **for** evaporating. When the maximum **mass** transmit **rate was met,** the dry-out occurred and the temperature was

70

found to jump suddenly. Table 3.2 **list the** temperature and the **mass** transfer **rate** at various input power **level for** wick height **of** 7.5cm.

Table 3.2 Mass transmit **rate at different input power level (wick height=7.5cm)**

Fig 3.35 Mass transmit rate at various power levels, for wick height=7.5 cm

The relation between the input power and the temperature was also shown in fig 3.35. From the data it could be seen that, at the beginning, the temperature *rise* steadily with the input power. At the power level of about 18W, it met the plateau. During this period, the input power increased 20W while the temperature only rise about 2 °C , indicating that most of the heat was removed by the evaporating of water. The dry-out state was found to occur at the input power level of 39.9W. At that moment the temperature was found to suddenly jump to over 120'C. To prevent the heater burnout; the power was then immediately turned off.

To test the maximum mass transmit rate of various wick lengths, the test was repeated at various water levels. The result is listed in Table 3.3.

Wick length	Maximum mass	Power level at
(cm)	transmit rate	dry out
	(g/min)	
7.5	0.540	30
6.5	0.576	32.2
5.5	0.631	36.5
4.5	0.692	38.36

Table 3.3 Maximum **mass** transfer **rate at** various wick heights

The relation between **the** maximum **mass** transmit **rate** and **the** wick **length was** shown **in** Fig **3.36.** From **the** figure **it is seen that the mass** transmit **rate decrease lineally** with **the increasing wick height. This result is** logical **in that less** capillary **force was spent to** overcome **the weight** of **the water at the** decreased **wick** height. **The** maximum **mass** transmit **rate tested is 0.692** (g/min), **at height of** 4.5cm.

Fig 3.36 Maximum mass transmit rate at various wick heights

In this investigation, a wick made by normal sintered silver powder (mesh size=100) was also **fabricated to** compare **with** the porous powder **cluster** wick. The experimental data **for** wick height **of** 4.5 **cm** were **plotted in** figure 3.37. **To** provide comparisons, **the** data **of the porous** powder **cluster** wick at **height of** 4.5cm and **7.5cm were also** plotted **in** the same figure. The experimental **data** were **also listed in table 3.4.**

Wick type	Wick length	Maximum mass	Power level at
	(cm)	transmit rate	dry out
		(g/min)	
Normal silver			
powder	4.5	0.44	22.05
Silver powder			
cluster	4.5	0.692	38.36
	7.5	0.540	30

Table 3.4 Compare powder **cluster** wick **with** normal **powder wick**

Fig **3.37** Temperature plateau **in** powder **cluster** wick **and** normal powder wick

From the figure **it** could be **seen that** the wick made **by** normal **silver** powder has a much shorter plateau. The dry **out was** found **to** occur **at** input power **level** of as low **as** 22W. The according maximum **mass** transmit **rate** was O.44(g/min). While **for** the wick made by **silver** powder **cluster,** the plateau **is** very long, indicating large mass transfer ability----0.692 (g/min) **for** h=4.5cm. Also **it** could be **noticed** that the plateau **is** shorter **for** wick height **of 7.5cm. This is** because the **mass** transmit **rate increased** with **the** decreased wick height. However **its** maximum **mass** transmit **rate** (0.54g/min) **is even** higher than **the 4.5 cm** normal powder wick.

Note **the** maximum transmit **rate is also a** function **of** the **cross section** area **of the wick** structure. **To get the** maximum transmit **rate** per **unit** area, **the cross section areas of the** wicks were measured. For **the** wick made **by silver** powder **cluster, the cross section of the** wick **is** approximate rectangle with **a** dimension of **0.8(W)* 11(L) mm.** So the area can be **calculated by relation** S=W*L=8.8mm2. Using the **mass** transmit data **at** wick height **of 4.5** cm, **the** maximum **mass** transmit **rate** per **unit** cross-area **is** 0.079(g/min*mm²). For the normal powder wick, the cross section area is about 9.1 mm². At **the** wick height **of 4.5** cm, the maximum transmit **rate per** unit cross-area **is 0.048(g/min*nm2).** Obviously normal powder wick has **a** much lower **mass** transmit ability than the powder **cluster** wick, which may **due to the** higher permeability **of the later.**

According to Darcy's **law for** liquid **flow** in a porous media:

$$
\frac{dP}{dZ} = -\frac{V_l m}{\rho_l A_w K} \tag{3.1}
$$

Where V_i is the local volume-averaged velocity; ρ_i is the liquid density.

K is the permeability of a porous medium

Rearranging eqn. **(3.1),** get

$$
\frac{m}{A_w} = -\frac{dP}{dZ} \frac{\rho_l}{V_l} K \tag{3.2}
$$

Based on this equation, given certain fluid and pressure gradient, the mass transmit rate per unit cross-area is a function of the permeability. The higher the permeability, the larger is the mass transmit rate per unit cross-area.

For wick structure with simple geometries, the permeability can be determined analytically. Although the analytical expression for the permeability of sintered metal powder wick is not available, it was believed that the higher permeability of the powder cluster wick is due to its larger porosity.

The porosity of a porous medium can be defined as the fraction of the total volume of the medium that is occupied **by** void space.

$$
\varphi = \frac{V_p}{V_t} \tag{3.3}
$$

Where V_p is the pore volume; V_t is the total volume

The powder cluster wick has a larger porosity because its total pore volume $(V_{p,t})$ is the combination of the pore volume among the small powders $(V_{p,s})$ and the pore volume among the big clusters($V_{p,b}$). ie.

$$
V_{p,t} = V_{p,b} + V_{p,s} \tag{3.4}
$$

To calculate the porosity of the powder cluster wick, assuming there are n powder clusters in wick with a total volume of V_t , the pore volume among the cluster $(V_{p,b})$ can get **by**

$$
V_{p,b} = \eta_1 * V_t \tag{3.5}
$$

Where η_1 is the porosity of the powder cluster

Since each of the powder clusters is made from small powders, given the porosity of the small powder as η_2 , the pore volume in each of the powder cluster is:

$$
V_{\rm psc} = \eta_2 (1 - \eta_1) V_t / n \tag{3.6}
$$

So, the total pore volume among the small particles is

$$
V_{p,s}=n V_{p,c} = \eta_2 (1 - \eta_1) V_t
$$
\n(3.7)

Hence

$$
V_{\rm pst} = V_{\rm psb} + V_{\rm pss} = (\eta_1 + \eta_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2) V_{\rm t}
$$
\n(3.8)

The total porosity of the powder cluster wick is:

$$
\eta_{w} = \eta_{1} + (1 - \eta_{1}) \eta_{2} \tag{3.9}
$$

While for the normal powders, there is no $V_{p,s}$ term.

Assume the big particles are the same size as the powder clusters.

$$
V_{\text{pst}} = V_{\text{p}} = \eta_1 * V_t \tag{3.10}
$$

The total porosity of the normal powder wick is:

$$
\eta_{\rm w} = \eta_1 \tag{3.11}
$$

Compare expression (3.9) with (3.11). It could be seen that even if the powder size is the same, the porosity of the powder cluster is larger than the normal powder.

Both the porosities of the wick powders were measured in this investigation. For the normal silver powder, the porosity is about *0.517,* while for the silver powder cluster it is about 0.81. The experiment result agrees with the theoretical analysis.

Working fluid

In the **heat** pipe, **the** wick structure **is** saturated by the working fluid. The fluid goes through an evaporation-condensation **cycle, thus** transporting **heat** from input **to** output **end. Selection of a** suitable working fluid **is a** very important **issue in heat** pipe design and **based on** many considerations. The prime requirements of working fluid are **listed below.**

1. Compatibility **with** wick and **wall** material

The working fluid must be compatible with the **container,** wick and welding material **to** assure **the longevity of a heat pipe.** Incompatible material may **cause** chemical **reaction** between parts **or** galvanic **cell, or,** at operating temperature; **the container** material may be **solvable in the** working fluid **or** may **catalyze its** decomposition.

2. Good thermal stability

A **heat** pipe cannot work **if its** working fluid decomposes **at** the operating temperature. A good thermal stability **is** therefore **a** necessary feature **of** the working fluid.

3. Wet-ability **of** wick and **wall** materials

For **effective** capillary pumping through the **heat** pipe wick. A fluid that has good wetting ability must be used. Since **the** maximum capillary pressure **is** reached when the angle **of contact is zero for a** wetting fluid, fluid **with** very small **contact** angle with **the** wick and the **container** material is desired.

4. Vapor pressure **of** the working fluid **is not too** high or low **over** the operating temperature **rang.**

78

When working, the vapor pressure **should** be high enough **to push** the vapor **to** travel from the evaporator **section to** the condensate **section.** But if the vapor pressure **is too** high, large temperature gradient and flow instability may **occur** due **to the** high vapor velocity.

5. High **latent heat**

To maintain low-pressure drop within **the heat** pipe, minimum fluid **is** used. Therefore a high **latent heat of** vaporization **is desired in** order **to** transfer large amount of **heat with** minimum fluid **flow.**

6. High thermal **conductivity**

The thermal conductivity **of the** working fluid **should** be high **in** order to minimize **the radial** temperature gradient and **to** reduce **the** possibility **of nucleate** boiling **at** the **wick or wall surface,**

7. Low liquid and vapor **viscosities**

In order **to** minimize the **resistance to flow,** fluids **with low** vapor **and** liquid **viscosities** are desired.

8. High surface **tension**

A **high surface** tension can **generate** high capillary **driving force.** Thus help **to** avoid the capillary limitation and enable **the heat** pipe **to** operate against gravity.

9. Acceptable freezing point.

Heat pipe **usually** start from the ambient temperature, which **is either** lower or higher than the operating temperature, thus **a** proper freezing point **is** required.

To choose **a** suitable working fluid, the **first** consideration **is the** operating temperature range. According **to** their operating range, **heat** pipe **can** be classified **into four** categories:

79

cryogenic, low, medium, and high temperature range. Within each of the approximate temperature range **several** possible working liquid may **exist;** and various characteristics must be examined **to** determine **the** most acceptable fluid. Table 3.2 **list** the melting and boiling point of some common working fluids for low temperature **heat** pipe and their recommended operating range.

Working fluid	Melting point, K at	Boiling point, K at 1	Useful Range, K
	1 atm	atm	
Ethane	89.9	184.6	150-240
Freon 22	113.1	232.2	193-279
Ammonia	195.5	239.9	213-373
Freon 21	138.1	282.0	233-360
Freon 11	162.1	296.8	233-393
Pentane	143.1	309.2	253-393
Freon 113	236.5	320.8	263-373
Acetone	180.0	329.4	273-393
Methanol	175.1	337.8	283-403
Flutec PP2	223.1	349.1	283-433
Ethanol	158.7	351.5	273-403
Heptane	182.5	371.5	273-423
Water	273.1	373.1	303-473
Touene	178.1	383.7	323-473
Flutec PP9	203.1	433.1	273-498
Naphthalene	353.4	490	408-478
Dowtherm	285.1	527.0	423-668

Table 3.5 Typical working fluids and temperature ranges

In **electronic cooling** applications **where it** is desirable **to** maintain **junction** temperature below 125-150°C, Low temperature range heat pipe are typically used. Among the available low temperature working fluid, water, methanol, Ethanol and **acetone** are most widely used. Because the **excellent** stability and compatibility of ceramic, Any of these fluids **is** compatible **for** the **heat** spreader **in our** investigation. To

choose the most suitable **one,** more properties are examined and compared. Table 3.6 listed the their **thermo** physical properties **of saturated** fluids **at** 100*C

Table 3.6 thermo physical properties **of** saturated **water,** methanol, Ethanol and **acetone at** $100^{\circ}C$

Based **on the data, water is** the most appropriate fluid **in** our application. Water's largest **latent heat can yield** the lowest **mass** transport **rates in** both liquid and vapor **phases,** which **result in low** pressure-drops and high power throughput. The **surface tension of water is** much higher than **other** fluids. **This can** allow **it to** generate higher pumping pressures than **what can** be **achieved with** any other fluid. Also water's **high** thermal conductivity **can help to lower** the temperature gradient. Another important benefit **of water is that it is** very **safe in** handling; **while** other fluids are flammable and have harmful vapors.

Heat spreader design

In this investigation the heat spreader involved is actually an array of heat pipe with a joint evaporator section. Therefore the heat spreader works under the same principle as heat pipe. Fig 4.1 shows the design of a heat spreader.

Fig 4.1 Design of a typical heat spreader

The heat spreader consists of six heat pipes which joint together in the center. The whole heat spreader is embedded in LTCC substrate. Each of the top and bottom of the heat spreader consists of eight layers of LTCC tape with thermal via designed onside to lower the heat resistance between the wick and the heat resource . Porous silver powders are sintered on the surface of inner wall, severing as the wick structure of the heat spreader. Distilled Water is used as the working fluid. The design of the heat spreader can

be varied by changing the number and the arrangement of the heat pipes. Of course more heat pipes are preferred so that heat can be distributed to larger area for removal. To get the maximum heat distribute area, a logical solution is using a single large vapor chamber..

Fig 4.2 Single vapor chamber heat spreader

Fig 4.3 Schematic showing vapor chamber heat spreader operation

The whole **heat** spreader **is** a single large evacuated vapor chamber with a saturated wick structure inside. As **heat is** applied, the working fluid at that location vaporizes, and the vapor rushes **to fill** the vacuum. Wherever the vapor comes into contact with **a cooler surface it** will condense, releasing **its** latent heat **of** vaporization. The condensed fluid returns **to the heat source via** capillary action **in** the wick structure. **To** provide **sufficient** capillary pumping **force,** there are **several** porous wick piles **in the vapor** chamber. These **wick** piles **were** made from **the** same **silver** powder **cluster** and **can** help **to** return **condensed** fluid back **to the** hot **surface.**

Fabrication procedure

Based on the conclusion of chapter three, the post fire using adhesive layer process was chosen to fabricate the heat spreader. The whole procedure is illustrated in fig 4.3 and summarized below.

Fig 4.4 Heat spreader fabrication procedure

Slitting and blanking

This step is used to get the green sheets with the working dimension. A portion of Dupont **⁹⁵¹**Green Tape is unrolling from the shipping roll, then it is cut with a razor into sections with dimension of 2.5*2.5 inch.

Grouping

The green sheets are grouped into top, bottom and inner groups. Each of the top and bottom group consists of 8 layers of green tapes and is further divided into 2 sub-groups. The inner group consists of 20 layers of green tapes.

Lamination

Lamination is performed using a PHI model sp-0021 manual compression press, which has 40000 lb capacity and an **8*8** inch heat platen. As recommended by the tape manufacturer, the laminate is pressed at 70° C, 3000 psi for 10 minutes.

Pre-Lamination

This step is used to bind the individual green sheets into pieces so that via holes can be drilled on the pieces in one step. The lamination pressure is slightly lower than the recommended process. The laminate is pressed at **70*** C, 2000 psi for 10 minutes.

Blanking

The laminate is cut into the final working dimension of the green state. Also cutting off the edges helps to prevent cracks to occur at edge of the sample.

Via form

The via holes are drilled **by** using an OZO Divasfied model 17 micro **CNC** manufacture system. The diameter of via hole is **0.015** inch; the distance between vias is **0.032** inch

Via **fill**

Via **Fill is** performed **using a conventional** thick film **screen** printer. Via **fill ink is** Dupont 6141. At the same step, **a layer of via ink is** printed **on the surface** of green tape **to** serve **as silver layer.**

Via Drying:

Via drying are accomplished **in a box oven at 120'C** for **5** minutes.

Second lamination

This step **is used to get stacked via** and embedded **silver layer in the** top and **bottom** green part. The process parameters are the same **as** the first lamination step.

Cavity cutting

Cavity **is routed in the** inner pieces **by** using **the** OZO Divasfied model **17** micro CNC manufacture system.

Sintering

The laminates are **fired** in a Fisher Scientific isotemp programmable muffle furnace using the conventional **LTCC cofire** profile. Temperature **is** ramped **up at a rate** of **1.5*C** /minute Organic burnout takes **place at** 450*C **for 2 hours.** Peak temperature **is** 850*C **for** 15 minutes.

Input Wick

To make the wick structure, porous silver powders are pasted onto the inside surface of the cavity by a thin layer of silver ink. In addition, *5* porous silver piles are fabricated inside the cavity.

Assembling and binding

The individual ceramic parts are assembled and bind together by using 951 tape paste.

Constrained Post fire

The assemblage is post fired to sinter the paste into final ceramic, and thus ensure durable binding. To eliminate the porosity of the paste, constrained sintering **is used.** The applied pressure is 0.2 psi. The process is performed in the Fisher Scientific isotemp programmable muffle furnace using the conventional LTCC sintering profile: Temperature is ramped up at a rate of *1.50C* /minute Organic burnout takes place at 450*C for 2 hours. Peak temperature is *875*C* for 15 minutes

Final Inspection

The final parts are inspected under microscope. Vacuum leak testing was performed to ensure that the sample was hermetical.

The performance of the embedded heat spreader has been tested to provide conceptual validation of this technology.

Experimental setup

The experimental setup is shown schematically in Fig 5.1. The heat spreader under test was secured on a laboratory stand by a three-prong grip. The heat spreader was secured horizontally with the heating side face down, and was charged with approximately 0.8 ml of distillated water (about 10% of the chamber volume). A serpentine pattern platinum thick film resistor with a dimension of $5*5$ mm² was bonded on the surface of the heat spreader to act as the heat source. The power used by the platinum heater was supplied by a regulated DC power supply with 3A and 60 Volt capacities. The heat load was adjusted by varying the input voltage and current used by the heater, which were measured by two digital multi-meters. Power (P) was then calculated using the measured voltage (V) and current **(I)** by the relation $P=V^*I$.

Fig 5.1 Experimental setup for testing thermal performance of heat spreader

The heater was bonded at the center of the heat spreader **by** epoxy. To reduce the contact heat resistance, thermal grease was used between the heat spreader and the heater. To minimize the transfer of heat to the ambient conditions or the test fixture, an insulation fiberglass blanket was packed on the heater side of the heat spreader. The whole system was cooled **by** air convection without any additional heat sinks. To get the temperature distribution of the heat spreader, temperatures at different location along the diagonal line of the heat spreader were measured by using type K thermocouples. The location of the thermal couples was listed in table 5.1

Thermocouple	Distance from center
#1	
#2	0.5
#3	0.75
# 4	

Table 5.1 Location of the thermocouples

All the thermocouples were bonded on the cooling side of the heat spreader **by** using thermally conductive epoxy. The data of the thermocouples were acquired **by** a personal computer based data acquisition system.

Experimental procedure

Since the capillary limitation is the most readily encountered limitation for low temperature heat pipes, it was desired to test the capillary limit of the heat spreader. In theory, it could be done **by** slowly increasing the amount of the input heat until dry out occurred, which is marked by a sudden increase of the temperature near the heater

location. However, it was found to be difficult to get the dry-out state, due to the fear of introducing heater burnout at high power level. Hence the power level was increased until the maximum temperature exceeds 90 $^{\circ}$ C, beyond which the solder on the heater and the epoxy may encounter mechanical failure.

For convenience, the thermal load was adjusted according to the current used by the heater. The current was adjusted over a range of 0.3 to 1.3 A, in a 0.1 A increments. At any one power level setting, the power was set and then the heat spreader was allowed to reach steady condition, which can be defined as the state when temperature at all the thermocouples locations **did** not change with time. The time necessary to reach the steady state varies with the size of the heat spreader, the thickness of the wall and the insulation used. During test, it was found that the steady state was typically achieved within 10 minutes. However, a period of at least 20 minutes was given prior to any data being recorded.

Testing Result and analysis

Data for the heat spreader working under various input power level was obtained and listed in table *5.2*

Table *5.2* Temperature data of heat spreader at various power levels

The temperature distribution at various input power levels are also shown in fig *5.2*

Fig **5.2** Temperature distribution **at** various **input** power **levels**

It **should** be **note that** the system **is in nature convection condition for the test below 10 w.** However, **for input** power higher than 6w, an **electronic** fan **was used to** provide **forced air convection. The reason is that the heat** cannot **be readily** dissipated **only** through nature **convection when tested in high** power **level. While in a low** power **level, it is not** necessary **to use the forced air convection.** From **the** data, **it can** be **seen** that **the heat** spreader **worked** very **well at** low power **level;** the temperature **is** almost uniform through **the heat spreader.** The temperatures **are** higher **at locations closer to center,** right above the **heater.** With **the increase of** the **input** power, **the** temperature difference **increases to a** maximum **of 5.5** *0C.* However this temperature **difference is not** significant, indicating **the heat spreader** did **not** reach **its** limit. In additional, the temperature **at** the evaporate **section increase** stably, compared **to the sudden rise of** temperature when dry out **occur.**

The test was stopped at power level of 18.54 W, due to the fear of the failure of the heater and the epoxy. The heater has an area of 0.25 mm^2 , so the maximum power density used is about 74 W/mm^2 , and the heat spreader did not indicate any sign of dry out.

To evaluate the effect of the heat spreader to the substrate, the same heat spreader was tested in uncharged operation, which means no working fluid was input to provide the two phase thermal cycle. Heat is therefore transferred along the heat spreader only **by** conduction. Data was obtained for uncharged operation at approximately 5.2 W thermal load and is listed in Table 5.3.

Table 5.3 Comparison of temperature data of charged mode and uncharged mode at power level of **5.2** W

The temperature distribution is also shown in Figure 5.3. To compare the charged mode and uncharged mode, data for charged operation at approximately **5.2** W thermal load was also shown in the same table and figure.

Figure 5.3 Comparison between charged and uncharged operation mode of heat spreader **Fom the data, it could** be **seen that the** maximum temperature difference for the uncharged operation is as high as 14 °C, while the maximum temperature difference for **the charged operation is only 2.2 *C. Also the** temperature **in** charged **mode is about 10 *C lower** than **in the** uncharged operation mode. This dramatic difference is because the charged **heats sreader uses** the **latent heat of** vaporization **as the** principal means **of** energy transfer. This **also proved** that **the** developed **heat** spreader **is** very **effective in** transporting **heat fom** concentrate **heat source to** large **area for** dissipating.

VLConclusion

- \bullet The miniature heat spreaders have been successfully fabricated inside a **LTCC** substrate using modified LTCC process. The thermal performance test demonstrated that the heat spreader could work properly at power density of more than 70 W/mm2 without any sign of dry out occur. The successful fabrication of the integrated heat spreader provides concept validation of using advanced twophase heat management system to greatly improve the effective thermal conductivity of LTCC substrate and thus provide very high level of cooling technology for LTCC in high power density applications.
- The overall objective of the research was satisfied with the development and \bullet testing of the prototype heat spreaders. Furthermore the key topics related to the fabrication of embedded heat spreaders in LTCC substrate were studied. Conclusions for these fabrication issues were drawn and briefly listed below.
- Drilling via holes on pre-laminated pieces instead of individual tapes can prevent \bullet bad alignment and via distortion. Also adding a pre-lamination step make the via drilling process much more cost effective.
- Cracks in the **LTCC** substrate usually occur during the lamination and sintering process. The cause of cracks could be uneven density through the substrate, mismatched shrinkage between via and tape material or improper sintering profile.
- Sagging of LTCC tape above the interior cavity is function of the cavity size and the lamination pressure. Multiple lamination process is effective to reduce the

amount of sagging compare **to conventional** method. But sagging cannot be **totally** controlled **only by** multiple lamination process.

- Deposit of wax **in a** cavity **can control** sagging and **get** the desired **cavity** quality. $\qquad \qquad \bullet$ However micro **cracks** may occur **in** the thermal **via** due **to the local** uneven density and stress distribution. **Thus** the roughness of **wax surface** and **the** amount of **wax** are very **critical in** this method. Fabricating embedded **heat** spreader using this method is possible while very difficult.
- Post **fire** using binding **paste is a** simple and **effective** method to fabricate hermetic **cavity** inside **LTCC substrate. This** method **has no problem of** sagging, and **can ensure the quality of** thermal **via at the** same time. **The** major **problem of this** method **lies in** the leakage form **the** binding paste. Fortunately this **problem** was successfully **solved by** using **constrain** sintering. Therefore **this** method was **finally** chosen **as** the method **to** fabricate the **heat** spreader.
- **Cofire** using binding paste **is attractive in** that **it is** more **cost effective** than the \bullet post **fire** method. In addition **it is** more adaptable to the LTCC industry. However this method cannot **control** sagging when **the interior cavity is too** big (wider than 0.75 inch). This **problem is** possible to be **solved by** printing **tensile layer** above the cavity. Therefore study **in** this method **is** worth **to** be continued in future.
- A novel sintered porous **silver** powder wick structure **was** successfully developed $\qquad \qquad \bullet$ **for** the **heat** spreader. The wick uses **novel** porous **silver** powder, which is fabricated **by** sintering **fine silver** powders **into** coarse powders. The resulting porous nature **can** provide high capillary pressure and permeability **for the** wick.

96

- The maximum **mass** transport **rate of** the wick structure **was** successfully tested at $\qquad \qquad \circ$ different wick height. Mass transport of 0.692 (g/min) **was** obtained at wick height **of** 4.5cm. The corresponding mass transport **rate** per unit cross-area **is** $0.079(g/min*mm^2)$.
- The **conclusion above also lead to better** understands **on how** to make complex \bullet three-dimension structure **using** LTCC technique. These structures **can** be used not **only in cooling** systems but **also in** complex **sensors** and micro systems.

¹ Donald P.Seraphim, Ronald Lasky and Che-Yu Li, Principles of electronic packaging

² T.P. Cotter "Principles and Prospects for micro Heat Pipes", Proc. $5th$ Int. Heat Pipe Conf., Tsukuba, Japan.

³ B.R.Babin, G.P.Peterson and D.Wu, 1989,"Analysis and Testing of a Micro Heat Pipe During Steady-state Operation", Proc. **ASME/AIChE** National Heat Tansfer Conf, 89- HT-17, August 5-8, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

⁴D.Wu and G.Peterson, **1990,** "Experimental Investigaion of the Transient Behavior of Miocro Heat Pipes", Proc. AIAA/ASME 5th Joint Thermophysics and Heat Transfer Conf, June 18-20, Seattle,WA.

⁵ D.Plesch, W.Bier, D.Seidel, and K.Schubert, 1991,"Miniature Heat Pipes for Heat Removal from Microelectronic Circuits", Proc. **ASME** Annual Meeting, Atlanta, GA.

⁶ Y.S.Lee, Y.P.Lee, and Y,Lee, 1992,"An experiment Study on Micro Two-Phase Closed Thermosyphons with Insert", Proc. 8th Int. Heat Pipe Conf., Beijing, China.

⁷H.Chen, M.Groll, and S.Rosler, 1992,"Micro Heat Pipes: Experimental Investigation and Theoretical Modelling," Proc. 8th Int. Heat Pipe Conf., Beijing, China.

⁸J.Zhou, Z.Yao, and J.Zhu, 1992, "Experimental Investigation of the Application Characters of Micro Heat Pipe," Proc. **8th** Tnt. Heat Pipe Conf., Beijing, China.

⁹ T.Li, L.Cao and L, Xiang 1992, "Research and Application for the Heat Tansfer Performance of Small Heat Pipes", Proc. 8th Int. Heat Pipe Conf., Beijing, China

¹⁰Cao, Y., Faghri, A., and Mahefky, **E.T.,** 1993, "Micro/Miniature Heat Pipes and Operating Limitations", **ASME** HTD-Vol. **236,** pp. 55-62.

¹¹ Cao, Y., Gao, M., Beam, J.E., and Donovan, B., 1997, "Experiments and Analyses of Flat Miniature Heat Pipes", **AIAA** Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer, Vol. 11, No. 2, **pp. 158-164.**

¹²Gao, M. and Cao, Y., Beam, **J.E.,** and Donovan, B., **1999,** "Structural Optimization of Axially Grooved Flat Miniature Heat Pipes," Proc. **1999 AIChE** Symposium Series in Heat Transfer, Vol. **93,** Baltimore.

'3 Koichiro Tke, Yuichi Furukawa, and Shunta Ushioda., "Fundamental Investigation of Roll Bond Heat Pipe as Heat Spreader Plate for Notebook Computers", 2000 **IEEE** Transactions on Components and Packaging Technologies, Vol.23, No.1 pp8O-85

¹⁴ Zuo, Z.J.; North, M.T.; Wert, K.L." High heat flux heat pipe mechanism for cooling of electronics",2001 **IEEE** Transactions on Components and Packaging Technologies, Volume 24, **pp.** 220 -225

 15 Kenichi Namba, Jun Niekawa, Yuichi Kimura, and Nobuyuki Hashimoto, "Heat Pipes for Electronic Devices and Evaluation of Their Thermal Performance", 2000 **IEEE** Transactions on Components and Packaging Technologies, Vol. **23.** No.1. **pp9l-94**

¹⁶ I. Yusuf, A. Watwe and H.Ekhlassi, "Intergrated Heat Sink-Heat Pipe Thermal Cooling Device", 2000 Intersociety Conference on Thermal Phenomena, Vol 2 **pp.** 27-30

¹⁷ Seok Hwan Moon, Choon Gi Choi, Gunn Hwang and Tae Goo Choy, "Experimental" Study on Performance of a Miniature Heat Pipe with Woven-Wired Wick", 2000 Intersociety Conference on Thermal Phenomena, Vol 2 pp. 129-133

¹⁸ Rengasamy Ponnappan, "A Novel Micro-capillary Groov-wick Miniature Heat Pipe", Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Vol 2. pp.818-826

¹⁹Khrustalev. D., and Faghri. A., 1994, "Thermal Analysis of a Micro Heat Pipe." **ASME** Journal of Heat Transfer. Vol. **116. pp189-198**

²⁰J.M.Ha, G.P.Peterson, "The Heat Transport Capacity of Micro Heat Pipes", 1998 Transactions of the **ASME** vol. 120, pp. 1064-1071

²¹G.P.Peterson, H.B. Ma "Temperature Resonse of Heat Transport in a Micro Heat Pipe", 1999 Transactions of the **ASME** vol. 121, pp. 438-445

²²Patricio espinoza-vallejos, Jihua Zhong, M. Gongora-rubio, Luis Sola-laguna, J.J.Santiago-aviles., 1 998,"Meso-scale Electromechanical Systems For the Measurement and Control of Sagging in LTCC Structures", Material Research Society Symposia Proceedings, Vol.518. pp. 73-79

²³Torsten Thelemann, Heiko Thust, Gemot Bischoff, Torsten Kirchner., 1999,"Liquid Cooled LTCC Substrates for High Power Applications", Proceeding. of SPIE, v3906 p.636,.

²⁴Lars Rebenklau, Klaus-Jirgen Wolter, Steffen Howitz, 2000 "Realization of hybrid microfluidic systems using standard LTCC process", Proceedings - Electronic Components and Technology Conference 2000. **IEEE pp 1696-1700**
²⁵Jaroslaw Kita, Andrzej Dziedzic, Leszek J. Golonka. "Three Dimensional Structures in LTCC Ceramics", VII Konferencja Naukowa,, Technologia Elektronowa, ELTE 2000, Polanica Zdroj,

²⁶ Barry **E.** Taylor, Larry Bidwell, Angela Lawrence, "Novel Ceramic Packages and Architectures for MST Applications Made Possible with Photoimageable LTCC", 34thIMAPS conference, Baltimore, October 9-11, 2001

²⁷ Patricia Espinoza and Jorge J. Santiago-Aviles 2000, "Photolithographic Feature Fabrication In **LTCC",** The International Journal of Microcircuits and Electronic Packaging, Volume 23, Number 3, Third Quarter 2000

²⁸ Zampino, Marc A, "Embedded Heat Pipes in Cofired Ceramic Substrates for Enhanced Thermal Management of Electronics" Dissertation for Doctor of Philosophy

²⁹ Bauer, R., Luniak, M., and Rebenklau, L., 1997, "Realization of LTCCMultilayer With Special Cavity Applications," Proceedings of **1997** International Symposium on Microelectronics, **IMAPS,** Philadelphia, **PA.**

³⁰ Faghri, A., 1995, Heat Pipe Science and Technology, Taylor and Francis, Washington, D.C.

³¹Upadhyaya, **1995 G.S.,** Science of Sinterig,

³²Ristic, M.M, **1990,** science of sintering

³³ Ristic, M.M, Int. Symp. On Science and Technology of Sintering, Tokyo, 1987

34 Rahaman, M. N., **1995** ,Ceramic processing and sintering

³⁵Rahaman, M. N., **1995** ,Ceramic processing and sintering

³⁶ Hery H.Hausner, handbook of powder metallurgy, D.Eng

³⁷ X.Kuang, G.Carotenuto, L.Nicolais, A Review of Ceramic Sintering and Suggestions on Reducing Sintering Temperatures, **1997,** Adv. Perf. Mater. 4, **257-274,**