# **Florida International University [FIU Digital Commons](https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/?utm_source=digitalcommons.fiu.edu%2Fetd%2F2757&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)**

[FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations](https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd?utm_source=digitalcommons.fiu.edu%2Fetd%2F2757&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [University Graduate School](https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/ugs?utm_source=digitalcommons.fiu.edu%2Fetd%2F2757&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

8-18-2006

# Detection and neutralization of bacterial biohazards via nanoencapsulation

Rosaura De Zayas *Florida International University*

**DOI:** 10.25148/etd.FI14062227 Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd](https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd?utm_source=digitalcommons.fiu.edu%2Fetd%2F2757&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Part of the [Biomedical Engineering and Bioengineering Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/229?utm_source=digitalcommons.fiu.edu%2Fetd%2F2757&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

De Zayas, Rosaura, "Detection and neutralization of bacterial biohazards via nanoencapsulation" (2006). *FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. 2757. [https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd/2757](https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd/2757?utm_source=digitalcommons.fiu.edu%2Fetd%2F2757&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This work is brought to you for free and open access by the University Graduate School at FIU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of FIU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [dcc@fiu.edu](mailto:dcc@fiu.edu).

# FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Miami, Florida

# DETECTION AND NEUTRALIZATION OF BACTERIAL BIOHAZARDS VIA NANOENCAPSULATION

**A thesis** submitted **in partial** fulfillment **of** the

requirements **for** the degree **of**

# MASTER OF SCIENCE

**in**

# BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING

by

**Rosaura De** Zayas

2007

To: Dean Vish Prasad College of Engineering and Computing

This thesis, written by Rosaura De Zayas, and entitled Detection and Neutralization of Bacterial Biohazards via Nanoencapsulation, having been approved in respect to style and intellectual content, is referred to you for judgment.

We have read this thesis and recommend that it be approved.

Richard T. Schoephoerster

Nikolaos M. Tsoukias

Eric T. Crumpler, Major Professor

Date of Defense: August **18, 2006**

The thesis of Rosaura De Zayas is approved.

Dean Vish Prasad College of Engineering and Computing

> Dean George Walker University Graduate School

Florida International University, **2007**

#### DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this thesis to all my family nd friends that have guided me from the beginning to the end of my studies. If it was not for the inspiration that I received from my specials friends Mahwish Ahmed and Sara Nofallah, I would not have been able to finish this thesis. I would like to give extra gratitude to my fiancé Noel Artiles for his loving, caring, and most of all patience. Last but not least to my family for their continuous spiritual, emotional, and financial support for throughout every step in my academic career.

## ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS

# DETECTION AND NEUTRALIZATION OF BACTERIAL BIOHAZARDS VIA

#### NANOENCAPSULATION

by

**Rosaura De Zayas**

**Florida** International University, 2007

Miami, Florida

Professor Eric T. **Crumpler, Major Professor**

Bacteria **biohazards, such as** Anthrax, **are** responsible **for causing** mild **to serious illnesses in** humans and animals. **The** primary **aim of this** research study **was to develop a** rapid **one** step assay **to** detect **and neutralize** bacteria-based biohazards, **using an** Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex. **An** Anthrax model, Bacillus **cereus, was** grown for 3 hours **and** diluted **1:25 in** media **(2.0 x 107** cfu/ml). The Bacillus **cereus was** interacted with an Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex containing an MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>, neutralization **agent. The** samples were analyzed **via flow** cytometry **with a** 1:8:1 **ratio** Bacillus **cereus, Immunoliposome-nanoparticle** complex, **and** Ethidium **homodimer-1 for** two hours. **The results** obtained **showed** when **the** Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex were **interacted with** bacteria both detection **and neutralization** occurred immediately. **As** incubation time **increased,** fluorescence **shifted closer to** the **control region.** Therefore, bacteria **can be** immediately detected with the **Immunoliposomenanoparticles** complex, **and** high **levels of** neutralization **can** be achieved **less** than two **hours of incubation.**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

سوابط











 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 







# **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Bacteria biohazards are found in various everyday surfaces and are responsible for causing mild to serious illnesses in humans and animals. These bacteria biohazards are found in drainage pipes, sinks, air conditioning systems, and on any surface that provides a nutrient environment for bacteria proliferation'. These bacteria community sites are referred to as bacteria biofilms. The treatment and detection of these biofilms, or of any bacteria biohazards like anthrax, are of great concern for its abrupt catastrophic effects. The crucial need to rapidly identify and neutralize these pathogens for both the private and military sectors is of great importance; especially with current heighten awareness of the possible implications that could arise with the use of biological weapons.

One main obstacle in the detection and neutralization of bacteria is the lapse of time needed to identify the pathogen before severe irreversible illness occurs and the survival of the patient is jeopardized. For example, positive identification of Bacillus anthracis requires 24-48 hours. **If** unidentified within this time period, this particular pathogen may have already progressed to the lethal phase. Once the lethal phase has been reached, the possibility of patient survival becomes slim. The inability to successfully identify this bacteria in a timely manner needed is the reason why biological agents such as anthrax are extremely effective as biological weapons. The lethal effectiveness of these agents when used in bio-terrorism makes it imperative to develop a novel assay that aims to rapidly detect and neutralize bacterial pathogens.

At this current moment, detection methods for bacteria involve the use of microbiology assays such as Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)<sup>2</sup>. This assay functions by

replicating the DNA of an unknown pathogen in order to identify bacteria or viruses. Several illnesses are identified **by** this technique, ranging from infectious diseases to hereditary illnesses. Unfortunately the PCR assay long procedure creates a problem for identifying specific bacteria species, and the detection and identification of the precise pathogen may not occur within the time needed<sup>3</sup>.

On a broader spectrum, bacteria biofilms contain various bacterial species. Current treatments for these biofilms involve the use of very high doses of drug containing detergents, with high levels of chlorine. These detergents are highly effective, but pathogenic drug resistance occurs over time<sup>1</sup>. The presence of biofilms in buildings has led to the classification of Sick building syndrome. Because detecting the bacteria or fungi is extremely difficult, there are limitations in treating these buildings. Currently, a new detergent called, biocide containing chlorine dioxide in a gaseous form, is being investigated to treat bacteria contamination<sup>4</sup>. Although, detergents such as this have been found to be **highly** successful in eradicating bacterial pathogens, one main problem still remains; the inability of identifying these pathogens in the time needed. In many cases once this treatment has been administered, a typical illness outbreak has already occurred.

Additional emphasis has been focused towards the treatments of sick buildings. The growing number of sick buildings have been attributed to closed ventilation, which has led to high prevalence of bacterial biohazards<sup>5</sup>. For this reason, current studies are being performed to address this issue. The ideal treatment candidate should be an applicable single step identification method that can be used to cure these sick buildings.

Unfortunately, progress in developing methods for detection and neutralization of biohazards has been gradual. Currently, there are no topical assays that can be sprayed

**on a** surface **that can** instantly **detect** and **neutralize bacterial-like** biohazards. Since time **is a critical factor** when dealing with hazardous **life threatening** substances, **the** inability **to** quickly determine **the** presence **of pathogens has** been **a** topic **of interest since** the **events** in the United **States on** September **<sup>1</sup> 1th,** 2001. In the **event of a** biological **attack, it** is imperative that **the** pathogen **be** identified **and neutralized** both rapidly and easily.

**The** new detection **and neutralization assay proposed could** be administered **to detect the** presence **of bacteria, specifically bacillus species.** The **neutralization section of** this **assay has** incorporated **liposomes,** shown **to be efficacious in** various **drug-delivery** applications6. **These liposomes contain** targeting **ligands that are** highly **specific to** some **bacterial species. As a result of** incorporating these **liposome's** with a newly synthesized neutralization agent, MgO-Cl2 **we** should expect **to eradicate these** bacteria pathogens quickly **and effectively.** In addition, the incorporation **of** fluorescent nanoparticles **to** these **liposomes** will **enable** the **presence of bacteria to** be **detected** prior **to neutralization.** This new **assay** aims **to** immediately detect **and neutralize bacteria-like** biohazards **in** any environment.

# 1.1 Research Objectives and Specific Aims

**The** primary aim **of this** research study **was to develop a** rapid **one step** assay to **detect** and **neutralize** bacteria-like biohazards **using an** Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex. This complex **has the potential to be** administered **on** any **surface and allow a** quick notification **and** eradication **of bacteria** biohazards.

Neutralization **of bacteria** biohazards were enhanced **by using liposome drug** carriers encapsulated with a neutralization agent (MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>). Detection of bacteria was conducted by incorporating fluorescent nanoparticles to polyclonal antibodies. The combination **of** both the detection **and** neutralization **portion of the assay, results in a one** step detection and neutralization assay for bacteria-like biohazards. The aims of this study were optimized **to** enhance **bacterial detection and** decrease **neutralization** time **in an in-vitro** environment. **The specific** aims **of** the study were as follows:

- 1. Create **100** nm liposome size solution with high concentration of a neutralization agent MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>
- **2. Surface** modification **of the liposome in creating immunoliposomes attached to** fluorescent 40 nm **Streptavidin coated nanoparticles**
- **3.** Perform bacterial and **Im muoliposome-nanoparticle** complex **interaction** live/dead verification test.

# 1.2 Significance of the Study

**This** research study **is** significant **because it** will **first;** attempt to develop a new procedure **to fluorescently** detect **the presence of bacteria** biohazards. Secondly, **create a novel** drug-delivery technique **to neutralize bacteria** biohazards **by using liposomes with** a newly developed **neutralization agent, MgO-C 2,** and formulate **various lipid** formulations to determine an optimal release of the encapsulatant neutralization agent, MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>. Finally, develop a one step application that will detect and neutralize bacteria **by** using the **Immunoliposome-nanoparticle** complex **that can** be applicable **on any surface to treat** against bacteria **cells and** bacteria biofilms.

#### 2.0 **BACKGROUND**

The events surrounding September  $11<sup>th</sup>$  heightened the awareness of the possible implications **of using** anthrax **as a biological** weapon. **Since the** 1900s, very few **cases of** anthrax have been recorded in the United States. This increased in 2001, when twelve cases were reported, all of which, occurred in U.S. Government offices<sup>7</sup>. The sudden prevalence of hazardous infections heightened the publics' awareness of anthrax becoming the new weapon of choice.

Biological weapons have been a source of concern decades before September 11. The United States experimented with biological weapons, including anthrax, from 1950 to  $1960<sup>8</sup>$ . In 1970, the world health organization published a report stating the possible devastating effects of an anthrax attack. In this report it was stated that if 50 kilograms of aerosolized Bacillus anthracis spores were disbursed from an airplane two kilometers upwind of a population center of 500,000 in ideal meteorological conditions, the spores would travel a distance greater than 50 km and kill approximately 95,000 people. The economic effect of such an attack would be approximately \$26 billion per 100,000 persons exposed<sup>8</sup>. These findings illustrate the gravity of the impact of an Anthrax attack. Anthrax, however, is not the only possible biological agent available to bioterrorists. There is a long list of other lethal bio-agents that can be used to cause similar catastrophic situations. For this reason, a new neutralization and detection method that is not species specific is in great demand.

#### 2.1 Bacteria

# **2.1.1** Bacillus anthracis

Bacillus anthracis **is a** large, gram-positive aerobic spore forming bacteria that measures 1.0-1.5  $\mu$ M by 3.0 to 10.0  $\mu$ M. Unlike other saprobic species (B. subtilis and B.cereus), Bacillus anthracis is non-motile<sup>7</sup>. It grows readily at  $37^{\circ}$ C and forms large colonies with irregular tapered outgrowths'. In-vitro, it grows in long chains, but in hosts it appears as a single organism or chains of two or three bacilli. These bacterial cells form mucoid colonies and exhibits prominent capsules when grown in nutrient agar<sup>7</sup>.

One of the characteristics of *Bacillus anthracis* is the ability to form a protective capsule when deprived of nutrients. This protective capsule is the primary indication of bacterial cell transformation into a spore. When the spores are formed, they can survive centuries in soil or in other organisms. Naturally, *B. anthracis* spores do not form in host tissues unless body fluids are exposed to ambient air. *B. anthracis* germinates when exposed to nutrient-rich environments, such as the tissue or blood of an animal or human host. Anthrax longevity, virulence and adaptability make it an ideal candidate as a bioterrorism agent.



Figure 1. *Bacillus anthracis9*

# **2.2 Epidemiology of Anthrax**

Understanding the mechanism by which anthrax operates is extremely important when attempting neutralization. Anthrax is subdivided into three clinical types: Cutaneous anthrax, Inhalation anthrax, and Gastrointestinal anthrax.

Cutaneous anthrax constitutes more than *95%* of naturally occurring anthrax. It is

commonly found in soil and usually infects individuals handling livestock in developing countries'. Infection initially occurs when the anthrax spore enters a cut or abrasion in the skin. The following day after infection, a papule forms around the endospore, the papule vesicle develops further, and edema in that vesicle starts to increase. At this stage of the illness low fever occurs, and the vesicle begins to undergo necrosis. Next, the vesicle ruptures forming an ulcer covered **by** a black eschar, which falls off after two weeks leaving behind little scarring<sup>7</sup>. These symptoms appear within one to seven days following infection. This type of anthrax is treatable, but without antibiotic treatment for mortality is approximately 20 percent.

Inhalation anthrax, the most lethal type of anthrax, attacks into two phases. Once the anthrax spores are inhaled, they travel immediately through the upper airways to the lungs. The spore size is approximately  $2 \mu m$ , making it possible for the spores to reach the alveolar ducts and alveoli within the lungs. Once entrance into the lungs, they trigger an immediate immune response. Macrophages engulf the spores, and then transport them to the lymph nodes. This occurs in phase 1 of the illness, usually within a time period of 24-48 hrs following infection. At this time, an overwhelming concentration of toxins begins production **by** the spores, within the lymph nodes, and enters the systemic circulation where edema, hemorrhage, necrosis, and septic shock occur; usually resulting in the death. This is the second phase of the illness that occurs between 2 to 6 days following infection $8$ .

Gastrointestinal anthrax is a subcategory of the anthrax illness, occurring upon ingestion of meat products infected **by** spores. Usually, symptoms associated with this illness occur two to five days after contaminated food has been ingested<sup>7</sup>. The first

symptom the patient may often experience is throat pain or difficulty swallowing. As the illness progresses, patients experience additional symptoms, such as sores in the mouth and throat, severe bloody diarrhea, and intestinal lesions<sup>7</sup>. Due to the similarity of symptoms in other illnesses, gastrointestinal anthrax has a very high mortality rate.



Figure 2. Pathways of the types of Anthrax<sup>10</sup>

# **2.1.2 Bacillus Cereus**

*Bacillus cereus* is well defined as a rod shaped, gram positive spore forming bacteria, which is resistant to high temperatures $\mathbf{S}^{11}$ . These spores occur naturally in most foods, and have been linked to a wide range of illnesses ranging from blindness to food poisoning. The food poisoning illness have been subdivided into two specific types, an emetic illness or a diarrhoeal illness<sup>11</sup>. Emetic illness occurs 1-6 hours after ingestion of contaminated food containing pre-formed toxin, with symptoms of nausea and vomiting  $1^1$ . The diarrhoeal *symptoms* are attributed to the ingestion of vegetative organisms or spores. Subsequently multiplication and toxin production within the intestinal tract, result in abdominal pain, watery diarrhea and occasional nausea $<sup>11</sup>$ . The intraocular infection</sup> associated with *B. cereus* has been known to cause necrosis and potentially blindness within  $24-48$  hours<sup>12</sup>.

The genetic similarity between *B. cereus and B. anthracis* has allowed *B. cereus* to be used as an effective model for Anthrax. The use of this Anthrax bacterial model provides a platform for development of drug treatments against the deadly pathogen. Although the severity of *Bacillus cereus* strain is not on the level of *B. anthracis, B. cereus* is well armed with weapons capable of damaging or exacerbating damage to human and animal tissue. One of the factors preventing *B. cereus* from becoming an aggressive human pathogen is its lack of the protective mechanism against an intact immune system. Although *B. cereus* is not considered as hazardous as anthrax it has still been known as a serious health concern in developing countries.

#### **2.1.3** Bacillus Genus Similarities

Within the genus of *Bacillus,* there are other bacteria that exhibit characteristics similar to those of *Bacillus anthracis (B. anthracis);* including *Bacillus cereus (B. cereus), Bacillus thuringiensis (B. thuringiensis), and Bacillus subtilis (B. subtilis).* It is speculated that *B. cereus* was the ancestral bacteria specie that underwent evolutionary mutations within the genetic material giving rise to other types of bacteria species, such as *B. anthracis* and *B. thuringiensis*<sup>13</sup>. The genetic characteristics of *Bacillus* 

thuringiensis and Bacillus cereus are almost indistinguishable, making them the closest bacterial relatives<sup>12</sup>. Moreover, the genetic or virulence factor of *Bacillus thuringiensis* can be transferred to B. cereus bacteria and inevitably transform B. cereus into B. thuringiensis. The only established difference between these two bacteria species is the presence of genes, found on plasmids, coding for insecticidal toxins.

B. cereus *and* B. anthracis are almost indistinguishable from one another. Close similarity of the genomes of *B.* anthracis strains to those of *B.* cereus *and* B. thuringiensis strains demonstrate that they originated from the same species. What distinguish these bacteria from one another are the genes carried on the plasmids, as well as the virulence factors found in B. anthracis. For example, the distinctive characteristics of B. anthracis such as sensitivity to  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics, lack of motility, and hemolytic activity may be caused by a difference of a single gene found in B. cereus<sup>13</sup>. Also, PlcR, a transcriptional regulator of extracellular virulence factors in both B. cereus and B. thuringiensis, has been found mutated in B. anthracis *strains'3 .* The severity of this mutation can be partially responsible for some of the features often associated with B. anthracis, such as lack of hemolytic activity. Because of the biochemical and physiological similarities between them it is possible to use B. cereus and B. subtilis as a model for anthrax.

# **2.1.4** Virulence factors of *B.* anthracis

The virulence factors of *Bacillus anthracis* are produced in two specific plasmids; PX01 and PX02 $^{14}$ , each encoding for specialized proteins with a specific function. PX01 produces a protective antigen protein, an edema factor protein, and a lethal factor protein. The protective antigen protein protects the Anthrax spores, while the edema factor

protein is responsible of causing edema in the infected area on the organism. The lethal factor protein functions by blocking receptors that would typically be recognized by the immune system. The combination of these proteins, referred to as the toxin complex, is responsible for patient death<sup> $14$ </sup>. The second plasmid, PX02, encodes for proteins required in the biosynthesis of protective polypeptide capsules which function by inhibiting immune system responses $^{14}$ .

# 2.2 Biofims

A Biofilm is a community of bacteria, fungi, yeasts, protozoa, and other microorganisms attached to a solid surface. Due to the susceptibility to surfaces that provide adequate nutrients for microorganisms to grow', biofilms pose a great threat and are attributed to a variety of widespread illnesses.. Biofilms have been found in numerous areas, such as medical devices, and household common areas including sinks and PVC pipes<sup>15</sup>.

Otitis media, which is the most common acute ear infection in children, is one illness in the U.S associated with biofilms. Other diseases include bacterial endocarditis (infection of the inner surface of the heart and its valves), cystic fibrosis (a chronic disorder resulting in increased susceptibility to serious lung infections), and Legionnaire's disease (an acute respiratory infection resulting from the aspiration of clumps of Legionnella biofilms detached from air and water heating/cooling and distribution systems). The degree of severity of illnesses caused by biofilms, can be more critical then others depending on location. For example, in hospitals, biofilm-related infections can include the surfaces of catheters, medical implants, wound dressings, or other types of medical devices. In patients whose immune system has been comprised, biofilms can lead to life threatening consequences, due to the antibiotic resistance. Usual treatments for biofilm infections require a long-term dosage for eradication<sup>1</sup>.

Another sector greatly affected by Biofims, are manufacturing industries, where billions of dollars in productivity and capital equipment are lost each year **1.** Its presence in pipes has been known to cause severe corrosion and water contamination.

Currently, in the prevention of biofihm formation, treatment for surface coating requires the use of cocktails of drugs. Vancomycin is a drug often used to treat infections that occur on medical catheters<sup>15</sup>, due to the frequent occurrence of methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylocci, including S. epidermis<sup>1</sup>. The effectiveness of treatments such as this are compromised if biofilm formation occurs during drug treatments. Biofilms drug resistance is attributed to its changes in cell wall and surface structure. Therefore, non-specie specific treatments that can effectively eradicate biofims quickly are in great demand.

# **2.3** Sick Building Syndrome

Sick building Syndrome **(SBS)** are classified as building that have been known to contribute to several health issues, including upper respiratory symptoms, headaches, fatigue, and rash which are usually associated with a particular building by there temporal pattern of occurrence and clustering among inhabitants or colleagues experienced everyday<sup>5</sup>. The prevalence of closed ventilation and aged buildings has increased the occurrence of illnesses classified as sick building syndrome. The severity of these illnesses range from common colds to more severe respiratory illness, all of which have been attributed to the closed air ventilation system within buildings that allow indoor air contaminants to build  $\text{up}^{16}$ .

Since the 1970s, closed ventilation systems have increased worldwide to decrease energy consumption. The effect of decreasing energy consumption has lead to high prevalence of illnesses and higher susceptibility of hazardous molds and bacteria<sup>16</sup>. The most common bacteria found in indoor environments include Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus, Micrococcus, Methylobacterium and Flavobacterium<sup>1</sup>. The widespread accumulation of bacteria within the human body has been the primary means of dispersing bacteria.

Many bacterial and fungal species are isolated in indoor air, due to there dependence on a nutrient source, water availability, relative humidity, and temperature $^{17}$ . Sources of micro-organisms in indoor air include: cooling towers, water damaged materials, high humidity in indoor areas, damp organic material and porous wet surfaces<sup>18</sup>. The porous wet surfaces provide a favorable environment for biofilm formation'.

These biofilms, composed of populations or communities of microorganisms adhering to environmental surfaces, are found on essentially any environmental surface in which sufficient moisture is present. Their development is most rapid in flowing systems where adequate nutrients are available. Current treatments for sick buildings require the use of a chlorine detergent, but these detergents are being examined for there effectiveness. Therefore, new modalities are being pursued to cure these sick building.

# 2.4 Current methods of detecting anthrax

Traditional detection methods requires the growth of single bacteria into bacterial colonies in different types of media, followed **by** a lengthy identification process involving morphological and biochemical tests<sup>19</sup>. All of these procedures often provide very accurate results, but suffer from poor specificity. Additionally, they often require complicated interpretation with complete analysis time, typically approaching 72 hrs, making them unsuitable for online rapid analysis<sup>3</sup>. Recent efforts have been directed towards developing approaches suitable for the entrapment or capture of bacteria, based on a combination of physical characteristics of the capturing medium and the affinity of the bacteria for a variety of chemical functionalities. While rapid, these methods are nonspecific, requiring completion of multi-step analysis for identification and quantification<sup>3</sup>.

Most commonly available assays for the detection of spores or bacteria involve the use of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA). This assay functions by using antibodies or antigens coupled to an enzyme that possesses a high turnover number  $10,20$ . ELISAs can provide a useful measurement of antigen or antibody concentration **by** demonstrating high specificity and reproducibility. **A** drawback of this method is it is usually a lengthy analysis process that is not suitable for real-time analysis.

**A** large amount of efforts have been made recently to decrease analysis time and improve sensitivity and selectivity through the application, modification, or combination of various techniques. Currently, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and fluorescent antibody staining are the two main detection methods utilized to detect B. anthracis. PCR is commonly used to replicate the genetic information to identify pathogens. The

14

problems with both PCR and Fluorescent antibody testing is that they require culturing samples taken from the patient before it can be identified, this typically takes  $24-48$  hrs<sup>2</sup>. After the sample is grown, a standard PCR test is performed. PCR, however, is not very sensitive to anthrax. Once the suspected stain is identified more vigorous testing is performed to positively identify anthrax. Other drawbacks include difficult sample preparation, long analysis time, the need for trained personnel, high reagent costs, potential contamination, false positives, and poor adaptability to multiplexing<sup>3</sup>.

# 2.5 Current treatment of anthrax

Antibiotics are the current treatment used to fight anthrax infections. The typical antibiotic treatments that are Center for Disease Control (CDC) approved include ciprofloxacin, doxycycline, penicillin, and multiple antibiotics<sup>21</sup>. In the case of inhalation anthrax or systemic involvement, the CDC recommends the use of multiple antibiotics.

One main issue in the actual treatment of anthrax is that the bacterium needs to be identified before treatment can be administered. Currently, positive identification of B. anthracis is a lengthy process, which can take up 48 hrs, and the only facilities capable of detecting anthrax are the CDC laboratories. Delays in identification of anthrax are due to similarities between *B. anthracis* and *B. cereus.* Hence, the mortality rate of 99 percent associated with inhalation anthrax is mainly due to late identification and failure to administer treatment during the early phase of infection.

# 2.6 New neutralization agent for **Bacteria** strains

Nanomaterials are considered advanced materials that can be used for the decontamination of chemical and biological warfare agents. Nano-size metal oxides have

been shown to be reactive absorbents of chemical and biological warfare agents<sup>22</sup>. Nanosize Magnesium Oxide (MgO), Calcium Oxide (CaO), and Zinc Oxide (ZnO) have been shown to possess strong antibacterial properties that can be used to absorb biohazardous materials<sup>23</sup>. Among these metallic oxides, MgO and CaO act upon both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria in a bactericidal manner. On the other hand, ZnO displayed a stronger antibacterial activity against gram-negative bacteria<sup>24</sup>. It has also been found that the addition of  $Cl_2$  to MgO forms a new molecule called Magnesium oxide chloride  $(MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>)$ , which is highly reactive and absorbs more bacterial agents. It exhibits high activity against bacteria, spores, and viruses $2^3$ .

# 2.6.1 Properties of MgO/MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>

MgO nanoparticles have a large surface area with an abundance of unusual crystal morphologies, posing numerous edges/corners and other reactive sites<sup>25</sup>. These porous nanoparticles have the ability to adsorb and retain significant amounts of elemental chlorine and bromide for long periods of time<sup>25</sup>. Moreover, these MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles have been shown to be quite active biocides, more than free  $Cl_2$  or MgO alone<sup>25</sup>.

MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>, positively charged particles, reacts with negatively charged bacteria and spores. The opposite charge attraction between bacteria and nanoparticle reveals one reason for the tight binding of nanoparticles to the bacteria surface. Due to the strength of both nanoparticle bacteria binding and neutralization properties of the nanoparticles, studies have shown significant cell envelope damage after 20 minutes of nanoparticle and  $E.$  coli bacteria incubation. Moreover, when this treatment was applied to  $B.$  subtilis spores similar destructive results were found. When images were captured after MgO-

 $Cl_2$  were incubated with B. *subtilis* spores, visible "holes" in the cell wall were seen<sup>25</sup>. Both the damage to the cell envelope and holes in the spores indicated bacteria death<sup>25</sup>. **For this reason, MgO-C 2 has** shown promising ability **to neutralize** more **bacterial agents in less** time.

**In addition, it has been found that smaller MgO nanoparticles are more efficient in** neutralizing bacteria<sup>26</sup>. The distribution and the interaction among these particles result in **a crystalline cluster,** which **cover the** bacteria **cells.** This **results in bringing the** halogen reagent to an active form in high concentration within the proximity of the cell<sup>25</sup>. Thus, smaller MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles can eradicate the bacteria faster and more **efficiently.**

# **2.7** Liposomal Technology

**The** mechanics **of liposome** drug-delivery systems can be modified to meet different needs. The drug **concentration can** vary among **the** different type **of liposomes. Liposomes can** be subdivided **into** three specific **categories** as follows:

- **1. Multilamellar vesicles**
- **2.** Small **Unilamellar Vesicles**
- **3. Large Unilamellar Vesicles**

The differences between the **types of liposome** are due **to the** different processes **used to create** them. Each **type of liposome is** completely **dependent on its** drug delivery application.

There are many advantages of using liposomes in drug delivery. One advantage is the liposomes ability to carry high concentrations of drug relative to their size. Another advantage of liposomes is their ability to be modified with tracers and/or antibodies to assist in reaching their target. The surface of liposomes can be modified through the attachment of protein, peptides, nanoparticles, and antibodies via a PEG linker chain<sup>27</sup>. It has been found that functionalization on the PEG group creates steric hindrance with the antibodies, thereby interfering with target site recognition<sup>28</sup>. Therefore, modifications via co-enzymes attached to PEG-liposomes are currently being used to prevent the steric hindrance and increase the possibility of liposomes to bind to their target site.

# 2.8 Liposome Composition

Liposomes are composed of hydrophobic chains and hydrophilic head groups forming a lipid bilayer. The polar head groups are oriented to the solution and within the inner cavity<sup>29</sup>. The functionalized polar head groups are used to reduce liposome aggregation, and the hydrophobic regions are used to modify the properties of the liposome<sup>30</sup>. The phospholipid concentration can vary to achieve positively or negatively charged liposomes depending on which of the numerous advantages it is needed for in drug delivery. The addition of Cholesterol within the liposome membrane is often used to reduce membrane permeability to the encapsulated materials, creating a phospholipids/cholesterol ratio to mimic the makeup of a cell membrane. Cholesterol diverse function in membrane stability plays a vital role in the release of the encapsulated material. Therefore, the interaction between lipid membranes and biomolecules can be measured using liposome drug delivery.

#### 2.8.1 Types of Processes to Create **liposomes**

The three categories of liposomes are determined by the experimental procedure used to create them. Large Multilamellar Vesicles (LMV) are formed when films sheets are hydrated, and the films detach during agitation and self-close  $31$ . The size distribution of the LMV sample is around several microns<sup>32</sup>. The LMV size distribution is attributed to the rehydration of the lipid sheets, and the self-closure of the liposome vesicles.

When these LMVs are probe sonicated for an hour it results in the formation of Small Unilamellar Vesicles (SUV). The size range of the SUV liposomes range with diameters less than 40  $\text{nm}^{32}$ . The SUV have been shown to attain a smaller aqueous cavity within the lipid bilayer. These SUV have the ability of being further processed to create large unilamellar vesicles.

The SUV vesicles can be circulated through specific pore size filter membranes to create size specific Large Unilamellar Vesicles. These Large Unilamellar Vesicles (LUV) are the last type of liposomes that can be created after SUV. These LUV liposomes are created by an extrusion process, by which LMV solution is circulated within an extruder apparatus assembled with a size defining filter membrane. These filter membranes can be purchased with various pore size determining on the specific liposome size desired.

These LUV liposomes have a large aqueous cavity that provides high drug encapsulation. For this specific reason LUV has been favorable for a variety of different drug delivery procedures. The specific qualities of these liposomes are the ability for them to carry a high drug to lipid concentration within the aqueous cavity. A detailed visual liposome pathway is shown below.

19



Figure 3. Liposome Pathway<sup>31</sup>

# 2.8.2 **Trehalose Lycoprotectant**

Trehalose is a disaccharide molecule that serves as lycoprotectant during freezedrying. Its lycoprotectant properties can be characterized into two processes; Glass formation and Interaction with phospholipids. During sugar glass formation an amorphous matrix is formed between liposome vesicles <sup>32</sup>.



Figure 4. Function of Trehalose with liposomes $31$ 

#### 2.9 Streptavidin - Biotin interaction

Biotin is a co-enzyme, which functions by transferring carbon dioxide into substrates. It is a naturally occurring vitamin found in every living cell. Avidin is a glycoprotein whose function is to block the activity of two carboxylating enzymes, characterizing them as biotinyl enzymes<sup>33</sup>. Streptavidin is a biotin-binding protein isolated from culture broth of Streptomyces auidinii<sup>33</sup>.

Avidin and Streptavidin share common properties, as they are both tetrameric proteins with four sites available for biotin to bind to. The binding ratio recognized is 1 mole of biotin for every 14,000 g of streptavidin. Thus, the interactions amongst these two molecules are extremely high. Biotin interaction with avidin or streptavidin is among the strongest non-covalent affinities known, exhibiting a dissociation constant of about  $1.3 \times 10^{-15}$  34.

Bioconjugation technique assays favor streptavidin protein, since an overcoming unspecific binding that occurs with avidin. This is owed to avidin's basic isoelectric pH (pI) of 5-6 compared to streptavidin's pI of  $10^{34}$ . The Differences in pI are due to the variations in the amino acid sequence in the proteins. Those differences do not affect the interactions with biotin, which is still very strong. Even in the presence of buffers and under acidic conditions, bond dissociation does not occur.

The biochemical reaction between avidin and biotin has been monitored using fluorescent microscopy and flow cytometry by attaching fluorescent nanoparticles to these molecules. The use of nanoparticle coated streptavidin is most useful in imaging, due to its interaction with biotin<sup>35</sup>. The fluorescent properties of the nanoparticles interaction with bacteria are easily identified through flow cytometry<sup>36</sup>.

21

### 2.10 Antibody

An immunoresponse is triggered by the production of antibodies called immunoglobulins. These antibodies all have the same basic similar structure of four polypeptide chains: two light chains and two heavy chains joined together by disulfide bonds, with an end result Y shape. Within the structure of the antibodies there are specific binding sites where it binds to an antigen specific epitope<sup>37</sup>.

Antibodies are classified under two categories, depending on epitope recognition<sup>37</sup>. These two types of categories are Monoclonal and Polyclonal. The monoclonal antibodies are highly specific; only bind to one antigen site. On the other hand, the polyclonal antibodies can bind to many different sites on the antigen<sup>38</sup>. The use of different types of antibodies depends solely on the application.

# 2.11 MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> Verification Testing

# 2.11.1 Presence of Chlorine in  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles

Silver nitrate  $(AgNO_3)$  is a chemical used to test the presence of halide ions. Since MgO-C $l_2$  has chloride ions, the reaction with Silver Nitrate should result in a color change of a white precipitate. If several drops of  $0.1$  M AgNO<sub>3</sub> is added into an unknown solution, the solution will immediately produce a white precipitate in the presence of chloride, bromide, and iodide. A reference list of the reaction of AgNO<sub>3</sub> with halides in a solution is noted in the table below. Note, a color change is a result of the exact halide presence (refer to Table 1.)

<b>Halide Ion</b>	<b>Observation with Silver Nitrate</b>
Chloride	White precipitate forms
<b>Bromide</b>	Cream precipitate forms
Iodide	Yellow precipitate forms

Table 1. Silver Nitrate Reactants and Color Observation

# 2.12 Liposome and Antibody Purification

# 2.12.1 Size Exclusion Chromatography

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) is a widely used technique for separating impurities in samples. SEC is composed of porous particles (beads) that separate molecules based on their particle sizes and molecular weight. It is often used to separate biological molecules that are smaller than the pore size, that can enter the beads, while larger molecules are not retained in the beads and are eluted<sup>39</sup>. A variety of sizes of the sephadex beads are used for different purifications based on molecular weight, so that the unwanted material will be retained within the sephadex beads and the purified final sample is eluted.

# 2.13 Antibody and Streptavidin Nanoparticle Synthesis Yield

# 2.13.1 Protein Concentration using Spectrophotometer

The Tecan Genios Spectrophotometer measures the absorbance of the proteins in within a sample, in order to determine the concentration in the solution. Spectrophotometers functions by measuring the light absorbed or transmitted by

molecules in solution using the beer-Lambert law. When a specific light wavelength is transmitted through the solution, the light energy absorbed [absorbance (A)] is proportional to:

- 1. The ability of the solute molecules to absorb the wavelength
- 2. The concentration of the solute
- 3. The length of the light path from its source through the solution to the phototube where the percentage of light energy transmitted or absorbed is measured.

The spectrophotometer absorbance readings are used to determine the concentration of protein in an unknown sample. **By** implementing the experimental reading within an equation you can theoretically determine the protein concentration (mg/ml) within a solution. The equation is as the follows:

Concentration (mg/ml) = 
$$
(1.55 \times A280) - 0.76 \times A260)^{40}
$$

# **2.13.2 BCA** Assay

Bicinchoninic acid protein assay (BCA) has become the most popular colorimetric detection and quantitation assay for protein<sup>41</sup>. This assay combines the well known reduction of  $Cu^{2+}$  to  $Cu^{1+}$  in the presence of protein in an alkaline medium, producing a **highly** selective colorimetric deter ion of the cuprous action by bicinchoninic  $\text{acid}^{42}$ . The protein is reacted with copper through a process of chelation, resulting in a blue colored solution. The biuret reaction occurs with the chelated protein giving a blue and violet color solution that absorbs light at 540nm. Since the working range for the biuret assay is from 5 to 160 mg/ml, the biuret assay is used in clinical laboratories for the quantitation of total protein in serum<sup>42</sup>.

# 2.14 Bacteria and Immunoliposome-nanoparticle Complex Testing

# 2.14.1 Flow Cytometry

Flow cytometry is a technology that measures and analyzes multiple physical characteristics of a single particle as they flow in a fluid stream through a beam of light. The optical properties measure the particle size and relative fluorescence intensity. In the flow cytometer, particles are carried to the laser intercept in a fluid stream, where the particles pass through a laser intercept, scattering light. When fluorescent particles are present they are fluoresce. Both the scatter and the fluorescent light are collected and are transformed into data displays $43$ .

# 2.14.2 Bacterial **Cell** Viability

Bacteria cell viability can be determined by various techniques such as dilution method and fluorescence. Fluorescence testing is currently the fast method to determine if a bacteria culture is viable. These assays vary by the binding site of these fluorescent probes, such as DNA, cell membrane, and cellular respiration. The high specificity of fluorescence detection has made it a desirable assay for determining quantitative interactions among dyes, as well as displaying other interaction not possible by traditional methods<sup>44</sup>.

#### 2.14.2.1 Ethidium homodimer-1

Among the fluorescent dyes, Ethidium homodimer-1 is used to identify dead bacteria cells. This dyes functions by binding to the DNA of bacteria cells that have been comprised emitting a deep read color. Since this dye is impermeable, the fluorescent
**signal is only** achieved upon DNA **binding.** For this **reason, Ethidium homodimer-1 is** widely **used to** obtain **a** quantitative bacteria **cell count** of membrane-comprised **cells by** using Flow cytometry or Fluorescent Microscopy<sup>45</sup>.



Figure 5. Ethidium homodimer-1 fluorescent spectrum<sup>45</sup>

## 2.14.2.2 **Syto-**

Syto-9 is a green fluorescent dye that binds to cells with both intact membrane and disrupted membranes **to** determine **if the bacteria cells** are **alive. The** fluorescent **property of Syto-9 is** observed **at** excitation/emission **480/500nn** that emits **agreen fluorescence.**



Figure 6. Syto-9 Fluorescent spectrum<sup>45</sup>

#### 3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 3.1 Materials

#### 3.1.1 Neutralization Agent

The neutralization agent,  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles, were synthesized and analyzed by Nanomat Inc. The product information attained from Nanomat Inc, stated that these nanoparticles were approximately *5* nm in diameter and contained *4-5%* Chlorine per weight.

#### 3.1.2 Liposomes

The Liposome lipid materials were purchased from three companies, Northern Lipids, Avanti Polar Lipids, and Sigma-Aldrich. The first functional lipid, Biotin-DSPE was purchased from Northern Lipids, and the second functional lipid, Biotin capped-DSPE-PEG2000 and Cholesterol, were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids. The Phosphatidylcholine (PC) lipid was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All these lipids were dissolved in ACS graded reagent Chloroform purchased from Fisher Scientific.

The lipid films were all reconstituted in HEPES buffers purchased from Gibco, and were circulated through a Mini extruder Apparatus with 100 nm filter supports and membranes purchased from Avanti polar lipids to create liposomes. Liposome purification was performed with Sephadex *G-50* purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

## 3.1.3 Antibody-Streptavidin **Coated** Nanoparticle complex

The polyclonal antibody for *B. anthracis* was purchased from biodesign, and was chemically modified with a crosslinker, 2-Iminothiolane (Traut's Reagent) purchased from Sigma. After modification, the intermediate product was purified using Sephadex *G-25* purchased from Amersham Biosciences.

The 40 nm streptavidin coated fluorescent nanoparticles were purchased from Fluka, and chemically modified with a specific crosslinker, m-Maleimidobenzoyl-Nhydroxysuccimide ester (MBS). After nanoparticle site modification, the sample was purified with a centriprep 30-ultrafiltration device purchased from Millipore.

## **3.1.4 Bacteria**

All three bacteria species, *B. cereus, B. subtilis,* and *B. thuringiensis,* were purchased from ATCC. All three bacteria cultures were inoculated in Luria Broth growth media purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

Bacteria biofilm formations were created using two specific plates, Polyvinylchloride (PVC) and MBEC plate. The polyvinylchloride plates used in the Biofilm Assay I was purchased from Becton Dickinson and the second biofilm assay was performed using an MBEC device purchased from MBEC.



Figure 7. MBEC Biofilm

All bacteria testing were performed with fluorescent viability dyes and analyzed with fluorescent Microscopy and Flow cytometry, donated by Christopher Sinigalliano from the Environmental Research Center. The fluorescent viability dyes was Ethidium homodimer-1 and Syto-9 that were initially purchased from molecular probes.

## 3.1.5 Equipment

Three specific types of equipment were used for liposome formation, these included: the Buchii Rotovap colurn distillation, used for lipid film formation, the Labonco Freeze Dry Chamber lyophilizer, used to remove excess solvent from the lipid film, and the Mini extruder apparatus, used to create liposomes. The size distributions of these liposomes were verified through SEM imaging analysis performed by the Nanofabrication facility.

Antibody and Streptavidin synthesis verification testing were performed by two specific techniques, Protein absorbance and BCA assay. The Tecan Genios was used to determine protein concentration by measuring the absorbance of the antibody and streptavidin intermediate samples at 260nm and 280nm wavelengths. The final product, streptavidin-antibody complex, protein recovery was determined with the BCA assay purchased from Pierce and analyzed with the Tecan Spectrophotometer.

All bacteria cultures were grown in a Shaking Incubator, and the bacteria culture concentration was determined with the use of a Biorad 3000 Spectrophotometer. A quantitative live/dead fluorescent assay was performed with the fresh bacteria culture and analyzed by flow cytometry.

29

### **3.2 METHODS**

## **3.3** Liposomal Encapsulation

Specific Aim I of this project consisted of creating **100** nm liposomes encapsulated with  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles.

### 3.3.1 Experimental preparation

### **3.3.1.1 Determining concentration of liposome encapsulant, MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>**

Before liposome formation, the maximum concentration of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles that could be circulated within the mini extruder had to be determined. Since the mini extruder apparatus is a manually driven device, high concentrations of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ nanoparticles result in high resistance and ruptured membranes. Therefore, one experimental aim was to determine, the nominal concentration of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  that could be circulated within the mini extruder apparatus, while still maintaining membrane integrity.

Therefore, various MgO-C<sub>1</sub> concentrations were prepared by serial dilutions to assist in determining the optimal concentration that could be circulated within the mini extruder apparatus. First, five test tubes were labeled in numerical order, and were filled with 1 ml of HEPES buffer. Secondly, a 5 mg/ml stock solution of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  was created and dispensed into test tube 1 and test tube 2. **A** 1:2 dilution was performed on the remaining test tubes until the last test tube had a theoretical concentration of 0.185 mg/ml. Reference for theoretical concentration corresponding to each sample are shown in Table 2.

<b>Test</b>	Concentration	
tube no.	(mg/ml)	
1	5	
$\overline{2}$	2.5	
3	1.25	
4	0.75	
5	0.37	
6	0.185	

Table 2. Dilutions of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  in HEPES buffer

#### 3.3.2 Testing the Dilutions of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> with Mini extruder

The mini extruder apparatus (Figure 8) was prepared for  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  serial dilution testing, by placing two filter supports on each membrane holder, and a 100 nm polycarbonate membrane sandwiched between both membranes holders, as shown on Figure 8. Next, the joined membrane holders were set into the corresponding metal holders and placed on a hotplate. The hotplate temperature was adjusted to achieve a steady temperature of 62°C. Once achieved, each serial diluted sample was vortexed and sonicated, until all the  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles were suspended in the solvent creating a homogeneous solution. Next, 1 ml of the homogeneous solution was extracted using an extruder syringe and set on one side of the Mini extruder apparatus. Next, the second extruder syringe was placed on opposite side of the extruder, as shown on Figure 9. Then, the serial diluted sample was circulated through the membrane, alternating syringes for a total of 10 times, while documenting all experimental observations.

Each extrusion procedure performed on the serial diluted  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  samples were examined for two experimental parameters; such as, resistance and product buildup on the filter supports. These two parameters were documented for each sample, and the experimental results were observed at the end of the overall experiments. The results were carefully examined, and it was concluded that the maximum concentration of MgO- $Cl<sub>2</sub>$  that could be circulated within the extruder was 0.37 mg/ml.



Figure 8. Mini extruder apparatus



Figure 9. Assembly of filters and membranes into extruder

#### **3.3.3 Liposome Column Preparation**

Liposomes purification was performed with Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC), specifically using Sephadex *G-50.* This type of sephadex was chosen for its bead pore size (20-80  $\mu$ m), and its ability to retain free-floating low molecular weight compounds, and elute pure liposomes. These Sephadex *G-50* columns were prepared by swelling the sephadex beads in HEPES buffer, and then packing them into *5* ml syringes, creating sephadex mini columns. The exact buffer and bead swelling information used followed the protocol where 1 gram of Sephadex **G-50** required **9-11** ml of buffer to swell the sephadex beads. So for our experiments, 44 ml of **HEPES** buffer and 4 g of sephadex were placed in a 50 ml conical tube, and were allowed to swell for three hours at room temperature. As the sephadex beads swelled, the glass wool packing agent was soaked in **HEPES** buffer. Then, a small piece of soaked glass wool was packed tightly inside a 5 ml plastic syringe.

Once the Sephadex had swelled, the sephadex conical tube was placed in a hot water bath for several minutes to degas. Then, the warm sephadex was carefully poured into the mini column until the bead height reached the 3.5 ml mark on the syringe. Next, each mini column was carefully examined for the presence of air bubbles. Once no air bubbles were visible, the Sephadex **G-50** columns were ready for liposome purification.

### *3.3.4* Lipid Film formulations

The lipid composition for liposome formation was attained followed from previous experiments from Creation of a novel, Magnetic Drug Delivery Complex, Danny Gonzalez. Specifically for this thesis, one main lipid formulations were created. Then two additional lipid formulations were created following the main formulation except with cholesterol concentrations variation. These additional lipid formulations were created to assist in determining if the actual concentration of cholesterol within the lipid film had a direct affect in the release of the encapsulant agent,  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ , in neutralizing bacteria. The following formulations were:

- 1. Biotin-DSPE-PEG2000, Phosphatidylcholine, Cholesterol (Main Formulation) (1:9:5 Mole Ratio).
	- a. Biotin-DSPE-PEG2000, Phosphatidylcholine, Cholesterol, (1:9:9.9 Mole Ratio)
	- b. Biotin DSPE-PEG2000, Phosphatidylcholine (1:9 Mole Ratio)

An additional lipid formulation composed of a different Biotin linker was created

to determine if the Biotin linker composition had an affect in bacteria detection. The

formulation was the following:

2. Biotin-DSPE, DSPE-PEG2000, Phosphatidylcholine, Cholesterol

 $(1: .5, 5, 9).$ 

# 3.3.5 Lipid Film

All the lipid films were created in chloroform pre-treated 50 ml air free round

bottom flasks. Two types of lipid film formulations were created, these included:

- 1. Biotin-Capped DSPE-PEG2000, Phosphatidylcholine, and Cholesterol (7.5 mg, 46 mg, 13 mg)
- 2. Biotin-DSPE-PEG2000, Phosphatidylcholine, Cholesterol, (7.5 mg, 46 mg, 26 mg)
- 3. Biotin DSPE-PEG2000, Phosphatidylcholine (7.5 mg, 46 mg)
- 4. Biotin-DSPE, DSPE-PEG2000, Phosphatidylcholine, and Cholesterol (5 mg, 2.5 mg, 34 mg, 9.7 mg)

The lipids were dispensed into a round bottom flask with 5 ml of Chloroform solvent, immediately creating a homogenous solution. Next, the sample was placed on the Buchi Rotovap with specific settings to assist in lipid film formation, which included: Placing the round bottom flask at a 45<sup>°</sup> angle, to prevent lipid clumps from forming on the bottom of the glassware. Adjusting the water circulator temperature to  $14^{\circ}$ C and the water bath to 38°C. Set the rotational speed to 145 rpm under vacuum pressure, for approximately an hour, to promote a thin lipid film formation. Once a thin lipid film was achieved, the lipid film was frozen in liquid nitrogen Lypholized overnight.

### 3.3.6 Rehydration of Lipid Film

The previously created freeze-dried lipid film was rehydrated with 7 ml of a 10% trehalose 3 mM HEPES solution with 2 mg of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>. The rehydrated lipid film was placed on the Buchi Rotovap **and spun** at 165 rpm with the water bath temperature set at  $55^{\circ}$ C. As the lipid film was spun in suspension, the lipid film started to dissociate from the flask walls and emulsifies in the trehalose solution. After an hour the solution consisted of multilamellar vesicles (MLV), and was freeze-thawed five times under liquid nitrogen, to maximize  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  concentration retained within the aqueous cavity of the liposome. Once this procedure was performed, the MLV solution was ready to be extruded to created 100 nm large unilamellar vesicles (LUV).

#### **3.3.7** Extrusion of Large Unilmellar liposomes

A series of mini extruder preparation procedures were performed for the liposome rehydration process. The first step was to soak the filters and membranes in a 10% Trehalose 3 nM HEPES buffer solution for several minutes. Next, two hydrated filter supports were inserted on both membrane holders, and a hydrated filter membrane sandwich between both membrane holders, shown in Figure 9. The apparatus was assembled, and was placed on a hotplate, where the temperature was set to reach a steady temperature of 62'C. Once the temperature was achieved, 1 ml of the previously freeze thawed liposome sample **was** drawn using the extruder syringe, and placed on one side of the mini extruder apparatus. Then, the second extruder syringe was placed on the opposite side of the mini extruder apparatus, as shown on Figure 9. The freeze-thawed MLV sample was circulated within the extruder membrane by passage through alternating syringes for a total of 10 times, creating 100 nm LUV. The LUV sample and residual MLV sample were dispensed into independent glass storage vial, and were stored at -20°C, until further use. The schematic diagram below shows liposome formation from start to finish.



Figure 10. Diagram of liposome formation

#### **3.3.8 Purification of Biotin-Liposomes**

Each freshly extruded sample contained both liposomes and freed floating nonencapsulated MgO-Cl<sub>2.</sub> So to achieve a pure liposomes solution, the samples were purified with Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC).

# **3.3.8.1 Sephadex Centrifuge Purification**

The second liposome purification procedure, involved dispensing the extruded liposome sample into a Sephadex *G-50* mini columns, and centrifuging the mini column with the sample to eliminate free-floating  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  in solution. This purification method was developed by Validimir Torchilin, and is widely accepted for achieving high recovered and non diluted liposome recovery<sup>32</sup>.

The liposome purification procedure was performed through a series of steps. First, the previously created sephadex mini colurns were placed within a sterile 15 ml conical tube, and loaded inside a swing rotor bucket centrifuge, spun for 3 minutes at 1000g. After centrifugation, the centrifuge was opened and the 15 ml conical tubes containing the mini column with the excess buffer was discarded. Then, the minicolumns were placed into a new sterile 15 ml conical tube, and 1 ml of liposome sarple was dispensed into the corresponding almost dry sephadex mini column. The sephadex columns were placed again in the centrifuge and spun for 10 minutes at 50g, and for 3 additional minutes at 1000g. The final eluted liposomes samples were recovered in the conical tube, and were stored at -20°C for further analysis.

### **3.3.9 Liposome Verification via Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)**

**After liposome purification, the liposome samples were prepared for SEM analysis** in order to verify liposome presence and size. 20  $\mu$ l of the liposome sample was **dispensed onto the carbon nanotube substrate, and was immediately immersed into liquid nitrogen. Once the sample was frozen, it was immediately placed in the lypholizer overnight to remove the excess moisture. The following day, SEM imaging analysis was performed on the liposome sample. This preparation procedure was also performed on the non-purified liposome sample.**

## 3.4 Immunoliposome -nanoparticle complex synthesis

The second aim consisted of creating a non-specie specific detection system modified onto liposomes.

### 3.4.1 Synthesis of Streptavidin-Nanoparticle antibody Complex

The synthesis of the streptavidin-nanoparticles antibody complex consisted of a fluorescently labeled Streptavidin-nanoparticles modified with a polyclonal antibody. The specific polyclonal antibody utilized in this experiment targeted *Bacillus anthracis* spores, but recognized the antigens on the surface of the bacteria Bacillus *subtilis* (ATCC no. 9372) and Bacillus cereus (ATCC no. 11778). The actual antibody conjugation onto the nanoparticles was performed by targeting the prime amine functional group. These primary amine groups provided a target site for chemical synthesis to occur with the assistance of a crosslinker. These crosslinker allowed conjugation to occur, creating a 40 nm Streptavidin-nanoparticle-antibody complex. The final synthesis of the Streptavidinnanoparticle antibody complex was an easy procedure that utilizes the primary amine groups of both the antibody and Streptavidin coated nanoparticle.

### 3.4.2 Streptavidin coated nanoparticle-MBS Synthesis

The Streptavidin-nanoparticles synthesis reaction occurred by using a specific crosslinker, Maleimidobenzoyl-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (MBS) that targets the primary amine of the Streptavidin molecule. These Streptavidin nanoparticles were received in a 1 ml bottle with a concentration of 0.5% w/w. Therefore, before any experiments were performed, calculations were performed to determine the concentration of nanoparticles and crosslinker necessary to produce a high yield. From these

38

calculations, it was determined that only  $0.2$  mg of nanoparticles were necessary for the synthesis reaction and 2 mg of Maleimidobenzoyl-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (MBS),

First, the Streptavidin coated nanoparticles bottle was vortexed and  $40 \mu l$  of the suspension was extracted and dispensed into a 15 **ml** conical tube with 4.3 **ml** of deionized water and 0.7 ml of HEPES buffer. Then a 40:1 molar excess of MBS solution was created, and 200  $\mu$ l the solution was dispensed into the conical tube containing the Streptavidin-nanoparticles. The conical tube containing the nanoparticles and MBS was placed in a water bath at room temperature and was gently stirred for an hour. This reaction occurred immediately, but to ensure high crosslinking the sample was incubated for a longer time. The chemical synthesis reaction is shown below.



**Figure 11. Streptavidin MBS Synthesis Reaction**

## **3.4.3 Purification of Streptavidin-MBS complex**

# **3.4.3.1 Preparation of Centriprep 30 Ultrafiltration device**

**While the Streptavidin-nanoparticles were reacting with MBS, the Centriprep 30 ultrafiltration device was prepared to** purify **the Streptavidin-nanoparticle-MBS sample. These glycerin coated Centriprep 30 ultrafiltration devices were rinsed in HEPES buffer to remove all traces of glycerin on the membranes.**

The **Centriprep 30** ultrafiltration **devices** were dsassembled and **15 nl. of** REP ES buffer was added into the sample cavity, and then placed inside the centrifuge, and spun **at** 3000g **for 15** minutes. After centrifugation, the buffer was decanted from **the filtrate** cavity, and an additional **5 ml of** buffer **was** added **into** the sample **cavity.** The **Centriprep 30** ultrafil tation device **was spun** again **for an** additional **15** minutes **at the** same conditions **as** previously **set.** After centrifugation, the **filtrated s** mple **was** decanted **from** the **filtrate collector,** and centriprep was ready for the nanoparticle-mbs **complex** sample.

Air Seal Gao **Twist-Lock Cop** Vent Croove Filtrate Collector Shoulder Filtrate Gollector Membrone Support Sample Container Fill Line B.

Figure 12. **Centriprep** Diagram. A. **Centriprep 30** ultrafiltration device B. diagram

### 3.4.3.2 Purification of Streptavidin-MBS Complex

**The Streptavidin-MBS** complex was **poured into the** sample **container of the** Centriprep 30 ultrafiltration device, and was loaded into the Biofuge Primo R centrifuge, and **spun at 3000g for 5** minutes. Then, **the Centriprep cap** was removed **and the filtrate collector** sample **was recovered in a 15 ml conical tube. The** purified **sample** was resuspended in 2 ml of HEPES buffer, and the filtrate collector was pushed up and down

against the buffer to release the nanoparticles adhered to inner surface. Once most of the nanoparticles were released, the purified Streptavidin-MBS intermediate sample was transferred into a 15 ml conical tube and stored at 4 **\*C.**

### *3.4* Antibody Thiolation

The polyclonal antibody sample  $(4 \text{ mg/ml})$  was taken out the refrigerator and vortexed for 10 seconds. Next,  $40 \text{ ul}$  of the antibody sample  $(0.2 \text{ mg})$  was extracted and dispensed into a 15 ml conical tube, and diluted with 2 ml of HEPES buffer. Next, the 2 iminothiolane (Traut's reagent), crosslinking agent was prepared to give a molar excess of 40:1, with approximately 1 mg.

Then, the Traut's reagent was added to the 15 ml conical ube containing the antibody, and the sample was placed in a water bath at room temperature and gently **stirred** for an hour. This reaction occurred immediately, but to ensure high levels of crosslinking, **the** reaction was extended 60 minutes. The chemical synthesis reaction is shown below in Figure 13.



**Figure 13. Antibody and Traut's reagent reaction**

### **3.4.4.1 Antibody-Sh Sephadex column preparation**

**The Sephadex** *G-25* **columns were prepared for antibody purification by swelling** *5* **g of Sephadex** *G-25* **with** *25* **ml of HEPES buffer for three hours. While the sephadex**

beads were swelling, the mini columns were **prepared. The glass wool packing agent was** placed in a beaker containing HEPES buffer for several minutes. Then, a piece of saturated glass wool was placed inside a plastic 5 ml syringe, and was packed tightly to compact the glass wool within the mini column.

Next, the swelled sephadex was placed in a hot water bath for a minute to de-gas. Once the sephadex was hot, it was gently poured into the packed syringes until the bead height reached the 3.5 ml mark on the syringe. Then, the mini columns were set on the benchtop, and were checked for the presence of air bubbles. If no air bubbles were present, the mini-columns were then ready for antibody purification.

#### 3.4.4.2 Thiolated Antibody Purification

After an hour of the ntibody and Traut's incubation, the sample was taken out of the water bath and immediately poured on the top of the sephadex column. The sample was allowed to elute under gravity for 5 minutes, and then 1 ml of HEPES buffer was **added to the sephadex column to assist in** eluting **the** thiolated antibody. After several minutes, the collected sample was immediately stored at 4<sup>o</sup>C until further testing.

#### **3.4.4.3 Conjugation of Streptavidin-Antibody Complex**

**The Streptavidin-Antibody complex was created with the two intermediate products previously purified. The antibody-Sh and Streptavidin-MBS intermediate products were taken out the refrigerator and allowed to reach room temperature. Once at ambient temperature, 150 1 of Streptavidin-MBS complex and 500** 1 **of the antibody-Sh sample were extracted and dispensed into a 15 ml conical tube. The final sample was shaken vigorously for 20 seconds, and then placed in a water bath at room temperature**

and gently stirred overnight. The following day the samples were stored **at** -4"C. The chemical synthesis reaction is shown below, in Figure 14.



Figure 14. Final Product Reaction

## 3.4.5 Verification Testing

# 3.4.5.1 Protein Recovery Tecan Spectrophotometer

All quantitative protein recoveries for both intermediate synthesis reactions were determined **by** measuring the absorbance values of the samples at 280nm and 260nm. **By** implementing these absorbance values into the formula below, an estimate of the protein **recovery was determined.**

Concentration (mg/ml) = 
$$
(1.55 \times A_{280}) - 0.76 \times A_{260})^{40}
$$

**First, all the intermediate samples were allowed to thaw at room temperature.** Then, 25  $\mu$ l of each antibody sample were dispensed into assigned positions on a sterile **96-well plate with 200 of HEPES buffer added into each well. Lastly, the final column of the plate was filled with 225 pl of HEPES buffer as a control. The experimental design is shown on the plate diagram below (Figure** 15).



Figure 15. Recovered Antibody Sample Plate diagram

The second 96-well plate was prepared for the intermediate products of Streptavidin-mbs samples, following the same procedure as the antibody-Sh samples. 25 1 of Streptavidin-mbs sample were dispensed into assigned well within a specific column. Then,  $200 \mu l$  of HEPES buffer was added to each well containing Streptavidinmbs product, and finally 225 µl of buffer was dispensed to the last column.



**Figure 16. Recovered Streptavidin Sample Plate diagram**

**The antibody-Sh sample well plate was loaded into the plate stand within the Tecan Spectrophotometer and the sample was read at 280nm and 260nm The sample** procedure performed for the antibody-Sh sample was performed for the Streptavidin-bs samples. A total of two readings were performed for each plate at two independent wavelengths. All readings were recorded in excel for further analysis.

## 3.4.5.2 BCA Assay

The BSA assay was first prepared by creating a working reagent that consisted of two reagents, A and B creating a 50:1 solution. The working *reagent* was vortexed vigorously for several seconds, and then placed on the benchtop for further experiments. Next, Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) standards were prepared by performing a series **of** dilutions. First, a 2 mg/ml BSA capsule was broken and carefully transferred to an eppendorf tube. A set of dilutions were prepared by using the stock BSA and HEPES bu ffer, **as** shown in the Table below.

Vial	Volume of Dilutent $(\mu I)$	Volume of BSA (µl)	Final Concentration $(\mu g/ml)$
A		300	2000
B	125	375	1500
$\mathbf{C}$	325	325	1000
D	175	175 of vial B	750
E	325	325 of vial C	500
$\mathbf{F}$	325	325 of vial E	250
G	325	325 of vial F	125
$\mathbf H$	400	100 of vial G	25
	400		0

**Table 3. BCA Standard Diagram**

**Once all the dilutions were performed for the standard assay, a sterile 96-well plate** was obtained and each column was assigned to each sample dilution. Next 200  $\mu$ l of the working reagent was dispensed into every well on the 96-well plate, and 25  $\mu$ l of the **freshly prepared sample was dispensed to the corresponding well, as shown below. The**

last column on the plate was filled with 225 µl of HEPES buffer. Finally, the well plate was placed in a 37°C incubator for 2 hours.



Figure 17. BCA Standard plate diagram

After the well plate had incubated for approximately 2 hours, it was taken out the incubator and allowed to cool at room temperature. Once cool, the sample well plate was loaded into the spectrophotometer and the sample was read at 595nm. The readings obtained were recorded were graphed in excel, **and an equation of a line was** obtained with an R<sup>2</sup> value greater than 0.85. This equation of the line was used to determine **protein concentration.**

**The final streptavidin-antibody complex samples were taken out the refrigerator.** While the samples reached room temperature, 200  $\mu$ l of the freshly created working reagent was dispensed into every well in the 96-well plate, and 25  $\mu$ l of each streptavidin**antibody complex sample were dispensed into the assigned column. The well plate was then placed in a 37'C incubator for two hours for the reaction to occur. After incubation** the sample was loaded into the spectrophotometer and was read at 595nm. The values obtained were recorded in Excel for further analysis.

## 3.4.6 Immunoliposome-nanoparticle Complex Conjugation

A 1:1 ratio of the previously created immunoliposomes and streptavidin-antibody complexes was prepared in a 15 ml conical tube. The reaction attachment occurred immediately, but reaction time was increased to 30 minutes to insure conjugation. After this time period, the samples were stored in at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until further testing. The schematic diagram of the final complex is shown below (Figure 18).



**Figure 18. Immunoliposome-nanoparticle Complex**

## **3.5 Live/Dead Bacteria Verification Testing**

**The third aim involved conducting feasibility studies on the newly created immunoliposome-nanoparticle complexes and bacteria to determine the time factor involved in detecting and neutralizing bacteria pathogens.**

## **3.5.1 Bacteria**

ite<sub>le,</sub>

**Three bacteria strains were analyzed, which were: Bacillus** *cereus, Bacillus, subtilis, and Bacillus, thuringiensis.*

#### 3.5.2 Bacteria Preparation

#### 3.5.2.1 **Media Preparation**

Fresh Luria Broth (LB) bacteria media was prepared through a series of steps. First, 1000 ml of distilled water was poured into a 2000 ml Erlenmeyer flask with 20 g of LB **dry** granules. Second, a magnetic rod was gently placed into the Erlenmeyer flask and set onto a stirring plate. Third, the stirring plate setting was set to stir on high, until a homogenous solution was created, within ten minutes.

After the media **had** been prepared, **it** was poured into three 500 ml glass bottles, and placed into an autoclave for sterilization. After approximately an hour, the media was taken out of the autoclave, and the bottles were placed on the bench top until required for bacteria growth.

## 3.5.2.2 Agar Plate Preparation

 $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=$ 

Agar has been a commonly used media to inoculate a range of bacteria species **from both gram positive to gram-negative bacteria. These plates were prepared for experimental drug bacteria interaction testing.**

**Agar was prepared by a series of steps. First, 500 ml of de-ionized water was dispensed into a 1 L Erlenmeyer flask with 20 g of Agar. Second, the flask was placed on a heating/stirring plate and a large magnetic rod was gently placed into the flask and covered. Third, the flask was stirred and heated on low for several minutes until the entire agar granules had dissolved. Then the agar solution was immediately placed in an autoclave sterilizer for an hour.**

**48**

After the agar was cooled, it was poured halfway in each dish for a total of 20 Petri dishes. These dishes were allowed to cool at room temperature, until the agar had completely gelled. After gelation, the agar plates were stored in the refrigerator for further analysis.



Figure 19. Agar Plate

## **3.5.3 Bacteria Inoculation**

A small sample of the *Bacillus cereus* freezer vial was dispensed into a sterile 50 **ml** conical tube, with 5 ml of freshly prepared LB media. Next, the bacteria conical tube was placed within a shaking 37°C incubator overnight for bacteria growth.

## **3.5.3.1 Bacteria Concentration Assay**

mining

Fresh overnight bacteria culture was dispensed into a **1 ml plastic cuvette and was** set-aside on a bench top. Then, a 1 ml of LB media used in the same bacteria culture was dispensed into a plastic cuvette, and loaded into the spectrophotometer **and read at 600nm** to blank the machine. Next, the sample plastic cuvette was loaded into the spectrophotometer, and an immediate absorbance value and concentration of the bacteria sample in cells/ml was obtained. From the obtained concentration, a dilution factor for each experiment was determined for further experiments.

## 3.5.4 Bacteria and MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> Interaction Control Testing

Three distinct assays were used to test the neutralization properties of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ against bacteria. The first assay consisted of incubating bacteria with various  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ drug concentrations. The second assay, known as halo test, incubated bacteria with MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> loaded in the middle of the Petri dish. The third assay consisted of bacteria biofilms treated with various MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> concentrations. All these assays were used to determine the efficacious concentration of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  required to neutralize bacteria.

## 3.5.4.1 Bacteria incubation with MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>

ويوقفه

Freshly grown, *Bacillus cereus*, bacteria sample in assumed exponential growth phase were examined by following **the** bacteria concentration **assay** to dete-rine **bacteria cell concentration in suspension. Once the concentration was obtained, a** series of **1:10 dilutions were performed til the theoretical bacteria concentration was** *105* **cells/mi.**

**Next, five sterile conical tubes were labeled with the corresponding specific drug concentration, and filled with fresh room temperature 50 ml of PBS Buffer. Then, the** various concentration of the neutralization agent (MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>) were added into a specific **labeled test tube, refer to Table 4.**

Once the MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> concentrations were added into each corresponding conical **tube, the samples were sonicated vigorously for several minutes, until a homogenous** solution was created. Then, 500  $\mu$ l of the fresh *Bacillus cereus* culture (cell/ml10<sup>5</sup>) was

**50**

dispensed into each conical tube and vortexed for several second before being placed in a shaking 37<sup>°</sup>C incubator overnight.

Vial	Drug weighed (mg)	Final Concentration (mg/ml)
$\mathbf{A}$	50	
B	25	0.5
$\mathbf C$	12.5	0.25
D	6.25	0.125
E	3.125	0.0625
F	$\theta$	$\theta$

Table 4. Prepared MgO-Cl2 Concentrations

Twenty-four hours after bacteria drug incubation, 500  $\mu$ l of each sample were dispensed into the corresponding agar plate. The bacteria agar plates were tilted until the **bacteria suspension completely covered the surface of the plate. Then each plate was placed inside a 37\*C incubator for 24 hours, and the plates were examined during the 24 hours interval for bacteria growth.**

**After 24 hours, each plate was examined for bacteria colony formation, and each colony present was counted in specific plate regions. The overall bactericidal efficiency of MgO-C1 2 was examined visibly. To future examine the bactericidal property of MgO-** $Cl<sub>2</sub>$  an equation was created to determine the overall bactericidal property of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ . The following equation shown below was used to determine bactericidal efficacy<sup>23</sup>.

**Bactericidal efficacy** (%)= **Alive number in control- alive number in experimental group x 100 Alive number in control**

#### **3.5.4.2 Halo Test**

Fresh bacteria cultures, *B. cereus*, *B. subtilis, and B. thuringiensis*, were grown overnight, and analyzed following the concentration assay to obtain a bacterial cell concentration (cell/ml). Once the concentration of each sample was obtained, each bacterium sample was diluted with a 1:10 factor, until a theoretical concentration of  $10<sup>5</sup>$ was obtained. Then, 500  $\mu$ l of each diluted bacterium samples was dispensed into agar plates, and incubated at 37°C for 2 hours.

While the bacteria were proliferating, MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> drug slurries were prepared with 3 mg of MgO-C $l_2$  in 200  $\mu$ l of HEPES buffer. After two hours of bacteria incubation, the plates were taken out of the incubator, and a sterile 50 ml conical tube was pressed gently in the middle of each plate, leaving an indentation. Then, the drug slurry was carefully poured into the indented region and returned to the incubator, where the samples were allowed to incubate overnight. The following day, the plates were examined for bacteria **colonies formation in the indented drug region.**

#### **3.5.4.3 Biofim Assay I**

**Bacteria strains were grown overnight in LB media, and analyzed the following day with the concentration assay to determine the bacteria concentration in cells/ml. Once the concentration was obtained, the samples were diluted in LB media with a ratio of 1:100.** Then, 100 µl of the diluted bacteria samples were plated into 96-well PVC plates, and were placed in a microbiological incubator at  $37^{\circ}$ C for approximately 10 hours.

**The following day, the freshly created biofilms samples were treated with a variety of MgO-Cl 2 concentrations. Each biofilm plate** was **treated with 100 [d of each drug vial**

52

listed below, and were placed in an incubator overnight. Drug killing effect was determined by a plate-streaking assay that submerged a loop into random wells from each column, scraping the bottom of the biofilm, and streaking a specific area on an agar plate. Note, that each column was specific for *a* drug concentration, and was repeated for each PVC plate, until all the strains had been tested. Then, the agar plates were placed within an incubator for 48 hours. After two days, the plates were examined for bacteria growth, and each colony present on each plate was documented. The presence of colonies was used as positive indicator, if the varying the concentration of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  had affected bacteria growth.

<b>Bacteria</b>	<b>Concentration of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub></b>				
B. subtilis B. thuringiensis B. cereus	$1 \text{ mg/ml}$	$0.5$ mg/ml		$\vert 0.25 \text{mg/ml} \vert 0.125 \text{mg/ml}$	0.0625mg/ mı

Table 5. Biofilm MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> Testing

### *3.5.4.4* **Biofilm Assay II**

**The MBEC assay was the second biofilm assay performed with bacteria,** *B.* **cereus,** *B: subtilis, and B. thuringiensis.* **Theses bacteria samples were grown overnight, and were analyzed by following the bacteria concentration assay. Next, the bacteria** samples were diluted following a standard protocol, if 3.0 X  $10^8$  was the initial **concentration obtained, then a 1/30 dilution would be performed to obtain inoculums of 10 7 cells/ml.**

Then, 150  $\mu$ l of the each diluted specie specific bacteria sample were plated onto a 96-well MBEC plate, and were positioned within a gyrating incubator, set to 150 rpm and 35°C overnight.

The following day, rinsing plates were prepared by dispensing  $200 \mu l$  of sterile PBS in every well. Next, the challenge plates were prepared by dispensing 200  $\mu$ l of various MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> into assigned columns in the 96-well plate, as shown below.

Column Assignment well plate	$MgO-Cl2 mg/ml$
12 & 11	0.5
10 & 9	0.35
8 & 7	0.125
6 & 5	0.0625
4 & 3	

Table 6. MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> Plate layout for Biofilm Testing

The bacteria MBEC plates were taken out the **incubator and placed in a sterile** hood, where the MBEC lid pegs were transferred into the rinse plate for approximately one minute. Then the MBEC pegs were transferred into the  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  challenge plate, and **placed in the incubator for** 24 hours.

On day three, the treatment plates were taken out the incubator and again positioned in a sterile hood. While the rinse and **recovery plates were prepared, the MBEC biofilm lid from the challenge plate was transferred into the rinse plate with sterile PBS, for approximately 1 minute. Next, CAMHB media was poured into a Petri**

**Form** 

dish where  $200 \mu l$  were extracted and dispensed into the wells in the plate. After the pegs were rinsed, the MBEC peg lid was transferred to the CAMHB recovery plate where the plate was sonicated for 5 minutes to release biofilms from the pegs. Lastly, the plates were set in the incubator for 24 hours to initiate bacteria growth.

On day four, the plates were taken out the incubator and were examined for bacteria colonies both visually and with a spectrophotometer. The data obtained was statistically analyzed to determine at what concentration of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> inhibited bacteria growth.

## 3.5.4.5 Fluorescent Microscopy of interaction between Bacteria and **MgO-C <sup>2</sup>**

Before initial testing were performed, the fluorescent dyes, Ethidium homodimer-1 and Syto-9 were calibrated for microscopy testing. A  $1 \mu l$  of the stock Ethidium homodimer-1 sample was diluted in 99  $\mu$ l of HEPES buffer, creating a 1:100 dilution. Next, 1  $\mu$ l of the stock, Syto-9 dye was diluted in 999  $\mu$ l HEPES buffer, creating a 1:1000 dilution. Then, two sample concentrations of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  samples were prepared in HEPES buffer with a 24 mg/ml and 2mg/ml. Once all the  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  preparation was finished fresh, B. cereus, bacteria was obtained, and the concentration was determined.

Next, the dyes previously prepared were thawed and allowed to reach room temperature. Once the dyes had reached desirable temperature, the samples were prepared for testing analysis. Sample aliquots of the each  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  samples were dispensed into the corresponding microscope slide with B. cereus and fluorescent dyes. Next, the samples were analyzed with the 488nm blue laser on fluorescent microscope. Live images were captured from each sample to view the interaction of

55

**Discove** 

Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex sample with bacteria and fluorescent dyes. For the purpose of this procedure sample aliquots of the original samples were viewed under the fluorescent microscope at three time intervals time= $0$ , time= $15$  and time= $1 \text{ hr}$ . The samples volumes used in each test are listed below:



Table 7. Fluorescent Microscopy testing with MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> and *B. cereus* 

\*\* All formulations consisted of 2  $\mu$ l Ethidium homodimer-1 and 2  $\mu$ l of Syto-9

Each formulation was observed at different magnifications and the samples were visualized using the Blue laser for fluorescent excitation

## 3.5.5 Bacteria testing **with** Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex

## 3.5.5.1 Fluorescent Microscopy **of** interaction between Bacteria **and** Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex

All the samples were prepared for fluorescent microscopy by following the same protocol previous used for  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  testing. All the samples were prepared directly on the microscope slide were the Immunoliposome-antibody complex samples, bacteria and fluorescent dyes were analyzed immediately at different time intervals. The samples volumes used in each test are listed below:

Additional fluorescent microscopy images were captured with another instrument, and similar studies were performed with this microscope to capture images of the

interaction of B. cereus with liposomes. A sample slide of a 20  $\mu$ l (B. cereus and liposome 12) suspension with a 1:1 ratio was dispensed onto a microscope slides. Images of the liposome and B. cereus interaction were captured at three intervals a time=O, time=15 and time=l hour



Table 8. Fluorescent Microscopy testing with samples and B. cereus

## Data acquisition of Bacteria and Immunoliposome interaction using Flow **Cytometry**

Flow cytometry was used to determine the effectiveness of the newly synthesized Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex formulations in detecting and neutralizing the bacteria samples. The bacteria samples concentration of  $5.0 \times 10^8$  were prepared for flow cytometry. From this bacteria culture, 200 µl was extracted and dispensed into a conical tube containing 5 ml of LB Broth (1:25). The new bacteria conical tube was set aside, as the Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complexes reached room temperature.

Next, the samples were prepared for flow cytometry testing with the following ratio: 25  $\mu$ l bacteria sample + 200  $\mu$ l of Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex + 25  $\mu$ l Ethidium homodimer-1 (1:100 dilution). Once the samples were prepared, each sample

Ethidium homodimer-1 (1:100 dilution). Once the samples were prepared, each sample was immediately analyzed by flow cytometry to determine bacteria detection and neutralization.

Bacteria detection was achieved by using the 488nm laser of the flow cytometer, and triggering the yellow fluorescence of the nanoparticle within the Immunoliposomenanoparticle complex. The neutralization efficiency of the Immunoliposomenanoparticle complex formulations was determined by the presence of the membrane impermeable red fluorescent dye, Ethidium homodimer-1. Both the detection and the neutralization of each sample were analyzed at two intervals, time=0 and at time=2 hours. All the data obtained from flow cytometry were analyzed for live/dead bacteria cell viability and for sample interaction with the bacteria.

## 4.0 RESULTS

### 4.1 Liposome Formulation

### 4.1.1  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> Drug concentration$

An  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  serial dilution experimental design was created to determine the maximum concentration of nanoparticles that could be circulated through the mini extruder apparatus. All the serial dilution samples were tested under similar environmental conditions used in liposome formation. The concentrations created are shown in the Table below.

These serial dilutions samples were created by first starting with a high concentration of 5 mg/ml of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles, and diluting the sample by two

**folds, resulting in series of** samples **with** high **to** low **MgO-C1 2 concentrations.** A **total of 6** samples were **created with a** theoretical **concentration range** from 5 mg/ml **to 0.185** mg/ml.



Table 9. MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> prepared Concentrations

The main focus of this experiment **was to** answer three main questions. **1.** How much resistance occurred when MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were circulated within the mini **extruder** Apparatus. **2. Could the** sample **be circulated within** the mini extruder apparatus **by alternating syringes for a total of 10** times? **3.** Was **nanoparticles** buildup **present on the filter** membrane **after extrusion?**

Favorable results were to obtain the highest concentration of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ **nanoparticles that** would **provide low resistance, capable of** being **circulated for a total of 10** times, and with no visible buildup on the filter membranes. A sample set of various  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations were prepared extruded following the same protocol liposome procedure. **All the** experimentations **were** performed **with each diluted** sample listed

*59*

above. The results obtained from each  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  sample and experimental results obtained are shown below in Table 10.



Table 10.  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  testing with Mini extruder Apparatus

**\*** Optimal Concentration for liposome formulation

# 4.2 Lipid formulation

Two main lipid film formulations were created to understand the interaction of the

liposome mechanism in neutralizing and detecting the bacteria. These lipid formulations

were divided into two main categories as shown below:

- A. Formulation One
	- a. *7.5mg* (biotin capped DSPE-PEG2000), 46 mg PC, and 13 mg Cholesterol
	- b. 7.5mg (biotin capped DSPE-PEG2000), 46 mg PC, and 26 mg Cholesterol
	- c. 7.5mg (biotin capped DSPE-PEG2000), 46 mg PC
- B. Formulation Two

 $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{q}_0}$ 

d. *5* mg Biotin-DSPE, 2.5 DSPE-PEG2000, 34mg PC, 9.7 mg Cholesterol

A total of 12 films were created for testing, subdivided into four types of

formulation. These four formulations were developed from a two main formulations that

were altered in lipid concentration, resulting in four final formulations. For testing

purposes each sample was designated a numerical log number corresponding to the formulation category. A detail list of each type of formulation and each sample number are shown in the Table below.

Sample No.	Formulation
$\overline{12}$	$\rm d$
13	a
14	a
$\overline{15}$	$\mathbf{a}$
16	a
$\overline{17}$	$\mathbf d$
$\frac{1}{*18}$	a
$*19$	$\mathbf{d}$
20	b
21	$\mathbf{d}$
$\overline{22}$	$\mathbf b$
$\overline{23}$	$\mathbf c$

Table 11. Liposome samples with corresponding forrulation

The experimental steps in creating a lipid film formation are shown below. The step consists of dissolving lipids in solvent and evaporating the solvent creating a lipid film.

 $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}}}}}$


Figure 20. Lipid Film Steps A. Lipid in chloroform solvent B. Evaporating chloroform C. final lipid film

# **4.3 Liposome**

All the lipid films were re-hydrated in HEPES buffer with 0.35 mg/ml of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> except for control samples 18 and 19. All these re-hydrated lipid films were freezethawed five times under liquid nitrogen, and were circulated for a total of 10 times within the Mini extruder apparatus, heated at  $62^{\circ}$ C. After extrusion, sample appearance changed from an opaque white solution to a lucid solution, as shown in Figure 21. Note, the sample opacity diminishes when LUV liposomes were created.



Figure 21. Liposomes of lipid film 16

#### **4.3.1 Liposome Purification verification**

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) examined the Liposome samples before and after liposome purification via sephadex centrifugation. The SEM analysis was used to obtain a positive verification of the presence and size of the liposomes before and after

purification. These liposomes samples were fixed onto modified carbon nanotubes substrates, and were examined to determine if the objectives were met. An SEM image was captured from each sample before and after sephadex centrifugation. The results obtained are shown below, note, both pictures show the circular shaped beads that are a positive identification for the presence of liposomes within the sample collection.



Figure 22. Liposome SEM Imaging. A. Overview of liposome sample B. Close-up of liposome sample

The purified liposome samples were analyzed by SEM, and the images obtained

are shown below, note, observed liposomes have an approximate 300 nm diameter size.



Figure 23. Purified liposome SEM

# 4.4 Streptavidin-nanoparticle antibody complex Synthesis

#### 4.4.1 Synthesis reaction **of** Antibody and Streptavidin

One main synthesis formulation was used to create eight samples of each Streptavidin-mbs and Antibody-Sh complexes. Each sample was purified by two independent methods. The Streptavidin-mbs complex was purified via ultrafiltration, and the antibody-Sh recovery was purified via Sephadex G-25.

#### 4.4.1.1 Antibody **and** Streptavidin Yield

The Tecan spectrophotometer was used to determine the total protein yield for the thiolated antibody and Streptavidin-Mbs complex synthesis's. Quantitative protein recovery was determined by observing the sample absorbance at two specific wavelengths, 260nm and 280nm. The protein recovery equation was implemented in each sample, and the results obtained are shown below.



Figure 24. BCA Standard

The BCA assay was performed on the streptavidin-nanoparticle antibody complex samples, and the data obtained was standardized and analyzed using a one-way ANOVA. A statistical model was created to determine if the streptavidin-antibody complex sample created from the same formulation was statistically significant. The empirical model for protein recovery was as follows:

$$
Y = \mu + S_i + \epsilon_j
$$

Where S = to the streptavidin-nanoparticle complex

The statistical results of the One-Way ANOVA produced a p-value of 0.000 for the samples; this implies that these samples are significantly different. Also, the data were normally distributed after natural log transformation.

# 4.5 MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> Testing

### 4.5.1 MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> Testing with Silver Nitrate

The  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  samples obtained from Nanomat Inc. were examined for the presence of Chloride,  $Cl_2$ . A series of samples were prepared in two types of buffers, HEPES and de-ionized water. Next, several drops of 0.1 M silver nitrate were dispensed into each prepared sample. The samples were examined after several minutes to determine if a color change had occurred. Any physical change in solution was documented before and after the addition of silver nitrate.

After 10 minutes the final sarple appearance was documented. The detailed table was created to show the effects of silver nitrate to each sample in buffer, refer to Table 13.

Sample	Observation before	Observation after	
	AgNO <sub>3</sub>	AgNO <sub>3</sub>	
$Cl2$ in DI	Clear solution	Clear solution	
NaCl in DI	<b>Clear Solution</b>	Opaque white Solution	
$MgO-CL2 HEPES$ w/o salt	Clear Solution	Milky white solution	
$MgO-Cl2$ in DI	Clear Solution	Brown solution	
DI	Clear Solution	Clear solution	
HEPES w/o Salts	Clear Solution	Milky white solution	
HEPES w/o Phenol Read	Clear Solution	Milky white solution	
1% Triton X HEPES	Clear Solution	Milky White Solution	

Table 12. Silver Nitrate Test Results

# 4.5.1.1 Spectrophotometer Scan of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>

An absorbance spectrum scan of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles was taken with the Cary UV-Vis. The absorbance scan of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> was analyzed, and it showed the highest peak at *259* nm with a corresponding absorbance of 0.4204.



Figure 25. MgO-Cl2 Absorbance Scan

# **4.5.1.2 X-Ray Microanalysis**

An additional chemical characterization of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  was performed via X-ray Microanalysis to determine the chemical element composition of these nanoparticles. When the samples were analyzed, the results attained indicated that these  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ nanoparticles contained a high weight percentage of Magnesium  $(Mg^{2+})$  42.22 and Oxygen  $(O^2)$  40.10 with a 4.12 weight percentage of  $Cl_2$ . These findings confirm that these nanoparticles do contain  $Cl<sub>2</sub>$ , refer to Figure 26.



Figure 26. X-Ray microanalysis of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles

# **4.6 Live/Dead Bacteria Verification testing**

# **4.6.1 Bacteria and MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> Interaction Control Testing**

# **4.6.1.1 Bacteria incubation with MgO-Cl2**

The bacteria strain *Bacillus cereus* was tested with various concentrations of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>, such as: a) 1 mg/ml, b) 0.5 mg/ml c) 0.25 mg/ml d) 0.125 mg/ml e) 0.0625 mg/ml f) 0 mg/ml. When *B. cereus* samples were incubated with each MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> concentration and plated onto agar plates, bacteria growth occurred with the majority of the incubated  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> concentrations.$  The results obtained are shown in the Figure 27 note; samples A and B had no bacteria growth, which corresponded to  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations 1 mg/ml and 0.5mg/ml.



Figure 27. Bacteria Incubated with  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ A) 1 mg/ml B) 0.5 mg/ml C) *0.25* mg/ml D) 0.125 mg/ml E) 0.0625 mg/ml F) 0 mg/ml

#### **4.6.1.2 Halo Test**

**The Halo test was performed on three specific bacteria strains,** *B. cereus, B. subtilis, and B. thuringiensis.* These **bacteria strains were grown and plated onto agar plates with 3 mg** of **MgO-C1 2 slurry administered into the center of each plate.** After 48 **hours, the plates were examined in the halo region for bacteria** growth. The results **obtained** show **that in a plated region** with **an initial high bacteria concentration, bacteria growth occurred** within the **halo region containing** the MgO-C1 2 slurry, **refer to Figure 28.**



**Figure 28. Halo test with Bacteria samples**

#### **4.6.1.3 Biofilm Assay I**

A biofilm assay **was performed on three specific bacteria strains,** *B. cereus, B. thuringiensis,* **and** *B. subtilis.* A 1:100 dilution **of each bacterium culture was performed and then plated into the corresponding** PVC plate. After 24 hrs **of incubation,** biofilm formation occurred on the bottom of the well plate, and a variety of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> **concentrations were dispensed into the corresponding well columns.**



Figure 29. Bacteria Biofilm results using Biofilm assay I The plates were incubated for 24 hours. Then, random column wells were scraped and streaked on specific areas on an agar plate. Then the plates were observed after 48 hours for bacteria growth, which occurred on every designated streaked area, shown above.

#### **4.6.1.4 Biofilm** Assay **II**

The MBEC assay was the second Biofilm assay performed with bacteria cultures, *B. cereus, B. thuringiensis, and B. subtilis.* All three strains were incubated in the MBEC device to generate biofilms on the peg lids. Once the biofilms were formed, each plate was treated with various  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations for 24 hrs. Then, the peg lids were rinsed and transferred to a plate containing media, where the plates were sonicated to release the biofilms. The plates containing released biofilms were treated with  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ plates were incubated for 24 hrs, and were visibly examined for bacteria colonies formation. All bacteria colonies were documented, and the results obtained are shown on Table 13. Additional bacteria colonies, absorbance counts were taken for each recovery biofilm plate by absorbance plate reading.

<b>Bacteria</b> plate	MgO-Cl <sub>2</sub> Conc.						
		# wells w/hacteria colonies					
		A	B	$\mathbf C$	D	E	
B. cereus		0	6		2	15	
<b>B.</b> thuringiensis			11	3	3	14	
<b>B.</b> subtilis		$\bf{0}$	4	10	10	12	

Table 13. MBEC bacteria results after incubated with MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>

MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> concentrations corresponding to: **A)** 0.5mg/mI **B) 0.35** mg/ml C) **0.125 mg/mI D) 0.0625 mg/ml E) 0 mg/mi**

The absorbance reading of each plate was statistically analyzed **by** a one-way ANOVA. A statistical model was created to determine if the MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> concentration affected biofilm bacteria neutralization. The empirical model **for bacteria neutralization** was **as** follows:

$$
Y = \mu + F_i + \varepsilon_j
$$

Where  $F = MgO - Cl<sub>2</sub>$  formulations

A p-value < 0.05 was obtained with respect to the bacteria colony presence after treatment of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations in regards to biofilms. The low p value indicates that **the MgO-Cl <sup>2</sup>**formulations **within** bacteria **strains** had adverse **affects,** thus were significantly different. **Lastly,** a **statistical** model **for** the three bacteria **strains** were **also** analyzed using an **ANOVA** test with two factors. The model for overall bacteria interaction with  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  are shown below.

$$
Y = \mu + F_i + B_j + FB_{ij} + \varepsilon_k
$$

Where **F=** Formulations and **B=** Bacteria **strains**

The p-value of the new model for the affects of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> on three *Bacillus* strains were analyzed and formulation, bacteria strains, and the interaction had a p-value less than 0.05, which indicates that they were significantly different.

# *4.6.1.5* **Fluorescent Microscopy of interaction between Bacteria and MgO-Cl2**

The second sets of fluorescent images captured the effects of the bacteria sample, *B. cereus, incubated with a stock concentration of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mg/ml). The fluorescent* dyes Syto-9 (Green) and Ethidium homodimer-1 (Red) were used to determine the neutralization effects of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ , when introduced to the bacteria cultures.

The green fluorescent bacteria rods represented the live bacterium, and the red fluorescent bacteria cells represented the dead bacteria cells. When the samples were analyzed at time=0, the sample had both red and green rods present. Within the samples, it was observed a large community of either red or green rods within a given sample. These images were captured when bacteria and MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> were initially interacted, shown in Figure 30.



Figure 30. Fluorescent microscopy images of *B. cereus* and MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> A. *B. cereus* with 2mg/ml of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> at time=0 B. B. cereus with 2mg/ml of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> at time=0

# **4.7** Bacteria Testing with Immunoliposome nanoparticle Complex

### 4.7.1 Biofllm Assay II

The same MBEC assay previously performed with  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  was performed using *B.* cereus and the liposomes samples. In this test, each liposome sample was *dispensed* into specific wells in the MBEC device to treat bacteria bioflm. After 24 hrs, bacteria growth was observed and documented *refer* to figure 31. Also, absorbance reading of the plate were taken and then statistically examined.



Figure 31. *MBEC B. cereus* biofilm results after incubated with Liposomes

The absorbance data obtained was statistically analyzed using a one-way ANOVA test. A statistical model was created to determine if the liposomes samples had an affect on neutralizing *B. cereus.* The empirical model used for bacteria neutralization was as follows:

$$
Y = \mu + L_i + \epsilon_j
$$

Where L= different liposome samples

A p-value of 0.153 was obtained from the ANOVA test, which indicates that the liposomes samples were not significantly different.

# **4.7.2 Fluorescent Microscopy testing**

Fluorescent microscopy captured the interaction of the bacteria with the Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex. The liposome and bacteria interaction is shown on Figure 32(a) and (c), and the liposome antibody interaction is shown on Part b.



Figure 32. Fluorescent Microscopy Images of liposome and B. *cereus. a.* B *cereus b.* liposome 12 c. nanoparticle-antibody complex 5

The liposome sample and *Bacillus cereus* interaction were captured at three time interval, refer to Figure 33. All these were captured using a Fluorescent microscope and viewing the samples under three specific magnifications.



Figure 33. Fluorescent microscopy images of *B. cereus* and liposomes at time intervals a. Liposome 12 with B. cereus at time=0 b. Liposome 12 with B. cereus at time=15 minutes c. Liposome 12 with B. cereus at lhr.

**These samples were stained with DAPI and Ethidium homodimer-1 and images were captured at time =30 and after 60 minutes, refer to Figure 34. In both staining after a 30-minute interval live bacteria were still seen.**



**Figure 34. Fluorescent microscopy images of** *B. subtilis* **with sample 13-5** *a. B. subtilis* **stained with DAPI interacting with Liposome complex 13-5 after 30 minutes b.** *B. subtilis* **stained with Ethidium homodimer-1 interacting with Liposome complex 13-5 after 30 minutes stained**

**Additional images were captured after the** *B. subtilis* **were incubated for an hour**

**with the liposome-complex** *13-5.* **When the images were analyzed, it showed a minimal**

**amount of DAPI live cells, and a high concentration of dead red bacteria cells.**



**Figure 35 Fluorescent Micrographs of B. subtilis with Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex. a.** *B. subtilis* **stained with DAPI interacting with Liposome complex 13-5 after an hour stained b.** *B. subtilis* **stained Ethidium homodimer-1 interacting with Liposome complex 13-5 after an hour**

# 4.7.3 Data acquisition of Bacteria **and** Immunoliposome interaction using Flow **Cytometry**

Flow cytometry was used to study the interaction of the bacteria samples with the immunoliposomes-nanoparticle complexes. These interactions were studied by using the (488nm blue laser) of the flow cytometer, and by triggering the fluorescent property of the nanoparticle (577nm) conjugated to the liposome complex to detect the bacteria, shown in the FL2 gated region  $(580/30<sub>nm</sub>)$ . The neutralization efficacy of the liposomes was analyzed by using a live dead *assay* with specific cell viability dye, Ethidium homodimer-1, activated in membrane-compromised cells shown in FL3 gated region  $(630/30)$  mm). The interaction of the novel complex with the bacteria sample resulted in a shift to the bacteria control gated region (ethanol treated), a positive indication of the neutralization efficacy of the liposomes.

The bacteria sample B. *subtilis* was interacted with the Immunoliposomenanoparticle complex number *13-5,* and with two fluorescent dyes, Syto-9 and Ethidium homodimer-1. The sample was analyzed at time=0, and the data outputs were obtained in histograms (Figure 36). These histograms were gated at specific regions for accurate interpretations.

The first gated region, R1, represents the dead bacteria ethanol neutralized controlled region stained with Ethidium homodimer-1. The second gated region, R2, represents the live bacteria sample stained with Syto-9. Furthermore, the gated region R3 and R4 correspond to the "live" and "dead" peaks, based upon percentage of staining by Ethidium homodimer-1.

76



**Figure 36.** *B. subtilis* **immunoliposomes-nanoparticle complex** *13-5* **at time zero**

**As shown in Figure 36, a majority** of the **bacteria samples once interacted with the Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex were still alive.**



**Figure** *37. B subtilis* **immunoliposomes-nanoparticle-complex after 2 hours**

**After two hours of the bacteria and Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex interaction, a shift in fluorescent occurred, a portion of the cells in the R3 (blue) gate** shifted to the R4 (red) gate. An overlay of both histograms at different time intervals is shown below.



Figure 38. *Bacillus subtilis* interaction after treatment

A series of detection and neutralization assays were performed with *B. cereus,* and the newly synthesized Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complexes. The Immunoliposome-nanoparticles complexes were subdivided into categories corresponding to the attached nanoparticle-complex sample; half of the liposomes were conjugated with nanoparticle-antibody complex 10 and the second half with nanoparticles-antibody complex 11. Each complex sample was interacted with live bacteria and Ethidium homodimer-1. The samples were analyzed by flow cytometry at two time intervals, time=0 and time= 2 hours, see Figure below.



Figure 39. Overlap of complex 10 with *B. cereus* a. time=0 b. time= 2hrs

Bacteria detection was observed with various types of liposomes and with both types of nanoparticle complexes. As shown in Figure 39, the greatest shift in fluorescent occurred after two hours with samples, 14-10, 15-10, 16-10, which all belonged to formulation (a), an indication of an increase of nanoparticles adhered to the bacteria. However, sample 12-10, 17-10 from formulation (d) did not show an increase in detection.

Also, it was examined that both samples 13-10 and 17-10 showed some dissociation from the bacteria after two hours, a negative indicator. Nonetheless, all liposome nanoparticle complex formulations interacted with bacteria resulted in an immediate detection with some outliers.



Figure 40. Overlap of complex 11 with *B. cereus* a. time=0 b. time=2 hrs

The liposome samples conjugated with the nanoparticle complex 11 were interacted with B. *cereus* and analyzed at two time intervals, shown Figure 40. All the Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex samples interacted with B. *cereus* resulted in bacteria detection indicated by the right tail in the histogram (figure 40.b). From these tests, it indicates that all the lipid formulation had similar results in detection at time=0. After 2 hours, detection slightly increased, represented by a broad histogram. Although, the lipid film formulation (b) sample 22-11, showed bacteria nanoparticle dissociation after 2 hr, apparent by a sharp histogram.

After the samples were analyzed for bacteria detection, they were further analyzed for bacteria neutralization using a "dead" fluorescent dye, Ethidium homodimer-1. For testing purposes, control regions were created for accurate interpretation of the experimental data, these control regions consisted of: B. *cereus* incubated with 0.35mg/ml of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> for 6 hours, ethanol neutralized *B. cereus*, and the live region (syto-9). All the samples were analyzed with fresh bacteria cultures in assumed exponential growth phased were used to determine the liposome formulations efficacy, refer to Figure 41.



Figure 41. Immunoliposome Formulation (a) interacted with *B. cereus* a. time=0 b. time=2 hrs

The lipid film formulations were grouped together in one histogram to demonstrate the effectiveness of the liposomes in neutralizing B. *cereus.* The lipid film Formulation (a) individual histograms were grouped together and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of the liposome in neutralizing bacteria. As shown in Figure 41, the five independent liposome samples acquired different bacteria neutralization rates.

The greatest neutralization effect at time=0 was achieved with sample 16-10, and when analyzed after 2 hours the histogram peak was located in the ethanol neutralized region. Thus indicating that the greatest killing effect was achieved by sample 16-10. Also, the samples 14-10 and 15-10 displayed similar neutralization characteristics at both **time** intervals, with the highest effect after 2 hours. Additionally, the lipid film 13-10 and 18-11 both had similar histogram peaks throughout the time interval, while having a large percentage after two hours in the live-gated region.



Figure 42. Immunoliposome Formulation (b) interacted with B. *cereus a.* time=0 b. time=2 hours.

The second Formulation (b) was analyzed to determine the effectiveness of lipid film in neutralizing bacteria. In this study the samples 20-11 and 22-11 were analyzed, and both the results were analyzed showed wide variability in neutralizing bacteria. Hence, the greatest killing effect at time=0 was achieved with the lipid film 20-11, which increased eradication when incubation time was increased. Note, the greatest histogram peak of sample 20-11 occurred beyond the ethanol gated control region (Figure 42).



Figure 43. Immunoliposome Formulation (c) interacted with B. *cereus at* time=0 and time=2 hrs

The third Formulation (c) had similar effects at time=0 and after 2 hours. The broad histogram indicated that the eradication effect was equally distributed within the neutralized MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> gated region. Thus indicating that the maximum neutralizing effect occurred at the initial bacteria and Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex interaction.



Figure 44. Immunoliposome Formulation (d) interacted with *B. cereus* a. time=0 b. time=2 hrs

The last lipid film Formulation (d) histograms when analyzed at both time intervals, showed similar bacteria killing effects. When the sample was examined at time=0, all the histogram peaks **were in** the well designated **live gated region** with **a right tail in the** neutralized **region. As the** time **increases,** Sample **12-10,** 18-11, **17-10, all showed** similar **results** with histograms **in** the **live region.** Nonetheless, Sample **21-11** showed **a greater** shift towards **the dead** ethanol **gated region,** after **2** hours **of bacteria** sample incubation. **So of** these samples, **21-11 was** more **efficient in neutralizing** bacteria.

#### 5.0 DISCUSSION

**The** task **to develop a** new method **that can effectively detect and neutralize bacterial** biohazards **in a short interval is** very **challenging. In this project, the novel detection** and neutralization **assay was** achieved by **using liposomes** encapsulated with MgO-Cl2 (Neutralization **Agent) conjugated** with **a** modified **streptavidin-nanoparticle** antibody complex (Detection Complex). The overall **effectiveness of this novel assay was further** analyzed with bacteria **in vitro** models.

**The objective of specific aim I was to synthesize 100 nm liposomes encapsulated** with a neutralization agent, MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>. So prior to liposome formulation, the optimal **MgO-C1 2 concentration** capable **of being circulated** within **the** mini **extruder** apparatus was determined **by vigorous serial** dilution **testing.** From **the testing results,** it concluded that the maximum MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> concentration capable of being encapsulated within **liposomes using the** mini **extruder** apparatus **was 2.5** mg/ml.

Once the optimal MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> concentration was determined, the liposome formulation protocols were **developed taking in** consideration **the** two main **project objectives being: 1.** Rapid bacteria **detection 2.Rapid** bacterial **neutralization.** With these two **objectives in** mind, two main **lipid** formulations **were created to** assist **in** determining the optimal liposome formulation for rapid bacteria detection. These two main lipid formulations differed **by** the type of biotin lipid attachment added to the main formulation. The importance of the biotin component is crucial for the attachment site of the detection portion (streptavidin coated nanoparticle antibody complex) to adhere to the bacteria. The structure formation of the attachment of Streptavidin and Biotin formation can provide either favorable or unfavorable structure formation that can either promote or dissociate attachment to the bacteria. With this reasoning, the biotin additive in both formulations can help determine the nominal biotin compound necessary to enhance bacteria detection.

Additional liposome formulations were created to gain an understanding exactly how lipids components such as Cholesterol play an essential role in the releasal of the encapsulated material in neutralizing bacteria. So two additional formulations were created with varying concentrations of cholesterol in order to establish the successful formation in both detecting and neutralizing bacteria pathogens.

The first specific aim called to verify the presence of liposomes in solution. This was accomplished by SEM analysis **,** the positive visual identification of the presence and size of these liposomes was confirmed. One of the main objectives of this thesis was to produce a solution containing **100** nm liposomes, but from the images captured the smallest liposome size visibly analyzed had a diameter size ranging from 177 nm to 212 nm. The SEM analysis indicates that a widespread liposome size distribution is apparent within the sample specimen.

The Liposome diameter size can be altered from the initial liposome extrusion to the final purification procedure. So creating an exact 100 nm liposome solution is nearly impossible given the current membrane porosity of 100 nm. So in order to achieve a liposome solution containing a wide spread size distribution of 100 nm, a smaller pore less than a 100 nm would be required.

The projects second specific aim addressed the synthesis and recovery (yield) of both the antibody-Sh and the streptavidin-nanoparticle complex. The absorbance reading of each recovered sample showed a widespread viability with an antibody concentration ranging from 0.085 mg/ml to 0.288 mg/ml, achieved from sample 8 and 4. These samples were all created following the same protocol formulation. The Streptavidinnanoparticle-mbs complex samples recovered showed a range of sample recovery from a low 0.267 mg/ml to a high 0.918 mg/ml, achieved from sample 9 and 5. The widespread variability demonstrated that each individual sample recovered achieved similar results from the final sample, calculated **by** the **BCA** assay. So manual generation of streptavidin and antibody extraction with the initial synthesis reaction could account for the protein recovery (yield) variability.

The third specific aim of this project consisted of general live/dead verification testing of bacteria with MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> and Immunoliposome-antibody complexes. Before any cytoxitiy testing was performed, the  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  was tested for the presence of Chloride ions. The Silver nitrate testing was the first assay performed to determine the presence of chlorine within the nanoparticles. When the reactant, silver nitrate, was dispensed into each sample prepared, a brown precipitate color change was observed in de-ionized water. A typical positive identification for chlorine ions with the silver nitrate reagent produces a white precipitate. Although with our samples a brown precipitate occurred that could be attributed to the high levels of magnesium with  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles.

Furthermore, **the results obtained provided substantial evidence indicating that the** sample of MgO-C1 <sup>2</sup>**obtained** from Nanomat **Inc. contained chlorine.**

When attempting **to use** the **silver** nitrate assay **with the liposomes solution to** determine **the** concentration **of the MgO-C1 2 encapsulant, a slight** dilemma **occurred. The buffer reacted** with **silver nitrate producing a white** precipitate. **So the silver nitrate assay could not** be performed **to** identify **the presence** of MgO-C12 **within the liposomes.**

An **additional assay was** performed attempting **to** determine **the concentration of MgO-C1 2 within the liposome solution.** An absorbance scan **reading** of the MgO-Cl2 nanoparticles suspended **in** de-ionized water **was** performed with the Cary UV-Vis. The results were analyzed and suggested that the MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> absorbance spectrum has a slight peak **at 259nm, which continues** do **a** steady **straight line on all absorbance** wavelengths. Thus, the absorbance characteristics of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> cannot be used to identify **the concentration** of MgO-Cl2 **within the liposome. So,** future independent **assay** will have to be developed to determine the concentration of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>.

**A series of control tests were** performed **to** determine **the** nominal **concentration of** MgO-C1 2 necessary **to neutralize bacteria in different** environments. **The** first **bacterial** cyto-toxicity test was performed with fresh *B. cereus* bacteria suspension cultures incubated with various MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> concentrations for 24 hours. After several MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> **concentrations** were incubated **within the bacterial** culture **suspension,** sample **aliquots** of each sample **were plated into** independent agar plates, **and incubated** for a day. The following **day,** the **plates** were **observed for bacteria colonies** growth, **which** occurred **in** every plate except for sample (a) and (b), corresponding to MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> concentration of 1 mg/ml **and 0.5** mg/ml. **Note,** after **24** hours **of bacteria and MgO-Cl2 incubation, a strong**

chlorine odor was apparent in conical tube A and B. So from the observed results, the optimum concentration of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> need to neutralize bacteria in suspension would be 1 to 0.5 mg/ml. Also, the bacteria conical tube with a concentration of 0.35 mg/ml still achieved bacteria growth, which is the same concentration theoretically encapsulated within the liposomes. So theoretically from these results it suggested that bacteria neutralization in a sample of bacteria suspension should readily occur if  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ concentration encapsulated within the liposome.

Additional control test were performed with bacteria in different environments with the neutralizing Agent,  $MgO-Cl_2$ . One test was the Biofilm assay I and the other test was Halo test, which were both performed with various Bacillus bacteria strains with  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ . The biofilm assay I was performed with three bacillus strains and treated with various concentrations of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ . Interesting, all the bacteria strains that were treated with various  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations achieved bacteria growth.

One reason for bacteria growth in Biofilm I could be attributed to bacteria biofilms formation occurring on the bottom of the PVC flat plates. The issue with this assay is that the treatment  $(MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>)$  is administered on the top of the biofilm. So bacteria can still be viable on the very bottom of the plates, since MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> penetration does not occur. Also, since the bottom of random wells were scraped and plated onto agar plates to test the effectiveness of the assay, viable cells can exist. Therefore, bacteria growth may have resulted from error in technique.

As a result of this variability a second biofilm assay referred as the MBEC assay was performed, which is less susceptible to technique error, in regards to bacteria biofim assay. These MBEC biofilms are created on Pegs located on the well plate lid, and are

released when sonicated. So the  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticle is incubated within a homogenous bacteria solution. Thus, it is a better determinant of bacteria growth or neutralization via MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles.

The **MBEC** biofilm study results differed from the previous results attained from bacteria  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  (neat) incubation. When three bacteria strains were treated with various  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations in the MBEC study, observations varied with each bacterium strain. Generally, all three bacteria strains had the highest bacteria neutralization achieved with 0.5 mg/ml of MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>. When the individual bacterium strain was examined, it was found that particularly *B. subtilis* had the second highest neutralization achieved with MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> concentration of 0.35 mg/ml. This is particularly important because the theoretical concentration of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  within the liposomes was assumed to be *0.35* mg/mi. On the contrary, both bacteria strains *(B. thuringiensis and B. cereus)* had the second best bacteria neutralization at concentration of *0.125mg/ml* and 0.0625 mg/ml. So the neutralizing effect of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  differed when treated in biofilms and bacteria cells suspensions.

When statistical analysis was performed on these three bacteria strains the p-value obtained concluded that the two statistical factors, formulations, bacteria, and the interaction were significantly different. So the  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  formulations had a different reaction with different bacteria strains, and that each bacterium examined from the same genus does not exhibit the same reaction to the neutralization agent. Since, these bacteria strains share very similar properties, it was suggested that similar killing effect was supposed to be seen with all  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  formulations. Because the statistically results obtained contradicted this hypothesis, it can be stated that  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  could have a different killing effect on different bacteria strains, or the variability seen could be attributed to wide distribution of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  particle size.

Currently, there is not an assay to quantitatively determine the concentration of  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  within the liposomes, but a correlation between liposome and bacteria death can be determined. For this reason, the MBEC assay was performed with B. cereus and treated with the liposome samples experimentally created. After 24 hours, each individual well was examined for bacteria growth. The experimental results obtained with this bacterium assay gave a prediction of the neutralization property of the MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> and liposome formulation in bacteria biofilm neutralization. Some variability occurred within the experimental results, for example, bacteria growth was attained after treatment of the biofilm with liposome samples 16, 17,19, and 23, which produced bacteria growth on average **1/3** of the time. Nonetheless, in the MBEC assay bacteria growth was inhibited by the three liposome samples, which all had similar results when examined under flow cytometry. The empty liposome sample 19 when examined under flow cytometry achieved bacteria killing; this indicates that this control must have been contaminated with  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ . Furthermore, the best liposome sample that showed bacteria neutralization was achieved **by** sample 16, which was observed with this MBEC biofilm.

Moreover, the goal of this MBEC assay was used to determine if altering the concentration of cholesterol within the lipid bilayer would achieve faster bacteria neutralization. The results achieved from the original liposomes formulation with cholesterol, and without cholesterol within the lipid membrane had similar effect on killing the bacteria biofilms. So the actual significance of cholesterol within the lipid

90

bilayer in releasing the encapsulant  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  could not be strongly concluded. From the experimental results it could not be stated that the cholesterol concentration was significant. In order to gain a better understanding on the cholesterol role in liposomal encapsulant releasal, further studies would have to be assessed.

The results of the MBEC biofilm assay when exposed to different liposome formulations samples showed bacteria growth inhibited in the presence of these liposomes. From the MBEC assay, it was clearly seen that some liposome samples were more efficient then other in the treatment of biofilms, but from the p-values obtained it confirmed that the formulations were significantly different in terms of bacteria growth inhibition. Therefore, our finding suggest that the cholesterol concentration within the lipid bilayer did not produce a significant killing effect, but again further studies would have to be performed to determine if cholesterol plays a direct role in bacteria neutralization.

Additional evidence of the interaction of the bacteria cells with the liposomes was apparent from the fluorescent micrographs. The images showed large quantities of the Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex interacted with the bacteria, and as the liposomes surrounded the bacteria, bacteria death was increased. Also, it was evident that the nanoparticle-antibody complex did adhere to the bacteria cell wall. The positive indication of the nanoparticle-antibody complex attachment indicates that complex can be used to detect the bacteria. Also, the increased bacteria occurred when liposomes surrounded bacteria, indicating that liposomal encapsulant is released, and the direct contact with MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> close proximity is responsible for bacteria death.

Fluorescent microscopy with fluorescent dyes was used to provide additional evidence of the neutralization power of both the liposomes and  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  alone. The bacteria sample B. cereus was treated with 2 mg/ml of **MgO-C1 <sup>2</sup>**and stained with Syto-9 and Ethidium homodimer-1. From the images obtained, it showed that when the bacteria were in immediate contact with  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  death occurred slowly. This is evident by a strong population of green stained live bacteria with small amount of dead cells. It was observed that when the bacteria cells were slowly dying they were transforming from green (live) to red (dead). This same behavior was apparent when B. subtilis was interacted with Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex. At time=30 minutes a strong presence of live bacteria cells **highly** fluorescent in blue were visualized, and as the incubation time was increased, a dramatically shift was observed to the red cells (dead). After two hours, the bacteria cells were all bright red. Similar results were obtained when the same samples were analyzed under flow cytometry, which occurred after two hours of incubation.

The preliminary flow cytometry results obtained with B. subtilis and the Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex were promising. These samples were incubated with Syto-9 and Ethidium homodimer-1 and were examined using the fluorescent property of the nanoparticle and dyes in determining cell viability. The shift in fluorescence observed from green (Syto-9) to red (Ethidium homodimer-1) occurred during bacteria death.

When B. subtilis and the immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex were initially tested, an interaction with the bacteria was seen on the flow cytometer by triggering the fluorescence of the nanoparticle, shown in the FL2 filter. A minimum amount of dead

cells was viewed at time=0, but as the bacteria and Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex exposure time were increased a visible shift in fluorescent from green syto-9 to deep red from Ethidium homodimer-1 was viewed in FL3 filter. So from these preliminary results, it is understood that the maximum bacteria neutralization was achieved after 2 hours of bacteria incubation with the Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex, indicating that a time factor in neutralizing the bacteria exists with this new assay. Since a fluorescent cross talk may have occurred with the Syto-9 fluorescent dye and the streptavidin-nanoparticle, future experiments were performed with only Ethidium homodimer-1.

The final flow cytometry testing was performed with *Bacillus cereus* (closest relative to  $B$ . *anthracis*) and the immunoliposome-nanoparticle samples. The initial testing was performed to determine, if the nanoparticle-antibody complex could be used to detect and neutralize bacteria. So, the detection portion was subdivided into two main categories, dependent of the conjugated fluorescent nanoparticle-antibody complex, 10 and 11. These samples were analyzed at two intervals, at time=0 and time=2 hrs to detect the bacteria samples. The fluorescence of the nanoparticles was triggered, and the results were obtained in histograms in the FL2 filter.

The results obtained from complex **10** all showed bacteria detection occurred when introduced to the bacteria cultures. All the samples at time=0 had almost identical detection histograms. As the time progressed and the liposomes samples were introduced, two samples dissociated from the bacterial wall, indicating bacteria detection decreased after 2 hours. This dissociation occurred with the samples **13-10** and 17-10. Interesting the lipid film sample Formulation (d) and (a) both had only one sample with bacteria dissociation.

The histograms of complex 11 were examined at two specific intervals. When the complex was analyzed with B. cereus, bacteria detection occurred immediately. The detection of the bacteria is apparent by a shift to the right in the histogram within the gated region. When this same gate was examined after 2 hours, similar histogram profiles were obtained, except sample 22-11 had high bacterium dissociation.

One of the hypotheses attempted to determine if optimizing **by** using different types biotin linker on the lipid membrane could up regulate bacteria detection. From the results, trends suggest that our hypothesis was not supported. Formulation (d), corresponding to samples 12, 17,19, and 21, did not show substantial increase in detection when compared to Formulation (a). Sample 17 showed dissociation after 2 hours of bacteria incubation, this was not observed in other complex 10 samples. Dissociation in complex 11 occurred with Sample 22 corresponding to Formulation (b), containing double the concentration of cholesterol.

So from our findings currently suggests that there is no correlation between liposome formulation and bacteria to increases bacteria detection, since reduced bacteria detection occurred with one sample of each of the four-liposome formulation. Therefore because of insufficient evidence from the experiments performed, no assessment could be made to either accept or deny the notion that using a different biotin linker in the lipid membrane could increase bacteria detection.

In addition, in order to clearly determine if altering the type of biotin within the lipid membrane has a direct correlation with detection, a new fluorescent nanoparticle would

94

have to be used. Since the fluorescent profile of these nanoparticles have a very broad excitation and emission spectrum, it was challenging to obtain a strong fluorescent signal. The use of brighter nanoparticles with a narrow fluorescent spectrum would better assist in detecting the presence of bacteria **by** flow cytometry, and determine if the type of biotin linker used did actually affect detection.

Bacteria neutralization with the newly created Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex was examined with flow cytometry. **All** the liposome samples created were analyzed immediately with a red fluorescent membrane impermeable dye, Ethidium homodimer-1. The Immunoliposome-nanoparticle complex and bacteria samples were analyzed individually, and the data histograms were overlaid and plotted. The first set of liposome samples that were analyzed belonged to Formulation (a), sample 16-10,14- 10,15-10,16-10,18-11. When these samples were examined at time=0, they all showed similar histogram profiles, except for sample 16, which had at time=0 had a histogram peak very close to the ethanol treated dead control region. Both samples 14-10 and 15-10 had the identical histograms in the  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  neutralized gated region. Also, samples 13-10 and **18-11** both had similar neutralizing affect on the bacteria sample; note sample **18- 11** was the control sample.

The second Formulation (b) samples were interacted with the B. cereus with flow cytometry, at two intervals. The data histograms were overlaid and the neutralization effectiveness was analyzed. From the histogram data, it showed that the greatest killing effect occurred with the liposome sample 20-11 at time=0 with a majority of the cells in the ethanol neutralized controlled region. As the incubation time was increased, the killing effect increased and a sharp histogram appeared further from the ethanol treated bacteria cell gate. However, the rapid and effective killing effect of sample 20-11 was not observed with sample 22-11. So it is not clear if the formulation was responsible for instant bacteria death. So a new liposome sample with the same formulation would have to be created and re-analyzed in order to create a strong statement on this formulation.

The third lipid Formulation (c) was observed with flow cytometry to determine the effectiveness of the liposomes, in neutralizing the bacteria sample. When this sample was analyzed, it was observed that the histogram showed a broad distribution within the  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  neutralized gated region at time=0. As the incubation time increased with the liposome sample 23-11 and B. cereus a slight histogram shift towards the ethanol-killed bacteria gated region was observed. The widespread broad histogram of the liposomes with bacteria showed that although the killing effect was not as substantial as observed by sample 20-11, these bacteria cells were not content.

The Formulation (d) was interacted with B. cereus and was monitored with flow cytometry. The histogram data obtained were analyzed and the samples **12-10,18-11,** and **17-10,** all showed similar results with histograms in the live region. Nonetheless, sample 21-11 showed a greater shift towards the ethanol dead gated region after 2 hours of bacteria sample incubation. The results obtained suggests that the greatest killing effect occurred with the sample 21-11, which was represented **by** a broad histogram after two hours of incubation that spilled over to the ethanol treated region.

From all the data obtained, we can suggest that the lipid composition of the liposomes does have an affect in neutralizing the bacteria. The liposome that had showed the greatest killing effect occurred with sample 16-10 (a), 20-11(b), 23-11 (c), and 21-11 **(d).** The greatest killing effect of these four was observed with 20-11. Thus, we cannot

make a clear indication if the concentration of cholesterol within the lipid membrane can account for the rapidly neutralizing effect of this liposome sample. These samples would have to be analyzed further to determine if cholesterol is an important component for releasal of MgO-C12 within the liposome.

# **6.0** SUMMARY **AND CONCLUSION**

The experimental results obtained from this thesis can be used to formulate strong statements about the effectiveness of the novel detection and neutralization assay for bacteria biohazards. First, it can be stated that this newly developed assay can be used not only to neutralize bacteria, as seen from the flow cytometry results, but also in the treatments of bacteria biofilms. The flow cytometry results indicated that the streptavidin nanoparticle-antibody complex adheres rapidly and effectively to the bacterial cell membrane producing a distinct fluorescent signal, indicating this new assay can be used to detect the presence of bacteria biohazards.

Furthermore, bacteria neutralization was enhanced by liposome drug delivery. From our experimental results, it was determined that the actual concentration  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  within the liposomes was not a critical factor in neutralizing the bacteria. The actual delivery density of these nanoparticles introduced to the surface of the bacteria was more effective with a lower concentration, then, a higher concentration of pure nanoparticles incubated with the bacteria. This could have attributed to the bactericidial properties of the surface area of the nanparticles in interacting with the bacterial cell membrane. So the encapsulation of these nanoparticles within the liposomes resulted in a higher bacteria and biofilm neutralization.
So the drug delivery of the neutralization agent is not completely dependent on the concentration but the density within the liposomes that target the bacteria biohazards. Previous finding suggested that when these nanoparticles are in close proximately to each other, they form clusters that attack aggressively the cell membrane with high chlorine activity. This could be attributed to the increased cell death with minimal drug concentration. Also, since these liposomes are modified with fluorescent nanoparticlesantibody complex the neutralization agent are immediately delivered at very close proximately to the bacteria cell wall, this is attributed to the antibody attachment to the antigen on the bacteria surface.

So this novel detection and neutralization assay can be used on bacteria and spores that have germinated when introduced to a nutrient environment. This statement is supported from results obtained in flow cytometry, since the bacteria samples used were within culture media. So the environment does not affect the assays effectiveness, and can be used on any surface to treat bacteria in any environment.

In order to increase detection time and achieve a time sensitive assay, a fluorescent streptavidin nanoparticle with a tighter excitation and emission peak should be utilized. **By** using a nanoparticle with a tighter excitation/emission spectrum will allow for this assay to be tested with several cytotoxity fluorescent dyes. This flexibility could assist in producing a multirange peak from not only the fluorescent dyes but the nanoparticles. This could in results assist in achieving a quicker and cleaner positive identification for the detection and neutralization of the assay with additional Anthrax models.

98

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. The Biofilm Institute, T. b. (1996), http://www.biofilmsonline.com/cgibin/biofilmsonline/ed where primer.html
- 2. Schuch, R. A bacteriolytic agent that detects and kills *Bacillus anthracis*. Nature 418, **884-888** (2002).
- 3. Pierre **N.** Floriano, N. C., Dwight Romanovicz, Bruce Bernard, Glennon **W.** Simmons, Myles Cavell and John T. McDevitt. Membrane-based on-line optical analysis system for rapid detection of bacteria and spores. Biosensors and Bioelectronics 20, **2079-2088 (2005).**
- 4. Wilson, S. C. et al. Effect of chlorine dioxide gas on fungi and mycotoxins associated with sick building syndrome. **Appl** Environ Microbiol 71, 5399-403 (2005).
- 5. Readlich, C. a., Sparer, J. & Cullen, M. R. Sick-building syndrome. Lancet 349, 1013-1016 (1997).
- 6. Robinson, **A.** M., Bannister, M., Creeth, J. **E.** & Jones, M. N. The interaction of phospholipid liposomes with mixed bacterial biofilms and their use in the delivery of bactericide. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects **186,** 43 (2001).
- 7. Swartz, M. **N.** Recognition and Management of Anthrax **--** An Update. N Engl J Med 345, 1621-1626 (2001).
- 8. Shafazand, S., Doyle, R., Ruoss, S., Weinacker, A. & Raffin, T. A. Ihhalational Anthrax: Epidemiology, Diagnosis, and Management. Chest 116, 1369-1376 (1999).
- 9. Coursin, D, Bioterrorism May Overwhelm Medical Resources New and Different Patient Safety Challenges Must be Anticipated.
- 10. Overview, B.-c, http://www.millenniumark.net/News Files/Newsletters/News010106 30f5NCB/News NBC Pt3C.html.
- **11.** Pe, **G.** Bacillus Cereus: In food Microbiology: Fundamentals and frontiers (ed. Dolye, M. P., Beuchat, L.R. and Montville, T.D.) (ASM Press, Washington, **D.C,** 1997).
- 12. Berkeley, R. Applications and Systematics of Bacillus and Relatives (Blackwell Publishing Company, Malden, 2002).
- 13. Helgason, E. et al. Bacillus anthracis, Bacillus cereus, and Bacillus thuringiensis -One species on the basis of genetic evidence. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66, 2627-2630 (2000).
- 14. Bell, C. A. et al. Detection of Bacillus anthracis DNA by LightCycler PCR. J Clin Microbiol 40, **2897-902** (2002).
- 15. Smith, A. W. Biofims and antibiotic therapy: Is there a role for combating bacterial resistance by the use of novel drug delivery systems? Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews *57,* 1539 (2005).
- 16. Godish, T. Sick Building Definition, Diagnosis, and Mitigation (Lewis Publisher, Boca Raton, *1995).*
- 17. Jones, M. N. in Methods in Enzymology 211 (Academic Press, 2005).
- **18.** Webb, J. 5., Lau, M. & Kjelleberg, **S.** Bacteriophage and Phenotypic Variation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilm Development. J. Bacteriol. **186, 8066-8073** (2004).
- 19. Francis, C. A., Lockley, A.C., Sartory, D.P., Watkins, J., 2001. A simple modified membrane filtration medium for the enumeration of aerobic spore-bearing bacilli in water. Water Resource **35, 3758-3761** (2001).
- 20. chemicon, Introduction to Antibodies Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA).
- 21. Cure Researc, http://www.cureresearch.com/a/anthrax/treatments.htm.
- 22. M. K. Templeton, W. H. W. Decomposition of phosphonate esters adsorbed on aluminum oxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc *107, 774-779 (1985).*
- 23. Huang, L. et al. Controllable preparation of Nano-MgO and investigation of its bactericidal properties. Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry 99, **986** (2005).
- 24. Sawai. Antibacterial characteristics of magnesium oxide powder. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 16, **187-194** (2000).
- 25. Stoimenov, P. K., Klinger, R. L., Marchin, G. L. & Klabunde, K. J. Metal Oxide Nanoparticles as Bactericidal Agents. Langmuir **18,** 6679-6686 (2002).
- 26. Lin, Y. J., Li, D. Q., Wang, G., Huang, L. & Duan, X. Preparation and bactericidal property of MgO nanoparticles on gamma-A1203. J Mater Sci Mater Med 16, 53-6 *(2005).*
- 27. Torchilin, V. P. Fluorescence microscopy to follow the targeting of liposomes and micelles to cells and their intracellular fate. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 57, 95-109 (2005).
- 28. Huwyler, J., Wu, **D.** & Pardridge, W. M. Brain drug delivery of small molecules using immunoliposomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93, 14164-9 (1996).
- 29. Edwards, K. A. & Baeumner, A. **J.** Liposomes in analyses. Talanta In Press, Corrected Proof.
- 30. Marcotte, L., Barbeau, J., Edwards, K., Karlsson, **G.** & Lafleur, M. Influence of the lipid composition on the membrane affinity, and the membrane-perturbing ability of cetylpyridinium chloride. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects In Press, Corrected Proof.
- 31. Avanti Lipids, Preparation of Liposomes, http://www.avantilipids.com/PreparationOfLiposomes.html
- 32. Torchilin, V. P. liposomes (ed. Weissig, V.) (Oxford University Press, New York, 2003).
- 33. Avidin-Biotin Chemistry: A handbook.
- 34. Hermanson, G. Bioconjugate Techniques (Academic Press, San Diego, 1996).
- 35. Dinauer, N. et al. Selective targeting of antibody-conjugated nanoparticles to leukemic cells and primary T-lymphocytes. Biomaterials 26, 5898-906 (2005).
- 36. Dwarakanath, S. et al. Quantum dot-antibody and aptamer conjugates shift fluorescence upon binding bacteria. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 325, 739-43 (2004).
- 37. Immunology. Antibody Structure.
- 38. Palsson, B Tissue Engineering (Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, 2004).
- 39. Tissue, B. in Science Hypermedia (1996).
- 40. biosciences, **E.** Absorbance Assay.
- 41. Pierce. Protein Assay. Technical Handbook.
- 42. Adilakshami, T. a. L., R.O. BCA Protein Assay.
- 43. biosciences, B. Introduction to flow cytometry.
- 44. Yokomaku, D., Yamaguchi, N. & Nasu, M. Improved Direct Viable Count Procedure for Quantitative Estimation of Bacterial Viability in Freshwater<br>Environments. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66, 5544-5 nmental Microbiology 66, 5544-5548 (2000).
- 45. Probes, M. Flow cytometry.

#### APPENDICES

#### Liposome Formulation (a)

- 1. Lipid solution: Add *7.5* mg Peg2000-Biotin +17.5 mg Phosphatidylcholine 13.11 mg Cholesterol to a round bottom flask with 5 ml of chloroform.
- 2. Place the flask on the Rotovap evaporator until all the chloroform has evaporated and a film is obtained approximately 1.5 hr.
- 3. Place the lipid film in a vacuum pump under  $-20^{\circ}$ C overnight
- 4. Rehydrate lipid film with 7 ml of HEPES solution of *3* mm Trehalose solution with  $0.25$  mg MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>.
- *5.* Freeze dry lipid film 10 times under liquid nitrogen
- 6. Soak membrane filter in HEPES Solution
- 7. Assemble the Extruder apparatus with soaked filter and membranes
- 8. Heat Extruder apparatus until temperature is constant 62°F
- 9. Draw 1ml of sample with the extruder syringe
- 10. Circulate the sample for a total of 10 times alternating syringes.
- 11. Decant liposome and non-liposome samples into freezer vials and freeze under  $80^{\circ}$ C

### Liposome Formulation (b)

- 1. Obtain a round bottom flask and coat with chloroform solvent.
- 2. Create a lipid solution composed of: *7.5* mg (Biotin Capped DSPE-Peg2000), 26 mg (Cholesterol), 46 mg (egg Phosphatidylcholine) dissolve in *5* ml of Choloform Solvent
- 3. Place the round bottom flask on Rotovap evaporator. Set the conditions of the evaporator equipment to the following:
	- a. Water bath temperature to  $39^{\circ}$ C
	- b. Water Circulator  $14^{\circ}$ C
	- c. Flask under Vacuum
	- d. Rotation speed 155rpm
- 4. Allow the flask to rotate for 1 hour or until the solvent has completely evaporated
- 5. Once a film has been obtained, remove flask from the Rotovap. Dip the Flask in liquid nitrogen for approximately 1 minute.
- 6. Then place the frozen film in the lypholizer, and allow the film to dry overnight.
- 7. The following day pre-set the temperature on the Rotovap to the following:
	- a. Water bath 55°C
	- b. Water Circulator  $14^{\circ}$ C
- 8. Dispense 7 ml of 3 mM Trehalose HEPES solution into lipid film containing round bottom flask
- 9. Add 2.5 mg MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub> to flask.
- 10. Place the flask into the Buchii Rotovap. Adjust the angle of the rotovap to ensure full submerge in of the lipid film in the buffer. Set the rotation speed to 165rpm and allow the film to dissolve for approximately 1 hour. \
- 11. While the lipid film is rotating, soak the extruder membranes in HEPES buffer. Assemble Mini extruder and place it onto a heating block. Set the temperature of the heating block to low to achieve a steady  $62^{\circ}$ C.
- 12. Once the lipid film has dissolved, freeze thaw the lipid sample under liquid nitrogen for 5 times.
- 13. Once the extruder has reached desired condition. Extract I ml of lipid solution and place it to one side of the extruder apparatus. Place an empty 1ml syringe in the opposite side of the extruder.

Pass through lipid solution through the extruder membranes a total of 10 times alternating sides. Dispense the liposome solution into a glass container, and store sample at  $-20^{\circ}$ C

### Liposome Formulation **(c)**

- 1. Obtain a round bottom flask and coat with chloroform solvent.
- 2. Create a lipid solution composed of: 7.5 mg (Biotin Capped DSPE-Peg2000), 46 mg (egg Phosphatidylcholine) dissolved in 5 ml of Choloform Solvent
- 3. Place the round bottom flask on Rotovap evaporator. Set the conditions of the evaporator equipment to the following:
	- a. Water bath temperature to 39<sup>o</sup>C
	- b. Water Circulator  $14^{\circ}$ C
	- c. Flask under Vacuum
	- d. Rotation speed 155rpm
- 4. Allow the flask to rotate for 1 hour or until the solvent has completely evaporated
- 5. Once a film has been obtained, remove flask from the Rotovap. Dip the Flask in liquid nitrogen for approximately 1 minute.
- 6. Then place the frozen film in the lypholizer, and allow the film to dry overnight.
- 7. The following day pre-set the temperature on the Rotovap to the following:
	- e. Water bath 55'C
	- f. Water Circulator  $14^{\circ}$ C
- 8. Dispense 7 ml of 3 mM Trehalose HEPES solution into lipid film containing round bottom flask
- 9. Add approximate  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  concentration to flask (2.5 mg).
- 10. Place the flask into the Buchii Rotovap. Adjust the angle of the rotovap to ensure full submerge in of the lipid film in the buffer. Set the rotation speed to 165rpm and allow the film to dissolve for approximately 1 hour.  $\setminus$
- 11. While the lipid film is rotating, soak the extruder membranes in HEPES buffer. Assemble Mini extruder and place it onto a heating block. Set the temperature of the heating block to low to achieve a steady  $62^{\circ}$ C.
- 12. Once the lipid film has dissolved, freeze thaw the lipid sample under liquid nitrogen for 5 times.

I. Obtain a round bottom flask and coat with chloroform solvent.

Create a lipid solution composed of: Biotin-DSPE, DSPE-PEG2000,

Phosphatidylcholine, and Cholesterol *(5* mg, 2.5 mg, 34 mg, 9.7 mg)

- 2. Place the round bottom flask on Rotovap evaporator. Set the conditions of the evaporator equipment to the following:
	- a. Water bath temperature to 39'C
	- b. Water Circulator 14°C
	- c. Flask under Vacuum
	- d. Rotation speed 155rpm
- 3. Allow the flask to rotate for 1 hour or until the solvent has completely evaporated
- 4. Once a film has been obtained, remove flask from the Rotovap. Dip the Flask in liquid nitrogen for approximately 1 minute.
- 5. Then place the frozen film in the lypholizer, and allow the filn to dry overnight.
- 6. The following day pre-set the temperature on the Rotovap to the following:
	- g. Water bath  $55^{\circ}$ C
	- h. Water Circulator  $14^{\circ}$ C
- *7.* Dispense 7 ml of 3 mM Trehalose HEPES solution into lipid film containing round bottom flask
- 8. Add approximate  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  concentration to flask (2.5mg).
- 9. Place the flask into the Buchii Rotovap. Adjust the angle of the rotovap to ensure full submerge in of the lipid film in the buffer. Set the rotation speed to 165rpm and allow the film to dissolve for approximately 1 hour.  $\setminus$
- 10. While the lipid film is rotating, soak the extruder membranes in HEPES buffer. Assemble Mini extruder and place it onto a heating block. Set the temperature of the heating block to low to achieve a steady  $62^{\circ}$ C.
- 11. Once the lipid film has dissolved, freeze thaw the lipid sample under liquid nitrogen for 5 times.

## Separation of low molecular weight solutes from **liposomes** by centrifugation through a mini column

# **Equipment:**

Centrifuge with swinging bucket rotor Barrels of *5ml* plastic syringes Sephadex *G50* Glass wool HEPES Buffer

Procedures:

- 1. Swell Sephadex *G-50* in HEPES buffer
- 2. Place small amount of glass wool in a beaker containing HEPES buffer
- 3. Place the soaked glass wool into plastic syringe and press firmly with plunger to pack tightly glass wool
- 4. Degas sephadex *G-50* and pour into the syringe
- 5. Place the mini column into a 15 ml conical tube, and place inside the centrifuge.
- 6. Spin for 3 minutes at  $1000g$  to remove excess buffer from the gel
- 7. Transfer syringe into a new conical tube and apply 1 ml of liposome sample to the column
- 8. Spin the column at 50g for 10 minutes
- 9. Then spin the column for 3 minutes for 1 000g to expel the liposome material from the column into the test tube
- 10. Discard the column and store purified liposome sample in  $-20^{\circ}$ C freezer

### Synthesis of streptavidin-conjugated mAb

- 1. Dissolve the antibody to be modified at a concentration of 1-10mg/ml in .1 M sodium phosphate, 0.15 M NaCl, pH 7.2, containging 10 mM EDTA.
- 2. Add 2-iminothiolane ( Traut's reagent: Sigma) to this solution to give a molar excess of 40 X over the amount of antibody present. The greater the molar excess of traut's reagent added, the higher level of thiolation and the greater the incorporation of Maleimide-Streptavidin.
- 3. React for 30 min at 37  $^{\circ}$ C or 1 hour at room temperature
- 4. Purify the thiolated antibody by gel filtration using a desalting matrix such as sephadex *G-25.* Perform the chromatography using 0.1 M sodium phosphate, 0.15 M NaCl, pH 7.2, containing 10 mM EDTA as the buffer. To obtain efficient separation between the reduced antibody and excess reductant, the sample size applied to the column should be at a ratio of no more than *5%* sample volume to the total column volume. Collect 0.5 ml fractions and monitor for proteins at 280 nm. To monitor the separation of the second peak (excess Traut's reagent), the BCA protein assay reagent (Pierce). The BCA- copper reagent reacts with both the protein fractions and the sulfhydryl groups of MEA to produce a colored product.
- 5. Dissolve the streptavidin  $(2 \text{ mg}, 33 \text{ mm})$  in 200  $\mu$ l of 0.1 M PBS (100 mM phosphate/150 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.4) and activate by 20:1 Molar excess of m-maleimidobenzoyl-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (MBS).
- 6. Incubate for 1 hour at  $20^{\circ}$ C with gentle shaking.
- 7. Purify the mixture by Sephadex *G-75* gel-filtration chromatography and UV detection at 280 nm.
- 8. The thiolated antibody is conjugated with the activated streptavidin at a molar ratio 1:3 (antibody:streptavidin).
- 9. Incubate overnight at  $20^{\circ}$ C with gentle shaking.
- 10. Purify the reaction product with Sephacryl-200 gel filtration chromatography and mAb-streptavidin containing samples detected by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm.
- 11. Store the samples in 0.01 M Pbs at -20°C
- 12. BCA protein assay reagent (Pierce) with BSA as the reference for protein concentration. The BCA- copper reagent reacts with both the protein fractions and the sulfhydryl groups of MBA to produce a colored product.

### Centriprep **30** Procedure Protocol

- 1. Removal of Glycerin in membranes
	- a. Add 15 ml of Buffer in the sample container.
	- b. Centrifuge the Centriprep 30 at 3000 G
	- c. Decant the solution from the filtrate cavity.
	- d. Re-suspend the membranes with 5ml buffer
	- e. Centrifuge the centriprep filter again at 3000 G for 10 minutes to remove all traces of glycerin
	- f. Decant the buffer in the filtrate cavity.
	- g. Leave the buffer in the sample cavity until it is ready to be used with samples. Once the membranes are soaked it must be used immediately.
- 2. Sample filtration (Streptavidin-MBS)
	- a. Decant buffer from the sample cavity.
	- b. Add the sample to be purified in the sample cavity. The maximum volume that can be placed is 15 ml.
	- c. Place the filtrate collector inside the sample cavity and make sure it is lock and secure.
	- d. Insert the Centriprep device into the centrifuge and counterbalance with a weigh balance.
	- e. Spin the Centriprep device at the appropriate g-force until the fluid levels inside and outside the filtrate collector equilibrate.
		- i. Biofuge Primo up to 3000 x **G** without lid
	- f. Remove the device from the centrifuge and snap off the air cap seal. Decant the filtrate.
	- g. Loosen the twist lock cap and remove filtrate collector.
	- h. **Add** 2 ml of IEPES buffer in the sample cavity.
	- i. Push filtrate collector against buffer to release the nanoparticles on the surface of the membrane
	- **j.** Decant purified sample into a 15ml conical tube and store in the refrigerator.

### Protocol for **Rea** Assay Standard

Materials:

BCA kit 96-well plate Tecan Genios Spectrophotometer

Procedures:

- 1. Take the BSA (2 mg/ml) capsule out of the box. Carefully break the glass tube and transfer the albumin into a 1.5 eppendorf tube.
- 2. Then perform dilutions of the standard following the Table below.



- 3. Prepare the working reagent by adding 1 ml of reagent B and 50 ml of reagent A.
- 4. Pipette 25 µl of each standard and dispense into microtiter plate well.
- 5. Add 200  $\mu$ l of the working reagent to each well.
- 6. Place the standard in and  $37^{\circ}$ C incubator for approximately 2 hours.
- 7. Take the plate out of the incubator and allow the plates to cool down to room temperature.
- 8. Then load the plate into the Tecan spectrophotometer. Set the setting to shake for 30 seconds.
- 9. Read the absorbance of the plate at 595nm and 520nm.
- 10. Record the data and subtract the values obtained from the blank from each individual sample or unknown.
- 11. Perform a standard curve to determine protein concentration of each unknown sample.

## **Bacteria Inoculation**

## Scope **and Applicability**

Inoculation is necessary to grow out a population of bacteria. Using a portion of a single colony, a population of bacteria on the order of  $10<sup>9</sup>$  bacteria, depending on the doubling time, can be obtained

### Summary of Method

Use aseptic technique to add a sample of bacteria to a 125 mL flask containing LB media. Use a loop to remove a single colony from a culture dish. Dip the tip of the loop containing the colony into the flask containing the LB media. Mix, and incubate the flask as prescribed for the strain it contains.

### **Definitions**

Inoculation: seeding a container of nutrients with a small number of bacteria to be grown out

## Equipment and Supplies

Auto pipette **<sup>10</sup>**mL of recently sterilized LB media 10 mL pipette tip 125 mL Erlenmeyer Flask Bunsen burner "Loop" Culture dish of bacteria strain

### Procedure

The following is an explicit example of aseptic technique on the desktop:

- 1. Label a sterile 125 mL flask with name, date, and strain to be inoculated with.
- 2. Light the Bunsen burner, and keep all materials as close as safely possible to the burner **(to** minimize **risk of** contamination).
- 3. Loosen the lid of the LB vessel.
- 4. Loosen the stopper of the empty flask.
- 5. Open the bag of sterile loops, and set back on the desk.
- 6. Open the **insertion end of** the **10 mL glass** pipette wrapper **just** enough **to** insert the pipette into the auto pipetter. Do not touch any part of the pipette.
- 7. Flame the pipeter by flaming the length of the glass for a total time of 2 seconds.
- 8. Keeping **the** pipette **close to the** flame, **either:**
- 9. With the other hand, uncap the vessel of LB, then flame the cap quickly and set it **down** open **side up.** Or
	- $\circ$  With the pinky of the hand with holding the autopippete, uncap the vessel of LB media, and hold the cap with that pinky, taking care not to touch the rim of it or the underside to anything. Flame the under half of the cap.
- 10. Flame the neck and opening of the LB vessel for 2 seconds.
- 11. Keeping the pipette within 20' of vertical, withdraw 10 mL of LB from the LB **vessel.**
- 12. Re-flame the vessel as before.
- 13. Flame the underpart of the vessel cap.
- 14. Re-cap the vessel, with either the hand holding the pippete or the other hand, as **appropriate.**
- 15. Taking care to keep the pipette within  $20^{\circ}$  of vertical, grasp the stopper flask in the other hand.
- 16. Using the pinky of the hand holding the auto pipette, remove the foam stopper of the flask, and hold it with that pinky. Be sure not to allow the bottom half of the stopper to touch anything.
- 17. Flame the neck and top of the flask, as done previously with the LB vessel.
- 18. Without touching the pipette tip to the flask, deposit the 10 mL of media held in **the** pipette.
- **19.** Flame the neck and top of the flask as before.
- 20. Recap the stopper to the flask.
- 21. Remove the glass pipette from the autopipetter and place the glass in the disposal container.
- 22. Re-examine the agar plate for a single colony, and note it by memory. 23. Place the plate back on the desk.
- 
- 24. Remove a loop from the loop bag. Do this by: 25. Grasp the bag with loops in one hand.
- 
- 26. Grasp the middle of a single loop with the other hand and push it out through the opening of the bag, until the middle shaft of the loop is exposed. Be sure not to allow another loop to become exposed to any extent.
- 27. Taking care not to touch the tip of the loop with anything, grasp the shaft of the loop and pull it from the bag.
- 28. Put the bag of loops back on the desk.
- 29. Grasp the top plate of the agar plate (containing the agar) with the hand not holding the loop.
- 30. With the loop tip at a  $45^\circ$  angle, remove the single colony noted before from the agar. Do this quickly, and with a sweeping motion just enough to carry off the colony (or at least a significant portion of the colony). Take special care not to include any portion whatsoever of any other colony in the sweep.
- 31. Re-cover the plate.
- 32. Using the hand holding the loop, remove the stopper to the flask as before, with the pinky.
- 33. Flame the neck and top of the flask, as before.
- 34. Taking care not to touch the shaft of the loop to anything, including the flask, quickly insert the tip of the loop into the flask opening, and allow the tip to touch the media.
- 35. Re-flame the flask.
- 36. Re-cap the flask.
- **37. Incubate the flask as required for the strain it contains.**

# SOP Spectrophotometry

#### **Summary of Method**

**Set the spectrophotometer to read a sample at the required wavelength of the substance being tested. Read a blank with the machine. Then determine the absorbance of the experimental sample.**

### **Equipment and Supplies**

- **\* Spectrophotometer**
- **\* 1 mL cuvet**
- **\* Sample of bacteria**
- $\bullet$  1,000  $\mu$ L pipette
- **\*** 1,000 µL pipette tip

#### Procedure

- 1. Using the 1,000- $\mu$ L pipette, add 1 mL of culture minus bacteria (a blank) to a 1 mL cuvet. Make sure not to touch below the top half of the cuvet.
- 2. In the same manner, add 1 mL of sample culture to another cuvet (experimental sample).
- 3. Insert the blank cuvet into the cuvet holder with the textured sides of the cuvet facing the sides of the square opening.
- 4. Set the frequency on the machine to OD 600. This is the frequency of absorption for most bacteria studied in the lab.
- **5.** Push the "Read Blank" button.
- 6. Remove the blank cuvet and set aside.
- 7. Insert the experimental cuvet into the cuvet opening of the machine, in the same orientation as before.
- 8. Push the "Read Sample" button.
- 9. The spectrophotometer will then read the sample and display its absorbance and concentration of bacteria. Note these values. The machine will also store the value of all readings taken until the memory is cleared. (see note below)

# Bacteria and  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  Testing

- 1. Obtain five 50ml sterile conical tubes and fill them with 50ml of sterile PBS.
- 2. Then prepare a variety of drug standard to be tested against the bacteria. Such as:
	- a. 1 mg/ml need 50mg
	- b. 0.5 mg/ml need 25mg
	- c. 0.25 mg/ml need 12.5mg
	- d. 0.125 mg/ml need 6.25 mg/ml
	- e. 0.0625 mg/ml need 3.125 mg
	- f. 0 **mg/ml**
- 3. Then add .5ml of bacteria cell of concentration of **105** cfu/ml to each conical tube
- 4. Shake each conical tube vigorously
- 5. Then place each conical tube in a mechanical shaker for 24 h at  $37^{\circ}$ C
- 6. After 24 hr, extract 0.5ml out of each conical tube and dispense it into a sterile plate with culture medium.
- 7. Culture the bacteria and drug sample for 48 hrs at  $37^{\circ}$ C
- 8 Next, count all the bacteria colonies **by** eye and record experimental values
- 9. Finally, determine the bactericidal efficacy **(%)** by using the following equation

Bactericidal efficacy (%) <sup>*Alive number in reference group-alive number in experimental group* x</sup> **100 Alive number in reference group**

Protocol for biofilm formation Biofilm Assay I

1. Strains are inoculated into LB Broth and grown overnight (ON) 1:100 dilution ON LB culture standardized

- 2. Poly vinylchloride plates inoculated with 100 $\mu$ l of dilution
- 3. Plates placed in a Microbiological incubator at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 24hrs.
	- 3. Added  $/MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$  to 96-well plates

Protocol for viewing bacteria and liposome under Fluorescent microscope testing

- 1. Bacteria culture were grown for **18** hr in **37\*C** and prepared **by** lance
- 2. Obtain a 5 ml sample of the bacteria and place in a conical tube
- 3. Spin the bacteria sample at 2000 X g for 15 minutes
- 4. Discard the supernatant and resuspend with 3 ml of sterile PBS
- 5. Spin the bacteria again for 2000 X g and then resuspend in PBS perform this 3 times.
- 6. Aliquot 200  $\mu$ l of bacteria and place in an 1.5 ml eppendorf tube
- 7. Add 100  $\mu$ l of liposome containing MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>
- 8. Gently mix the sample for about one minute. Then swab a small amount of the sample onto a glass slide and view under the microscope. Take a picture of the sample
- 9. After viewing add **3** Il of propidium iodine stain to the bacteria liposome sample
- **10.** View again under the fluorescent microscope and view if the fluorescent is detected.
- **11.** Repeat steps 6-10 again but instead of using liposomes add liposome-antibodystreptavidin, non-liposome, antibody-nanoparticle, and  $MgO-Cl<sub>2</sub>$ .