Florida International University FIU Digital Commons

FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations

University Graduate School

7-14-2016

Qualitative Detection of Selected Designer Drugs and Relevant Metabolites in Environmental Water Samples

Marley Pruyn Florida International University, mpruy001@fiu.edu

DOI: 10.25148/etd.FIDC000751
Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd
Part of the <u>Analytical Chemistry Commons</u>, and the <u>Other Chemistry Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Pruyn, Marley, "Qualitative Detection of Selected Designer Drugs and Relevant Metabolites in Environmental Water Samples" (2016). FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations. 2571. https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd/2571

This work is brought to you for free and open access by the University Graduate School at FIU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of FIU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dcc@fu.edu.

FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Miami, Florida

QUALITATIVE DETECTION OF SELECTED DESIGNER DRUGS AND RELEVANT METABOLITES IN ENVIRONMENTAL WATER SAMPLES

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

CHEMISTRY

by

Marley Pruyn

To: Dean Michael R. Heithaus College of Arts, Sciences and Education

This thesis, written by Marley Pruyn, and entitled Qualitative Detection of Selected Designer Drugs and Relevant Metabolites in Environmental Water Samples, having been approved in respect to style and intellectual content, is referred to you for judgment.

We have read this thesis and recommend that it be approved.

Jose Almirall

Anthony DeCaprio

Piero Gardinali, Major Professor

Date of Defense: July 14, 2016

The thesis of Marley Pruyn is approved.

Dean Michael R. Heithaus College of Arts, Sciences and Education

Andrés G. Gil Vice President for Research and Economic Development and Dean of the University Graduate School

Florida International University, 2016

ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS

QUALITATIVE DETECTION OF SELECTED DESIGNER DRUGS AND RELEVANT METABOLITES IN ENVIRONMENTAL WATER SAMPLES

Marley Pruyn

Florida International University, 2016

Miami, Florida

Professor Piero Gardinali, Major Professor

Designer drugs are compounds which have been synthetically derived from illicit drugs. After consumption, drugs and their metabolites are introduced into the sewage water which is treated and disposed into the environment. A combined target, suspect and nontarget workflow was created to detect designer drugs in environmental water samples. Multiple water samples were spiked with an unknown mixture of drugs and metabolites to assess the efficiency of the method. Samples were collected from sewage influent and effluent pipes, downstream from a sewage outfall and reclaimed water. Analysis was conducted with high resolution MS using the QExactive Orbitrap. Screening was performed using a database compiled in-house using TraceFinder EFS. Structure confirmation was achieved using MassFrontier. Target drugs and their metabolites were detected in sewage influent but not in sewage effluent, downstream of the effluent pipe, or in reclaimed water. The workflow was adequate to detect designer drugs in multiple water matrices at concentrations as low as 20ppt.

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAF	TER F	' AGE
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	HYPOTHESIS AND OBJECTIVES OF RESEARCH	6
3	EXPERIMENTAL3.1RESEARCH TASKS3.2REAGENTS AND CHEMICALS3.3SAMPLE COLLECTION AND PREPARATION3.3.1UNKNOWN MIXTURE3.3.2SEWAGE INFLUENT3.3.3RECLAIMED WATER3.3.4ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES3.4MASS SPECTROMETRIC ANALYSIS	6 9 10 10 10 11 11 12 13
4	RESULTS 4.1 CONFIRMATION OF UNKNOWN MIXTURE 4.2 DETERMINATION OF LIMIT OF DETECTION 4.3 ASSESSMENT OF MATRIX EFFECTS 4.4 DETECTION OF PARENT COMPOUNDS AND METABOLITES IN SEWAGE INFLUENT 4.5 COMPARSION OF ACQUISITION MODES 4.6 COMPARISON OF WATER SOURCES	18 18 27 29 5 32 41 41
5	DISCUSSION	42
REFE	RENCES	45
APPE	NDICES	50

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	ES P	AGE
1	Source and full-scan operating conditions	14
2	Target-MS ² and data dependent-MS ² operating conditions	16
3	HPLC gradient for pumps 1 and 2: solvent A (water), solvent B (methanol), solvent C (acetonitrile), solvent D (0.1% formic acid)	16
4	Compounds detected in MS ² analysis of unknown spiked samples at 20 ppt	20
5	Parent compounds detected in sewage influent and observed fragmentation	33
6	Suspect compounds unconfirmed with MS ²	34
7	Metabolites detected and observed fragmentation	37
8	Comparison of target-MS ² and data dependent-MS ² analyses	41

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGUR	ES	PAGE
1	Comparison of phenethylamine (left), 2,5-dimethoxy-4- ethylphenethylamine aka 2C-E (center) and 2,5-dimethoxy-4- iodophenethylamine aka 2C-I (right)	. 4
2	Map of collection locations of environmental samples	13
3	Full scan chromatogram of unknown mixture in deionized water at 20 ppt	19
4	Full scan chromatogram of identified compounds in deionized water at 20 ppt	19
5	MS ² spectra of the individual components of the unknown mixture (35 NCE)	22
6	Comparison of MS ² spectra of isopentedrone at 20 ppt (top), 10 ppt (second), 5 ppt (third), and 1 ppt (bottom)	28
7	Comparison of 4-fluoroethcathinone in deionized water (top), tap water (second), reclaimed water (third) and filtered raw sewage (bottom)	. 29
8	Graph of the effect of the dilution factor of raw sewage on the peak intensity of the [M+H] ⁺ peak for each component of the unknown mixture present at 20 ppt	31
9	Fragmentation spectra of confirmed suspect compounds in sewage influent	35
10	Fragmentation spectra of confirmed metabolites	39

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

4-MAR	2-methylaminorex
3,4-MDMA	
3,4-DMMC	3,4-dimethylmethcathinone
ddMS ²	Data Dependent-MS ²
HESI	Heated Electrospray Ionization
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
HRMS	High Resolution Mass Spectrometry
MDA	Methylenedioxyamphetamine
MDPV	Methylenedioxypyrovalerone
MMA	3-methoxy-4-methylamphetamine
SPE	Solid Phase Extraction
tMS ²	Target-MS ²
UNODC	United Nation Office on Drugs and Crime
UPLC	Ultra-High Performance Liquid Chromatography

1 INTRODUCTION

Designer drugs are defined as compounds which are synthetically derived analogs of drugs which are currently banned or controlled. The primary law for the regulation of drugs is known as the Controlled Substances Act. The act divides drugs into specific categories based on accepted medical uses and the potential for abuse, and regulates them accordingly. Laws regarding production and consumption of drugs are written to regulate specific chemical structures, thus modifications to the regulated structures allow drug manufacturers to circumvent current drug laws. The modifications of the parent structure can include the addition, modification or subtraction of a functional group. The resulting structure is not regulated under the Controlled Substances Act. As new drug laws are written to ban emerging designer drugs, newer compounds are created. In this manner, the production of designer drugs can stay ahead of regulations and the number of designer drugs available increases exponentially. In 2014, 69 new compounds were seen on the market for the first time, and were added to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) drug monitoring system. By the end of 2014, a total of 450 designer drugs were recorded in the UNODC system, which is more than triple the number of designer drugs recorded in 2009 (UNODC 2015).

Many designer drugs are produced overseas and sold online or smuggled into the United States to be sold on the streets, but some are produced domestically. In 2012, MDMA seizures were reported at 2 tons in East and South-East Asia but only 0.7 tons seized in the Americas (UNODC 2015.) Recipes to produce designer drugs are available online and in published literature, such as *PiHKAL* and *TiHKAL*, which means manufacturers have easy access to the information. In an attempt to restrict the manufacturing of illicit

and designer drugs, many precursors for the production of illicit drugs have been regulated under the Controlled Substances Act. In 2011 and 2012, enough MDMA precursors were seized worldwide to have produced approximately 44 tons of MDMA, while only 9 tons of MDMA itself were seized worldwide (UNODC 2015.) The creation of new designer drugs means that new precursors may be used for production, so manufacturers can avoid regulations and detection. Early warning systems have been implemented in several countries to monitor the use of illicit drugs and emergence of new drugs.

The numbers of designer drugs available on the market increases exponentially as new modifications are developed. For the purposes of this research, information on existing and newly developed designer drugs was gathered, not only from published, peerreviewed articles, but also from government sources, such as the Drug Enforcement Administration and from user forums such as Erowid.org and Drugs-Forum.com. The large number of designer drugs on the market necessitates recognizable drug classifications. The compounds can be divided in the following categories: hallucinogens, empathogens, stimulants, opioids, sedatives, cannabinoids, and anabolic steroids. Drugs which fall into the hallucinogen classification includes psychedelics, lysergamides, and phenethylamines. The empathogen classification includes the group known as the MDxx family (i.e. MDMA) and piperazines. The stimulant classification includes amphetamines, cathinones, pyrollidines, and pyrrolidinophenones. The opioid group primarily consists of fentanyl derivatives. The sedative classification mainly includes GHB (gamma-hydroxybutyrate) derivatives. There are many sub-categories in the cannabinoid group. They are commonly thought of as synthetic THC, but they can have a wide variety of pharmacological effects. The drugs in the anabolic steroid class are a relatively new group which contains primarily testosterone-derived performance enhancing compounds and "dietary supplements." A single drug or sub-category of drugs may be responsible for a variety of effects and may technically fit into multiple classifications but are typically sorted based on the primary effect seen when the drug is consumed. Of the new compounds reported in 2014, 39% were synthetic cannabinoids and 18% were phenethylamines, but new compounds were seen from each of the major classes of designer drugs (UNODC 2014.)

When structural modifications are performed, the original drug and the new compound typically share pharmacological effects. Due to these similarities, many of the drugs within a particular category share a common structural backbone. There is common backbone for the phenethylamine series, shown in Figure 1. There a several sub-classes within the phenethylamine group, and each group has its own common scaffold, to which further modifications can be made to produce new compounds. For example, the members of the 2C series, a phenethylamine sub-class, share a common dimethoxy-phenethylamine backbone. Each member of the 2C series has a different, additional substituent, such as a chlorine, a methyl group, an ethyl group, or an iodine. These additional functional groups are used in the naming system of the 2C series. 2C-E has an ethyl group, while 2C-I has an iodine, as shown in Figure 1 (Shulgin, 1991.)



Figure 1. Comparison of phenethylamine (left), 2,5-dimethoxy-4-ethylphenethylamine aka 2C-E (center) and 2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenethylamine aka 2C-I (right)

Each sub-class of the phenethylamine group are classified in a similar manner. The members of the DOx series and the NBOMe series each share common structural features in addition to the common phenethylamine backbone. There are also common backbones shared within the tryptamines, cathinones, and many of other classifications.

When drugs are consumed, they are excreted as metabolites, the unchanged parent compound, or a combination of both. Once the drugs have been deposited into the sewage system, they are transported to the wastewater treatment plant. The wastewater undergoes three stages of treatment before being released into the environment. The primary treatment involves the removal of large waste items such as bottles, stick and other objects that may have been deposited into the sewers. The secondary stage of treatment removes up to 90% of the organic matter that is contained in wastewater through biological treatment with microbes. The tertiary stage of treatment is the chemical treatment stage, in which chemical additives are added to the wastewater causing pollutants to clump together, making them easier to remove by physical means (EPA, 2004.) Treatment protocols are developed to remove specific chemicals and contaminants which are regulated by law. Even the most sophisticated treatment protocols are not capable of removing 100% of all contaminants present, some contaminants are released into the environment with the treated wastewater. Illicit drugs and their metabolites are among the compounds which survive the sewage treatment process (Heuett et. al., 2014.)

Public concern began to rise when it was observed that surface water contained trace amounts of pharmaceutical compounds and other personal care products. Researchers began to examine the level of exposure and the long-term health effects that these compounds may have on the ecosystem. Following the determination of the presence of standard pharmaceuticals, researchers began to examine the presence of illicit drugs, such as cocaine and THC, in surface water. It has been determined that many common illicit drugs will survive the sewage treatment process and be introduced into the environment via outfalls (Baker et. al., 2012; Castiglioni et. al., 2006; Chiaia et. al., 2008; Ostan et. al., 2014; Pal et. al., 2013; Senta et. al., 2014; Thomas et. al., 2012; Yargeau et. al., 2014). Concentrations of illicit drugs have been reported in influent and effluent streams, outfalls and surface water samples at levels as high as 27,500ng/L (Heuett et. al., 2014). These findings indicate that drugs can survive the sewage treatment process and will be deposited into the environment via treated effluent outfalls. MDMA and several of its derivatives have been detected in raw and treated wastewater and in water collected from rivers located throughout Europe (Bartelt-Hunt et. al., 2009; Postigo et. al., 2010; Valcartel et. al., 2012; Zuccato et. al., 2008b). Studies were conducted in the Ebro and Targus Rivers in Spain which detected MDMA in the ranges of 0.2 to 180.0ng/L (Postigo et. al., 2010) and 0.63 to 2.51ng/L (Valcarcel et. al., 2012), respectively. Prior to this

examination, no study has been conducted which searches for a comprehensive list of designer drugs in environmental water samples.

2 HYPOTHESIS AND OBJECTIVES OF RESEARCH

The hypothesis of this research was that a wide variety of designer drugs and their metabolites could be detected in sewage influent and effluents, and environmental water samples, allowing for the assessment of environmental prevalence. The intent of this research was to implement a single protocol for the detection of a wide variety of designer drugs and their metabolites to determine their prevalence in environmental water samples. The first aim of this study was to determine the efficacy of the method by identifying the components of a mixture of unknown parent drugs and metabolites. The second aim was to establish that designer drugs and their metabolites were present in raw sewage in detectable concentrations. The final aim was to determine if designer drugs were present in detectable concentrations in environmental water samples.

3 EXPERIMENTAL

The ThermoScientific QExactive Orbitrap was used to conduct this research paired with the EQuan Online SPE system. The online SPE system utilized a pair of pumps to push the sample through a pre-concentration column and an analytical column. The preconcentration column retained the analyte compounds from the sample solution. The analytes were then washed out of the pre-concentration column by the mobile phases. The mobile phases pushed the analyte through the rest of the online SPE system and carried the compounds to the analytical column where they were separated before being introduced into the HESI source (Ramirez et. al., 2014.) When the analyte molecules entered the HESI source, they were passed through a charged capillary tube. The analyte compounds in the mobile phase were sprayed out of the end of the capillary tube, where they became charged. The solvent portion of the droplets evaporated leaving only the charged analyte to move into the Orbitrap system. The newly formed ions were aimed into a quadrupole mass analyzer using a curved charged beam guide. The quadrupole is followed by an octopole. After passing through the quadrupole and the octopole, the ions reached the C-trap where they were held before they were introduced into the Orbitrap. The Orbitrap ion trap used a combination of radial and axial oscillations to create separate bands of ions moving tangentially around a curved electrode. Each ion had a specific rotational frequency which was recorded by the detector and translated into the spectrum displayed by the instrument. This system was run first as a full scan analysis, introducing all ions into the Orbitrap. During MS² analysis, the ions of the selected masses were sent into a collision cell to fragment the ions before they were moved into the C-Trap and into the Orbitrap.

One isolation and analysis protocol was developed and applied which was effective for all categories of designer drugs. The method was optimized in order to accommodate each of the target compounds and simultaneously conduct a screening for metabolites. The combined selectivity and sensitivity of the Orbitrap allowed for low concentrations of designer drugs to be detected with levels of confidence required for positive

identification (ThermoScientific, 2014). The Orbitrap has been shown to be capable of distinguishing between isotopes with an m/z difference as low as 0.0109 (Hu et. al., 2005) and has a mass accuracy capable of distinguishing between compounds within 2ppm routinely (Makarov et. al., 2006.) Previously conducted research indicates that the Orbitrap is capable of detecting compounds present in the ng/L range, which is sufficient to provide the environmental relevance required for this research (Heuett et. al., 2014.)

This study utilized MS² analysis. The first dimension of MS² analysis (full scan) was conducted by creating a spectrum of the ions produced in the HESI source. These ions are typically the [M+H]⁺ peaks. The second dimension of the MS² analysis involved the selection of one or more ions which was then fragmented. These fragments were then recorded in a separate but linked spectrum. There are two methods for MS² analysis: target-MS² (tMS²) and data dependent-MS² (ddMS²). In this research, both methods were used. Analysis by tMS² involved creating a target ion inclusion list with known chemical structures, formulae and their accurate masses. Fragmentation occurred only when the instrument detects a [M+H]⁺ peak with a mass specified in the inclusion list (de Hoffmann, 2007.) Analysis by ddMS² involved the fragmentation of the largest peaks detected during the full scan process. The number of peaks to be analyzed is set prior to analysis using the TOPN selection (de Hoffmann, 2007.) A preliminary scan was conducted to survey the masses present in the analyte sample. The selected number of precursor ions were selected from the results of this scan and underwent MS² analysis.

3.1 RESEARCH TASKS

This research was composed of four major tasks. The first task was the formation of a database of known designer drugs and the major metabolites associated with each of the drugs. The parent drugs and the associated metabolites were collected from published materials (Blachut et. al., 2012; Boatto et. al., 2005; Brandt et. al., 2010; Concheiro et. al., 2013; de Boer et. al., 2004; de Jager et. al., 2012; De Paoli et. al., 2013; Dean et. al., 2014; ElSohly et. al., 2014; Jankovics et. al., 2011; Lin et. al., 2004; Meyer et. al., 2010a; Meyer et. al., 2012; Meyer et. al., 2010b; Peters et. al., 2005; Sauer et. al., 2006; Seely et. al., 2013; Shulgin 1991; Shulgin 1997; Smolianitski et. al., 2014; Soblevsky et. al., 2012; Springer et. al., 2003; Springer et. al., 2002; Staack et. al., 2003; Swortwood 2013; Theoblad et. al., 2006; Uchiyama et. al., 2013; Wohlfarth et. al., 2014; Wohlfarth et. al., 2013; Zawilska et. al., 2013; Zuba et. al., 2013; Zuba et. al., 2012.) For drugs with no known metabolic pathways, the program MetWorks was used to predict the possible metabolites based on the parent structure. Each of the compounds was entered into the TraceFinder EFS software to create the database. The database contained parent drugs from each major class of designer drugs; a total of 179 parent compounds and 129 metabolites were included. Additionally, the exact masses, pKa values and predicted adducts were entered into the database. This database was used for the initial screening of full-scan data to create a list of target compounds for target-MS². The second task involved spiking an unknown mixture of designer drugs into environmental water samples to assess the performance of the method for separating, detecting and identifying designer drugs from different classes. The identification of the parent drugs and their metabolites was based on the parent $[M+H]^+$ peak and the fragmentation pattern

predicted *in silico* using the structural information in MassFrontier. The third task involved the analysis of authentic raw sewage samples to determine the presence of designer drugs in raw sewage samples which had been collected from the pumping station of a major university. The fourth task involved the analysis of environmental water samples, including reclaimed water and river water samples.

3.2 REAGENTS AND CHEMICALS

The mixture of unknown designer drugs was obtained from the laboratory of Dr. Anthony DeCaprio (Florida International University, Modesto A. Maidique Campus.) The mixture contained 10 compounds, each present at a nominal concentration of 1 ppm. No information regarding the identity of the compounds was given prior to analysis. The instrument used was the QExactive Orbitrap from ThermoScientific. The mobile phases consisted of Optima grade water, methanol, and acetonitrile, which are suitable for UPLC, were obtained from Fisher Scientific. 1mL ampules of Optima grade formic acid were purchased from Fisher Scientific. Sulfamethoxazole-D₄, used as an internal standard, was purchased from Fisher Scientific.

3.3 SAMPLE COLLECTION AND PREPARATION

3.3.1 UNKNOWN MIXTURE

The mixture of unknown designer drugs was prepared by another laboratory and provided for analysis. The mixture contained 10 compounds, at 1 ppm each in methanol. The mixture was stored in a glass vial, at -20°C in the dark. On the day of analysis, the mixture was removed from the freezer and allowed to reach room temperature. The

mixture was shaken for 10 seconds. A portion of the 1 ppm stock solution was used to create a 100 ppt working solution. Aliquots of the 100 ppt solution were used to create 20 ppt analyte samples. The 20 ppt solutions were prepared in deionized water, tap water, reclaimed water, and filtered raw sewage which was diluted 10 times with deionized water. Additional concentrations of 10 ppt, 5 ppt and 1 ppt were prepared in both deionized water and filtered sewage. The analytical method required a 5mL sample volume, so 10mL of each concentration was prepared to allow for both full scan analysis and MS².

3.3.2 SEWAGE INFLUENT

Raw sewage samples were collected from a pump station on the Modesto A. Maidique campus of Florida International University. After collection, the samples were filtered using a 1.0µm PreSep Prefilter glass filter, followed by 0.45µm PreSep Prefilter glass filter. The filtered raw sewage was then stored in polylethylene terephthalate bottles in the dark at -20°C. When analysis was conducted, the samples were thawed and then shaken for 10 seconds. The raw sewage was diluted 10 times with deionized water prior to analysis. The diluted sewage influent was spiked with an internal standard prior to analysis.

3.3.3 RECLAIMED WATER

Samples of reclaimed water were collected from reclaimed water taps located on Florida International University's Biscayne Bay Campus. Samples were collected twice a day, every day for two weeks. A selection of ten of these samples were analyzed. Samples

were chosen to represent both morning and afternoon collections, and early and late in the week. The reclaimed water line was allowed to run for several minutes before collection to minimize the presence of sediments in the water samples. The samples were collected and stored in polyethylene terephthalate bottles, in the dark at -20°C. At the time of analysis, the samples were thawed and then shaken for 10 seconds.

3.3.4 ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES

Samples were collected along a river system influenced by treated effluent releases in an undisclosed location and submitted for analysis as a representation of a typical outfall site. Samples were collected upstream from a wastewater treatment plant, as well as at the effluent point of the treatment plant. An additional sample was collected from the mixing zone, downstream of the treatment plant. Final samples were collected from the intake and output locations of a drinking water treatment plant located downstream from the wastewater treatment plant. A map of the collection locations is shown in Figure 2. The samples were stored in the dark at -20°C. The samples were thawed at the time of analysis and then shaken for 10 seconds.



Figure 2. Map of collection locations of environmental samples

3.4 MASS SPECTROMETRIC ANALYSIS

Analysis was conducted using the ThermoScientific QExactive Orbitrap high resolution mass spectrometer in full scan mode, target MS² (tMS²) and data-dependent MS² (ddMS².) Pre-concentration of the samples was conducted using the EQuan online SPE system. The EQuan online SPE system utilized a pair of pumps to push the analyte and mobile phases through a pre-concentration column and an analytical column, leading the analyte to the ionization source. Ionization was achieved using the heated electrospray ionization (HESI II) source in positive polarity mode. Positive ionization mode was selected because the majority of designer drugs will be positively ionized, including drugs in all the major categories. Analysis was conducted using Hypersil Gold PFP 50 x 2.1mm x 1.9µm (preconcentration) and Hypersep Retain PEP 20 x 2.1mm x 12µm (analytical) columns (both from ThermoScientific.) The Hypersil Gold PFP column was a reverse phase column with a C18 and pentafluorophenyl stationary phase. The Hypersep Retain PEP column was a reverse phase column, hydrophobic phase packed with polystyrene divinylbenzene with urea groups (ThermoScientific, 2011.) The hydrophobic column leads to non-polar non-polar interactions and Van der Waals interactions. The mobile phases used were Optima grade water, methanol, acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid. Samples were spiked with sulfamethoxazole-D₄ as an internal standard, at a concentration of 20 ppt. This concentration was selected so that it was at the limit of detection, but not so high as to overwhelm the peaks of the target compounds. The settings for full-scan analysis are listed in Table 1.

Parameter	Setting
Ionization Source	HESI II
Ionization Mode	Positive
Run time (minutes)	15
Capillary	300
temperature (°C)	
Aux gas heater	300
temperature (°C)	
S-lens voltage	50
Source voltage (kV)	3
Sheath gas flow rate	35

Table 1.	Source and	full-scan o	operating	conditions

Aux gas flow rate	30
Sweep gas flow rate	5
Full MS parameters	
Mass range (m/z)	80-
	1000
Resolution settings	70,000
AGC target	$1x10^{6}$
Max injection time	200
(ms)	

After the completion of the full-scan analysis, the raw data was screened using the database created in TraceFinder EFS. The database is shown in Appendix 1. TraceFinder EFS was used to search the full-scan spectra generated by the Orbitrap against a compiled database. The program indicated all possible matches between the spectra and the database, and the matches were assessed for consistency. The compounds were considered to be tentatively identified during screening based on the quality of the shape of the peak, the height of the peak and the scored isotopic pattern match. The peak shape had to be clean, without significant noise, and the peak height was required to be tall enough to be distinguishable from the background signal. As a general guideline, the height of the called peak was required to be at least five times the height of the background noise. The scored isotopic pattern was required to be 90% or higher. This value was based on the mass of the isotopes observed in the full scan data compared to the mass of the isotopes predicted from the chemical formula. The screened compounds were used to create the inclusion list for MS² analysis. The settings for the tMS² and ddMS² analyses are listed in Table 2.

Target-MS ²	
Resolution	17,500
NCE	35
Isolation window	2.0
(m/z)	

Table 2. Target-MS² and data dependent-MS² operating conditions

Data Dependent-MS ²				
Resolution	35,000			
NCE	35			
Isolation window	2.0			
(m/z)				
TOPN	5			

The UPLC operation was conducted using the gradient program detailed in Table 3.

Pump 1 controlled the mobile phases which moved the analytes through the pre-

concentration column. Pump 2 was responsible for the movement of the mobile phase

and analyte compounds through the analytical column. Solvent A was water, solvent B

was methanol, solvent C was acetonitrile and solvent D was 0.1% formic acid.

Pump 1					
Time	A%	B%	C%	D%	μL/min
0.00	0.0	97.0	0.0	3.0	500.0
1.50	0.0	97.0	0.0	3.0	500.0
4.50	94.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	500.0
8.30	94.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	500.0
8.80	37.0	60.0	0.0	3.0	500.0
9.30	22.0	75.0	0.0	3.0	500.0
9.80	12.0	85.0	0.0	3.0	500.0
10.30	7.0	90.0	0.0	3.0	500.0
10.80	3.0	94.0	0.0	3.0	500.0
11.30	1.0	96.0	0.0	3.0	500.0
11.80	0.0	97.0	0.0	3.0	500.0
12.00	0.0	97.0	0.0	3.0	500.0
12.50	0.0	97.0	0.0	3.0	600.0
15.00	0.0	97.0	0.0	3.0	700.0

Table 3. HPLC gradient for pumps 1 and 2: solvent A (water), solvent B (methanol), solvent C (acetonitrile), solvent D (0.1% formic acid)

	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	700.0		
	Pump 2						
Time	A%	B%	C%	D%	μL/min		
0.00	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1000.0		
2.50	99.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1000.0		
3.00	94.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	1000.0		
3.50	94.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	1000.0		
5.00	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	1000.0		
8.00	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	1000.0		
8.10	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2000.0		
9.16	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2000.0		
9.17	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	1000.0		
10.00	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		
12.90	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		
13.00	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	2000.0		
13.50	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	2000.0		
14.20	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2000.0		
14.50	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2000.0		
15.00	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2000.0		
	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2000.0		

Data processing was conducted using XCalibur. XCalibur is used to visualize the raw data generated by the instrumentation. Filters were applied to visualize specific masses within the spectrum. Once the desired mass was displayed, the individual MS² spectra containing that mass were scrolled through to identify the [M+H]⁺ peak and the associated fragment peaks. The spectra generated by the Orbitrap was compared to the fragmentation patterns generated *in silico* in MassFrontier for structural confirmation. MassFrontier is capable of predicting the fragmentation pattern of a compound based on its structure. The structures of the compounds which had been tentatively identified through the screening process were entered into MassFrontier and the fragmentation pattern was generated based on the general fragmentation rules included in the program. The fragmentation pattern of the structure was then compared to the spectra generated by the Orbitrap to determine if the observed spectrum was consistent with the *in silico* prediction of the fragmentation pattern.

4 RESULTS

4.1 CONFIRMATION OF UNKNOWN MIXTURE

Full scan and tMS² analyses were conducted on the samples spiked with the unknown mixture. The compounds were identified based on the consistency of the observed fragmentation pattern with the pattern predicted in MassFrontier. For the fragmentation pattern to be considered consistent, the presence of the parent $[M+H]^+$ ion peak was required, along with fragments which are consistent with the *in silico* prediction of the fragmentation pattern. The final step in confirmation was to determine the precision of the *m/z* of the parent ion. Identification was only considered to be confirmed if the *m/z* was within 5 ppm of the calculated mass of the chemical formula. This standard was met for all compounds in the unknown mixture. The full chromatogram of the unknown mixture in deionized water is shown in Figure 3. The ion chromatogram of each identified compound using its accurate mass is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 3. Full scan chromatogram of unknown mixture in deionized water at 20 ppt (positive ionization mode)

Figure 4. Full scan chromatogram of identified compounds in deionized water at 20 ppt (mass range m/z 80 to 1000)



For some of the mass ranges shown in Figure 4, multiple peaks can be seen. This is due to additional compounds with the same mass being present in the sample when the mass filter is applied in XCalibur. The components of the unknown mixture and the additional compound can be easily distinguished when MS² analysis is conducted. The fragmentation patterns will be different for each compound.

After analysis, the list of compounds contained within the mixture was provided by the preparing laboratory and compared to the results obtained through analysis. The list of identified compounds was consistent with the list of compounds provided. The observed fragmentation patterns were consistent with the *in silico* prediction of fragmentation of the compounds in the list provided and the generated formula was within 2 ppm for the $[M+H]^+$ peaks all ten compounds. The mass errors of the observed fragments compared to the predicted fragments were all within 3.700 ppm. The accepted mass error threshold for identification is 5 ppm. The predicted fragmentation patterns are shown in Appendix 2. The MS² spectra associated with each *m*/z peak are shown. All ten compounds were identified in the each of the water samples (deionized water, tap water, reclaimed water and filtered raw sewage.) The identified compounds, the structure of the compound and the major fragments observed are listed in Table 4.

Table 4. Compounds detected in M	5² analysis of	f unknown spiked	' samples at 20 ppt
----------------------------------	----------------	------------------	---------------------

Analyte	Structure	[M + H] ⁺	tMS ² (error*)
4-	0 	196.11322	196.11322 (0.006)
fluoroethcathinone	NH CH ₃		178.10265 (-0.024)
			150.07135 (-0.027)
	F CH ₃		123.06045 (-0.041)

CP 47, 497	ОН	319,26316	319,26343 (0,856)
		017.20010	303 23116 (-1 639)
			293 24732 (-0.637)
			277, 21578 (-1, 530)
			277.21570(-1.337) 275.20052(-0.133)
			273.20052(-0.155) 263.23635(-2.240)
	с СН ₃		203.23033(-2.249) 261.22143(0.528)
			201.22145(0.320) 240.22122(0.152)
			249.22155(0.152)
		402 1 (000	245.18958 (-1.080)
JWH 081 N-		402.16998	402. 16953 (-1.131)
pentanoic acid	ОН		101.06008 (3.700)
metabolite	N N		
	CH₃		
JWH 018 4-		358.18016	358.18016 (0.013)
hydroxyindole	HO		230.11756 (0.021)
metabolite			155.04914 (-0.009)
	O N		
	СН		
Menhedrone	Q	178 12264	178 12247 (-0.958)
wephedrone		170.12201	160.112217(0.930)
	CH ₃		$147\ 08031\ (-0.804)$
	CH ₃		$133\ 10118\ (0\ 023)$
	H ₃ C· ✓		133.10110(0.023) 121.06405 (1.300)
			121.00495(1.509) 117.07006(1.5464)
NDC 2	0	242 15204	117.07000(1.3404) 242.15204(0.002)
INKU-3	│	242.13394	242.13374 (-0.003)
	CH ₃		224.14330 (0.017)
			211.111/4(-0.000)
			182.09045 (0.022)
		104 11755	141.00988 (0.022)
5,4-MDMA		194.11/56	194.11/65 (0.488)
			163.07530 (-0.344)
	0		133.06485 (0.440)

Methylenedioxy Pyrovalerone (MDPV)	O O CH ₃	276.15942	276.15942 (0.000) 205.08577 (-0.735) 175.07524 (-0.663) 149.02321 (-0.742) 135.04399 (-0.489) 126.12778 (0.428)
4- Bromomethcathin one	Br CH ₃	242.01750	242.01750 (-0.013) 224.00684 (-0.440) 210.97518 (-0.588)
Isopentedrone	HN ^{CH3} CH3 O	192.13829	192.13829 (-0.004) 174.12773 (0.023) 161.09609 (-0.010) 132.08078 (0.031) 119.04914 (-0.012)

*Error in ppm based on the calculated mass of the chemical formula























4.2 DETERMINATION OF LIMIT OF DETECTION

Limit of detection is traditionally determined by determining at what concentration the signal to noise ratio is above the accepted threshold (ie. 3:1 or 10:1). For the purposes of this research, limit of detection refers to the lowest detectable concentration (above 20 arbitrary intensity units) with environmental relevance independently of the signal to noise ratio. To assess the limits of detection for the method, the unknown mixture was spiked into deionized water at concentrations of 20 ppt, 10 ppt, 5 ppt and 1 ppt. All ten compounds were detected at 20 ppt. Seven compounds (4-fluoroethcathinone, isopentedrone, MDPV, mephedrone, NRG-3, JWH 018 N-pentanoic acid metabolite, and 4-bromomethcathinone) were detected at 10 ppt. Only one compound (isopentedrone) was detected at 5 ppt. The same compound was detected at 1ppt, but the background interference was greater in the lowest concentrations. A comparison of a compound detected at 20 ppt, 10 ppt, 5 ppt, and 1 ppt is shown in Figure 6. As the concentration decreased, the width of the peak increased. Based on these results, the limit of detection for this method was determined to be 20 ppt, due to the fact that all of the unknown compounds were detected and positively identified by HRMS and MS².

Figure 6. Comparison of MS²*spectra of isopentedrone detected at* 20 *ppt (top), 10 ppt (second), 5 ppt (third), and* **1 ppt (bottom)**


4.3 ASSESSMENT OF MATRIX EFFECTS

Matrix effects can be determined mathematically by comparing the peak height in the matrix to the peak height of the same analyte standard in the absence of the matrix. For the purposes of this research, matrix effects were assessed by comparing the peaks detected in the spectra in each matrix and examining the interference of the background signal. To assess the effects of the matrix on the detection of designer drugs, the unknown mixture was spiked into deionized water, tap water, reclaimed water and filtered raw sewage. The mixture was spiked at 20 ppt into each of the water samples. In each water sample, the ten compounds were identified and the major peaks listed in Table 3 were observed for each compounds. The background noise was more prominent in the raw sewage samples, but the [M+H]⁺ and fragment peaks were identifiable in each sample. A comparison of the fragments observed in the detection of 4-fluoroethcathinone is shown in Figure 7.











A further assessment of the effect of the matrix of the filtered raw sewage was conducted. The concentration of the unknown mixture was held constant at 20 ppt while the raw sewage was diluted to varying degrees (1x, 10x and 100x.) When the sewage was diluted 1 time (50:50 deionized water: filtered raw sewage), the background noise made the identification of each compound difficult. Only one compound, isopentedrone, could be identified. It was determined that there was no difference between the 10 and 100 dilution factors. A graph of the peak intensity versus the dilution factor of the raw sewage is shown in Figure 8. Because the concentration of the target compounds is expected to be low in sewage influent, it was decided to dilute the raw sewage by a factor of 10 to avoid over-dilution of the target compounds.

Figure 8. Graph of the effect of the dilution factor of raw sewage on the peak intensity of the $[M+H]^+$ peak for each component of the unknown mixture present at 20ppt



31

A second set of experiments was done by varying the concentration (20 ppt, 10 ppt, 5 ppt, and 1 ppt) of the unknown mixture while holding the dilution factor of the raw sewage constant at 10 times. All of the target compounds were detected at 20 ppt. The 10 ppt concentration allowed for the identification of five compounds in the mixture, but the background noise begins to obscure some of the major fragmentation peaks. At 1 ppt, only one compounds was detectable, with a considerable amount of background noise affecting the identification process. Based on these results, it was determined that the limit of detection in raw sewage was 20 ppt, which is consistent with the limit of detection determined in deionized water.

4.4 DETECTION OF PARENT COMPOUNDS AND METABOLITES IN INFLUENT SEWAGE

Parent compounds and major metabolites were considered confirmed via MS² when the parent ion was present, and the fragmentation pattern predicted *in silico* using MassFrontier was consistent with the fragmentation pattern observed in the data. The fragmentation patterns of the identified parent compounds and metabolites are shown in Appendix 2. The parent compounds detected and the observed fragments are listed in Table 5. The MS² spectra of each of the confirmed parent compounds are shown in Figure 9. The fragments associated with each peak have been overlaid to illustrate the confirmation process.

Compound	Structure	$[M+H]^+$	tMS ² (error*)
Name 3.4-DMMC	0	192 13829	192 13823 (-0 316)
S, I Divinie		172.13027	133.06485(0.440)
	CH3		105 07019 (2 980)
	H ₃ C CH ₃		105.07017 (2.700)
CP 55, 244	OH	403.32067	403.32118 (1.260)
	он		385.30974 (-0.953)
			359.29429 (-0.465)
			331.26274 (-1.258)
	H ₃ C CH ₃		301.25221 (-1.269)
	но СН ₃		275.20036 (-0.714)
			221.18977 (-1.003)
			183.13788 (-0.417)
			169.12219 (-0.688)
			167.10655 (-0.636)
			155.10652 (-0.878)
			147.11672 (-0.728)
			141.12728 (-0.792)
			125.09617 (0.627)
			113.09631 (1.931)
4-MAR	H ₃ C N	177.10224	177.10249 (1.414)
	NH ₂		161.07084 (-0.618)
	0'		159.09154 (-0.848)
			149.07090 (-0.265)
	×		134.06002 (-0.152)
MMA	CH ₃	180.13829	180.13815 (-0.781)
	Ó NH ₂		164.10692 (-0.430)
			163.11161 (-0.807)
	H ₃ C		149.09603 (-0.413)
			121.06492 (1.062)
MDA	NH ₂	180.10191	180.10178 (-0.695)
			163.07522 (-0.835)
	O CH3		137.05966 (-0.336)
			133.06479 (-0.011)
			121.06492 (1.062)
			117.07005 (1.479)
			107.04942 (2.602)

Table 5. Parent compounds detected in sewage influent and observed fragmentation

*Error in ppm based on the calculated mass of the chemical formula

MMA and MDA have very similar [M+H]⁺ masses. They can be distinguished based on the fragments which are unique to each of the structures. Additionally, the two different masses observed for the [M+H]⁺ are within the mass errors threshold when compared to the respective masses based on the chemical formulae. MDA is a more commonly used designer drug than MMA. This corresponds with the fact that the MDA showed a peak that was approximately three times the size of the MMA in the raw sewage spectra. Several compounds were detected in sewage influent through the screening process, but were not confirmed through MS² analysis. These compounds are likely present in concentrations below the limit of detection of 20 ppt. The suspect compounds which were detected through screening but were not confirmed are listed in Table 6.

Compound	Structure	[M + H] ⁺
4- methylethcathinone	H ₃ C CH ₃	192.13829
Para-methoxyamphetamine	H ₃ C _O CH ₃	166.16224
Methylbenzodioxolylbutanamine	O NH CH ₃	208.13321

Table 6. Suspect compounds unconfirmed with MS^2



Figure 9. Fragmentation spectra of confirmed suspect compounds in sewage influent



Parent drugs and their major metabolites which were observed and published in previous studies were included in the target screening list (Blachut et. al., 2012; Boatto et. al., 2005; Brandt et. al., 2010; Concheiro et. al., 2013; de Boer et. al., 2004; de Jager et. al., 2012; De Paoli et. al., 2013; Dean et. al., 2014; ElSohly et. al., 2014; Jankovics et. al., 2011; Lin et. al., 2004; Meyer et. al., 2010a; Meyer et. al., 2012; Meyer et. al., 2010b; Peters et. al., 2005; Sauer et. al., 2006; Seely et. al., 2013; Shulgin 1991; Shulgin 1997; Smolianitski et. al., 2014; Soblevsky et. al., 2012; Springer et. al., 2003; Springer et. al., 2002; Staack et. al., 2003; Swortwood 2013; Theoblad et. al., 2006; Uchiyama et. al., 2013; Wohlfarth et. al., 2014; Wohlfarth et. al., 2013; Zawilska et. al., 2013; Zuba et. al., 2012.) For the detected parent compounds which had no published metabolisms, metabolic products were predicted *in silico* using MetWorks. The major metabolites detected and the observed fragments are listed in Table 7. The MS² spectra of the identified metabolites are shown in Figure 10. The fragments associated with each peak are shown.

Parent	Metabolite	[M + H] ⁺	ddMS ² (error*)
Compound			
3,4-DMMC	N-demethylation H_3C H_3	178.1226	178.12249 (-0.846) 162.12756 (-1.024) 162.09118 (-0.991) 146.09630 (-0.862) 123.08051 (0.556) 105.07015 (2.600)

Table 7. Metabolites detected and observed fragmentation

	Reduction +	224.1281	224.12787 (-1.115)
	Hydroxylation+		208.09668 (-0.672)
	Oxidation		192.10170 (-1.068)
	ОН		174.09121 (-0.750)
	H ₃ C HO CH ₃ CH ₃		
	Demethylenation	168.10191	168.10189 (-0.091)
	HO HO CH ₃		150.09133 (-0.070)
MDA	Demethylation + o-	182.11756	182.11757 (0.081)
	methylation		164.10698 (-0.065)
			151.07534 (-0.107)
	Ó NH ₂		150.09133 (-0.070)
	CH ₃		139.07533 (-0.188)
	HU ~		

*Error in ppm based on the calculated mass of the chemical formula











4.5 COMPARISON OF ACQUISITION MODES

Both tMS² and ddMS² analyses were conducted to compare the methods. It was determined that tMS² was more effective at detecting the parent drugs. Fewer fragments were observed using ddMS² which made confirmation difficult. A comparison of the fragments observed in tMS² and ddMS² is shown in Table 7. A total of 5 parent compounds were confirmed using tMS² and only 2 were confirmed using ddMS². Analysis conducted by ddMS² was deemed to be more efficient in the detection of major metabolites due to the large number of metabolites that were determined through MetWorks.

Compound Name	tMS ² (error)*	ddMS ² (error)*
3,4-DMMC	192.13823 (-0.316)	192.13819 (-0.524)
	133.06485 (0.440)	
	105.07019 (2.980)	
MMA	180.13815 (-0.781)	180.13824 (-0.282)
	121.06425 (-4.473)	121.06500 (1.722)

Table 8. Comparison of target-MS² and data dependent-MS² analyses

*Error in ppm based on the calculated mass of the chemical formula

4.6 COMPARISON OF WATER SOURCES

Both parent compounds and major metabolites were detected in the analysis of sewage influents. A total of 5 parent compounds and 4 metabolites were detected in raw sewage, as shown in Tables 5 and 7. To date, no study has been conducted to detect a comprehensive list of designer drugs. Previous studies have detected illicit drugs and MDMA in wastewater in detectable concentrations (Baker et. al., 2012; Castiglioni et. al., 2006; Chiaia et. al., 2008; Ostman et. al., 2014; Pal et. al., 2013; Senta et. al., 2014;

Thomas et. al., 2012; Yargeau et. al., 2014). Analysis conducted on the samples collected from the effluent pipes determined that no designer drugs or metabolites were present in detectable concentrations. Analysis of samples collected upstream of the outfall pipe confirmed that designer drugs were not present in the river water prior to the addition of the treated wastewater. Samples collected from the downstream mixing zone, and the drinking water intake and output locations did not contain parent drugs or metabolites in detectable concentrations. In previous studies conducted on the sample set of samples, several pharmaceuticals and personal care products were tentatively identified (Heuett, 2015.) Additional studies have detected MDMA in surface water collected at varying distances downstream from sewage treatment facilities in concentrations ranging from 0.2 to 180 ppt (Bartelt-Hunt et. al., 2009; Postigo et. al., 2010; Valcarcel et. al., 2012; Zuccato et. al., 2008a; Zuccato et. al., 2008b). This indicates that a variety of compounds will survive the wastewater treatment process, but designer drugs are either removed by the treatment processes or are diluted beyond the limit of detection established in this method. The analysis of reclaimed water determined that no parent drugs or metabolites were present in detectable concentrations above 20 ppt. In previous studies of reclaimed water, pharmaceuticals and personal care products were detected, indicating that some of these products survive the entire wastewater treatment process, to be released into the environment with the use of reclaimed water in irrigation (Chen et. al., 2013).

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

It was determined that the non-target screening and detection method developed was effective in the analysis of designer drugs and their associated metabolites. The parent drugs and metabolites which were spiked into the samples were detected and identified in each of the investigated water samples, indicating that the method was effective for each water source, and matrix effects did not inhibit the detection of the target compounds. Compounds could be detected in each water source, including raw sewage at concentrations above 20 ppt. This represents the limit of environmental relevance. Additional compounds may be present at lower concentrations, however below 20 ppt those compounds are not likely to have an environmental effect. For optimum detection of compounds, the raw sewage was diluted ten times to minimize the background interference. As seen in the full scan chromatogram, not all compounds were separated by the column. Compounds which co-eluted were easily identified by comparing the observed fragmentation pattern to the predicted fragmentation. Isomers are distinguished using MS² because different fragmentation patterns will be observed in regions of structural differences. While two isomers have several fragment peaks in common, they differ in one or more fragments, which allows isomers to be distinguished from one another.

Designer drugs are excreted into the sewage system after consumption. Parent drugs, including 3,4-DMMC and CP 55,244, and metabolites, including the N-demethylation metabolite of 3,4-DMMC and the demethylation product of MDA, are present in detectable concentrations in sewage influent. It is interesting to note that the parent drugs detected in raw sewage were not as common as was expected. It was expected to detect drugs that were more commonly used, such as MDMA or MDPV, however those compounds were not detected. The wastewater proceeds through the sewage treatment protocols and is released into the environment via outfall pipes. Samples collected at this

43

point did not contain parent drugs or metabolites of designer drugs in detectable concentrations. These findings indicate that designer drugs are either removed by the sewage treatment process, or are so greatly diluted as to be no longer detectable by this method, which has an established limit of detection of 20 ppt. This trend continued in the samples collected downstream of the outfall pipe, indicating that there were no additional sources of designer drugs being added to the river water. The absence of designer drugs in the collected water samples indicates that designer drugs are not a significant source of environmental contamination at this time. Additional research should be done to determine if the compounds are present in the environment at lower concentrations, or if they are being effectively removed during the wastewater treatment process.

REFERENCES

Baker, David R.; Ocenaskova, Vera; Kvicalova, Magdalena; Kasprzyk-Hordern, Barbara. Drugs of Abuse in wastewater and suspended particulate matter – Further developments in sewage epidemiology. *Environ Int.* 2012, *48*, 28-38

Bartelt-Hunt, Shannon L.; Snow, Daniel D.; Damon, Teyona; Shockley, Johnette; Hoagland, Kyle. The occurrence of illicit and therapeutic pharmaceuticals in wastewater effluent and surface waters in Nebraska. *Environ Poll.* 2009, *157*, 786-791

Blanchut, Dariusz; Wojtasiewicz, Krystyna; Krawczyk, Krzystof; Maurin, Jan; Szawkalo, Joanna; Czarnocki, Zbigniew. Identification and synthesis of by-products found in 4methylthioamphetamine (4-MTA) produced by the Leuckart method. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2012, *216*, 108-120

Boatta, Gianpiero; Nieddu, Maria; Carta, Antonio; Pau, Amedeo; Palomba, Michele; Asproni, Mattistina; Cerri, Riccardo. Determination of amphetamine-derived designer drugs in human urine by SPE extraction and capillary electrophoresis with mass spectrometry detection. *J Chromatogr B.* 2005, *814*,93-98

Brandt, Simon D.; Martins, Claudia P. B. Analytical methods for psychoactive N,Ndialkylated tryptamines. *Trends Anal Chem.* 2010, *29*, 858-869

Castiglioni, Sara; Zuccato, Ettore; Crisci, Elisabetta; Chiabrando, Chiara; Fanelli, Roberto; Bagnati, Renzo. Identification and Measurement of Illicit Drugs and Their Metabolites in Urban Wastewater by Liquid Chromatography – Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *Anal Chem.* 2006, *78*, 8421-8429

Chen, Weiping; Xu, Jian; Lu, Sidna; Jiao, Wentao; Wu, Laosheng; Chang, Andrew C. Fates and transport of PPCPs in soil receiving reclaimed water irrigation. *Chemosphere*. 2013, *93*, 2621-2630

Chiaia, Aurea C.; Banta-Green, Caleb; Field, Jennifer. Eliminating Solid Phase Extraction with Large-Volume Injection LC/MS/MS: Analysis of Illicit and Legal Drugs and Human Urine Indicators in US Wastewaters. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2008, *42*, 8841-8848

Concheiro, Marta; Anizan, Sebastien; Ellefsen, Kayla; Huestis, Marilyn A. Simultaneous quantification of 28 synthetic cathinones and metabolites in urine by liquid chromatography-high resolution mass spectrometry. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2013, *405*, 9437-9448

de Boer, Douwe; Bosman, Ingrid. A new trend in drugs-of-abuse; the 2C-series of phenethylamine designer drugs. *Pharm World Sci.* 2004, *26*, 110-113

de Hoffmann, Edmond; Stroobant, Vincent. *Mass Spectrometry: Principles* and *Applications*, 3rd Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: England, 2007.

de Jager, Andrew D.; Warner, Janet V.; Henman, Michael; Ferguson, Wendy; Hall, Ashley. LC-MS/MS method for the quantitation of metabolites of eight commonly usedused synthetic cannabinoids in human urine – An Australian perspective. *J Chromatogr B*. 2012, 897, 22-31

de Paoli, Giorgia; Brandt, Simon D.; Wallach, Jason; Archer, Roland P.; Pounder Derrick J. From the street to the laboratory: Analytical Profiles of Methoxetamine, 3-Methoxyeticyclidine and 3-methoxyphencyclidine and their Determination in Three Biological Matrices. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2013, *37*, 277-283

Dean, Be Vang; Stellpflug, Samuel J.; Burnett, Aaron M.; Engebretsen, Kristin M. 2C or Not 2C: Phenethylamine Designer Drug Review. *J Med Toxicol.* 2013, *9*, 172-178

ElSohly, Mahmoud, A.; Gul, Waseem; Wanas, Amira S. Radwan, Mohamed M. Synthetic cannabinoids: Analysis and metabolites. *Life Sci.* 2014, *97*, 78-90

Environmental Protection Agency "Primer for municipal wastewater treatment systems" EPA 832-R-04-001. September 2004.

Heuett, Nubia Vanessa. Target and Non-Target Techniques for the Quantitation of Drugs of Abuse, Identification of Transformation Products, and Characterization of Contaminants of Emergent Concern by High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (2015). *FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. Paper 2194. http://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd/2194

Heuett, Nubia V.; Batchu, Sudha Rani; Gardinali, Piero R. Understanding the magnitude of emergent contaminant releases through target screening and metabolite identification using high resolution mass spectrometry: Illicit drugs in raw sewage influents. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2014

Hu, Q.; Noll, R. J.; Li, H.; Makarov, A.; Hardman, M.; Graham Cooks, R. The Orbitrap: a new mass spectrometer. *J Mass Spectrom*. 2005, *40*, 430-443

Jankovics, Peter; Varadi, Andras; Tolgyesi, Laszlo; Lohner, Szilvia; Nemeth-Palotas, Julia; Koszegi-Szalai, Hilda. Identification and characterization of the new designer drug 4'-methylmethcathinone (4-MEC) and elaboration of a novel liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) screening method for seven different methcathinone analogs. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2011, *210*, 213-220

Lin, Huei R.; Lua Ahai C. Detection of Acid-Labile Conjugates of Ketamine and Its Metabolites in Urine Samples Collected from Pub Participants. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2004, *28*, 181-186

Makarov, A.; Denisov, E.; Kholomeev, A.; Balschun, W.; Lange, O.; Horning, S. Performance of a Hybrid Linear Ion Trap/ Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer. *Anal Chem.* 2006, *78*, 2113-2120

Meyer, Markus R.; Du, Peng; Schuster, Frank; Maurer, Hans H. Studies on the metabolism of the α -pyrrolidinophenone designer drugs methylenedioxy-pyrovalerone

(MDPV) in rat and human urine and human liver microsomes using GC-MS and LChigh-resolution MS and its detectability in urine by GC-MS. *J Mass Spectrom*. 2010a, *45*, 1426-1442

Meyer, Markus R.; Vollmar, Christian; Schwaninger, Andrea E.; Wolf, Ehud (Udi); Maurer, Hans H. New cathinone-derived designer drugs 3-bromomethcathinone and 3fluoromethcathinone: studies on their metabolism in rat urine and human liver microsomes using GC-MS and LC-high resolution MS and their detectability in urine. *J Mass Spectrom.* 2012, *47*, 253-262

Meyer, Markus R.; Wilhelm, Jens; Peters, Frank T. Beta-keto amphetamines: studies on the metabolism of the designer drug mephedrone and toxicological detection of mephedrone, butylone, and methylone in urine using gas chromatography – mass spectrometry. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2010b, *397*, 1225-1233

Ostman, Marcus; Fick, Jerker; Nasstrom, Elin; Lindberg, Richard H. A snapshot of illicit drug use in Sweden acquired through sewage water analysis. *Sci Total Environ*. 2014, 472, 862-871

Pal, Raktim; Megharaj, Mallavarapu; Kirkbride, K. Paul; Naidu, Ravi. Illicit drugs and the environment – A review. *Sci Total Environ*. 2013, *463-464*, 1079-1092

Peters, Frank T.; Meyer, Markus R.; Fritschi, Giselher; Maurer Hans H. Studies on the metabolism and toxicological detection of the new designer drug 4'-methyl-α-pyrrolidinobutyrophenone (MPBP) in rat urine using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B*. 2005, 824,81-91

Postigo, Cristina; Lopez de Alda, Maria Jose; Barcelo, Damia. Drugs of abuse and their metabolites in the Ebro River basin: Occurrence in sewage and surface water, sewage treatment plants removal efficiency, and collective drug usage estimation. *Environ Int.* 2010, *36*, 75-84

Ramirez, Cesar E.; Wang, Chengtao; Gardinali, Piero R.; Massi, Jennifer; Beck, Jonathan. Fully Automated, Trace-Level Determination of Parent and Alylated PAHs in Environmental Waters by Online SPE-LC-APPI-MS/MS. ThermoScientific Application Note 598. 2014.

Sauer, Christoph; Peters, Frank T.; Staack, Roland F.; Fritschi, Giselher; Maurer, Hans H. New designer drug N-(1-phenylcyclohexyl)-3-ethoxypropanamine (PCEPA): Studies on its metabolism and toxicological detection in rat urine using gas chromatographic/mass spectrometric techniques. *J Mass Spectrom*. 2006, *41*, 1014-1029

Seely, Kathryn A.; Patton, Amy L.; Moran, Cindy L.; Womack, Mary L.; Prather, Paul L.; Fantegrossi, William E.; Radominska-Pandya, Anna; Endres, Gregory W.; Channell, Kermit B.; Smith, Nathaniel H.; McCain, Keith R.; James, Laura P.; Moran, Jeffery H. Forensic investigation of K2, Spice and "bath salt" commercial preparations: A threeyear study of new designer drug products containing synthetic cannabinoids, stimulant, and hallucinogenic compounds. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2013, *487*, 659-665 Senta, Ivan; Krizman, Ivona; Ahel, Marijan; Terzic, Senka. Assessment of stability of drug biomarkers in municipal wastewater as a factor influencing the estimation of drug consumption using sewage epidemiology. *Sci Total Environ*. 2014, *487*, 659-665

Shulgin, Alexander and Ann. *PiHKAL: A Chemical Love Story*. Transform Press: Berkeley, CA, 1991

Shulgin, Alexander and Ann. *TiHKAL: The Continuation*. Transform Press: Berkeley, CA, 1997

Smolianitski, E.; Wolf, E.; Almog, J. Proactive forensic science: A novel class of cathinone precursors. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2014, 242, 219-227

Soblevsky, Tim; Prasolov, Ilya; Rodchenkov, Grigory. Detection of urinary metabolites of AM-2201 and UR-144, two novel synthetic cannabinoids. *Drug Test Analysis*. 2012, *4*, 745-753

Springer, Dietmar; Peters, Frank T.; Fritschi, Giselher; Maurer, Hans H. New designer drug 4'-methyl-α-pyrrolidinohexanophenone: studies on its metabolism and toxicological detection in urine using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B*. 2002, *773*, 25-33

Springer, Dietmar; Peters, Frank T.; Fritschi, Giselher; Maurer, Hans H. Studies on the metabolism and toxicological detection of the new designer drug 4'-methyl-α-pyrrolidinopropiophenone in urine using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B*. 2003, 789, 27-41

Swortwood, Madeline Jean. Comprehensive Forensic Toxicological Analysis of Designer Drugs (2013). *FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. Paper 997. <u>http://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd/997</u>

Theobald, Denis S.; Putz, Michael; Scheider, Erhard; Maurer, Hans H. New designer drug 4-iodo-2,5-dimethoxy- β -phenethylamine (2C-I): Studies on its metabolism and toxicological detection in rat urine using gas chromatographic/ mass spectrometric and capillary electrophoretic/ mass spectrometric techniques. *J Mass Spectrom*. 2006, *41*, 872-886

ThermoScientific. Removing Uncertainty by Applying Science to SPE. *Thermo Scientific Hypersep Columns Application Notebook*. 1, 2011.

ThermoScientific: Planet Orbitrap. http://planetorbitrap.com/q-exactive#tab:specifications (accessed November 12, 2014)

Thomas, Kevin T.; Bijlsma, Lubertus; Castiglioni, Sara; Covaci, Adrian; Emke, Erik; Grabic, Roman; Hernandez, Felix; Karolak, Sara; Kasprzyk-Hordern, Barbara; Lindberg, Richard H.; Lopez de Alda, Miren; Meierjohann, Axel; Ort, Christopher; Pico, Yolanda; Quintana, Jose B.; Reid, Malcolm; Rieckermann, Jorg; Terzic, Senka; van Nujis, Alexander I.N.; de Voogt, Pim. Comparing illicit drug use in 19 European cities through sewage analysis. *Sci Total Environ*. 2012, *432*, 432-439

Uchiyama, Nahoko; Kawamura, Maiko; Kikura-Hanajiri, Ruri; Goda, Yukihiro. URB-754: A new class of designer drugs and 12 synthetic cannabinoids detected in illegal products. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2013, 227, 21-32

UNODC Research. World Drug Report 2015. United Nations: New York, 2015

Valcarcel, Y.; Martinez, F.; Gonzalez-Alsonso, S.; Segura, Y.; Catala, M; Molina, R.; Montero-Rubio, J.C.; Mastroianni, N.; Lopez de Alda, M.; Postigo, C.; Barcelo, D. Drugs of abuse in surface and tap waters of the Targus River basin: Heterogeneous photon-Fenton process is effective in their degradation. *Eviron Int.* 2012, *41*, 35-43

Wohlfarth, Ariane; Gandhi, Adarsh S.; Pang, Shaokun; Zhu, Mingshe; Scheidweiler, Karl B.; Huestis, Marilyn A. Metabolism of synthetic cannabinoids PB-22 and its 5-fluoro analog, 5F-PB-22, by human hepatocyte incubation and high-resolution mass spectrometry. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2014, *406*, 1763-1780

Wohlfarth, Ariane; Scheidweiler, Karl B.; Chen, Xiaohong; Liu, Hua-fen; Huestis, Marilyn A. Qualitative Confirmation of 9 Synthetic Cannabinoids and 20 Metabolites in Human Urine Using LC-MS/MS and Library Search

Yargeau, Viviane; Taylor, Bryanne; Li, Hongxia; Rodayan, Angela; Metcalfe, Chris D. Analysis of drugs of abuse in wastewater from two Canadian cities. *Sci Total Environ*. 2014, *487*, 722-730

Zawilska, Jolanta B.; Wojcieszak, Jakub. Designer cathinones – An emerging class of novel recreational drugs. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2013, *231*, 42-53

Zuba, Dariusz; Seluka, Karolina; Buczek, Agnieszka. 25C-NBOMe – New potent hallucinogenic substance identified on the drug market. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2013, 227, 7-14

Zuba, Dariusz; Seluka, Karolina; Buczek, Agnieszka. Identification and characterization of 2,5-dimethoxy-4-nitro-β-phenthylamine (2C-N) - A new member of 2C-series of designer drug. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2012, 222, 298-308

Zuccato, Ettore; Castiglioni, Sara; Bagnati, Renzo; Chiabrando, Chiara; Grassi, Paola; Fanelli, Roberto. Illicit drugs, a novel group of environmental contaminants. *Water Res.* 2008a, *42*, 961-968

Zuccato, Ettore; Chiabrando, Chiara; Castiglioni, Sara; Bagnati, Renzo; Fanelli, Roberto. Estimating Community Drug Abuse by Wastewater Analysis. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008b, *116*, 1027-1032

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1. Database of Designer Drugs and Metabolites APPENDIX 2. *In Silico* Fragmentation Pattern of Individual Components of Unknown Mixture

APPENDIX 1. DATABASE OF DESIGNER DRUGS AND METABOLITES

Systematic Name	Common Name	Molecular	Category	Molecular Weight	log	[M + H] ⁺
		FORMULA			KOW	277 1 (500
8-Quinolinyl 1-(5-fluoropentyl)-1H-	5F-PB-22	$C_{23}H_{21}FN_2O_2$	Parent	376.423401	5.71	377.16598
indole-3-carboxylate						
[1-[(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-	A-834735	$C_{22}H_{29}NO_2$	Parent	339.471191	5.83	340.22711
yl)methyl]-1H-indol-3-yl](2,2,3,3-						
tetramethylcyclopropyl)methanone						
(1s,3s)-adamantan-1-yl(1-pentyl-1H-	AB-001, JWH-018	$C_{24}H_{31}NO$	Parent	349.509003	7.31	350.24784
indol-3-yl)methanone	adamantyl analog					
{1-[(1-Methyl-2-piperidinyl)methyl]-	AB-005; AB-034	$C_{23}H_{32}N_2O$	Parent	352.513	5.81	353.25874
1H-indol-3-yl}(2,2,3,3-						
tetramethylcyclopropyl)methanone						
1-pentyl-N-tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]dec-1-	AKB48, APINACA	C ₂₃ H ₃₁ N ₃ O	Parent	365.52	6.67	366.25399
yl-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide						
1-pentyl-N-tricyclo[3.3.1.13.7]dec-1-	APICA, LWH-018	$C_{10}H_{12}NO_5P$	Parent	257.179688	-2.36	258.05259
yl-1H-indole-3-carboxamide	adamantyl carboxamide					
(6aR,10aR)-3-(Adamantan-1-yl)-	AM-411	C ₂₆ H ₃₄ O ₂	Parent	378.546997	8.7	379.26316
6,6,9-trimethyl-6a,7,10,10a-						
tetrahydro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-1-ol						
[6-iodo-2-methyl-1-(2-	AM-630, 6-	C ₂₃ H ₂₅ IN ₂ O ₃	Parent	504.360687	4.86	505.09826
morpholinoethyl)indol-3-yl]-(4-	iodopravadoline					
methoxyphenyl)methanone	-					
(2-iodophenyl)-(1-pentylindol-3-	AM-679	C ₂₀ H ₂₀ INO	Parent	417.28299	6.89	418.06623
yl)methanone						
[1-(5-fluoropentyl)-1H-indol-3-yl](2-	AM-694	C ₂₀ H ₁₉ FINO	Parent	435.273804	6.83	436.05681
iodophenyl)-methanone						
(6aR,9R,10aR)-3-[(1E)-1-Hepten-1-	AM-906	C ₂₃ H ₃₄ O ₃	Parent	358.514313	6.99	359.25807
yl]-9-(hydroxymethyl)-6,6-dimethyl-						

6a,7,8,9,10,10a-hexahydro-6H-						
benzo[c]chromen-1-ol						
[1-[[(2R)-1-methyl-2-	AM-1220	$C_{26}H_{26}N_2O$	Parent	382.497009	5.92	383.21179
piperidyl]methyl]indol-3-yl]-(1-						
naphthyl)methanone						
[2-methyl-1-[(1-methyl-2-	AM-1221	$C_{27}H_{27}N_3O_3$	Parent	441.521606	6.28	442.21252
piperidyl)methyl]-6-nitro-indol-3-yl]-						
(1-naphthyl)methanone						
[1-(5-Fluoropentyl)-6-nitro-1H-indol-	AM-1235	$C_{24}H_{21}FN_2O_3$	Parent	404.433502	6.66	405.1609
3-yl](1-naphthyl)methanone						
(2-Iodo-5-nitrophenyl)[1-[(1-methyl-	AM-1241	$C_{22}H_{22}IN_3O_3$	Parent	503.332794	5.73	504.07786
2-piperidinyl)methyl]-1H-indol-3-						
yl]methanone						
Adamantan-1-yl[1-[(1-methyl-2-	AM-1248	$C_{26}H_{34}N_2O$	Parent	390.561005	6.33	391.27439
piperidinyl)methyl]-1H-indol-3-						
yl]methanone						
[1-(5-fluoropentyl)-1H-indol-3-yl](1-	AM-2201	$C_{24}H_{22}FNO$	Parent	359.436005	6.84	360.17582
naphthyl)methanone						
[1-(5-Fluoro-4-hydroxypentyl)-1H-	4-hydroxypentyl AM2201	$C_{24}H_{22}FNO_2$	Metabolite	375.435394	5.3	376.17073
indol-3-yl](1-naphthyl)methanone						
[1-(5-Fluoropentyl)-6-hydroxy-1H-	6-hydroxyindole AM2201	$C_{24}H_{22}FNO_2$	Metabolite	375.435394	6.36	376.17073
indol-3-yl](1-naphthyl)methanone						
5-[3-(1-Naphthoyl)-1H-indol-1-	AM-2232	$C_{24}H_{20}N_2O$	Parent	352.428406	5.43	353.16484
yl]pentanenitrile						
(2-Iodophenyl)[1-[(1-methyl-2-	AM-2233	$C_{22}H_{23}IN_2O$	Parent	458.335297	5.91	459.0927
piperidinyl)methyl]-1H-indol-3-						
yl]methanone						
(6S,6aR,9R,10aR)-9-	AM-4030	$C_{27}H_{42}O_4$	Parent	430.619995	7.78	431.31559
(Hydroxymethyl)-6-[(1E)-3-hydroxy-						
1-propen-1-yl]-6-methyl-3-(2-methyl-						

2-octanyl)-6a,7,8,9,10,10a-						
hexahydro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-1-ol						
$3-\{[(2R)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-2,3-$	BAY 38-7271	$C_{20}H_{21}F_{3}O_{5}S$	Parent	430.437897	4.88	431.11346
dihydro-1H-inden-4-yl]oxy}phenyl						
4,4,4-trifluoro-1-butanesulfonate						
8-Quinolinyl 1-(cyclohexylmethyl)-	BB-22; QUCHIC	$C_{25}H_{24}N_2O_2$	Parent	384.470306	6.56	385.19105
1H-indole-3-carboxylate						
1-Naphthyl[4-(pentyloxy)-1-	CB-13; CRA-13	$C_{26}H_{24}O_2$	Parent	368.46759	7.54	369.18491
naphthyl]methanone						
N-Cyclopropyl-11-(3-hydroxy-5-	CB-25	$C_{25}H_{41}NO_3$	Parent	403.5979	7.79	404.31592
pentylphenoxy)undecanamide						
N-Cyclopropyl-11-(2-hexyl-5-	CB-52	C ₂₆ H ₄₃ NO ₃	Parent	417.624512	8.28	418.33157
hydroxyphenoxy)undecanamide						
2-[(1S,3R)-3-Hydroxycyclohexyl]-5-	CP 47, 497 C6 homologue	$C_{20}H_{32}O_2$	Parent	304.466888	5.97	305.24751
(2-methyl-2-heptanyl)phenol						
2-[(1R,3S)-3-Hydroxycyclohexyl]-5-	CP 47, 497 C8 homologue	$C_{22}H_{36}O_2$	Parent	332.519989	7.18	333.27881
(2-methyl-2-octanyl)phenol						
2-[(1S,3R)-3-Hydroxycyclohexyl]-5-	CP 47, 497 C9 homologue	$C_{23}H_{38}O_2$	Parent	346.5466	8.16	347.29446
(2-methyl-2-decanyl)phenol						
(2S,4S,4aS,6R,8aR)-6-	CP 55, 244	$C_{26}H_{42}O_3$	Parent	402.313385	7.54	403.32067
(Hydroxymethyl)-4-[2-hydroxy-4-(2-						
methyl-2-octanyl)phenyl]decahydro-						
2-naphthalenol						
2-[(1R,2R,5R)-5-Hydroxy-2-(3-	CP 55, 940	$C_{24}H_{40}O_3$	Parent	376.572601	6.13	377.30502
hydroxypropyl)cyclohexyl]-5-(2-						
methyl-2-octanyl)phenol						
(4-Ethyl-1-naphthyl)[1-(5-	EAM-2201	C ₂₆ H ₂₆ FNO	Parent	387.489105	7.88	388.20712
fluoropentyl)-1H-indol-3-						
yl]methanone						

3-(1,1'-dimethylheptyl)-6aR,7,10, 10aR-tetrahydro-1-hydroxy-6,6- dimethyl-6H-dibenzo[b,d]pyran-9- methanol	HU-210	C ₂₅ H ₃₈ O ₃	Parent	386.567413	7.44	387.28937
3-(1,1-dimethylheptyl)-6aS,7,10, 10aS-tetrahydro-1-hydroxy-6,6- dimethyl-6H-dibenzo[b,d]pyran-9- methanol	HU-211	C ₂₅ H ₃₈ O ₃	Parent	386.567413	7.44	387.28937
[(1R,4S,5R)-4-[2,6-Dimethoxy-4-(2- methyl-2-octanyl)phenyl]-6,6- dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-2- yl]methanol	HU-308	C ₂₇ H ₄₂ O ₃	Parent	414.620605	8.96	415.32067
(2-Methyl-1-pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)(1- naphthyl)methanone	JWH-007	C ₂₅ H ₂₅ NO	Parent	355.472107	7.44	356.20089
(2-Methyl-1-propyl-1H-indol-3-yl)(1- naphthyl)methanone	JWH-015	C ₂₃ H ₂₁ NO	Parent	327.418915	6.46	328.16959
1-Naphthyl(1-pentyl-1H-indol-3- yl)methanone	JWH-018	C ₂₄ H ₂₃ NO	Parent	341.445496	6.9	342.18524
[1-(5-Hydroxypentyl)-1H-indol-3- yl](1-naphthyl)methanone	5-hydroxypentyl JWH-018	C ₂₄ H ₂₃ NO ₂	Metabolite	357.444885	5.43	358.18016
5-[3-(1-Naphthoyl)-1H-indol-1- yl]pentanoic acid	pentanoic acid JWH-018	C ₂₄ H ₂₃ NO ₃	Metabolite	371.428406	5.66	374.17507
(5-Hydroxy-1-pentyl-1H-indol-3- yl)(1-naphthyl)methanone	hydroxyindole JWH-018	C ₂₄ H ₂₃ NO ₂	Metabolite	357.444885	6.42	358.18016
(1-(5-chloropentyl)-1H-indol-3- yl)(naphthalen-1-yl)methanone	JHW-018 N-(5- chloropentyl) analog	C ₂₄ H ₂₂ ClNO	Parent	375.890594	7.15	376.14627
(1-Hexyl-1H-indol-3-yl)(1- naphthyl)methanone	JWH-019	C ₂₅ H ₂₅ NO	Parent	355.472107	7.39	357.20872
1-Naphthyl[1-(4-penten-1-yl)-1H- indol-3-yl]methanone	JWH-022	C ₂₄ H ₂₁ NO	Parent	339.429596	6.76	340.16959

(1-Butyl-1H-indol-3-yl)(1-	JWH-073	C ₂₃ H ₂₁ NO	Parent	327.418915	6.41	328.16959
naphthyl)methanone						
4-[3-(1-Naphthoyl)-1H-indol-1-	N-butanoic acid JWH-073	$C_{23}H_{19}NO_3$	Metabolite	357.401886	5.17	358.14377
yl]butanoic acid						
[1-(4-Hydroxybutyl)-1H-indol-3-	4-hydroxybutyl JWH-073	$C_{23}H_{21}NO_2$	Metabolite	343.418304		344.16451
yl](1-naphthyl)methanone					ļ	
(4-Methoxy-1-naphthyl)(1-pentyl-1H-	JWH-081	$C_{25}H_{25}NO_2$	Parent	371.471497	6.98	373.20363
indol-3-yl)methanone					ļ	
5-(3-(4-methoxy-1-naphthoyl)-1H-	JWH-081 hydroxyindole	$C_{25}H_{23}NO_4$	Metabolite	401.1627	5.74	402.16998
indol-1-yl)pentanoic acid	metabolite					
(4-Methoxy-1-naphthyl)(2-methyl-1-	JWH-098	C ₂₆ H ₂₇ NO ₂	Parent	385.498108	7.52	386.21146
pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methanone						
(2-Ethyl-1-pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)(1-	JWH-116	C ₂₆ H ₂₇ NO	Parent	369.498688	7.93	370.21654
naphthyl)methanone					ļ	
(4-Methyl-1-naphthyl)(1-pentyl-1H-	JWH-122	C ₂₅ H ₂₅ NO	Parent	355.472107	7.44	357.20872
indol-3-yl)methanone					ļ	
[1-(5-Hydroxypentyl)-1H-indol-3-	5-hydroxypentyl JWH-122	$C_{25}H_{25}NO_2$	Metabolite	371.471497		372.19581
yl](4-methyl-1-naphthyl)methanone					ļ	
(4-methylnaphthalen-1-yl)(1-(pent-4-	JWH-122 N-(4-pentyl)	$C_{25}H_{23}NO$	Parent	353.456207	7.31	354.18524
en-1-yl)-1H-indol-3-yl)methanone	analog, 4-methyl					
	homologue of JWH-210				L	
(4-Methyl-1-naphthyl)(2-methyl-1-	JWH-149	$C_{26}H_{27}NO$	Parent	369.498688	7.99	370.21654
pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methanone					L	
1-(1-Pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-2-	JWH-167	$C_{21}H_{23}NO$	Parent	305.413391	5.95	306.18524
phenylethanone						
(1-Pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)(4-propyl-1-	JWH-182, 4-n-propyl	$C_{27}H_{29}NO$	Parent	383.525299	8.43	385.24002
naphthyl)methanone	homologue of JWH-120					
$(4-Methyl-1-naphthyl){1-[2-(4-$	JWH-193	$C_{26}H_{26}N_2O_2$	Parent	398.496796	4.79	399.2067
morpholinyl)ethyl]-1H-indol-3-					l	
yl }methanone					1	

(4-Methoxy-1-naphthyl){1-[2-(4-	JWH-198	$C_{26}H_{26}N_2O_3$	Parent	414.496185	4.32	415.20162
morpholinyl)ethyl]-1H-indol-3-						
yl}methanone						
{1-[2-(4-Morpholinyl)ethyl]-1H-	JWH-200	$C_{25}H_{24}N_2O_2$	Parent	384.470306	4.24	385.19105
indol-3-yl}(1-naphthyl)methanone						
[6-hydroxy-1-(2-	6-hydroxyindole JWH-200	$C_{25}H_{24}N_2O_3$	Metabolite	400.178693		401.18597
morpholinoethyl)indol-3-yl]-(1-						
naphthyl)methanone						
2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-1-(1-pentyl-1H-	JWH-201; JWH-302	$C_{22}H_{25}NO_2$	Parent	335.439392	6.04	336.19581
indol-3-yl)ethanone						
2-(2-Chlorophenyl)-1-(1-pentyl-1H-	JWH-203	C ₂₁ H ₂₂ ClNO	Parent	339.85849	6.6	340.14627
indol-3-yl)ethanone						
(4-Ethyl-1-naphthyl)(1-pentyl-1H-	JWH-210	C ₂₆ H ₂₇ NO	Parent	369.498688	7.93	370.21654
indol-3-yl)methanone						
(4-Ethyl-1-naphthyl)[1-(5-	5-hydroxypentyl JWH-210	$C_{26}H_{27}NO_2$	Metabolite	385.498108		386.21146
hydroxypentyl)-1H-indol-3-						
yl]methanone						
(4-Ethyl-1-naphthyl)(5-hydroxy-1-	5-hydroxyindole JWH-210	$C_{26}H_{27}NO_2$	Metabolite	385.498108		386.21146
pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methanone						
2-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-(1-pentyl-1H-	JWH-250	$C_{22}H_{25}NO_2$	Parent	335.439392	6.04	336.19581
indol-3-yl)ethanone						
1-[1-(5-Hydroxypentyl)-1H-indol-3-	hydroxypentyl JWH-250	$C_{22}H_{25}NO_3$	Metabolite	351.438812		352.19072
yl]-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)ethanone						
5-{3-[(2-Methoxyphenyl)acetyl]-1H-	pentanoic acid JWH-250	$C_{22}H_{23}NO_4$	Metabolite	365.422302		366.16998
indol-1-yl}pentanoic acid						
1-(5-Hydroxy-1-pentyl-1H-indol-3-	5-hydroxyindole JWH-250	$C_{22}H_{25}NO_3$	Metabolite	351.438812		352.19072
yl)-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)ethanone						
2-(2-Methylphenyl)-1-(1-pentyl-1H-	JWH-251	$C_{22}H_{25}NO$	Parent	319.440002	6.5	320.20089
indol-3-yl)ethanone						

(4-Chloro-1-naphthyl)(1-pentyl-1H-	JWH-398	C ₂₄ H ₂₂ ClNO	Parent	375.890594	7.54	377.15409
indol-3-yl)methanone						
(8-Bromo-1-naphthyl)(1-pentyl-1H-	JWH-424	C ₂₄ H ₂₂ BrNO	Parent	420.341614	7.79	420.09575
indol-3-yl)methanone						
[1-(5-Fluoropentyl)-1H-indol-3-yl](4-	MAM-2201	C ₂₅ H _{24F} NO	Parent	373.462585	7.38	374.19147
methyl-1-naphthyl)methanone						
(4-Methoxyphenyl)(1-pentyl-1H-	RCS-4	$C_{21}H_{23}NO_2$	Parent	321.412811	5.8	322.18016
indol-3-yl)methanone						
1-[1-(2-Cyclohexylethyl)-1H-indol-3-	RCS-8	$C_{25}H_{29}NO_2$	Parent	375.503296	7.32	376.22711
yl]-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)ethanone						
(1-pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)(2,2,3,3-	UR-144	$C_{21}H_{29}NO$	Parent	311.224915	6.79	312.23219
tetramethylcyclopropyl)methanone						
(1-(5-chloropentyl)-1H-indol-3-	UR-144 N-(5-	C ₂₁ H ₂₈ ClNO	Parent	345.906097	7.04	346.19322
yl)(2,2,3,3-	chloropentyl) analog				l I	
tetramethylcyclopropyl)methanone						
6-Methyl-2-[(4-methylphenyl)amino]-	URB-754	$C_{16}H_{14}N_2O_2$	Parent	266.294586	2.22	267.1128
4H-3,1-benzoxazin-4-one						
(1-(5-fluoropentyl)-1H-indol-3-	XLR-11, 5-fluoro UR-144	C ₂₁ H ₂₈ FNO	Parent	329.451508	6.73	330.22277
yl)(2,2,3,3-					l I	
tetramethylcyclopropyl)methanone						
1-[1-(3-	3-MeO-PCP; 3-	$C_{18}H_{27}NO$	Parent	273.413086	4.97	274.21654
Methoxyphenyl)cyclohexyl]piperidine	methoxyphencyclidine					
1-[1-(4-	4-MeO-PCP; 4-	$C_{18}H_{27}NO$	Parent	273.413086	4.97	274.21654
Methoxyphenyl)cyclohexyl]piperidine	methoxyphencyclidine					
2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-	3-MeO-PCE		Parent			
(ethylamino)cyclohexane						
1-benzylpiperazine	BZP; A2	$C_{11}H_{16}N_2$	Parent	176.258102	1.12	177.13863
[5R, 10S]-(+)-5-methyl-10,11-	dizocilpine, MK-801	C ₂₀ H ₁₉ NO ₄	Parent	337.369202	3.48	338.13868
dihydro-5H-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-						
5,10-imine					l	

N-ethyl-1-phenylcyclohexylamine	eticyclidine; PCE; Cl-400	C ₁₄ H ₂₁ N	Parent	203.323196	4.26	204.17468
2-(2-chlorophenyl)-2-	ethylketamine		Parent			
(ethylamino)cyclohexanone						
1-(3-chlorophenyl)piperazine	mCPP; meta-	C ₁₀ H ₁₃ ClN	Parent	196.676605	2.11	183.08093
	chlorophenylpiperazine					
1-(4-methoxyphenyl)piperazine	MeOPP; para-	$C_{11}H_{16}N_2O$	Parent	192.257507	1.63	193.13354
	methoxyphenylpiperazine					
2-(2-methoxyphenyl)-2-	methoxyketamine; 2-	$C_{14}H_{19}NO_2$	Parent	233.306198	2.56	234.14886
(methylamino)cyclohexanone	MeO-ketamine					
1-Phenyl-N-propylcyclohexanamine	PCPr	$C_{15}H_{23}N$	Parent	217.349792	4.75	218.19033
N-(1-phencyclohexyl)-3-	PCEPA	C ₁₇ H ₂₇ NO	Parent	261.402008		262.21654
ethoxypropanamine						
	O-deethyl-PCEPA	$C_{17}H_{25}NO_2$	Metabolite	275.188529		276.19581
	O-deethyl-hydroxyphenyl-	C ₁₉ H ₂₇ NO ₄	Metabolite	333.194008		334.20128
	PCEPA					
	O-deethyl-4'-hydroxy-	C19H25NO4	Metabolite	331.178358		332.18563
	PCEPA isomer 1					
	O-deethyl-4'-hydroxy-	$C_{19}H_{25}NO_4$	Metabolite	331.178358		332.18563
	PCEPA isomer 2					
	O-deethyl-3'-hydroxy-	$C_{19}H_{25}NO_4$	Metabolite	331.178358		332.18563
	PCEPA					
	dehydrated O-deethyl-4'-	$C_{17}H_{23}NO_2$	Metabolite	273.1728789		274.18016
	hydroxy-PCEPA					
	O-deethyl-3'-hydroxy-	$C_{21}H_{28}NO_6$	Metabolite	390.191662		391.19894
	hydroxyphenyl-PCEPA					
	O-deethyl-4'-hydroxy-	$C_{21}H_{28}NO_6$	Metabolite	390.191662		391.19894
	hydroxyphenyl-PCEPA					
	hydroxyphenyl-PCEPA	$C_{19}H_{28}NO_3$	Metabolite	318.206919		319.2142
	4'-hydroxy-PCEPA isomer	C ₁₉ H ₂₈ NO ₃	Metabolite	318.206919		319.2142
	1					

4'-hydroxy-PCEPA isomer	C ₁₉ H ₂₈ NO ₃	Metabolite	318.206919	319.2142
3'-hydroxy-PCEPA	C ₁₉ H ₂₈ NO ₃	Metabolite	318.206919	319.2142
dehydrated 4'-hydroxy- PCEPA	C ₁₇ H ₂₅ NO	Metabolite	259.193614	260.20089
N-dealkyl-PCEPA	C ₁₄ H ₁₉ NO	Metabolite	217.146664	218.15394
N-dealkyl-4'-hydroxy- PCEPA isomer 1	C ₁₆ H ₂₀ NO ₃	Metabolite	274.144318	275.1516
N-dealkyl-4'-hydroxy- PCEPA isomer 2	C ₁₆ H ₂₀ NO ₃	Metabolite	274.144318	275.1516
N-dealkyl-3'-hydroxy- PCEPA isomer 1	C ₁₆ H ₂₀ NO ₃	Metabolite	274.144318	275.1516
N-dealkyl-3'-hydroxy- PCEPA isomer 2	C ₁₆ H ₂₀ NO ₃	Metabolite	274.144318	275.1516
dehydrated N-dealkyl-4'- hydroxy-PCEPA	C ₁₄ H ₁₇ NO	Metabolite	215.131014	216.13829
dehydrated carboxy- PCEPA	C ₁₅ H ₁₉ NO	Metabolite	229.146664	230.15394
dehydrated carboxy-4'- hydroxy-PCEPA isomer 1	C ₁₇ H ₁₉ NO ₃	Metabolite	285.136493	286.14377
dehydrated carboxy-4'- hydroxy-PCEPA isomer 2	C ₁₇ H ₁₉ NO ₃	Metabolite	285.136493	286.14377
dehydrated carboxy-3'- hydroxy-PCEPA isomer 1	C ₁₇ H ₁₉ NO ₃	Metabolite	285.136493	286.14377
dehydrated carboxy-3'- hydroxy-PCEPA isomer 2	C ₁₇ H ₁₉ NO ₃	Metabolite	285.136493	286.14377
carboxy-PCEPA	C ₁₈ H ₂₈ NO ₂ Si	Metabolite	318.188931	319.19621
carboxy-hydroxyphenyl- PCEPA	C ₂₁ H ₃₇ NO ₃ Si ₂	Metabolite	407.231197	408.23847

	cis-carboxy-4'-hydroxy- PCEPA	C ₂₁ H ₃₇ NO ₃ Si ₂	Metabolite	407.231197		408.23847
	trans-carboxy-4'-hydroxy- PCEPA	C ₂₁ H ₃₇ NO ₃ Si ₂	Metabolite	407.231197		408.23847
	carboxy-3'-hydroxy- PCEPA	C ₂₁ H ₃₅ NO ₃ Si ₂	Metabolite	405.215547		406.22282
	carboxy-4'-hydroxy- hydroxyphenyl-PCEPA	C ₂₄ H ₄₅ NO ₄ Si ₃	Metabolite	495.265638		496.27291
	cis-O-deethyl-4'-hydroxy- PCEPA	$C_{21}H_{39}NO_2Si_2$	Metabolite	393.251932		394.25921
	trans-O-deethyl-4'- hydroxy-PCEPA	C ₂₁ H ₃₉ NO ₂ Si ₂	Metabolite	393.251932		394.25921
1-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazine	pFPP	$C_{10}H_{13}FN_2$	Parent	180.222	1.28	181.11355
1-(1-phenylcyclohexyl)pyrrolidine	rolicyclidine, PCPy	C ₁₆ H ₂₃ N	Parent	229.360504	4.4	230.19033
1-(1-(2-thienyl)cyclohexyl)pyrrolidine	tenocyclidine, TCP	C ₁₅ H ₂₃ NS	Parent	249.414795	4.71	250.1624
1-[3- (Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]piperazine	TFMPP	$C_{11}H_{13}F_3N_2$	Parent	230.229507	2.43	231.11036
1-[4-(Methylsulfanyl)phenyl]-2- propanamine	4-MTA	C ₁₀ H ₁₅ NS	Parent	181.297806	2.36	182.0998
(RS)-1-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-N- methylpropan-2-amine	3,4-MDMA	C ₁₁ H ₁₅ NO ₂	Parent	193.1103	2.28	194.11756
methylenedioxyamphetamine	MDA	$C_{10}H_{13}NO_2$	Parent	179.21572	1.82	180.10191
1-(7-Methyl-1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-2- propanamine	5-Me-MDA	C ₁₁ H ₁₅ NO ₂	Parent	193.242294	2.36	193.10973
1-(1-Benzofuran-5-yl)-2-propanamine	5-APB	C ₁₁ H ₁₃ NO	Parent	175.227005	2.3	176.10699
1-(1-Benzofuran-6-yl)-2-propanamine	6-APB	C ₁₁ H ₁₃ NO	Parent	175.227005	2.3	176.10699
1-(1H-Indol-3-yl)-2-butanamine	AET; α-ethyltryptamine	$C_{12}H_{16}N_2$	Parent	188.268799	2.17	189.13863
1-(1,3-Benzodioxol-5-yl)-2- (methylamino)-1-butanone	butylone	C ₁₂ H ₁₅ NO ₃	Parent	221.251999	2.4	222.11247

1-(1,3-Benzodioxol-5-yl)-2-	ethylone	C ₁₂ H ₁₅ NO ₃	Parent	221.251999	2.4	222.11247
(ethylamino)-1-propanone						
1-(2,3-Dihydro-1H-inden-5-yl)-2-	IAP; 5-APDI	$C_{12}H_{17}N$	Parent	175.270096	3.23	176.14338
propanamine						
1-(1,3-Benzodioxol-5-yl)-N-methyl-	MBDB; methyl-J; Eden	$C_{12}H_{17}NO_2$	Parent	207.268906	2.77	208.13321
2-butanamine						
5,6,7,8-Tetrahydronaphtho[2,3-	MDAT	$C_{11}H_{13}NO_2$	Parent	191.226395	2.25	192.10191
d][1,3]dioxol-6-amine						
1-(1,3-Benzodioxol-5-yl)-N-ethyl-2-	MDEA	$C_{12}H_{17}NO_2$	Parent	207.268906	2.77	208.13321
propanamine						
1-(1,3-Benzodioxol-5-yl)-2-	methylone	$C_{11}H_{13}NO_3$	Parent	207.2258	1.91	208.09682
(methylamino)-1-propanone						
1-(3-Methoxy-4-methylphenyl)-2-	MMA	$C_{11}H_{17}NO$	Parent	179.258804	2.38	180.13829
propanamine						
1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-propanamine	PMA; para-	$C_{10}H_{15}NO$	Parent	165.232193	1.77	166.12264
	methoxyamphetamine;					
	Death; Dr. Death					
1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-N-methyl-2-	PMMA; 4-	$C_{11}H_{17}NO$	Parent	179.258804	1.91	180.13829
propanamine	methoxymethamphetamine					
	PMMA (AC)	$C_{13}H_{19}NO_2$	Metabolite	221.141579		222.14886
p-hydroxymethamphetamine	Pholedrine (2AC)	$C_{14}H_{19}NO_{3}$	Metabolite	249.136493		250.14377
1-hydroxypholedrine	Oxilofrine (3AC)	$C_{16}H_{21}NO_5$	Metabolite	307.141973		308.14925
1-hydroxypholedrine	O-demethyl-HO-alkyl-	$C_{16}H_{21}NO_5$	Metabolite	307.141973		308.14925
	PMMA (3AC)					
p-methoxyamphetamine	nor-PMMA (AC); PMA	$C_{12}H_{17}NO_2$	Metabolite	207.125929		208.13321
	(AC)					
p-hydroxyamphetamine	bis-demethyl-PMMA	$C_{13}H_{17}NO_3$	Metabolite	235.120843		236.12812
	(2AC)					
3',4'-dihydroxymethamphetamine	O-demethyl-HO-aryl-	$C_{16}H_{21}NO_5$	Metabolite	307.141973		308.14925
	PMMA; di-HO-MA					

4'-hydroxy-3'-	O-demethyl-methoxy-	$C_{14}H_{19}NO_4$	Metabolite	265.131408		266.13868
methoxymethamphetamine	PMMA isomer 1 (2AC)					
3'-hydroxy-4'-methoxyamphetamine	O-demethyl-methoxy-	$C_{14}H_{19}NO_4$	Metabolite	265.131408		266.13868
	PMMA isomer 2 (2AC)					
4'-hydroxy-3'-methoxyamphetamine	bis-desmethyl-methoxy-	$C_{14}H_{19}NO_4$	Metabolite	265.131408		266.13868
	PMMA (2AC)					
N-Ethyl-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-	PMEA	$C_{12}H_{19}NO$	Parent	193.2854	2.79	194.15394
propanamine						
N-Phenyl-N-[1-(1-phenyl-2-	α-methylfentanyl; China	C ₂₃ H ₃₀ N ₂ O	Parent	350.497101	4.31	351.24309
propanyl)-4-piperidinyl]propanamide	White					
N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-N-[1-(2-	para-fluorofentanyl	C22H27FN2O	Parent	354.460999	4.09	355.21802
phenylethyl)-4-						
piperidinyl]propanamide						
1-Methyl-4-phenyl-4-piperidinyl	MPPP (opiod)	C ₁₅ H ₂₁ NO ₂	Parent	247.332703	3.03	248.16451
propionate						
6,7-Dimethoxy-2-methyl-1-[2-(4-	4'-nitromethopholine	$C_{20}H_{24}N_2O_4$	Parent	356.415588	4.04	357.18088
nitrophenyl)ethyl]-1,2,3,4-						
tetrahydroisoquinoline						
3-{2-[(Dimethylamino)methyl]-1-	o-desmethyltramadol	$C_{15}H_{23}NO_2$	Parent	249.348602	2.45	250.18016
hydroxycyclohexyl}phenol						
4,4-diphenyl-6-(pyrlidin-1-yl)-heptan-		$C_{23}H_{29}NO$	Parent	335.482513	5.11	
3-one						
2-(methylamino)-1-(naphthalene-2-	NRG-3	$C_{16}H_{19}NO$	Parent	241.1467	4.01	242.15394
yl)pentan-1-one						
2-(4-Bromo-2,5-	2C-B	$C_{10}H_{14}BrNO_2$	Parent	260.127686	2.39	260.02807
dimethoxyphenyl)ethanamine						
2-(4-Chloro-2,5-	2C-C	$C_{10}H_{14}CINO_2$	Parent	215.676697	2.14	216.07858
dimethoxyphenyl)ethanamine						
2-(2,5-Dimethoxy-4-	2C-D	$C_{11}H_{17}NO_2$	Parent	195.258194	2.05	196.13321
methylphenyl)ethanamine						

2-(4-Ethyl-2,5-	2С-Е	$C_{12}H_{19}NO_2$	Parent	209.284805	2.54	210.14886
dimethoxyphenyl)ethanamine						
3,4-dimethyl-2,5-	2C-G	$C_{12}H_{19}NO_2$	Parent	209.284805	2.59	210.14886
dimethoxyphenethylamine						
2-(2,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethanamine	2С-Н	$C_{10}H_{15}NO_2$	Parent	181.231598	1.5	182.11756
2-(4-Iodo-2,5-	2C-I	$C_{10}H_{14}INO_2$	Parent	307.128113	2.67	308.0142
dimethoxyphenyl)ethanamine						
N-acetyl-2-(4-Iodo-2,5-	2C-I (AC)	$C_{12}H_{16}INO_3$	Metabolite	349.017486		350.02476
dimethoxyphenyl)ethanamine						
N-acetyl-acetoxy-4-iodo-methoxy-β-	O-desmethyl-N-acetyl-2C-	$C_{13}H_{16}INO_4$	Metabolite	377.012401		378.01968
phenethylamine isomer 1	I isomer 1 (AC)					
N-acetyl-acetoxy-4-iodo-methoxy-β-	O-desmethyl-N-acetyl-2C-	$C_{13}H_{16}INO_4$	Metabolite	377.012401		378.01968
phenethylamine isomer 2	I isomer 2 (AC)					
2-(4-iodo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl) ethyl	desamino-HO-2C-I (AC)	$C_{12}H_{16}IO_4$	Metabolite	351.009327		352.0166
acetate						
2-(acetoxy-4-iodo-2,5-	desamino-HO-O-	$C_{13}H_{16}IO_5$	Metabolite	379.004241		380.01152
dimethoxyphenyl) ethyl acetate	desmethyl-2C-I isomer 1					
isomer 1	(2AC)					
2-(acetoxy-4-iodo-2,5-	desamino-HO-O-	$C_{13}H_{16}IO_5$	Metabolite	379.004241		380.01152
dimethoxyphenyl) ethyl acetate	desmethyl-2C-I isomer 2					
isomer 2	(2AC)					
2-acetoxy-2-(acetoxy-4-iodo-	desamino-di-HO-O-	$C_{14}H_{16}IO_6$	Metabolite	406.999156		408.00643
methoxyphenyl) ethyl acetate	desmethyl-2C-I (3AC)					
2-(acetoxy-4-iodo-methoxyphenyl)-2-	desamino-HO-O-	$C_{13}H_{13}IO_6$	Metabolite	391.975681		392.98296
oxo-ethyl acetate	desmethyl-oxo-2C-I					
	(2AC)					
N-acetyl-hydroxy-4-iodo-methoxy-β-	O-desmethyl-N-acetyl-2C-	$C_{11}H_{14}NIO_2$	Metabolite	319.006922		320.0142
phenethylamine isomer 1	I isomer 1					
N-acetyl-hydroxy-4-iodo-methoxy-β-	O-desmethyl-N-acetyl-2C-	$C_{11}H_{14}NIO_2$	Metabolite	319.006922		320.0142
phenethylamine isomer 2	I isomer 2					

N-trifluoroacetyl-2-(4-Iodo-2,5-	2C-I (TFA)	$C_{12}H_{13}NIO_3F_3$	Metabolite	402.989221		403.9965
dimethoxyphenyl)ethanamine						
N-trifluoroacetyl-trifluoroacetoxy-4-	O-desmethyl-2C-I isomer	$C_{12}H_{10}NIO_4F_6$	Metabolite	472.955869		473.96315
iodo-methoxy-β-phenethylamine	1 (2TFA)					
isomer 1						
N-trifluoroacetyl-trifluoroacetoxy-4-	O-desmethyl-2C-I isomer	$C_{12}H_{10}NIO_4F_6$	Metabolite	472.955869		473.96315
iodo-methoxy-β-phenethylamine	2 (2TFA)					
isomer 2						
methyl-4-iodo-2,5-dimethoxy-β-	desamino-HOOC-2C-I	$C_{11}H_{13}IO_4$	Metabolite	335.985852		336.99313
phenylacetate	(ME)					
methyl-trifluoroacetoxy-4-iodo-	desamino-HOOC-O-	$C_{12}H_{10}IO_5F_3$	Metabolite	417.952501		418.95978
methoxy-β-phenylacetate	desmethyl-2C-I (METFA)					
6-iodo-5-methoxy-1-benzofuran-	desamino-HOOC-O-	C ₉ H ₇ IO ₃	Metabolite	289.943987		290.95126
2(3H)-one	desmethyl-2C-I (H ₂ O)					
2-[2,5-Dimethoxy-4-	2C-T	$C_{11}H_{17}NO_2S$	Parent	227.323196	1.81	228.10528
(methylsulfanyl)phenyl]ethanamine						
2-[4-(Ethylsulfanyl)-2,5-	2C-T-2; Rosy	$C_{12}H_{19}NO_2S$	Parent	241.349792	2.59	242.12093
dimethoxyphenyl]ethanamine						
2,5-dimethoxy-4-(n)-	2C-T-7; Blue Mystic	$C_{13}H_{21}NO_2S$	Parent	255.376297	3.08	256.13658
propylthiophenethylamine						
2-{4-[(2-Fluoroethyl)sulfanyl]-2,5-	2C-T-21	$C_{12}H_{18}FNO_2S$	Parent	259.34021	2.53	260.1115
dimethoxyphenyl}ethanamine						
2-(2,5-Dimethoxy-4-	2C-N	$C_{10}H_{14}N_2O_4$	Parent	226.229202	1.32	227.10263
nitrophenyl)ethanamine						
2-(8-Bromo-2,3,6,7-	2CB-FLY	$C_{12}H_{14}BrNO_2$	Parent	284.149109	3.26	284.02807
tetrahydrofuro[2,3-f][1]benzofuran-4-						
yl)ethanamine						
3-[2-(Diisopropylamino)ethyl]-1H-	4-acetoxy-DiPT	$C_{18}H_{26}N_2O_2$	Parent	302.411011	3.36	303.2067
indol-4-yl acetate						
4-acetoxy-dimethyltryptamine	4-acetoxy-DMT	$C_{14}\overline{H_{18}N_2O_2}$	Parent	246.304993	1.54	247.1441
3-{2-[Ethyl(methyl)amino]ethyl}-1H- indol-4-0	4-HO-MET	$C_{13}H_{18}N_2O$	Parent	218.2948	1.95	219.14919
---	-----------------------------------	---	--------	------------	------	-----------
3-{2-[Isopropyl(methyl)amino]ethyl}- 1H-indol-4-ol	4-HO-MiPT	C ₁₄ H ₂₀ N ₂ O	Parent	232.321396	2.37	233.16484
1-(5-Methoxy-1H-indol-3-yl)-2- propanamine	5-MeO-AMT	$C_{12}H_{16}N_2O$	Parent	204.126266	1.76	205.13354
N-allyl-N-[2-(5-methoxy-1H-indol-3- yl)ethyl]prop-2-en-1-amine	5-MeO-DALT	C ₁₇ H ₂₂ N ₂ O	Parent	270.368988	3.72	271.18049
N-Isopropyl-N-[2-(5-methoxy-1H- indol-3-yl)ethyl]-2-propanamine	5-MeO-DiPT, Foxy, Foxy Methoxy	C ₁₇ H ₂₆ N ₂ O	Parent	274.401093	3.84	275.21179
N-[2-(5-Methoxy-1H-indol-3- yl)ethyl]-N-methyl-2-propanamine	5-MeO-MiPT	C ₁₅ H ₂₂ N ₂ O	Parent	246.348007	2.93	247.18049
(8β)-6-Allyl-N,N-diethyl-9,10- didehydroergoline-8-carboxamide	AL-LAD	C ₂₂ H ₂₇ N ₃ O	Parent	349.469299	3.11	350.22269
(8β)-1-Acetyl-N,N-diethyl-6-methyl- 9,10-didehydroergoline-8- carboxamide	ALD-52	C ₂₂ H ₂₇ N ₃ O ₂	Parent	365.468689	1.95	366.2176
(2R)-1-(8-Bromofuro[2,3- f][1]benzofuran-4-yl)-2-propanamine	Bromodragonfly	$C_{13}H_{12}BrNO_2$	Parent	294.14389	3.74	294.01242
N-[2-(1H-Indol-3-yl)ethyl]-N- isopropyl-2-propanamine	DiPT	$C_{16}H_{24}N_2$	Parent	244.375198	3.76	245.20123
1-(4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2- propanamine	DOB	$C_{11}H_{16}BrNO_2$	Parent	274.154205	2.58	274.04372
1-(4-Chloro-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2- propanamine	DOC	$C_{11}H_{16}CINO_2$	Parent	229.703201	2.56	230.09423
1-(4-Iodo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2- propanamine	DOI	$C_{11}H_{16}INO_2$	Parent	321.154694	3.08	322.02985
1-(2,5-Dimethoxy-4-methylphenyl)-2- propanamine	DOM	C ₁₂ H ₁₉ NO ₂	Parent	209.141586	2.46	210.14886

N-[2-(1H-Indol-3-yl)ethyl]-N-propyl-	DPT	$C_{16}H_{24}N_2$	Parent	244.375198	3.91	245.20123
1-propanamine						
[(2S,4S)-2,4-Dimethyl-1-	LSZ	$C_{21}H_{25}N_{3}O$	Parent	335.442688	2.5	336.20704
azetidinyl][(8β)-6-methyl-9,10-						
didehydroergolin-8-yl]methanone						
1-(2,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)-2-	TMA-2	$C_{12}H_{19}NO_3$	Parent	225.284195	1.74	226.14377
propanamine						
1-(2,4,6-Trimethoxyphenyl)-2-	TMA-6	$C_{12}H_{19}NO_3$	Parent	225.284195	1.57	226.14377
propanamine						
2-(4-chloro-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-N-	25C-NBOMe, NBOMe-	C ₁₈ H ₂₂ ClNO ₃	Parent	335.825195	4.4	336.1361
(2-methoxybenzyl)ethanamine	2C-C, Pandora					
4-iodo-2,5-dimethoxy-N-[(2-	25I-NBOMe, NBOMe-	C ₁₈ H ₂₂ INO ₃	Parent	427.276611	4.92	428.07171
methoxyphenyl)methyl]-	2C-I, Solaris					
benzeneethanamine						
3-(2,4-Dimethylphenyl)-2-methyl-	methylmethaqualone	$C_{17}H_{16}N_2O$	Parent	264.321686	4.88	265.13354
4(3H)-quinazolinone						
3-(2-Bromophenyl)-2-methyl-4(3H)-	GBL; mebroqualone	$C_{15}H_{11}BrN_2O$	Parent	315.164612	4.67	315.01275
quinazolinone						
5-Benzyl-5-butyl-2,4,6(1H,3H,5H)-	GHV; benzylbarbiturate	$C_{15}H_{18}N_2O_3$	Parent	274.315002	2.8	275.13902
pyrimidinetrione						
7-Bromo-5-(2-chlorophenyl)-1,3-	GHL; phenazepam	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ BrClN ₂ O	Parent	349.609711	3.2	348.97378
dihydro-2H-1,4-benzodiazepin-2-one						
6,7-Dimethyl-5-phenyl-3,7-	premazepam	$C_{15}H_{15}N_{3}O$	Parent	253.299103	2.21	254.12879
dihydropyrrolo[3,4-e][1,4]diazepin-						
2(1H)-one						
4-(2-Chlorophenyl)-2-ethyl-9-methyl-	etizolam	$C_{17}H_{15}ClN_4S$	Parent	342.845795	4.17	343.07787
6H-thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-						
a][1,4]diazepine						

1-Phenyl-2-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1-	α-	C ₁₃ H ₁₇ NO	Parent	203.280197	2.93	204.13829
propanone	pyrrolidinopropiophenone; PPP					
1-(2-Fluorophenyl)-2-propanamine	2-fluoroamphetamine	C ₉ H ₁₂ FN	Parent	153.196701	1.96	154.10265
1-(3-Fluorophenyl)-2-propanamine	3-fluoroamphetamine	C ₉ H ₁₂ FN	Parent	153.196701	1.96	154.10265
1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-(methylamino)-	2-FMC,	C ₁₀ H ₁₂ FNO	Parent	181.206802	2.05	182.09757
1-propanone	fluoromethcathinone; flephedrone					
1-(3,4-dimethylphenyl)-2- (methylamino)propan-1-one	3,4-DMMC	C ₁₂ H ₁₇ NO	Parent	191.270004	2.94	192.13829
(RS)-1-(3-fluorophenyl)-2- (methylamino)propan-1-one	3-fluoromethcathinone; 3- FMC	C ₁₀ H ₁₂ FNO	Parent			
1-(1,3-Benzodioxol-5-yl)-2-(1-	MDPPP	C ₁₄ H ₁₇ NO ₃	Parent	247.289703	2.99	248.12812
pyrrolidinyl)-1-propanone						
1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-propanamine	4-fluoroamphetamine	C ₉ H ₁₂ FN	Parent	153.196701	1.96	154.10265
1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-(methylamino)-	4-fluoromethcathinone; 4-	C ₁₀ H ₁₂ FNO	Parent	181.206802	2.05	182.09757
1-propanone	FMC					
(RS)-1-(4-fluoropheny)-2-	4-flurorethcathinone; 4-	C ₁₁ H ₁₄ FNO	Parent	195.1059	2.05	196.11322
methylaminopropan-1-one	FEC					
1-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(methylamino)-	4-bromomethcathinone	$C_{10}H_{12}BrNO$	Parent	241.0102	2.74	242.01750
1-propanone						
4-Methyl-5-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1,3- oxazol-2-amine	4-MAR	$C_{10}H_{12}N_2O$	Parent	176.215103	1.56	177.10224
2-(ethylamino)-1-(p-tolyl)propan-1-	4-MEC	C ₁₂ H ₁₇ NO	Parent	191.270004	2.89	192.13829
one						
1-(4-Methylphenyl)-2-(1-	4-MePPP	C ₁₄ H ₁₉ NO	Parent	217.306793	3.48	218.15394
pyrrolidinyl)-1-propanone						
2-methylamino-1-(4-methylphenyl)-1-	4-MMC, 4-	C ₁₁ H ₁₅ NO	Parent	177.242905	2.39	178.12264
propanone	methylmethcathinone;					
	mephedrone					

	mephedrone (AC)	C ₁₃ H ₁₇ NO ₂	Metabolite	219.125928		220.13321
	nor-mephedrone (AC)	$C_{12}H_{14}NO_2$	Metabolite	204.102453		205.10973
	nor-dihydro-mephedrone isomer 1 (2AC)	C ₁₄ H ₁₈ NO ₃	Metabolite	248.128668		249.13594
	nor-dihydro-mephedrone isomer 2 (2AC)	C ₁₄ H ₁₈ NO ₃	Metabolite	248.128668		249.13594
	carboxytolyl-dihydro- mephedrone (2AC)	C ₁₆ H ₂₁ NO ₄	Metabolite	291.147058		292.15433
	nor-HO-tolyl-mephedrone (2AC)	C14H17NO4	Metabolite	263.115758		264.12303
	HO-tolyl-mephedrone (2AC)	C ₁₅ H ₁₉ NO ₄	Metabolite	277.131407		278.13868
1-Phenyl-2-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1- propanone	α-ΡΡΡ	C ₁₃ H ₁₇ NO	Parent	203.280197	2.93	204.13829
1-phenyl-2-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1- pentanone	α-ΡVΡ	C ₁₅ H ₂₁ NO	Parent	231.333298	3.91	232.16959
1-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-2- (methylamino)-1-butanone	butylone; bk-MBDB	C ₁₂ H ₁₅ NO ₃	Parent	221.251999	2.4	222.11247
	butylone (AC)	$C_{14}H_{17}NO_4$	Metabolite	263.115758		264.12303
	nor-butylone (AC)	$C_{13}H_{15}NO_4$	Metabolite	249.100108		250.10738
	demethylenyl-methyl- butylone (2AC)	C ₁₆ H ₂₃ NO ₄	Metabolite	293.162708		294.16998
	dihydro-butylone (2AC)	C ₁₆ H ₂₁ NO ₅	Metabolite	307.141973		308.14925
2-(methylamino)-1-phenyl-butan-1- one	buphedrone	C ₁₁ H ₁₅ NO	Parent	177.242905	2.34	178.12264
N-Methyl-3- phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-amine	camfetamine	C ₁₄ H ₁₉ N	Parent	201.307404	3.4	202.15903
2-(Diphenylmethyl)piperidine	desoxypipradrol	C ₁₈ H ₂₁ N	Parent	251.365997	4.43	252.17468

Diphenyl[(2S)-2- pyrrolidinyl]methanol	diphenylprolinol	C ₁₇ H ₁₉ NO	Parent	253.338898	2.95	254.15394
2-(Ethylamino)-1-phenyl-1-propanone	ethcathinone	C ₁₁ H ₁₅ NO	Parent	177.242905	2.34	178.12264
Ethyl phenyl(2-piperidinyl)acetate	ethylphenidate	C ₁₅ H ₂₁ NO ₂	Parent	247.332703	3.27	248.16451
N-Methyl-1-(2-thienyl)-2- propanamine	methiopropamine	C ₈ H ₁₃ NS	Parent	155.260498	2.26	156.08415
1-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-2-(1- pyrrolidinyl)-1-pentanone	MDPV, bathsalts, maphyrone	C ₁₆ H ₂₁ NO ₃	Parent	275.342804	3.97	276.15942
	oxo-MDPV	C ₁₆ H ₁₉ NO ₄	Metabolite	289.131407		290.13868
	demethylenyl-methyl- MDPV (AC)	C ₁₈ H ₂₄ NO ₄	Metabolite	318.170533		319.17781
	demethylenyl-N,N- bisdealkyl-MDPV (2AC)	C ₁₆ H ₁₉ NO ₅	Metabolite	305.126322		306.1336
	demethylenyl-MDPV (2AC)	C ₁₉ H ₂₄ NO ₅	Metabolite	346.165447		347.17272
	demethylenyl-methyl-oxo- MDPV (AC)	C ₁₈ H ₂₂ NO ₅	Metabolite	332.149797		333.15707
	demethylenyl-methyl-HO- MDPV (2AC)	C ₁₉ H ₂₃ NO ₆	Metabolite	361.152537		362.15981
	demethylenyl-methyl-oxo- carboxy-MDPV isomer 1 (2AC)	C ₂₀ H ₂₆ NO ₇	Metabolite	392.170927		393.1782
	demethylenyl-methyl-oxo- carboxy-MDPV isomer 2 (2AC)	C ₂₀ H ₂₆ NO ₇	Metabolite	392.170927		393.1782
	oxo-carboxy-MDPV (AC)	C ₁₈ H ₂₂ NO ₆	Metabolite	348.144712		349.15199
	demethylenyl-methyl- N,N-bisdealkyl-MDPV (2TMS)	C ₁₈ H ₃₂ NO ₃ Si ₂	Metabolite	366.192072		367.19935

	demethylenyl-N,N- bisdealkyl-MDPV (3TMS)	C ₂₀ H ₃₈ NO ₃ Si ₃	Metabolite	424.215948		425.22323
	demethylenyl-methyl- MDPV (TMS)	C ₁₉ H ₃₀ NO ₃ Si	Metabolite	348.199495		349.20677
	demethylenyl-MDPV (2TMS)	C ₂₂ H ₃₆ NO ₃ Si ₂	Metabolite	418.223372		419.23065
	demethylenyl-methyl-HO- phenyl-MDPV (TMS)	C ₂₂ H ₃₈ NO ₄ Si ₂	Metabolite	436.233936		437.24121
	demethylenyl-methyl-oxo- MDPV (TMS)	C ₁₉ H ₂₈ NO ₄ Si	Metabolite	362.178759		363.18604
	demethylenyl-methyl-HO- MDPV (2TMS)	C ₂₂ H ₃₇ NO ₄ Si ₂	Metabolite	435.226112		436.23339
	demethylenyl-oxo-MDPV (2TMS)	C ₂₁ H ₃₄ NO ₄ Si ₂	Metabolite	420.202636		421.20991
	demethylenyl-HO-MDPV (3TMS)	C ₂₄ H ₄₃ NO ₄ Si ₃	Metabolite	493.249988		494.25726
1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2- (methylamino)-1-propanone	methedrone	C ₁₁ H ₁₅ NO ₂	Parent	193.242294	1.93	194.11756
1-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-2- (methyamino)-1-propanone	methylone	C ₁₁ H ₁₃ NO ₃	Parent	207.089543	1.91	208.09682
	methylone (AC)	C ₁₃ H ₁₅ NO ₄	Metabolite	249.100108		250.10738
	nor-methylone (AC)	$C_{12}H_{13}NO_4$	Metabolite	235.0844578		236.09173
	nor-demethylenyl-methyl- methylone (2AC)	C ₁₄ H ₁₇ NO ₅	Metabolite	279.1106725		280.11795
	demethylenyl-methyl- methylone (2AC)	C ₁₅ H ₁₉ NO ₅	Metabolite	293.126323		294.1336
1-(methylamino)-1-phenylpentan-2- one	isopentedrone	C ₁₂ H ₁₇ NO	Parent	191.131	2.14	192.13829
1-phenyl-2-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1- butanone	MPBP	C ₁₅ H ₂₁ NO	Parent	231.162314		231.16177

2-(methylamino)-1-phenyl-1-	carboxy-desamino-oxo-	$C_{12}H_{12}O_4$	Metabolite	220.0735588	221.08084
	MPBP (ME)		N (1 1')	045 141570	246 14006
1-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-2-	oxo-MPBP	$C_{15}H_{19}NO_2$	Metabolite	245.141578	246.14886
(methylamino)-1-pentanone		<i>a</i>			
	carboxy-MPBP (ME)	$C_{16}H_{21}NO_3$	Metabolite	275.152143	276.15942
	carboxy-oxo-MPBP (ME)	$C_{16}H_{19}NO_4$	Metabolite	289.131408	290.13868
	carboxy-oxo-dihydro- MPBP (ME)	C ₁₆ H ₂₀ NO ₄	Metabolite	290.139233	291.14651
	carboxy-dihydro-MPBP (2TMS)	C ₂₁ H ₃₇ NO ₃ Si ₂	Metabolite	407.231197	408.23847
	HO-MPBP (TMS)	C ₁₈ H ₂₉ NO ₂ Si	Metabolite	319.196756	320.20403
	carboxy-MPBP (TMS)	C ₁₈ H ₂₇ NO ₃ Si	Metabolite	333.17602	334.1833
	carboxy-oxo-MPBP (TMS)	C ₁₈ H ₂₅ NO ₄ Si	Metabolite	347.155284	348.16256
	carboxy-oxo-dihydro- MPBP (2TMS)	C ₁₈ H ₃₅ NO ₄ Si ₂	Metabolite	385.210461	386.21774
4'-methyl-α- pyrrolidinohexanophenone	MPHP	C ₁₃ H ₂₅ NO	Parent	211.193614	212.20089
	HO-tolyl-MPHP (AC)	C ₁₉ H ₂₇ NO ₃	Metabolite	317.199094	318.20637
	carboxy-MPHP (ET)	C ₁₉ H ₂₇ NO ₃	Metabolite	317.199093	318.20637
	oxo-carboxy-MPHP (ET)	$C_{19}H_{25}NO_4$	Metabolite	331.178358	332.18563
	oxo-MPHP	C ₁₇ H ₂₃ NO ₂	Metabolite	273.172879	274.18016
	oxo-HO-tolyl-MPHP (AC)	$C_{19}H_{25}NO_4$	Metabolite	331.178358	332.18563
	oxo-carboxy-dihydro- MPHP (ET)	C ₁₉ H ₂₆ NO ₄	Metabolite	332.186183	333.19346
	carboxy-MPHP (TMS)	C ₂₀ H ₃₁ NO ₃ Si	Metabolite	361.20732	362.2146
	dihydro-MPHP (TMS)	C ₁₇ H ₂₅ NOSi	Metabolite	287.170541	288.17782
4'-methyl-α-	MPPP (stimulant)	C ₁₄ H ₁₉ NO	Parent	217.146664	218.15394
pyrrolidinopropiophenone					

4'-carboxy-PPP	C ₁₆ H ₂₁ NO ₃	Metabolite	275.152143	276.15942
2-oxo-4'-	$C_{12}H_{12}O_4$	Metabolite	220.073558	221.08084
carboxypropiophenone				
4'-carboxybenzoic acid	$C_{12}H_{14}O_4$	Metabolite	222.089209	223.09649
2"-oxo-MPPP	$C_{14}H_{17}NO_2$	Metabolite	231.125929	232.13321
2"-oxo-4'-carboxy-PPP	$C_{16}H_{19}NO_4$	Metabolite	289.131408	290.13868

APPENDIX 2. IN SILICO PREDICTION OF FRAGMENTATON PATTERNS OF INDIVIDUAL COMPONENTS OF UNKNOWN MIXTURE











Mephedrone





















CP 55, 244

MDA demethylation metabolite







3,4-DMMC reduction + hvdroxvlation + oxidation

