Florida International University FIU Digital Commons

FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations

University Graduate School

6-23-2016

Conformational Dynamics and Stability Associated with Magnesium or Calcium Binding to DREAM in the Regulation of Interactions between DREAM and DNA or Presenilins

Khoa Ngoc Pham Florida International University, kpham001@fiu.edu

DOI: 10.25148/etd.FIDC000735

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.fu.edu/etd

Part of the <u>Amino Acids, Peptides, and Proteins Commons, Biochemistry Commons, Biophysics</u> <u>Commons, Complex Mixtures Commons, Medicinal-Pharmaceutical Chemistry Commons,</u> <u>Molecular Biology Commons, and the Physical Chemistry Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Pham, Khoa Ngoc, "Conformational Dynamics and Stability Associated with Magnesium or Calcium Binding to DREAM in the Regulation of Interactions between DREAM and DNA or Presenilins" (2016). *FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. 2589. https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd/2589

This work is brought to you for free and open access by the University Graduate School at FIU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of FIU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dcc@fiu.edu.

FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Miami, Florida

CONFORMATIONAL DYNAMICS AND STABILITY ASSOCIATED WITH MAGNESIUM OR CALCIUM BINDING TO DREAM IN THE REGULATION OF INTERACTIONS BETWEEN DREAM AND DNA OR PRESENILINS

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of

the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

CHEMISTRY

by

Khoa Ngoc Pham

2016

To: Dean Michael R. Heithaus College of Arts, Sciences and Education

This dissertation, written by Khoa Ngoc Pham, and entitled Conformational Dynamics and Stability Associated with Magnesium or Calcium Binding to DREAM in the Regulation of Interactions between DREAM and DNA or Presenilins, having been approved in respect to style and intellectual content, is referred to you for judgment.

We have read this dissertation and recommend that it be approved.

Xiaotang Wang

Yuan Liu

John P. Berry

Prem P. Chapagain

Jaroslava Miksovska, Major Professor

Date of Defense: June 23, 2016

The dissertation of Khoa Ngoc Pham is approved.

Dean Michael R. Heithaus College of Arts, Sciences and Education

Andrés G. Gil Vice President for Research and Economic Development and Dean of the University Graduate School

Florida International University, 2016

© Copyright 2016 by Khoa Ngoc Pham All rights reserved.

DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to my lovely wife, Khanh Phan, and my beloved daughter, Kimberly Pham. Without their love, motivation, inspiration, and support, this work could not have been completed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to acknowledge my major Professor, Dr. Jaroslava Miksovska, for giving me the great opportunity to work on this project. I also greatly appreciate Dr. Miksovska for her support and encouragement during my undergraduate and graduate research in her laboratory. I am grateful for her invaluable advice and discussions, which, I believe, greatly helped me to become an independent researcher.

I would also like to acknowledge my committee members Dr. Xiaotang Wang, Dr. Yuan Liu, Dr. John Berry, and Dr. Prem Chapagain for their helpful comments and supportive ideas to improve my research project and professional skills.

I would like to thank our collaborators Dr. Prem Chapagain, Dr. Bernard Gerstman, Dr. Chola Regmi, and Dr. Purushottam Tiwari in the Department of Physics at FIU, as well as Dr. Randy Larsen in the Department of Chemistry at University of South Florida for their successful and productive collaboration.

I also thank the former and present members in Dr. Miksovska's research group. I appreciate Dr. Luisana Astudillo, Dr. Walter Gonzalez, Simona Horsa, and Gangadhar Duhlipala for their support during my beginning in the laboratory and for our long friendship throughout these years. Thanks to David Butcher, Antonija Tangar, Jose Alfonso, Jessica Lopez, Andres Arango, Maria Santiago, Maurizio Diaz, Jackie Pivaral, Samiol Azam, and Ruben Fernandez for their helpful discussions and overall good times in the laboratory.

I am indebted to my parents for their love, dedication, and sacrifice to give me the best education as they could. I especially thank my brothers Dr. Si Pham and Binh Pham, and their families for the support and encouragement they provided during all these years.

v

I would also like to give special thanks to my sisters Nga Pham, Thuy Pham, her husband Long Phi, and all my other brothers and sisters for the love and support they unconditionally provided.

Lastly, I highly appreciate the Florida International University (FIU) Graduate School of Integrated Science & Humanity, College of Arts, Sciences & Education, and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry for providing me with graduate assistantship. I would like to acknowledge the financial support of the FIU Graduate School Dissertation Year Fellowship (2016). I would also like to acknowledge MBRS-RISE Biomedical Research Initiative (BRI) for providing me the 2014 BRI Student Summer Research award (NIH/NIGMSR25 GM061347). The content presented here is solely the responsibility of the author and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

CONFORMATIONAL DYNAMICS AND STABILITY ASSOCIATED WITH MAGNESIUM OR CALCIUM BINDING TO DREAM IN THE REGULATION OF INTERACTIONS BETWEEN DREAM AND DNA OR PRESENILINS

by

Khoa Ngoc Pham

Florida International University, 2016

Miami, Florida

Professor Jaroslava Miksovska, Major Professor

Downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM) is involved in various interactions with targets both inside and outside of the nucleus. In the cytoplasm, DREAM interacts with the C-terminal fragments of presenilins to facilitate the production of β -amyloid plaques in Alzheimer's disease. In the nucleus, Ca²⁺ free DREAM directly binds to specific downstream regulatory elements of prodynorphin/c-*fos* gene to repress the gene transcription in pain modulation. These interactions are regulated by Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ association at the EF-hands in DREAM. Therefore, understanding the conformational dynamics and stability associated with Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ binding to DREAM is crucial for elucidating the mechanisms of interactions of DREAM with DNA or presenilins. The critical barrier for envisioning the mechanisms of these interactions lies in the lack of NMR/crystal structures of Apo and Mg²⁺DREAM.

Using a combination of fluorescence spectroscopy, circular dichroism, isothermal titration calorimetry, photothermal spectroscopy, and computational approaches, I showed that Mg²⁺ association at the EF-hand 2 structurally stabilizes the N-terminal alpha-helices

1, 2, and 5, facilitating the interaction with DNA. Binding of Ca^{2+} at the EF-hand 3 induces significant structural changes in DREAM, mediated by several hydrophobic residues in both the N- and C-domains. These findings illustrate the critical role of EF-hand 3 for Ca^{2+} signal transduction from the C- to N-terminus in DREAM. The Ca^{2+} association at the EF-hand 4 stabilizes the C-terminus by forming a cluster consisting of several hydrophobic residues in C-terminal domain. I also demonstrated that association of presenilin-1 carboxyl peptide with DREAM is Ca^{2+} dependent and the complex is stabilized by aromatic residues F462 and F465 from presenilin-1 and F252 from DREAM. Stabilization is also provided by residues R200 and R207 in the loop connecting α 7 and α 8 in DREAM and the residues D450 and D458 in presenilin-1.

These findings provide a structural basis for the development of new drugs for chronic pain and Alzheimer's disease treatments.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CH	APTER		PAGE
PRE	EFACE		1
1.	INTROD	DUCTION	2
	1.1	Calcium and intracellular Ca ²⁺ signaling network	2
	1.2	Intracellular calcium binding proteins	4
	1.3	Neuronal calcium sensor (NCS) proteins and the biological functions of Ca^{2+} binding to NCS proteins	5
	121	Class A: Neuronal calaium sensor 1	د 10
	1.3.1	Class R. Visinin like proteins hippocalein and neurocalein	12
	1.3.2	Class C: Pacovorin	13 14
	1.3.3	Class D: Guanylyl cyclase activating protains	14 16
	1.3.4	Class E: Potassium channel interacting proteins	10 17
	1.3.5	1 KChIP3/DREAM/calsenilin	/17 10
	1.3.5	2 Structural insight from DRFAM	1) 20
	1.3.5.3	3 The Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} binding properties in DREAM	20
2.	OBJECT	TVES	
	2.1	Objective 1	27
	2.2	Objective 2	
	2.3	Objective 3	
	2.4	Objective 4	29
3.	MATER	IAL AND METHODS	32
	3.1	Materials	32
	3.2	Methods	33
	3.3	Methods used in chapter 4	70
	3.4	Methods used in chapter 5	74
	3.5	Methods used in chapter 6	77
	3.6	Methods used in chapter 7	81
	3.7	Materials and methods used in chapter 8	88
4.	CALCIU DYNAM ELEMEN	IM AND MAGNESIUM MODULATE CONFORMATIONAL IICS AND STABILITY OF DOWNSTREAM REGULATORY	, 91
	4 1	Background and significance	
	4.2	Results	
	43	Discussion	106
	4.4	Summary	113

5.	CALCIUM DOMAIN	AND MAGNESIUM BINDING TO THE C-TERMINAL MODULATES DREAM STRUCTURE, DYNAMICS, AND	115
	5 1	1 Background and significance	115
	5.2	Dackground and Significance	117
	5.2	Discussion	117
	5.0	Discussion	121
	J.4	Summary	131
6.	MOLECU	LAR INSIGHTS INTO DREAM AND PRESENILIN 1 C-	
	TERMINA	AL FRAGMENT INTERACTIONS	133
	6.1	Background and significance	133
	6.2	Results	135
	6.3	Discussion	. 151
	6.4	Summary	155
7.	THE ROL	E OF INDIVIDUAL EF-HANDS IN MODULATING DREAM	
	CONFORM	MATIONAL DYNAMICS AND INTERACTION WITH DNA	156
	7.1	Background and significance	156
	7.2	Results	158
	7.3	Discussion	196
	7.4	Summary	202
8.	CHARAC WITH CA HEART M (2-ETHYL SYSTEM FULL LEN	TERIZE KINETICS AND THERMODYNAMICS ASSOCIATED RBON MONOXIDE BINDING OR RELEASING FROM HORSE IYOGLOBIN AND MICROPEROXIDASE-11 IN SODIUM BIS- HEXYL) SULFOSUCCINATE ENCAPSULATION: A MODEL TO STUDY CONFORMATIONAL DYNAMICS OF DREAM NGTH IN MEMBRANE ANCHORED STATE.	203
	8.1 Backg	ground and significance	203
	8.2 Resul	ts	205
	8.3 Sumn	nary and Outlook	210
9.	CONCLUS	SIONS	212
LIS	ST OF REFE	ERENCES	215
VĽ	ГА		236

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	Р	AGE
Table 3.1	Chemical components for electrophoresis running buffer	35
Table 3.2	Chemical components for sample treatment buffer	36
Table 3.3	DREAM protein extinction coefficient at 280 nm	36
Table 3.4	List of extinction coefficients ($\epsilon_{\lambda nm}$), emission maximum wavelength (Em. λ_{max}) of fluorophores used	59
Table 3.5	Volumes and concentrations of protein and chemicals used to prepare CD samples of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ bound DREAM at identical concentration of DREAM protein	65
Table 4.1	Stern-Volmer constant (K_{sv}) and bimolecular quenching constant (k_q) of DREAM protein	98
Table 4.2	Emission decay parameters for DREAM using a continuous Gaussian distribution and a discrete component	100
Table 4.3	Thermodynamic parameters for GuHCl induced denaturation of DREAM in the absence/presence of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+}	103
Table 5.1	Thermodynamic parameters for Ca ²⁺ and Mg ²⁺ binding to DREAM-C	119
Table 5.2	The Stern-Volmer constants (K _{sv}) for acrylamide quenching of DREAM-C	122
Table 5.3	Fluorescence decay parameters for DREAM-C intrinsic tryptophan residue. Data were analyzed using a Gaussian-discrete model	124
Table 5.4	Fluorescence decay parameters for nile red:DREAM-C. The experimental data were analyzed using a discrete component and continuous Gaussian distribution model	125
Table 5.5	Thermodynamic parameters for DREAM-C unfolding	127
Table 6.1	Dissociation constant (K_d) of DREAM binding to HL β and HL9 in PS1-CTF	137
Table 6.2	Dissociation constant (K _d) of DREAM-C binding to HL9 in PS1-CTF	139

Table 6.3	Dissociation constant (K _d) for HL9 binding to DREAM EF-hand mutants	142
Table 6.4	Kinetic parameters for HL9 association with Ca ²⁺ DREAM	144
Table 7.1	Emission decay parameters of W169 in DREAM EF-hand mutants using a continuous Gaussian distribution and a discrete component	167
Table 7.2	Decay parameters for 1,8-ANS and DREAM EF-hand mutant complexes	170
Table 7.3	ITC parameters recovered for DNA binding to DREAM and DREAM EF-hand mutants using a two sets of sites model	173
Table 7.4	Time-resolved anisotropy decay parameters of DREAM and DNA interaction	181
Table 8.1	The position of the Soret band and Q bands for Mb, and MP-11 encapsulated within the AOT reverse micelles, $w_o = 40$. The numbers is parenthesis corresponds to the λ_{max} for Mb and MP-11 in aqueous solutions, pH 7.0	206

LIST OF FIGURES

PAGE		FIGURE
3	1 Scheme illustrating a common intracellular Ca ²⁺ signaling network. Adapted from (Berridge et al., 2000)	Figure 1.1
7	2 A single EF-hand 2 of recoverin (PDB code: 4YI8). The EF-hand consists of an entering α -helix, a Ca ²⁺ binding loop, and an exiting α -helix. The Ca ²⁺ atom (green sphere) is coordinated by a canonical Ca ²⁺ binding loop illustrating the pentagonal bipyramidal coordination of the Ca ²⁺ ion (purple lines) and the hydrogen bonding found in the loop (black lines). The side chain oxygen atoms are in red and the coordinating oxygen atoms from water are shown as red spheres.	Figure 1.2
8	3 The sequence of a canonical Ca ²⁺ binding loop. The Ca ²⁺ ligands are indicated by their positions in the loop. The ninth Ca ²⁺ ligand (-X coordinate) is typically provided by a water molecule. Adapted from reference (Gifford et al., 2007).	Figure 1.3
9	.4 N-terminal domain of recoverin contains a pair of EF-hands (PDB code: 4YI8, residues: 1-94). Alpha helices, denoted by α , 1 to 5 are respectively labeled. EF-hand 1 is composed of α 2 and α 3; likewise, EF-hand 2 contains α 4 and α 5. Ca ²⁺ is shown as a green sphere	Figure 1.4
10	5 Representation of phylogenetic relationships in NCS proteins. Adapted from (Burgoyne et al., 2001)	Figure 1.5
11	6 Sequence alignment of NCS proteins from classes A to E. Conserved residues are represented by a star, whereas asterisks indicate identical residues, colons indicate very similar residues, and periods are for weakly similar residues.	Figure 1.6
12	7 Top: Schematic diagram of EF-hands in NCS1 protein. Bottom left: structure of myristoylated ApoNCS1 (PDB code: 2L2E). Bottom right: structure of three Ca ²⁺ bound at EF-hand 2, 3, and 4 of non- myristoylated NCS-1 (PDB code: 2LCP). The EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 of NCS1 are colored in cyan, green, yellow, and red, respectively. The Ca ²⁺ ions are the yellow spheres and the myristoyl group is colored in pink.	Figure 1.7
	8 The crystal structure of Ca ²⁺ bound non-myristoylated neurocalcin (PDB: 1BJF (Vijay-Kumar, 1999)). The EF-hands 1-4 are labeled accordingly as EF-1, EF-2, EF-3, and EF-4. The Ca ²⁺ ion (yellow	Figure 1.8

sphere) is bound at EF-2, EF-3, and EF-4. The EF-1 is disabled binding of Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} due to a Cys-Pro sequence in its Ca^{2+} bin loop		13
1.9	Top: Schematic diagram of EF-hands in recoverin. Bottom: (a)	

- Figure 1.9 Top: Schematic diagram of EF-hands in recoverin. Bottom: (a) structure of myristoylated ApoRecoverin (PDB code: 1IKU), (b) 1 Ca²⁺ ion bound at EF-3 (PDB code: 1OMR), and (c) Ca²⁺ ion is bound at EF-hands 2 and 3 (PDB code: 1JSA). The structure of two Ca²⁺ bound non-myristoylated recoverin is shown in light blue in (c) (PDB code: 4YI8). EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 in recoverin are colored in cyan, green, yellow, and red, respectively. The Ca²⁺ ions (yellow spheres) are bound at EF-hand 2 and 3. The myristoyl group is shown as pink spheres and the N-terminal eight-residue-flexible loop is highlighted in yellow.

- Figure 1.12 The amino acid sequence of mouse DREAM (Gene code: Q9QXT8). The Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ binding loops of EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 are underlined. The negatively charged amino acids involved in Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺coordination are shown in red. The conserved sequence CPxG (in brown) in the first EF-hand eliminates binding of Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺ at this site. The flexible loop connecting α 7 from EFhands 3 and α 8 from EF-hand 4 is shown in light green and underlined, while the positively residues R200 and R207 in the loop are shown in blue. The leucine residues (155LxxLL159, and L251) at Ca²⁺DREAM dimeric interface are highlighted in purple......21

Figure 1.13	3 Three dimensional cartoon structure of Ca ²⁺ DREAM (PBS: 2JUL, residues 76 – 256) (Lusin et al., 2008). The structure DREAM consists of 10 α-helices (43% secondary structure content) connected by random coils, turns, and a few beta sheets (2%). From left to right, the positively charged residues postulated to facilitate DNA binding (K87, K90, K91, R98, K101, R160) are shown in licorice. The EF- hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in cyan, green, yellow, and orange, respectively. The N-terminal α-helix (α1) and the C-terminal alpha helix (α10) are labeled in blue and red, respectively. The single intrinsic tryptophan residue (W169) is shown in yellow licorice. The long flexible loop connecting α7 and α8 is shown in blue. The Ca ²⁺ ion (yellow sphere) is bound to EF-hands 3 and 4.	22
Figure 1.14	⁴ The central hydrophobic cluster (shown in stick) connecting the N- and the C-terminal domains of DREAM (PDB: 2JUL) (Lusin et al., 2008)	23
Figure 1.15	5 The C-terminal hydrophobic cluster (as shown in stick) of DREAM (Lusin et al., 2008)	24
Figure 3.1	The Perrin-Jablonski diagram. The arrows represent the transition of a quantum change. The transitions begin at the lowest vibrational levels of each electronic state (S ₀ , S ₁ , and S ₂) for both absorption (blue arrows) and de-excitation (green arrows). The transition from the excited state to the ground state always happens from the first lowest level of S ₁ . The non-emissive de-excitation transitions are internal conversion (ic), intersystem crossing (isc), excited state reaction, quenching, photolysis, and FRET. The excited molecule passes from the singlet (S ₁) to the triplet (T ₁) state via isc. The emission from T ₁ is phosphorescence (red arrow). The other de- excitation transitions from T ₁ are similar to that of the S ₁ . Adapted from (Lakowicz 2006; Periasamy and Clegg 2010)	
Figure 3.2	Relative phase shift (ϕ) and modulation of the excitation (subscript E) and emission light (subscript F) in FD fluorescence spectroscopy. AC and DC indicate the amplitude and offset of the respective waves. Adapted from (Ross and Jameson, 2008)	42
Figure 3.3	Schematic diagram for measurement of fluorescence anisotropy. Adapted from (Lakowicz, 2006).	49
Figure 3.4	Schematic diagram illustrating emission intensities for a single fluorophore in a coordinate system. Adapted from (Lakowicz, 2006)	50

Figure 3.5	Schematic diagram for measurement of anisotropy decays with frequency-domain. Adapted from (Lakowicz, 2002)
Figure 3.6	Schematic diagram of a stopped-flow mixer. Reaction A and B are driven into the mixer through valves A and B. The previous content of the tube and cuvette are flushed out the stopping syringe via valve S that is open during flow. When the plunger of the stopping syringe hits a block, the valve S is closed and the contents of the cuvette can be probed during reaction mixture. Adapted from (Hargrove, 2005)
Figure 3.7	Scheme representing linearly polarized light that is converted using a linear polarizer and the circularly polarized light that is created using a quarter-wave ($\lambda/4$) plate. Adapted from (Berova et al., 2000)60
Figure 3.8	A: when circularly polarized light passes through achiral samples, its E_L and E_R components of are constant. B: when circularly polarized light passes through chiral samples, E_L (blue) and E_R (green) components are absorbed in distinctly, resulting in elliptical polarized light (red). Adapted from (Kelly et al., 2005)
Figure 3.9	Far UV CD spectra associated with various types of secondary structure of proteins. Adapted from (Berova et al., 2000)63
Figure 3.10	Near UV CD spectrum for various aromatic side chains of proteins. Adapted from (Kelly, Jess et al. 2005)
Figure 3.11	Schematic representation of an ITC instrument. The sample cell and the reference cell are kept at the same temperature. The reference cell is always kept at the experimental temperature. The ligand component of the interaction is placed in the syringe and the other in the cell. Upon the first titration (injection), the change in heat associated with binding (endothermic or exothermic) results in a change in temperature in the sample cell. A change in power (heat/s) is required to return the cells to identical temperatures (T) ($\Delta T = 0$). This change in power is recorded during injections. The binding isotherm and the integrated thermogram are shown in the top right. Adapted from (Ladbury and Chowdhry, 1996; Ladbury, 2004)
Figure 3.12	A schematic diagram illustrating isothermal parameters being generated from an isotherm fitting curve69
Figure 4.1	The aromatic clusters identified in DREAM C-terminus (grey solid surface) and N-terminus (blue solid surface). EF-hand 1 in DREAM is shown in cyan, EF-hand 2 in green, EF-hand 3 in yellow, EF-hand

	4 in orange, W169 in wireframe surface, and calcium ions shown as yellow spheres	94
Figure 4.2	Fluorescence emission spectra of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}DREAM$ upon excitation at 295 nm. Note that the emission spectrum of ApoDREAM and $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ are almost identical, and likewise for $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}DREAM$	96
Figure 4.3	Stern-Volmer plot for acrylamide quenching of DREAM in the absence/presence of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} . Error bar represents standard deviations of three independent measurements.	97
Figure 4.4	Frequency-domain intensity decay of 40 μ M ApoDREAM (open squares), Mg ²⁺ DREAM (open circles), Ca ²⁺ DREAM (closed squares), and Mg ²⁺ Ca ²⁺ DREAM (closed circles). The solid lines represent the data fitting using a Gaussian distribution model and single exponential decay model. Note that the experimental data for ApoDREAM and Mg ²⁺ DREAM are nearly identical, and likewise for the data for Ca ²⁺ DREAM and Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ DREAM.	99
Figure 4.5	Fluorescence lifetime rotational distribution of $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}DREAM$ using a continuous Gaussian distribution and a discrete single exponential decay component. To simplify, the lifetime rotational distribution curves of ApoDREAM and $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ are not shown.	99
Figure 4.6	CD spectra of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ DREAM in the far- UV region. The concentration of the protein was 40 μ M in 10 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4), 1 mM DTT, and 10 mM LDAO. Spectra were corrected for the baseline of the buffer.	101
Figure 4.7	(a): CD spectra of 40 μ M ApoDREAM in the presence of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 7.4 M GuHCl. (b): Representative equilibrium unfolding traces of DREAM as determined by the CD signal change at 220 nm. Solid black lines are fitting curves of experimental data using equation 3.60 in section 3.2.3.4. The thermodynamic parameters are reported in Table 4.3	102
Figure 4.8	Root-mean-square fluctuations (RMSF) of the C_{α} backbone in ApoDREAM and Ca^{2+} DREAM.	105
Figure 4.9	Top: Overlaid MD simulations structures of ApoDREAM (in red) and Ca ²⁺ bound DREAM (in yellow). Alpha-helices 1 – 10 in DREAM are labeled by $\alpha 1 - \alpha 10$, respectively. EF-hand 1 is composed of $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 3$, EF-hand 2 composed of $\alpha 4$ and $\alpha 5$, EF-hand	

	3 composed of $\alpha 6$ and $\alpha 7$, EF-hand 4 composed of $\alpha 8$ and $\alpha 9$. The position of the W169 residue is shown with sticks. Bottom: Ca ²⁺ triggered reorganization of the EF-hand 3 (left) and EF-hand 4 (right).	106
Figure 4.10	Top: charged and polar amino acid residues surrounding W169 in the structure of DREAM (PBD entry 2JUL, shown in blue) and C- terminal domain of DREAM (PBD entry 2E6W, shown in green). Bottom: Left: SASA of W169 in DREAM during 270 ns of the MD trajectory. Right: Partially buried (in blue) and solvent exposed (in green) orientation of W169 side chain in DREAM structure	109
Figure 5.1	Binding isotherm for Ca^{2+} association to DREAM-C in the absence of Mg^{2+} . Top panel represents for a raw power titration curve, bottom panel shows integration data, and the solid line presents the two sets of sites fitting curve.	118
Figure 5.2	The CD spectra of DREAM-C in the presence/absence of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+}	120
Figure 5.3	The fluorescence steady-state emission spectra of intrinsic W169 residue (A) and the CD spectra (B) of DREAM-C	121
Figure 5.4	Stern-Volmer plot for acrylamide quenching of DREAM-C in the absence/presence of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+}	122
Figure 5.5	Frequency-domain intensity decays for W169 in the presence/absence of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} . Phase delays are solid symbols, modulation ratios are empty symbols, and the solid lines represent the calculated fitting curves.	123
Figure 5.6	Fluorescence steady-state emission spectra of nile red (A) and 1,8 ANS (B) bind to DREAM-C in the presence/absence of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} .	125
Figure 5.7	GuHCl-induced unfolding DREAM-C in the presence/absence of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} . Unfolding parameters were recovered using a two-state model.	126
Figure 6.1	Left: Protein-protein docking complex of $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ (PDB: 2JUL, molecule 1) and PS1-CTF (PDB: 2KR6, molecule 1). DREAM (residues 76-256) contains 10 α -helices respectively labeled $\alpha 1 - \alpha 10$, and four EF-hands: EF-1, EF-2, EF-3, and EF-4. Two Ca^{2+} ions (yellow sphere) are bound at EF-3 and EF-4 loops in DREAM. PS1- CTF comprises two trans-membrane helices: HL7 and HL8, a solvent	

	exposed helix HL β , and an intramembranous HL9 which is divided into two perpendicular helices. Right: Detailed presentation of the binding interfaces between Ca ²⁺ DREAM and PS1-CTF. Amino acid residues from DREAM and PS1 are colored in green and red, respectively. Yellow dot lines represent for hydrogen bond interactions.	136
Figure 6.2	Titration curves for DREAM binding to HL9 (a) and HL β (b) of PS1-CTF. Solid lines correspond to the best fit using equation 3.63 in Method section 3.5.2.	138
Figure 6.3	Titration curves for DREAM-C binding to HL9 of PS1-CTF in the presence and absence of Ca^{2+} . Solid lines correspond to the best fit using equation 3.63 in Method section 3.5.2.	139
Figure 6.4	Sequence alignment of 66 amino acid residues in the carboxyl- terminus of mouse DREAM with various members of KChIPs subfamily and NCS1 protein using Clustal Omega program. The conserved hydrophobic residues at the binding interface with HL9 are highlighted in green. The conserved basic residues at positions 200 and 207 in the flexible loop of various members in KChIP subfamily are highlighted in blue. The neutral residue and the acidic residue of NCS1 corresponding to positions 200 and 207 in flexible loop are highlighted in grey and red, respectively. Aromatic residues corresponding to π - π stacking interaction with PS1 are in orange	140
Figure 6.5	Titration curves for HL9 binding to $Ca^{2+}DREAM-NCS1$ (a) and $Ca^{2+}DREAM-KChIP1$ (b). Solid lines correspond to the best fit using equation 3.63 in Method section 3.5.2.	140
Figure 6.6	Titration curves for HL9 binding to $Ca^{2+}DREAM-E234Q$ (a) and $Ca^{2+}DREAM-E186Q$ (b). Solid lines correspond to the best fit using equation 3.63 in Method section 3.5.2.	141
Figure 6.7	Panel (a) is time-resolved anisotropy of HL9 in buffer solution (circles) and HL9 bound $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ (square). Differential phase angle and modulation ratio are shown as solid and empty symbols, respectively. Panel (b) is a Job plot curve represents a 1:1 stoichiometry for molecular association of HL9 with $Ca^{2+}DREAM$	143
Figure 6.8	Titration curves for HL9 binding to $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ by monitoring FITC fluorescence emission change at 520 nm (inset figure). Solid lines correspond to the best fit using equation 3.63 in section 3.5.2. The dissociation constant was determined to be 0.6 μ M	144

Figure 6.9	Kinetics trace for association of HL9 to $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ (a) and dissociation of HL9 from ApoDREAM (b) monitored by change in the fluorescence intensity at 520 nm. The solid line represents single	
	exponential fitting curve.	145
Figure 6.10) The Arrhenius plot of HL9 association with $Ca^{2+}DREAM$. E_a and experimental error were determined from the mean and standard deviations of three independent measurements, respectively	146
Figure 6.11	Apparent association rate constants $(k_{on(obs)})$ were plotted against Ca ²⁺ DREAM concentrations. The association rate constant (k_{on}) and the dissociation rate constant (k_{off}) were determined to be is about 0.6 x 10 ⁶ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ and 1.0 s ⁻¹ , respectively	147
Figure 6.12	² The bar plot represents titrations of 20 μ M of Ca ²⁺ DREAM, ApoDREAM, Ca ²⁺ DREAM-F252A, and ApoDREAM-F252A into 0.5 μ M PS1-HL9.	148
Figure 6.13	³ Titration curves for HL9 binding to $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ in the presence of 0.3 M NaCl. The Solid line corresponds to the best fit using equation 3.63 in section 3.5.2.	149
Figure 6.14	⁴ Titration of NS5806 into the Ca ²⁺ DREAM-HL9 complex. The dissociation constant was determined by fitting the data using a single binding site displacement equation 3.64 in section $3.5.2$	150
Figure 6.15	5 Left: Superposed structures of Ca ²⁺ DREAM (PDB code: 2JUL, molecule 1, cyan) and molecular dynamics simulation structure of ApoDREAM (yellow). Alpha helices $1 - 10$ in DREAM are labeled by $\alpha 1 - \alpha 10$, respectively. The movement of $\alpha 10$ away from $\alpha 7$ is indicated by black arrows. Right: aromatic ring of F252 in ApoDREAM rotates ~90 degrees with respect to aromatic ring of F252 in Ca ²⁺ bound DREAM. The guanidinium group of R200 in ApoDREAM points 180 degrees away with respect to that of Ca ²⁺ DREAM.	153
Figure 7.1	Tryptophan (W169) emission spectra of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ bound DREAM-D150N (left panel) and DREAM-E186Q (right panel) upon excitation at 295 nm. Conditions: 40 μ M DREAM in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 10 mM LDAO, and 1 mM DTT	159
Figure 7.2	W169 emission spectra of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ bound DREAM-E234Q. Conditions: 40 μ M DREAM in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 10 mM LDAO, and 1 mM DTT.	161

Figure 7.3	Fluorescence emission spectra of 1,8-ANS (left panel) and 2,6-ANS (right panel) bound to DREAM-D150N (40 μ M). The excitation wavelength was 350 nm and 319 nm for 1,8-ANS and 2,6-ANS, respectively.	162
Figure 7.4	Fluorescence emission spectra of 1,8-ANS (left panel) and 2,6-ANS (right panel) bound to DREAM-E186Q (40 μ M). The excitation wavelength was 350 nm and 319 nm for 1,8-ANS and 2,6-ANS, respectively.	163
Figure 7.5	Fluorescence emission spectra of 1,8-ANS (left panel) and 2,6-ANS (right panel) bound to DREAM-E234Q (40 μ M). The excitation wavelength was 350 nm and 319 nm for 1,8-ANS and 2,6-ANS, respectively.	164
Figure 7.6	Frequency-domain W169 intensity decay of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ bound DREAM-D150N (left panel) and DREAM-E186 (right panel). The solid lines represent the data fitting using a combination of Gaussian distribution and discrete exponential decay model. The recovered parameters are shown Table 2.	165
Figure 7.7	Frequency-domain intensity decay of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ bound DREAM-E234Q (40 μ M). The solid lines represent the data fitting using a combination of Gaussian distribution and discrete exponential decay model	166
Figure 7.8	Frequency domain intensity decay of 1,8-ANS bound to DREAM- D150N. Phase delay and modulation ratio are shown as solid symbols and empty symbols, respectively. Recovered intensity decay parameters are shown Table 7.2.	168
Figure 7.9	Frequency domain intensity decay of 1,8-ANS bound to DREAM-E186Q (left) and DREAM-E234Q (right). Phase delay and modulation ratio are shown as solid symbols and empty symbols, respectively. Recovered intensity decay parameters are shown Table 7.2.	169
Figure 7.10) Circular dichroism spectra of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ DREAM-D150N (left panel) and DREAM-E186Q (right panel). Conditions: 40 μ M DREAM in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 10 mM LDAO, and 1 mM DTT.	171
Figure 7.11	Circular dichroism spectra of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ DREAM-E234Q. Conditions: 40 μ M DREAM-E234Q in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 10 mM LDAO, and 1 mM DTT.	172

175	Figure 7.12 ITC isotherms for ApoDREAM (A) and $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ (B) binding to DNA. The upper panels of each profile reflects the thermal power expressed in units of µcal/s. The lower panel shows integrated reaction heats (Δ H) expressed in units of kcal/mol. The solid lines present the best fitting curve using a two-set of sites model with deletion of the first titration point. The experiment was carried out by titration of 500 µM of DREAM protein (in syringe) into 15 µM DNA (in sample chamber) at 25 °C
177	Figure 7.13 ITC isotherms for ApoDREAM-D150N (A) and ApoDREAM- E186Q (B), and ApoDREAM-E234Q binding to DNA. The upper panels of each profile reflects the thermal power expressed in units of μ cal/s. The lower panel shows integrated reaction heats (Δ H) expressed in units of kcal/mol. The solid lines present the best fitting curve using a two-set of sites model with deletion of the first titration point. The recovered isothermal parameters are shown in Table 7.3
178	Figure 7.14 ITC isotherms for Ca ²⁺ DREAM (A), Ca ²⁺ DREAM-D150N (B), Ca ²⁺ DREAM-E186Q (C), and Ca ²⁺ DREAM-E234Q (D) binding to DNA. The upper panels of each profile reflects the thermal power expressed in units of μ cal/s. The lower panel shows integrated reaction heats (Δ H) expressed in units of kcal/mol. The solid lines present the best fitting curve using a sequential binding sites model with deletion of the first titration point. The recovered isothermal parameters are shown in Table 7.3.
180	Figure 7.15 A: Time-resolved anisotropy of DNA in buffer solution (triangle), Ca ²⁺ DREAM-DNA complex (square), and ApoDREAM-DNA complex (circle). B: Time-resolved anisotropy of DNA in buffer solution containing 100 μM MgCl ₂ (pentagon) and Mg ²⁺ DREAM- DNA complex (hexagon). Differential phase angle and modulation ratio are shown as solid and empty symbols, respectively. Solid lines represent the non-linear least square fit and recovered parameters are shown in Table 7.4.
182	Figure 7.16 The backbone root-mean-square deviations (RMSD) of Apo, Mg ²⁺ , and Ca ²⁺ DREAM during a 100 ns simulation time
183	Figure 7.17 The root-mean-square fluctuation (RMSF) plot of C_{α} atoms represents the fluctuations of individual residues with respect to the average structure in the structures of Apo, Mg ²⁺ , and Ca ²⁺ bound forms of DREAM from 20 to 100 ns simulation time

Figure 7.18 Graphic representation of the monomer structures averaged over 100 ns of MD simulations of Apo (green), Ca ²⁺ bound at EF-hands 3 and 4 (blue), Ca ²⁺ bound at EF-hand 4 in DREAM-E186Q mutant (light blue), and Ca2+ bound at EF-hand 3 in DREAM-E234Q mutant (pink). All nodes and edges of the communities are colored and shown as ball and stick, respectively.	
Figure 7.19 Top: The path connecting residues E186 and R98 in ApoDREAM (left), Ca ²⁺ DREAM-E234Q mutant (right), and Ca ²⁺ DREAM wild-type (bottom). The nodes in communities are shown as balls. Communities are colored using the same color codes as shown in Figure 7.18. The hydrophobic residues in the path connecting from R98 to E186 in Ca ²⁺ DREAM wild-type are shown in stick (bottom)	
 Figure 7.20 The path connecting residues R98 and E234 in ApoDREAM (green), Ca²⁺DREAM (blue). The nodes in communities are shown as balls. Communities are colored using the same color scheme as shown in Figure 7.18. The hydrophobic residues within paths connecting from R98 to E234 in Ca²⁺DREAM are shown in stick. Note that only two hydrophobic residues I157 and L167 surrounding W169 were observed in the path. 	
Figure 7.21 The MD simulation model of Mg ²⁺ DREAM: Association of Mg ²⁺ at EF-hand 2 forms a new hydrophobic cluster (shown as pink solid surface), that has not been observed in either Apo or Ca ²⁺ DREAM. The other two aromatic clusters identified in the C-terminus and N-terminus are conserved in the NMR structure of Ca ²⁺ DREAM and shown as grey solid surface and blue solid surface.	
Figure 7.22 Left: Dynamical network analysis of the 100ns MD simulation of the first 6 α -helices in Mg ²⁺ DREAM N-terminus displayed in communities (each community has different color). Right: The dynamical network Hydrophobic cluster L93, L96, and F100 on the inner side of α 2 contact with the hydrophobic residues F121 (from α 3), F148, F151, V151, L155, and L159 from α 5 (exiting helix of EF-hand 2) that is stabilized upon Mg ²⁺ association to DREAM. The basic and polar residues K90, K91, Q94, S95, R98, and K101 interfacing with DNA are shown on the outer site of α 2. The leucine residues L155 and L159 at the dimeric interface of DREAM are in red, whereas the basic residues forming a salt bridge between α 2 and α 5 are shown in light blue.	
Figure 7.23 Left: Dynamical network analysis of the 100ns MD simulation of the	

Figure 7.23 Left: Dynamical network analysis of the 100ns MD simulation of the first 6 α -helices in ApoDREAM N-terminus displayed in communities (each community colored differently). Right: In the

; ; ;	absence of Mg^{2+} , the $\alpha 2$ is not involved in an extended community formed by $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 5$, and $\alpha 6$, suggesting a decrease in stability of the N- terminus domain despite of the salt bridge formation between residues E92 and R160 (in light blue, bottom).	193
Figure 7.24	Dynamical network analysis of the 100ns MD simulation of the first 6 α -helices in Ca ²⁺ DREAM N-terminus displayed in communities (each community colored differently). Rearrangement of α 1 partially blocks the DNA binding site on α 2.	194
Figure 7.25	(a): The MD simulation of docking complex between ApoDREAM (residues 75-256) and 25 oligomers corresponding to the DRE sequence of prodynorphin gene (namely DNA). (b): A closer view at the interaction interface between ApoDREAM and DNA. Yellow dot lines represent hydrogen bond interactions. (c): the sequence of 25 oligonucleotides corresponding to the DRE. (d): the sequence alignment of 45 amino acid residues in the N-terminus of DREAM (residues: 75-120, top) and that of the non-DNA binding recoverin (residues: 12-57) to highlight the positively charged residues in DREAM participating to the interaction with DNA.	195
Figure 7.26	Left: Residue W169 is buried in a more hydrophobic environment observed in ApoDREAM (yellow) compared to that obtained in ApoDREAM-D150N mutant (green). Right: The superposition of five α -helices in the N-terminal domain of ApoDREAM (yellow) and ApoDREAM-D150N (green).	197
Figure 7.27	Top: Amino acid sequence alignment of residues (K90 – N102) in $\alpha 2$ of ApoDREAM (green) and Ca ²⁺ DREAM (blue). Bottom: The dimer Ca ²⁺ DREAM was constructed according to the model proposed by Lusin et at. (Lusin et al., 2008).	199
Figure 8.1	The schematic presentation of AOT molecule (left) and a reverse micelle particle containing an Mb molecule encapsulated within internal water pool (right).	203
Figure 8.2	Optical absorption spectra of Mb (left) and MP-11 (right) encapsulated within RM w=40. The traces for $Fe^{3+}Mb/Fe^{3+}Mp-11$ are shown as solid thick lines, for $Fe^{2+}Mb/Fe^{2+}MP-11$ as dashed lines and for CO-Mb and CO-MP11 as solid thin lines.	205
Figure 8.3	PAC traces for CO photo-dissociation from $Fe^{2+}Mb$ in AOT RM of $w_o = 40$ (thick black line), for the reference compound ($Fe^{3+}Mb$ in AOT RM of $w_o = 40$) and the fit (gray line)	207

Figure 8.4 Transient absorption trace for CO rebinding to $Fe^{2+}Mb$ encapsulated within RM, $w_0 = 40$. Inset: Arrhenius plot of the rate constants for the fast (circles) and slow (squares) phase of the CO rebinding to Mb......209

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	FULL NAME
Ca ²⁺	Calcium ion
CD	Circular dichroism
CTF	Carboxy-terminal fragment
DNA	Downstream regulatory elements sequence (5'- GAAGCCGGAGTCAAGGAGGCCCCTG-3') of prodynorphin gene
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
DREAM	Downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator
DREAM-C	DREAM C-terminal domain
DREAM-D150N	DREAM mutant with an inactive EF-hand 2
DREAM-E186Q	DREAM mutant with an inactive EF-hand 3
DREAM-E234Q	DREAM mutant with an inactive EF-hand 4
DTT	Dithiothreitol
EGTA	Ethylene glycol-bis(2-aminoethylether)-N,N,N',N'- tetraacetic
EtBr	Ethidium bromide
EDTA	2-({2-[Bis(carboxymethyl)amino]ethyl} (carboxymethyl)amino)acetic acid
EF-hand	Ca ²⁺ binding helix-loop-helix motifs
FITC	Fluorescein isothiocyanate
GuHCl	Guanidine hydrochloride
IPTG	Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside

ITC	Isothermal titration calorimetry
Ka	Association constant
K _d	Dissociation constant
Ki	Inhibition constant
Mg^{2+}	Magnesium ion
NLLS	Non-linear least squares
NS5806	N-[3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-N'-[2,4-dibromo-6- (2H-tetrazol-5-yl)phenyl]urea
NTF	Amino-terminal fragment
NCS	Neuronal calcium sensor
PAC	Photoacoustic calorimetry
PS1	presenilin 1 protein
KChIP	Potassium channel interaction protein
RMSD	Root mean square deviation
RMSF	Root mean square fluctuation
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulfate
SASA	Solvent accessible surface area
1,8-ANS	1-anilinonaphthalene-8-sulfonic acid
TRIS	2-Amino-2-hydroxymethyl-propane-1,3-diol
1,5-IAEDANS	(5-((((2-Iodoacetyl)amino)ethyl)amino)Naphthalene-1- Sulfonic Acid)
α	alpha-helix
ΔН	Enthalpy change
Φ	Quantum yield

PREFACE

This dissertation consists of the research studies carried out from January 2011 to June 2016 by Khoa N. Pham. During this time, some of these results have been published in peer-reviewed journals, particularly Chapter 4 (Pham and Miksovska, 2015), Chapter 6 (Pham and Miksovska, 2016), Chapter 8 (Larsen, Vetromile, Pham, Miksovska, 2011). The results in Chapter 5 were described in a manuscript that will be submitted by Pham, Gonzalez, and Miksovska. Data represented in Chapter 7 were described in a manuscript that will be submitted to the Biochemistry journal by Pham and Miksovska.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Calcium and intracellular Ca²⁺ signaling network

Calcium plays an important role in many biological processes. In the solid form, calcium has a structurally supportive function (Bronner et al., 1992; Vogel et al., 2002). Soluble calcium ions (Ca²⁺) act as an intracellular signaling ion that interacts with calcium binding proteins to mediate numerous physiological functions, including fertilization, contraction, metabolism, cell cycle progression, signal transduction, replication, gene expression, and electrochemical responses (Carafoli et al., 2007; Gifford et al., 2007; Berridge et al., 1997). In the cytoplasm, intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration at the resting level is about 100 nM, while the extracellular Ca²⁺ concentration is about 2 mM, creating a gradient between the intracellular and extracellular Ca²⁺ concentrations (Vogel et al., 1994; Clapham, 2007). Such low cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ concentration is well maintained by ATPdependent Ca^{2+} pumps, which pump Ca^{2+} out of the cell or into specialized organelles such as the sarcoplasmic reticulum in muscle cells. Intracellular Ca²⁺ mediates its effects and signaling by association to numerous proteins including membrane binding proteins and cytoplasmic proteins. Subsequently, the Ca^{2+} signal is transduced into biological functions by triggering different intracellular pathways (Carafoli, 2007; Clapham, 2007).

The regulation of a single Ca^{2+} ion in numerous cellular processes remarkably highlights its versatility in cellular functions (Carafoli et al., 2001; Berridge, 2001). The versatility of Ca^{2+} signaling has been attributed to its speed, amplitude, and wide range of spatial and temporal signals (Berridge, 2001). Each cell type has distinctive components to create various Ca^{2+} signaling systems with different spatial and temporal dynamics (Berridge et al., 2003). A common Ca^{2+} signaling network consists of four functional components with the Ca²⁺ transients being controlled by the ON/OFF reactions outlined in Figure 1.1 (Berridge, 1997; Berridge, 2001; Berridge et al., 2003).



Figure 1.1: Scheme illustrating a common intracellular Ca^{2+} signaling network. Adapted from (Berridge et al., 2000).

The Ca²⁺ signaling network is initiated by the entry of Ca²⁺ into the cell. During the ON mechanism, the stimulus depolarizes a cell membrane causing a large electrochemical gradient across the cell membrane to activate various Ca²⁺ entry channels, such as voltage-operated channels (VOCs), which allow Ca²⁺ entry from extracellular medium (Berridge et al., 2003). In addition, Ca²⁺ can also be released into the cytoplasm from internal storage held within the membranes of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR). The process is tightly controlled by various channels such as inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate receptor (InsP3R) and ryanodine receptor (RYR) (Berridge, 2001). The Ca²⁺ that flows into the cytoplasm during the ON mechanism does not remain free (Gifford et al., 2007). Instead, most of the cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ is bound to calcium buffer proteins, while only a small portion of free Ca²⁺ binds to calcium effectors such as calmodulin (CaM), troponin, and neuronal Ca²⁺ sensor proteins in the brain. These Ca²⁺ effectors activate

various Ca^{2+} sensitive processes that translate Ca^{2+} signals into cellular responses by triggering many different signaling pathways (Berridge, 2001). Finally, when the Ca^{2+} signal has carried out its functions, it is rapidly removed from the cytoplasm by various pumps and exchangers via the OFF mechanism (Berridge, 2001; Berridge et al., 2003).

1.2 Intracellular calcium binding proteins

Intracellular Ca²⁺ binding proteins (CaBPs) are classified into two classes depending on their functions: Ca²⁺ buffer proteins and Ca²⁺ sensor proteins (Gifford et al., 2007). Ca^{2+} buffer proteins such as calbindin and parvalbumin, which are found in many cell types of various organs, bind Ca^{2+} with high affinity but do not undergo any significant conformational changes (Skelton et al., 1994; Ikura, 1996). The main function of Ca²⁺ buffer proteins is to modulate the Ca^{2+} signals as they load Ca^{2+} during the ON mechanism and unload Ca²⁺ during the OFF mechanism (Gifford et al., 2007). Another important function of Ca^{2+} buffers is to control Ca^{2+} signals transmitted throughout the cell as they limit both the amplitude and duration of Ca^{2+} signal (Braunewell et al., 1999). In contrast, Ca^{2+} sensor proteins bind to Ca^{2+} and undergo significant conformational changes, which allows them to interact with diverse proteins and biomacromolecules to regulate various Ca²⁺ sensitive processes (Braunewell et al., 1999; Burgoyne et al., 2001). Subsequently, Ca²⁺ sensor proteins frequently serve as effector or modulator proteins to transduce Ca²⁺ signals into appropriate physiological responses (Braunewell et al., 1999). Calcium binding proteins have been shown to be involved in several aspects of Ca^{2+} regulation in many cell types including neurons (Burgoyne et al., 2001). Since the objectives of this dissertation are focused on the Ca²⁺ signal transduction and target interactions of the downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM) protein, which is a particular member of neuronal Ca^{2+} sensor (NCS) proteins, general aspects of NCS proteins, including their structure-function relationships, will be briefly discussed in the next section.

1.3 Neuronal calcium sensor (NCS) proteins and the biological functions of Ca²⁺ binding to NCS proteins

The NCS protein family constitutes the largest class of intracellular calcium binding proteins found in many organisms ranging from yeast to humans. These NCS proteins are characterized by their remarkable ability to bind and release Ca²⁺ over physiological ranges of intracellular Ca²⁺ concentrations and to undergo conformational changes (Braunewell et al., 1999; Burgoyne et al., 2001). Subsequently, these effectors interact with and regulate various activities of the targeted proteins. All NCS proteins contain four calcium binding helix-loop-helix motifs (namely EF-hands) (Burgoyne et al., 2001). The conserved sequence CPxG (x is any residue) in the Ca^{2+} binding loop of the first EF-hand prevents EF-hand 1 from binding to Ca²⁺ or Mg²⁺, an abundant intracellular divalent cation with very similar chemical properties to Ca^{2+} (Romani and Scarpa, 1992; Gifford et al., 2007). On the other hand, EF-hands 2, 3, and 4 are able to bind Ca^{2+} with micromolar or submicromolar affinity (Braunewell et al., 1999; Burgoyne et al., 2001; Ames et al., 2012; Burgoyne et al., 2015). The NCS proteins are primarily expressed in photoreceptor cells and neurons. They control almost all aspects of neuronal functions including regulation of neurotransmission, short-term synaptic plasticity, regulation of Ca²⁺ channel, neuronal growth, learning (neuronal calcium sensor protein 1), anti-apoptosis (hippocalcin protein), guanylyl cyclase activation and recycling (neurocalcin- δ , visinin-like proteins 1 and 2), light adaptation (recoverin), regulation of retinal guanylyl cyclase (guanylate cyclaseactivating proteins 1, 2, and 3), regulation of Kv4.3 and Kv1.5 potassium channels

(potassium channel interacting proteins (KChIP) 1, 2, 3, and 4), regulation of presenilin processing (KChIP 3 and 4), and repression of gene transcription (KChIP 3) (Pongs et al., 1993; Burgoyne et al., 2001; Burgoyne et al., 2004; Burgoyne et al., 2007).

The functions of NCS proteins are diverse as they control numerous neuronal events in Ca^{2+} signaling pathways. The diversity has been attributed to distinct types of Ca^{2+} signals that differ in magnitude, duration, and localization (Burgoyne et al., 2001; Burgoyne et al., 2007). As a consequence of these actions of various NCS proteins, the Ca^{2+} signal is transduced into specific changes in cellular function. In addition, these distinct outcomes of the Ca^{2+} signal directly depend on the ability of Ca^{2+} sensing of different proteins in the NCS family, the localization of NCS proteins relative to the Ca^{2+} signal, and interactions of NCS proteins with specific targeted proteins (Burgoyne, 2007).

The ability of Ca²⁺ sensing, commonly known as Ca²⁺ binding affinity, varies in different proteins of the NCS family. The adjustment to Ca²⁺ affinity of individual proteins depends on the variation in the sequence of each EF-hand (Burgoyne, 2007). All members of the NCS family possess four canonical EF-hands, each of which is composed of a nine-residue entering α -helix, a nine-residue Ca²⁺ binding loop, and an eleven-residue exiting α -helix. The entering helix is oriented almost at a right angle to the exiting helix when Ca²⁺ is bound (Figure 1.2) (Gifford et al., 2007).

Most frequently, the Ca^{2+} ion is coordinated by seven ligands that are provided by oxygen atoms in the side chain of residues in the EF-hand Ca^{2+} binding loop (namely EF-hand loop) and in the peptide backbone. In some proteins, the oxygen atom is provided by a water molecule stabilized by a hydrogen bond to one residue in the loop (Figure 1.2) (Gifford et al., 2007).



Figure 1.2: A single EF-hand 2 of recoverin (PDB code: 4YI8). The EF-hand consists of an entering α -helix, a Ca²⁺ binding loop, and an exiting α -helix. The Ca²⁺ atom (green sphere) is coordinated by a canonical Ca²⁺ binding loop illustrating the pentagonal bipyramidal coordination of the Ca²⁺ ion (purple lines) and the hydrogen bonding found in the loop (black lines). The side chain oxygen atoms are in red and the coordinating oxygen atoms from water are shown as red spheres.

Amino acid residues that bind to Ca^{2+} typically contain an oxygen atom in the side chain, such as asparagine, glutamine, aspartic acid, and glutamic acid (Falke et al., 1994; Gifford et al., 2007). Indeed, Ca^{2+} ligands are more frequently provided by the side chain of an aspartic acid residue, which reflects the preference of the EF-hand loop for ligands of the less bulky side chain (McPhalen et al., 1991; Gifford et al., 2007). The geometry of a canonical EF-hand is a pentagonal bipyramid, in which five of the seven Ca^{2+} ligands are provided by side chains of amino acid residues in the Ca^{2+} binding loop, and the other two ligands are supplied by the side chain of a glutamic acid residue located at the twelfth position of the Ca^{2+} binding loop (Figure 1.3) (Gifford et al., 2007).

	Canonical Ca ²⁺ binding loop											
Residue position	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Coordinate ligand	+X		+Y		+Z		-Y		-X			-Z
Most common	Asp	Lys	Asp	Gly	Asp	Gly	Thr	Ile	Asp	Phe	Glu	Glu
Occurrence (%)	100	29	76	56	52	96	23	68	32	23	29	92
Frequently observed		Ala Gln	Asn	Lys Arg	Ser Asn	Phe	Val Lys	Ser Leu	Tyr Thr	Asp Ala	Asp Lys	
		Thr		Asn			Gln		Glu	Thr	Ala	
		Val					Tyr		Asn	Leu	ı Pro	
		Ile					Glu		Gly	Glu	Asn	
		Ser					Arg		Gln	Ly	S	

Figure 1.3: The sequence of a canonical Ca^{2+} binding loop. The Ca^{2+} ligands are indicated by their positions in the loop. The ninth Ca^{2+} ligand (-X coordinate) is typically provided by a water molecule. Adapted from reference (Gifford et al., 2007).

In addition, the residues in the Ca^{2+} binding loop are aligned on the pentagonal bipyramid axes in a manner that the oxygen atoms in the side chain of residues at positions 3, 5, 7, and 12 align along the vertices +Y, +Z, -Y, and -Z of the planar pentagon, respectively. Oxygen atoms in the side chain of residues at positions 1 and 9 chelate with +X and -X axes respectively on the axial position, which is perpendicular to the Y/Z plane as shown in Figure 1.2 (Strynadka et al., 1989; Gifford et al., 2007).

The four EF-hands in NCS proteins always occur in pairs, which form two discrete domains: one is at the N-terminus, and the other is at the C-terminus (Figure 1.4) (Burgoyne et al., 2001). The pairing of EF-hands in NCS and other EF-hand proteins often displays the positive cooperativity for Ca^{2+} binding, which minimizes the required Ca^{2+} signal to reach protein saturation, regulating their target proteins (Gifford et al., 2007).


Figure 1.4: N-terminal domain of recoverin contains a pair of EF-hands (PDB code: 4YI8, residues: 1-94). Alpha helices, denoted by α , 1 to 5 are respectively labeled. EF-hand 1 is composed of α 2 and α 3; likewise EF-hand 2 contains α 4 and α 5. Ca²⁺ is shown as a green sphere.

As previously mentioned, the diverse functions of NCS proteins in neuronal Ca^{2+} signaling pathways are related to the differences of structural properties of NCS proteins such as the number of bound Ca^{2+} in the proteins and Ca^{2+} binding affinity (Burgoyne et al., 2001; Burgoyne, 2007). The molecular details of the NCS proteins' structures have been extensively reviewed in literatures (Braunewell et al., 1999; Burgoyne et al., 2001; Burgoyne et al., 2007; Haynes et al., 2008; McCue et al., 2010; Burgoyne et al., 2012; Ames et al., 2012; Burgoyne et al., 2015). Here, I highlight the differences in the structure among proteins in the NCS family to exemplify the structure-function relationship of these proteins in Ca^{2+} signal pathways.

According to Burgoyne et al., NCS proteins are classified into five classes on the basis of their available sequences in the human genome (Figure 1.5) (Burgoyne et al., 2001). In this context, class A contains only a single gene of frequenin protein, which is

also known as neuronal Ca²⁺ sensor protein 1 (NCS 1). In contrast, class B is comprised of three members of visinin-like proteins (VILIP 1, 2, and 3), hippocalcin, and neurocalcin- δ protein. Class C is the second smallest class in the NCS family and contains a single recoverin protein. Class D is composed of guanylate cyclase activating proteins (GCAPs) 1, 2, and 3. Class E is comprised of four potassium channel interacting proteins (KChIPs) 1, 2, 3, and 4 (Burgoyne et al., 2001).



Figure 1.5: Representation of phylogenetic relationships in NCS proteins. Adapted from (Burgoyne et al., 2001).

Structurally, all members of the NCS family are small proteins containing between 190 and 270 amino acid residues, which are arranged into eight to twelve α -helices. Amino acid sequences of NCS proteins are highly similar, particularly in the regions comprised of Ca²⁺ binding loops (Figure 1.6). In addition, the structures of NCS proteins are heterogeneous in Ca²⁺ free forms, but become more compact when Ca²⁺ is bound (Burgoyne et al., 2001).

NCS1				EF-3 Ca ²⁺ binding loop	
GCAP1			NCS1	TSRGTLDEKLRWAFKL YDLDNDGYITRNE MLDIVDAIYQMVGNT-VE-LPEEENTPEK	147
GCAP2			GCAP1	VLKGKVEQKLRWYFKLYDVDGNGCIDRDELLTIIQAIRAINPCSDTTMTAEE	134
GCAP3			GCAP2	VLRGTLEHKLKWTFKIYDKDGNGCIDRLELLNIVEGIYQLKKACRRELQTEQGQLLTPEE	144
Hippocalcin			GCAP3	IMQEKMEQKLKWYFKLYDADGNGSIDKNELLDMFMAVQALNGQQTLSPEE	133
Recoverin			Hippocalcin	TSRGRLEQKLMWAFSMYDLDGNGYISREEMLEIVQAIYKMVSSVMKMPEDESTPEK	147
VILIP1			VILIP1	TSRGSFEQKLNWAFNMYDLDGDGKITRVEMLEIIEAIYKMVGTVIMMKMNEDGLTPEQ	149
VILIP2			Recoverin	TTAGKTNQKLEWAFSLYDVDGNGTISKNEVLEIVMAIFKMITPEDVKLLPDDENTPEK	150
VILIP3			VILIP2	TSRGSFEQKLNWAFEMYDLDGDGRITRLEMLEIIEAIYKMVGTVIMMRMNQDGLTPQQ	149
KChIP1	DIAWWYY	27	VILIP3	TSRGKLEQKLKWAFSMYDLDGNGYISRSEMLEIVQAIYKMVSSVMKMPEDESTPEK	147
KChIP2	${\tt MRGQGRKESLSDSRDLDGSYDQLTGHPPGPTKKALKQRFLKLLPCCGPQALP}$	52	KChIP1	LLRGTVHEKLRWTFNLYDINKDGYINKEEMMDIVKAIYDMMGKYTYPVLKEDTPRQ	184
KChIP3	MQPAKEVTKASDGSLLGDLGHTPLSKKEGIKWQRPRLSRQALMRCCLVKWIL	52	KChIP2	ILRGTVDDRLNWAFNLYDLNKDGCITKEEMLDIMKSIYDMMGKYTYPALREEAPRE	227
KChIP4	-MNVRRVESISAQLEEASSTGGFLYAQNSTKRSIKERLMKLLPCSAAKTSS	50	KChIP3	LLRGTVHEKLKWAFNLYDINKDGYITKEEMLAIMKSIYDMMGRHTYPILREDAPAE	213
NCS1	MGKSN-SKLKPEVVEELTRKTYFTEKEVQQWY	31	KChIP4	LLRGTVQEKLNWAFNLYDINKDGYITKEEMLDIMKAIYDMMGKCTYPVLKEDAPRQ	207
GCAP1	VME-GKSVEELSSTECHQWY	22		* * *.: * * : * * : * : :: : : : :	
GCAP2	SWEEAEAAGEIDVAELQEWY	25	NCS1	RVDRIFAMMDKNADGKLTLOEFOEGSKADPSIVOALSLYDGLV	190
GCAP3	SIA-G-DQKAVPTQETHVWY	23	GCAP1	FTDTVFSKIDVNGDGELSLEEFIEGVOKDOMLLDTLTRSLDLTRIVRRLONGEODEEGAD	194
Hippocalcin	MGKQN-SKLRPEMLQDLRENTEFSELELQEWY	31	GCAP2	VVDRIFLLVDENGDGQLSLNEFVEGARRDKWVMKMLQMDMNPSSWLAQQRRKSAMF	200
Recoverin	MGNSKSGALSKEILEELQLNTKFSEEELCSWY	32	GCAP3	FINLVFHKIDINNDGELTLEEFINGMAKDODLLEIVYKSFDFSNVLRVICNGKOPDMETD	193
VILIPI	MGRQN-SKLAPEVMEDLVKSTEFNEHELKQWY	31	Hippocalcin	RTEKIFROMDTNNDGKLSLEEFIRGAKSDPSIVRLLOCDPSSASOF	193
VILIP2	MCKON GYL DDEVLEDLVQNTEFSEQELKQWY	31	Recoverin	RAEKIWKYFGKNDDDKLTEKEFIEGTLANKEILRLIQFEPQKVKEKMKNA	200
VILIP3		31	VILIP1	RVDKIFSKMDKNKDDQITLDEFKEAAKSDPSIVLLLQCDIQK	191
KChIP2	CASE MINY DY CI DEDEDED I DEDCADE EL -CARCINE DE CI OCOMMEMORE ON A	111	VILIP2	RVDKIFKKMDQDKDDQITLEEFKEAAKSDPSIVLLLQCDMQK	191
KChTP3	SVBLIDARPASIKPIKPKIDDPDSVDDEPEI-STVCIKPEGIEGIEGIEGIEGIEGI	97	VILIP3	RTDKIFRQMDTNNDGKLSLEEFIRGAKSDPSIVRLLQCDPSSASQF	193
KChTP4	PATONSVEDELEM-ATVRHRPEALELLEAOSKETKKELOTLY	91	KChIP1	HVDVFFQKMDKNKDGIVTLDEFLESCQEDDNIMRSLQLFQNVM	227
Romin 1	· * *	51	KChIP3	HVERFFEKMDRNQDGVVTIEEFLEACQKDENIMSSMQLFENVI	256
	EF-2 Ca ²⁺ binding loop		KChIP2	HVESFFQKMDRNKDGVVTIEEFIESCQKDENIMRSMQLFDNVI	270
NCS1	KGFIKDCPSGQLDAAGFQKIYKQFFPFGDPTKFATFVFNVF DENKDGRIEFSE FIQALSV	91	KChIP4	HVETFFQKMDKNKDGVVTIDEFIESCQKDENIMRSMQLFENVI	250
GCAP1	KKFMTECPSGQLTLYEFRQFFGLKNLSPSASQYVEQMFETF DFNKDGYIDFME YVAALSL	82	NCS1	· · · · · * · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
GCAP2	KKFVMECPSGTLFMHEFKRFFKVTD-DEEASQYVEGMFRAFDKNGDNTIDFLEYVAALNL	84	GCAP1	EAAEAAG 201	
GCAP3	RTFMMEYPSGLQTLHEFKTLLGLQGLNQKANKHIDQVYNTF DTNKDGFVDFLE FIAAVNL	83	GCAP2		
Hippocalcin	KGFLKDCPTGILNVDEFKKIYANFFPYGDASKFAEHVFRTFDTNSDGTIDFREFIIALSV	91	GCAP3	SSKSPDKAGLGKVKMK 209	
Recoverin	QSFLKDCPTGRITQQQFQSTIAKFFPDTDPKAIAQHVFKSFDSNLDGTLDFKEIVIALHM	92	Hippocalcir	a	
VILIPI	KGFLKDCPSGRLNLEEFQQLYVKFFPYGDASKFAQHAFRTFDKNGDGT1DFREF1CALS1	91	Recoverin		
VILIP2	KGFLKDCPSGILNLEEFQQLYIKFFPYGDASKFAQHAFRTFDKNGDGTIDFREFICALSV	91	VILIP1		
VILIP3	KGFLKDCPTGHLTVDEFKKIYANFFPYGDASKFAEHVFRTF DTNGDGTIDFRE FIIALSV	91	VILIP2		
KChIP1	RGFKNECPSGVVNEDTFKQIYAQFFPHGDASTYAHYLFNAFDTTQTGSVKFEDFVTALSI	128	VILIP3		
KCh1P2	RGFKNECPSGIVNEENFKQIYSQFFPQGDSSTYATFLFNAFDTNHDGSVSFEDFVAGLSV	1/1	KChIP1		
KCh1P3	RGFKNECPTGLVDEDTFKLIYAQFFPQGDATTYAHFLFNAFDADGNGAIHFEDFVVGLSI	15/	KChIP2		
KChIP4	RGFKNECPSGVVNEETFKEIYSQFFPQGDSTTYAHFLFNAFDTDHNGAVSFEDFIKGLSI	151	KChTPA		
			11211113		

Figure 1.6: Sequence alignment of NCS proteins from classes A to E. Conserved residues are represented by a star, whereas asterisks indicate identical residues, colons indicate very similar residues, and periods are for weakly similar residues.

1.3.1 Class A: Neuronal calcium sensor 1

Neuronal calcium sensor 1 (NCS 1) is the unique protein in class A. In NCS 1 protein, EF-hands 2, 3, and 4 can bind to two (Pongs et al., 1993) or three Ca^{2+} ions with high affinity (Ames et al., 2000; Bourne et al., 2001; Heidarsson et al., 2012). NCS 1 protein also possesses a myristoyl group located at the N-terminal domain (Figure 1.7).



Figure 1.7: Top: Schematic diagram of EF-hands in NCS1 protein. Bottom left: structure of myristoylated ApoNCS1 (PDB code: 2L2E). Bottom right: structure of three Ca²⁺ bound at EF-hands 2, 3, and 4 of non-myristoylated NCS-1 (PDB code: 2LCP). The EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 of NCS1 are colored in cyan, green, yellow, and red, respectively. The Ca²⁺ ions are the yellow spheres and the myristoyl group is colored in pink.

In most NCS proteins, extrusion of the myristoyl group allows the proteins to interact with the lipid bilayer membrane when Ca^{2+} is bound at EF-hands (Dason et al., 2012). In contrast, exposure of the myristoyl group in NCS 1 protein is independent of Ca^{2+} binding (O'Callaghan et al., 2002). However, when Ca^{2+} is bound, NCS 1 associates more strongly with the lipid bilayer membrane (Handley et al., 2010). The three dimensional structure of myristoylated ApoNCS 1 reveals that the myristoyl group is sequestered inside

a cavity formed by EF-hand 3 and 4 in the C-terminal domain. In addition, these EF-hands and the fatty acyl chain are oriented in a parallel fashion, in which the myristoyl moiety is surrounded by hydrophobic residues from EF-hands (Ames et al., 2000; Ames et al., 2012). On the other hand, binding of Ca^{2+} at EF-hands 2, 3, and 4 in the non-myristoylated NCS 1 (Figure 1.7, left) enhances the association of NCS 1 with membranes to some degrees. Therefore, the enhancement of membrane binding observed in Ca^{2+} bound NCS 1 cannot be attributed solely to the N-myristoyl moiety. However, Ca^{2+} induced conformational changes increase NCS 1 protein's tendency to interact with a hydrophobic surface by means independent of the N-myristoyl group.(Ames et al., 2000).

1.3.2 Class B: visinin-like proteins, hippocalcin, and neurocalcin

Class B of NCS proteins is comprised of three members of visinin-like proteins (VILIP) 1, 2, and 3, hippocalcin, and neurocalcin- δ protein. The proteins in class B are expressed primarily in the brain, but they are also found in peripheral organs (Braunewell et al., 2009).



Figure 1.8: The crystal structure of Ca^{2+} bound non-myristoylated neurocalcin (PDB: 1BJF (Vijay-Kumar, 1999)). The EF-hands 1-4 are labeled accordingly as EF-1, EF-2, EF-3, and EF-4. The Ca^{2+} ion (yellow sphere) is bound at EF-2, EF-3, and EF-4. The EF-1 is disabled from binding of Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} due to a Cys-Pro sequence in its Ca^{2+} binding loop.

The biological functions of proteins in class B have not been well established because these proteins display differences in their Ca^{2+} affinities, membrane binding kinetics, and interactions with intracellular targets (Braunewell et al., 2009). It was proposed that VILIP-1 interacts with GCAPs (Spilker et al., 2002) and hippocalcin interacts with neuronal apoptosis inhibitor proteins (Lindholm et al., 2002). The 3D structure was resolved for neurocalcin and this protein exhibits similar fold as observed for other NCS proteins (Figure 1.8) (Vijay-Kumar, 1999).

1.3.3 Class C: Recoverin

The single protein in class C is recoverin. Among NCS members, recoverin was the first protein to be discovered (Dizhoor et al., 1991). It is expressed in photoreceptor cells, where it performs the main physiological function in regulation of light sensitivity by regulating rhodopsin kinase in a Ca^{2+} dependent manner (Calvert et al., 1995; Chen et al., 1995; Makino et al., 2004). The NMR structures of ApoRecoverin (Tanaka et al., 1995), Ca^{2+} bound at EF-hand 3 (Ames et al., 2002), and Ca^{2+} bound at both EF-hands 2 and 3 (Ames et al., 1997) were determined and are shown in Figure 1.9. Four EF-hands in recoverin are organized into N- and C-terminal domains, connected together by a U-shape linker (Ames et al., 2012). Unlike NCS1, the myristoyl group in ApoRecoverin is sequestered inside the hydrophobic cavity formed by EF-hands 1 and 2 at the N-terminus (Tanaka et al., 1995). When Ca^{2+} ion is bound at EF-hand 3, the myristoyl group remains located inside the hydrophobic cavity, but the carbonyl end of the myristoyl group is displaced away from EF-hand 3 and becomes somewhat solvent exposed (Ames et al., 2012). When Ca^{2+} is bound at both EF-hands 2 and 3, the myristoyl group is completely forced out of the hydrophobic cavity and interacts with the lipid bilayer membrane. This

enables recoverin to translocate from the cytosol to the disc membrane (Ames et al., 2012). Moreover, the similar structures of myristoylated and non-myristoylated Ca^{2+} bound recoverin suggest that the extrusion of the myristoyl group in recoverin does not depend on the interior protein structure as shown in Figure 1.9 (Ames et al., 2012).



Figure: 1.9: Top: Schematic diagram of EF-hands in recoverin. Bottom: (a) structure of myristoylated ApoRecoverin (PDB code: 1IKU), (b) 1 Ca²⁺ ion bound at EF-3 (PDB code: 1OMR), and (c) Ca²⁺ ion is bound at EF-hands 2 and 3 (PDB code: 1JSA). The structure of two Ca²⁺ bound non-myristoylated recoverin is shown in light blue in (c) (PDB code: 4YI8). EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 in recoverin are colored in cyan, green, yellow, and red, respectively. The Ca²⁺ ions (yellow spheres) are bound at EF-hand 2 and 3. The myristoyl group is shown as pink spheres and the N-terminal eight-residue-flexible loop is highlighted in yellow.

1.3.4 Class D: Guanylyl cyclase activating proteins

Proteins in class D are guanylyl cyclase activating proteins (GCAPs) which are expressed in photoreceptor cells. Their main function is to activate retinal guanylyl cyclase (RetGC) at low cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentration upon light activation and to inhibit the RetGC at high Ca²⁺ level in the dark (Dizhoor et al., 1994). Unlike recoverin, Ca²⁺ binding to GCAPs induces small conformational changes and thus does not force the myristoyl group out to the solvent exterior (Lim et al., 2014). In addition, EF-hands 2 and 3 in GCAPs can bind both Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ ions, whereas EF-hand 4 only binds to Ca²⁺ (Peshenko et al., 2006). Structures and molecular mechanisms of Mg²⁺ and/or Ca²⁺ binding to GCAP 1 have been well established, yet information about GCAPs 2 and 3 remains unclear. Therefore, GCAP 1 protein will be used as a model to depict the structure-function relationship of the GCAP class. Biophysical characterization of GCAP 1 shows that ApoGCAP 1 exhibits a flexible and unstructured molten-globule-like protein which does not bind and activate RetGC (Peshenko et al., 2004; Lim et al., 2009).

Binding of Mg^{2+} to GCAP 1 at EF-hands 2 (Figure 1.10, bottom left) stabilizes the protein tertiary structure which allows the protein to interact with and activate RetGC. Binding of Ca²⁺ to GCAP 1 at EF-hands 2, 3, and 4 (Figure 1.10 bottom right) induces a distinct conformation that inhibits RetGC (Dizhoor et al., 1994; Peshenko et al., 2004; Peshenko et al., 2006; Lim et al., 2009; Lim et al., 2014).



Figure 1.10: Top: Schematic diagram of EF-hands in GCAPs. Bottom: (left) structure of Mg^{2+} bound at EF-hand 2 of myristoylated GCAP 1 mutant V77E (Mg^{2+} is not shown, PDB code: 2NA0) and (right) structure of Ca²⁺ bound at EF-hands 2, 3, and 4 in GCAP 1 (PDB code: 2R2I). EF-hands 1 to 4 are highlighted in cyan, green, yellow, and red, respectively. The Ca²⁺ ions are shown as yellow spheres.

1.3.5 Class E: Potassium channel interacting proteins

The proteins in class E are comprised of potassium channel interacting proteins (KChIP) 1-4. The KChIPs share a high sequence similarity over the four EF-hands with about 40% identity, whereas the N-terminal sequence is considerably diverse (Burgoyne, 2004). Alternative splicing of the four human KChIP genes generates different protein variants with diverse N-terminal domains. Some of the isoforms have myristoylation or palmitoylation sites that assist membrane association and localization (Figure 1.11). However, the topology for KChIP isoforms remains unknown (Burgoyne, 2004). The four KChIP proteins and additional splice variants are expressed in neuronal cells and KChIP2 is also expressed in cardiac myocytes (An et al., 2000; Morohashi et al., 2002; Holmqvist et al., 2002). The expression patterns of KChIPs have considerable importance for

	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP1.1	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP1.2	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP1.3	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP2.1	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP2.2	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP2.3	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP2.4	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP2.5	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP3.1	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP3.2	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP4.1	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP4.2	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP4.3	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP4.4	
	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP4.5	
, <mark>4</mark> 1	EF-1	EF-2	EF-3	EF-4	KChIP4.6	
N-terminal		Consorra	A FE hand domains	:My	ristoylation site	
variable region		Conserve	a Er-nana domanis	:Palmitoylation site		

understanding diverse functions of KChIP proteins in modulation of different aspects of neuronal regulation.

Figure 1.11: KChIPs and splice variants in class E. Some KChIP isoforms have myristoylation or palmitoylation sites, assisting membrane association and localization. The GenBank accession number for human KChIP1 isoforms 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 DQ148478, DQ148477, and DQ148476. The GenBank accession number for human KChIP2 isoforms 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 are NM-01491, DQ148480, DQ148481, DQ148482, and DQ148483. The GenBank accession number for human KChIP3 isoforms 3.1 and 3.2 are DQ148485 and DQ148486. The GenBank accession number for human KChIP4 isoforms are DQ148487, DQ148488, DQ148491, DQ148489, DQ148490, and DQ148492, respectively. Adapted from (Burgoyne, 2007).

The crystallographic study revealed that KChIP1 interacts with the N-terminal of Kv4.3 results in an octomeric structure of four primary Kv4 subunits and four KChIP subunits forming heteromultimeric Kv channels. The crystal structure reveals that a

monomer of KChIP1 interacts with two T1 domains of Kv4.3 (Pioletti et al., 2006). The first binding site is through hydrophobic interaction between the N-terminus of Kv4.3 and the hydrophobic cavity on the KChIP1 surface, being exposed upon Ca^{2+} association to KChIP1 (Pioletti et al., 2006). The second binding site is through the helix 2 in KChIP1 and the residues in the loop of T1 domain (Pioletti et al., 2006). The interaction between KChIP1 and Kv4 channel is Ca^{2+} independent, however, binding of Ca^{2+} to KChIP1 promotes the channel activity (An et al., 2000; Pioletti et al., 2006).

1.3.5.1 KChIP3/DREAM/calsenilin

Among proteins in class E, KChIP3 is also known as calsenilin or downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM) protein according to their identical gene sequence (Buxbaum et al., 1998; Carrion et al., 1999; An et al., 2000). Because of that, herein DREAM is used to avoid using different names for the same protein.

The DREAM protein has been shown to be a multifunctional neuronal calcium sensor protein that is expressed in the central nervous system, thyroid glands, immune system and testis (Carrion et al., 1999). The physiological functions of DREAM are involved in various interactions with the targeted DNA and proteins both in and outside of the nucleus. In the cytoplasm, KChIP3 interacts with Kv4.3 potassium channels to modulate A-type potassium currents (An et al., 2000). KChIP3 also interacts with the C-terminal fragments of presenilins to facilitate the activity of gamma-secretase and increase the production of β -amyloid plaques in Alzheimer's disease (Buxbaum et al., 1998). In the nucleus, Ca²⁺ free KChIP3 directly binds to specific downstream regulatory elements of the prodynorphin and c-*fos* genes to repress the transcription of these genes. The DREAM protein also interacts with a silencer sequence in the 3' untranslated region of the apoptotic

gene *hrk* and represses the expression of the downstream reporter genes in a Ca²⁺ dependent manner (Sanz et al., 2001). In addition, at low level intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration, the two leucine-charged residue-rich domains (LCDs) in DREAM interact with the two LCDs located in the kinase-inducible domain and in the leucine zipper domain of α CREM, resulting in the loss of DREAM binding to DRE sites and derepression (Ledo et al., 2002).

1.3.5.2 Structural insight from DREAM

The DREAM protein contains 256 amino acid residues (~ 29 kDa) (Carrion et al., 1999; Craig, et al., 2002), which constitute four EF-hands and a non-conserved N-terminal region (residues 1 - 64) as shown in Figure 1.12 (Buxbaum et al., 1998; Carrion et al., 1999; An et al., 2000). The N-terminal extension region contains a greater number of hydrophobic residues that cause inclusion body formation and aggregation during protein folding (Fink, 1998, Osawa et al., 2001). However, deletion of this region (residues 1-64) does not inhibit binding of DNA or dimerization in DREAM (Osawa et al., 2001; Osawa et al., 2005; Lusin et al., 2008). Furthermore, the truncated construct of DREAM (residues 65-265) also fully activates the Kv4 channels (An et al., 2000).

Structural characterization of DREAM reveals that EF-hand 1 does not bind Ca²⁺ or Mg²⁺ because of the conserved sequence CPxG (Figure 1.12) in the first Ca²⁺ binding loop similar to that obtained in the crystal structure of recoverin (Flaherty et al., 1993), KChIP1 (Zhou et al., 2004; Scannevin et al., 2004), frequenin (Bourne et al., 2001), and neurocalcin (Vijay-Kumar et al., 1999). Substitution of the glutamic acid residue by an aspartic acid residue at the twelfth position in the Ca²⁺ binding loop of EF-hand 2 causes this site to selectively bind to Mg²⁺ with a high affinity (K_d ~ 13 μ M) (da Silva et al., 1995, Craig et al., 2002, Osawa et al., 2005). On the other hand, EF-hands 3 and 4 exclusively

bind to Ca^{2+} with a relatively high affinity (K_d ~ 1 μ M) due to the conserved glutamic acid residue at the twelfth position in the Ca²⁺ binding loop of EF-hands 3 and 4 (Figure 1.12) (Osawa et al., 2001).

10	20	30	40	50	60
MQRTKEAVKA	SDGNLLGDPG	RIPLSKRESI	KWQRPRFTRQ	ALMRCCLIKW	ILSSAAPQGS
++++		+ ++	+ + + +	Abolish Ca ²⁺ or	Mg ²⁺ binding
70	80	90	100	110	120
DSSDSELELS	TVRHQPEGLD	QLQAQT <mark>K</mark> FT <mark>K</mark>	KELQSLY<mark>R</mark>GF	KNECPTGLVD	<u>ED</u> TFKLIYSQ
Δ64		+ +	+ +	+ EF-1 loop)
130	140	150	160	170	180
FFPQGDATTY	AHFLFNAF <mark>D</mark> A	DGNGAIHFED	FVVG <u>LSILL</u> RG	TVHEKLKWAF	' NLY <mark>DINKD</mark> G
	1	EF-2 loop			EF-3
190	200	210	220	230	240
CITKEEMLAI	MKSIYDMMGR	HTYPILREDA	PLEHVERFFQK	MDRNODGVVI	IDE FLETCQ
loop				EF-4 lc	op
250 KDENIMNSMQ	256 <u>L</u> FENVI				

Figure 1.12: The amino acid sequence of mouse DREAM (Gene code: Q9QXT8). The Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ binding loops of EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 are underlined. The negatively charged amino acids involved in Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺ coordination are shown in red. The conserved sequence CPxG (in brown) in the first EF-hand eliminates binding of Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺ at this site. The flexible loop connecting α 7 from EF-hands 3 and α 8 from EF-hand 4 is shown in light green and underlined, while the positively residues R200 and R207 in the loop are shown in blue. The leucine residues (155LxxLL159, and L251) at Ca²⁺DREAM dimeric interface are highlighted in purple.

The three-dimensional NMR structure of Ca²⁺ bound DREAM (residues 76 - 256, PDB entry: 2JUL (Lusin et al., 2008)) as shown in Figure 1.13 reveals that DREAM consists of 10 α -helices, evenly distributed into the N- and C-terminal domains. The N-terminal domain comprises EF-hand 1 (α 2 and α 3, residues 90 - 120) and EF-hand 2 (α 4 and α 5, residues 128 - 159). The C-terminal domain consists of EF-hand 3 (α 6 and α 7, residues 163 - 198) and EF-hand 4 (α 8 and α 9, residues 184 - 240) (Figure 1.13) (Lusin et al., 2008).



Figure 1.13: Three dimensional cartoon structure of Ca²⁺DREAM (PBS: 2JUL, residues 76 – 256) (Lusin et al., 2008). The structure DREAM consists of 10 α -helices (43% secondary structure content) connected by random coils, turns, and a few beta sheets (2%). From left to right, the positively charged residues postulated to facilitate DNA binding (K87, K90, K91, R98, K101, R160) are shown in licorice. The EF-hands 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in cyan, green, yellow, and orange, respectively. The N-terminal α -helix (α 1) and the C-terminal alpha helix (α 10) are labeled in blue and red, respectively. The single intrinsic tryptophan residue (W169) is shown in yellow licorice. The long flexible loop connecting α 7 and α 8 is shown in blue. The Ca²⁺ ion (yellow sphere) is bound to EF-hands 3 and 4.

The two domains in Ca²⁺DREAM are linked together through the central hydrophobic cluster comprising of residues Y130, F133, and A137 from α 4 and residues W160, L173, I190, and M197 from α 6 and α 7 of DREAM (Figure 1.14).



Figure 1.14: The central hydrophobic cluster (shown in stick) connecting the N- and the C-terminal domains of DREAM (PDB: 2JUL) (Lusin et al., 2008).

Moreover, another hydrophobic cluster was also determined at the C-terminal domain of Ca²⁺DREAM, comprising of residues M246, M249, F252, and I256 from α 10 (in red), residues L167, A170, M191, and L194 from EF-hand 3 (in yellow) and residues F218, and M222 from EF-hand 4 (in orange) of DREAM (Figure 1.15). The hydrophobic clusters in DREAM structure were shown to be essential for DREAM interactions with the T1 domain of K_v4.3 channels as well as with small hydrophobic molecules as arachidonic acid and NS5806. (Gonzalez and Miksovska, 2014; Gonzalez, Pham et al., 2014)



Figure 1.15: The C-terminal hydrophobic cluster (as shown in stick) of DREAM (Lusin et al., 2008).

1.3.5.3 The Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ binding properties in DREAM

The binding affinity for Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} in DREAM has been studied intensively. Craig et al. demonstrated that one Ca^{2+} binds to the first EF-hand in DREAM with a high affinity ($K_d \sim 0.6 \mu$ M) and another Ca^{2+} binds to the second EF-hand with a lower affinity, with a K_d ranging from 1 to 10 μ M, relative to that of the first EF-hand (Craig et al., 2002). Osawa et al. showed that the first Ca^{2+} ion binds to EF-hand 3 and the second Ca^{2+} ion subsequently binds to EF- hand 4 in DREAM (Osawa et al., 2005). On the other hand, EF-hand 2 in DREAM selectively binds to Mg^{2+} with a high affinity ($K_d \sim 13 \mu$ M) (Osawa et al., 2005; Lusin et al., 2008). Binding of Ca^{2+} has been shown to induce the dimeric formation of DREAM. However, our current knowledge of the mechanism of Ca^{2+} induced

dimeric formation is limited. The proposed structure of the DREAM dimer was determined according to the directed mutational studies by Lusin et al. 2008 as well as the crystal structure of KChIP1 (Zhou et al., 2004). By using size-exclusion chromatography and pulsed-field gradient diffusion studies, Osawa et al. 2005 showed that the association of Ca²⁺ stabilizes a dimeric DREAM. Lusin et al. 2008 derived a model for dimeric DREAM in the Ca^{2+} bound form using the dimeric X-ray structure of KChIP1 (Zhou et al., 2004). In this model, the residues L155, L158, and L159 (155LxxLL159, x: any amino acid residue) on the N-terminal domain of the first DREAM molecule interact with residue L251 on the C-terminal domain of the second DREAM molecule and these two DREAM monomers are oriented in the head-to-tail orientation (Lusin et al., 2008). Lusin et al. 2008 also speculated that residues in the C-terminal domain of the first DREAM molecule cover the positively charged residues K90, K91, R98, and K101 in α2 of the second DREAM molecule, subsequently eliminating the interaction of DREAM with DNA. In general, binding of Ca²⁺ to DREAM induces significant conformational changes. However, the proposed model structure of DREAM dimer has never been verified in experimental studies. In addition, significantly less is known about the impact of Mg²⁺ and/or Ca²⁺ on DREAM conformational dynamics as well as the role of conformational dynamics in DREAM upon interaction with target proteins or DNA.

2. OBJECTIVES

Understanding the conformational dynamics and stability associated with Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} binding to DREAM is crucial for delineating the structure-dynamics-function relationship of DREAM, as well as for elucidating the mechanisms of interaction of DREAM with DNA or presenilins. The critical barrier for envisioning the molecular mechanism of the interaction between DREAM and its interacting partners lies in the lack of NMR/crystal structures of the Apo and $Mg^{2+}DREAM$. The primary goal of this project was to delineate the structure-dynamics-function relationship of DREAM upon binding with Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} in modulation of the interaction with presenilin 1 and DNA. To accomplish this goal, I employed a combination of fluorescence spectroscopy, circular dichroism, isothermal titration calorimetry, molecular dynamics simulation, and computational docking to investigate the impact of Mg^{2+} and/or Ca^{2+} on the conformational dynamics of DREAM, the functional roles of individual EF-hands in DREAM, and the binding interface between DREAM and DNA as well as between DREAM and presenilin 1.

Here, I hypothesize that the structural changes associated with Ca²⁺ binding to EFhands 3 and 4 are propagated from the C-terminal domain into the N-terminal domain leading to the dissociation of the DREAM-DNA complex. In addition, I propose that there is a high affinity binding site for DNA that is formed by the interfacing of residues from DREAM with nucleotides at the target DRE site in prodynorphin gene for DREAM. Finally, I speculate that residues in the hydrophobic cavity at the C-terminal domain and residues in the long flexible loop connecting α 7 and α 8 in DREAM interface with residues from the C-terminal fragment of presenilin 1. Findings from this project will provide insight into the molecular interaction of DREAM with presenilins and DNA at the amino acid residue level. These findings also provide insight into the roles of individual EF-hands in DREAM in regulating DREAM conformational transition under physiological conditions, which can be used as a model to uncover the functional properties of EF-hands in other neuronal calcium sensor proteins and to characterize the effects of Mg²⁺ on various Ca²⁺ signaling pathways in cells. These results are also important to understand the role of DREAM under physiological and pathological conditions and may provide a structure based design of a novel drug compounds to treat Alzheimer's disease and chronic pain. To accomplish the research goals, the following objectives were carried out.

2.1 Objective 1

Characterize the structural changes associated with Mg^{2+}/Ca^{2+} binding to DREAM wild-type (residues 65 – 256), DREAM C-terminal domain (namely DREAM-C, residues 161 – 256), and DREAM EF-hand mutants (inactive EF-hand 2: D150 \rightarrow N, inactive EF-hand 3: E186 \rightarrow Q, and inactive EF-hand 4: E234 \rightarrow Q)

Previous studies have shown that Ca^{2+} binds to ApoDREAM tetramer promoting DREAM dimerization, whereas the Mg²⁺ binds to DREAM leading to an equilibrium between tetramer and monomer of the protein. However, the detailed mechanism of Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} induced structural/dynamic changes remains unknown. To characterize the conformational dynamics associated with Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} binding to DREAM protein, I took advantage of the single intrinsic tryptophan residue (W169) located in the central hydrophobic pocket between EF-hand 2 and 3 to monitor conformational changes in DREAM, DREAM-C, and DREAM EF-hand mutants (namely DREAM-D150N, DREAM-E186Q, and DREAM-E234Q for DREAM mutants with an inactive EF-hand 2,

3, or 4, respectively) using fluorescence steady-state and time-resolved spectroscopy. In addition, DREAM stability in the Apo and Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} bound forms were probed in unfolding studies. The outcomes of this part in the project are described in chapter 4 (published in Pham et al., 2015), chapter 5, and partially in chapter 7.

2.2 Objective 2

Characterize the molecular mechanism between DREAM and presenilin 1 as well as the binding interface of the DREAM-presenilin 1 C-terminal fragment complex.

Previous studies demonstrated that DREAM interacts with the C-terminal fragment of presenilins 1 and 2 leading to the formation of A β plaques in Alzheimer's disease. However, insight into the molecular mechanism of the interaction between DREAM and presenilins as well as the impact of Mg²⁺ and/or Ca²⁺ on the complex formation remain unknown. The binding between DREAM and presenilin 1 C-terminal fragment (PS1-CTF) was probed by determining the binding constant between DREAM and peptides constituting the PS1-CTF using steady-state and time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy. Subsequently, the oligomerization of the complex was characterized using time-resolved polarization. The residues at DREAM-presenilin binding interface were identified using computational approaches. The results of this part in the project are described in chapter 6 (published in Pham and Miksovska, 2016).

2.3 Objective 3

Determine the functional roles of individual EF-hands in DREAM as well as elucidate molecular mechanism of interaction between DREAM and DNA.

Previous studies revealed that ApoDREAM tetramer binds to the DRE sequence of prodynorphin gene (namely DNA), whereas association of Ca^{2+} at either EF-hand 3 or 4 in

DREAM promotes DREAM dimerization and dissociates the DREAM-DNA complex. However, in the absence of ApoDREAM structure, the mechanism of interaction between DREAM and DNA remains unclear. To accomplish this goal, I employed isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) to determine thermodynamic parameters for DREAM and DREAM mutants binding to 25-oligomer DNA. Furthermore, the interaction of DREAM and DNA was also probed using time-resolved anisotropy to monitor oligomeric states of the complex upon Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ association. Previously, according to the structure of DREAM monomer, it was proposed that basic and positively charged residues K90, K91, Q94, R98, and K101 in α 2 of DREAM form hydrogen bonds and electrostatic interactions with DNA (Lusin et al., 2008). The binding interface of the ApoDREAM-DNA complex as well as the conformational dynamics of ApoDREAM upon DNA association were also examined using computational approaches. The results of this part in the project are described in chapter 7.

2.4 Objective 4

Characterize the kinetics and thermodynamics associated with CO binding or releasing from horse heart myoglobin (Mb) and microperoxidase-11 (MP-11) encapsulated in sodium bis-(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate (AOT) reverse micelles: A model system to study myristoylated and palmitoylated NCS proteins in their lipid membrane association state.

DREAM and most NCS proteins possess either a myristoylation or a palmitoylation site at their N-terminus (Burgoyne, 2007), which serves as an element for membrane targeting and anchoring of proteins (Valentine et al., 2010). Understanding membrane association, controlled by Ca^{2+} triggered exposure of the myristoylation/palmitoylation, is

crucial to delineate protein-protein interactions mediating signal transduction at the membrane (Valentine et al., 2010). However, characterizations of the conformational dynamics of DREAM and other NCS proteins at Ca²⁺ saturated state are often frustrated due to the poor solubility of these proteins (Tanaka et al., 1995; Ames et al., 1997; Valentine et al., 2010). One of the common approaches to obtain a highly soluble and wellbehaved protein is to delete the myristoylation/palmitoylation region containing hydrophobic residues as done in DREAM (the most common form of purified DREAM protein is the construct containing residues 65 - 256). However, this approach prevents our understanding of the role of the myristoylation/palmitoylation site in the DREAM interactions with targeted proteins. The long term goal of this project is to investigate the conformational dynamics of DREAM full length construct (residues 1 - 256) in its membrane-anchored state and the interaction of presenilins with DREAM full length encapsulated in reverse micelles. The most critical and difficult stage of this project is to develop a reverse micelle encapsulation model to investigate the impacts of aqueous solvation and confinement on the structure, stability, and dynamics of full length DREAM. Therefore, at the beginning stage of this project, I investigated the conformational dynamics of myoglobin (Mb) and microperoxidase-11 (MP-11) proteins in the restricted environment of reverse micelles. Photoacoustic calorimetry (PAC) and transient absorption (TA) were used to characterize the kinetics and thermodynamics associated with carbon monoxide (CO) binding or releasing from the heme pocket of horse heart Mb and MP-11. The Mb and MP-11 proteins were chosen as model proteins for this study because the mechanism of CO binding or releasing from the heme group of these proteins is well understood. In addition, these proteins are highly soluble and stable in buffer solution.

Therefore, the characterization of conformational dynamics of Mb and MP-11 encapsulated in reverse micelles is a good model system to study Ca^{2+} triggered exposure of palmitoylation in DREAM encapsulated in reverse micelles upon photolysis of caged Ca^{2+} compound. The results of this part are described in chapter 8 (partially published in reference (Larsen et al., 2011)).

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Materials

The plasmids encoding for constructs of mouse DREAM wild-type (DREAM, residues 65 - 256), DREAM-C terminal domain (DREAM-C, residues 161 - 256), DREAM-EF hand mutants: DREAM-D150N, DREAM-E186Q, and DREAM-E234Q (where the EF-hands 2, 3, and 4 in DREAM are inactivated by site-direct mutations D150 \rightarrow N, E186 \rightarrow Q, and E234 \rightarrow Q, respectively), DREAM-KChIP1, and DREAM-NCS1 chimera (where DREAM construct fused with KChIP1 or NCS1 flexible loop) with T7 promoter and six His tagged on the carboxyl terminus were purchased from GeneCopoeia (Rockville, MD, USA). The DNA sequence '5-

CAGGGGCCTCCTTGACTCCGGCTTC-3' and its complement sequence corresponding to downstream regulatory elements (DRE) of human prodynorphin gene (namely DNA) were also purchased from GeneCopoeia. The synthetic peptides derived for HLβ (residues 355PESRAAVQELSSSIL369) and HL9 (residues

445FYFATDYLVQPFMDQLAFHQFYI467) of human presenilin 1 C-terminal fragment (herein named HLβ and HL9) with FITC covalently attached to their amino-terminus were purchased from ProImmune (Sarasota, FL, USA). Dithiothreitol (DTT), acrylamide, 1.0 M solution of magnesium chloride, and 1,8-ANS were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Guanidine hydrochloride (GuHCl), and 0.1 M solution of calcium chloride were purchased from Fisher Scientific. The hydrophobic fluorescent probes 2,6-ANS and nile red were purchased from Invitrogen and used without further purification. Nickel nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) super flow resin was purchased from Qiagen and diethylaminoethyl Sepharose fast flow resin (DEAE) was purchased from GE Healthcare. All other reagents were purchased from Fisher Scientific and Sigma-Aldrich at analytical grade and used without further purification.

3.2 Methods

3.2.1 DREAM protein expression

The expression vectors of DREAM, DREAM-C, DREAM-D150N, DREAM-E186Q, DREAM-E234Q, DREAM-KChIP1, and DREAM-NCS1 were transformed into *Escherichia coli* strain BL21 (Stratagene) by using the heat shock technique for protein expression.

3.2.2 DREAM protein isolation and purification

Recombinant DREAM protein isolation and purification were carried out using the method described previously (Osawa et al. 2001; Osawa et al., 2005; Lusin et al., 2008). A single colony of transformed DREAM cells was suspended in 50 mL of Luria-Bertani medium supplemented with 100 mg L⁻¹ of ampicillin and grown overnight at 37 °C and 250 RPM in a vigorous shaker (MaxQ 400) for a starter culture. Subsequently, 5 mL of starter culture were transferred into 1 L of Terrific Broth medium supplemented with 100 mg L⁻¹ ampicillin. Cells were incubated at 37 °C and 250 rpm until the optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) reached between 0.8 to 1.0, then the protein expression was induced by adding 700 μ M isopropyl 1-thio- β -D-galactopyranoside (IPTG) to the cell culture. The cells were further incubated at 25 °C for 16 h before harvesting by centrifugation at 4 °C and 10,000 rpm for 10 min (Allegra 64R, Beckman Coulter).

After harvesting, one gram of DREAM wet cells was homogenized in 5 mL of lysis buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM β -mercaptoethanol, 20% glycerol, 1mM phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 0.2% Tween 20, 20 μ g/mL DNase I, 5 μ g/mL lysozyme, and 5 mM MgCl₂. The suspension was then sonicated with a sonic dismembrator (model 100, Fisher Scientific) for 30 intervals of 20 seconds with 2 min of resting on ice between each interval. The membrane debris and unbroken cells were removed by high spin centrifugation at 4 °C and 10,000 rpm for 1 h (Allegra 64R, Beckman Coulter).

The supernatant was collected and loaded into a Ni-NTA super flow column (Qiagen) equilibrated with buffer A containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 20% glycerol. The column was consecutively washed with buffer A containing 5, 10, 20, 30, and 40 mM imidazole until absorbance at 280 nm (A_{280nm}) was less than 0.03 to remove impurities. DREAM protein was eluted with buffer A containing 250 mM imidazole. Purified DREAM protein was dialyzed overnight in 2 liters of buffer B comprised of 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT to remove imidazole and NaCl. The DREAM protein was then applied onto a DEAE column equilibrated with buffer B at 4 °C. The DEAE column was sequentially washed with buffer B containing 20, 40, 60, and 80 mM NaCl until A_{280 nm} was below 0.02. Finally, DREAM protein was eluted with 300 mM NaCl in buffer B. The purity of protein was assessed using SDS-PAGE electrophoresis.

3.2.3 Protein purity assay

3.2.3.1 Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

Purity of DREAM protein was verified using one-dimensional gel electrophoresis. In sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) method, the negatively charged sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) coasted protein migrates in response to an electrical field created by the negative cathode attached to the upper buffer chamber and

the positive anode attached to the lower buffer chamber through pores formed by polyacrylamide in the presence of sodium dodecyl sulfate (Gallagher, 2006). The standard continuous SDS-PAGE, in which Tris-tricine was used for both gel and electrode solution, was used in this experiment. Electrophoresis gels containing 4 - 20 % Tris - Glycine gradient were purchased from Lonza (PAGErTM Gold Precast Gels). The electrophoresis running buffer and treatment buffer were prepared according to the chemical compositions in Tables 3.1 and Table 3.2, respectively. The samples were prepared by mixing protein solution (about 3 mg/ml) with treatment buffer. The samples were then boiled for 10 min in a water bath. Subsequently, $10 \,\mu\text{L}$ of the protein mixed with treatment buffer was loaded into each well of the electrophoresis gel. Electrophoresis was carried out at a constant voltage (120 V) with varying current using a power supply (Model FB300, Fisher Scientific) until the protein samples reached the bottom. The gel was removed and stained in the Coomassie blue solution containing 0.1% Coomassie blue dye, 50% methanol, and 10% acetic acid overnight. The gel was then de-stained in a solution containing 50% methanol, 10% acetic acid, and 40% water for about 3 hours.

Table 3.1:	Chemical	components	for electrop	horesis	running	buffer
		1	1		<u> </u>	

Chemical components	Concentration		
Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.3)	0.025 M		
Glycine	0.192 M		
SDS	0.1%		

Chemical components	Concentration		
Tris-HCl buffer (pH 6.8)	0.125 M		
SDS	4%		
Glycerol	20%		
Bromophenol blue	0.02%		
DTT	0.2 M		

Table 3.2: Chemical components for sample treatment buffer

3.2.4 DREAM protein concentration determination

3.2.4.1 Bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA)

Bicinchoninic acid forms a purple complex with Cu^{1+} in an alkaline solution, which has a strong absorbance at 562 nm (Smith et al., 1985). Since amino acid residues such as tryptophan, tyrosine, and cysteine reduce Cu^{2+} to Cu^{1+} at 37 °C (Wiechelman et al., 1988), the concentration of proteins can be determined by monitoring the color produced in the reaction of bicinchoninic acid with Cu^{1+} (Smith et al., 1985). In this assay, the procedure was followed as described in the BCA protein assay reagent kit (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL). The standard curve was generated by measuring maximum absorption at 562 nm for bovine serum albumin standard protein with concentrations varying from 25 µg/ml to 2,000 µg/ml. DREAM protein concentration was then calculated from the calibration curve of BSA. Consequently, the extinction coefficient of DREAM protein at 280 nm was determined (Table 3.3).

Table 3.3: DREAM protein extinction coefficient at 280 nm

Protein	$\epsilon_{280nm} (M^{-1} cm^{-1})$
DREAM, DREAM-D150N, DREAM-E186Q, DREAM-E234Q, and DREAM-KChIP1	19,000
DREAM-C	11,000

3.2.4.2 Ultraviolet-Visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy assay

Since aromatic amino acid residues such as phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan in protein absorb light with an absorbance maxima ranging from 260 nm to 280 nm, protein concentration can be quickly determined using the Beer-Lambert law (equation 3.1) when the protein extinction coefficient is known.

$$A = -\log\left(\frac{I}{I_0}\right) = \varepsilon \cdot l \cdot C \tag{3.1}$$

In this expression, A is absorption, I_0 and I are light intensity before and after transmitted through a sample, which has concentration, C, in unit of M; path length, *l*, in unit of cm; and the molar extinction coefficient, ε , in unit of M⁻¹cm⁻¹.

3.2.5 Fluorescence spectroscopy

Fluorescence spectroscopy has been widely used in many applications in biochemistry and biophysics research. The advantage of this technique is its high sensitivity, which allows the detection of signals at low concentration ranging from nM to μ M (Boens et al., 2007; Lakowicz, 2010).

3.2.5.1 The light absorption and emission of fluorophores

The process of light absorption and emission of fluorophores are often described by a simple electronic state diagram, known as Jablonski diagram, as shown in Figure 3.1 (Lakowicz, 2006; Periasamy and Clegg, 2010).

After light is absorbed at a particular wavelength, fluorophores in an illuminated volume of the sample undergo an instantaneous electronic transition from the singlet ground state (S_0) into the singlet of the first (S_1) or second (S_2) state. The transition time of

the light absorption process is too short (10^{-15} s) for significant displacement of the nuclei, a phenomenon known as the Frank-Codon principle (Lakowicz, 2006). At room temperature, since the thermal energy is not adequate to populate higher vibrational levels, light absorption typically occurs from the lowest vibrational level of the ground state.



Figure 3.1 The Perrin-Jablonski diagram. The arrows represent the transition of a quantum change. The transitions begin at the lowest vibrational levels of each electronic state (S_0 , S_1 , and S_2) for both absorption (blue arrows) and de-excitation (green arrows). The transition from the excited state to the ground state always happens from the first lowest level of S_1 . The non-emissive de-excitation transitions are internal conversion (ic), intersystem crossing (isc), excited state reaction, quenching, photolysis, and FRET. The excited molecule passes from the singlet (S_1) to the triplet (T_1) state via isc. The emission from T_1 is phosphorescence (red arrow). The other de-excitation transitions from T_1 are similar to that of the S_1 . Adapted from (Lakowicz 2006; Periasamy and Clegg 2010).

In general, fluorophores are usually excited to some higher vibrational levels (v₁, v₂, or v₃); however, they quickly relax to the lowest vibrational level (v₀) of each electronic state via the thermal relaxation pathway. In addition, the excited fluorophores in the S₂ state also quickly relax to the v₀ of S₁ state in less than 10⁻¹⁴ s via an internal conversion (IC) and vibrational relaxation pathways (Figure 3.1). Therefore, the transition from the excited state to the ground state of fluorophores always occurs from the v₀ level of S₁ state. When promoted to the v₀ level of S₁ state, fluorophores undergo fast vibration relaxation before returning to the singlet ground state through either radiative pathway (emission of a photon, k_r) or non-radiative pathways including vibrational relaxation, and internal conversion (k_{ic}). With a very low probability, the excited fluorophores can pass to the triplet state (T₁) via the intersystem crossing process (k_{isc}); however, transition from T₁ to S₀ state is forbidden (Figure 3.1). In the presence of quenching molecules, the excited fluorophores may also be dynamically or statically quenched by surrounding quenchers (k_q) (Lakowicz, 2006).

3.2.5.2 Characteristics of fluorescence emission

3.2.5.2.1 Fluorescence emission spectra

The interesting process of de-excitation transitions is the emission of photons. The emission spectrum is represented by a plot of fluorescence intensity as a function of emission wavelength. One important characteristics of the emissive process is that fluorescence emission occurs at lower energies (or longer wavelengths) relative to its absorption energy, known as the Stokes shift phenomenon. In addition, the same emission spectrum is observed irrespective of its excitation wavelengths, also known as Kasha's rule. However, the shape and maxima of an emission spectrum also depend upon molecular relaxation of the solvent and energy transfer to quenchers surrounding the fluorophore's environment (Lakowicz, 2006).

3.2.5.2.2 Fluorescence quantum yield

The quantum yield (Φ) of fluorophores provides information about the efficiency of the fluorescence process. Fluorescence quantum yield can be defined by the fraction of rate constants of the radiative emission of a photon (k_r) over the total rate constants of both radiative and non-radiative processes, including internal conversion (k_{ic}) and intersystem crossing (k_{isc}) as shown in equation 3.2 (Lakowicz, 2006).

$$\Phi = \frac{k_r}{k_r + k_{ic} + k_{isc}}$$
(3.2)

3.2.5.2.2.1 Quantum yield measurements

Fluorescence quantum yield can be calculated by using either an absolute or a relative method (Wurth et al., 2013). Quantum yield experiments performed in this dissertation project were determined using the relative method described by Williams et al. (Williams et al., 1983). The method involves the use of a well characterized standard sample with known quantum yield (Φ_{ST}) and the quantum yield of testing sample (Φ_x) is calculated by equation 3.3.

$$\Phi_{X} = \Phi_{ST} \left(\frac{Grad_{X}}{Grad_{ST}} \right) \left(\frac{\eta_{X}^{2}}{\eta_{ST}^{2}} \right)$$
(3.3)

In this expression, *Grad* is the gradient from the plot of integrated fluorescence intensity versus absorbance, and η is the refractive index of the solvent. Subscript _x and _{st} denote the testing and standard samples, respectively.

3.2.5.2.3 Fluorescence lifetime

Fluorescence lifetime is defined as the average time that the fluorophore resides in its electronic excited state following excitation (Lakowicz, 2006). Thus, fluorescence lifetime (τ) of a fluorophore is the reciprocal of the sum of radiative and non-radiative rate constants as shown in equation 3.4.

$$\tau = \frac{1}{k_r + k_{is} + k_{isc}} \tag{3.4}$$

Fluorescence lifetime is important for biophysical studies because lifetime of a fluorophore provides useful molecular information about structural fluctuation and conformational heterogeneity as a result of structural perturbations near the fluorophore in the investigating system (Valeur, 2002; Lakowicz, 2006; Periasamy, 2010). Fluorescence lifetime measurements can be performed by either time-domain (TD) or frequency-domain (FD), also known as phase-modulation approach. In the TD approach, the fluorophore is excited with a short laser pulse and fluorescence emission intensity is recorded as a function of time. On the other hand, in the FD approach, when a fluorophore is excited with a modulated sinusoidally light source at high frequencies, the lifetime of the fluorophore causes the emission to be delayed in time, called phase shift (ϕ), and a decrease in the peak-to-peak height, also known as modulation, with respect to that of modulated excitation (Figure 3.2) (Gratton et al. 1984; Lakowicz, 2006; Ross and Jameson 2008). The phase shift is measured from the zero-crossing times of the modulated components, whereas modulation (M) is the ratio of signal amplitude (AC) at modulation frequency, ω , to the average signal (DC) (Figure 3.2) (Gratton et al., 1984; Ross and Jameson, 2008, Lakowicz, 2006). Since throughout my dissertation project fluorescence lifetime

measurements were performed with a FD fluorimeter, a brief discussion about the theory and data analysis of FD fluorescence lifetimes is introduced to give insight into the significance of fluorescence lifetimes of the studies in this project.



Figure 3.2: Relative phase shift (ϕ) and modulation of the excitation (subscript E) and emission light (subscript F) in FD fluorescence spectroscopy. AC and DC indicate the amplitude and offset of the respective waves. Adapted from (Ross and Jameson, 2008).

3.2.5.2.3.1 Basic principles of frequency-domain lifetime

measurements

For a sample containing n fluorophores, each of which has the same probability of emitting in a given period of time, the excitation intensity and the fluorescence emission intensity are described by equations 3.5 and 3.6, respectively (Ross and Jameson, 2008).

$$E(t) = E_0 \left[1 + M_E \sin(\omega t) \right]$$
(3.5)

$$F(t) = F_0 \left[1 + M_F \sin(\omega t + \phi) \right]$$
(3.6)

In these expressions, E(t) and E_0 are the excitation intensities, F(t) and F_0 are the fluorescence emission intensities at time t and time zero, respectively, ϕ is the phase shift

between the excitation and emission, and ω is the angular modulation frequency equal to 2π of the linear frequency *f* (in radian/s). The modulation of excitation (M_E) and the modulation of emission (M_F) are described by equations 3.7, 3.8, and 3.9.

$$M_F = \frac{AC_F}{DC_F} \tag{3.7}$$

$$M_E = \frac{AC_E}{DC_E} \tag{3.8}$$

$$M = \frac{M_F}{M_E} \tag{3.9}$$

In these expressions, AC and DC are signal amplitude and the average signal at modulation frequency, ω , respectively. The subscripts E and F indicate excitation and fluorescence emission, respectively. M is the relative modulation of the emission and excitation modulations.

The characteristic phase lifetime (τ_{ϕ}) and modulation lifetime (τ_M) at any single modulation frequency can be calculated by using equations 3.10 and 3.11 (Ross and Jameson 2008).

$$\tau_{\phi} = \omega^{-1} \tan \phi \tag{3.10}$$

$$\tau_{M} = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\frac{1}{M^{2}} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(3.11)

These phases and modulation lifetimes are equal ($\tau_{\phi} = \tau_{M}$) only if the intensity decay is a single exponential. However, most samples of interest display more than one lifetime, which cannot be resolved by using equations 3.10 and 3.11. In this case, the lifetimes (τ_{ϕ} and τ_{M}) measured at a particular frequency are the result of the weighting various components in the emission (Lakowicz, 2006). In addition, the phase lifetime is shorter than the modulation lifetime ($\tau_{\phi} < \tau_{M}$), and both τ_{ϕ} and τ_{M} decrease at higher modulation frequencies (Lakowicz, 2006).

For a multi-exponential decay system, the phase and modulation values have to be measured in a wide range of modulation frequencies using a variable-frequency instrument. Alternatively, the phase and modulation values can be expressed in the waveforms of sine (S) and cosine (G) functions as shown in equations 3.12 and 3.13 (Ross and Jameson, 2008):

$$S = \sum_{i} f_i M_i \sin \phi_i \tag{3.12}$$

$$G = \sum_{i} f_{i} M_{i} \cos \phi_{i}$$
(3.13)

The parameters ϕ_i and M_i are described in equations 3.14 and 3.15, respectively. Parameter f_i is the fractional intensity of the *i*th components ($\Sigma f_i = 1$).

$$\phi = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{S}{G} \right) \tag{3.14}$$

$$M = \left(S^2 + G^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(3.15)
The functions S and G are sine and cosine transforms of the impulse response function according to equations 3.14 and 3.15 (Ross and Jameson, 2008). These expressions can be used for any intensity decay law such as Gaussian distribution or discrete distribution and can also be transformed numerically into expressions 3.16 and 3.17 (Lakowicz, 2006).

$$S = \frac{\sum_{i} \frac{\alpha_{i} \omega \tau_{i}^{2}}{(1 + \omega^{2} \tau_{i}^{2})}}{\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \tau_{i}}$$
(3.16)

$$G = \frac{\sum_{i} \frac{\alpha_{i} \tau_{i}}{(1 + \omega^{2} \tau_{i}^{2})}}{\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \tau_{i}}$$
(3.17)

In these above expressions, α_i corresponds to the pre-exponential decay factor, τ_i represents the individual lifetimes, and $\sum_i \alpha_i \tau_i$ is proportional to the steady-state intensity. The relationship between α_i and f_i in equations 3.16 and 3.17 is given by expression 3.18, assuming that the quantum yield of emitting species are proportional to their lifetimes (Lakowicz, 2006; Ross and Jameson, 2008).

$$f_i = \frac{\alpha_i \tau_i}{\sum_j \alpha_j \tau_j} \tag{3.18}$$

In the least-square analysis, the parameters α_i and τ_i are varied to yield the best fit between the measured data and calculated values justified by a minimum value for the reduced chi-squared value (χ^2) in 3.19 (Lakowicz, 2006).

$$\chi^{2} = \frac{1}{\nu} \sum \left[\frac{\phi_{m} - \phi_{c}}{\delta \phi} \right]^{2} + \frac{1}{\nu} \sum \left[\frac{M_{m} - M_{c}}{\delta M} \right]^{2}$$
(3.19)

In this expression, ϕ and *M* correspond to the phase and modulation values, respectively, the subscripts *c* and *m* indicate the calculated and measured values, and $\delta\phi$ and δ M are the standard deviations of each phase and modulation measurement, respectively. The value v is the number of degrees of freedom, which is equal to twice the number of frequencies minus the number of variable parameters. The best fit between the calculated values and the experimental values are typically characterized by a χ^2 value close to 1 (Lakowicz, 2006). In the frequency-domain approach, Fluorescence lifetime data are often described in terms of the contributions of various components to the emission or fractional intensities, f_i , which correspond to the contribution of the ith component to the photocurrent. On the other hand, the pre-exponential, α_i , is usually reported in the time-domain approach (Ross and Jameson, 2008).

3.2.5.2.4 Fluorescence quenching

Fluorescence quenching provides useful information on the accessibility of the fluorophore to the solvent. The accessible process depends not only on the structure of the molecules surrounding the fluorophore, but also on the fluorophore charged environment (Jameson, 2014). The quenching process can occur via dynamic quenching (also known as collisional quenching), static quenching, or simultaneous dynamic and static quenching (Jameson, 2014).

3.2.5.2.4.1 Collisional quenching

Collisional quenching occurs when the excited fluorophore comes in contact with an atom or molecule that can facilitate the non-radiative transitions to the ground state (Valeur, 2002). Thus, fluorescence quantum yield and fluorescence lifetime of a single exponential system in the presence of quenchers are given by equations 3.20 and 3.21 (Valeur, 2002).

$$\Phi = \frac{k_r}{k_r + k_{is} + k_{isc} + k_q[Q]} = \frac{k_r}{\frac{1}{\tau_0} + k_q[Q]}$$
(3.20)

$$\frac{\tau_0}{\tau} = 1 + k_q[Q] \tag{3.21}$$

In these expressions, k_q is the rate of quenching, [Q] is the quencher concentration, and τ_0 and τ are lifetimes in the absence and presence of quenchers, respectively. Other parameters have the same meaning as described previously.

Since quantum yield is proportional to fluorescence emission intensity, the combination of equations 3.20 and 3.21 gives the Stern-Volmer equation (Valeur, 2002).

$$\frac{\Phi_0}{\Phi} = \frac{I_0}{I} = 1 + k_q \tau_0[Q] = 1 + K_{SV}[Q]$$
(3.22)

In this expression, I_0 and I are steady-state fluorescence intensities in the absence and presence of quencher Q, respectively. The Stern-Volmer constant K_{sv} is equal to $k_q\tau_0$. Thus, the bimolecular quenching constant (k_q) can be calculated from K_{sv} and determined from the plot of I_0/I against the quencher concentration [Q] when the excited-state lifetime in the absence of quencher (τ_0) is known (Valeur, 2002).

3.2.5.2.4.2 Static quenching

Static quenching occurs when a fluorophore interacts with a quencher to form a non-fluorescent complex in the ground state. Upon light absorption, the complex rapidly returns to the ground state without emission of a photon. Thus, the steady-state fluorescence intensity decreases upon addition of Q, but lifetime of the fluorophore is unaffected (Lakowicz, 2006; Valeur, 2002). The static quenching can be described by equation 3.23.

$$\frac{I_0}{I} = 1 + K_{sv}[Q]$$
(3.23)

The linear dependence of I_0/I in the expression for static quenching (equation 3.23) is identical to that of dynamic quenching in equation 3.22, except that the quenching constant (K_{sv}) is the association constant.

3.2.5.5 Fluorescence anisotropy

Fluorescence anisotropy measurement provides useful information on molecular mobility, size, shape, and flexibility of molecules, fluidity of a medium, and other parameters (Valeur, 2002).

3.2.5.5.1. Basic principles and theory of fluorescence anisotropy

In a homogenous solution, fluorophores are randomly oriented in the ground state. When these fluorophores are excited with vertically polarized light, whose electric vector has transition moments oriented along the z-axis (Figure 3.3), the intensity of emission can be measured through a polarizer. If the emission polarizer is oriented parallel (||) to the z-axis, the observed intensity is called I_{\parallel} . Likewise, if the polarizer is perpendicular (\perp) to zaxis, the observed intensity is called I_{\perp} . The anisotropy (r) is calculated from these I_{\parallel} and I_{\perp} intensity components by using equation 3.24 (Lakowicz, 2006).

$$r = \frac{I_{\parallel} - I_{\perp}}{I_{\parallel} + 2I_{\perp}}$$
(3.24)

Since the intensity difference between parallel and perpendicular components $(I_{\parallel} - I_{\perp})$ is normalized by the total intensity $(I_{\parallel} + 2I_{\perp})$, anisotropy is independent of the fluorophore concentration (Lakowicz, 2006).



Figure 3.3: Schematic diagram for measurement of fluorescence anisotropy. Adapted from (Lakowicz, 2006).

Upon excitation by polarized light, a fluorophore, whose dipole is oriented with angles θ and ϕ respectively relative to the z-axis and y-axis as shown in Figure 3.4, creates the electric field (E), described by equation 3.25.

$$E(\theta,\phi) = k \frac{\sin\theta}{r} \hat{\theta}$$
(3.25)

In this expression, k is a constant, r is the distance from the fluorophore, and $\hat{\theta}$ is the unit vector along the θ coordinate.

The intensity of emission of that fluorophore is proportional to the square of the electric field, described by equation 3.26 (Lakowicz, 2006).

$$I(\theta,\phi) = k^2 \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{r^2} r^{\alpha}$$
(3.26)

where r is a unit vector in the direction of propagation.



Figure 3.4: Schematic diagram illustrating emission intensities for a single fluorophore in a coordinate system. Adapted from (Lakowicz, 2006).

Since the electric field points in the same direction as the emission transition moment, the projection of the field onto the z-axis is proportional to $\cos\theta$ and the intensity is proportional to $\cos^2\theta$. Likewise, the field along the x-axis is proportional to $\sin\theta\sin\phi$ and

the intensity is proportional to $\sin^2\theta \sin^2\phi$. Hence, I_{\parallel} and I_{\perp} components can be expressed by equations 3.27 and 3.28 (Lakowicz, 2006).

$$I_{\parallel}(\theta,\phi) = \cos^2\theta \tag{3.27}$$

$$I_{\perp}(\theta,\phi) = \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi \tag{3.28}$$

Because all the excited fluorophores at angle ϕ from the y-axis are symmetrically distributed around the z-axis, the population of excited fluorophores is oriented with values of ϕ from 0 to 2π with equal probability. The average value of $\sin^2\phi$ is given by equation 3.29.

$$\left\langle \sin^2 \phi \right\rangle = \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2 \phi d\phi}{\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi} = \frac{1}{2}$$
(3.29)

Therefore, equation 3.28 can be written as equation 3.30.

$$I_{\perp}(\theta,\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\sin^2\theta \tag{3.30}$$

Assuming that the probability of a collection of fluorophores, oriented at an angle between θ and $\theta + d\theta$ relative to z-axis, is $f(\theta)$, then, I_{\parallel} and I_{\perp} can be rewritten by equations 3.31 and 3.32.

$$I_{\parallel} = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} f(\theta) \cos^{2}\theta d\theta = k \left\langle \cos^{2}\theta \right\rangle$$
(3.31)

$$I_{\perp} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} f(\theta) \sin^{2} \theta d\theta = \frac{k}{2} \left\langle \sin^{2} \theta \right\rangle$$
(3.32)

where k is an instrumental constant.

Using $\sin^2\theta = 1 - \cos^2\theta$, equation 3.32 can be rewritten by equation 3.33.

$$r = \frac{3\left\langle\cos^2\theta\right\rangle - 1}{2} \tag{3.33}$$

In this expression, the anisotropy is determined by the average value of $\cos^2\theta$, where θ is the angle of the emission dipole relative to the z-axis (Lakowicz, 2006).

3.2.5.5.2 Steady-state anisotropy

The steady-state anisotropy can be calculated from an average of the anisotropy decay r(t) over the intensity decay I(t) by using equation 3.34 (Lakowicz, 2006).

$$r = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} I(t)r(t)dt}{\int_{0}^{\infty} I(t)dt}$$
(3.34)

For a single-exponential intensity decay with lifetime, τ , the steady-state anisotropy is given by equation 3.35.

$$r = \frac{r_0}{1 + \tau/\theta} \tag{3.35}$$

In this expression, r_0 is the limiting anisotropy and θ is the angle of the emission dipole relative to the z-axis.

3.2.5.3 Frequency-domain anisotropy decay

Frequency-domain (FD) anisotropy decay is also known as the dynamic polarization method (Jameson, 2014). Anisotropy decay provides information on rotational modalities of a fluorophore as a function of time. Thus, it allows us to investigate the dynamics of the system such as size, shape, and flexibility (Lakowicz, 2006; Ross and Jameson, 2008).

3.2.5.5.3.1 Theory of FD anisotropy decay

In FD anisotropy decay, a fluorophore is illuminated with vertically polarized, modulated light, and the parallel and perpendicular emission intensities are observed through a rotatable polarizer. The observable quantities for the anisotropy decay measurements are the phase shift (Δ_{ω}) between perpendicular (ϕ_{\perp}) and parallel (ϕ_{\parallel}) emission components at the modulation frequency (ω) and the ratio of the modulated amplitudes of the parallel (M_{\parallel}) and perpendicular (M_{\perp}) components of emission (Figure 3.5) (Lakowicz, 2002).

The changes of Δ_{ω} and Λ_{ω} are because of the rotation of the excited fluorophore during the excited state lifetime, which changes the orientation of the emission dipole of the fluorophore (Ross and Jameson, 2008). The relation between the observed Δ_{ω} and Λ_{ω} with respect to rotational parameters of fluorophores in a single rotating spherical system is displayed in equations 3.36 and 3.37 (Weber, 1977; Ross and Jameson, 2008).

$$\Delta_{\omega} = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{18\omega r_0 R}{(k^2 + \omega^2)(1 + r_0 - 2r_0^2) + 6R(6R + 2k + kr_0)} \right]$$
(3.36)

$$\Lambda_{\omega} = \left[\frac{\left(\left((1-r_{0})+6R\right)^{2}+(1-r_{0})^{2}\omega^{2}\right)}{\left[\left(1+2r_{0}\right)k+6R\right]^{2}+(1+2r_{0})^{2}\omega^{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(3.37)

In these expressions, r_0 is the limiting anisotropy, which is observed in the absence of rotation, k is the radiative rate constant $(1/\tau)$ of the fluorophore, and R is the rotational

diffusion coefficient, which is equal to twice the reciprocal of the rotational relaxation time (ρ), where ρ is equal three times the rotational correlation time (Ross and Jameson, 2008).



Figure 3.5: Schematic diagram for measurement of anisotropy decays with frequencydomain. Adapted from (Lakowicz, 2002).

3.2.5.3.2 Data analysis of anisotropy decay

In FD anisotropy decay approach, the phase shift ($\Delta_{c\omega}$) and modulation ratio ($\Lambda_{c\omega}$)

are measured using equations 3.38 and 3.39.

$$\Delta_{c\omega} = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{D_{\parallel} N_{\perp} - N_{\parallel} D_{\perp}}{N_{\parallel} N_{\perp} + D_{\parallel} D_{\perp}} \right)$$
(3.38)

$$\Lambda_{c\omega} = \left(\frac{N_{\parallel}^2 + D_{\parallel}^2}{N_{\perp}^2 + D_{\perp}^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(3.39)

In these expressions, parameters D and N are expressions for the sine and cosine transforms of the individual polarized decays as shown in equations 3.40 and 3.41 (Weber, 1977; Lakowicz, 2006).

$$N_k = \int_{0}^{\infty} I_k(t) \sin \omega t dt$$
(3.40)

$$D_k = \int_0^\infty I_k(t) \cos \omega t dt \tag{3.41}$$

where k indicates the perpendicular and parallel orientations.

Thus, anisotropy decay parameters can be obtained by minimizing the squared deviations between measured and calculated values using equation 3.42.

$$X^{2} = \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{\omega} \left(\frac{\Delta_{\omega} - \Delta_{c\omega}}{\delta \Delta} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{\omega} \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\omega} - \Lambda_{c\omega}}{\delta \Delta} \right)^{2}$$
(3.42)

where $\delta\Delta$ and $\delta\Lambda$ are the uncertainties in the differential phase and modulation ratio, respectively (Joseph R. Lakowicz 2006).

3.2.5.5.3.3 Anisotropy decay fitting models

Anisotropy decay models are varied since the size, shape, and flexibility of the fluorophore, and its local environment can affect anisotropy decays of the investigated system. A spherical molecule displays a single rotational correlation time. However, the anisotropy decay for a non-spherical fluorophore is more complicated because there are different rotational rates around each axis (Lakowicz, 2006). Several anisotropy decay models routinely used for data analysis throughout my dissertation project are described

below. For the first case of a spherical system, the decay of anisotropy with time, I(t), is given by 3.43 (Jameson, 2014).

$$r = \frac{I_{\parallel} - I_{\perp}}{I_{\parallel} + 2I_{\perp}} = r_0 e^{-t/\theta}$$
(3.43)

In the case of a mixture of large and small particles, anisotropy decay reflects the sum of exponential components as displayed in equation 3.44a (Jameson, 2014).

$$r(t) = r_1 e^{-t/\theta_1} + r_2 e^{-t/\theta_2}$$
(3.44a)

In the case of a local rotation of a probe attached to a spherical particle, the decay anisotropy is given by equation 3.44b (Jameson, 2014).

$$r(t) = r_1 e^{-t/\theta_1} + r_2 e^{-(t/\theta_1 + t/\theta_2)}$$
(3.44b)

In these expressions, r_1 and r_2 are anisotropy amplitudes, θ_1 and θ_2 are respectively the rotational correlation time of fast (local) motion and slow (global) motion of a mixed system.

The experimentally determined rotational correlation times can be compared to expected values (θ_{calc}) for a spherical protein calculated by using the Stokes-Einstein equation 3.45 (Lakowicz, 2006).

$$\theta_{calc} = \frac{\eta V}{RT} \tag{3.45}$$

where η is the viscosity of water ($\eta = 1.02$ cP) (Korson et al., 1969), V is the hydrodynamic molecular volume, R is the ideal gas constant, and T is the temperature (20° C).

3.2.5.6 Fluorescence stopped-flow kinetics

3.2.5.6.1 Basic principles of fluorescence stopped-flow kinetics

Fluorescence stopped-flow kinetics is a useful method that directly measures the kinetics of a bi-molecular reaction. Kinetics parameters provide reaction rates, which can be used to investigate mechanisms and binding constants (Gibson, 1969; Hargrove, 2005). In this method, small volumes of protein and ligand, such as a short peptide, are rapidly driven from syringes A and B through valves A and B into an observation mixer chamber as shown in Figure 3.6.



Figure 3.6: Schematic diagram of a stopped-flow mixer. Reaction A and B are driven into the mixer through valves A and B. The previous content of the tube and cuvette are flushed out the stopping syringe via valve S that is open during flow. When the plunger of the stopping syringe hits the block, the valve S is closed and the contents of the cuvette can be probed during reaction mixture. Adapted from (Hargrove, 2005).

The resultant reaction volume displaces the content of an observation cuvette and thus fills it with freshly mixed reagents. As long as the stopping syringe is filled with old reaction solution, the plunger hits a block causing the flow to be stopped instantaneously (Figure 3.6). The time during which the solution enters the observation cuvette is known as the dead time of a stopped-flow system, which is less than 8 ms for a fast kinetic stopped-flow system, model SFA-20 (TgK Scientific).

3.2.5.6.2 Fluorescence stop-flow kinetics measurements and data analysis

The kinetics measurements were performed with a ChronosFD spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign, IL) coupled to a SFA-20 rapid stopped-flow apparatus (TgK Scientific, Bradford-on-Avon, UK). Kinetics data were analyzed using single and double exponential decay models (Origin 8 software, OriginLab Corp. Northampton, MA).

3.2.5.7 Fluorophores

Fluorophores are classified into intrinsic and extrinsic probes. The intrinsic fluorophores are natural aromatic amino acid residues in proteins including tryptophan, tyrosine, and phenylalanine. On the other hand, extrinsic fluorophores are fluorescence emissive compounds such as FITC, DNS-Cl, ethidium bromide (EtBr), or ANS. Since many proteins and DNA of interest are nonfluorescent, extrinsic fluorophores are frequently labeled on the proteins or DNA to create a fluorescent system. The fluorophores, routinely used in this project, are listed in Table 3.4.

Chemical	$\epsilon_{\lambda nm}$ (M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)	Solvent	References	Manufactures	
1,8-ANS	7,800 (ɛ _{372nm})	Methanol	Diwu et al., 1997	Sigma	
2,6-ANS	27,000 (ε _{319nm})	Methanol	Diwu et al., 1997	Molecular Probes	
Nile red	19,600 (ε _{552nm})	DMSO	Castro et al., 2005	Molecular Probes	
Fluorescein isothyocyanate (FITC)	75,000 (ε _{493nm})	Water	Jobbágy et al., 1973	Molecular Probes	
Ethidium bromide (EtBr)	5,680 (ε _{478nm})	Water	Garbett et al. 2004	Thermal Fisher	

Table 3.4: List of extinction coefficients ($\epsilon_{\lambda nm}$), emission maximum wavelength (Em. λ_{max}) of fluorophores used.

3.2.6 Circular dichroism spectroscopy

Circular dichroism (CD) is an excellent method to evaluate the secondary structure, folding, and binding properties of proteins because CD measurements can be performed with low concentration of protein ($< 20 \ \mu g/ml$) in a physiological buffer within a few hours (Greenfield, 2006). The principle, applications, and analysis of CD data have been intensively described by Greenfield (Greenfield, 2004; Greenfield, 2004; Greenfield, 2006; Greenfield, 2006; Greenfield, 2015). Therefore, the basic principles of CD spectroscopy and its applications in evaluating the secondary structure of the DREAM protein as well as protein stability will be concisely highlighted in the next sections.

3.2.6.1 Basic principles of circular dichroism

A beam of light consists of electric and magnetic fields which oscillate in all planes perpendicular to the propagating direction. Linearly polarized light, generated by passing the light through a prism, has an electric field (E) that vibrates sinusoidally in a single plane (Kuball, 1999). If linearly polarized light passes through a birefringent material, the electric field will be separated into two components of equal magnitude.

Superposition of these two sinusoidal waves with a phase-shift equal to a quarter of its wavelength ($\lambda/4$) creates circular polarized waves, whose electric field rotates circularly about their propagating direction while the vector retains constant magnitude (Figure 3.7) (Berova et al., 2000). If observed from the front, the circularly polarized light can be seen as the result of two vectors of equal length: one rotating clockwise (right handed, E_R) and the other rotating counter-clockwise (left handed, E_L) as shown in Figure 3.7 (Greenfield, 2006).



Figure 3.7: Scheme representing linearly polarized light that is converted using a linear polarizer and the circularly polarized light that is created using a quarter-wave ($\lambda/4$) plate. Adapted from (Berova et al., 2000).

If the circular polarized light passes through an isotropic environment (achiral samples), it will not experience any difference as shown in Figure 3.8A. However, when circular polarized light passes through chiral samples such as amino acids (except glycine), the E_L and E_R components are absorbed differently, resulting in an elliptical polarization as shown in Figure 3.8B (Kelly et al., 2005).

The unequal absorption (ΔA) of the E_L and E_R components of circular polarized light by chiral samples is known as CD. The CD instrument measures the difference in absorbance (ΔA) between E_L and E_R components of circular polarized light ($A_L - A_R$) described in equation 3.46 (Berova et al., 2000; Kelly et al., 2005).

$$\Delta A = A_L - A_R = (\varepsilon_L - \varepsilon_R) \cdot l \cdot C = \Delta \varepsilon \cdot l \cdot C \tag{3.46}$$

In this expression, parameters ε_L and ε_R are extinction coefficients of the sample for E_L and E_R components, respectively. *C* is concentration of the sample, and *l* is the path length of the optical cell.



Figure 3.8: A: when circularly polarized light passes through achiral samples, its E_L and E_R components of are constant. B: when circularly polarized light passes through chiral samples, E_L (blue) and E_R (green) components are absorbed in distinctly, resulting in elliptical polarized light (red). Adapted from (Kelly et al., 2005).

The unit of CD is reported in degrees of ellipticity (θ), whose tangent is the ratio of the minor (b) to the major (a) axis of ellipse as shown in Figure 3.8B, as a function of wavelength (Berova et al., 2000).

$$\theta = 32.98(\Delta A) \tag{3.47}$$

The molar ellipticity ($[\theta]$) in deg cm² dmol⁻¹ is converted using equation 3.48 (Berova et al., 2000).

$$\left[\theta\right] = \frac{100(\Delta A)}{C \cdot l} \tag{3.48}$$

In measurements of proteins or peptides, the molar concentration unit is calculated using mean residue weight (MRW), which is the molar weight of the protein divided by the number of amino acid residues per molecule. Thus, the residue ellipticity ($[\theta]_{MR}$) can be reported in the unit of degrees cm² dmol⁻¹ by equation 3.49, where C is concentration expressed in mg ml⁻¹ (Berova et al., 2000).

$$\left[\theta\right]_{^{MR}} = \frac{\theta \times (MRW)}{10 \times C \times l} \tag{3.49}$$

3.2.6.2. Information from CD studies of proteins

Analysis of a CD spectrum in the far and near UV regions provides information about the proteins' secondary and tertiary structures. Chromophores of interest in proteins widely absorb circularly polarized light ranging from 190 nm to 650 nm (Berova et al., 2000).

Information on the secondary structure of a protein can be characterized using a far UV CD spectrum (between 190 nm and 250 nm). For instance, α -helical structure shows a strong peak centered at 192 nm according to perpendicular $\pi \to \pi^*$ transition and intensively negative peaks centered at 208 nm and 222 nm according to parallel $\pi \to \pi^*$ transition and $n \to \pi^*$ transition, respectively (Figure 3.9). On the other hand, β -sheet

displays a positive peak at 196 nm according to $n \to \pi^*$ transition and a negative peak at 218 nm according to $\pi \to \pi^*$ transition. The random coil shows a positive peak at 212 nm and 195 nm according to the transitions of $\pi \to \pi^*$, and $n \to \pi^*$, respectively (Figure 3.9) (Berova et al., 2000).



Figure 3.9: Far UV CD spectra associated with various types of secondary structure of proteins. Adapted from (Berova et al., 2000).

Aromatic side chains of phenylalanine, tyrosine, tryptophan, and disulphide bonds absorb circular polarized light near the UV region ranging from 260 nm to 320 nm. A near UV CD spectrum reflects the micro environment of aromatic amino acid side chains such as the types of aromatic amino acids present, their mobility, the nature of their environment, and their spatial disposition in the protein (Kelly et al., 2005). For instance, tryptophan shows a fine peak between 290 nm and 305 nm, while tyrosine displays a broad peak between 275 nm and 282 nm and a shoulder at 285 nm. Phenylalanine shows a sharp band between 255 nm and 270 nm (Figure 3.10), whereas a disulphile bond has a weak and broad peak centered at 260 nm (Kelly et al., 2005). However, the actual shape and magnitude of the near UV CD spectrum of a protein containing aromatic side chains depends on the nature of their environment as previously mentioned (Kelly et al., 2005).



Figure 3.10: Near UV CD spectrum for various aromatic side chains of proteins. Adapted from (Kelly, Jess et al. 2005).

Furthermore, cofactors such as heme and flavin only display a strong CD signal when associated with protein partners. Thus, the CD signals in the appropriate spectral region are excellent probes for cofactor association (Kelly et al., 2005). For instance, a CD spectrum of hemeproteins shows a strong peak centered around 410 nm with other bands in range from 350 to 650 nm depending on spin state and coordination of the heme iron (Kelly et al., 2005).

3.2.6.3 CD measurements of DREAM protein

The CD measurements of DREAM protein were performed on a JASCO J-810 CD spectropolarimeter (JASCO Inc. Easton, MD). A sample containing 40 μ M of purified DREAM protein was placed in a quartz cuvette with 0.1 cm path length. The far UV CD

spectra were collected at 20 nm/min scan rate from 190 to 260 nm with 1 nm band width, 8 seconds response time, 1 nm data pitch, and 5 accumulations. The baseline was acquired in the same way with buffer solution and the CD spectra of protein were corrected by subtracting from the baseline. All the CD spectra were recorded at 16 °C.

3.2.6.4 Sample preparation for CD measurements

To investigate Mg^{2+} and/or Ca^{2+} induced conformational changes in DREAM protein, Ca^{2+} and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ bound DREAM samples were prepared by adding $CaCl_2$ or $MgCl_2$ solutions to protein samples to a final concentration of 1 mM Ca^{2+} or 5 mM Mg^{2+} . Apo and $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ and DREAM-C samples were prepared by adding 1 mM EDTA and 1mM EGTA with 5 mM MgCl₂ to protein solutions, respectively. To minimize the difference on CD spectra of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ bound forms due to the mismatch of protein concentration, four samples with identical protein concentration (40 μ M) were prepared from an initial stock protein which was split into individual sample and EDTA, EGTA, Mg^{2+} , or Ca^{2+} was added in equal volumes.

Table 3.5: Volumes and concentrations of protein and chemicals used to prepare CD samples of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ bound DREAM with identical concentration of DREAM protein

DREAM Samples	Vol. of 500 µM DREAM (µL)	Vol. of 0.1 M EDTA (µL)	Vol. of 0.1 M EGTA (<i>µL</i>)	Vol. of 0.5 M MgCl ₂ (µL)	Vol. of 0.1 M CaCl ₂ (µL)	Vol. of CD buffer (µL)
Аро	32	4				364
Mg ²⁺	32		4	4		360
Ca ²⁺	32				4	364
Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺	32			4	4	360

The buffer used for CD experiments contains 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 1 mM DTT, and 10 mM LDAO freshly prepared in decalcified water. The concentrations and volumes of stock DREAM protein, EDTA, EGTA, CaCl₂, MgCl₂, and CD buffer are listed in Table 3.5.

3.2.7 Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)

3.2.7.1 Principle of ITC

The technical details and the principle of isothermal titration calorimetry have been described elsewhere (McKinnon et al., 1984; Jelesarov and Bosshard 1999; Velazquez-Campoy et al., 2004; Privalov and Ebrary, 2012). Briefly, consider the simplest binding reaction between a macromolecule (M) which has a single binding site for the ligand (L). The association constant (K_a) is defined as:

$$K_a = \frac{[ML]}{[M] \times [L]} \tag{3.50}$$

In this expression, [ML], [M], and [L] are the corresponding concentrations.

The thermodynamic relationship between the association constant K_a and Gibbs energy of association ΔG can be expressed in terms of enthalpy (ΔH) and entropy (ΔS) change in the process displayed by equation 3.51.

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln K_a = \Delta H - T\Delta S \tag{3.51}$$

In this expression, R is the gas constant (1.9872 cal K^{-1} mol⁻¹) and T is the absolute temperature (in Kelvin).

Isothermal Titration Calorimetry is the only method that directly determines all the thermodynamics parameters n (binding stoichiometry), K_a , and ΔH associated with binding reaction in a single experiment. In addition, by performing the same experiment at several

temperatures and constant pressure, the heat capacity, C, can be obtained from the slope of enthalpy versus temperature plot.

Thermodynamic experiments were performed with VP-ITC MicroCalorimeter (MicroCal LLC, Northampton MA). ITC measures heat released or absorbed by the binding reaction of liquid samples. The VP-ITC instrument contains two identical coin shaped reference and sample cells which are accessible from the top and enclosed in an adiabatic outer shield as shown in Figure 3.11 (Ladbury and Chowdhry, 1996; Ladbury, 2004).

The reference cell is loaded with water or buffer and the sample cell is filled with macromolecule solution (DREAM protein or DNA). These two cells are in contact with the thermal reservoir and initially the temperature in both cells is maintained constant by an electronic power source. Any change in temperature (Δ T) between the reference and the sample cells results from either more or less heat being added to the sample cell which is balanced by the power feedback mechanism. During operation, the precise amounts of reactants (ligand) are titrated into the sample cell via the rotating microsyringe.

An injection of ligand (DREAM) into the macromolecule (DNA) sample results in the release (exothermic) or absorption (endothermic) of heat within the sample cell which causes a respectively negative or positive change in the power difference (DP) between reference and sample cells. Since DP has units of power (Js⁻¹), the time integral of the peak of each injection gives thermal energy (Δ H) (Ladbury and Chowdhry, 1996; Ladbury, 2004). The ITC data are then analyzed using fitting models in Origin 7 software to calculate binding stoichiometry (n), K_a, Δ H, and Δ S (MicroCal, LLC, Northampton, USA).



Figure 3.11: Schematic representation of an ITC instrument. The sample cell and the reference cell are kept at the same temperature. The reference cell is always kept at the experimental temperature. The ligand component of the interaction is placed in the syringe and the other in the cell. Upon the first titration (injection), the change in heat associated with binding (endothermic or exothermic) results in a change in temperature in the sample cell. A change in power (heat/s) is required to return the cells to identical temperatures (T) ($\Delta T = 0$). This change in power is recorded during injections. The binding isotherm and the integrated thermogram are shown in the top right. Adapted from (Ladbury and Chowdhry, 1996; Ladbury, 2004).

3.2.7.2 ITC data analysis

The heat released or absorbed (q) associated with each change of state after each injection is measured. The heat, q_i , is proportional to the increment in the concentration of the complex [ML] (the parameters [ML], M, and L were defined previously in equation 3.50) in the calorimetric cell after each injection, *i*, as shown in equation 3.52.

$$q_i = V\Delta H_a([ML]_i - [ML]_{i-1})$$
(3.52)

In this expression, ΔH_a is the enthalpy of binding and V is the calorimetric cell volume. Equation 3.52 is used for a single titration (injection). However, an actual ITC experiment is done with series of injections. Thus, equation 3.53a is used.

$$q_{i} = \Delta H(T) V[M_{T}] \left(\frac{K_{a}[L]_{i}}{1 + K_{a}[L]_{i}} - \frac{K_{a}[L]_{i-1}}{1 + K_{a}[L]_{i-1}} \right)$$
(3.53a)

The isothermal parameters n, K_a , and ΔH_a are directly obtained from the fitting curve of an isotherm using equation 3.53b because the total concentration of ligand ([L]) and the ratio of total ligand relative to the total protein concentration ([M]) are known (Figure 3.12).



Figure 3.12: A schematic diagram illustrating isothermal parameters being generated from an isotherm fitting curve.

3.3 Methods used in chapter 4

3.3.1 Steady-state fluorescence measurements

Steady-state emission spectra were measured using a PC1 fluorimeter (ISS, Champaign, IL). For steady-state emission measurements, 40 μ M DREAM in 20 mM Tris buffer pH 7.4, 1 mM DTT and 10 mM N,N-dimethyldodecylamine N-oxide (LDAO) was placed in a 0.2 x 1.0 cm quartz cell and emission spectra were recorded using 295 nm excitation. The spectra were corrected for the inner filter effect and the quantum yield for W169 emission was calculated using equation 3.3 in section 3.2.5.2.2.1, and the quantum yield of tryptophan ($\Phi = 0.13$) as a standard (Lakowicz, 2006).

3.3.2 Fluorescence quenching

Quenching studies were performed by measuring W169 fluorescence emission intensity in the range from 300 nm to 450 nm using an excitation wavelength of 295 nm, after addition of small aliquots of freshly prepared 10 M acrylamide solution following 5 min incubation. The decrease in the emission intensity at 340 nm and 335 nm for the Ca^{2+} free and Ca^{2+} bound form of DREAM, respectively, was corrected for the inner filter effect. The data were analyzed using the Stern-Volmer equation (equation 3.22 in section 3.2.5.2.4.1). Before determination of the Stern-Volmer constant, the emission spectra were corrected for an inner filter effect as described above (Stegemeyer, 1969; Puchalski et al., 1991).

3.3.3 Fluorescence lifetime measurements

The fluorescence lifetime measurements were performed on a ChronoFD fluorimeter (ISS, Illinois-Champaign). The output of a 280 nm light emitting diode was frequency modulated in the range between 5 to 250 MHz. The emission was collected

70

through a 320 nm long pass filter (Andover Inc.) and detected using a photomultiplier tube (PMT) (R928, Hamamatsu). The fluorescence decay data were analyzed using a combination of a single Gaussian distribution and a single discrete component according to equations 3.54 and 3.55 (Togashi et al., 2010).

$$I(t) = a_1 \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho(\tau)^{-t/\tau} d\tau + a_2 \exp(-(t/\tau_2))$$
(3.54)

$$\rho(\tau) = \frac{1}{w_1 \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\tau - \tau_1}{w_1}\right)^2\right]$$
(3.55)

In these expressions, a_1 and a_2 represent the amplitude of each term in equation 3.54 and τ_1 is the mean decay time of the Gaussian distribution with a width of distribution, w_1 , whereas τ_2 is the lifetime of the discrete single exponential term. The data analysis was performed using the Globals for Spectroscopy Software (Laboratory of Fluorescence Dynamics, University of California, Irvine, USA). The reference compound used in lifetime measurements was 2,5-diphenyloxazole (PPO) in ethanol ($\tau = 1.40$ ns) (Boens et al., 2007).

The average lifetimes $\langle \tau \rangle$ in were calculated using equations 3.56 and 3.57.

$$<\tau> = \frac{\alpha_{1}\tau_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}\tau_{2}^{2}}{\alpha_{1}\tau_{1} + \alpha_{2}\tau_{2}}$$
(3.56)

$$f_{i} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i}(\tau) \cdot \tau_{i} d\tau}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \Sigma_{i} \alpha_{i}(\tau) \cdot \tau_{i} d\tau}$$
(3.57)

In these expressions, τ_1 and τ_2 are fluorescence lifetime components corresponding to the normalized pre-exponential decay α_1 and α_2 calculated from the exponential decay fraction (*f_i*) using equation 3.57, respectively.

3.3.4 DREAM stability studies

Guanidine hydrochloride (GuHCl) induced protein unfolding was monitored by measuring the CD spectra as a function of increasing GuHCl concentration. Samples for CD measurements were prepared by solubilizing 40 µM DREAM in 20 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM LDAO, pH 7.4, and incubated in the presence of GuHCl for 30 min prior to CD measurements. The CD spectra were recorded using a Jasco J-810 CD-spectrometer at 16 °C. DREAM unfolding traces were analyzed as a two-step process involving an intermediate state described by expression 3.58.

$$N \leftrightarrow I \leftrightarrow U$$
 (3.58)

In this expression, N corresponds to the native state, I to the partially unfolded intermediate state, and U to the unfolded state.

The unfolding curves were fit using the following equation (Santoro and Bolen, 1988; Hung et al., 2003).

$$Y(GuHCl) = \frac{Y_N + Y_I \exp\left[\frac{-(\Delta G_i^\circ - m_I[D])}{RT}\right] + Y_U \exp\left[\frac{-(\Delta G_i^\circ - m_I[D] + \Delta G_U^\circ - m_U[D])}{RT}\right]}{1 + \exp\left[\frac{-(\Delta G_i^\circ - m_I[D])}{RT}\right] + \exp\left[\frac{-(\Delta G_i^\circ - m_I[D] + \Delta G_U^\circ - m_U[D])}{RT}\right]}$$
(3.59)

In this equation, Y(GuHCl) is the CD signal at 220 nm, ΔG is the standard free energy for the unfolding, m is the dependence of the free energy on the denaturant concentration, T is the temperature (T = 289 K), [D] is the concentration of GuHCl, and R is the ideal gas

constant, $R = 8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$. The parameters Y_N , Y_I , and Y_U represent the CD signal at 220 nm CD signal for each molecular species at zero GuHCl concentration.

3.3.5 Molecular dynamics simulations

The molecular dynamics (MD) simulations in this chapter were performed in collaboration with Dr. Prem Chapagain in the Department of Physics, Florida International University. The time series MD trajectories were obtained from explicit solvent, all-atom simulations using the molecular dynamics simulation package NAMD (Phillips et al., 2005) with the CHARMM27 force field (Brooks et al., 1983). The initial NMR structure of the DREAM protein was obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PBS code: 2JUL (Lusin et al., 2008)). The protein was solvated using the VMD package (Humphrey et al., 1996) with a box cutoff set to 10 Å. This resulted in a simulation box of dimensions 81.5×68.6×58.1 Å. The solvated system was electrically neutralized by adding 12 Na⁺ ions randomly in the bulk water using the VMD autoionize plugin. The particle mesh Ewald method (van Dijk et al., 2006) was used to treat long-range interactions with a 12 Å nonbonding cutoff. Energy minimization (50000 steps) was performed using the conjugate gradient and line search algorithm. The system was then heated for 90 ps with a linear gradient of 20 K/6 ps from 20 to 300 K. At 300 K, the system was equilibrated for 910 ps with a 2 fs integration time step in the NVT (constant number, volume, and temperature) ensemble. Langevin dynamics was used to maintain the temperature at 300 K. The production run was 67 ns using NVT dynamics with 2 fs time steps. The same procedure was used to setup another system of a DREAM protein without calcium ions to simulate the Apo state of the protein. An additional 270 ns simulation was conducted for calcium

bound DREAM using AMBER03 force fields with a 10 Å PME cut off and 1.25 fs integration time, all other parameters being identical.

3.4 Methods used in chapter 5

3.4.1 Fluorescence steady-state measurements

Samples for fluorescence measurements were prepared by diluting DREAM-C in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, and 1 mM DTT. Appropriate volume of 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM Mg^{2+} , 1 mM EGTA, or 1 mM Ca^{2+} , was added into 40 μ M DREAM-C samples to prepare Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , or $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ bound protein.

Steady-state emission spectra of W169 and acrylamide quenching measurements were carried out similar to that performed with DREAM wild-type (sections 3.2.3).

Emission spectra of 1, 8-ANS and nile red in the presence of DREAM-C were measured using 370 nm and 470 nm excitation, respectively. The concentration of 1, 8-ANS ($\varepsilon_{372nm} = 7,800 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) (Diwu et al., 1997) and nile red ($\varepsilon_{552nm} = 19,600 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ in DMSO) (Castro et al., 2005) were determined spectrophotometrically. Equilibrium dissociation constants for 1,8-ANS or nile red were obtained by titrating concentrated protein into 1,8-ANS or nile red solution, while keeping the concentration of the fluorescence probe constant. Dissociation constants were recovered by nonlinear fit of the titration curves using a non-cooperative single binding site Hill equation as described in reference (Hawe et al., 2011).

3.4.2 Fluorescence lifetime measurements

Frequency-domain fluorescence lifetime and anisotropy decay measurements were performed using a ChronosFD spectrofluorometer (ISS, Champaign, IL). The frequency modulated output of a 280 nm laser diode was used as excitation light in Trp lifetime measurements and the emission was collected through a 320 nm long pass filter. 2,5diphenyloxazole (PPO) in ethanol ($\tau = 1.40$ ns) was used as a lifetime reference (Boens et al., 2007). The lifetime of 1,8-ANS and nile red were determined using a frequency modulated 370 nm and 470 nm laser diode as an excitation source, respectively, and emission was collected using 400 - 600 nm and 550 - 700 nm band pass filters, respectively. The fluorescence lifetime of 1,4-bis(5-phenyloxazol-2-yl)benzene (POPOP) in ethanol (τ = 1.35 ns) (Boens et al., 2007) and rhodamine B in water ($\tau = 1.7$ ns) (Boens et al, 2007) were employed as lifetime references for 1,8-ANS and nile red, respectively. Multiple lifetime data from at least three different protein preparations were simultaneously fit using the global analysis approach with the lifetime parameters set as linked variables (Global WE software, Laboratory of Fluorescence Dynamics).

The time-resolved anisotropy measurements of 1, 8-ANS:DREAM-C complexes were performed as described previously(Gonzalez and Miksovska, 2014). A double associative decay model was used to determine the rotational correlation times. The χ^2 parameter and residuals were used as criterion for goodness of fit for all the models used.

3.4.3 DREAM-C stability measurements

Guanidine hydrochloride (GuHCl) stock solution was prepared by dissolving GuHCl in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, and 1 mM DTT buffer to obtain a final concentration of GuHCl of 8.0 M. Thirty eight samples for each form of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , or Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} bound DREAM-C at a constant protein concentration (40 μ M) and various GuHCl concentrations (0.2 - 7.4 M) were prepared for unfolding measurements. To ensure that an unfolding equilibrium was reached, samples were incubated at 20 °C for 30 min prior to each measurement.

Far UV-CD spectra were recorded on JASCO J-810 CD spectropolarimeter (JASCO Inc. Easton, MD) from 260 to 200 nm using 0.1 cm path length quartz cell. Protein unfolding curves were obtained by monitoring changes in ellipticity at 220 nm as a function of GuHCl concentrations. Unfolding curves were analyzed using two-state unfolding model N \leftrightarrow U (Santoro and Bolen, 1988; Hung et al., 2001).

$$Y_{obs} = \left\{ Y_N + Y_U \exp\left(\frac{-\left(\Delta G_U - m_U[D]\right)}{RT}\right) \right\} / \left\{ 1 + \exp\left(\frac{-\left(\Delta G_U - m_U[D]\right)}{RT}\right) \right\}$$
(3.60)

In this expression, the observed CD signal (Y_{obs}) is expressed in terms of the signal of the native state (Y_N) and unfolded state (Y_U) . ΔG_U represents the unfolding free energy change, m_U describes the free energy dependences on the denaturant concentration ([D]), R is the universal gas constant (1.987 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), and T is the temperature.

The fraction of the native state was determined from the unfolding equilibrium constant using equations 3.61 and 3.62.

$$K_U = \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G_U}{RT}\right) \tag{3.61}$$

$$f_N = \frac{1}{(1 + K_U)}$$
(3.62)

3.4.4 Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) measurements

Thermodynamic parameters for Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} binding to DREAM-C were determined using a VP-ITC titration calorimeter (Microcal Inc. Northampton, MA). ITC buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 0.5 mM DTT, and 150 mM NaCl) was prepared using decalcified water and filtered through Chelex-100 resin (Bio-Rad). The protein stock solution was dialyzed against ITC buffer overnight. To minimize artifacts from mismatched buffers, 1.2 mM CaCl₂ and 5 mM Mg Cl₂ solutions were prepared by diluting 0.1 M CaCl₂ standard solution and 1.0 M MgCl₂ solution in the final ITC buffer, respectively. All buffer solutions were stored in plastic bottles previously boiled in decalcified water. The cell sample and injection syringe were extensively cleaned with decalcified water and then with ITC buffer. The reaction cell was loaded with 70 µM DREAM-C solution (1.40 mL) and the concentration of Ca^{2+} or Mg^{2+} in syringe (297 μ L) was 1.2 mM or 5.0 mM, respectively. For Ca^{2+} binding to DREAM-C in presence of Mg^{2+} , 1.2 mM solution of Ca²⁺ was titrated into 70 µM DREAM-C that was pre-saturated with 5 mM Mg²⁺. Twenty eight aliquots (10 μ L each) of Ca²⁺ or Mg²⁺ solutions were titrated into protein solution with 2 min intervals between injections. Parallel experiments were carried out for titration of Ca²⁺ or Mg²⁺ solution into the dialysate buffer as a control for heats of dilutions of ligand. The temperature was kept at 25 °C and the stirring speed was kept at 307 rpm. ITC data were analyzed using Origin 7 ITC data analysis software (OriginLab Corp. Northampton, MA). The data obtained for Ca^{2+} binding to DREAM-C and Mg²⁺DREAM-C were analyzed using a two binding sites model as described previously (Jelesarov and Bosshard, 1999; Martinez et al., 2013).

3.5 Methods used in chapter 6

3.5.1 Sample preparation

Fluorescence samples were prepared from stock protein diluted in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, and 1 mM DTT. Presenilin 1 C-terminal fragment (PS1-CTF) peptides were freshly solubilized in the same buffer and stored at 4 °C. The peptide concentration was determined spectrophotometrically using extinction coefficient

of fluorescein isothyocyanate (FITC), $\epsilon_{493nm} = 75,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Absorption spectra were measured using a single beam spectrophotometer (Cary-50, Varian).

3.5.2 Fluorescence steady-state measurements

Fluorescence steady-state anisotropy measurements were performed on a ChronosFD spectrofluorometer (ISS Inc., Champaign, IL). The samples were excited at 470 nm and the emission was collected using 500-700 nm band pass filters (Andover corp. Salem, NH). Titrations of HL β and HL9 into DREAM were conducted in a 2 mm x 10 mm quartz cuvette with excitation along the short path-length. Equilibrium dissociation constants (K_d) were determined by a non-linear fit of the change in fluorescence anisotropy using equation 1 (Leon et al., 2009).

$$\Delta A = \frac{F}{2} \left[K_d + nP_t + L_t - \sqrt{\left(K_d + nP_t + L_t\right)^2 - 4nP_t L_t} \right]$$
(3.63)

where ΔA is change in fluorescence anisotropy, K_d is dissociation constant, *n* is the number of binding sites, P_t is the total DREAM protein concentration, L_t is total PS1 peptide concentration, and *F* is the fluorescence anisotropy scaling factor.

For PS1 peptide displacement studies, the NS5806 drug compound (Calloe et al., 2009) was titrated into Ca²⁺DREAM and PS1-HL9 complex. The dissociation constant of NS5806 for Ca²⁺DREAM was recovered using a single binding site displacement equation 3.64 (Epps et al., 1995; Chuang et al., 2008; Gonzalez et al., 2014).

$$\frac{\Delta Anisotropy_{measured}}{\Delta Anisotropy_{max}} = \alpha + \frac{\beta[L_t]}{\left(K_i + [L_t]\right)\left(1 + \frac{I_t}{K_d}\right)}$$
(3.64)

In this expression, Δ Anisotropy is change in fluorescence steady-state anisotropy. Parameters α and β are proportionality constants, K_i is the dissociation constant of PS1-HL9 and Ca²⁺DREAM (measured separately, mentioned in previous sections), I_t is the total concentration of NS5806 and K_d is its dissociation constant.

3.5.3 Fluorescence time-resolved anisotropy measurements

Anisotropy decay was measured using a 470 nm laser diode, and the emission was collected through 500-700 nm band pass filters (Andover corp. Salem, NH). Anisotropy data were analyzed using a double-exponential decay model (VINCI software, ISS Inc., Champaign, IL) described by equation 3.44 or 3.45 in section 3.2.5.3, assuming a spherical shape of DREAM-peptide complex (Jameson, 2014).

3.5.4 Fluorescence stop-flow kinetics

The kinetics of DREAM binding to HL9 were measured with a ChronosFD spectrofluorometer coupled to a SFA-20 rapid stopped-flow apparatus (TgK Scientific, Bradford-on-Avon, UK). The cell was illuminated with 295 nm excitation and fluorescence emission at 520 nm due to fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) from the single tryptophan residue (W169) in DREAM (donor) to FITC (acceptor) labeled on HL9 was monitored. In control experiments, the buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM CaCl₂, and 1 mM DTT) was used instead of Ca²⁺DREAM protein. Kinetics data were analyzed using single and double exponential decay models (Origin 8 software, OriginLab Corp. Northampton, MA).

3.5.5 Computational protein-protein docking study

The NMR structures of Ca²⁺ bound DREAM (PDB: 2JUL, molecule 1) and PS1-CTF (PDB: 2KR6, molecule 1) were used as receptor and ligand inputs, respectively. The inter-protein complex of DREAM and PS1-CTF was performed on the ClusPro 2.0 proteinprotein docking server (Kozakov et al., 2006; Kozakov et al. 2013; Comeau et al, 2004; Comeau et al., 2004). In the first step, ClusPro 2.0 program uses PIPER, a fast Fourier transform based docking program, to calculate a pairwise interaction potential as part of its scoring as shown in equation 3.65.

$$E = E_{attr} + w_1 E_{rep} + w_2 E_{elec} + w_3 E_{pair}$$
(3.65)

where E_{attr} and E_{rep} are the attractive and repulsive forces contributing to the van der Waals interaction energy (E_{vdw}), whereas E_{elec} and E_{pair} are electrostatic and desolvation energies, respectively. The coefficients w1, w2, and w3 are the weights of the corresponding energetic terms (Kozakov et al., 2006; Kozakov et al., 2013). After performing PIPER docking, the best 1,000 energy structures were clustered for ranging by using pairwise root mean square deviation (RMSD) as the distance measured (Comeau et al., 2004). The biophysical meaning of clustering is to isolate energy basins of highly populated energy areas (Kozakov et al., 2013). Finally, the output clusters are displayed in scoring schemes namely balanced, electrostatic-favored, hydrophobic favored, and van der Waals and electrostatics complexes. There are several available protein-protein docking programs such as ZDOCK (Pierce et al., 2014), RosettaDock (Lyskov et al., 2008), and HADDOCK (de Vries et al., 2010). However, ClusPro 2.0 was chosen for performing Ca²⁺DREAM and PS1 docking as it uses the PIPER algorithm to rapidly evaluate protein-protein interactions. In addition, since experimental results showed that interactions between Ca²⁺DREAM and PS1-HL9 are driven by not only electrostatic interactions but also hydrophobic interactions, various post-docking processing methods available in ClusPro 2.0 score the resultant complexes of Ca²⁺DREAM-PS1-CTF in a manner that can be comparable with experimental data. The
predicted Ca²⁺DREAM-PS1-CTF structure was analyzed using the YASARA Structure program version 13.1.25 (Krieger et al., 2002) and the academic version of PyMOL 1.7.4 software (Schrodinger, 2015).

3.6 Methods used in chapter 7

3.6.1 Tryptophan steady-state emission measurements

The fluorescence emission of tryptophan (W169) in DREAM-EF hand mutants D150N, E186Q, and E234Q was measured as described for DREAM wild-type in chapter 4 (section 3.3.1).

3.6.2 Tryptophan fluorescence lifetime measurements

The frequency-domain fluorescence lifetime measurements of W169 in DREAM-EF hand mutants D150N, E186Q, and E234Q and fluorescence data analysis were carried out as described for DREAM wild-type in chapter 4 (section 3.3.3).

3.6.3 Fluorescence anisotropy decay measurements

Oligomeric states of the DREAM-DNA complex were resolved by fluorescence anisotropy decay measurements. Ethidium bromide was (EtBr) used as a fluorescence probe since its fluorescence emission and lifetime significantly increase in the presence of double stranded DNA (Garbett et al., 2004; Lakowicz, 2006). Time-resolved anisotropy was measured using a 470 nm laser diode and the emission was collected through 500 -700 nm band pass filters (Andover corp. Salem, NH). Anisotropy decay data were analyzed using equations 3.44 and 3.45 as described previously in section 3.2.5.3 for a mixed system of free DNA and the DNA bound DREAM complex, assuming a spherical shape for both systems. (VINCI software, ISS Inc., Champaign, IL) (Jameson, 2014).

3.6.4 Circular dichroism measurements of DREAM mutants

Circular dichroism (CD) measurements of DREAM EF-hand mutants were performed with a Jasco J-815 CD spectropolarimeter. All CD spectra of DREAM mutants were measured at 40 μ M protein concentration through a 1 mm path in 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4, 1 mM DTT, and 10 mM LDAO. All measurements were conducted at 16 °C, and each CD spectrum represents an average of 5 scans.

3.6.5 Thermodynamics of DREAM and DNA complex

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) was employed to measure the thermodynamic interactions between DREAM/DREAM mutants and DNA. These measurements were performed using a VP-ITC MicroCalorimeter (MicroCal LLC, Northampton MA). The ITC buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5 mM DTT, and 10 mM LDAO, pH 7.4) was prepared using decalcified water filtered through a Chelex-100 resin (Bio-Rad) column. Purified DREAM and DREAM EF-hand mutants were dialyzed overnight against the ITC buffer, and the DNA sample was prepared in the ITC buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5 mM DTT, and 10 mM LDAO, pH 7.4) in order to minimize artifacts due to mismatched buffers. The cell sample and injection syringe were extensively cleaned with decalcified water and then with the ITC buffer. The reaction cell was filled with 15 µM dsDNA solution (1.40 mL), and the injection syringe was loaded with 500-550 μ M DREAM protein solution (297 µL). For measurements of Mg²⁺DREAM and Ca²⁺DREAM binding to DNA, both DREAM and DNA samples were prepared in the presence of 100 μ M Mg²⁺ and 1 mM Ca²⁺, respectively. Twenty nine aliquots (with injection volume set to 4 μ L for the first injection, and 10 μ L for the following injections) of DREAM were titrated into DNA solution with 2 min intervals between injections. The temperature was kept at 25 °C and the stirring speed was set at 307 rpm. Parallel experiments were carried out for titration of DREAM into the dialysate buffer as a control for the heats of dilution. ITC data were analyzed using the Origin 7 software package provided by the manufacturer (OriginLab Corp. Northampton, MA). The first titration point from each ITC experiment was deleted when fitting to binding models due to possible diffusive mixing of protein near the tip of the syringe. The data obtained for DREAM and DREAM EF-hand mutants binding to DNA were analyzed using a two binding sites model, except for the analysis of $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ binding to DNA which was fitted using a sequential binding sites model (Jelesarov and Bosshard, 1999; Martinez et al., 2013).

3.6.6 Molecular dynamics simulations and modeling of DREAM and

DREAM mutants

Since 3D structures of both Apo and $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ are not available, the MD simulation structure of ApoDREAM was constructed from the NMR structure of $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ (PDB: 2JUL, molecule #1 (Lusin et al., 2008)) by deleting the two Ca^{2+} atoms. In addition, the structure of $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ was also constructed from the NMR structure of $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ (PDB: 2JUL, molecule #1 (Lusin et al., 2008)) with the Mg^{2+} binding coordination at EF-hand 2 (residues 139 - 150) being replaced by the Mg^{2+} binding coordination (residues 116 - 127) from the NMR structure of Mg^{2+} bound calcium-andintegrin-binding protein 1 ($Mg^{2+}CIB1$, PDB entry: 2L4I) (Huang et al., 2011). The other mismatched residues within the Mg^{2+} binding loop of $Mg^{2+}CIB1$ were also replaced with the corresponding residues from the Mg^{2+} binding loop of DREAM. The coordinative binding of Mg^{2+} in the structure of $Mg^{2+}CIB1$ was chosen because the critical residues at positions 1, 3, 5, and 12 in the EF-hand Mg^{2+} binding loop of CIB1 (DxDxDxxxxxD; x is any amino acid) are close in homology to that of DREAM EF-hand 2 (DxDxNxxxxxD; x is any amino acid). In addition, I observed that the geometry of the Mg^{2+} binding loop in CIB1 is very close to that of DREAM protein. Moreover, the structures of DREAM EFhand mutants (D150 \rightarrow N, E186 \rightarrow Q, and E234 \rightarrow Q) were constructed using the plugin mutagenesis feature in the CHARMM-GUI web server (Jo et al., 2008) using the NMR structure of Ca²⁺DREAM (PDB: 2JUL, molecule #1 (Lusin et al., 2008)) as the initial structure. The CHARMM-GUI web server was utilized to prepare the initial coordination files for MD simulations (Jo et al., 2008). The DREAM models were solvated using TIP3P waters, in a rectangular box with 10 Å distance between edges of a biomolecule and those of the solvation box. The system was neutralized with 0.15 M NaCl (59 Na⁺ ions and 39 Cl⁻ ions), and the initial configuration of ions was determined through short Monte Carlo (MC) simulation (2000 steps) using a primitive model (Jo et al., 2008). The simulations were performed under periodic boundary conditions (PBC) and with the Particle-Mesh Ewald (PME) method (van Dijk et al., 2006) with a 12 Å nonbonding cutoff (Jo et al., 2008). Energy minimization (50000 steps) was performed using the SHAKE algorithm, while the system was set at a temperature of 303.15 K and a 2 fs time step in NVT (constant volume and temperature) (Jo et al., 2008). The production run was 100 ns using NPT (constant pressure and temperature) dynamics with 2 fs time steps (Jo et al., 2008). All the bond lengths involving hydrogen atoms were fixed for both energy minimization and production runs. Simulations were carried out using the NAMD (Phillips et al., 2005) simulation software and the CHARMM27 force field with CMAP corrections (Mackerell, 2004; Bjelkmar et al., 2010). All simulations of ApoDREAM, ApoDREAM-D150N, Mg²⁺DREAM, Ca²⁺DREAM, Ca²⁺DREAM-E186Q, and Ca²⁺DREAM-E234Q were

performed on an Intel i7-4770 and GTX-TITAN black super-clocked (1072 MHz) system using NAMD 2.11 (NVIDIA CUDA acceleration) (Phillips et al., 2005). Trajectory files were saved every 20 ps. The frames of all simulations of Apo and Ca²⁺DREAM were then compared with that obtained for Apo and Ca²⁺DREAM from our previous studies in chapter 4 (Pham et al., 2015) to verify accuracy of the simulations.

3.6.7 Analysis of MD simulations

Calculations of root mean square deviations (RMSD) and root mean squared fluctuations (RMSF) of DREAM protein were performed using VMD 1.9.2 software (Humphrey et al., 1996). The RMSD was calculated for the alpha carbons (C_{α}) of the whole protein (residues 76 to 256) using the equilibrated structure of the corresponding DREAM as the frame of reference. The RMSF were calculated using an average trajectory simulation of all alpha carbons of DREAM protein between 20 and 100 ns simulation time (to skip the relaxation period at the beginning of the simulation time (0 - 20 ns)). Conformational dynamics of DREAM and DREAM mutants were analyzed using dynamic network analysis of 100 ns simulation trajectory. The dynamical network analysis was performed using the VMD plugin Network Setup feature (Sethi et al., 2009). In dynamic network analysis, each node represents the alpha carbon of an amino acid, and the edges connecting non-neighboring alpha carbons represent atoms which interacted over 75 % of the time within 4.5 Å. The thickness of the edges represents the degree of correlation between the nodes. These networks were then classified into communities of dynamically coupled residues by using the Girvan-Newman algorithm (Girvan and Newman, 2002). Within a given community, the amino acids are more correlated to each other than to the amino acids in the neighboring communities. The connections between communities

represent dynamic hubs, which reflects the dynamic fluctuations from the most propagated community to the rest.

3.6.8 Computational docking of DREAM and DNA interaction

The initial structure of ApoDREAM protein was obtained from 100 ns MD simulation of ApoDREAM. The standard B-DNA conformation of the DRE sequence (5'-GAAGCCGGAGTCAAGGAGGCCCCTG-3') of prodynorphin gene was built using a 3D-DART (3DNA-Driven DNA analysis and rebuilding tools) modelling server (van Dijk and Bonvin, 2009). There are several available programs including 3D-DART that convert the sequence of DNA into a 3D structure using regular nucleotide building blocks (Dixit and Beveridge, 2006; Lu and Olson, 2003; van Dijk and Bonvin, 2009). The high accuracy of these programs was discussed elsewhere (van Dijk and Bonvin, 2009). In this study, 3D-DART program was chosen because the server not only returns the 3D-structural models of the double stranded DNA, but it also allows us to generate the DNA restraint file for DREAM and DNA docking input used in the HADDOCK (High Ambiguity-Driven DOCKing) program (van Dijk and Bonvin 2009; de Vries et al., 2010; Wassenaar et al., 2012). The ambiguous interaction restraints (AIR), including the active residues and nucleotides in DREAM and DNA located at the predicted interaction interface, were determined based on experimental results previously published (Carrion et al. 1999; Ledo et al., 2000; Lusin et al., 2008). The HADDOCK 2.2 web server was used to build models for ApoDREAM-DNA complexes from the unbound structures of ApoDREAM and double stranded DNA (Wassenaar et al., 2012; de Vries et al., 2010). The HADDOCK docking program was run with default settings. Residues K87, K90, K91, R98, K101, R160, and K166 in the ApoDREAM protein and nucleotides G10, T11, C12, A13, T38,

G39, A40, and C41 in the double stranded DNA were designated as active restraints to drive the docking. Passive residues and nucleotides, defined as the solvent accessible neighbors of active residues, were automatically determined by the HADDOCK program (Wassenaar et al., 2012; de Vries et al., 2010). Initially, 1000 complexes of the ApoDREAM and DNA were generated by performing rigid-body docking and the lowest rigid body score (R.B. score), calculated using equation 3.66, was selected (van Dijk and Bonvin, 2009).

$$R.B.score = 1.0*Elec + 1.0*vdW - 0.05*BSA + 1.0*Dsolv + 1.0AIR$$
(3.66)

In this expression, the parameters *Elec*, *vdW*, *BSA*, *Dsolv*, *and AIR* are intermolecular electrostatic, van der Waals, buried surface are, desolvation, and AIR energies, respectively. Following rigid-body docking, 200 complexes with best rigid-body scores were selected for further refinement using the semi-flexible docking, in which side chains and the backbone of the protein at the predicted interface and the entire DNA were allowed to move (van Dijk and Bonvin 2009). Finally, water refinement of the ApoDREAM-DNA complex was performed with 100 MD heating steps at 100, 200, and 300 K followed by sampling steps at 300 K and 500 MD cooling steps at 300, 200, and 100 K in a water box (van Dijk and Bonvin, 2009). The final HADDOCK score (H.D. score) was recalculated using equation 3.67 (van Dijk and Bonvin, 2009).

$$H.D.score = 1.0*Elc + 1.0*vdW + 1.0*Dsolv + 1.0*AIR$$
(3.67)

The parameters *Elc*, *vdW*, *Dsolv*, and *AIR* were defined previously in equation 3.66.

3.7 Materials and methods used in chapter 8

3.7.1 Materials

Chemicals such as urea, Tris buffer and proteins including horse heart myoglobin (Mb) and microperoxidase 11 (MP-11) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co (St. Louis, MO). Bis (2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate sodium salt (AOT) and sodium dithionite were purchased from Fluka Chemical Corp (Milwaukee, WI). ACS grade heptane (C_7H_{16}) was purchased from Fisher Scientific (New Jersey 07410). Argon (Ar) and carbon monoxide (CO) gases are in pressure bottles and purchased at purities at 99.97%.

3.7.2 Sample preparation

A solution of 0.1 M AOT reverse micelles was prepared by adding AOT to the mixture of heptane and varying amounts of water. The AOT mixture was stirred until the solution became transparent. The AOT sample was placed into a 1 x 1 cm quartz cuvette, sealed with a rubber septum cap and purged with Ar gas for 20 min. Next, the desired volume of a stock solution of horse heart Fe²⁺Mb or Fe²⁺MP-11 in 100 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.0, was anaerobically injected into the AOT reverse micelle solution to achieve a final value of $w_0 = 40$ in a total solution volume of 3 mL. The sample was then flushed with CO for at least 5 min and allowed to equilibrate for an additional 20 min. Complete formation of CO-Mb or CO-MP-11 was optically determined.

3.7.3 Absorption measurements

The UV/Vis absorption spectra were measured using a spectrophotometer (Varian/Carry 400, Varian Instruments, Walnut Creek, CA) from 350 to 700 nm using 1 nm steps, 0.5 s/step and an auto adjusted baseline. The UV/Vis spectra provide

verifications for the formation of the deoxy form (ferrous heme iron) and CO ligated formation by visual inspection absorbance at the Soret band.

3.7.4 Transient absorption

The ligand (CO) dynamic rebinding kinetics was studied by employing a homebuilt transient absorption instrument described in detail in (Miksovska et al., 2006, Larsen et al., 2011). Briefly, the photodissociation was trigged by a 532 nm, 7 ns width, and 1 Hz repetition pulse initiated by a double Nd:YAG laser pulse (Minilite II, Continuum). The probe beam generated from the 150-W Xe arc lamp (Thermo-Oriel) was passed through a monochromator, and guided through the sample housed in a 1.0 cm variable temperature controlled cell holder (Quantum Northwest). The emerging light was focused on the slit of the second monochromator (Micro HR, Jobin Yvon) and detected by a photomultiplier tube (PMT) connected to a high-gain amplifier. The photocurrent was converted into voltage and digitized by digital oscilloscope (Tektronix). Data were analyzed using OriginPro 8.0 software (OriginLab Corpration).

3.7.5 Photoacoustic calorimetry

The experimental setup has been described previously (Larsen et al. 2011). Briefly, a 7 ns pulse from a frequency doubled Nd:YAG laser (532 nm, Continuum Minilite II Nd:YAG laser) is passed through a pin-hole and directed onto the face of a temperature regulated cell holder (Quantum Northwest, temperature controled to within 0.01°C) adapted for a 2MHz PZT piezoelectric transducer which is coupled to the face of the quartz sample cell via a thin layer of vacuum grease. The signal is amplified using a Panametrics model 5978 ultrasonic preamplifier and digitized by a Picoscope 3200 Oscilloscope running on a desktop PC. Deconvolution of the acoustic waves is performed with software developed by Dr. Randy Larsen in the department of Chemistry, University of South Florida (LarsenWare2009V1). Acoustic simulation software is also available. The LarsenWare2009V1 can deconvolute both single exponential decay models as well as stretched exponential decays.

4. CALCIUM AND MAGNESIUM MODULATE CONFORMATIONAL DYNAMICS AND STABILITY OF DOWNSTREAM REGULATORY ELEMENT ANTAGONIST MODULATOR

(The work described in this chapter was published in K., Pham, G., Dhulipala, W. G., Gonzalez, B. S., Gerstman, C., Regmi, P.P., Chapagain, J., Miksovska (2015), Protein Sci.,24(5):741-751)

4.1 Background and significance

The EF-hand motif Ca^{2+} binding proteins are involved in the regulation of numerous intracellular processes ranging from gene expression, cell division, cell growth, as well as apoptosis. All members of this family carry at least one pair of EF-hand calcium binding sites that bind calcium with equilibrium affinity constants ranging from 10^4 M in the case of S100 proteins, to 10^8 M as found for parvalbumin (Gifford et al., 2007). The protein affinity for Ca^{2+} is fine-tuned by the amino acid sequence of the EF-hand binding site, overall structure of the Ca^{2+} sensor, and interactions with other intracellular partners. Despite relatively high sequence similarities, EF-hand proteins exhibit large structural diversity in the Apo and Ca^{2+} bound forms that is essential for specific interactions with intracellular partners and functional diversity (Bhattacharya et al., 2004).

Depending on the amino acid sequence, structural and functional properties, and the organization of the N- and C- terminal domains, the intracellular EF-hand proteins can be divided into three groups. The members of the first group that includes calmodulin and troponin C have two independent domains connected by a flexible α -helical linker. Calcium association leads to a substantial reorganization of the C- and N-terminal domains and exposure of hydrophobic patches on the protein surface. In calmodulin, the highly dynamic protein structure enables specific interactions with a large number of intracellular targets (Bhattacharya et al., 2004). The second group of EF-hand proteins includes dimeric S100 proteins. Unlike the calmodulin family, structural changes linked to Ca²⁺ binding to EF-hands in S100 proteins are relatively modest and result in exposure of a wider and flatter hydrophobic crevice on the protein surface that facilitates binding to intracellular partners (Chazin, 2011).

The third group of EF-hand proteins consists of neuronal calcium sensors (NCS) that are predominantly expressed in neuronal tissue where they regulate numerous intracellular processes including vision transduction, potassium voltage channel kinetics, and DNA expression (Burgoyne and Weiss, 2001; Burgoyne, 2007; Ames and Lim, 2012). All members of NCS family carry four EF-hand binding sites, although only two or three EF-hands are active and bind bivalent ions with a high affinity (Ames and Lim, 2012). Some members of the NCS family undergo post-translational modifications such as fatty acid esterification at the N-terminus. The presence of the fatty acid modulates the range of Ca²⁺ triggered conformational switching and facilitates protein association to the membrane (Haynes and Burgoyne, 2008). The C- and N-terminal domains in NCS are each organized in a globular arrangement and are connected by a short U-shaped linker with a solvent-exposed hydrophobic groove stretching between the C- and N-terminal domains (Ames and Lim, 2012).

The DREAM protein, also known as KChIP3 or calsenilin, belongs to the subfamily of NCS potassium channel interacting proteins (KChIP), which includes three additional members (KChIP1, KChIP2, and KChIP4) (An et al., 2000). All members share a conserved region of 180 residues along with highly variable N-termini of 35 to 100 residues (Schwenk et al., 2008). In DREAM, EF-hand 1 has no function due to the conserved residues C104 and P105 on the first Ca²⁺ binding loop which prevent this site from binding to Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺. On the other hand, the canonical EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 functionally bind Ca²⁺ with a high affinity (Kd ~ 1 - 10 μ M) and EF-hand 2 structurally binds Mg²⁺ (Kd ~ 14 μ M) (Osawa et al., 2005). KChIP1, DREAM, and KChIP4 are predominantly expressed in the brain where they regulate gating properties of Kv4.3 channels and the surface expression of the Kv4.3 complex (Jerng et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2004). Unlike other members of the KChIP subfamily, DREAM interacts with numerous intracellular partners including calmodulin (Ramachandran et al., 2012), DNA (Carrion et al., 1999), presenilin (Buxbaum et al., 1998; Jo et al., 2003; Jo et al., 2005; Buxbaum, 2004), and CREB/CREM transcription factors (Ledo et al., 2000; Ledo et al., 2002).

The role of Ca^{2+} in regulating DREAM functions and the structural basis of DREAM interactions with multiple partners are not fully understood. Although the solution structure of Ca^{2+} bound DREAM (residues 76 - 256) is known (Lusin et al., 2008) as well as the solution structure of the C-terminal domain (residues 161 - 256) of Ca^{2+} bound DREAM (Yu et al., 2007), it remains unclear how the Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} association to the EF-hands alters the structural properties of DREAM. Considering the large number of DREAM interacting proteins, understanding the structural diversity could provide important insight into the relationship between the sequence, structure, and target diversity of DREAM and NCS proteins in general. Since the unique tryptophan (W169) positions between the N- and C-terminal hydrophobic clusters in DREAM (Figure 4.1) is ideal for monitoring conformational response to changes in Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} concentration, I have combined time-resolved fluorescence, CD spectroscopy, and molecular dynamics

simulations to probe the dynamics and structural diversity of DREAM under various conditions. The heterogeneous W169 emission decay indicates that DREAM adopts multiple conformations in the apo and Ca²⁺ bound forms. Ca²⁺ binding to EF-hands is associated with an increase in protein rigidity and a decrease of W169 solvent exposure. DREAM unfolds through a transition state that is populated at a GuHCl concentration around 4.5 - 5.0 M. The transition state is stabilized by Ca²⁺ binding to EF-hands 3 and 4 in DREAM. The presence of Mg²⁺ stabilizes the native structure of Ca²⁺ bound DREAM with respect to the transition state.



Figure 4.1: The aromatic clusters identified in DREAM C-terminus (grey solid surface) and N-terminus (blue solid surface). EF-hand 1 in DREAM is shown in cyan, EF-hand 2 in green, EF-hand 3 in yellow, EF-hand 4 in orange, W169 in wireframe surface, and calcium ions shown as yellow spheres

4.2. Results

4.2.1 Steady-state emission spectra

The emission spectra of ApoDREAM and Mg²⁺DREAM are almost identical, and are broad with a maximum at 340 nm (Figure 4.2). Upon Ca^{2+} association to DREAM or Mg²⁺DREAM, I observed that the two spectra change in the same manner with a decrease in the emission intensity (-18% relative to that of Apo or Mg²⁺DREAM) along with a concomitant hypsochromic shift of 5 nm. Analogous Ca²⁺ triggered bathochromic shifts were reported previously, although the emission spectra presented here are approximately 5 nm blue shifted compared to the published results (Osawa et al., 2001). The protein was purified and studied in the presence of LDAO since the previous study had shown that the addition of LDAO reduces protein aggregation and stabilizes ApoDREAM and Ca²⁺DREAM in its tetrameric and dimeric form, respectively (Osawa et al., 2001). I have observed that the presence of LDAO strongly affects the emission spectra of Ca²⁺ free and Ca²⁺ bound DREAM. For example, DREAM samples dialyzed against 20 mM Tris buffer, 1 mM DTT, and 10 mM LDAO at pH 7.4 for 48 hours provided emission spectra with a λ_{max} of 340 nm and 335 nm for Apo and Ca²⁺DREAM, respectively, which are comparable to those reported by Osawa et al. (Osawa et al. 2001). On the other hand, emission spectra of DREAM samples prepared in the absence of LDAO are blue shifted with an emission maximum at ~ 330 nm for $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ and 335 nm for ApoDREAM suggesting that LDAO binding to DREAM increases the solvent exposure of the W169 side-chain. The fluorescence quantum yield was determined to be 0.105 ± 0.009 for Apo and Mg²⁺DREAM and 0.090 ± 0.011 in Ca²⁺ and Ca²⁺Mg²⁺DREAM. The bathochromic shift of the W169 emission maximum suggest that Ca²⁺ association to DREAM leads to a conformational

transition upon which the W169 residue moves towards the hydrophobic core of the protein.



Figure 4.2: Fluorescence emission spectra of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}DREAM$ upon excitation at 295 nm. Note that the emission spectrum of ApoDREAM and $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ are almost identical, and likewise for $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}DREAM$.

4.2.2 Fluorescence quenching

Acrylamide, a polar, uncharged water-soluble molecule, was used in quenching studies to further probe the solvent accessibility of W169 in DREAM. The plot of the ratio of the DREAM fluorescence emission intensities in the absence and presence of the acrylamide quencher exhibits a linear dependence (Figure 4.3).

The Stern-Volmer constants were determined using equation 3.22 in section 3.2.5.2.4.1. The determined K_{sv} constants are $2.80 \pm 0.01 \text{ M}^{-1}$ for ApoDREAM, $2.03 \pm 0.10 \text{ M}^{-1}$ for Ca²⁺DREAM, and $1.78 \pm 0.01 \text{ M}^{-1}$ for Ca²⁺DREAM, confirming that the W169 side chain is less solvent accessible in the structure of Ca²⁺ bound DREAM. In the case of collisional quenching, the bimolecular quenching rate constant, $k_q = K_{SV}/\langle \tau \rangle$,

where $\langle \tau \rangle$ is the lifetime in the absence of the quencher, provides a better estimate of the quenching efficiency.



Figure 4.3: Stern-Volmer plot for acrylamide quenching of DREAM in the absence/presence of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} . Error bar represents standard deviations of three independent measurements.

Using the average value for the fluorescence lifetime (Table 4.2), the k_q value was determined to be $6.2 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ for ApoDREAM, $4.2 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ for Ca²⁺DREAM, and $3.7 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ for Ca²⁺Mg²⁺DREAM (Table 4.1). Interestingly, Mg²⁺ association to DREAM results in a small but reproducible decrease in the Stern-Volmer constant and the bimolecular quenching constant, $K_{sv} = 2.29 \pm 0.06 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $k_q = 5.0 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ (Table 4.1), indicating that Mg²⁺ binding to EF-hand 2 causes a minor structural reorganization that impacts the W169 solvent accessibility. These quenching constants are listed in Table 4.1. Previously, I have shown that Mg²⁺ binding to DREAM leads to small changes in the fluorescence properties of 1,8-ANS DREAM complexes (Gonzalez et al., 2014), supporting the role of Mg²⁺ in stabilizing the tertiary structure of the DREAM protein.

Protein	$K_{sv}(M^{-1})$	$k_q (x 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1})$
ApoDREAM	2.80	6.2
Mg ²⁺ DREAM	2.29	5.0
Ca ²⁺ DREAM	2.03	4.2
Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ DREAM	1.78	3.7

Table 4.1: Stern-Volmer constant (K_{sv}) and bimolecular quenching constant (k_q) of DREAM protein

4.2.3. Fluorescence lifetime

Since the tryptophan fluorescence lifetime is highly sensitive to small variations in the fluorophore environment, probing the lifetime of the single W169 residue in DREAM provides further insight into Mg^{2+}/Ca^{2+} induced conformational changes, including alteration of local dynamics. The W169 lifetime was determined using phase modulation fluorescence spectroscopy. The phase shift and modulation ratio data were monitored in the frequency range from 5 MHz to 250 MHz and are presented in Figure 4.4.

Fluorescence lifetime data were analyzed using a combination of discrete exponentials and various distribution models (Gaussian, Lorentzian or uniform). The best fits based on residuals and χ^2 values were obtained with a model composed of a discrete single exponential decay component and a continuous Gaussian distribution (Table 4.2). The discrete component exhibits a calcium independent lifetime of ~ 7.5 ns whereas the Gaussian distribution is centered at 3.5 ns and 1.7 ns for Ca²⁺ free and Ca²⁺ bound DREAM, respectively. The width of the distribution is $w_1 = 1.8$ ns and 2.0 ns in the ApoDREAM and Mg²⁺DREAM, respectively, and narrows upon Ca²⁺ binding to $w_1 = 0.8$ ns and 0.9 ns in Ca²⁺DREAM and Ca²⁺Mg²⁺DREAM, respectively (Figure 4.5). In addition, the

fractional contribution of the 7.5 ns component is ~ 0.12 in the Apo and Mg^{2+} DREAM and increases to 0.20 in Ca²⁺ bound forms of DREAM.



Figure 4.4: Frequency-domain intensity decay of 40 μ M ApoDREAM (open squares), Mg²⁺DREAM (open circles), Ca²⁺DREAM (closed squares), and Mg²⁺Ca²⁺DREAM (closed circles). The solid lines represent the data fitting using a Gaussian distribution model and single exponential decay model. Note that the experimental data for ApoDREAM and Mg²⁺DREAM are nearly identical, and likewise for the data for Ca²⁺DREAM and Ca²⁺DREAM.



Figure 4.5: Fluorescence lifetime rotational distribution of $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}DREAM$ using a continuous Gaussian distribution and a discrete single exponential decay component. To simplify, the lifetime rotational distribution curves of ApoDREAM and $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ are not shown.

Table 4.2: Emission decay parameters for DREAM using a continuous Gaussian distribution and a discrete component

Protein	τ_1 (ns)	w ₁ (ns)	f_1	α_1	τ_2 (ns)	f_2	α2	<\tau> (ns)	χ^2
ApoDREAM	3.6	2.0	0.77	0.88	7.5	0.23	0.12	4.5	1.7
Mg ²⁺ DREAM	3.4	1.8	0.75	0.87	7.5	0.25	0.13	4.4	2.0
Ca ²⁺ DREAM	1.8	0.8	0.44	0.78	7.4	0.56	0.22	4.8	1.7
Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ DREAM	1.8	0.9	0.48	0.80	7.6	0.52	0.20	4.8	1.0

 τ_1 is the mean decay time of the Gaussian distribution with a width of distribution w₁. τ_2 is the lifetime of the discrete single exponential term. The average lifetime $\langle \tau \rangle$ was calculated using equations 3.54 and 3.55 in Method section 3.2.10.3. Parameters α_1 and α_2 are normalized pre-exponential decay and f_1 and f_2 are exponential decay fractions.

4.2.4. CD measurements

The far-UV CD spectra of DREAM show the characteristic of alpha-helical proteins, with negative peaks at 222 nm and 208 nm (Figure 4.6). The magnitudes of the CD signals of ApoDREAM and $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ are identical. However, when Ca^{2+} is bound to Apo and $Mg^{2+}DREAM$, magnitude of CD signal becomes more negative.

These results suggest that binding of Ca^{2+} to DREAM increases the alpha-helical content of the protein. Analysis of the far-UV CD spectra of DREAM using K2D protein secondary prediction software shows that DREAM protein increases about 16% of its alpha-helical content in the Ca^{2+} bound form relative to that of Apo and Mg²⁺ forms.



Figure 4.6: CD spectra of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ DREAM in the far-UV region. The concentration of the protein was 40 μ M in 10 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4), 1 mM DTT, and 10 mM LDAO. Spectra were corrected for the baseline of the buffer.

4.2.5 DREAM stability

The impact of Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} binding to EF-hands on the protein stability was characterized by monitoring the CD signal at 220 nm as a function of increasing GuHCl concentration as shown in Figure 4.7 (a). The unfolding curves, shown in Figure 4.7 (b), clearly indicate the presence of a partially folded intermediate at GuHCl concentrations between 4.0 and 5.0 M. The experimental data were analyzed using a three-state model according to equation 3.60 in section 3.2.3.4 and the recovered thermodynamic parameters (ΔG° , m, and C_m) are summarized in Table 4.2. Ca²⁺ association to DREAM increases the stability of the native state compared to the unfolded state by ~ 4 kcal mol⁻¹, whereas the contribution of Mg²⁺ to DREAM stability is significantly smaller. Interestingly, Ca²⁺ binding to EF-hands increases the stability of the native state by ~ 2 kcal mol⁻¹ in the presence of Mg²⁺, whereas the stability

of the intermediate state with respect to the unfolded state is increased in both $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}DREAM$ by ~ 2 kcal mol⁻¹. The shallow folding transition between the native and partially unfolded intermediate state points to a low energy barrier between the native and intermediate state for Apo, Mg^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}DREAM$. The native state is more strongly stabilized with respect to the intermediate state in $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}DREAM$, as evident from the steep transition between the folded and partially folded intermediate state.



Figure 4.7: (a): CD spectra of 40 μ M ApoDREAM in the presence of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 7.4 M GuHCl. (b): Representative equilibrium unfolding traces of DREAM as determined by the CD signal change at 220 nm. Solid black lines are fitting curves of experimental data using equation 3.60 in section 3.2.10.4. The thermodynamic parameters are reported in Table 4.3.

	$\Delta G_N \rightarrow I$	m _{N→I}	$C_{N \rightarrow I}$	$\Delta G_{I \rightarrow U}$	$m_{I \rightarrow U}$	$C_{I \rightarrow U}$	$\Delta G_{N \to U}$
Protein	kcal mol ⁻¹	kcal M ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹	М	kcal mol ⁻¹	kcal M ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹	М	kcal mol ⁻¹
ApoDREAM	1.6 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	2.0	7.7 ± 0.8	1.3 ± 0.1	5.9	9.3 ± 0.8
Mg ²⁺ DREAM	1.7 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.1	2.1	8.4 ± 0.9	1.4 ± 0.2	6.0	10.1 ± 0.9
Ca ²⁺ DREAM	1.6 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.1	2.0	11.3 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1	6.5	12.9 ± 0.3
Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ DREAM	3.8 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 0.2	3.2	10.5 ± 1.2	1.6 ± 0.2	6.5	14.3 ± 1.3

Table 4.3: Thermodynamic parameters for GuHCl induced denaturation of DREAM in the absence/presence of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺

The thermodynamic parameters were calculated using equation 3.60 in section 3.2.10.4 where N, I, and U correspond to the native state, transition state, and unfolded state, respectively. ΔG is the standard free energy for the transition, m is the dependence of the free energy on the denaturant concentration, and $C_{N \rightarrow I}$ and $C_{N \rightarrow U}$ represent denaturant concentration of half unfold from native to transition state and from transition state to unfolded state, respectively. $G_{N \rightarrow U}$ represents the overall unfolding free energy from native to unfolded state. Errors were determined from standard deviations of at least three independent measurements.

4.2.6 Molecular dynamics studies

Molecular dynamics simulations provide a complementary approach to monitor structural transitions in DREAM. Molecular dynamics simulations of Apo and Ca²⁺ bound DREAM were performed in collaboration with Dr. Prem Chapagain (Department of Physics, Florida International University). The root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of C_{α} atoms can be used to probe fluctuations of individual residues with respect to the average structure in the Apo and Ca²⁺ bound form of DREAM. The RMSF profile for a 40 ns time window is shown in Figure 4.8. The overall profiles are similar for Apo and Ca^{2+} bound DREAM. Ca^{2+} association to EF-hands 3 and 4 reduces the fluctuations of the Ca^{2+} binding loop in EF-hand 3 (residues 174 to 183), and to a smaller extent reduces the fluctuations in the binding loop of EF-hand 4 (residues 222 to 231). The flexibility of the long loop connecting α -helix 7 to α -helix 8 (residues 198 to 211) remains high in the Ca²⁺ bound form of the protein, in agreement with NMR results that show increased structural deviations in this region (Lusin et al., 2008). Interestingly, the C- terminal loop (residues 242 to 244) connecting α -helix 9 and α -helix10 in the C-terminal domain exhibits high flexibility in the Ca²⁺ bound structure. As expected, smaller changes in protein flexibility due to Ca²⁺ binding to DREAM are observed for the N-terminal domain of the protein.



Figure 4.8: Root-mean-square fluctuations (RMSF) of the C_{α} backbone in ApoDREAM and $Ca^{2+}DREAM$.

The superposition of MD simulation structures of ApoDREAM and Ca²⁺DREAM monomers (Figure 4.9) shows high structural similarity. Detailed inspection of these structures reveals that the N-terminal domain containing the non-functional EF-hand 1 and EF-hand 2 does not show significant structural differences between the Apo and Ca²⁺ bound forms apart from α -helix 2, which moves away from α -helix 5 in the Ca²⁺ bound protein. A larger structural reorganization is observed for the C-terminal domain and includes repositioning of the α -helices within the individual EF-hand binding sites. Interestingly, the entering and exiting helices of the EF-hand 3 undergo a minor reorientation in the calcium bound state with respect to the Apostate, whereas Ca²⁺ association to EF-hand 4 is accompanied by the clear transition of the α -helices from a perpendicular to a parallel orientation (Figure 4.9). Also, the C-terminal helix (α -helix 10) moves away from α -helix 6 in the Ca²⁺ bound protein.



Figure 4.9: Top panel: Overlaid MD simulations structures of ApoDREAM (in red) and Ca^{2+} bound DREAM (in yellow). Alpha-helices 1 - 10 in DREAM are labeled by $\alpha 1 - \alpha 10$, respectively. EF-hand 1 is composed of $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 3$, EF-hand 2 composed of $\alpha 4$ and $\alpha 5$, EF-hand 3 composed of $\alpha 6$ and $\alpha 7$, EF-hand 4 composed of $\alpha 8$ and $\alpha 9$. The position of the W169 residue is shown with sticks. Bottom panel: Ca^{2+} triggered reorganization of the EF-hand 3 (left) and EF-hand 4 (right).

4.3 Discussion

Previous studies have demonstrated that Ca^{2+} association to EF-hands 3 and 4 in the C-terminal domain of DREAM leads to the dissociation of the ApoDREAM tetramer into dimers, which decreases DREAM affinity for intracellular partners (Osawa et al., 2005), as well as DREAM monomer affinity for small hydrophobic molecules (Gonzalez and Miksovska, 2014). However, the detailed molecular mechanism of how Ca^{2+} association to the C-terminal domain EF-hands modulates the conformation of the DREAM monomer and the impact of Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} association on DREAM affinity for intracellular partners remains elusive. Tryptophan emission has been widely used as a sensitive probe of structural transitions in proteins including protein folding, Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} association to proteins and protein-protein interactions. In the DREAM structure (residues 65 to 256), the single W169 residue that is located at the interface between the N- and C-terminal domains provides a unique tool for characterization of Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} triggered conformational dynamics of DREAM.

4.3.1 Impact of Ca²⁺ binding on conformational dynamics of DREAM

Steady-state emission data of W169 indicate that Ca^{2+} association to EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 triggers the reorganization of the interface between EF-hand 2 and EF-hand 3 with the W169 side chain being located in a less polar environment in the Ca^{2+} bound form. This is further supported by the emission quenching and fluorescence lifetime data. The smaller bimolecular quenching rate constant observed for Ca^{2+} and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}DREAM$ is consistent with a reduced solvent accessibility to the W169 residue due to tertiary structure alterations and/or decreased conformational flexibility in the Ca^{2+} bound form of DREAM. The decrease in the structural flexibility is supported by the W169 lifetime data (Figure 4.4). The major fraction of the W169 emission decay can be described using a continuous Gaussian distribution model centered at 3.5 ns for Apo and Mg²⁺ DREAM and 1.7 ns for $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}DREAM$. The minor fraction (~ 10 % in ApoDREAM and 20 % in Mg²⁺ and/or Ca^{2+} bound DREAM) exhibits a discrete single exponential decay with lifetime of ~ 7.5 ns. The full width at half maximum value of the lifetime distribution

parameter, w, was found to be 2.0 ns for Apo and Mg²⁺DREAM and ~ 0.9 ns for Ca²⁺ bound DREAM. The observed decrease in the w value suggests that Ca^{2+} binding to EFhands reduces the distribution of conformational microstates and/or modulates the dynamics of the inter-conversion between individual sub-states in DREAM (Alcala et al., 1987; Bodis et al., 2013). Several mechanisms were proposed to explain the observed heterogeneity of the tryptophan lifetime in single tryptophan proteins including the presence of tryptophan side chain rotamers, quenching by water molecules, electron transfer to the peptide carbonyl group, excited state electron or proton transfer, and intersystem crossing (Szabo and Rayner, 1980; Moncrieffe et al., 2000; Ross and Jameson, 2008). Interestingly, an overlay of the 15 lowest energy structures of Ca^{2+} bound DREAM determined by NMR spectroscopy (Lusin et al., 2008) and the structure of the DREAM Cterminal domain (residues 161-256) (Yu et al., 2007; Bodis et al., 2013) displays the presence of a single tryptophan rotamer (t rotamer) in the DREAM structure. However, as shown in Figure 4.9, a transition from the t to g+ rotamer of W169 side chain is observed during molecular dynamic simulations, which supports the idea that the bimodal distribution could arise from two rotameric orientations of W169 with the side chain of the g+ rotamer being more solvent exposed.

Inspection of the DREAM and DREAM C-terminal domain structures (PDB entry 2JUL and 2E6W, respectively) reveals four charged amino acid residues located within 6 Å of the W169 indole ring that may serve as efficient quenchers for the t rotamer. Residues E165, K168, and N172 are located on the same α -helix as W169, whereas E253 is found at the end of α -helix 10 (Figure 4.10).



Figure 4.10: Top panel: charged and polar amino acid residues surrounding W169 in the structure of DREAM (PBD entry 2JUL, shown in blue) and C-terminal domain of DREAM (PBD entry 2E6W, shown in green). Bottom left panel: SASA of W169 in DREAM during 270 ns of the MD trajectory. Bottom right panel: Partially buried (in blue) and solvent exposed (in green) orientation of W169 side chain in DREAM structure.

In addition, the side chains of these residues are disordered in the NMR structures, which likely contribute to the observed heterogeneity of the W169 emission decay. In the absence of the NMR structure of ApoDREAM, it is difficult to pinpoint the origin of the slower W169 decay in Apo and Mg²⁺DREAM. However, I speculate that the re-positioning of α -helix 10 upon Ca²⁺ binding brings the E253 side chain closer to the W169 indole ring resulting in more efficient W169 emission quenching. Indeed, α -helix 10 was found to be flexible in the structure of KChIP1 as it moves away from α -helix 8 in the KChIP1:Kv4

channel T1 domain complex (Wang et al., 2007). Also, the reorientation of α -helix 10 was associated with increased affinity of Ca²⁺ bound DREAM for arachidonic acid and small hydrophobic molecules (Gonzalez and Miksovska, 2014).

The second W169 decay component is characterized by an unusually long lifetime of ~ 7.5 ns. It is unlikely that the presence of the second lifetime reflects other oligomerization forms of DREAM (monomer/tetramer in the case of Ca²⁺ free DREAM and monomer/dimer in the case of Ca²⁺ bound protein) since two comparable lifetimes were found for the C-terminal DREAM construct (residues 161-256) in the Apo form which does not form a tetramer or dimer in solution. On the other hand, a similar lifetime of 7.3 ns was detected in the multi-tryptophan protein beta-glycosidase from Sulfolobus solfataricus, and was associated with a rigid cluster of eight tryptophan residues (Bismuto, Nucci et al. 1999). However, the NMR structure (Figure 4.9, top) and RMSF from MD simulations (Figure 4.8) are not consistent with the presence of a rigid hydrophobic cluster surrounding W169 in DREAM. On the other hand, a single tryptophan residue in the calcium binding protein annexin was reported to exhibit two distinct lifetimes of 2.0 ns and 7.0 ns when annexin is associated to lipid vesicles (Follenius-Wund et al., 1997). The 7.0 ns decay was associated with the tryptophan side chain located in a more polar environment, at the interface between the protein surface and the lipid membrane (Follenius-Wund et al., 1997).

Thus, to further explore the dynamic behavior of the W169 side chain, a 250 ns molecular dynamics simulation was analyzed and the solvent accessible surface area (SASA) plot for W169 is shown in Figure 4.10. The W169 side chain samples three major conformations with distinct SASA values. The major and minor conformations are

characterized by SASA values of 376 $Å^2$ and 370 $Å^2$, respectively, and can be attributed to the partially buried W169 side-chain within the interface between the C- and N-terminal domains of DREAM. The additional conformation with a SASA of 385 Å² corresponds to the solvent exposed indole ring (Figure 4.10). The binding site for the LDAO molecule on the DREAM surface is not known. The detergent molecule may bind into the hydrophobic cleft between the N- and C-terminal domains in a similar way as a myristoyl group binds to ApoRecoverin protein. Thus, the interactions between the W169 indole ring and the polar head of the LDAO molecule bound to DREAM can contribute to a 7.5 ns W169 decay and the red-shifted emission spectra of DREAM samples prepared in the presence of LDAO. Furthermore, Liao et al. (Liao et al., 2009) have shown that the C-terminal domain of KChIP1 is required for KChIP1 association to phospholipid vesicles and the formation of KChIP1 - phospholipid vesicle complexes alters the protein structure. Taking into account a high sequence and structural homology of the C-terminal domain among the members of the KChIP subfamily, it is likely that DREAM forms similar complexes with phospholipid vesicles. Indeed, one can speculate that the DREAM conformation that is characterized by the 7.5 ns Trp lifetime reflects the conformation of DREAM associated with lipid vesicles or membranes.

4.3.2 DREAM stability increases in the presence of Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺

Association of Mg^{2+} and/or Ca^{2+} to DREAM EF-hands increases the stability of the protein, although to different extent. The unfolding data (Figure 4.7b) show that DREAM unfolds through a partially unfolded intermediate state that is populated at ~ 4.5 M GuHCl in the case of Apo and Mg^{2+} DREAM and at ~ 5.0 M GuHCl for Ca^{2+} and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ DREAM. Further increase in the GuHCl concentration promotes protein

destabilization towards the unfolded state. Unlike small, single domain proteins that fold with two-state kinetics without populating intermediate state, multi-domain proteins commonly exhibit unfolding intermediates. Furthermore, protein stability and folding is modified by the presence of higher order clusters of aromatic residues (Burley et al., 1985; Song et al., 2013). Such residues are usually highly conserved and their substitution often leads to the destabilization of protein structure. I have identified two aromatic hydrophobic cores in the DREAM structure (Figure 4.1) that i) are formed by residues conserved among NCS members, ii) have a relative solvent accessibility smaller than 10 % (Rost and Sander, 1994), and iii) groups side chains that are within a distance of 4.5 Å of each other (Arunachalam and Gautham 2008). One hydrophobic core is formed by an aromatic tetramer of F171, Y174, F218, and F235 and is found at the C-terminal domain (Figure 4.1). All these residues are in a helical structural motif. The cluster formation brings together α -helices 6, 8, and 9, which may stabilize the C-terminal domain. The second hydrophobic core was found within the N-terminal domain and is a trimer of phenylalanine residues (F114, F135, and F138) that are found in α -helices 3 and 4. Analogously to the C terminal domain, the interactions between these residues increase the stability of the Nterminal domain. In addition, W169 from the C-terminal domain interacts with F138 from the N-terminal domain and such inter-domain interactions may contribute to DREAM stability.

Considering that the Ca²⁺ association to EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 increases the stability of the partially unfolded intermediate with respect to the unfolded protein, I propose that α -helices 6, 8, and 9 form a stable hydrophobic core that unfolds during the intermediate between the transition and unfolded states. The N-terminal domain that lacks

more extended hydrophobic core(s) may be less stable than the C-terminal domain and thus may be destabilized during the initial unfolding transition. This is further supported by the fact that the stability of the N-terminal domain is increased by the Mg²⁺ association to EFhand 2, which is located in the N-terminal domain. Thus the unfolding data suggest that the N-terminal domain unfolds during the first transition whereas the C-terminal domain is destabilized at increased denaturant concentration. An analogous unfolding mechanism was reported previously for another member of the NCS family, neuronal calcium sensor 1 (NCS-1) (Muralidhar et al., 2005; Aravind et al., 2008; Heidarsson et al. 2013). That study reported that the folding mechanism and protein stability of NCS-1 are altered by the presence of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} ions as well as by the protein myristoylation at the N-terminus. Non-myristoylated NCS1 unfolds through a three step mechanism with an overall stability of $\Delta G_{N \to U} = 12.9 \pm 0.7$ kcal mol⁻¹ for ApoNCS1, and $\Delta G_{N \to U} = 14.5 \pm 1.6$ kcal mol⁻¹ for holo-NCS1. These values are comparable to those determined here for Apo and Ca²⁺ bound DREAM. These results indicate a similar folding mechanism for NCS1 and DREAM, and possibly other members of the NCS family. This is further supported by a kinetic study of folding mechanisms using optical tweezers (Heidarsson et al., 2013). The authors have reported that the folding of the C-terminal domain precedes the folding of the N-terminal domain, and that the C-terminal domain is stabilized by aromatic interactions between α helices 6, 8, and 9.

4.3.2 Summary

Despite the low impact of Mg^{2+} association with EF-hand 2 on the DREAM tertiary structure, the presence of Mg^{2+} stabilizes the native state with respect to a partially unfolded state. The W169 lifetime data indicates that DREAM can adopt an additional conformation

with a long W169 lifetime of 7.5 ns that may reflect conformations of DREAM populated in the presence of lipid membranes and vesicles. The results show that DREAM unfolding is a complex process that occurs through a partially unfolded, intermediate state. The Ca²⁺ association to EF-hand 3 and 4 stabilizes the partially unfolded state with respect to the unfolded state, whereas the association of Mg²⁺ increases the stability of the native state only in the presence of Ca²⁺. These results suggest that the intracellular fluctuations in Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ concentrations control the global conformation and stability of DREAM and likely regulate its interactions with intracellular partners.

5. CALCIUM AND MAGNESIUM BINDING TO THE C-TERMINAL DOMAIN MODULATES DREAM STRUCTURE, DYNAMICS, AND STABILITY

(The results in this chapter were described in a manuscript that will be submitted by Pham, Gonzalez, and Miksovska)

5.1 Background and significance

Downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM), also known as calsenilin or KChIP3, is a neuronal calcium sensor (NCS) involved in regulation of important neuronal processes including pain modulation, AB-amyloid production, and potassium channel activity (Buxbaum et al., 1998; Carrion et al., 1999; An et al., 2000). The intracellular function of DREAM depends on its intracellular localization and interactions with target proteins. Ca^{2+} free DREAM forms a tetramer that binds to the downstream regulatory element (DRE) sequence of prodynorphin and *c-fos* genes to repress transcription of these genes. Upon binding to Ca^{2+} , DREAM tetramer dissociates into a stable dimer with a decreased affinity toward DRE (Carrion et al., 1999; Ledo et al., 2000; Osawa et al., 2001, Osawa et al., 2005). In the cytoplasm, DREAM interacts with the C terminal portion of Alzheimer's disease associated proteins, presenilin 1 and presential presential 2, and elevates the formation of A β -amyloid peptides (Buxbaum et al., 1998). In vivo studies have shown that DREAM overexpression is associated with an increased formation of A β -amyloid peptide and concomitant cell death (Jo et al. 2001; Jo et al., 2003; Jo et al., 2004). In addition, the level of $A\beta$ peptide was significantly reduced in the cerebellum and in the cortex of DREAM knock-out mice (Lilliehook et al., 2003). DREAM also interacts with A-type voltage-gated potassium channels (Kv4) and modulates both the kinetic properties and cell surface accumulation of Kv4 channels (An et al., 2000).

Recently, it has been proposed that Ca^{2+} DREAM forms a complex with calmodulin, whereas ApoDREAM binds to a regulatory subunit of calcineurin (Ramachandran et al., 2012). The interactions with multiple neuronal proteins highlight a wide range of DREAM neuronal functions under physiological and pathological conditions.

Unlike traditional EF-hand calcium sensors, such as calmodulin and troponin C, that carry four EF-hands with a high affinity for Ca^{2+} , members of the NCS family carry only 2 or 3 functional EF-hands that bind Ca^{2+} with a high affinity. In DREAM, EF-hand 1 does not bind to Mg^{2+} or Ca^{2+} ion due to the presence of conserved residues C104 and P105 on the first Ca^{2+} binding loop, which abolishes the affinity for Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} (Osawa et al., 2005; Lusin et al., 2008). The presence of Asp (D150) at the 12th position of the EFhand 2 binding loop promotes specific binding to Mg^{2+} with relatively high affinity (K_d = 13 μ M), whereas EF-hands 3 and 4 functionally bind to Ca²⁺ (K_d ~ 1-10 μ M) (Osawa et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2007; Lusin et al., 2008). Association of Ca²⁺ with DREAM triggers protein dimerization that inhibits the interaction with DNA (Lusin et al., 2008). The 3dimensional structures of DREAM and DREAM-C reveal that the C-terminal α -helix (residues 243-254) and the residues from EF-hand 3 (L161, A170, M191, and L194) and EF-hand 4 (F218, M222, and C239) form a large hydrophobic cavity (Lusin et al., 2008) on at the C-terminal domain that provides a binding interface for the site 1 of the K_v4.3 channels as well as for small hydrophobic molecules (Gonzalez and Miksovska 2014, Gonzalez et al., 2014). In addition, a hydrophobic cleft located between the flexible loop connecting EF-hand 3 and EF-hand 4 (residues 198 - 211) and the C-terminal α -helix was thought as a binding site for interaction with target proteins during calcium signaling (Yu et al., 2007).
However, the structure of Apo and Mg^{2+} bound DREAM are currently unknown that prevents us from a full understanding of conformational transition associated with Ca^{2+} or Mg^{2+} binding to this neuronal regulator and the role of the Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} in DREAM interactions with intracellular partners. Despite considerable efforts to understand the mechanism of Ca^{2+} signal transduction within DREAM protein, little information is known about the dynamics of Ca^{2+} induced conformational changes in the full length protein as well as in the C-terminal domain. In addition, the impact of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} association on DREAM-C (residues 161-256) structure and its stability has not been fully established.

In this study I have characterized the conformational transition induced by Ca^{2+} or Mg^{2+} binding to DREAM-C by monitoring the fluorescence properties of an intrinsic tryptophan residue (W169). In addition, extrinsic fluorescent probes: 8-anilino-1-naphthalenesulfonic acid ammonium salt (1,8-ANS) and 9-diethylamino-5H-benzo[alpha]phenoxazine-5-one (nile red) were employed to monitor the hydrophobic properties on DREAM-C surface and the oligomerization state of DREAM-C as a function of Ca^{2+} or Mg^{2+} . The thermodynamic parameters for Ca^{2+} or Mg^{2+} binding to DREAM-C were probed using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC), and the effects of Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} binding on DREAM-C stability were investigated in protein unfolding experiments.

5.2 Results

5.2.1 Thermodynamics of Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ binding to DREAM-C

The isotherm for Ca^{2+} association to ApoDREAM-C is shown in Figure 5.1 and the thermodynamic parameters are summarized in Table 5.1.



Figure 5.1: Binding isotherm for Ca^{2+} association to DREAM-C in the absence of Mg^{2+} . The top panel represents a raw power titration curve, the bottom panel shows integration data, and the solid line represents the two sets of sites fitting curve.

Association of Ca^{2+} at EF-hands 3 and 4 of DREAM-C endothermically ($\Delta H_1 = 5.5$ kcal mol⁻¹ and $\Delta H_2 = 1.1$ kcal mol⁻¹) with a sub-micromolar affinity ($K_{d1} = 0.3 \pm 0.1 \mu M$ and $K_{d2} = 0.9 \pm 0.2 \mu M$), respectively. The positive enthalpy change is consistent with the high dehydration energy of the divalent Ca^{2+} ions upon binding to DREAM-C (Osawa et al., 2005). The binding isotherm for Ca^{2+} association to $Mg^{2+}DREAM-C$ resulted in approximately a two-fold larger equilibrium dissociation constant for one binding site (K_{d1}

= $0.6 \pm 0.1 \ \mu$ M and $K_{d2} = 1.0 \pm 0.3 \ \mu$ M) with the Ca²⁺ binding to one site being endothermic ($\Delta H_1 = 9.4 \pm 4.0 \ \text{kcal mol}^{-1}$) and to the other site being exothermic ($\Delta H_2 = -15.0 \pm 1.4 \ \text{kcal mol}^{-1}$). The analysis of the binding isotherm for Mg²⁺ association to ApoDREAM reveals the presence of two binding sites, with one site being a high affinity site ($K_{d1} = 180 \pm 10 \ \mu$ M and $\Delta H_1 = 1.5 \pm 0.1 \ \text{kcal mol}^{-1}$) and another site with a milimolar affinity ($K_{d2} = 1.7 \pm 0.1 \ \text{mM}$ and $\Delta H_2 = 3.5 \pm 0.1 \ \text{kcal mol}^{-1}$). The endothermic Mg²⁺ association to ApoDREAM-C points out towards the absence of pronounced structural changes associated with Mg²⁺ binding to DREAM-C.

Table 5.1: Thermodynamic parameters for Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} binding to DREAM-C

	11	K _{d1}	ΔH_1	ΔS_1	na	K _{d2}	ΔH_2	ΔS_2
DREAM-C	11	(μM)	(kcal/mol)	(cal/mol K)	n_2	(μM)	(kcal/mol)	(cal/mol K)
Ca ²⁺ versus	0.9 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	5.5 ± 0.8	49	1.2 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.7	31
Аро								
Ca ²⁺ versus	13 ± 02	0.6 ± 0.1	94 + 40	60	0.7 ± 0.2	10 ± 03	-150 ± 1	-24
Mg ²⁺ bound	1.5 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.1	J.T ± 1.0	00	0.7 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.5	15.0 ± 1	21
Mg ²⁺ versus	1.0	180 + 10	15 ± 01	22	0.97	$1.7 x 10^3 \pm$	35 ± 01	25
Аро	1.0	100 ± 10	1.5 ± 0.1		0.97	0.1x10 ³	5.5 ± 0.1	25

5.2.2 Secondary structural changes induced by Mg²⁺ and/or Ca²⁺ binding

Changes in the secondary structure of DREAM-C upon Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ biding were monitored by near-UV circular dichroism (Figure 5.2). A small, but reproducible decrease in the CD signal was observed at 220 nm upon addition of Mg²⁺ to ApoDREAM-C, and an additional increase in the 220 nm signal was recorded upon Ca²⁺ binding to Mg²⁺DREAM, indicating that association of both divalent ions leads to an increase in the protein α -helical structure. The CD signal for the Ca²⁺DREAM-C in the absence of Mg²⁺ is identical to that measured in the presence of Mg²⁺, highlighting that Ca²⁺ association to Mg²⁺DREAM-C cancels out structural changes triggered by Mg²⁺ binding. The increase in the α -helical structure upon Ca²⁺ binding to EF-hands is common among the calcium binding proteins and has been observed previously for other EF-hand proteins (Burgoyne and Weiss, 2001).



Figure 5.2: The CD spectra of DREAM-C in the presence/absence of Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺

5.2.3 Tertiary structural changes triggered by Mg²⁺ binding differ from those associated with Ca²⁺ binding

The single tryptophan residue in DREAM-C (W169) provides an excellent probe to monitor changes in tertiary structure induced by the binding of Mg^{2+} and/or Ca^{2+} to DREAM-C. The W169 emission spectrum of ApoDREAM-C is broad with a maximum at 349 nm (Figure 5.3) and the emission intensity of DREAM-C increases, without a concomitant shift in the maximum wavelength, upon addition of Mg^{2+} , indicating that in the W169 quenching is reduced in the Mg^{2+} bound form. The emission maximum at 349 nm observed for Apo and $Mg^{2+}DREAM-C$ is similar to that of tryptophan in aqueous solution (~ 350 nm), pointing out that the W169 side chain is located near a polar environment. Addition of Ca^{2+} to Apo or $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ leads to a decrease in W169 emission intensity and a concomitant hypsochromic shift to 344 nm due to the transfer of the W169 side chain into a less polar surrounding. Analogously, as observed in CD measurements, the identical emission spectra of the Ca²⁺ and Ca²⁺Mg²⁺ bound form of DREAM-C suggest that Ca²⁺ binding to EF-hands cancels the structural changes induced by Mg^{2+} association to DREAM.



Figure 5.3: The fluorescence steady-state emission spectra of intrinsic W169 residue in DREAM-C.

The W169 emission quenching by acrylamide was used to further investigate the solvent accessibility of W169 site chain in ligand free and ligand bound DREAM-C and thus reflects ligand induced alteration of the tertiary structure and/or and conformational

dynamics (Augusteyn et al., 1988). The Stern-Volmer plots are shown in Figure 5.4 and the Stern-Volmer constants are shown in Table 5.2.



Figure 5.4: Stern-Volmer plot for acrylamide quenching of DREAM-C in the absence/presence of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} .

Table 5.2: The Stern-Volmer constants (Ksv) for acrylamide quenching of DREAM-C

Protein	$K_{SV}(M^{-1})$	Protein	$K_{SV}(M^{-1})$
ApoDREAM-C	10.4 ± 0.1	Ca ²⁺ DREAM-C	5.1 ± 0.1
Mg ²⁺ DREAM-C	9.9 ± 0.2	Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ DREAM-C	5.7 ± 0.1

The increased K_{SV} values for the ApoDREAM-C and Mg²⁺DREAM-C (K_{sv} = 10.4 \pm 0.1 M⁻¹ and 9.9 \pm 0.2 M⁻¹, respectively) suggest that the W169 indole ring is nearly fully exposed to the solvent, in agreement with the steady-state emission data. Association of Ca²⁺ to Apo or Mg²⁺ DREAM-C induces a conformational change that significantly reduces the solvent accessibility of the W169 residue as evident from the K_{sv} values of 5.1 \pm 0.1 M⁻¹ and 5.7 \pm 0.1 M⁻¹, respectively.

The frequency-domain intensity decay data for DREAM-C are shown in Figure 5.5. The data were analyzed using a Gaussian-Discrete double decay model and the recovered parameters are shown in Table 5.3.



Figure 5.5: Frequency-domain intensity decays for W169 in the presence/absence of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} . Phase delays are solid symbols, modulation ratios are empty symbols, and the solid lines represent the calculated fitting curves.

The discrete component of the emission decay has a long lifetime of ~ 7.3 ns that is independent of the presence of Mg^{2+} or Ca^{2+} . The continuous Gaussian distribution model has a center value of distribution of 3.0 ns for ApoDREAM and 3.5 ns for $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ -C, and decreases to 2.5 ns in the presence of Ca^{2+} . The Gaussian distribution width decreases in the presence of Ca^{2+} from 1.6 ns as observed for ApoDREAM-C to 1.3 ns determined, for $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ -C.

Protein	τ_1 (ns)	w_1 (ns)	f_{l}	$ au_2$ (ns)	f_2	χ^2
ApoDREAM-C	3.0	1.6	0.52	7.4	0.48	0.9
Mg ²⁺ DREAM-C	3.5	1.7	0.56	7.3	0.44	1.4
Ca ²⁺ DREAM-C	2.5	1.3	0.49	7.2	0.51	1.3
Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ DREAM-C	2.5	1.3	0.49	7.2	0.51	1.4

Table 5.3: Fluorescence decay parameters for DREAM-C intrinsic tryptophan residue. Data were analyzed using a Gaussian-discrete model

 τ_1 is the center of Gaussian distribution, *f* is fractional contribution, *w* is the Gaussian distribution width, and τ_2 is lifetime of the discrete component. The constant standard error of 0.2° for the phase angle and 0.004 for modulation were used for data analysis

5.2.3 Binding of Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ triggers distinct changes in hydrophobic

surface of DREAM-C protein

The structural transition induced by Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} binding to DREAM-C in terms of changes in the hydrophobic surface was probed using the hydrophobic fluorescence probes 1,8-ANS and nile red. The steady-state emission spectra demonstrate that both probes bind to DREAM. However, unlike 1,8-ANS emission, the emission of nile red is sensitive to the structural changes triggered by Mg^{2+} association to DREAM-C (Figure 5.6, panels A and B).

Nile red in 20 mM Tris buffer exhibits weak emission intensity with a maximum at 657 nm. In the presence of ApoDREAM-C, the emission intensity increases approximately 2 times and the maximum shifts to 642 nm. A further increase in the emission intensity and concomitant bathochromic shift to 628 nm were observed for nile red: Mg²⁺DREAM-C complex.



Figure 5.6: Fluorescence steady-state emission spectra of nile red (A) and 1,8-ANS (B) binding to DREAM-C in the presence or absence of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} .

In the presence of Ca²⁺DREAM and Ca²⁺Mg²⁺DREAM-C, the emission intensity of nile red increases approximately 5 times and exhibits a maximum at 616 nm. These data are consistent with Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ triggered structural changes that result in a more hydrophobic binding site for nile red. The increase in the emission intensity of nile red:Mg²⁺DREAM-C and nile red:Ca²⁺DREAM-C complexes is consistent with the observed equilibrium dissociation constants (Table 5.4). Overall, the nile red binding to DREAM-C is relatively weak, (K_d = 122 ± 53 μ M) and increases in the presence of Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺, K_d = 50 ± 5 μ M and 10 ± 1 μ M, respectively.

DREAM-C	$K_{d}(\mu M)$	τ_1 (ns)	f_{l}	τ_2 (ns)	f_2	w	χ^2
Аро	122 ± 53	0.23	0.17	3.4 ± 0.3	0.83	1.6	0.86
Mg ²⁺	50 ± 5	0.23	0.09	4.1 ± 0.4	0.91	1.3	0.85
Ca ²⁺	10 ± 1	0.23	0.05	3.5 ± 0.1	0.95	1.7	0.69
$Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$	12 ± 2	0.23	0.06	3.4 ± 0.2	0.94	1.7	0.77
The lifetime of the discrete component was set as a linked variable. Phase and modulation							
errors were set a	at $\leq 0.2^{\circ}$ and	\leq 0.002 r	espective	ely.			

Table 5.4: Fluorescence decay parameters for nile red:DREAM-C. The experimental data were analyzed using a discrete component and continuous Gaussian distribution model.

5.2.4 Contribution of Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ in the stability of DREAM-C

In order to investigate the impact of Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} on DREAM-C stability, GuHCl induced unfolding of DREAM-C was monitored using circular dichroism. The unfolding profiles (Figure 5.7) were then analyzed using equation 3.61 in section 3.2.4.3 for a two-state unfolding model. The thermodynamic parameters are summarized in Table 5.5.



Figure 5.7: GuHCl induced unfolding DREAM-C in the presence or absence of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} . Unfolding parameters were recovered using equation 3.61 in section 3.2.4.3 for a two-state unfolding model.

The stability of Apo and Mg²⁺DREAM-C is low with $\Delta G \sim 0.3$ kcal mol⁻¹ suggesting that the DREAM-C is partially destabilized under native like conditions. Both unfolding curves have a non-sigmoidal profile that can be associated with a non-cooperative protein unfolding. On the other hand, the unfolding profiles of Ca²⁺DREAM-C in the absence and presence of 5 mM Mg²⁺ has a midpoint at ~ 4 M GuHCl and are characterized with sigmoidal unfolding curves indicative of a cooperative unfolding

transition. In addition, a small increase in the α -helical structure at sub-denaturant concentration (0 to 1 M) was observed for Ca²⁺ bound DREAM-C. Analogous increase in the negative ellipticity was reported for Ca²⁺ bound form of the non-myristoylated neuronal calcium sensor 1 and were associated with the binding of denaturant molecules and concomitant changes in dihedral angles. The Ca²⁺ association to EF-hands 3 and 4 increases the protein stability by ~ 3.0 kcal mol⁻¹ compared to the Apo protein.

Protein	ΔG_U (kcal mol ⁻¹)	m _U (kcal mol ⁻¹ M ⁻¹)	C _U (M)
ApoDREAM-C	0.35 ± 0.01	0.30 ± 0.01	1.2
Mg ²⁺ DREAM-C	0.31 ± 0.01	0.37 ± 0.01	0.8
Ca ²⁺ DREAM-C	3.45 ± 0.17	0.87 ± 0.04	4.0
Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ DREAM-C	3.60 ± 0.17	0.94 ± 0.04	3.8

Table 5.5: Thermodynamic parameters for DREAM-C unfolding

 ΔG_U , m_U, and C_U represent the unfolding free energy change describes, the free energy dependent on the denaturant concentration, and denaturant concentration of half unfold from native to unfolding state (subscript _U).

Discussion

On the basics of the Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} binding properties, the canonical EF- hand binding sites can be divided into two classes: regulatory sites and structural sites. The structural sites bind Mg^{2+} at the resting Ca^{2+} concentration and Mg^{2+} is replaced by Ca^{2+} in response to an intracellular increase in the Ca^{2+} concentration. On the other hand, the regulatory binding sites are Ca^{2+} specific with a moderate affinity for Ca^{2+} . The Mg^{2+} association to EF-hands was shown to be physiologically important as it modulates the EFhand affinity for Ca^{2+} , stabilizes protein structure, and in DREAM directly alters protein function (Yamniuk et al. 2004; Wingard et al., 2005; Peshenko and Dizhoor 2006). The metal biding specificity of individual EF-hands cannot be determined based on the protein sequence, because the side chain of the 12th loop residue is dynamically rotated. EF-hand loop flexibility and electrostatic potential are likely to contribute to the observed specificity (Henzl et al., 1998).

Both classes of EF-hand sites have been found among members of NCS family but the role of individual EF-hands varies among the NCS subfamilies. The EF-hand 1 is not functional among all members of this family, whereas the EF-hands 2, 3, and 4 can be classified either as a structural or regulatory binding sites (Osawa et al., 2005). Visinin-like protein 1 binds functionally to Mg^{2+} at EF-hand 3 in the absence of Ca^{2+} , whereas upon increase in the Ca^{2+} concentration, the EF-hand 2 and EF-hand 3 binds Ca^{2+} cooperatively while EF- hand 4 is nonfunctional. In guanylyl cyclase activating protein-1, EF-hands 2, 3, and 4 are occupied by either Mg^{2+} or Ca^{2+} , with Mg^{2+} binding to EF-hands 2 and 3 being essential for activation of photoreceptor membrane guanylyl cyclase (Peshenko and Dizhoor 2006). On the other hand, EF-hands 2 and 3 in neuronal calcium sensor 1 function as the structural binding sites, whereas EF-hand 4 binds calcium specifically (Aravind et al., 2008).

Among the members of the KChIP subclass, KChIP2, KChIP4 and DREAM bind selectively to Mg^{2+} at EF-hand 2 due to the substitution of Glu by Asp in the 12th position, whereas the EF-hand 2 is nonfunctional in KChIP1 due to the Thr substitution in the position of the 3rd and 5th ligand. In all KChIPs, EF-hand 3 and 4 are regulatory sites that specifically bind Ca²⁺. In DREAM, EF hand 3 and 4 bind Ca²⁺ cooperatively with a K_d value of 1 - 10 μ M (Osawa et al., 2001; Osawa et al., 2005; Ames et al., 2006; Lusin et al.,

2008). According to the results of NMR spectroscopy, it was proposed that Mg^{2+} association to EF-hand 2 stabilizes DREAM conformation and promotes DREAM association to the downstream regulatory element (DRE) sequence (Osawa et al., 2005). However, no significant changes in the protein secondary or tertiary structure takes place due to the Mg^{2+} association to EF-hand 2, as evident from the absence of W169 emission enhancement and/or increase in the α -helical contain upon Mg^{2+} association to ApoDREAM (Osawa et al., 2005; Lusin et al., 2008; Pham et al. 2015; Gonzalez et al., 2016).

The ITC data presented here clearly show that the truncated form of DREAM that lacks the EF-hand 2, binds to Mg^{2+} with a sub-micromolar affinity, $K_{d1} = 180 \pm 10 \mu M$. The association of Mg^{2+} to ApoDREAM-C alters the affinity of C-terminal domain EF-hands for Ca^{2+} as the overall equilibrium dissociation constant increases from 520 nM in the absence of Mg^{2+} to 770 nM in the presence of Mg^{2+} , respectively. The modulation of the affinity of EF-hand binding sites for Ca^{2+} by Mg^{2+} was observed previously in calmodulin and troponin C and attributed to the reduction of the on rate for Ca^{2+} binding (Gifford et al., 2007).

In addition, Mg^{2+} association alters DREAM-C secondary and tertiary structure, as evident from the increase in the α -helical structure, enhancement of W169 emission, and a hypsochromic shift of the emission spectra in the nile red:Mg²⁺DREAM-C complex compared to nile:red:ApoDREAM-C complex. The relatively modest increase in the α helical structure and the small enhancement of the nile red emission in Mg²⁺DREAM-C indicates that the structural changes triggered by Mg²⁺ association to EF-hand 3 or EFhand 4 are minor compared to the conformational changes triggered by the Ca²⁺ binding. This is commonly observed in the EF-hand proteins as the absence of the structural transition due to Mg^{2+} association to EF-hands is commonly attributed to the six-fold coordination of Mg^{2+} compared to seven-fold ligation geometry for Ca²⁺ ions (Cates et al., 1999). The Mg^{2+} association to the isolated C-terminal domain of DREAM (residues 154 - 256) was reported previously by Craig et al (Craig et al., 2002) using micro-electrospray ionization spectrometry. The authors proposed that Mg^{2+} binds to EF-hand 3 or EF-hand 4 in the C-terminal domain with an affinity that is comparable to the results reported in this study.

Importantly, the structural changes induced by Mg²⁺ association to ApoDREAM-C are reversed by addition of Ca^{2+} suggesting that DREAM-C can populate three distinct structures ApoDREAM-C, Mg²⁺DREAM-C and Ca²⁺DREAM-C. Such reversal of Mg²⁺ induced structural changes have been reported for another member of NCS family, neuronal calcium sensor 1 (Jeromin et al., 2004). Indeed, Mg²⁺ binding to the Apo form of NCS-1 leads to a comparable increase in the tryptophan emission and the subsequent Ca^{2+} addition to Mg²⁺ bound NCS-1 resulted in the Trp emission properties that were identical to those of Ca^{2+} bound NCS-1 as observed here for DREAM-C (Jeromin et al., 2004). Unlike DREAM, NCS-1 binds Mg^{2+} ion at both EF-hands 2 and 3 and the Mg^{2+} ion is replaced by Ca²⁺ as increased intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration suggesting that both sites belongs to the structural sites. Considering a high sequence similarity between the Cterminal domains of members of neuronal calcium sensor family, I hypothesize that the EF-hand 3 in DREAM-C binds Mg^{2+} ion with a high affinity. Since the EF-hand 3 is a regulatory site that does not bind Mg^{2+} in the full length DREAM, the results presented here suggest that the inter-domain interactions between the C- and N-terminal domains

modulate the metal binding properties of the EF-hand 3. The NMR structure of the DREAM protein reveals numerous contacts between the exiting α -helix of EF-hand 2 and the residues from EF-hand 3, including a hydrogen bond between N136 and a carbonyl oxygen of D176 (+Y ligand in EF-hand 3) (previously described in chapter 1). The extensive inter-domain contacts include hydrophobic interactions between residues F133, L1733, I190, and W169 contribute to the overall stability of DREAM protein but may also modulate the Ca²⁺ binding properties of the EF-hand 3. Indeed, I speculate that in ApoDREAM-C, without contacts between EF-hand 2 and 3, allows an increase in flexibility of the EF-hand 3, which increases the affinity of the EF-hand 2 for Mg²⁺ in the absence of Ca²⁺.

Summary

The ITC data determined for association of Ca^{2+} to ApoDREAM-C shows that the binding affinity for Ca^{2+} association to EF-hand 3 and 4 in DREAM-C is consistent with that determined previously for DREAM (residues 65 – 256) (Osawa et al., 2005). However, the association of Mg²⁺ to ApoDREAM-C alters the affinity of C-terminal domain EFhands for Ca^{2+} as the overall equilibrium dissociation constant increases from 520 nM in the absence of Mg²⁺ to 770 nM in the presence of Mg²⁺, respectively. I have also shown that association of Mg²⁺ alters the DREAM-C secondary and tertiary structure as evident from the increase in the α -helical structure. Importantly, the structural changes induced by Mg²⁺ association to ApoDREAM-C are reversed by addition of Ca²⁺ suggesting that DREAM-C can populate three distinct structures ApoDREAM-C, Mg²⁺DREAM-C and Ca²⁺DREAM-C. These results also suggest that the inter-domain interactions between the C-terminal domain and N-terminal domain is modulated by the metal binding properties of the EF-hand 3 in DREAM.

6. MOLECULAR INSIGHTS INTO DREAM AND PRESENILIN 1 C-TERMINAL FRAGMENT INTERACTIONS

(The work described in this chapter was published in K., Pham and J., Miksovska (2016), FEBS letters, 590(8):1114-1122)

6.1 Background and significance

Downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM), also known as calsenilin or KChIP3, belongs to the neuronal calcium sensor (NCS) family (Burgoyne et al., 2004). DREAM carries four EF-hand Ca²⁺ binding motifs (EF-hand) of which EFhands 3 and 4 functionally bind to Ca^{2+} with high affinity (K_d ~ 1 - 10 μ M), whereas EFhand 2 preferentially interacts with Mg^{2+} (K_d ~ 13 μ M) and EF-hand 1 is inactive (Osawa et al., 2005). The NMR structure of Ca²⁺ bound mouse DREAM (PDB code: 2JUL, Figure 6.1) reveals that its amino- and carboxy-terminal domains are arranged in tandem and stabilized by a cluster of hydrophobic residues F114, F135, W169, F171, F218, and F235 (Lusin et al., 2008). A dynamic loop connecting EF-hands 3 and 4 in DREAM was suggested to be involved in the binding and recognition of target proteins (Yu et al., 2007). Several physiological functions of DREAM have been reported including prodynorphin gene transcriptional repression (Carrion et al., 1999; Osawa et al., 2001), potassium channel activity modulation (An et al., 2000), and enhancement of calcineurin activation by binding to calmodulin (Ramachandran et al., 2012). Interactions between DREAM and the carboxy-terminal fragment (CTF) of presenilin (PS) 1 and PS2 were proposed to be involved in many biological functions including amyloid precursor protein processing, beta amyloid peptide generation, neuronal apoptosis, calcium signaling in the endoplasmic recticulum, and regulation of N-cadherin processing (Buxbaum et al., 1998; Leissring et al., 2000; Jo et al., 2001; Lilliehook et al., 2002; Jo et al., 2004; Jo et al., 2005; Fedrizzi et al., 2008; Jang et al., 2011).

PS1 is a catalyst component of the γ -secretase complex which mediates cleavage of amyloid precursor protein to produce A β peptides. In order to activate γ -secretase complex, PS1 undergoes endoproteolysis within the hydrophobic sequence T291-A299 forming the amino-terminal fragment (NTF) and CTF (Podlisny et al., 1997; Li et al., 2014). Initially, it was suggested that PS1 consists of 6 transmembrane helices at NTF and one to three transmembrane helices at CTF (Lehmann et al., 1997; Dewji and Singer, 1997; Nakai et al., 1999; Li and Greenwald, 1998; Spasic et al., 2006). The NMR structure of human PS1-CTF (PDB entry: 2KR6, Figure 6.1) reveals that PS1-CTF consists of a long unstructured loop (residues 300 - 354, not shown in Figure 6.1) and a soluble helix β (HL β , residues 355 - 369) at the amino-terminus. The core of PS1-CTF contains two transmembrane helices HL7 (residues 384 - 398) and HL8 (residues 407 - 428). The carboxyl HL9 (residues 441- 462) is an intramembranous helix, which is divided into two perpendicular helices due to the presence of proline 455. The last four residues (463 - 467)in PS1 are unstructured (Sobhanifar et al., 2010). NMR studies and molecular dynamic simulations of PS1-CTF incorporated in lipid environments demonstrated that residues in HL9 are exposed to the surrounding aqueous environment and experience greater dynamics (Sobhanifar et al., 2010). Recently, the cryo-electron microscopy structure of PS1 in human γ -secretase complex revealed that PS1 is comprised of nine helices, which are loosely organized and exhibit considerable flexibility (Bai et al., 2015).

A thorough understanding of the molecular mechanism of DREAM and PS1 interactions requires the identification of binding sites between DREAM and PS1-CTF as

well as characterization of the role of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} on DREAM and PS1-CTF complex formation. In this report, binding interfaces between DREAM and PS1-CTF were identified by determining the binding affinity for DREAM, DREAM with non-functional EF hand 3 or 4, and chimeric forms of DREAM association to synthetic peptides derived from residues 445 - 467 (HL9) and residues 355 - 369 (HL β) of PS1. The residues comprising HL9 were chosen because previous studies demonstrated that they interact with DREAM and a homologous protein KChIP4 in a yeast two-hybrid system (Buxbaum et al., 1998; Morohashi et al., 2002). In addition, the residues composing HL β are in the region (residues 263 - 407) previously shown to interact with catenin, but have not been tested with DREAM (Zhou et al., 1997; Buxbaum et al., 1998). Since transmembrane helices 7 and 8 are composed of highly hydrophobic natural amino acid residues and were shown to be stably integrated in a lipid bilayer (Sobhanifar et al., 2010; Bai et al., 2015), these structural elements were not included in this study.

6.2 Results

6.2.1 Computational protein-protein docking of DREAM and PS1-CTF

Docking complex of Ca²⁺DREAM:PS1-CTF, as shown in Figure 6.1, reveals that the assembly of Ca²⁺DREAM and PS1-CTF involves π - π interactions in which the aromatic ring of F252 in DREAM stacks against the aromatic rings of F462 and F465 from HL9. The complex is further stabilized by a cation- π interaction in which the planar guanidinium group of R200 interacts with the aromatic ring of F465 from HL9. Moreover, F462 and F465 from HL9 insert into a cavity formed by hydrophobic residues M191, I194, M197, M198, M249, F252, V255, and I256 in α -helices 7 and 10 of DREAM (grey solid surface in Fig 6.1).



Figure 6.1: Left: Protein-protein docking complex of $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ (PDB: 2JUL, molecule 1) and PS1-CTF (PDB: 2KR6, molecule 1). DREAM (residues 76 - 256) contains 10 α -helices respectively labeled $\alpha 1$ - $\alpha 10$, and four EF-hands: EF-1, EF-2, EF-3, and EF-4. Two Ca^{2+} ions (yellow sphere) are bound at EF-3 and EF-4 loops in DREAM. PS1-CTF comprises two trans-membrane helices: HL7 and HL8, a solvent exposed helix HL β , and an intramembranous HL9 which is divided into two perpendicular helices. Right: Detailed presentation of the binding interfaces between $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ and PS1-CTF. Amino acid residues from DREAM and PS1 are colored in green and red, respectively. Yellow dot lines represent for hydrogen bond interactions.

The residue F465 in PS1 plays an important role not only for interaction with DREAM, but also for interaction with anterior pharynx defective-1 (APH1) in the γ -secretase complex (Bai et al., 2015). Furthermore, assembly of DREAM and PS-CTF

involves formation of salt bridges between R207 in DREAM and D450 and D458 from HL9. An additional salt bridge is found between R217 in DREAM and residue D458 from HL9. In addition, hydrogen bond interactions are found between the side chains of four pairs of residues: D450-R207, D458-R217, Q459-R217, and H463-D209, where D450, D458, Q459, and H463 are residues from PS1 and R207, R209, and R217 are residues from DREAM (Figure 6.1). Additional hydrogen bond is found between the carbonyl oxygen of Y466 from PS1 and side chain of R200 from DREAM. This hydrogen bond likely stabilizes side chain of R200 in order to direct its guanidinium group to the aromatic ring of F465 from PS1.

6.2.2 Region of PS1-CTF in contact with DREAM

In order to determine which regions of PS1-CTF are in contact with DREAM and investigate the impact of Mg^{2+}/Ca^{2+} on DREAM and PS1-CTF complex formation, titrations of DREAM, DREAM with inactivated EF-hand 3 or 4, DREAM-C, and DREAM chimeric constructs into PS1 derived peptides were conducted in the presence of 1 mM Ca^{2+} and/or 5 mM Mg^{2+} . The titration curve for DREAM binding to HL9 is shown in Figure 6.2 and the dissociation constants are summarized in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1: Dissociation constant (K_d) of DREAM binding to HL β and HL9 in PS1-CTF

DRFAM	K _d (μ1	(N
DICLAIM	HL9	HLβ
Ca ²⁺ DREAM	0.6 ± 0.1	
Ca ²⁺ /Mg ²⁺ DREAM	0.7 ± 0.1	NT 1 ' 1'
Mg ²⁺ DREAM	29 ± 2	No binding
ApoDREAM	183 ± 12	



Figure 6.2: Titration curves for DREAM binding to HL9 (a) and HL β (b) of PS1-CTF. Solid lines correspond to the best fit using equation 3.63 in section 3.5.2.

HL9 binds to $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ and $Mg^{2+}Ca^{2+}DREAM$ with a similar K_d of 0.6 μ M. In the absence of Ca^{2+} , a weak interaction was determined for binding of HL9 to ApoDREAM ($K_d = 183 \pm 12 \mu$ M), whereas the K_d value for HL9 association with $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ was found to be $29 \pm 2 \mu$ M. Unlike HL9, the HL β does not form a complex with DREAM.

6.2.3 HL9 associates with DREAM at the carboxy-terminal domain

Since our titration data indicate that HL9 associates with DREAM in the presence of Ca²⁺ and the Ca²⁺ binding sites are located at DREAM carboxy-terminal domain, I tested whether DREAM-C provides a binding site for HL9. Titration data of HL9 binding to DREAM-C (Figure 6.3) demonstrate that HL9 binds to Ca²⁺DREAM-C with a K_d of 11 \pm 1 μ M and a similar K_d was determined for association of HL9 with Ca²⁺Mg²⁺DREAM-C. On the other hand, a weaker affinity was obtained for HL9 binding to Mg²⁺DREAM-C (K_d ~146 \pm 12 μ M) and no interaction was observed in the Apo form (Table 6.2).



Figure 6.3: Titration curves for DREAM-C binding to HL9 of PS1-CTF in the presence and absence of Ca^{2+} . Solid lines correspond to the best fit using equation 3.63 in section 3.5.2.

Table 6.2: Dissociation constant (K_d) of DREAM-C binding to HL9 in PS1-CTF

Protein	$K_{d}(\mu M)$
Ca ²⁺ DREAM-C	11 ± 1
Ca ²⁺ /Mg ²⁺ DREAM-C	9 ± 1
Mg ²⁺ DREAM-C	146 ± 12
ApoDREAM-C	No binding

6.2.4 Residues 200-207 in the DREAM flexible loop mediate HL9 association

Bioinformatics analysis of the carboxy-terminal domain of presenilin-interacting proteins (DREAM and KChIP4) and a non-presenilin-interacting protein, such as NCS1 (Braunewell, 2005) suggests that the basic amino acid residues $200\underline{R}HTYPIL\underline{R}207$ in the flexible loop connecting α 7 and α 8 (α 7/ α 8 loop) of DREAM may play a role in regulating the association of HL9 (Figure 6.4).

		Flexible loop						
		200 207					252	
DREAM(190-256)	IMKSIYDMMO	G RHTYPILRE	D APLEHVERFF	QKMDRNQDGV	VTIDEFLETC	QKDENIMNSM	QLFEN	ΙVΙ
KChIP1(161-227)	IVKAIYDMMG	5 <mark>k</mark> ytypvl <mark>k</mark> e	D TPRQHVDVFF	QKMDKNKDGI	VTLDEFLESC	QEDDNIMRSL	QLFQN	IVM
KChIP2(204-270)	IMKSIYDMMG	5 <mark>K</mark> YTYPAL <mark>R</mark> E	E APREHVESFF	QKMDRNKDGV	VTIEEFIESC	QQDENIMRSM	QLFDN	IVI
KChIP4(184-250)	IMKAIYDMMG	G <mark>k</mark> ctypvl <mark>k</mark> e	D APRQHVETFF	QKMDKNKDGV	VTIDEFIESC	QKDENIMRSM	QLFEN	IVI
NCS1(124-190)	IVDAIYQMVG	G NTVELPE <mark>E</mark> E	N TPEKRVDRIF	AMMDKNADGK	LTLQEFQEGS	KADPSIVQAL	SLYDG	ΙV

Figure 6.4: Sequence alignment of 66 amino acid residues in the carboxyl-terminus of mouse DREAM with various members of KChIPs subfamily and NCS1 protein using Clustal Omega program. The conserved hydrophobic residues at the binding interface with HL9 are highlighted in green. The conserved basic residues at positions 200 and 207 in the flexible loop of various members in KChIP subfamily are highlighted in blue. The neutral residue and the acidic residue of NCS1 corresponding to positions 200 and 207 in flexible loop are highlighted in grey and red, respectively. Aromatic residues corresponding to π - π stacking interaction with PS1 are in orange.

To test whether these residues functionally mediate interaction with HL9, titrations of DREAM fused with NCS1 flexible loop (residues 200<u>N</u>TVELPE<u>E</u>207) were conducted. The titration curve for HL9 binding to Ca²⁺DREAM-NCS1 (Figure 6.5a) demonstrates a lower affinity ($K_d = 38 \pm 4 \mu M$) and a negligible interaction was, observed for binding of HL9 to ApoDREAM-NCS1.



Figure 6.5: Titration curves for HL9 binding to $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ -NCS1 (a) and $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ -KChIP1 (b). Solid lines correspond to the best fit using equation 3.63 in section 3.5.2.

Since residues in the $\alpha 7/\alpha 8$ loop are conserved among various members of KChIP subfamily, I have also fused KChIP1 loop (200KYTYPVLK207) into $\alpha 7/\alpha 8$ loop of

DREAM and determined dissociation constant for binding to HL9 (Figure 6.5b). Interestingly, the HL9 binds to DREAM-KChIP1 with a lower affinity compared to that of DREAM ($K_d = 3.9 \pm 0.7 \mu M$).

6.2.5 Binding of Ca²⁺ at EF-hand 3 functionally modulates the interaction of DREAM with HL9

To investigate the mechanism by which Ca^{2+} binding to EF-hands 3 and 4 in DREAM mediates DREAM and HL9 interactions, binding of HL9 to DREAM-E234Q and DREAM-E186Q were studied. Titration data demonstrate that HL9 binds to DREAM-E234Q in the presence of Ca^{2+} and Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} with a K_d of 8 µM (Figure 6.6a), whereas a weaker binding (K_d = 32 µM) was observed for DREAM-E186Q mutant (Figure 6.6b).



Figure 6.6: Titration curves for HL9 binding to $Ca^{2+}DREAM-E234Q$ (a) and $Ca^{2+}DREAM-E186Q$ (b). Solid lines correspond to the best fit using 3.63 in section 3.5.2.

These results also show that weak interaction was determined for HL9 binding to $Mg^{2+}DREAM-E234Q$ and $Mg^{2+}DREAM-E186Q$. In addition, the HL9 exhibits very weak interaction with the Apo form of these DREAM mutants (Table 6.3).

	$K_{d}(\mu M)$				
	DREAM-E234Q DREAM-E186				
	(active EF-hand 3)	(active EF-hand 4)			
Ca ²⁺	8 ± 1	32 ± 1			
Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺	7 ± 1	30 ± 2			
Mg ²⁺	68 ± 5	55 ± 2			
Аро	146 ± 14	149 ± 22			

Table 6.3: Dissociation constant (Kd) for HL9 binding to DREAM EF-hand mutants

6.2.6 Oligomerization states of DREAM in complex with HL9

Since alternative oligomerization states of DREAM directly mediate the interactions with intracellular proteins and DNA and this process depends on Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} occupancy at EF-hands (Osawa et al., 2001; Osawa et al., 2005; Lusin et al. 2008; Gonzalez and Miksovska, 2014), the oligomeric states of DREAM in complex with HL9 were characterized. The anisotropy decay traces for HL9 (circles) and Ca²⁺DREAM:HL9 complex (squares) are shown in Figure 6.7a.

The data were fit into a two rotational correlation-time model where the fast rotational correlation time (θ_2) corresponds to the local rotation of FITC and the slow rotational correlation time (θ_1) reflects global rotation of HL9 or DREAM bound HL9 (Table 6.4). The values of local rotation of FITC probe in HL9 and in the complex of DREAM and HL9 are almost identical ($\theta_2 \sim 1$ ns), whereas the global rotation values increase from 2 ns in the case of HL9 in buffer solution to 12 ns and 20 ns when HL9 is bound to Mg²⁺DREAM and Ca²⁺DREAM/Ca²⁺Mg²⁺DREAM, respectively. With the known molecular weight of HL9 and DREAM dimer binding to two HL9 are estimated to be 11.4 ns

and 22.7 ns, respectively, using a degree of hydration of 0.43 ml g⁻¹ for a spherical protein at 20 °C (Weber, 1953; Fischer et al., 2004). The experimentally determined θ_1 value for Mg²⁺DREAM and HL9 complex is 11.8 ns which matches well with the expected value of DREAM monomer bound to one HL9. However, the experimental θ_1 value for HL9 in complex with Ca²⁺DREAM/Ca²⁺Mg²⁺DREAM was determined to be 20 ns, which is about 2 ns faster than the expected correlation time of a dimeric DREAM bound to two HL9. These results suggest that the structure of DREAM is more rigid when Ca²⁺ is bound to EF-hands 3 and 4.



Figure 6.7: Panel (a) is time-resolved anisotropy of HL9 in buffer solution (circles) and HL9 bound $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ (square). Differential phase angle and modulation ratio are shown as solid and empty symbols, respectively. Panel (b) is a Job plot curve represents a 1:1 stoichiometry for molecular association of HL9 with $Ca^{2+}DREAM$.

Furthermore, the Job plot (Figure 6.7b) shows a maximum at HL9 mole fraction (X_{HL9}) of 0.5, what is consistent with a 1:1 stoichiometry for molecular association of HL9 with Ca²⁺DREAM (Olson and Bühlmann, 2011; Furlong et al., 2013). These results further suggest that a dimeric DREAM binds to two HL9 in the presence of Ca²⁺ or Ca²⁺Mg²⁺.

	θ_1 (ns)	r_1^a	θ_2^a (ns)	r_2^a	χ^2
HL9 in buffer	1.7 ± 0.2	0.12	0.4	0.24	0.6
Mg ²⁺ DREAM:HL9	11.8 ± 2.0	0.05	0.8	0.26	0.7
Ca ²⁺ DREAM:HL9	20.4 ± 0.8	0.25	0.8	0.14	0.3
Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ DREAM:HL9	19.3 ± 0.7	0.26	0.7	0.13	0.3
^a experimental error ≤ 4 %; θ_1 and θ_2 is a slow and fast rotational correlation times, respectively; r_1 and r_2 are fluorescence anisotropy amplitudes					
corresponding to θ_1 and θ	2, respectively.				

Table 6.4: Time-resolved anisotropy parameters for DREAM and HL9 complex

6.2.7 Kinetics of HL9 association with DREAM

Binding of HL9 to DREAM leads to an increase in emission intensity at 520 nm due to the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) between W169 in DREAM and FITC label on HL9 (inset in Figure 6.8).



Figure 6.8: Titration curves for HL9 binding to $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ by monitoring FITC fluorescence emission change at 520 nm (inset figure). Solid lines correspond to the best fit using equation 3.63 in section 3.5.2. The dissociation constant was determined to be 0.6 μ M.

By monitoring emission intensity change at 520 nm as a function of DREAM concentration, dissociation constant of HL9 association with $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ was determined to be $0.6 \pm 0.1 \mu M$ (Figure 6.8). The determined K_d in this titration is comparable with the K_d previously obtained in steady-state anisotropy measurements. The results suggest an increase in the emission intensity of fluorescein probe can be used to probe kinetics of HL9 interactions with DREAM.

A representative kinetics trace for HL9 binding to Ca²⁺DREAM is shown in Figure 6.9a. The dissociation rate constant (k_{off}) of HL9 from ApoDREAM was determined by addition of EDTA to Ca²⁺DREAM:HL9 solution and a decrease of emission intensity at 520 nm was monitored (Figure 6.9b). The equilibrium dissociation constant calculated from the ratio of the dissociation rate constant ($k_{off} = 1.0 \text{ s}^{-1}$) to association rate constant ($k_{on} = 0.6 \text{ x} 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$) is in excellent agreement with the K_d determined from titration experiments (Table 6.5).



Figure 6.9: Kinetics trace for association of HL9 to $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ (a) and dissociation of HL9 from ApoDREAM (b) monitored by change in the fluorescence intensity at 520 nm. The solid line represents single exponential fitting curve.

Activation energy (E _a) ^(a)	$15.9 \pm 3.1 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$			
Association rate constant (kon)	$0.6 \ge 10^6 M^{-1} s^{-1}$			
Dissociation rate constant (koff)	1.0 s ⁻¹			
^(a) E_a and error were determined from the mean and standard deviations of three independent measurements, respectively.				

Table 6.5: Kinetic parameters for HL9 association with Ca²⁺DREAM

Our kinetics data showed that the rate constant for the formation of Ca²⁺DREAM-HL9 complex is temperature and concentration dependent. The kinetics experiment was carried out at different temperatures, from 281 to 297 °K, and at various Ca²⁺DREAM concentrations, from 1 to 7.5 μ M. The apparent first order rate constants (k_{obs}) for association of HL9 to each Ca²⁺DREAM concentration and at each temperature was recovered and analyzed by using the first order exponential decay model. The activation energy (E_a) was calculated from the slope (-E_a/R) of the Arrhenius plot (Figure 6.10).



Figure 6.10: The Arrhenius plot of HL9 association with $Ca^{2+}DREAM$. E_a and experimental error were determined from the mean and standard deviations of three independent measurements, respectively.

The activation barrier for HL9 association to Ca²⁺DREAM was found to be 15.9 \pm 3.1 kcal/mol (Table 6.5) suggesting for a large conformational change on the HL9 docking site on Ca²⁺DREAM protein upon the complex formation. The high activation energy can be attributed to the structural changes, which results in a decrease of flexibility of long loop connecting α 7 in EF-hand 3 and α 8 in EF-hand 4 of DREAM protein (Figure 6.1).

To examine if the kinetics curves of HL9 association to $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ include any diffusional components, I performed kinetics experiment with increasing in $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ concentration (1 to 7.5 µM). Apparent association rate constants (k_{obs}) were plotted against varied $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ concentrations (Figure 6.11) showed that the association rate constant (k_{on}) is 0.6 x 10⁶ M⁻¹s⁻¹ and the dissociation rate constant (k_{off}) is 1.0 s⁻¹ (Table 6.5).



Figure 6.11: Apparent association rate constants $(k_{on(obs)})$ were plotted against Ca²⁺DREAM concentrations. The association rate constant (k_{on}) and the dissociation rate constant (k_{off}) were determined to be is about 0.6 x 10⁶ M⁻¹s⁻¹ and 1.0 s⁻¹, respectively.

The association rate constant (0.6 x $10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$) occurs within the range for bimolecular rate constants on the order of $10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, which is about three-order in

magnitude slower than the diffusion-limited association rate constant for a typical small spherical protein of 18Å radius (7 x $10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$) (Northrup and Erickson, 1992). These results suggest that the association of HL9 with Ca²⁺DREAM excludes diffusional components.

6.2.8 Residue F252 plays an important role in regulating the interaction of

DREAM with presenilin 1

To test whether residue F252 in DREAM plays a crucial role in regulating the interaction of DREAM with PS1-HL9, titrations of 20 μ M DREAM with F252 replaced by A (herein named DREAM-F252A) into 0.5 μ M of PS1-HL9 in the presence and absence of 1 mM Ca²⁺ were conducted (Figure 6.12).



Figure 6.12: The bar plot represents titrations of 20 μ M of Ca²⁺DREAM, ApoDREAM, Ca²⁺DREAM-F252A, and ApoDREAM-F252A into 0.5 μ M PS1-HL9.

The results show that replacement of residue F252 in DREAM by alanine significantly reduces anisotropy changes observed for PS1-HL9 association to either Ca²⁺

bound or free form of DREAM. These results confirm that F252 in DREAM plays an important role in regulating the interaction DREAM with PS-HL9.

Furthermore, the computational docking data reveal that the Ca²⁺DREAM-PS1-CTF complex is also stabilized by salt bridge interactions between residues R200 and R207 from the loop connecting α 7 and α 8 in DREAM and the residues D450 and D458 from HL9 of presenilin 1 (Figure 6.1). To test whether these salt bridge play an important role in modulating the interaction of DREAM with presenilin 1, titration of Ca²⁺DREAM into PS1-HL9 was conducted in buffer solution containing high concentration of salt (0.3 M NaCl) (Figure 6.13). The titration data show that binding of Ca²⁺DREAM to HL9 is salt independent with a K_d similar to that observed in the absence of salt (0.6 µM).



Figure 6.13: Titration curves for HL9 binding to $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ in the presence of 0.3 M NaCl. The Solid line corresponds to the best fit using equation 3.63 in section 3.5.2.

6.2.9 Binding of PS1-CTF to DREAM inhibits the interaction of NS5806 drug compound with DREAM

Previous studies in our laboratory demonstrated that binding of the drug compound NS5806 at the hydrophobic site on the C-terminus of DREAM increases the binding affinity between DREAM and the N-terminus of K⁺ channel Kv4.3 (Gonzalez, Pham et al., 2014). The dissociation constant of NS5806 for Ca²⁺DREAM was previously determined to be 2.5 μ M (Gonzalez, Pham et al., 2014). Interestingly, the residues Y174, F218, and F252 in DREAM (Figure 6.1) are responsible not only for the NS5806 drug binding but also for interaction with the HL9 in presenilin 1. Therefore, I speculated that the determined DREAM–presenilin interface hot spots (Figure 6.1) are druggable pockets for NS5806 compound that binds to DREAM and inhibits the interaction between DREAM and presenilin 1.



Figure 6.14: Titration of NS5806 into the $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ -HL9 complex. The dissociation constant was determined by fitting the data using a single binding site displacement equation 3.64 in section 3.5.2.

To test that hypothesis, the titration of NS5806 into the Ca²⁺DREAM and HL9 complex was conducted (Figure 6.14). Titration data show that binding affinity of NS5806 to Ca²⁺DREAM significantly decreases ($K_d = 97 \mu$ M) in the presence of PS1-HL9. The binding affinity of NS5806 for Ca²⁺DREAM in the presence of PS1-HL9 significantly decreases compared to that determined in the absence of PS1-HL9 suggesting that binding of PS1-HL9 to DREAM blocks the docking site of NS5806 drug compound for DREAM at the hydrophobic residues Y174, F218, and F252 in DREAM.

6.3 Discussion

Previous studies have shown that DREAM and KChIP4 bind to the CTF of PS1 and PS2, however, the role of Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺ in regulating protein-protein interactions remains unclear. By using co-immunoprecipitation, Choi et al. have reported that the interaction of DREAM and PS2 is calcium independent (Choi, Zaidi et al. 2001), whereas Jo et al. have reported that DREAM association with PS1-CTF stimulates the activity of γ-secretase and facilitates production of Aβ42 plaques in Alzheimer's disease in the presence of Ca²⁺ (Jo, Jang et al. 2005b). Titration data show that Ca²⁺DREAM binds to HL9 with high affinity (K_d = 0.6 µM), whereas negligible binding was observed in the Apo form (K_d = 183 µM). In addition, identical dissociation constants were determined for HL9 association HL9 with Ca²⁺DREAM and Ca²⁺Mg²⁺DREAM suggesting that Ca²⁺ but not Mg²⁺ functionally regulates interactions between DREAM and HL9. The titration data also demonstrate that DREAM does not interact with HLβ suggesting that the region in PS1 interfacing with DREAM comprises amino acid residues from HL9 (residues 445 - 467). These observations are consistent with previous studies demonstrating that the carboxy-terminal region in PS1 (residues 425 - 467) binds to DREAM and the homologous protein KChIP4 (Buxbaum, Choi et al. 1998, Morohashi, Hatano et al. 2002).

These results are in agreement with the model structure of Ca²⁺DREAM:PS1-CTF determined by protein-protein docking. The assembly of Ca²⁺DREAM and PS1-CTF involves two interaction interfaces on DREAM protein. The first binding interface is formed by residues from the dynamic loop connecting α 7 and α 8 in DREAM, namely R207 which forms salt bridges with D450 and D458 from PS1 and R200 in DREAM which is involved in cation- π interactions with F465 in PS1. The second interface is provided by hydrophobic residues located in the cavity between α 7 and α 10 of the DREAM carboxyterminal domain (Figure 6.1), namely residues M191, I194, M197, M198, M249, F252, V255, and I256 in DREAM which forms an aromatic cluster with F462 and F465 from PS1. The location of the binding sites in DREAM carboxy-terminal domain is confirmed by titration data between HL9 and DREAM-C. HL9 binds to DREAM-C with a Kd that is 10 times lower than that determined for the full length DREAM. Since the NMR structure of the isolated DREAM-C in the presence of Ca^{2+} is nearly identical to the structure of the Ca²⁺ bound carboxy-terminal domain of the full length protein, the observed decrease in the DREAM-C affinity for HL9 reflects a distinct organization of individual monomers within the DREAM-C dimer due to the lack of amino-terminal domain in DREAM-C compared to DREAM (Yu et al., 2007; Lusin et al., 2008).

To understand the mechanism of how Ca^{2+} regulates DREAM and PS1-CTF interactions, the structure of Ca^{2+} DREAM was superposed with the model structure of ApoDREAM (Pham et al., 2015) as shown in Figure 6.15. In the ApoDREAM structure, the aromatic ring of F252 rotates ~90 degrees preventing π - π stacking with aromatic rings
of F462 and F465 from PS1 and destabilizing the aromatic cluster between phenylalanine residues from DREAM and PS1-CTF. In addition, the guanidinium group of R200 points away from the center of aromatic ring of F465 in PS1, weakening the interactions between $\alpha7/\alpha8$ loop and PS1. Also in the Ca²⁺ bound DREAM, $\alpha10$ moves away from $\alpha7$, enhancing the accessibility of the hydrophobic cavity between $\alpha7$ and $\alpha10$ in Ca²⁺DREAM.

A high activation energy observed for HL9 association with Ca²⁺DREAM, likely reflects a conformational changes in the DREAM carboxyl domain during HL9 binding. This structural rearrangement at the binding interfaces may include the repositioning of α 10 away from α 7 as well as a reduction in the flexibility of α 7/ α 8 loop of DREAM. Increased accessibility of the carboxyl cavity in the Ca²⁺ bound DREAM was previously observed using hydrophobic fluorescent probes and associated with the increased DREAM affinity for arachidonic acid and site one of K_V channel (Gonzalez, Pham et al., 2014).



Figure 6.15: Left: Superposed structures of Ca²⁺DREAM (PDB code: 2JUL, molecule 1, cyan) and molecular dynamics simulation structure of ApoDREAM (yellow). Alpha helices 1 - 10 in DREAM are labeled by $\alpha 1 - \alpha 10$, respectively. The movement of $\alpha 10$ away from $\alpha 7$ is indicated by black arrows. Right: aromatic ring of F252 in ApoDREAM rotates ~90 degrees with respect to aromatic ring of F252 in Ca²⁺ bound DREAM. The guanidinium group of R200 in ApoDREAM points 180 degrees away with respect to that of Ca²⁺DREAM.

The relevance of the flexible loop in DREAM to association of HL9 is further highlighted by titration of HL9 with Ca²⁺DREAM-NCS1 chimera construct. These results show that binding affinity for HL9 to Ca²⁺DREAM-NCS1 is decreased ($K_d = 38 \mu M$, ΔG = - 5.9 kcal mol⁻¹) compared to that of DREAM ($K_d = 0.6 \mu M$, $\Delta G = -8.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$). The difference in binding affinity is expressed in terms of difference in the free energy ($\Delta\Delta G$) of - 2.4 kcal mol⁻¹ which is comparable to the formation energy of a salt bridge. The binding affinity of HL9 to Ca²⁺DREAM-KChIP1 chimera is only partially reduced ($K_d = 3.9 \mu M$, $\Delta G = -7.2$ kcal mol⁻¹). Amino acid sequence alignment of mouse DREAM with various KChIP members and NCS1 protein shows that the hydrophobic residues in the DREAM carboxyl terminus are well conserved and thus selectivity for PS1/PS2 is modulated by residues located in the $\alpha 7/\alpha 8$ loop (Figure 6.4). For instance, the substitution of basic residues R200 and R207 in DREAM by a neutral residue N200 and an acidic residue E207 from NCS1 is likely responsible for reducing the binding affinity of DREAM-NCS1 chimera and HL9. Also, a replacement of R200 in DREAM by K200 from KChIP1 may contribute to the lower binding affinity of DREAM-KChIP1 chimera and HL9 due to a weaker cation-pi interaction between Phe and Lys compared to that of Phe and Arg (Andrew et al., 2002). However, addition of 300 mM NaCl does not affect binding affinity of HL9 and Ca²⁺DREAM (Figure 6.13) suggesting that interactions between Ca²⁺DREAM and HL9 comprise predominantly van der Waals contacts among hydrophobic residues exemplified by interactions of F462 and F465 residues from HL9 with F252 in a10 in DREAM as well as the residues at the hydrophobic crevice near $\alpha 10$.

Moreover, binding of PS1-CTF to DREAM inhibits interaction of the NS5806 drug compound with DREAM. Furthermore, the highly conserved amino acid residues at the region of HL9 in both PS1 and PS2 suggest that DREAM may also interact with PS2 with similar binding interfaces as determined in the DREAM and PS1 complex.

6.4 Summary

The results presented here provide insight into the nature of binding interfaces between DREAM and HL9 peptide and demonstrate the role of Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} in DREAM:HL9 complex formation. The hydrophobic cavity in the DREAM carboxyterminal domain provide the binding site for HL-9 from presenilin and the complex is stabilized through interactions between the dynamic loop between helix 7 and 8 in DREAM and HL9 residues. The identified DREAM-presenilin interface hot spots provide druggable pockets for structure-based development of a novel drug compound that binds to DREAM and inhibits the interaction of DREAM with presenilin as an efficient treatment of Alzheimer's disease.

7. THE ROLE OF INDIVIDUAL EF-HANDS IN MODULATING DREAM CONFORMATIONAL DYNAMICS AND INTERACTION WITH DNA

(The results in this chapter were described in a manuscript that will be submitted to the Biochemistry journal by Pham and Miksovska)

7.1 Background and significance

Downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator, also known as K⁺ channel interacting protein 3 (KChIP3) or calsenilin, is a neuronal calcium sensor (NCS) protein that acts as a transcriptional repressor of prodynorphin/c-fos gene to modulate pain signaling in the spinal cord (Buxbaum et al., 1998; Carrion et al., 1999; An et al., 2000; Cheng et al., 2002). Structurally, DREAM contains four EF-hand Ca²⁺ binding motifs, of which EF-hand 1 does not bind Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} due to the presence of conserved residues C104 and P105 on the first EF-hand (Flaherty et al., 1993). In addition, EF-hand 2 selectively binds Mg^{2+} with a high affinity (K_d ~ 13 μ M), whereas EF-hands 3 and 4 exclusively bind to Ca^{2+} with a relatively high affinity (K_d ~ 1 μ M) (Osawa et al., 2005). Functionally, interactions of DREAM with intracellular target proteins and DNA are either Ca²⁺ dependent or independent. These interactions are involved in numerous physiological functions, including prodynorphin/c-fos gene transcriptional repression (Carrion et al., 1999; Osawa et al., 2001), potassium channel activity modulation (An et al., 2000), enhancement of calcineurin activation by binding to calmodulin (Ramachandran et al., 2012), modulation of presenilins enzymatic activity (Buxbaum et al., 1998; Buxbaum, 2004, Pham and Miksovska 2016), neuronal apoptosis, and Ca²⁺ signaling in the endoplasmic recticulum (Buxbaum et al., 1998; Leissring et al., 2000; Jo et al., 2001;

Lilliehook et al., 2002; Jo et al., 2004; Jo et al., 2005; Fedrizzi et al., 2008; Jang et al., 2011). The mechanism of pain processing by transcriptional repression of prodynorphin/cfos gene has gained much attention in recent decades (Carrion et al., 1999; Osawa et al., 2001; Cheng et al., 2002, Costigan and Woolf, 2002; Osawa et al., 2005; Lusin et al, 2008). However, a detailed insight into the molecular mechanism of DREAM interaction with downstream regulatory elements (herein named DNA) of prodynorphin/c-fos gene, the functional role of individual EF-hands in regulating the DREAM conformational dynamics and oligomerization of the DREAM-DNA complex formation remain unclear.

The critical barrier for envisioning the molecular mechanism of interaction between DREAM and DNA lies in unknown structures of the Apo and Mg²⁺DREAM. The primary goal of this study was to delineate the structure-dynamics-function relationship of DREAM in modulating the interaction with DNA. To accomplish this goal, the impact of Mg²⁺ and/or Ca²⁺ on the conformational dynamics of DREAM and functional EF-hands 2, 3, and 4 were characterized in order to highlight the role of individual EF-hands. The interaction between DREAM and DNA in the Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ dependent was also identified by characterizing the association of DNA with DREAM and DREAM EF-hand mutants. The binding interface of the ApoDREAM-DNA complex, as well as the conformational dynamics of ApoDREAM upon DNA association, were also examined using computational approaches. Recently, advances in computational chemistry, including computational docking (Comeau et al. 2004; Comeau et al., 2004; van Dijk et al., 2006; de Vries et al., 2010; Lyskov and Gray, 2008; van Dijk and Bonvin, 2009), molecular dynamics simulations (Brooks et al., 1983), and protein dynamical network analysis (Sethi et al., 2009), have opened the opportunity not only to visualize the binding interface

between DREAM and DNA, but also to observe the dynamic structures of DREAM in the Apo, Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} bound forms at the level of individual amino acid residue. In addition, distinct signaling pathways, propagated by Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} from their binding sites to the DNA binding interface. The results of computational studies were integrated with experimental information to elucidate the structure-function relationship of DREAM in modulating the interaction with DNA.

I employed isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) to investigate the association of DNA to DREAM and DREAM EF-hand mutants in terms of binding affinity (K_d), binding stoichiometry (n), and binding energy (Δ H). Additionally, the oligomeric states of DREAM in complex with DNA were characterized using fluorescence anisotropy decays. In parallel, the roles of individual EF-hands 2, 3, and 4 in DREAM were also investigated by analyzing fluorescence emission and lifetimes of the intrinsic tryptophan residue (W169) in DREAM EF-hand mutants. Lastly, the binding interfaces between ApoDREAM and DNA were determined using a combination of computational docking (de Vries et al., 2010), molecular dynamics (MD) simulation (Phillips et al., 2005), and dynamical network analysis (Sethi et al., 2009; Alexander et al., 2010).

7.2 Results

7.2.1 Steady-state emission spectra of tryptophan.

The single tryptophan residue (W169) in DREAM, located at the interface between the N- and C-terminal domains, is an ideal fluorescence probe for investigation of the protein conformational dynamics of DREAM EF-hand mutants upon binding to Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} (Pham et al., 2015). The approach enables us to elucidate the functional role of each EF-hands in DREAM. The emission spectra of DREAM EF-hand 2 mutant (DREAM-D150N) are almost identical in the Apo and Mg^{2+} forms, with the emission maximum centered at 340 nm (Figure 7.1, left panel). Ca²⁺ association at EF-hands 3 and 4 in DREAM-D150N mutant leads to a decrease in emission intensity along with 5 nm blue-shift of emission maximum in the Ca²⁺DREAM emission spectrum compared to that obtained in the Apo or Mg^{2+} form (Figure 7.1, left panel).



Figure 7.1: Tryptophan (W169) emission spectra of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ bound DREAM-D150N (left panel) and DREAM-E186Q (right panel) upon excitation at 295 nm. Conditions: 40 μ M DREAM in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 10 mM LDAO, and 1 mM DTT.

These results suggest that Ca^{2+} association to DREAM-D150N propagates structural rearrangement, by which W169 residue becomes more buried in a hydrophobic environment in a similar way as observed previously for DREAM wild-type (DREAM-WT) described in chapter 4 (Pham et al., 2015). However, a small but reproducible deviation was observed in the emission spectra of DREAM-D150N relative to that of DREAM-WT. Upon Ca²⁺ association, emission intensity of Ca²⁺DREAM decreases about 18% relative to that of ApoDREAM as previously described in section 4.2.1 (Pham et al., 2015). On the other hand, the emission intensity of Ca²⁺DREAM-D150N decreases about 11% compared to that of ApoDREAM-D150N. These results suggest a small structural difference in either the Apo or Ca²⁺ bound form of DREAM-D150N compared to DREAM, but overall the mutation D150 \rightarrow N at EF-hand 2 in DREAM does not affect the conformational transition triggered by Ca²⁺ in the protein.

The association of Ca^{2+} to DREAM EF-hand 3 mutant (DREAM-E186Q) leads to a small but reproducible decrease in emission intensity (~ 2%) along with a 2 nm blue-shift of emission maximum in Ca^{2+} DREAM-E186Q emission spectrum relative to that observed in the ApoDREAM-E186Q (Figure 7.1, right panel). These results illustrate that Ca^{2+} association to EF-hand 4 in DREAM-E186Q does not trigger a significant change in the protein tertiary structure, suggesting that the side chain of W169 residue remains solvent exposed in the Ca^{2+} bound form.

On the other hand, Ca^{2+} association to DREAM EF-hand 4 mutant (DREAM-E234Q) results in about 11% decrease in the emission intensity along with 5 nm blue-shift of emission maximum on Ca^{2+} DREAM-E234Q emission spectrum relative to that ApoDREAM-E234Q (Figure 7.2). These changes in emission intensity and hypsochromic shift are similar to that obtained in DREAM-D150N mutant and DREAM wild-type. These results indicate that binding of Ca^{2+} at EF-hand 3 induces structural transition in DREAM-E234Q mutant. In contrast, association of Mg^{2+} to ApoDREAM-E234Q does not lead to changes in W169 surrounding as evident from the emission spectrum of ApoDREAM-E234Q is similar to that of Mg^{2+} DREAM-E234Q. Interestingly, emission intensity of $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ DREAM-E234Q is increased about 5% relative to that of the Ca^{2+} DREAM-E234Q.



Figure 7.2: W196 emission spectra of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ bound DREAM-E234Q. Conditions: 40 μ M DREAM in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 10 mM LDAO, and 1 mM DTT.

These results suggest that the replacement of E234 by Q leads an increase the binding affinity for Mg^{2+} at EF-hand 4 with Mg^{2+} binding to EF-hand 4 impacts the emission of W169. Also, we cannot exclude the mutation of EF-hand 4 impacts the emission properties of W169 in the Mg^{2+} bound DREAM.

7.2.2 Association of Ca²⁺ triggers changes in hydrophobicity at the surface of

DREAM-EF hand mutants

Previous work in our lab demonstrated that a large hydrophobic pocket exists on the surface of DREAM wild-type (Gonzalez and Miksovska, 2014). As the apolar cavity may provide a binding site for small hydrophobic molecules, surface hydrophobicity of DREAM was further explored. DREAM EF-hand mutants and their Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ bound forms were studied using steady-state fluorescence spectroscopy. Extrinsic fluorophores 8-anilino 1-naphathalene sulfonate (1,8-ANS) and 6-anilino 2-naphthalene sulfonate (2,6-ANS) were employed to probe the surface hydrophobicity due to their high sensitivity to their local environment.

The increase in emission intensity of 1,8-ANS in the presence of DREAM-D150N indicates that ANS association to the protein is independent of deactivated EF-hand 2. Significant increase in emission intensity was observed upon Ca²⁺ association to both Apo and Mg²⁺DREAM-D150N (Figure 7.3, left panel). Interestingly, the emission spectra of 1,8-ANS bound to Ca²⁺ and Ca²⁺Mg²⁺DREAM-D150N are similar suggesting that the change in surface hydrophobicity is dependent solely on Ca²⁺ association. Moreover, previous studies have shown that Mg²⁺ binding to DREAM wild-type leads to a small but reproducible increase in the 1,8–ANS emission compared to that of Apo form (Gonzalez and Miksovska, 2014). In contrast, association of Mg²⁺ to ApoDREAM-D150N does not change in 1,8–ANS emission intensity relative to that of Apo form suggesting that the replacement of D150 by N abolishes the association of Mg²⁺ at EF-hand 2.



Figure 7.3: Fluorescence emission spectra of 1,8-ANS (left panel) and 2,6-ANS (right panel) bound to DREAM-D150N (40 μ M). The excitation wavelength was 350 nm and 319 nm for 1,8-ANS and 2,6-ANS, respectively.

The results for 2,6-ANS bound to DREAM-D150N are consistent with those obtained using 1,8-ANS probe (Figure 7.4, right panel). A significant increase in the emission intensity of 2,6-ANS upon Ca^{2+} association to both Apo and $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ -D150N was observed. Likewise, the emission intensity of 2,6-ANS is remained unchanged in both Apo and Mg^{2+} forms.

Furthermore, the same fluorescence emission pattern was observed in EF-hand 3 mutant. Emission intensity change obtained for either 1,8-ANS or 2,6-ANS bound to DREAM-E186Q upon Ca²⁺ association to both the Apo and Mg²⁺ forms was comparable to the aforementioned results for DREAM-D150N (Figure 7.4, left and right panels, respectively). Likewise, identical change in emission intensity was also observed for either 1,8- or 2,6-ANS bound to the Apo and Mg²⁺ forms of DREAM-E186Q.



Figure 7.4: Fluorescence emission spectra of 1,8-ANS (left panel) and 2,6-ANS (right panel) bound to DREAM-E186Q (40 μ M). The excitation wavelength was 350 nm and 319 nm for 1,8-ANS and 2,6-ANS, respectively.

Furthermore, the identical results were also observed in the emission intensity of both 1,8- and 2,6-ANS in the presence of Apo and Mg²⁺DREAM-E234Q (Figure 7.5 left and right panels). A further increase in intensity was obtained upon Ca²⁺ association to

both the Apo and Mg^{2+} forms. However, association of Mg^{2+} to ApoDREAM-E234Q leads to a small but reproducible increase in the 1,8- and 2,6-ANS emission intensity relative to that of the Apo form. These results suggest that Mg^{2+} binding to EF-hand 4 may impact the emission of ANS, or the mutation of EF-hand 4 may affect the emission properties of ANS in the Mg^{2+} bound form.



Figure 7.5: Fluorescence emission spectra of 1,8-ANS (left panel) and 2,6-ANS (right panel) bound to DREAM-E234Q (40 μ M). The excitation wavelength was 350 nm and 319 nm for 1,8-ANS and 2,6-ANS, respectively.

7.2.3 Fluorescence intensity decay of tryptophan

Since the tryptophan fluorescence lifetime is highly sensitive to small variations in the fluorophore environment, probing the lifetime of W169 in DREAM provides further insight into Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ induced changes in protein dynamics. The W169 lifetime data of DREAM mutants were best fit using a combination of Gaussian distribution and discrete exponential model. Goodness of the fits was judged based on residuals and the χ^2 value of the non-linear least square fitting curve. The W169 lifetime parameters of DREAM EF-hand mutants are summarized in Table 7.1. The DREAM-D150N (Figure 7.6, left panel) exhibits two distinct lifetimes, of which the discrete lifetime component (τ_2) of 7.4 ns is Ca²⁺ independent, whereas Gaussian distribution lifetime component (τ_1) varies upon Ca²⁺ binding to the Apo or Mg²⁺ forms. In the Apo form, the components τ_1 is centered at 2.9 ns with a distribution width (w_1) of 1.3 ns. Upon Ca²⁺ association, these values of τ_1 and w_1 decrease to 1.9 ns and 0.7 ns, respectively. In addition, the fractional contribution of the Gaussian distribution (f_1) also decreases from 39% in the Apo to 16% for the Ca²⁺ bound form. These results are similar to the results determined for DREAM-WT (see Chapter 4).



Figure 7.6: Frequency domain W169 intensity decay of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ bound DREAM-D150N (left panel) and DREAM-E186 (right panel). The solid lines represent the data fitting using a combination of Gaussian distribution and discrete exponential decay model. The recovered parameters are shown Table 7.1.

In contrast, Ca^{2+} association at EF-hand 4 in DREAM-E186Q (Figure 7.6, right panel) does not induce a structural change in the protein as evident from constant lifetime components τ_1 of 2.1 ns and τ_2 of 5.9 ns in both Apo and Ca^{2+} and/or Mg²⁺ bound forms. In addition, the Gaussian distribution width (w₁) and the fractional contribution (*f*₁) parameters are almost identical in the Ca²⁺ free and Ca²⁺ bound forms of the protein. On the other hand, Ca^{2+} association at EF-hand 3 in DREAM-E234Q (Figure 7.7) induces similar change in protein dynamics as observed for DREAM-WT and DREAM-D150N mutant. Namely, Ca^{2+} association leads to a decrease in W169 lifetime component τ_1 from 2.5 ns in the Apo to 1.8 ns for the Ca^{2+} bound form.



Figure 7.7: Frequency domain intensity decay of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ bound DREAM-E234Q (40 μ M). The solid lines represent the data fitting using a combination of Gaussian distribution and discrete exponential decay model

Moreover, the Gaussian distribution width (w₁) becomes narrower in the Ca²⁺ bound form (0.76 ns) compared to that obtained for the Apo or Mg²⁺ form (1.1 ns). Similar to other DREAM constructs, the W169 lifetime component τ_2 of DREAM-E234Q (6.3 ns) is independent of Ca²⁺ association. Our fluorescence lifetime results suggest that Ca²⁺ association at EF-hand 3 but not EF-hand 4 triggers significant alteration in the tertiary structure and/or modulates conformational flexibility of DREAM protein. These results are in accordance with that obtained in the steady-state emission study.

DDFAM D150N	τ_1	W1	f_1	Q.,	τ_2	f_2	α ₂	<\table >	~ ²
DREAM-DISUN	(ns)	(ns)	(%)	α_1	(ns)	(%)		(ns)	X
Аро	2.9	1.29 ± 0.04	39.2	0.62 ± 0.02	7.4	60.8	0.38 ± 0.02	5.6	0.9
Mg^{2+}	2.8	1.20 ± 0.08	34.6	0.58 ± 0.05	7.4	65.4	0.42 ± 0.05	5.8	0.6
Ca ²⁺	1.9	0.72 ± 0.07	16.2	0.45 ± 0.02	7.4	83.8	0.55 ± 0.02	6.5	0.9
$Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$	1.8	0.70 ± 0.04	16.0	0.43 ± 0.04	7.4	84.0	0.57 ± 0.04	6.5	1.1
DREAM-E186Q									
Аро	2.1	0.82 ± 0.08	22.1	0.44 ± 0.01	5.9	77.9	0.56 ± 0.01	5.0	1.0
Mg^{2+}	2.1	0.82 ± 0.04	23.5	0.46 ± 0.01	5.9	76.5	0.54 ± 0.01	5.0	1.4
Ca ²⁺	2.2	0.88 ± 0.03	24.8	0.47 ± 0.01	5.9	75.2	0.53 ± 0.01	5.0	1.1
Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺	2.1	0.85 ± 0.07	22.8	0.44 ± 0.05	5.8	77.2	0.56 ± 0.05	5.0	3.4
DREAM-E234Q									
Аро	2.5	1.11 ± 0.01	33.1	0.54 ± 0.01	6.3	66.9	0.46 ± 0.01	5.0	0.7
Mg^{2+}	2.4	1.00 ± 0.01	31.3	0.55 ± 0.01	6.3	68.7	0.45 ± 0.01	5.0	0.8
Ca ²⁺	1.8	0.76 ± 0.02	18.7	0.45 ± 0.01	6.3	81.3	0.55 ± 0.01	5.4	0.9
$Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$	1.8	0.74 ± 0.04	18.8	0.45 ± 0.02	6.3	81.2	0.55 ± 0.02	5.4	0.9
A 1	1	6 0 0 0 6 1	1	1 1 0 00		11.		1	• .1

Table 7.1: Emission decay parameters of W169 in DREAM EF-hand mutants using a continuous Gaussian distribution and a discrete component.

A constant standard error of 0.2° for the phase angle and 0.004 for modulation ratio was used. τ_1 is the mean decay time of the Gaussian distribution with a width of distribution w_1 . τ_2 is the lifetime of the discrete single exponential term. Values of τ_1 and τ_2 were determined from the fitting curve of an average intensity decay trace of five measurements. Values of w, α , and experimental errors were calculated from the means and standard deviations of an average intensity decay trace of five measurements in at least two independent protein preparations while τ_1 and τ_2 parameters were linked across.

7.2.4 Fluorescence intensity decay of 1,8-ANS binding to DREAM mutants

The fluorescence decay parameters of 1,8-ANS are sensitive to structural changes associated with Ca²⁺ or Ca²⁺Mg²⁺ binding to DREAM EF-hand mutants. The decay parameters for 1,8-ANS binding to DREAM EF-hand mutants are summarized in Table 7.2. In 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 buffer, 1,8-ANS exhibits a fast lifetime, τ_1 of 0.27 ns and a minor fraction (~ 2%) of 4 ns lifetime due to the non-planar excited state decay (Upadhyay et al. 1995, Someya, Yui 2010). In the presence of DREAM protein, two additional lifetimes, τ_2 and τ_3 were obtained suggesting that 1,8-ANS binds to DREAM at two distinct binding sites with different polarity (Gonzalez and Miksovska, 2014).

The long lifetime, τ_3 , was associated to 1,8-ANS bound to a nonpolar solvent restricted binding site on DREAM's surface that promotes the decay of the excited state by populating the long lived non-planar conformation of 1,8-ANS (Gonzalez and Miksovska, 2014). The fast lifetime, τ_2 , was attributed to the 1,8-ANS probe bound to a partially solvent exposed binding surface (Gonzalez and Miksovska, 2014).

Intensity decay of 1,8-ANS bound to DREAM EF-hand 2 mutant (DREAM-D150N) is shown in Figure 7.8.



Figure 7.8: Frequency domain intensity decay of 1,8-ANS bound to DREAM-D150N. Phase delay and modulation ratio are shown as solid symbols and empty symbols, respectively. Recovered intensity decay parameters are shown Table 7.2.

Binding of 1,8-ANS to Ca²⁺ or Ca²⁺Mg²⁺ DREAM-D150N exhibits an increase in its long lifetime ($\tau_3 \sim 18$ ns) and pre-exponential value ($\alpha_3 \sim 44\%$) relative to that of ApoDREAM-D150N ($\tau_3 \sim 17$ ns, $\alpha_3 \sim 27\%$). The similar values of τ_3 and α_3 were obtained for 1,8-ANS binding to both Ca²⁺ and Ca²⁺Mg²⁺DREAM-D150N suggest that 1,8-ANS binding to the identical binding sites in both Ca^{2+} and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ forms. The results also suggest that 1,8-ANS association to the DREAM-D150N is independent of deactivated EFhand 2. Interestingly, an increase in the value of τ_3 and α_3 components (18 ns and 37 %, respectively) is also obtained for Mg²⁺ association to ApoDREAM-D150N suggesting that association of Mg²⁺ at EF-hands 3 and/or 4 in DREAM leads to a change in hydrophobicity similar to that obtained in Ca²⁺ bound form. These results suggest that change in surface hydrophobicity of DREAM-D150N is dependent on Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ association to EFhands 3 and 4.

Intensity decay of 1,8-ANS bound to DREAM EF-hand 3 mutant (DREAM-E186Q) and DREAM EF-hand 4 mutant (DREAM-E234Q) are shown in Figure 7.9 (left and right, respectively).



Figure 7.9: Frequency domain intensity decay of 1,8-ANS bound to DREAM-E186Q (left) and DREAM-E234Q (right). Phase delay and modulation ratio are shown as solid symbols and empty symbols, respectively. Recovered intensity decay parameters are shown Table 7.2.

Binding of 1,8-ANS to DREAM-E186Q and DREAM-E234Q exhibits the same fluorescence intensity decay pattern (Figure 7.9). An increase in the values of τ_3 and α_3 components (~ 16 ns and ~ 24 %, respectively) is obtained for Ca²⁺ association to Apo and

Mg²⁺DREAM-E186Q. The results suggest that Ca²⁺ association solely at EF-hand 3 is able to induce change in DREAM's surface hydrophobicity. Furthermore, Ca²⁺ association to ApoDREAM-E234Q leads a decrease of τ_2 component (4.3 ns) and a small increase in the values α_3 component (14 %) compared to that of Apo form ($\tau_2 = 5.3$ ns and $\alpha_3 = 11\%$). These results suggest that binding of Ca²⁺ at EF-hand 4 results in change in DREAM's surface hydrophobicity, however, the surface hydrophobicity change induced by Ca²⁺ association at EF-hand 4 differs from that of Ca²⁺ association at EF-hand 3.

Table 7.2: Decay parameters for 1,8-ANS and DREAM EF-hand mutant complexes

DREAM-D150N	$\tau_1^{(*)}$ (ns)	$\begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \\ (\%) \end{array}$	τ_2 (ns)	α ₂ (%)	τ_3 (ns)	α ₃ (%)	χ^2	
Аро	0.27	48	5.2	26	16.6	26	1.4	
Mg ²⁺	0.27	28	6.6	37	17.6	35	1.7	
Ca ²⁺	0.27	25	6.6	32	17.8	43	1.6	
$Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$	0.27	24	6.6	32	17.9	44	1.5	
DREAM-E186Q								
Аро	0.27	60	5.5	22	15.2	18	1.4	
Mg ²⁺	0.27	62	5.6	21	15.7	17	1.3	
Ca ²⁺	0.27	55	5.9	21	16.4	24	1.4	
$Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$	0.27	55	6.0	22	15.9	23	0.8	
DREAM-E234Q								
Аро	0.27	67	5.3	22	14.3	11	0.3	
Mg ²⁺	0.27	65	5.0	22	13.5	13	1.2	
Ca ²⁺	0.27	66	4.3	20	13.1	14	1.9	
$Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$	0.27	62	4.8	23	13.6	15	2.7	
^(*) : fixed value of 0.27 ns for the lifetime of free 1,8 ANS in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4								

buffer (Upadhyay et al. 1995, Someya, Yui 2010).

7.2.5 Circular dichroism spectra

Circular dichroism (CD) is an excellent method for characterization of the secondary structural change in DREAM upon Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} association. In this study, the functional role of each EF-hand induces the secondary structure changes that were

characterized in DREAM EF-hand mutants. Similar to DREAM, the CD spectra of DREAM EF-hand mutants exhibit the strong negative CD signal at the region between 208 nm and 222 nm on the spectrum, indicating a high alpha-helical content of the protein. Upon Ca²⁺ association to ApoDREAM-D150N (Figure 7.10, left panel), a significant decrease in ellipticity between 208 nm and 222 nm was observed, which indicates an increase in alpha helical content and/or rearrangement of the alpha helices. On the other hand, Mg^{2+} association to ApoDREAM-D150N leads to a minor decrease in CD signal suggesting that when EF-hand 2 is inactive, Mg^{2+} binds to EF-hand 3 and 4, inducing a local rearrangement in alpha helices at its binding site. However, association of Mg^{2+} to these sites does not significantly alter the secondary structure of DREAM.



Figure 7.10: Circular dichroism spectra of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ DREAM-D150N (left panel) and DREAM-E186Q (right panel). Conditions: 40 μ M DREAM in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 10 mM LDAO, and 1 mM DTT.

On the other hand, Ca^{2+} association DREAM-E186Q (Figure 7.10, right panel) induces significant secondary structural change similar to that obtained in DREAM and DREAM-D150N. Association of Mg²⁺ to DREAM-E186Q results in an intermediate CD signal compared to that measured for the Apo and Ca²⁺DREAM-E186Q. Thus, the CD data

indicate that Mg^{2+} is able to induce a structural change distinct from those observed for metal free and Ca^{2+} bound DREAM-E186Q.

Moreover, Ca^{2+} association to DREAM-E234Q (Figure 7.11) leads to a decrease of ellipticity compared to that observed for the Apo or Mg²⁺DREAM-E234Q. Our CD data demonstrate that binding of Ca²⁺ at either EF-hands 3 or 4 induces significant secondary structural change in DREAM, whereas binding of Mg²⁺ at EF-hand 2 leads to a local rearrangement in the secondary structure near its binding site.



Figure 7.11: Circular dichroism spectra of Apo, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}$ DREAM-E234Q. Conditions: 40 μ M DREAM-E234Q in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 10 mM LDAO, and 1 mM DTT.

7.2.6 Thermodynamics of DREAM and DNA interaction

Characterization of the thermodynamics of interactions is important for understanding the biomolecular recognition between DREAM and DNA, as well as the functional role of individual EF-hands in DREAM. The energetics of DREAM and DREAM EF-hand mutants binding to the duplex DNA oligonucleotides corresponding to the DRE sequence of prodynorphin (namely DNA) were carried out using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) technique. The isotherms for DNA binding to both ApoDREAM and ApoDREAM EF-hand mutants were best fit into a two-sets of sites model, which represents two independent sets of binding affinity between ApoDREAM and DNA. The recovered isotherm parameters are summarized in Table 7.3.

mutants using a two sets of sites model								
		K _{d1}	ΔH_1		K _{d2}	ΔH_2		
DREAM and DNA	n_1			n_2				

Table 7.3: ITC parameters recovered for DNA binding to DREAM and DREAM EF-hand

DREAM and DNA	n1	Κ _{d1} (μΜ)	ΔH_1 (kcal/mol)	n ₂	K _{d2} (μM)	ΔH_2 (kcal/mol)		
ApoDREAM	1.4 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	35 ± 2	3.3 ± 0.3	8.5 ± 0.1	8.8 ± 2.8		
Mg ²⁺ DREAM	0.6 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	-18 ± 1	6.8 ± 0.8	10 ± 0.5	0.7 ± 0.1		
ApoDREAM-D150N	1.1 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.8	12 ± 1	3.4 ± 1.8	74 ±30	15 ± 11		
ApoDREAM-E186Q	0.9 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.5	21 ± 1	3.5 ± 1.5	98 ± 4	39 ± 28		
ApoDREAM-E234Q	0.7 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.2	43 ± 1	2.8 ± 0.4	67 ± 6	50 ± 9		
*Ca ²⁺ DREAM	1	222 ± 5	330 ± 5	-	-	-		
*Ca ²⁺ DREAM-D150N	1	264 ± 6	360 ± 6	-	-	-		
*Ca ²⁺ DREAM-E186Q	1	174 ± 2	79 ± 1	-	-	-		
*Ca ²⁺ DREAM-E234Q	1	164 ± 3	86 ± 1	-	-	-		
(*) Isothermal data were fit using a sequential binding sites model with $n_1 = 1$.								
All experiments were conducted at 25 °C								

ApoDREAM association to DNA (Figure 7.12, panel A) exhibits an endothermic interaction evidenced by positive binding enthalpy ($\pm \Delta H$). The first binding site has higher binding affinity ($K_{d1} = 0.8 \mu M$) and larger binding enthalpy ($\Delta H_1 = + 35 \text{ kcal/mol}$) compared to that of the second binding site ($K_{d1} = 8.5 \mu M$, $\Delta H_1 = + 8.8 \text{ kcal/mol}$). In addition, the stoichiometry at the high (n_1) and low (n_2) binding affinity sites are 1.4 ± 0.1 and 3.3 ± 0.3 mole of protein per mole of DNA, respectively. These results suggest an anticooperative mechanism, in which one ApoDREAM molecule binds to DNA with higher affinity that decreases the binding affinity of the other three ApoDREAM molecules for the same DNA. Our isothermal profile for ApoDREAM (residues 65-256) binding to DNA (duplex DNA corresponding to the DRE sequence of prodynorphin gene) is similar to that obtained for ApoDREAM mut65-256 (identical amino acid sequence with the ApoDREAM construct in this study) binding to the same DNA (duplex DNA corresponding to the DRE sequence of prodynorphin gene) reported previously (Osawa et al., 2001). The lower binding affinity observed at the first binding site for ApoDREAM binding to DNA ($K_d = 0.8 \mu$ M) in our experiment compared to that obtained in the previous study ($K_d = 0.2 \mu$ M) (Osawa et al., 2001) can be explained by the different temperatures between our experiment (25°C) and in the previous study (37 °C) (Osawa et al., 2001). The similar tendency for temperature dependence of binding affinity has been observed for binding of polymerase to primed-template DNA (Datta et al., 2006; Datta and LiCata 2003). Nevertheless, the isotherm of ApoDREAM binding to DNA in our study denotes a good reference to investigate the functional roles of individual EF-hand in DREAM in modulating the interaction with DNA.

Mg²⁺DREAM exothermically interacts with DNA (Figure 7.12, panel B) with higher binding affinity ($K_{d1} = 0.3 \mu$ M) and negative binding enthalpy ($\Delta H_1 = -18$ kcal/mole) at the first binding site compared to that of ApoDREAM. In addition, a high stoichiometry value ($n_2 = 7$), low binding affinity ($K_{d2} = 10 \mu$ M), and small binding enthalpy ($\Delta H_2 = 0.7$ kcal/mol) at the second binding site between Mg²⁺DREAM and DNA suggest a non-specific interaction at this binding site. Our ITC results indicate that DNA specifically binds to both Apo and Mg²⁺ DREAM; however, binding affinity is higher in the Mg²⁺ form. In addition, different binding thermodynamics of DNA association with Apo and Mg²⁺DREAM suggest the different binding mechanisms of interaction between these forms (Holdgate, 2001; Freiburger et al., 2009).



Figure 7.12: ITC isotherms for ApoDREAM (A) and Mg²⁺DREAM (B) binding to DNA. The upper panels of each profile reflects the thermal power expressed in units of μ cal/s. The lower panel shows integrated reaction heats (Δ H) expressed in units of kcal/mol. The solid lines present the best fitting curve using a two-set of sites model with deletion of the first titration point. The experiment was carried out by titration of 500 μ M of DREAM protein (in syringe) into 15 μ M DNA (in sample chamber) at 25 °C.

To understand the functional properties of each EF-hand of DREAM in modulating the interaction with DNA, ITC experiments were carried out for the association of DNA with DREAM EF-hand mutants. The isotherm profiles for DNA binding to ApoDREAM mutants D150N, E186Q, and E234Q (Figure 7.13 panels: A, B, and C, respectively) are similar to that obtained for ApoDREAM binding to DNA. However, the binding affinity is slightly smaller at the first binding site ($K_{d1} \sim 1.4$ to 2.8 μ M) and that is much higher (K_{d2} ~ 67 to 98 μ M) at the second binding site compared to that of ApoDREAM. These results suggest a small structural deviation between ApoDREAM wild-type and mutants (see explanation in discussion). In contrast, the isotherms of DNA association to Ca^{2+} bound DREAM and DREAM EF-hand mutants can be best modeled using a sequential binding sites model with binding stoichiometry, n = 1. No significant binding was observed for DNA association with Ca²⁺DREAM wild-type and Ca²⁺DREAM-D150N (K_d ~ 225 to 265 μ M) (Figure 7.14, panel A and B, respectively), whereas a higher binding affinity was observed for association of DNA to Ca²⁺DREAM-E186Q and Ca²⁺DREAM-E234Q (K_d ~ 164 to 174 µM) (Figure 7.14, panels C and D, respectively). These results suggest that binding of Ca²⁺ at either EF-hand 3 or 4 in DREAM is able to modulate interaction with DNA, but binding of Ca²⁺ at both EF-hands 3 and 4 in DREAM fully inhibits the interaction between DREAM and DNA.



Figure 7.13: ITC isotherms for ApoDREAM-D150N (A) and ApoDREAM-E186Q (B), and ApoDREAM-E234Q (C) binding to DNA. The upper panels of each profile reflects the thermal power expressed in units of μ cal/s. The lower panel shows integrated reaction heats (Δ H) expressed in units of kcal/mol. The solid lines present the best fitting curve using a two-set of sites model with deletion of the first titration point. The recovered isothermal parameters are shown in Table 7.3.



Figure 7.14: ITC isotherms for Ca²⁺DREAM (A), Ca²⁺DREAM-D150N (B), Ca²⁺DREAM-E186Q (C), and Ca²⁺DREAM-E234Q (D) binding to DNA. The upper panels of each profile reflects the thermal power expressed in units of μ cal/s. The lower panel shows integrated reaction heats (Δ H) expressed in units of kcal/mol. The solid lines present the best fitting curve using a sequential binding sites model with deletion of the first titration point. The recovered isothermal parameters are shown in Table 7.3.

7.2.7 Oligomeric states of DREAM in complex with DNA

Because Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} occupancy at EF-hands directly changes oligomerization of DREAM, which in turn mediates the interactions with DNA (Osawa et al., 2001; Osawa et al., 2005; Lusin et al., 2008; Gonzalez and Miksovska 2014), the oligomerization states of DNA in complex with ApoDREAM and Mg²⁺DREAM were characterized using timeresolved anisotropy. The anisotropy decay traces of DNA in buffer, and DNA in complex with ApoDREAM, Mg^{2+} DREAM, and Ca^{2+} DREAM are shown in Figure 7.15, panel A. The anisotropy decay parameters are shown in Table 7.4. The anisotropy decay of DNA in buffer solution and Ca^{2+} DREAM-DNA complex were fit into a single correlation time model with rotational correlation time (θ_1) of 10.7 ns and 8.1 ns, respectively. Anisotropy decay of ApoDREAM-DNA complex was fit into a two rotational correlation time model, in which the fast rotational correlation time (θ_1) of 8.1 ns represents the rotation of DNA free in buffer solution and the slow rotational correlation time (θ_2) of 54.5 ns reflects global rotation of ApoDREAM-DNA complex (Table 7.4).

To investigate the interaction of $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ with DNA, anisotropy decay experiments were carried out in the presence of 100 µM. The anisotropy decay of DNA in buffer solution containing Mg^{2+} and the $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ -DNA complex (Figure 7.15, panel B) complex were fit using a two correlation time model with the fast rotational correlation time (θ_1) of 2.7 ns reflecting the local motion of the fluorophore (ethidium bromide) and the slow rotational correlation time (θ_2) of 21.9 ns representing the global motion of the $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ -DNA complex (Table 7.4).



Figure 7.15: A: Time-resolved anisotropy of DNA in buffer solution (triangle), $Ca^{2+}DREAM-DNA$ complex (square), and ApoDREAM-DNA complex (circle). B: Time-resolved anisotropy of DNA in buffer solution containing 100 μ M MgCl₂ (pentagon) and Mg²⁺DREAM-DNA complex (hexagon). Differential phase angle and modulation ratio are shown as solid and empty symbols, respectively. Solid lines represent the non-linear least square fit and recovered parameters are shown in Table 7.4.

	θ_1 (ns)	θ_2 (ns)	r_1	r ₂	χ^2			
DNA in buffer	10.7 ± 0.2	-	0.30	-	1.1			
ApoDREAM-DNA	8.1 ± 0.2	54.5 ± 6.0	0.22	0.11	0.9			
Ca ²⁺ DREAM-DNA	8.1 ± 0.1	-	0.28	-	2.7			
DNA in Mg ²⁺ buffer	2.8 ± 0.2	14.2 ± 0.6	0.12	0.16	1.0			
Mg ²⁺ DREAM-DNA	2.7 ± 0.2	21.9 ± 0.9	0.13	0.16	1.2			
All experiments were conducted at 20 °C								

Table 7.4: Time-resolved anisotropy decay parameters of DREAM and DNA interaction

With the known molecular weight of DNA and DREAM, the rotational correlation time is estimated to be 8 ns for DNA, 18.5 ns for monomeric DREAM bound to one DNA molecule, and 50 ns for tetramer DREAM bound to one DNA molecule, using a degree of hydration of 0.98 ml g⁻¹ for a spherical complex at 20 °C (Weber, 1953; Fischer et al., 2004). The experimentally determined value of θ_1 for unbound DNA in the ApoDREAM and Ca²⁺DREAM samples matches well with the estimated θ_1 value. However, the θ_1 value of DNA in buffer is about 2 ns slower, reflecting rotation of a non-spherical shape of DNA. The determined θ_2 value for ApoDREAM-DNA complex (54.5 ± 6.0 ns) matches the estimated θ_2 value for tetramer DREAM bound one DNA molecule (50 ns), within experimental error. In addition, the determined θ_2 value for Mg²⁺DREAM-DNA (21.9 ns) is about 2 ns slower compared to the calculated value, suggesting a non-spherical shape of the Mg²⁺DREAM-DNA complex.

7.2.8 Molecular dynamics simulation of DREAM and DREAM EF-hand mutants

To get insight into the functional roles of individual EF-hands in modulating the conformational dynamics, the three dimensional (3D) structures of the Apo and Mg^{2+} and

 Ca^{2+} bound DREAM are required. Since the structure of the Ca^{2+} free form exhibits high flexibility and heterogeneity in solution, the 3D structure of Apo or Mg^{2+} bound DREAM has not been experimentally determined (Lusin et al., 2008). Alternatively, advances of molecular dynamics (MD) simulations provide a comprehensive approach to model the structures of proteins (Hospital et al., 2015).



Figure 7.16: The backbone root-mean-square deviations (RMSD) of Apo, Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} DREAM during a 100 ns simulation time.

The MD simulations of Apo and $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ were constructed using the NMR structure of Ca²⁺DREAM as an initial structure. The time evolution of the backbone rootmean-square deviations (RMSD) profile (Figure 7.16) shows that the RMSD of Ca²⁺DREAM increased quickly within the first 10 ns, then changed gradually, and reached equilibrium around 4.5 and 5.5 Å after 15 ns simulation time. The backbone RMSD of $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ shows more flexibility compared to that of Ca²⁺DREAM, but changed gradually and remained around 4.5 and 5.0 Å after 60 ns. The RMSD of ApoDREAM exhibits the highest flexibility compared to that of the Mg^{2+} and Ca²⁺ bound forms. However, the ApoDREAM also reached equilibrium around 5.5 and 6.0 Å after 85 ns simulation time.

The root-mean-square fluctuation (RMSF) plot of C_{α} atoms was used to calculate the fluctuations of individual residues with respect to the average structure in Apo, Mg²⁺, and Ca²⁺ bound forms of DREAM protein. The RMSF profile (Figure 7.17) shows that binding of Ca²⁺ at EF- hands 3 and 4 in DREAM reduces the fluctuation of the Ca²⁺ binding loop of EF hands 3 (residues 170 - 186) and 4 (residue 215 - 234), whereas the long flexible loop connecting α 7 and α 8 (residues 200 - 210) in DREAM remains highly flexible when Ca²⁺ is bound.



Figure 7.17: The root-mean-square fluctuation (RMSF) plot of C_{α} atoms represents the fluctuations of individual residues with respect to the average structure in the structures of Apo, Mg²⁺, and Ca²⁺ bound forms of DREAM from 20 to 100 ns simulation time.

These results are in agreement with that observed from the previous MD simulation study in our group (Pham, Dhulipala et al. 2015) and the NMR study reported by Lusin et al. (Lusin et al., 2008), indicating that the force field (CHARMM27) and the MD simulation approach in this study are suitable for constructing a 3D model of $Mg^{2+}DREAM$. Indeed, the RMSF profile of $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ shows that when Mg^{2+} is bound at EF-hand 2, the region containing $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, and $\alpha 5$ in the N-terminus of DREAM exhibit decrease in fluctuation compare to that of Apo and Ca^{2+} bound forms. Interestingly, the loop connecting $\alpha 3$ and $\alpha 4$ (residues 121 - 128) exhibits increased fluctuation, whereas the long loop connecting $\alpha 7$ and $\alpha 8$ (residues 197 - 211) and C-terminal regions (residues 216 to 256) are more stable in $Mg^{2+}DREAM$.

7.2.9 Dynamical network analysis of DREAM molecular dynamics simulations

To better illustrate the dynamic organization of DREAM, I carried out analysis of the MD simulations using dynamic network analysis. This approach allows me to build three dimensional networks which represent the cluster of amino acids whose motions are highly correlated. The resulting networks of Apo, Ca²⁺ bound at EF-hands 3 and 4, Ca²⁺ bound at EF-hand 3 (DREAM-E234Q mutant), and Ca²⁺ bound at EF-hand 4 (DREAM-186Q mutant) (Figure 7.18).

Dynamical network analysis of ApoDREAM and Ca²⁺DREAM simulations (Figure 7.18, top left and right panels, respectively) shows that communities in ApoDREAM remain connected, however, the correlation between communities, represented by thinner edges connecting the nodes, is much weaker compared to that of Ca²⁺DREAM. In addition, communities containing α -helices 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 in the Nterminus (red, yellow, and orange) are rearranged upon calcium binding to either or both EF-hands. The red community, which contains only α 2 in the Apo form, is correlated to α 3, α 5, and partially with α 4 in Ca²⁺ bound form, creating a compact domain in the Nterminus. Interestingly, in the absence of Ca²⁺, the α 10 helix is coupled to the N-terminal domain of DREAM through weak interactions with α 3 and α 4, whereas it strongly interacts with α 7 and α 8 in the Ca²⁺ bound form creating a highly correlated domain in the C-terminus. Analysis of DREAM-E186Q shows that binding of Ca²⁺ at EF-hand 4 rearranges the purple community, in which α 8 becomes connected with α 6 and α 7. In addition, organization of the red, yellow, and orange communities in the N-terminus remains similar with that of the ApoDREAM.



Figure 7.18: Graphic representation of the monomer structures averaged over 100 ns of MD simulations of Apo (green), Ca²⁺ bound at EF-hands 3 and 4 (blue), Ca²⁺ bound at EF-hand 4 in DREAM-E186Q mutant (light blue), and Ca2+ bound at EF-hand 3 in DREAM-E234Q mutant (pink). All nodes and edges of the communities are colored and shown as ball and stick, respectively.

On the other hand, analysis of DREAM-E234Q mutant (Ca²⁺ bound EF-hand 3) shows that the gray community, which contains only α 9 and/or partial α 6 in ApoDREAM,

Ca²⁺DREAM, and Ca²⁺DREAM-E186, is significantly spatially reorganized and includes a new connection to a part of α 5. Furthermore, the organization of the red, yellow, and orange community in Ca²⁺DREAM-E234Q is structurally similar to that of Ca²⁺DREAM wild-type, lacking the strong correlation within residues of α 1. These results suggest that EF-hand 3 may play an important role in Ca²⁺ signaling transduction from the C- to Nterminal domains of DREAM.

7.2.10 Dynamical paths connecting residues R98 and E186 in DREAM

Following the results from dynamical network analysis, which suggested that Ca²⁺ association to EF-hand 3 may play a crucial role in tertiary structure reorganization and propagation of Ca²⁺ signal transduction from C- to N-terminal domains in DREAM dynamic pathway was determined between E186, the catalytic residue in EF-hand 3 (Osawa et al., 2005), and R98, located in N-terminal. The residue R98 was chosen for analysis because it was proposed to be critical for DREAM interaction with DNA (Lusin et al., 2008). Therefore, the paths connecting R98 and EF-hand 3 may reflect the paths for Ca²⁺ signal transduction from EF-hands 3 from the C-terminus to the N-terminus in order to allosterically regulate the interactions with DNA. Experimentally, W169 was employed as an intrinsic fluorophore due to its sensitivity to changes in hydrophobicity of the surrounding environment upon Ca²⁺ association. Steady-state fluorescence emission and change in fluorescence lifetimes of W169 were monitored and combined with computational results elucidate the functional role of each EF-hand in DREAM. Analysis of ApoDREAM shows a single path connecting residues R98 and E186 (Figure 7.19, top left panel). In addition, the thinner edges connecting the communities in this path represent weak connection. Furthermore, residue W169 is not included in the path connecting R98

and E186 in ApoDREAM. In contrast, two strongly coupled paths connecting residue R98 and E186 were obtained in Ca²⁺DREAM wild-type (Figure 7.19, bottom panel). Further analysis of the paths connecting R98 and E186 in Ca²⁺DREAM reveals that they contain the major hydrophobic residues F88, L155, I157, L158, L159, W169, L173, F121, L155, Y118, F114, L134, F135, F133, Y130, and A137 (Figure 1.19, bottom panel), which are strongly coupled and create a large hydrophobic cluster in the center of DREAM, connecting the N- and C-terminal domains and surrounding the W169 residue.



Figure 7.19: Top: The path connecting residues E186 and R98 in ApoDREAM (left), $Ca^{2+}DREAM-E234Q$ mutant (right), and $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ wild-type (bottom). The nodes in communities are shown as balls. Communities are colored using the same color codes as shown in Figure 7.18. The hydrophobic residues in the path connecting from R98 to E186 in $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ wild-type are shown in stick (bottom).

Furthermore, analysis of DREAM-E234Q (Ca^{2+} bound at EF-hand 3) reveals a single path connecting residues R98 and E186 (Figure 7.19, top right panel). Interestingly, the path contains hydrophobic residues F88, L155, I157, L158, L159, W169, and L173 which are also observed in Ca^{2+} DREAM wild-type.

Computational results show structural reorganization in DREAM when Ca^{2+} is bound at EF-hands 3 and 4. This conformational change is accompanied by rearrangement of α -helices 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10, which allow residues L155, I157, L158, L159, L134, Y130, Y118, and L173 to form a hydrophobic pocket around W169 in the Ca²⁺ bound form. Indeed, the fluorescence steady-state emission and lifetime data show a decrease in emission intensity as well as a 5 nm hypsochromic shift, along with decrease in fluorescence lifetime of W169 which indicates that the residue W169 is buried in a less polar environment compared to their Apo forms (section 7.2.1). These results highlight the role of EF-hand 3 in Ca²⁺ signal transduction in DREAM.

7.2.11 Dynamical paths connecting residues R98 and E234 in DREAM

In parallel, dynamical network analysis was performed to determine contribution of EF-hand 4 in Ca²⁺ signaling. The computational results show a single path connecting residues R98 and E234Q in ApoDREAM, Ca²⁺DREAM wild-type, Ca²⁺DREAM-E186Q mutant (Figure 7.20).

The path between R98 and E234 in ApoDREAM is weakly coupled, which is represented by the thin edges connecting the nodes between communities. On the other hand, a strongly coupled path between R98 and E234 was observed in Ca²⁺DREAM wild-type and is comprised of hydrophobic residues F88, V152, L159, I157, W169, F235, L236, I232, L167, and F171 (Figure 7.20, bottom panel).


Figure 7.20: The path connecting residues R98 and E234 in ApoDREAM (green), $Ca^{2+}DREAM$ (blue). The nodes in communities are shown as balls. Communities are colored using the same color scheme as shown in Figure 7.18. The hydrophobic residues within paths connecting from R98 to E234 in Ca²⁺DREAM are shown in stick. Note that only two hydrophobic residues I157 and L167 surrounding W169 were observed in the path.

Interestingly, only two hydrophobic residues I157 and L167 surrounding the residue W169 were observed in this path, suggesting that binding of Ca^{2+} at EF-hand 4 may not significantly alter the hydrophobic environment surrounding W169. Moreover, the path connecting residues R98 and E234 in Ca²⁺DREAM-E186Q mutant shares some common residues with that of Ca²⁺DREAM wild-type. However, a strongly coupled hydrophobic cluster formed by residues L167, F171, I232, F235, and L236 was obtained in Ca²⁺DREAM wild-type (Figure 7.20, bottom panel).

7.2.12 Mg²⁺ association to EF-hand 2 stabilizes the N-terminal domain

Previous studies have shown that binding of Mg^{2+} at EF-hand 2 in DREAM stabilizes the monomeric form of the protein, whereas ApoDREAM mainly forms a tetramer (Osawa et al., 2005; Gonzalez and Miksovska, 2014). However, the absence of $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ structure prevents us from understanding how Mg^{2+} binding to EF-hand stabilizes the DREAM monomer, as well as the influence of Mg^{2+} on the interaction with DNA. In this study, MD simulation and dynamical network analysis were employed to provide insight into structural changes initiated upon Mg^{2+} association. Then, the functional role of EF-hand 2 in the modulating DREAM-DNA interaction was elucidated.



Figure 7.21: The MD simulation model of $Mg^{2+}DREAM$: Association of Mg^{2+} at EF-hand 2 forms a new hydrophobic cluster (shown as pink solid surface), that has not been observed in either Apo or Ca²⁺DREAM. The other two aromatic clusters identified in the C-terminus and N-terminus are conserved in the NMR structure of Ca²⁺DREAM and shown as grey solid surface and blue solid surface.

The MD simulation of $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ reveals that binding of Mg^{2+} at EF-hand 2

results in the formation of a new hydrophobic cluster formed by hydrophobic residues L93,

L96, F100, F148, F151, V151, L155, and L159 (shown as pink surface cluster in Figure 7.21 which has not been observed in the NMR structure of Ca²⁺DREAM (Lusin et al., 2008).

The presence of a hydrophobic cluster is consistent with the dynamical network analysis that reveals a large network reorganization at the N-terminus upon Mg²⁺ binding. Specifically, $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 5$ (the exiting α -helix of EF-hand 2) form a strongly coupled community that is shown in Figure 7.22, left panel.

It is important to note that binding of Mg^{2+} at EF-hand 2 changes the orientation of DNA-interacting helix $\alpha 2$ so that the hydrophobic residues L93, L96, and F100 on the inner side of $\alpha 2$ are in contact with the hydrophobic residues F121 (from $\alpha 3$), F148, F151, V151, L155, and L159 from $\alpha 5$ (exiting helix of EF-hand 2), creating a strongly coupled network. Binding of Mg^{2+} triggers reorganization of the N-terminus domain which results in an increased exposure of basic and polar residues K90, K91, Q94, S95, R98, K101 on the solvent exposed side of $\alpha 2$ (Figure 7.22, right panel). A salt bridge between residues E92 from $\alpha 2$ and R160 from $\alpha 5$ is observed in the structure of Apo (Figure 7.23, left panel) and $Mg^{2+}DREAM$ (Figure 7.22, left panel), absent in the average MD structure of Ca²⁺DREAM, may contribute to the stabilization of $\alpha 2$ and could lead to the increased stability of ApoDREAM and Mg²⁺DREAM-DNA complex (see ITC experimental results).

The orientation of $\alpha 2$ in ApoDREAM and Mg²⁺DREAM is somewhat similar to that of the basic helix-loop-helix DNA binding motif, in which exposed residues on the basic helix form sequence-specific contacts with the DNA backbone (Ma et al., 1994; Lusin et al., 2008). Interestingly, residues L155 and L159 from $\alpha 5$, which are proposed to interact with L251 on the second Ca²⁺DREAM molecule to form a Ca²⁺DREAM dimer (Lusin et al., 2008), are inserted into the N-terminal hydrophobic cluster surrounded by F87, F100, F121, F148, and F151 in $Mg^{2+}DREAM$. The structure of the ApoDREAM tetramer is unknown, however it is possible that L155, L159 and L251 are involved in the stabilization of ApoDREAM tetramer suggesting that Mg^{2+} association at EF-hand 2 precludes the protein from forming a tetramer in the absence of Ca²⁺. These observations are in accordance with the experimental results, showing that Mg^{2+} stabilizes DREAM as a monomer, previously reported by our group (Gonzalez and Miksovska, 2014) and Osawa et al. (Osawa et al., 2005).



Figure 7.22: Left: Dynamical network analysis of the 100ns MD simulation of the first 6 α -helices in Mg²⁺DREAM N-terminus displayed in communities (each community has different color). Right: The dynamical network Hydrophobic cluster L93, L96, and F100 on the inner side of α 2 contact with the hydrophobic residues F121 (from α 3), F148, F151, V151, L155, and L159 from α 5 (exiting helix of EF-hand 2) that is stabilized upon Mg²⁺ association to DREAM. The basic and polar residues K90, K91, Q94, S95, R98, and K101 interfacing with DNA are shown on the outer site of α 2. The leucine residues L155 and L159 at the dimeric interface of DREAM are in red, whereas the basic residues forming a salt bridge between α 2 and α 5 are shown in light blue.

On the other hand, dynamical network analysis of the MD simulation structure of ApoDREAM reveals that $\alpha 2$ is not involved in an extended community, suggesting a decrease in stability of the N-terminus domain (Figure 7.23, right panel).



Figure 7.23: Left: Dynamical network analysis of the 100ns MD simulation of the first 6 α -helices in ApoDREAM N-terminus displayed in communities (each community colored differently). Right: In the absence of Mg²⁺, the α 2 is not involved in an extended community formed by α 1, α 5, and α 6, suggesting a decrease in stability of the N-terminus domain despite of the salt bridge formation between residues E92 and R160 (in light blue, bottom).

In contrast to $Mg^{2+}DREAM$, dynamical network analysis of the MD simulation structure of Ca²⁺DREAM reveals that binding of Ca²⁺ at EF-hand 3 and 4 leads to the breakage of salt bridge between residue E92 and R160 as well as the absence of the of the extended community formed by $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, and $\alpha 5$. Indeed, in the structure of Ca²⁺ DREAM, $\alpha 1$ is repositioned in such way that partially blocks the DNA binding site on $\alpha 2$, resulting in the decrease of DREAM affinity for DNA (Figure 7.24).



Figure 7.24: Dynamical network analysis of the 100ns MD simulation of the first 6 α -helices in Ca²⁺DREAM N-terminus displayed in communities (each community colored differently). Rearrangement of α 1 partially blocks the DNA binding site on α 2.

7.2.14 Computational docking of ApoDREAM and DNA

The computational docking complex of ApoDREAM and DNA is shown in Figure 7.25. The docking complex of ApoDREAM-DNA reveals that the assembly of ApoDREAM and DNA involves two major types of interaction. Electrostatic interactions are found between the negatively charged phosphate oxygens from nucleotides C43, A13, and C41 from DNA and the positively charged side chain of residues K90, K91, and K101 from α 2 in DREAM, respectively.

The complex is further stabilized by hydrogen bonds between residue R98 and nucleotides T11, C12, and C41 with the total energy of 14.3 kcal/mol, determined using YASARA Structure program version 13.1.25 (Krieger et al., 2002), in which the planar guanidinium group of residue R98 from DREAM inserts into the minor groove at the target DRE site formed by 5'-¹⁰GTCA¹³-3' and '3-⁴¹CAGT³⁸-5' (Carrion, 1999; Ledo et al., 2000) (Figure 7.23). Moreover, the cation- π interactions was also obtained between residue R98 from DREAM and nucleotides T11, C12, A40, and C41 from DNA with the total

interaction strength of 10.6 kcal/mol. Hydrogen bond interactions were also found between pairs of amino acid residue-nucleotide: K90-C43 (5.8 kcal/mol), K91-A13 (5.2 kcal/mol), K101-A41 (5.1 kcal/mol), Q94-T42 (3.4 kcal/mol), S95-A13 (5.7 kcal/mol), and Q120-G15 (4.5 kcal/mol) (Figure 7.23). Furthermore, the residues and nucleotides at interface hot spots determined in the ApoDREAM-DNA docking complex are consistent with the basic residues proposed in other studies (Carrion et al., 1999; Lusin et al., 2008).



Figure 7.25: (a): The MD simulation of docking complex between ApoDREAM (residues 75-256) and 25 oligomers corresponding to the DRE sequence of prodynorphin gene (namely DNA). (b): A closer view at the interaction interface between ApoDREAM and DNA. Yellow dot lines represent hydrogen bond interactions. (c): the sequence of 25 oligonucleotides corresponding to the DRE. (d): the sequence alignment of 45 amino acid residues in the N-terminus of DREAM (residues: 75-120, top) and that of the non-DNA binding recoverin (residues: 12-57) to highlight the positively charged residues in DREAM participating to the interaction with DNA.

7.3 Discussion

7.3.1 Conformational dynamics associated with Ca²⁺ binding at EF-hand 3 and/or 4 modulates DREAM and DNA interaction

In this report, I have studied functional properties of individual EF-hands of DREAM in regulating the protein conformational dynamics and interaction with DNA. In the previous study, I have shown that Ca²⁺ association to the EF-hands 3 and 4 in the C-terminal domain of DREAM leads to the changes in conformational dynamics of residue W169. In the Ca²⁺ bound DREAM, W169 is located in a less polar environment, as demonstrated by a decrease in the emission intensity (-18 %) along with a concomitant hypsochromic shift of 5 nm of the emission spectra relative to that of Ca²⁺ free forms (Pham et al., 2015). In addition, the Gaussian distribution component of W169 lifetime (τ_1) in ApoDREAM decreases from 3.5 ns to 1.7 ns in the Ca²⁺ bound form suggesting lower heterogeneity of the Ca²⁺ bound protein Furthermore, the exponential decay fraction of ApoDREAM ($f_I = 77\%$) significantly decreases when Ca²⁺ is bound ($f_I = 44\%$) (Pham et al., 2015).

The overall emission properties of Ca^{2+} free and Ca^{2+} bound DREAM-D150N are very similar to those determined for DREAM wild-type. However, closer inspection of the results reveals a small deviation in fluorescence properties of W169 in this mutant, namely, quenching of W169 emission intensity in this mutant is less efficient than in the DREAM wild-type and the Gaussian component of the W169 lifetime is shorter (2.9 ns) in the Ca^{2+} free form of the protein. In the Ca^{2+} bound form of protein, residue D150 is located ~ 14.5 Å from W169, indicating that the charge distribution in the EF-hand 2 impacts the conformation of residues located at the interface of the C- and N- terminus domains. Furthermore, our ITC data show that the binding affinity of ApoDREAM-D150N with the duplex DNA at the high affinity site is weaker ($K_{d1} = 2.8 \mu$ M) and the change of enthalpy ($\Delta H_1 = + 12 \text{ kcal/mol}$) is smaller compared to that of ApoDREAM ($K_{d1} = 0.8 \mu$ M and $\Delta H_1 = + 35 \text{ kcal/mol}$) suggesting a small deviation in the conformational dynamics between the structures of ApoDREAM-D150N and ApoDREAM impact DREAM interactions with DNA.

In order to explain the deviation between the Apo forms of DREAM wild-type and DREAM-D150N mutant, the MD simulation structure of ApoDREAM was superposed with the MD simulation structure of ApoDREAM-D150N (Figure 7.26, left panel).



Figure 7.26: Left: Residue W169 is buried in a more hydrophobic environment observed in ApoDREAM (yellow) compared to that obtained in ApoDREAM-D150N mutant (green). Right: The superposition of five α -helices in the N-terminal domain of ApoDREAM (yellow) and ApoDREAM-D150N (green).

Interestingly, the indole ring of W169 in ApoDREAM-D150N (green in Figure 7.26, right panel) becomes more buried in the hydrophobic cavity formed by residues Y130, L134, F138, I157, L158, L173, and F252 (Figure 7.26, left panel) compared to that observed in ApoDREAM wild-type. The subtle structural rearrangement of the α 1 in the

N-terminal domain of ApoDREAM-D150 (Figure 7.26, right panel) relative to ApoDREAM explaining the weaker interaction of duplex DNA with ApoDREAM-D150N.

The Ca²⁺ association at EF-hands 3 and 4 in DREAM-D150N completely eliminates the interaction with duplex DNA with a K_d of 225 μ M, similar to that of Ca²⁺DREAM wild-type (Table 7.3).

The fluorescence properties of W169 are differently modulated by Ca²⁺ binding to EF-hands 3 and 4. The fluorescence properties of DREAM-E186Q with Ca^{2+} bound to EF-hand 4 are similar to the fluorescence properties of ApoDREAM, whereas the fluorescence properties of DREAM-E234Q with Ca²⁺ bound to EF-hand 3 are analogous to emission properties of calcium saturated DREAM wild-type. These data strongly indicate that Ca²⁺ association to the EF-hand 3 is necessary for structural transition between the ApoDREAM and Ca^{2+} bound DREAM conformation. Interestingly, the emission data of 1,8-ANS indicate that the accessibility of the C- terminal hydrophobic cavity increases upon Ca^{2+} binding to EF-hand 3 or EF hand 4. On the other hand, the Ca^{2+} association to either EF-hand 3 or 4 is able to diminish the DREAM affinity for DNA. However, binding of Ca²⁺ at both EF-hands 3 and 4 is necessary for the regulation of DREAM association to DNA. Also, Ca²⁺ binding to EF-hand 3 may triggers structural changes that are localized in the vicinity of EF-hand 3 and Ca^{2+} association to both EF-hands may be necessary for global structural transition in DREAM that is required for inhibition of DREAM interactions with DNA. These data also indicate that fluorescence emission and lifetime of W169 may not be an ideal probe to monitor the global transition in DREAM protein and thus there is a need for additional fluorescence probe to be placed in the N- terminal domain that would be sensitive to conformational changes in this domain. There are two tyrosine

residues located in the N- terminal domain of DREAM, but their emission is efficiently quenched by W169 and they cannot be used for probing conformational changes in the N-terminus domain.

The ITC data show several DNA binding sites on DREAM molecules with one high affinity site and additional three lower affinity site. Ca^{2+} association to DREAM inhibits DNA binding to both the high and low affinity sites. To understand how Ca^{2+} binding to DREAM antagonistically modulates the interaction with duplex DNA, the structure of Ca^{2+} DREAM was superposed with the MD simulation structure of ApoDREAM (Figure 7.27, top panel).



Figure 7.27: Top: Amino acid sequence alignment of residues (K90 – N102) in α 2 of ApoDREAM (green) and Ca²⁺DREAM (blue). Bottom: The dimer Ca²⁺DREAM was constructed according to the model proposed by Lusin et al., 2008).

The amino acid alignment of residues (K90 – N102) from α 2 of ApoDREAM and Ca²⁺DREAM (Figure 7.27, top panel) reveals that the side chains of residues in α 2 (Q94, R98, K101) in Ca²⁺DREAM rotate about 180 degrees, preventing formation of DREAM-DNA complex. In addition, the structure of head-to-tail homodimer of Ca²⁺DREAM (Figure 7.27, bottom panel), constructed using the dimeric model proposed by Lusin et al (Lusin et al., 2008), reveals the loop connecting α 7 and α 8 from the second Ca²⁺DREAM molecule blocks the DNA binding interface (α 2) in the first Ca²⁺DREAM molecule which prevents interaction of DNA.

7.3.2 Association of Mg²⁺ at EF-hand 2 structurally facilitates the interaction between DREAM and DNA

Because the concentration of free Mg²⁺ is maintained at nearly constant level of 1 μ M in cells, Mg²⁺ is not likely to directly regulate cell signaling (Romani, Scarpa 1992). However, DREAM possesses a highly Mg²⁺ sensitive EF-hand 2 (K_d ~ 13 μ M) that raises a question about the physiologically relevance of Mg²⁺DREAM in the resting state of the cell, as well as its role in modulating the interaction with DNA. The functional role of Mg²⁺ bound to EF-hand 2 in DREAM in modulating DNA interaction was demonstrated by experimental data. Dynamical network analysis illustrates that Mg²⁺ binding at EF-hand 2 alters the orientation of α 2 in such way that the residues L93, L96, and F100 on the inner side of α 2 are coupled with the hydrophobic residues F121 (from α 3), F148, F151, V151, L155, and L159 from α 5 (exiting helix of EF-hand 2), while side chain of the basic and polar residues K90, K91, Q94, S95, R98, K101 at DNA binding interface are extruded to the solvent (Figure 7.20). Our ITC data show that affinity for DNA specifically binding to Mg²⁺DREAM (K_{d1} = 0.3 μ M) is somewhat higher compared to that of ApoDREAM (K_{d1} = 0.8 μ M). In addition, association of DNA to Mg²⁺DREAM is an exothermic reaction with favorable enthalpy (Δ H) of -18 kcal/mol, whereas binding of DNA to ApoDREAM is an endothermic reaction with ΔH of + 35 kcal/mol. These results suggest that the binding mechanisms are different for association of DNA to Apo and Mg²⁺ forms of DREAM. To further examine the different mechanisms of DNA association with ApoDREAM and Mg²⁺DREAM, time-resolved anisotropy experiments were carried out. Our anisotropy decay data illustrate that DNA binds to tetrameric ApoDREAM and monomeric Mg²⁺DREAM. The binding interface between ApoDREAM and the duplex DNA was determined using experimental restraints from previous studies (Carrion, Link et al. 1999a, Ledo, Link et al. 2000, Lusin, Vanarotti et al. 2008) for the input in protein-DNA computational docking. The model of Mg²⁺DREAM-DNA complex was not investigated in this study due to the lack of experimental information for docking input. These results demonstrate that assembly of ApoDREAM and DNA involves two major types of interaction: electrostatic interactions between the negatively charged phosphate oxygens from nucleotides C43, A13, and C41 from DNA and the positively charged residues K90, K91, and K101 from $\alpha 2$ in DREAM. The complex is further stabilized by hydrogen bond interactions between pairs of amino acid residue-nucleotide: K90-C43, K91-A13, K101-A41, Q94-T42, S95-A13, and Q120-G15 (Figure 7.25). Furthermore, the complex is further stabilized by hydrogen bonds and cation- π interactions between the side chain of residue R98 from DREAM with the nucleotides at the minor groove of the target DRE site formed by 5'-¹⁰GTCA¹³-3' and '3-⁴¹CAGT³⁸-5'. The residue R98 in DREAM plays a role in the interaction not only with DNA (Lusin et al., 2008), but also with K⁺ channel K_v4.3

(Gonzalez, Pham et al. 2014), highlighting its biological relevance in modulating interactions with DNA and target proteins.

7.4 Summary

In this report, we show comprehensive evidence demonstrating the impact of Mg^{2+}/Ca^{2+} on conformational dynamics of DREAM as well as the functional properties of individual EF-hands in DREAM upon interaction with duplex DNA. We demonstrate that Mg^{2+} binding at EF-hand 2 structurally stabilizes the DREAM N-terminal domain, which facilitates interaction with duplex DNA. The binding of Ca^{2+} at either EF-hand 4 is able to modulate the interaction of DNA. However, binding of Ca^{2+} at both EF-hands 3 and 4 is necessary to abolish interaction between DREAM and DNA. These findings provide insight into the roles of individual EF-hands in DREAM under physiological and pathological conditions, which can be used as a model to uncover the functional properties of EF-hands in other neuronal calcium sensor proteins and to characterize the effects of Mg^{2+} on various Ca^{2+} signaling pathways in cells.

8. CHARACTERIZE KINETICS AND THERMODYNAMICS ASSOCIATED WITH CARBON MONOXIDE BINDING OR RELEASING FROM HORSE HEART MYOGLOBIN AND MICROPEROXIDASE-11 IN SODIUM BIS-(2-ETHYLHEXYL) SULFOSUCCINATE ENCAPSULATION: A MODEL SYSTEM TO STUDY CONFORMATIONAL DYNAMICS OF DREAM FULL LENGTH IN MEMBRANE ANCHORED STATE.

(The work described in this chapter was partially published in (Larsen, Vetromile et al. 2011))

8.1 Background and significance

Reverse (inverted) micelles (RM) are nanoscale droplets of water surrounded by amphiphilic surfactants in nonpolar solvent with surfactant molecules oriented in such way that the nonpolar tails are in contact with the organic phase and the polar heads enclose a water pool inside the micellar cavity (Figure 8.1).



Figure 8.1: The schematic presentation of AOT molecule (left) and a reverse micelle particle containing an Mb molecule encapsulated within internal water pool (right).

Reverse micelles have attracted considerable attention as micromedia for chemical and biochemical reactions due to their ability to solubilize hydrophilic reactants in organic solvents. Reverse micelles based upon sodium bis-(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate (AOT) have been extensively studied using various physical techniques since these micelles are thermodynamically stable, optically transparent and the size of the internal water pool can be varied from 10 Å (\approx 30 water molecules) to 140 Å (\approx 400,000 water molecules) through varying the molar water to surfactant ratio (wo = [water]/[surfactant]) (Kotlarchyk et al., 1985; Moilanen et al., 2007; Fenn et al., 2009). The properties of encapsulated water molecules are traditionally described using a two-state model as originally proposed by Zinsli (Zinsli, 1979). Interfacial water molecules that solubilize charged surfactant head groups as well as counter cations exhibit increased viscosity and slow relaxation rates whereas the water molecules located within the core of the water pool show properties similar to bulk water. Reverse micelles have been extensively used to probe the effect of confinement and hydration on protein structure, dynamics, and folding. The structural properties of encapsulated proteins depend on the water pool size, surfactant charge and interactions between protein and surfactant (Van Horn et al., 2005; Van Horn et al., 2009; Naoe et al., 2004). For example, it has been shown that encapsulation of cytochrome c in AOT RM is associated with a structural destabilization, opening of the heme crevice and dissociation of the Met-80 heme axial ligand (Abel et al., 2010; Brochette et al., 1988). To investigate the conformational dynamics in restricted environment of RM, I have used PAC and transient absorption to characterize the kinetics and thermodynamics associated with CO binding/releasing from horse heart Mb and from microperoxidase-11 (MP-11). Protein MP-11 is an 11 residue polypeptide fragment obtained by proteolytic cleavage of cytochrome c. The heme group in MP-11 is covalently attached to the peptide chain through two thioether linkages and retains axial histidine ligand (Verbaro et al., 2009).

8.2 Results

8.2.1 UV/Vis absorption spectra

The absorption spectra of ferric, ferrous and CO bound Mb encapsulated in AOT RM of $w_0 = 40$ are displayed in Figure 8.2. The optical spectrum of Fe³⁺Mb exhibits a maximum of the Soret band at 414 nm and a broader absorption band with maximum at 534 nm with an additional shoulder at 564 nm (Table 8.1). These values are very similar to the absorption spectrum of a hemichrome Mb intermediate with bis-histidine heme iron coordination (Miksovksa et al., 2006). Formation of the hemichrome Mb was previously reported for metMb exposed to low concentration of GuHCl (Culbertson and Olson, 2010) or to Fe³⁺Mb complexed with negatively charged surfactants such as sodium dodecyl sulfate (Miksovksa et al., 2006) or AOT (Murakami et al., 2011).



Figure 8.2: Optical absorption spectra of Mb (left) and MP-11 (right) encapsulated within RM w=40. The traces for $Fe^{3+}Mb/Fe^{3+}Mp-11$ are shown as solid thick lines, for $Fe^{2+}Mb/Fe^{2+}MP-11$ as dashed lines and for CO-Mb and CO-MP11 as solid thin lines.

Reduction of Mb in AOT micelles is associated with a hypsochromic shift of the Soret band to 411 nm and the appearance of a broad band in the visible region, $\lambda_{max} = 626$ nm. Such a large blue shift in the Soret band absorption maxima relative to Fe²⁺Mb in aqueous solutions ($\lambda_{max} = 434$ nm) indicates pronounced changes in the heme binding pocket.

Table 8.1: The position of the Soret band and Q bands for Mb, and MP-11 encapsulated within the AOT reverse micelles, $w_0 = 40$. The numbers is parenthesis corresponds to the λ_{max} for Mb and MP-11 in aqueous solutions, pH = 7.0.

	Soret band	Q bands		Soret band	Q bands
	λ_{max} (nm)	λ_{max} (nm)		λ_{max} (nm)	λ_{max} (nm)
Fe ³⁺ Mb	414	534, 565	Fe ³⁺ MP-11	410	521
	(406)	(508. 630)		(400)	(532)
Fe ²⁺ Mb	411	531	Fe ²⁺ MP-11	419	523, 551
	(435)	(560)		(416)	(520, 550)
CO-Mb	420	537, 567	CO-MP-11	415	528, 562
	(424)	(540, 579)		(412)	(525)

The absorption spectra of MP-11 in RM are shown in Figure 8.2 (right). The Soret band of Fe³⁺MP-11 is centered at 410 nm and upon heme iron reduction, shifts to 419 nm with two Q bands appearing at 523 nm and 551 nm that are characteristic of a low-spin sixcoordinated heme *c* species. The formation of six-coordinate species was previously observed for MP-11 solubilized in aqueous solutions and was attributed to intermolecular coordination between the heme iron and α -NH₂ group of Val11 or ϵ -NH₂ group of Lys 13 (Wang, Van Wart 1989)(144). The optical absorption results suggest that the intermolecular coordination of MP-11 is retained upon peptide encapsulation within the reverse micelles. Saturation of Fe²⁺MP-11 within RM with CO leads to the formation of the six-coordinated low-spin heme iron. Interestingly, the Soret band maxima of MP-11 adducts are red-shifted relative to the optical spectra of MP-11 in aqueous solutions suggesting strong interactions between MP-11 and the negatively charged sulfate groups of the AOT molecules.

8.2.2 Photoacoustic calorimetry results

The PAC trace for CO photo-dissociation from Mb in RM is displayed in Figure 8.2 together with the PAC trace for Fe³⁺Mb (used as the calorimetric reference). The sample acoustic wave is shifted in phase relative to the reference indicating the presence of volume/enthalpy changes occurring on timescales from ~ 50 ns to ~ 15µs. These data indicate that CO escape from Fe²⁺Mb in RM can be described as a two-step process with the prompt step ($\tau_{prompt} < 50$ ns) corresponding to Fe-CO bond cleavage and the subsequent step reflecting CO escape from the protein matrix to the surrounding solvent.



Figure 8.3: PAC traces for CO photo-dissociation from $Fe^{2+}Mb$ in AOT RM of $w_0 = 40$ (thick black line), for the reference compound ($Fe^{3+}Mb$ in AOT RM of $w_0 = 40$) and the fit (gray line).

The PAC sample and reference traces were measured over the temperature range from 20 to 35 °C and deconvolution of the PAC traces provided the rate constant for CO escape from Fe²⁺Mb encapsulated within AOT RM to be ~ 8.3 $\times 10^{6}$ s⁻¹ at 20 °C with an associated activation energy, $E_a = 24 \pm 13$ kJ mol⁻¹. The observed rate constant is approximately six times faster relative to the rate constant for CO escape from Fe²⁺Mb in aqueous solutions at pH 7.0 ($k_{esc} = 1.4 \text{ x } 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $E_a = 43 \pm 3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) (Belogortseva et al., 2007). Based upon spectroscopic data and molecular dynamics approaches, a comprehensive molecular mechanism for ligand migration in Mb was proposed including the initial diffusion of the photo-dissociated ligand into the internal network of hydrophobic cavities and subsequent ligand return into the distal heme binding pocket (Small et al., 1992; Mouawad et al., 2005). The escape of CO from the protein matrix requires opening of a transient channel between the heme propionates through an outward rotation of the distal histidine side chain and the rate for closing/opening of histidine gate was estimated to occur on timescale of 1 -10 μ s, which is comparable to the 700 ns time constant determined in previous PAC measurements (Larsen et al., 2011). The faster ligand escape observed for Mb within RM indicates that either Mb confinement within RM and/or electrostatic interactions between the encapsulated Mb and negatively charged micellar interface accelerates the transition between the closed and open conformations of the histidine gate resulting in the faster CO exit from protein matrix. Alternatively, perturbations to the Mb tertiary structure may trigger the opening of new ligand migration pathway(s) with lower activation barriers that are not populated under native conditions.

8.2.3 Transient absorption results

To further investigate the interactions between CO and Fe²⁺Mb/Fe²⁺MP-11 in reverse micelles, the rate constants for CO rebinding were determined using transient absorption spectroscopy (Figure 8.4). Rebinding of CO to the heme iron in the Fe²⁺Mb-AOT system is a biphasic process with the faster process occurring with the time constant of 41 µs (A₁ ~ 0.7) and the second phase having a lifetime of 176 µs (A₂~ 0.3) at 20 °C. A similar biphasic process was observed for CO rebinding to MP-11 with $\tau_1 = 23$ µs and τ_2 = 57 µs with equal amplitudes for both phases (data not shown). The rate constants for CO rebinding to Fe²⁺Mb were probed over the temperature range from 15 °C and 30 °C to determine the activation barrier for ligand rebinding (Figure 8.4, Inset).



Figure 8.4: Transient absorption trace for CO rebinding to $Fe^{2+}Mb$ encapsulated within RM, $w_o = 40$. Inset: Arrhenius plot of the rate constants for the fast (circles) and slow (squares) phase of the CO rebinding to Mb.

The fast phase shows an activation barrier of 15 ± 6 kJ mol⁻¹ and similar activation barrier was determined for the slow phase, $E_a = 19 \pm 9$ kJ mol⁻¹. These values are comparable to the activation energies determined for CO rebinding to Fe²⁺Mb in aqueous solutions, $E_a \sim 19$ kJ mol⁻¹ (Hasinoff, 1974).

Overall, these data are in agreement with the photoacoustic results confirming that Mb encapsulation within RM leads to the opening of the protein structure facilitating ligand access to the heme binding pocket. The presence of bi-exponential CO rebinding kinetics to both $Fe^{2+}Mb$ and $Fe^{2+}MP-11$ is somewhat surprising. Analysis of the CO rebinding data in RM is complicated as the CO concentration within the micellar water pool and surfactant interface is unknown. However, the presence of the multi-exponential CO rebinding kinetics points out that $Fe^{2+}MP-11$ and $Fe^{2+}Mb$ may be located at least in two distinct environments, such as water pool and surfactant interface, with different CO concentration or that the CO rebinds from both the interior water cavities as well as diffusion from the bulk organic solvent.

8.3 Summary and Outlook

The ability to probe thermodynamics associated with physiological processes in biomolecules on sub-millisecond time scales remains a significant challenge in molecular biophysics. Nonetheless, as demonstrated in the sections above, time resolved photothermal methods offer a unique opportunity to access enthalpy and molar volume changes associated with photo-initiated processes on time scales ranging from tens of milliseconds down to tens of nanoseconds. Photoacoustic calorimetry has now been widely applied to a vast array of biological molecules with photo-triggerable physiological processes and thermodynamic profiles have been revealed on very fast time scales. I have now demonstrated the utility of the PAC method for proteins encapsulated within reverse micelles and are currently employing these methods to investigate the conformational dynamics of DREAM full length (residues 1 -256) in membrane-anchored state as well as the interaction of presenilins with DREAM full-length encapsulated in reverse micelles upon photolysis of caged Ca^{2+} compound.

9. CONCLUSIONS

The conformational dynamics and stability associated with Mg^{2+} and/or Ca^{2+} binding to DREAM in the regulation of interactions between DREAM and DNA or presenilins have been successfully delineated throughout this dissertation.

The impact of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} on conformational dynamics and stability of DREAM have been described in Chapters 4 and 5. The binding of Ca^{2+} to DREAM triggers the reorganization of the interface between EF-hand 2 and EF-hand 3 with the W169 side chain being located in a less polar environment. In addition, association of Ca^{2+} reduces solvent accessibility to the W169 residue due to tertiary structure alterations and/or decreased conformational flexibility. In addition, a transition from the t to g+ rotamer of W169 side chain was observed during molecular dynamic simulations supporting the idea that the bimodal distribution could arise from two rotameric orientations of W169 with the side chain of the g+ rotamer being more solvent exposed than that of the t rotamer. The W169 lifetime data indicates that DREAM can adopt an additional conformation with a long W169 lifetime of 7.5 ns that may reflect conformations of DREAM populated in the presence of lipid membranes and vesicles.

In addition, I have also shown that association of Mg^{2+} and/or Ca^{2+} to DREAM EFhands increases the stability of the protein, although to different extents. Despite the low impact of Mg^{2+} association with EF-hand 2 on the DREAM tertiary structure, the presence of Mg^{2+} stabilizes the native state with respect to a partially unfolded state. The results show that DREAM unfolding is a complex process that occurs through a partially unfolded, intermediate state. The Ca^{2+} association to EF-hands 3 and 4 stabilizes the partially unfolded state with respect to the unfolded state whereas the association of Mg^{2+} increases the stability of the native state only in the presence of Ca^{2+} . These results suggest that the intracellular fluctuations in Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} concentrations control the global conformation and stability of DREAM and likely regulate its interactions with intracellular partners.

In Chapter 6, I have presented that association of presenilin-1 carboxyl α -helix 9 (HL9) with DREAM is Ca²⁺ dependent. The assembly of DREAM and HL9 is primarily dominated by hydrophobic interactions between residues F462 and F465 from HL9 and residues M191, I194, M197, M198, M249, F252, V255, and I256 at the C-terminal hydrophobic cavity in DREAM. I have also illustrated that residue F252 as well as EF-hand 3 in DREAM play crucial roles in the regulation of the interaction of DREAM with HL9. These results provide an insight into the conformational dynamics of DREAM associated with Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ binding to DREAM in regulation of DREAM and presenilins interactions. Our findings also provide the crucial hot spots at the binding interface between DREAM and presenilin 1, which provides the potential druggable pockets for structure-based development of a novel drug compounds to treat Alzheimer's disease.

The functional roles of individual EF-hands in DREAM in modulating the conformational dynamics of DREAM protein and upon interactions with DNA have been delineated in Chapter 7. I have shown that Mg^{2+} association at EF-hand 2 structurally stabilizes the N-terminal alpha-helices 1, 2, and 5, thereby assisting the interaction with DNA. The binding of Ca²⁺ at EF-hand 3 induces significant structural changes in DREAM, represented by the critical paths comprised of hydrophobic residues F88, F121, Y130, F133, L134, A137, V152, L155, I157, L159, W169, and L173. These findings illustrate

the critical role of EF-hand 3 for Ca^{2+} signal transduction from the carboxy- to aminoterminus in DREAM. Interestingly, Ca^{2+} association at EF-hand 4 stabilizes the carboxyterminus by forming a strong coupled network consisting of hydrophobic residues L167, F171, I232, F235, and L236 in DREAM.

Lastly, in Chapter 8, I successfully characterize kinetics and thermodynamics associated with carbon monoxide binding or releasing from horse heart myoglobin and microperoxidase-11 in sodium bis-(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate encapsulation for the development of a novel model system to study conformational dynamics of DREAM full length in membrane anchored state. I have demonstrated that transient absorption and photoacoustic calorimetry methods for proteins encapsulated within reverse micelles are novel and reliable approaches to investigate the conformational dynamics of DREAM full length (residues 1 -256) in membrane-anchored state as well as the interaction of presenilins with DREAM full-length encapsulated in reverse micelles. The study also reveals novel insights into the protein conformation, physiological function, and proteinmembrane interactions. Such information is critical to understanding NCS proteins function within the confined space of the cell as well as to develop a more reliable method to study myristoylation or palmitoylation switch of NCS proteins.

10. LIST OF REFERENCES

Burgoyne, R. and Weiss, J. (2001). The Neuronal Calcium Sensor Family of Ca²⁺ Binding Proteins.

Abel, S., Waks, M., and Marchi, M. (2010). Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Cytochrome C Unfolding in AOT Reverse Micelles: The First Steps. The European Physical Journal E, 32(4): 399-409.

Alcala, J., Gratton, E., and Prendergast, F. (1987). Interpretation of Fluorescence Decays in Proteins Using Continuous Lifetime Distributions. Biophysical Journal, 51(6): 925-936.

Alexander, W., Eargle, J., and Luthey-Schulten, Z. (2010). Experimental and Computational Determination of tRNA Dynamics. FEBS Letters; Transfer RNA, 584(2): 376-386.

Ames, J., Hendricks, K., Strahl, T., Huttner, I., Hamasaki, N., and Thorner, J. (2000). Structure and Calcium-Binding Properties of Frq1, a Novel Calcium Sensor in the Yeast *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae*. Biochemistry, 39(40): 12149-12161.

Ames, J., Ishima, R., Tanaka, T., Gordon, J., Stryer, L., and Ikura, M. (1997). Molecular Mechanics of Calcium-Myristoyl Switches. Nature, 389(6647): 198-202.

Ames, J. and Lim, S. (2012). Molecular Structure and Target Recognition of Neuronal Calcium Sensor Proteins. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 1820(8): 1205-1213.

Ames, J, Hamasaki, N., and Molchanova, T. (2002). Structure and Calcium-Binding Studies of a Recoverin Mutant (E85Q) in an Allosteric Intermediate State. Biochemistry, 41(18): 5776-5787.

Ames, J., Hendricks, K., Strahl, T., Huttner, I., Hamasaki, N., and Thorner, J. (2000). Structure and Calcium-Binding Properties of Frq1, A Novel Calcium Sensor in the Yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Biochemistry, 39(40):12149-12161.

Ames, J. and Ikura, M. (2006). Molecular Structure and Target Recognition of Neuronal Calcium Sensor Proteins. 317-329.

Ames, J., Ishima, R., Tanaka, T., Gordon, J., Stryer, L., and Ikura, M. (1997). Molecular Mechanics of Calcium-Myristoyl Switches. Nature, 389(6647):198-202.

Ames, J., Levay, K., Wingard, J., Lusin, J., and Slepak, V. (2006). Structural Basis for Calcium-Induced Inhibition of Rhodopsin Kinase by Recoverin. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 281(48): 37237-37245.

Ames, J. and Lim, S. (2012). Molecular Structure and Target Recognition of Neuronal Calcium Sensor Proteins. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects, 1820(8):1205-1213.

An, W., Bowlby, M., Betty, M., Cao, J., Ling, H., Mendoza, G., Hinson, J., Mattsson, K., Strassle, B., Trimmers, J. and Rhodes, K. (2000). Modulation of A-type Potassium Channels by a Family of Calcium Sensors. Nature, 403(6769):553-556.

Andrew, C., Bhattacharjee, S., Kokkoni, N., Hirst, J., Jones, G., and Doig, A. (2002). Stabilizing Interactions between Aromatic and Basic Side Chains in alpha Helical Peptides and Proteins. Tyrosine Effects on Helix Circular Dichroism. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 124(43):12706-12714.

Aravind, P., Chandra, K., Reddy, P., Jeromin, A., Chary, K., and Sharma, Y. (2008). Regulatory and Structural EF-hand Motifs of Neuronal Calcium Sensor-1: Mg^{2+} Modulates Ca^{2+} Binding, Ca^{2+} Induced Conformational Changes, and Equilibrium Unfolding Transitions. Journal of Molecular Biology, 376(4):1100-1115.

Arunachalam, J. and Gautham, N. (2008). Hydrophobic Clusters in Protein Structures. Proteins, 71(4): 2012-2025.

Augusteyn, R., Putilina, T., and Seifert, R. (1988). Quenching of Tryptophan Fluorescence in Bovine Lens Proteins by Acrylamide and Iodide. Current Eye Research, **7**(3): 237-245.

Bai, X., Yan, C., Yang, G., Lu, P., Ma, D., Sun, L., Zhou, R., Scheres, S., and Shi, Y. (2015). An Atomic Structure of Human γ Secretase. Nature, 525(7568):212-217.

Belogortseva, N., Rubio, M., Terrell, W. and Mikšovská, J. (2007). The Contribution of Heme Propionate Groups to the Conformational Dynamics Associated with CO Photo dissociation From Horse Heart Myoglobin. Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry, 101(7):977-986.

Berova, N., Nakanishi, K., and Woody, R. (2000). Circular Dichroism-Principles and Application.

Berridge, M. (1997). Elementary and Global Aspects of Calcium Signaling. Journal of Experimental Biology, 200(2):315-319.

Berridge, M.J., LIPP, P. and Bootman, M.D., (2000). The versatility and universality of calcium signalling. Nature reviews.Molecular cell biology, 1(1): 11-21.

Berridge, M. (2001). The Versatility and Complexity of Calcium Signaling. Novartis Foundation Symposium, 239:52-64.

Berridge, M., Bootman, M., and Roderick, H. (2003). Calcium Signaling: Dynamics, Homeostasis and Remodeling. Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology, 4(7):517-529.

Bhattacharya, S., Bunick, C., and Chazin, W. (2004). Target Selectivity in Ef-Hand Calcium Binding Proteins. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research, 1742(1–3): 69-79.

Bismuto, E., Nucci, R., Rossi, M., and Irace, G. (1999). Structural and Dynamic Aspects of Beta-Glycosidase from Mesophilic and Thermophilic Bacteria by Multitryptophanyl Emission Decay Studies. Proteins, 35(2):163-172.

Bjelkmar, P., Larsson, P., Cuendet, M., Hess, B., and Lindal, E. (2010). Implementation of the CHARMM Force Field in GROMACS: Analysis of Protein Stability Effects from Correction Maps, Virtual Interaction Sites, and Water Models. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 6(2):459-466.

Bodis, E., Raics, K., Nyitrai, M., Majer, Z., and Lukacs, A. (2013). Fluorescence Lifetime Distributions Report on Protein Destabilisation in Quenching Experiments. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology, 129:108-114.

Boens, N., Qin, W., Basariä, N., Hofkens, J., Ameloot, M., Pouget, J., Lefevre, J., Valeur, B., Gratton, E., Vandeven, M., Silva, N., Engelborghs, Y., Willaert, K., Sillen, A., Rumbles, G., Phillips, D., Visser, A, Van Hoek, A., Lakowicz, J., Malak, H., Gryczynski, I., Szabo, A., Krajcarski, D., Tamai, N., and Miura, A. (2007). Fluorescence Lifetime Standards for Time and Frequency Domain Fluorescence Spectroscopy. Analytical Chemistry, 79(5):2137-2149.

Bourne, Y., Dannenberg, J., Pollmann, V., Marchot, P., and Pongs, O. (2001). Immunocytochemical Localization and Crystal Structure of Human Frequenin (Neuronal Calcium Sensor 1). Journal of Biological Chemistry, 276(15):11949-11955.

Braunewell, K. and Gundelfinger, E. (1999). Intracellular Neuronal Calcium Sensor Proteins: A Family of EF-Hand Calcium-Binding Proteins in Search of a Function. Cell and Tissue Research, 295(1):1-12.

Braunewell, K. and Klein-Szanto, A. (2009). Visinin-like proteins (VSNLs): Interaction Partners and Emerging Functions in Signal Transduction of a Subfamily of Neuronal Ca²⁺ Sensor Proteins. Cell and Tissue Research, 335(2):301-316.

Braunewell, K. (2005). The Darker Side of Ca^{2+} Signaling by Neuronal Ca^{2+} Sensor Proteins: From Alzheimer's disease to Cancer. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences, 26(7):345-351.

Brochette, P., Petit, C. and Pileni, M. (1988). Cytochrome C in Sodium Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Sulfosuccinate Reverse Micelles: Structure and Reactivity. The Journal of Physical Chemistry, 92(12):3505-3511.

Bronner, F. and Petpulik, M. (1992). Extra-And Intracellular Calcium And Phosphate Regulation in: Basic Research to Clinical Medicine.

Brooks, B., Bruccoleri, R., Olafson, B., States, D., Swaminathan, S., and Karplus, M. (1983). CHARMM: A Program for Macromolecular Energy, Minimization, and Dynamics Calculations. Journal of Computational Chemistry, 4(2):187-217.

Burgoyne, R. (2007). Neuronal Calcium Sensor Proteins: Generating Diversity in Neuronal Ca²⁺ Signaling. Nature reviews.Neuroscience, 8(3):182-193.

Burgoyne, R. and Haynes, L. (2015). Sense and Specificity in Neuronal Calcium Signaling. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 1853(9):1921-1932.

Burgoyne, R. and Haynes, L. (2012). Understanding the Physiological Roles of the Neuronal Calcium Sensor Proteins. Molecular brain, DOI: 10.1186/1756-6606-5-2.

Burgoyne, R., O'callaghan, D., Hasdemir, B., Haynes, L., and Tepikin, A. (2004). Neuronal Ca²⁺Sensor Proteins: Multitalented Regulators of Neuronal Function. Trends in Neurosciences, 27(4):203-209.

Burgoyne, R. and Weiss, J. (2001). The Neuronal Calcium Sensor Family of Ca²⁺ Binding Proteins. The Biochemical journal, 353(1):1-12.

Burley, S. and Petsko, G. (1985). Aromatic-Aromatic Interaction: A Mechanism of Protein Structure Stabilization. Science, 229(4708): 23-28.

Buxbaum, J. (2004). A Role for Calsenilin and Related Proteins in Multiple Aspects of Neuronal Function. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 322(4):1140-1144.

Buxbaum, J., Choi, E., Luo, Y., Lilliehool, C., Crowley, A., Merriam, D., and Wasco, W. (1998). Calsenilin: A Calcium-Binding Protein that Interacts with the Presenilins and Regulates the Levels of a Presenilin Fragment. Nature Medicine, 4(10):1177-1181.

Calloe, K., Cordeiro, J., Di Diego, J., Hansen, R., Grunnet, M., Olesen, S., and Antzelevitch, C. (2009). A Transient Outward Potassium Current Activator Recapitulates the Electrocardiographic Manifestations of Brugada Syndrome. Cardiovascular research, 81(4):686-694.

Calvert, P., Klenchin, V. and Bownds, M. (1995). Rhodopsin Kinase Inhibition by Recoverin. Function of Recoverin Myristoylation. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 270(41):24127-24129.

Carafoli, E., Santella, L., Branca, D., and Brini, M. (2001). Generation, Control, and Processing of Cellular Calcium Signals. Critical reviews in biochemistry and molecular biology, 36(2):107-260.

Carafoli, E. (2007). The Unusual History and Unique Properties of the Calcium Signal. New comprehensive biochemistry, 41: 3-22.

Carrion, A., Link, W., Ledo, F., Mellstrom, B., and Naranjo, J. (1999). DREAM is a Ca²⁺ Regulated Transcriptional Repressor. Nature, 398(6722):80-84.

Castro, G., Larson, B., Panilaitis, B., and Kaplan, D.(2005). Emulsan Quantitation by Nile Red Quenching Fluorescence Assay. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 67(6):767-770.

Cates, M., Berry, M., Ho, E., Li, Q., Potter, J., and Phillips JR, G. (1999). Metal-Ion Affinity and Specificity in EF-Hand Proteins: Coordination Geometry and Domain Plasticity in Parvalbumin. Structure, 7(10):1269-1278.

Chazin, W. (2011). Relating Form and Function of EF-Hand Calcium Binding Proteins. Accounts of Chemical Research, 44(3):171-179.

Chen, C., Inglese, J., Lefkowitz, R., and Hurley, J. (1995). Ca²⁺ Dependent Interaction of Recoverin with Rhodopsin Kinase. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 270(30):18060-18066.

Cheng, H., Pitcher, G., LaViolette, S., Whishaw, I., Tong, K., Kockeritz, L., Wada, T., Joza, N., Crackower, M., Goncalves, J., Sarosi, I., Woodgett, J., Oliveira-Dos-Santos, A., Ikura, M., Van, D., Salter, M., and Penninger, J. (2002). DREAM is a Critical Transcriptional Repressor for Pain Modulation. Cell, 108(1):31-43.

Chodera, J.and Mobley, D. (2013). Entropy-Enthalpy Compensation: Role and Ramifications in Biomolecular Ligand Recognition and Design. Annual Review of Biophysics, 42:121-142.

Clapham, E. D., (2007). Calcium Signaling. Cell, 131(6): 1047-1058.

Choi, E., Zaidi, N., Miller, J., Crowley, A., Merriam, D., Lilliehook, C., Buxbaum, J., and Wasco, W. (2001). Calsenilin is a Substrate for Caspase-3 that Preferentially Interacts with the Familial Alzheimer's Disease-Associated C-terminal Fragment of Presenilin 2. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 276(22):19197-19204.

Chuang, S., Velkov, T., Horne, J., Porter, C., and Scanlon, M. (2008). Characterization of the Drug Binding Specificity of Rat Liver Fatty Acid Binding Protein. Journal of medicinal chemistry, 51(13):3755-3764.

Comeau, S., Gatchell, D., Vajda, S., and Camacho, C. (2004). ClusPro: a Fully Automated Algorithm for Protein-Protein Docking. Nucleic Acids Research, 32 (web server issue):W96-99.

Comeau, S., Gatchell, D., Vajda, S., and Camacho, C. (2004). ClusPro: An Automated Docking and Discrimination Method for the Prediction of Protein Complexes. Bioinformatics, 20(1):45-50.

Costigan, M. and Woolf, C. (2002). No DREAM, No Pain: Closing the Spinal Gate. Cell, 108(3):297-300.

Craig, T., Benson, L., Venyaminov, S.Y., Klimtchuk, E., Bajzer, Z., Prendergast, F., Naylor, S., and Kumar, R. (2002). The Metal Binding Properties of DREAM. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 277(13):10955-10966.

Culbertson, D. and Olson, J. (2010). Role of Heme in the Unfolding and Assembly of Myoglobin. Biochemistry, 49(29):6052-6063.

Burgoyne, R. (2004). The Neuronal Calcium-Sensor Proteins. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research, 1742(1–3):59-68.

Da Silva, A., Kendrick-Jones, J., and Reinach, F. (1995). Determinants of Ion Specificity on EF-Hands Sites. Conversion of the Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} Site of Smooth Muscle Myosin Regulatory Light Chain into a Ca^{2+} Specific Site. The Journal of biological chemistry, 270(12):6773-6778.

Dason, J., Romero-Pozuelo, J., Atwood, H., and Ferrus, A. (2012). Multiple Roles for Frequenin/Ncs-1 in Synaptic Function and Development. Molecular neurobiology, 45(2):388-402.

Datta, K. and Licata, V. (2003). Thermodynamics of the Binding of Thermus Aquaticus DNA polymerase to Primed-Template DNA. Nucleic acids research, 31(19):5590-5597.

Datta, K., Wowor, A., Richard, A., and Licata, V. (2006). Temperature Dependence and Thermodynamics of Klenow Polymerase Binding to Primed-Template DNA. Biophysical journal, 90(5):1739-1751.

Jameson, D. (2014). Introduction to Fluorescence.

De Vries, S., Van Dijk, M., and Bonvin, A. (2010). The HADDOCK Web Server for Data-Driven Biomolecular Docking. Nature protocols, 5(5):883-897. Dewji, N. and Singer, S. (1997). The Seven-Transmembrane Spanning Topography of the Alzheimer Disease-Related Presenilin Proteins in the Plasma Membranes of cultured cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 94(25):14025-14030.

Diwu, Z., Lu, Y., Zhang, C., Klaubert, D., and Haugland, R. (1997). Fluorescent Molecular Probes II. The Synthesis, Spectral Properties and Use of Fluorescent Solvatochromic Dapoxyl Dyes. Photochemistry and photobiology, 66(4):424-431.

Dixit, S. and Beveridge, D. (2006). Structural Bioinformatics of DNA: A Web-Based Tool for the Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Results and Structure Prediction. Bioinformatics, 22(8):1007-1009.

Dizhoor, A., Ray, S., Kumar, S., Niemi, G., Spencer, M., Brolley, D., Walsh, K., Philipov, P., Hurley, J., and Stryer, L. (1991). Recoverin: A Calcium Sensitive Activator of Retinal Rod Guanylate Cyclase. Science, 251(4996):915-918.

Dizhoor, A., Lowe, D., Olshevskaya, E., Laura, R., and Hurley, J. (1994). The Human Photoreceptor Membrane Guanylyl Cyclase, RetGC, is Present in Outer Segments and is regulated by Calcium and a Soluble Activator. Neuron, 12(6):1345-1352.

Epps, D., Raub, T., and Kezdy, F. (1995). A General, Wide-Range Spectrofluorometric Method for Measuring the Site-Specific Affinities of Drugs toward Human Serum Albumin. Analytical Biochemistry, 227(2):342-350.

Falke, J., Drake, S., Hazard, A., and Peersen, O. (1994). Molecular Tuning of Ion Binding to Calcium Signaling Proteins. Quarterly reviews of biophysics, 27(03):219-290.

Fedrizzi, L., Lim, D., Carafoli, E., and Brini, M. (2008). Interplay of the Ca2+-Binding Protein DREAM with Presenilin in Neuronal Ca²⁺ Signaling. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 283(41):27494-27503.

Fenn, E., Wong, D., and Fayer, M. (2009). Water Dynamics at Neutral and Ionic Interfaces. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106(36):15243-15248.

Fink, A. (1998). Protein Aggregation: Folding Aggregates, Inclusion Bodies and Amyloid. Folding and Design, 3(1):R9-R23.

Fischer, H., Polikarpov, I., and Craievich, A. (2004). Average Protein Density is a Molecular-Weight-Dependent Function. Protein Science: A Publication of the Protein Society, 13(10): 2825-2828.

Flaherty, K., Zozulya, S., Stryer, L., and Mckay, D. (1993). Three-Dimensional Structure of Recoverin, a Calcium Sensor in Vision. Cell, 75(4):709-716.

Follenius-Wund, A., Piemont, E., Freyssinet, J., Gerard, D., and Pigault, C. (1997). Conformational Adaptation of Annexin V upon Binding to Liposomes: A Time-Resolved Fluorescence Study. Biochemical and biophysical research communications, 234(1):111-116.

Freiburger, L., Auclair, K., and Mittermaier, A. (2009). Elucidating Protein Binding Mechanisms by Variable-c ITC. Chembiochem: a European Journal of Chemical Biology, 10(18): 2871-2873.

Furlong, W., Rubinski, M., and Indralingam, R. (2013). The Method of Continuous Variation: A Laboratory Investigation of the Formula of a Precipitate. Journal of Chemical Education, 90(7):937-940.

Gallagher, S. (2006). One-Dimensional SDS Gel Electrophoresis of Proteins. Current Protocols in Immunology. Chapter 8: Unit 8.4.

Garbett, N., Hammond, N., and Graves, D. (2004). Influence of the Amino Substituents in the Interaction of Ethidium Bromide with DNA. Biophysical journal, 87(6): 3974-3981.

Gibson, Q. (1969). Rapid mixing: Stopped flow. Methods in enzymology, 16:187-228.

Gifford, J., Walsh, M., and Vogel, H. (2007). Structures and Metal-Ion-Binding Properties of the Ca²⁺ Binding Helix-Loop-Helix EF-Hand Motifs. Biochemical Journal, 405(2):199-221.

Girvan, M. and Newman, M. (2002). Community Structure in Social and Biological Networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(12): 7821-7826.

Gonzalez, W., Ramos, V., Diaz, M., Garabedian, A., Molano-Arevalo, J., Fernandez-Lima, F., and Miksovska, J. (2016). Characterization of the Photophysical, Thermodynamic, and Structural Properties of the Terbium (III)-DREAM Complex. Biochemistry, 55(12):1873-1886.

Gonzalez, W. and Miksovska, J. (2014). Application of ANS Fluorescent Probes to Identify Hydrophobic Sites on the Surface of DREAM. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Proteins and Proteomics, 1844(9):1472-1480.

Gonzalez, W., Pham, K., and Miksovska, J. (2014). Modulation of the voltage gated potassium channel (Kv4.3) and the auxiliary protein (KChIP3) interactions by the current activator NS5806. Journal of Biological Chemistry.

Gratton, E., Jameson, D., and Hall, R. (1984). Multifrequency Phase and Modulation Fluorometry. Annual Review of Biophysics and Bioengineering, 13:105-124.

Greenfield, N. (2015). Circular dichroism (CD) Analyses of Protein-Protein Interactions. Methods in Molecular Biology, 1278:239-265.

Greenfield, N. (2006). Analysis of the Kinetics of Folding of Proteins and Peptides Using Circular Dichroism. Nature protocols, 1(6):2891-2899.

Greenfield, N. (2006). Using Circular Dichroism Collected as a Function of Temperature to Determine the Thermodynamics of Protein Unfolding and Binding Interactions. Nature protocols, 1(6):2527-2535.

Greenfield, N. (2006). Using Circular Dichroism Spectra to Estimate Protein Secondary Structure. Nature Protocols, 1(6):2876-2890.

Greenfield, N. (2004). Analysis of Circular Dichroism Data. *Methods in Enzymology*, 383:282-317.

Greenfield, N. (2004). Circular Dichroism Analysis for Protein-Protein Interactions. Methods in molecular biology, 261:55-78.

Handley, M., Lian, L., Haynes, L., and Burgoyne, R. (2010). Structural and Functional Deficits in a Neuronal Calcium Sensor-1 Mutant Identified in a Case of Autistic Spectrum Disorder. PLoS ONE. DOI: 10534.

Hargrove, M. (2005). Ligand Binding With Stopped-Flow Rapid Mixing. In: G. ULRICH NIENHAUS.Protein-Ligand Interactions: Methods and Applications: 323-341.

Hasinoff, B. (1974). Kinetic Activation Volumes of the Binding Of Oxygen and Carbon Monoxide to Hemoglobin and Myoglobin Studied on A High-Pressure Laser Flash Photolysis Apparatus. Biochemistry, 13(15):3111-3117.

Hawe, A., Rispens, T., Herron, J., and Jiskoot, W. (2011). Probing bis-ANS Binding Sites of Different Affinity on aggregated IgG by Steady-State Fluorescence, Time-Resolved Fluorescence and Isothermal Titration Calorimetry. Journal of pharmaceutical sciences, 100(4):1294-1305.

Haynes, L. and Burgoyne, R. (2008). Unexpected Tails of a Ca²⁺ Sensor. Nature chemical biology, 4(2):90-91.

Heidarsson, P., Bjerrum-Bohr, I., Jensen, G., Pongs, O., Finn, B., Poulsen, F., and Kragelund, B. (2012). The C-Terminal Tail of Human Neuronal Calcium Sensor 1 Regulates the Conformational Stability of the Ca^{2+} Activated State. Journal of Molecular Biology, 417(1–2):51-64.

Heidarsson, P., Otazo, M., BelluccI, L., Mossa, A., Imparato, A., Paci, E., Corni, S., Di Felice, R., Kragelund, B. and Cecconi, C. (2013). Single-Molecule Folding Mechanism of an EF-Hand Neuronal Calcium Sensor. Structure, 21(10):1812-1821.

Henzl, M., Hapak, R., and Likos, J. (1998). Interconversion of the Ligand Arrays in the CD and EF Sites of Oncomodulin. Influence on Ca^{2+} Binding Affinity. Biochemistry, 37(25):9101-9111.

Holdgate, G. (2001). Making Cool Drugs Hot: Isothermal Titration Calorimetry as a Tool to Study Binding Energetics. BioTechniques, 31(1):164-170.

Holmqvist, M., Cao, J., Hernandez-Pineda, R., Jacobson, M., Carroll, K., Sung, M., Betty, M., Ge, P., Gilbride, K., Brown, M., Jurman, M., Lawson, D., Silos-Santiago, I., Xie, Y., Covarrubias, M., Rhodes, K., Distefano, P., and An, W. (2002). Elimination of Fast Inactivation in Kv4 A-type Potassium Channels by an Auxiliary Subunit Domain. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(2):1035-1040.

Hospital, A., Goã, J., Orozco, M., and Gelpã, J. (2015). Molecular Dynamics Simulations: Advances and Applications. Advances and Applications in Bioinformatics and Chemistry: AABC, 8:37-47.

Huang, H., Ishida, H., Yamniuk, A., and Vogel, H. (2011). Solution Structures of Ca²⁺CIB1 and Mg²⁺ CIB1 and their Interactions with the Platelet Integrin AlphaIIb Cytoplasmic Domain. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 286(19):17181-17192.

Humphrey, W., Dalke, A., and Schulten, K. (1996).VMD: Visual Molecular Dynamics. Journal of Molecular Graphics, 14(1): 33-38.

Hung, H. and Chang, G. (2001). Multiple Unfolding Intermediates of Human Placental Alkaline Phosphatase in Equilibrium Urea Denaturation. Biophysical Journal, 81(6): 3456-3471.

Hung, H., Chen, Y., Liu, G., Lee, H., and Chang, G. (2003). Equilibrium Protein Folding– Unfolding Process Involving Multiple Intermediates. Bulletin of mathematical biology, 65(4):553-570.

Ikura, M. (1996). Calcium Binding and Conformational Response in EF-Hand Proteins. Trends in Biochemical Sciences, 21(1):14-17.

Jang, C., Choi, J., Na, Y., Jang, B., Wasco, W., Buxbaum, J., Kim, Y., and Choi, E. (2011). Calsenilin Regulates Presenilin 1 γ -secretase-mediated N-cadherin ε -cleavage and β -catenin Signaling. The FASEB Journal, 25(12):4174-4183.
Jelesarov, I. and Bosshard, H. (1999). Isothermal Titration Calorimetry and Differential Scanning Calorimetry as Complementary Tools to Investigate the Energetics of Biomolecular Recognition. Journal of Molecular Recognition, 12(1):3-18.

Jerng, H., Pfaffinger, P., and Covarrubias, M. (2004). Molecular Physiology and Modulation of Somatodendritic A-Type Potassium Channels. Molecular and cellular neurosciences, 27(4):343-369.

Jeromin, A., Muralidhar, D., Parameswaran, M., Roder, J., Fairwell, T., Scarlata, S., Dowal, L., Mustafi, S., Chary, K., and Sharma, Y. (2004). N-terminal Myristoylation Regulates Calcium-induced Conformational Changes in Neuronal Calcium Sensor-1. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 279(26):27158-27167.

Jo, D., Chang, J., Hong, H., Mook-Jung, I., and Jung, Y. (2003). Contribution of Resenilin/gamma-secretase to Calsenilin-mediated Apoptosis. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 305(1):62-66.

Jo, D., Jang, J., Kim, B., Lundkvist, J., and Jung, Y. (2005). Overexpression of Calsenilin Enhances Gamma-Secretase Activity. Neuroscience Letters, 378(1):59-64.

Jo, D., Kim, M., Choi, Y., Kim, I., Song, Y., Woo, H., Chung, C., and Jung, Y. (2001). Pro-apoptotic Function of Calsenilin/DREAM/KChIP3. FASEB Journal: Official Publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology, 15(3):589-591.

Jo, D., Lee, J., Hong, Y., Song, S., Mook-Jung, I., Koh, J., and Jung, Y. (2004). Induction of pro-apoptotic Calsenilin/DREAM/KChIP3 in Alzheimer's disease and Cultured Neurons After Amyloid-beta Exposure. Journal of neurochemistry, 88(3):604-611.

Jo, D., Kim, M., Choi, Y., Kim, I., Song, Y., Woo, H., Chung, C., and Jung, Y. (2001). Pro-apoptotic Function of Calsenilin/DREAM/KChIP3. The FASEB Journal

Jo, S., Kim, T., Iyer, V., and Im, W. (2008). CHARMM-GUI: A Web-based Graphical User Interface for CHARMM. Journal of Computational Chemistry, 29(11):1859-1865.

Jobbágy, A., Jobbágy M. Examination of FITC Preparations. I. Measurements of the Dye Content of Fluorescein Isothiocyanate Preparations. (1973). Journal of immunological methods, 2.2: 159-68.

Lakowicz, J. (2010). Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy. USA: Springer.

Lakowicz, J. (2006). Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy, 3rd edition.

Kelly, S., Jess, T., and Price, N. (2005). How to Study Proteins by Circular Dichroism. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) -Proteins and Proteomics, 1751(2):119-139.

Kotlarchyk, M., Huang, J., and Chen, S. (1985). Structure of AOT Reversed Micelles Determined By Small-Angle Neutron Scattering. The Journal of Physical Chemistry, 89(20): 4382 - 4386.

Kozakov, D., Brenke, R., Comeau, S., and Vajda, S. (2006). PIPER: an FFT-based Protein Docking Program with Pairwise Potentials. Proteins, 65(2): 392 - 406.

Kozakov, D., Beglov, D., Bohnuud, T., Mottarella, S., Xia, B., Hall, D., and Vajda, S. (2013). How good is Automated Protein Docking? Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics, 81(12): 2159 - 2166.

Korson, L., Drost-Hansen, W., Millero, F.J. (1969). Viscosity of Water at various Temperatures, The Journal of physical chemistry, 73(1): 34 - 39.

Krieger, E., Koraimann, G., and Vriend, G. (2002). Increasing the Precision of Comparative Models with YASARA NOVA-A Self-Parameterizing Force Field. Proteins, 47(3):393 - 402.

Kuball, H. (1999). Circular Dichroism and Linear Dichroism. Zeitschrift für physikalische Chemie (Neue Folge), 212 (part_1): 118-119.

Ladbury, J. (2004). Application of Isothermal Titration Calorimetry in the Biological Sciences: Things Are Heating Up! BioTechniques, 37(6):885-887.

Ladbury, J. and Chowdhry, B. (1996). Sensing the Heat: The Application of Isothermal Titration Calorimetry to Thermodynamic Studies of Biomolecular Interactions. Chemistry & biology, 3(10):791-801.

Lakowicz, J. and Netlibrary, I. (2002). Topics in fluorescence spectroscopy. New York: Kluwer Academic.

Larsen, R.W., Vetromile, C., Maza, W., Pham, K., and Miksovska, J. (2011). Exploring Biomolecular Thermodynamics in Aqueous and Non-Aqueous Environments using Time Resolved Photothermal Methods In: Juan M. Ruso and Angel Pineiro, ed, Proteins in Solution and at Interfaces. WILEY: 449-472.

Ledo, F., Carrion, A., Link, W., Mellstrom, B., and Naranjo, J. (2000). DREAMalphaCREM Interaction Via Leucine-Charged Domains Derepresses Downstream Regulatory Element-Dependent Transcription. Molecular and cellular biology, 20(24): 9120-9126.

Ledo, F., Kremer, L., Mellstrom, B., and Naranjo, J. (2002). Ca²⁺ Dependent Block of CREB-CBP Transcription by Repressor DREAM. The EMBO journal, 21(17): 4583-4592.

Ledo, F., Link, W., Carrión, A., Echeverria, V., Mellström, B., and Naranjo, J. (2000). The DREAM–DRE Interaction: Key Nucleotides and Dominant Negative Mutants. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research, 1498(2–3):162-168.

Lehmann, S., Chiesa, R., and Harris, D.A., 1997. Evidence for a Six-transmembrane Domain Structure of Presenilin 1. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 272(18):12047-12051.

Leissring, M., Yamasaki, T., Wasco, W., Buxbaum, J., Parker, I., and Laferla, F. (2000). Calsenilin Reverses Presenilin-Mediated Enhancement of Calcium Signaling. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 97(15):8590-8593.

Leon, R., Murray, J., Cragg, G., Farnell, B., West, N., Pace, T., Watson, P., Bohne, C., Boulanger, M., and Hof, F. (2009). Identification and Characterization of Binding Sites on S100a7, a Participant in Cancer and Inflammation Pathways. Biochemistry, 48(44):10591-10600.

Li, X. and Greenwald, I. (1998). Additional Evidence for an Eight-Transmembrane-Domain Topology for Caenorhabditis Elegans and Human Presenilins. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 95(12):7109-7114.

Li, Y., Bohm, C., Dodd, R., Chen, F., Qamar, S., Schmitt-Ulms, G., Fraser, P., and St George-Hyslop, P. (2014). Structural Biology of Presenilin 1 Complexes. Molecular Neurodegeneration, 9(1):1-10.

Lilliehook, C., Bozdagi, O., Yao, J., Gomez-Ramirez, M., Zaidi, N., Wasco, W., Gandy, S., Santucci, A., Haroutunian, V., Huntley, G., and Buxbaum, J. (2003). Altered Abeta Formation and Long-Term Potentiation in a Calsenilin Knock-Out. The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 23(27):9097-9106.

Lilliehook, C., Chan, S., Choi, E., Zaidi, N., Wasco, W., Mattson, M., and Buxbaum, J. (2002). Calsenilin Enhances Apoptosis by Altering Endoplasmic Reticulum Calcium Signaling. Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience, 19(4):552-559.

Lim, S., Dizhoor, A., and Ames, J. (2014). Structural Diversity of Neuronal Calcium Sensor Proteins and Insights for Activation of Retinal Guanylyl Cyclase by GCAP1. Frontiers in molecular neuroscience, 7: 19.

Lim, S., Peshenko, I., Dizhoor, A., and Ames, J. (2009). Effects of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and Myristoylation on Guanylyl Cyclase Activating Protein 1 Structure and Stability. Biochemistry, 48(5): 850 - 862.

Lindholm, D., Mercer, E., Yu, L., Chen, Y., Kukkonen, J., Korhonen, L., and Arumäe, U. (2002). Neuronal Apoptosis Inhibitory Protein: Structural Requirements for Hippocalcin Binding and Effects on Survival of NGF-dependent sympathetic neurons. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Proteins and Proteomics, 1600(1): 138 - 147.

Lu, X. and Olson, W.K. (2003). 3DNA: A Software Package for the Analysis, Rebuilding and Visualization of Three-Dimensional Nucleic Acid Structures. Nucleic acids research, 31(17): 5108 - 5121.

Lusin, J., Vanarotti, M., Dace, A., Li, C., Valiveti, A., and Ames, J. (2008). NMR Structure of DREAM: Implications for Ca2+-Dependent DNA Binding and Protein Dimerization. Biochemistry, 47(22): 6088 - 6088.

Lyskov, S. and Gray, J.J. (2008). The RosettaDock Server for Local Protein-Protein Docking. Nucleic acids research, 36:W233 - 238.

Ma, P., Rould, M., Weintraub, H., and Pabo, C. (1994). Crystal Structure of MyoD bHLH Domain-DNA Complex: Perspectives on DNA Recognition and Implications for Transcriptional Activation. Cell, 77(3): 451 - 459.

Mackerell, A. (2004). Empirical Force Fields for Biological Macromolecules: Overview and Issues. Journal of Computational Chemistry, 25(13): 1584 - 1604.

Makino, C., Dodd, R., Chen, J., Burns, M., Roca, A., Simon, M., and Baylor, D. (2004). Recoverin Regulates Light-Dependent Phosphodiesterase Activity In Retinal Rods. The Journal of General Physiology, 123(6): 729 -741.

Martinez, J., Murciano-Calles, J., Cobos, E., Iglesias-Bexiga, M., Luque, I., and Ruiz-Sanz, J. (2013). Isothermal Titration Calorimetry: Thermodynamic Analysis of the Binding Thermograms of Molecular Recognition Events by Using Equilibrium Models.

Mccue, H., Haynes, L., and Burgoyne, R. (2010). The Diversity of Calcium Sensor Proteins in the Regulation of Neuronal Function. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, 2(8)

McKinnon, I., Fall, L., Parody-Morreale, A., and Gill, S. (1984). A Twin Titration Microcalorimeter for the Study of Biochemical Reactions. Analytical Biochemistry, 139(1): 134 - 139.

Mcphalen, C., Strynadka, N., and James, M. (1991). Calcium-Binding Sites in Proteins: A Structural Perspective. Advances in Protein Chemistry, 42, 77-144.

Miksovska, J., Yom, J., Diamond, B., and Larsen, R. (2006). Spectroscopic and Photothermal Study of Myoglobin Conformational Changes in the Presence of Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate. Biomacromolecules, 7(2):476-482.

Moilanen, D., Levinger, N., Spry, D., and Fayer, M. (2007). Confinement or the Nature of the Interface? Dynamics of Nanoscopic Water. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 129(46):4311-14318.

Moncrieffe, M., Juranic, N., Kemple, M., Potter, J., Macura, S., and Prendergast, F. (2000). Structure-Fluorescence Correlations in a Single Tryptophan Mutant of Carp Parvalbumin: Solution Structure, Backbone and Side-Chain Dynamics. Journal of Molecular Biology, 297(1):147-163.

Morohashi, Y., Hatano, N., Ohya, S., Takikawa, R., Watabiki, T., Takasugi, N., Imaizumi, Y., Tomita, T., and Iwatsubo, T. (2002). Molecular Cloning and Characterization of CALP/KChIP4, a Novel EF-hand Protein Interacting With Presenilin 2 and Voltage-Gated Potassium Channel Subunit Kv4. Journal of Biological Chemistry.

Mouawad, L., Maréchal, J., and Perahia, D. (2005). Internal Cavities and Ligand Passageways in Human Hemoglobin Characterized By Molecular Dynamics Simulations. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects, 1724(3):385-393.

Murakami, H., Nishi, T., and Toyota, Y. (2011). Determination of Structural Parameters Of Protein-Containing Reverse Micellar Solution By Near-Infrared Absorption Spectroscopy. The Journal of Physical Chemistry.b, 115(19):5877-5885.

Muralidhar, D., Jobby, M., Krishnan, K., Annapurna, V., Chary, K., Jeromin, A., and Sharma, Y. (2005). Equilibrium Unfolding of Neuronal Calcium Sensor-1: N-Terminal Myristoylation Influences Unfolding And Reduces Protein Stiffening in the Presence of Calcium. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 280(16):15569-15578.

Nakai, T., Yamasaki, A., Sakaguchi, M., Kosaka, K., Mihara, K., Amaya, Y., and Miura, S. (1999). Membrane Topology of Alzheimer's disease-related Presenilin 1: Evidence for the Existence of A Molecular Species With a Seven Membrane-Spanning and One Membrane-Embedded Structure. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 274(33):23647-23658.

Naoe, K., Noda, K., Kawagoe, M., and Imai, M. (2004). Higher Order Structure of Proteins Solubilized in AOT Reverse Micelles. Colloids and surfaces.B, Biointerfaces, 38(3-4):179-185.

Northrup, S. and Erickson, H. (1992). Kinetics of Protein-Protein Association Explained By Brownian Dynamics Computer Simulation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 89(8):3338-3342.

O'Callaghan, D., Ivings, L., Weiss, J., Ashby, M., Tepikin, A., and Burgoyne, R. (2002). Differential Use of Myristoyl Groups on Neuronal Calcium Sensor Proteins as a Determinant of Spatio-temporal Aspects of Ca²⁺ Signal Transduction. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 277(16):14227-14237.

Ohki, S., Ikura, M., and Zhang, M. (1997). Identification of Mg^{2+} Binding Sites and the Role of Mg^{2+} on Target Recognition by Calmodulin. Biochemistry, 36(14):4309-4316.

Olson, E.J. and Bãhlmann, P. (2011). Getting More out of a Job Plot: Determination of Reactant to Product Stoichiometry in Cases of Displacement Reactions and n:n Complex Formation. The Journal of organic chemistry, 76(20):8406-8412.

Osawa, M., Tong, K., Lilliehook, C., Wasco, W., Buxbaum, J., Cheng, H., Penninger, J., Ikura, M. and Ames, J. (2001). Calcium-regulated DNA Binding and Oligomerization of the Neuronal Calcium-Sensing Protein, Calsenilin/DREAM/KChIP3. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 276(44):41005-41013.

Osawa, M., Dace, A., Tong, K., Valiveti, A., Ikura, M., and Ames, J. (2005). Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} Differentially Regulate DNA Binding and Dimerization of DREAM. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 280(18):18008-18014.

Palaniappan, V. and Bocian, D. (1994). Acid-Induced Transformations of Myoglobin. Characterization of a New Equilibrium Heme-Pocket Intermediate. Biochemistry, 33(47):14264-14274.

Periasamy, A. and Clegg, R. (2010). FLIM Microscopy in Biology and Medicine. Boca Raton, FL, USA: Taylor and Francis Group, LLC.

Peshenko, I. and Dizhoor, A. (2006). Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} Binding Properties of GCAP-1: Evidence that Mg^{2+} Bound Form is the Physiological Activator of Photoreceptor Guanylyl Cyclase. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 281(33):23830-23841.

Peshenko, I. and Dizhoor, A. (2004). Guanylyl Cyclase-activating Proteins (GCAPs) are Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺ Sensors: Implications for Photoreceptor Guanylyl Cyclase (RetGC) Regulation in Mammalian Photoreceptors. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 279(17):16903-16906.

Pham, K., Dhulipala, G., Gonzalez, W., Gerstman, B., Regmi, C., Chapagain, P., and Miksovska, J. (2015). Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ Modulate Conformational Dynamics And Stability Of Downstream Regulatory Element Antagonist Modulator. Protein science: A publication of the Protein Society, 24(5):741-751.

Pham, K. and Miksovska, J. (2016). Molecular insight of DREAM and presenilin 1 C-terminal fragment interactions. FEBS letters, 590(8):1114-1122.

Phillips, J., Braun, R., Wang, W., Gumbart, J., Tajkhorshid, E., Villa, E., Chipot, C., Skeel, R., Kale, L., and Schulten, K. (2005a). Scalable Molecular Dynamics with NAMD. Journal of computational chemistry, 26(16):1781-1802.

Phillips, J., Braun, R., Wang, W., Gumbart, J., Tajkhorshid, E., Villa, E., Chipot, C., Skeel, R., Kalé, L., and Schulten, K. (2005b). Scalable Molecular Dynamics with NAMD. Journal of Computational Chemistry, 26(16):1781-1802.

Pierce, B., Wiehe, K., Hwang, H., Kim, B., Vreven, T., and Weng, Z. (2014). ZDOCK server: Interactive Docking Prediction of Protein-Protein Complexes and Symmetric Multimers. Bioinformatics.

Pioletti, M., Findeisen, F., Hura, G., and Minor, D. (2006). Three-Dimensional Structure of the KChIP1-Kv4.3 T1 Complex Reveals a Cross-Shaped Octamer. Nature structural & molecular biology, 13(11):987-995.

Podlisny, M., Citron, M., Amarante, P., Sherrington, R., Xia, W., Zhang, J., Diehl, T., Levesque, G., Fraser, P., Haass, C., Koo, E., Seubert, P., St George-Hyslop, P., Teplow, D., and Selkoe, D. (1997). Presenilin Proteins Undergo Heterogeneous Endoproteolysis between Thr291 and Ala299 and Occur As Stable N- And C-Terminal Fragments in Normal and Alzheimer Brain Tissue. Neurobiol Dis, 3.

Pongs, O., Lindemeier, J., Zhu, X., Theil, T., Engelkamp, D., Krah-jentgens, I., Lambrecht, H., Koch, K.W., Schwemer, J., Rivosecchi, R., Mallart, A., Galceran, J., Canal, I., Barbas, J., and Ferrús, A. (1993). Frequenin—A Novel Calcium-Binding Protein That Modulates Synaptic Efficacy in The Drosophila Nervous System. Neuron, 11(1):15-28.

Privalov, P. and Ebrary, I. (2012). Microcalorimetry of macromolecules the physical basis of biological structures. Hoboken, N.J: Wiley.

Puchalski, M., Morra, M., and Von, W. (1991). Assessment of Inner Filter Effect Corrections in Fluorimetry. Fresenius' Journal of Analytical Chemistry, 340(6):341-4.

Ramachandran, P., Craig, T., Atanasova, E., Cui, G., Owen, B., Bergen, H., MER, G., and Kumar, R. (2012). The Potassium Channel Interacting Protein 3 (DREAM/KChIP3) Heterodimerizes with and Regulates Calmodulin Function. The Journal of biological chemistry, 287(47):39439-39448.

Romani, A. and Scarpa, A. (1992). Regulation of Cell Magnesium. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics, 298(1):1-12.

Ross, J. and Jameson, D. (2008). Time-Resolved Methods in Biophysics.8. Frequency Domain Fluorometry: Applications to Intrinsic Protein Fluorescence. Photochemical & photobiological sciences: Official journal of the European Photochemistry Association and the European Society for Photobiology, 7(11):1301-1312.

Rost, B. and Sander, C. (1994). Conservation and Prediction of Solvent Accessibility in Protein Families. Proteins, 20(3):216-226.

Santoro, M. and Bolen, D. (1988). Unfolding free Energy Changes Determined by the Linear Extrapolation Method. 1. Unfolding of Phenylmethanesulfonyl Alpha.-Chymotrypsin Using Different Denaturants. Biochemistry, 27(21):8063-8068.

Sanz C., Mellstrom B., Link W.A., Naranjo J.R., Fernandez-Luna J.L., Interleukin 3dependent activation of DREAM is involved in transcriptional silencing of the apoptotic Hrk gene in hematopoietic progenitor cells. The EMBO journal 20.9 (2001): 2286-2292

Scannevin, R., Wang, K., Jow, F., Megules, J., Kopsco, D., Edris, W., Carroll, K., Lü, Q., Xu, W., Xu, Z., Katz, A., Olland, S., Lin, L., Taylor, M., Stahl, M., Malakian, K., Somers, W., Mosyak, L., Bowlby, M., Chanda, P., and Rhodes, K. (2004). Two N-Terminal Domains of Kv4 K⁺ Channels Regulate Binding to and Modulation by KChIP1. Neuron, 41(4):587-598.

Schrodinger, L. (2015). The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.7.4.

Schwenk, J., Zolles, G., Kandias, N., Neubauer, I., Kalbacher, H., Covarrubias, M., Fakler, B., and Bentrop, D. (2008). NMR Analysis of KChIP4a Reveals Structural Basis for Control of Surface Expression of Kv4 Channel Complexes. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 283(27):18937-18946.

Sethi, A., Eargle, J., Black, A., and Luthey-Schulten, Z. (2009). Dynamical Networks in tRNA: Protein Complexes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106(16): 6620-6625.

Skelton, N., Kordel, J., Akke, M., Forsen, S., and Chazin, W. (1994). Signal Transduction versus Buffering Activity in Ca²⁺ Binding Proteins. Nature structural biology, 1(4):239-245.

Small, J., Libertini, L., and Small, E. (1992). Analysis of Photoacoustic Waveforms Using the Nonlinear Least Squares Method. Biophysical chemistry, 42(1):29-48.

Smith, P., Krohn, R., Hermanson, G., Mallia, A., Gartner, F., Provenzano, M., Fujimoto, E., Goeke, N., Olson, B., and Klenk, D. (1985). Measurement of Protein Using Bicinchoninic Acid. Analytical Biochemistry, 150(1):76-85.

Sobhanifar, S., Schneider, B., Löhr, F., Gottstein, D., Ikeya, T., Mlynarczyk, K., Pulawski, W., Ghoshdastider, U., Kolinski, M., Filipek, S., Güntert, P., Bernhard, F., and Dötsch, V. (2010). Structural Investigation of the C-Terminal Catalytic Fragment of Presenilin 1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107(21):9644-9649.

Song, Z., Zheng, X., and Yang, B. (2013). Conformational Stability of CopC and Roles of Residues Tyr79 and Trp83. Protein science: a publication of the Protein Society, 22(11):1519-1530.

Spasic, D., Tolia, A., Dillen, K., Baert, V., De Strooper, B., Vrijens, S., and Annaert, W. (2006). Presenilin-1 Maintains a Nine-Transmembrane Topology Throughout the Secretory Pathway. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 281(36): 26569-26577.

Spilker, C., Gundelfinger, E., and Braunewell, K. (2002). Evidence for Different Functional Properties of the Neuronal Calcium Sensor Proteins VILIP-1 and VILIP-3: from Subcellular Localization to Cellular Function. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Proteins and Proteomics, 1600(1–2):118-127.

Stegemeyer, H. (1969). Photoluminescence of Solutions. Angewandte Chemie, 81(23):1007-1008.

Stern V. and Volmer M. (1919). On the quenching-time of fluorescence. Physik Zeitschr, 20:183-188.

Strynadka, N. and James, M. (1989). Crystal Structures of the Helix-Loop-Helix Calcium-Binding Proteins. Annual Review of Biochemistry, 58(1):951-999.

Szabo, A. and Rayner, D. (1980). Fluorescence Decay of Tryptophan Conformers in Aqueous Solution. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 102(2):554-563.

Tanaka, T., Ames, J., Harvey, T., Stryer, L., and Ikura, M. (1995). Sequestration of the Membrane-Targeting Myristoyl Group of Recoverin in the Calcium-Free State. Nature, 376(6539):444-447.

Togashi, D., Ryder, A., and O'Shaughnessy, D. (2010). Monitoring Local Unfolding of Bovine Serum Albumin During Denaturation Using Steady-State and Time-Resolved Fluorescence Spectroscopy. Journal of Fluorescence, 20(2):441-452.

Upadhyay, A., Bhatt, T., Tripathi, H.B. & Pant, D.D. (1995). Photophysics of 8anilinonaphthalene-1-sulphonate. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry, 89 (3): 201-207

Valentine, K., Peterson, R., Saad, J., Summers, M., Xu, X., Ames, J., and Wand, A. (2010). Reverse Micelle Encapsulation of Membrane-Anchored Proteins for Solution NMR Studies. Structure, 18(1):9-16.

Valeur, B. (2002). Molecular Fluorescence Principles and Applications. Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH Verlad GmbH.

Van Dijk, M. and Bonvin, A. (2009). 3D-DART: a DNA Structure Modelling Server. Nucleic acids research, 37(suppl 2):W235-W239.

Van Dijk, M., Van Dijk, A., Hsu, V., Boelens, R., and Bonvin, A. (2006). Information-Driven Protein–DNA Docking Using HADDOCK: it is a Matter of Flexibility. Nucleic acids research, 34(11):3317-3325. Van Horn, W., Ogilvie, M., and Flynn, P. (2009). Reverse Micelle Encapsulation as a Model for Intracellular Crowding. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 131(23):8030-8039.

Van Horn, W., Simorellis, A., and Flynn, P. (2005). Low-Temperature Studies of Encapsulated Proteins. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 127(39):13553-13560.

Velazquez-Campoy, A., Leavitt, S., and Freire, E. (2004). Characterization of Protein-Protein Interactions by Isothermal Titration Calorimetry. Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.), 261:35-54.

Verbaro, D., Hagarman, A., Kohli, A., and Schweitzer-Stenner, R. (2009). Microperoxidase 11: A Model System for Porphyrin Networks and Heme Protein Interactions. JBIC Journal of Biological Inorganic Chemistry, 14(8):1289-1300.

Vijay-Kumar, S. and Kumar, V. (1999). Crystal Structure of Recombinant Bovine Neurocalcin. Nature Structural Biology, 6(1):80-88.

Vogel, H., Brokx, R., and Ouyang, H. (2002). Calcium-Binding Proteins. In: Hans J. Vogel, ed, Calcium-Binding Protein Protocols. Humana Press: 3-20.

Vogel, H. (1994). The Merck Frosst Award Lecture 1994. Calmodulin: A Versatile Calcium Mediator Protein. Biochemistry and cell biology Biochimie et biologie cellulaire, 72(9-10):357-376.

Wang, H., Yan, Y., Liu, Q., Huang, Y., Shen, Y., Chen, L., Chen, Y., Yang, Q., Hao, Q., Wang, K., and Chai, J.(2007). Structural Basis for Modulation of kv4 K⁺ Channels by Auxiliary kchip Subunits. Nature neuroscience, 10(1): 32-39.

Wang, J. and Van Wart, H. (1989). Resonance Raman Characterization of The Heme c Group in N-acetyl microperoxidase-8: a Thermal Intermediate Spin-High Spin State Mixture. The Journal of physical chemistry, 93(23):7925-7931.

Wassenaar, T., Dijk, M., Loureiro-Ferreira, N., Schot, G., Vries, S., Schmitz, C., Zwan, J., Boelens, R., Giachetti, A., Ferella, L., Rosato, A., Bertini, I., Herrmann, T., Jonker, H., Bagaria, A., Jaravine, V., Gãntert, P., Schwalbe, H., Vranken, W., Doreleijers, J., Vriend, G., Vuister, G., Franke, D., Kikhney, A., Svergun, D., Fogh, R., Ionides, J., Laue, E., Spronk, C., Jurkåa, S., Verlato, M., Badoer, S., Dal Pra, S., Mazzucato, M., Frizziero, E., and Bonvin, A. (2012). WeNMR: Structural Biology on the Grid. Journal of Grid Computing, 10(4):743-767.

Weber, G. (1953). Rotational Brownian motion and Polarization of the Fluorescence of Solutions. Advances in Protein Chemistry, 8:415-459.

Weber, G. (1977). Theory of Differential Phase Fluorometry: Detection of Anisotropic Molecular Rotations. The Journal of chemical physics, 66(9):4081-4091.

Wiechelman, K., Braun, R., and Fitzpatrick, J. (1988). Investigation of the Bicinchoninic Acid Protein Assay: Identification of the Groups Responsible For Color Formation. Analytical Biochemistry, 175(1):231-237.

Williams, A., Winfield, S., and Miller, J. (1983). Relative Fluorescence Quantum Yields Using a Computer-Controlled Luminescence Spectrometer. Analyst, 108(1290):1067-1071.

Wingard, J., Chan, J., Bosanac, I., Haeseleer, F., Palczewski, K., Ikura, M., and Ames, J. (2005). Structural Analysis of Mg2+ and Ca2+ Binding to CaBP1, a Neuron-specific Regulator of Calcium Channels. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 280(45):37461-37470.

Wurth, C., Grabolle, M., Pauli, J., Spieles, M., and Resch-Genger, U. (2013). Relative and Absolute Determination of Fluorescence Quantum Yields of Transparent Samples. Nat.Protocols, 8(8):1535-1550.

Yamniuk, A., Nguyen, L., Hoang, T., and Vogel, H. (2004). Metal Ion Binding Properties and Conformational States of Calcium- and Integrin-Binding Protein. Biochemistry, 43(9):2558-2568.

Yu, L., Sun, C., Mendoza, R., Wang, J., Matayoshi, E., Hebert, E., Pereda-Lopez, A., Hajduk, P., and Olejniczak, E. (2007). Solution Structure and Calcium-Binding Properties of EF-Hands 3 and 4 of Calsenilin. Protein Science, 16(11):2502-2509.

Zhou, J., Liyanage, U., Medina, M., Ho, C., Simmons, A., Lovett, M., and Kosik, K. (1997). Presenilin 1 Interaction in the Brain with a Novel Member of the Armadillo Family. Neuroreport, 8(8):2085-2090.

Zhou, W., Qian, Y., Kunjilwar, K., Pfaffinger, P., and Choe, S. (2004). Structural Insights into The Functional Interaction of KChIP1 with Shal-type K⁺ channels. Neuron, 41(4):573-586.

Zinsli, P. (1979). Inhomogeneous interior of aerosol ot microemulsions probed by fluorescence and polarization decay. The Journal of physical chemistry, 83(25):3223-3231.

VITA

KHOA NGOC PHAM

EDUCATION	
2010	BSc. in Chemistry Florida International University Miami, Florida USA
2011 - 2016	Doctoral Candidate in Chemistry Florida International University Miami, Florida USA
AWARDS	
2016	Dissertation Year Fellowship Award
2014	Summer Research Award, NIH Biomedical Research Initiative
2013	Graduate Poster Presentation 2 nd prize, Graduate Symposium, Miami, FL
2013	ACS South Florida Travel Award, American Chemical Society
2010	Undergraduate Outstanding Academic Achievement in Chemistry Award
2009	Undergraduate Poster Presentation 1 st prize, Florida Annual Meeting and Exposition

PUBLICATIONS

MOLECULAR INSIGHT OF DREAM AND PRESENILIN 1 C-TERMINAL FRAGMENT INTERACTIONS. <u>Khoa Pham</u> and Jaroslava Miksovska. FEBS Letters, (2016), 590(8):1114-1122.

CA²⁺ AND MG²⁺ MODULATE CONFORMATIONAL DYNAMICS AND STABILITY OF DOWNSTREAM REGULATORY ELEMENT ANTAGONIST MODULATOR. <u>Khoa Pham</u>, Gangadhar Dhulipala, Walter G. Gonzalez, Bernard S. Gerstman. Chola Regmi, Prem P. Chapagain, and Jaroslava Miksovska. Protein Science, (2015), 24: 741-751. CHARACTERIZATION OF MOLECULAR MECHANISM OF NEUROGLOBIN BINDING TO CYTOCHROME C: A SURFACE PLASMON RESONANCE AND ISOTHERMAL TITRATION CALORIMETRY STUDY. Purushottam Babu Tiwari, Luisana Astudillo, <u>Khoa Pham</u>, Xuewen Wang, Jin He, Sophie Bernad, Valérie Derrien, Pierre Sebban, Jaroslava Miksovska, and Yesim Darici. Inorganic Chemistry Communication, (2015), 62: 37 - 41.

MODULATION OF THE VOLTAGE-GATED POTASSIUM CHANNEL (K_V4.3) AND THE AUXILIARY PROTEIN (KCHIP3) INTERACTIONS BY THE CURRENT ACTIVATOR NS5806. Walter G. Gonzalez, <u>Khoa Pham</u>, Jaroslava Miksovska. Journal of Biological Chemistry, (2013), 289: 32201 - 32213.

EXPLORING BIOMOLECULAR THERMODYNAMICS IN AQUEOUS AND NONAQUEOUS ENVIRONMENTS USING TIME RESOLVED PHOTOTHERMAL METHODS. PROTEINS IN SOLUTIONS AND INTERFACES: METHODS AND APPLICATIONS IN BIOTECHNOLOGY AND MATERIAL SCIENCES. Randy W. Larsen, Carissa M. Vetromille, William A. Maza, <u>Khoa Pham</u>, and Jaroslava Miksovska. John Wiley and Sons Inc., (2011), Editors: J.M. Ruso and A. Pineiro, Chapter 23.

CA²⁺ AND MG²⁺ BINDING TO THE C-TERMINAL DOMAIN MODULATES DREAM PROTEIN STRUCTURE DYNAMICS AND STABILITY. <u>Khoa Pham</u>, Walter G. Gonzalez, and Jaroslava Miksovska. (Expected 2016).

THE FUNCTIONAL ROLES OF EF-HANDS IN DREAM IN MODULATING THE CONFORMATIONAL DYNAMICS AND INTERACTION WITH DNA. <u>Khoa Pham</u>, Jose Alfonso, Maria Santiago, and Jaroslava Miksovska. (Expected 2016).

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE ROLE OF INDIVIDUAL EF-HANDS IN DREAM IN MODULATING CONFORMATIONAL DYNAMICS, OLIGOMERIC STATES, AND INTERACTION WITH DNA. <u>Khoa Pham</u>, Jose Alfonso, and Jaroslava Miksovska, (2016), Biophysical Society 60th Annual Meeting, Hollywood, CA.

IDENTIFY THE BINDING INTERFACE BETWEEN CALSENILIN AND PRESENILIN 1 C-TERMINAL FRAGMENT. <u>Khoa Pham</u> and Jaroslava Miksovska, (2015), Biophysical Society 59th Annual Meeting, Baltimore, MD.

SPECTROSCOPIC STUDY CA²⁺ INDUCED CHANGES IN THE STRUCTURE, DYNAMICS AND STABILITY OF DREAM PROTEIN AND ITS MECHANISM OF DNA INTERACTION. <u>Khoa Pham</u> and Jaroslava Miksovska, (2013), Biophysical Society 57th Annual Meeting, Philadelphia, PA.