11-15-2006

Synthesis of multisubstituted halo-olefins via Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions : applications in nucleoside chemistry

Daniela Andrei

Florida International University

DOI: 10.25148/etd.FI14032316

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd>

Part of the [Chemistry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Andrei, Daniela, "Synthesis of multisubstituted halo-olefins via Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions : applications in nucleoside chemistry" (2006). *FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. 1287.
<https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd/1287>

This work is brought to you for free and open access by the University Graduate School at FIU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of FIU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dcc@fiu.edu.

FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Miami, Florida

SYNTHESIS OF MULTISUBSTITUTED HALO-OLEFINS VIA PD-CATALYZED
CROSS-COUPLING REACTIONS. APPLICATIONS IN NUCLEOSIDE CHEMISTRY

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in
CHEMISTRY

by
Daniela Andrei

2006

To: Interim Dean Mark Szuchman
College of Arts and Sciences

This dissertation, written by Daniela Andrei, and entitled Synthesis of Multisubstituted Halo-olefins via Pd-catalyzed Cross-coupling Reactions. Applications in Nucleoside Chemistry, having been approved in respect to style and intellectual content, is referred to you for judgment.

We have read this dissertation and recommend that it be approved.

Kevin O'Shea

David Becker

Piero Gardinali

Richard Schoephoerster

Stanislaw Whuk, Major Professor

Date of Defense: November 15, 2006

The dissertation of Daniela Andrei is approved.

Interim Dean Mark Szuchman
College of Arts and Sciences

Dean George Walker
University Graduate School

Florida International University, 2006

DEDICATION

To Geanina, Bogdan, Viorel and my parents.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I will be forever grateful of my major professor, Dr. Stanislaw F. Wnuk, for all the valuable experience and knowledge that I have acquired as a graduate student in his lab. His dedication and enthusiasm to teach, support and encourage me through all these years are deeply appreciated.

I also would like to extend my gratitude to my committee members: Dr. O'Shea, Dr. Becker, Dr. Gardinali and Dr. Schoephoerster for their guidance and suggestions. I am also very thankful of Dr. Lees for all his help and kindness. Many thanks also go to Dr Wnuk's entire group who has offered me their friendship.

Most importantly, I would like to thank God for his never ending blessing.

ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

SYNTHESIS OF MULTISUBSTITUTED HALO-OLEFINS VIA PD-CATALYZED
CROSS-COUPLING REACTIONS. APPLICATIONS IN NUCLEOSIDE CHEMISTRY

by

Daniela Andrei

Florida International University, 2006

Miami, Florida

Professor Stanislaw Wnuk, Major Professor

The enzyme *S*-adenosyl-L-homocysteine (AdoHcy) hydrolase effects hydrolytic cleavage of AdoHcy to adenosine (Ado) and L-homocysteine (Hcy). The cellular levels of AdoHcy and Hcy are critical because AdoHcy is a potent feedback inhibitor of crucial transmethylation enzymes. Also, elevated plasma levels of Hcy in humans have been shown to be a risk factor in coronary artery disease.

On the basis of the previous finding that AdoHcy hydrolase is able to add the enzyme-sequestered water molecule across the 5',6'-double bond of (halo or dihalohomovinyl)-adenosines causing covalent binding inhibition, we designed and synthesized AdoHcy analogues with the 5',6'-olefin motif incorporated in place of the carbon-5' and sulfur atoms. From the available synthetic methods we chose two independent approaches: the first approach was based on the construction of a new C5'-C6' double bond via metathesis reactions, and the second approach was based on the formation of a new C6'-C7' single bond via Pd-catalyzed cross-couplings.

Cross-metathesis of the suitably protected 5'-deoxy-5'-methyleneadenosine with racemic 2-amino-5-hexenoate in the presence of Hoveyda-Grubb's catalyst followed by standard

deprotection afforded the desired analogue as 5'*E* isomer of the inseparable mixture of 9'*R/S* diastereomers. Metathesis of chiral homoallylglycine [(2*S*)-amino-5-hexenoate] produced AdoHcy analogue with established stereochemistry *E* at C5' atom and *S* at C9' atom. The 5'-bromovinyl analogue was synthesized using the bromination-dehydrobromination strategy with pyridinium tribromide and DBU.

Since literature reports on the Pd-catalyzed monoalkylation of dihaloalkenes (Csp²-Csp³ coupling) were scarce, we were prompted to undertake model studies on Pd-catalyzed coupling between vinyl dihalides and alkyl organometallics. The 1-fluoro-1-haloalkenes were found to undergo Negishi couplings with alkylzinc bromides to give multisubstituted fluoroalkenes. The alkylation was *trans*-selective affording pure *Z*-fluoroalkenes. The highest yields were obtained with PdCl₂(dppb) catalyst, but the best stereochemical outcome was obtained with less reactive Pd(PPh₃)₄. Couplings of 1,1-dichloro- and 1,1-dibromoalkenes with organozinc reagents resulted in the formation of monocoupled 1-halovinyl product.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. S-Adenosyl-L-Homocysteine Hydrolase	1
1.1.1. Biological Functions of S-Adenosyl-L-Homocysteine Hydrolase	1
1.1.2. Mechanism of S-Adenosyl-L-Homocysteine Hydrolase Action	4
1.1.3. Hydrolytic Activity of AdoHcy Hydrolase with Halovinyl Adenine	7
1.1.4. Hydrolytic Activity of AdoHcy Hydrolase with Halohomovinyl Adenine Analogues	9
1.2. The Alkene - Metathesis Reaction	14
1.2.1. Introduction into the Alkene - Metathesis Reaction	14
1.2.2. Metathesis Reaction in Nucleoside Chemistry	19
1.3. Palladium-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions	24
1.3.1. Introduction to Pd-catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reaction	24
1.3.2. Negishi Coupling Reaction	27
1.3.3. Negishi Cross-Couplings Involving Csp ² - Csp ³ Centers	30
1.3.4. Negishi Cross-Couplings with Dihalovinyl Derivatives	33
2. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	38
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	41
3.1. Are L-Adenosine and Its Derivatives Substrates for S-Adenosyl-L-Homocysteine?	41
3.1.1. Synthesis of L-Adenosine and L-Adenosine 5'-Oximes.	41
3.1.2 Theoretical Studies of L-Adenosine	43
3.1.2.1. Computational Results with L-Adenosine	43
3.1.2.2. Docking of L-Adenosine to the Closed Structure of AdoHcy Hydrolase	44
3.1.3. Interaction of AdoHcy Hydrolase with L-Adenosine	45
3.2. Design and Synthesis of S-Adenosylhomocysteine Analogues with the Sulfur and C5' Atoms Replaced by the Vinyl Unit.	46
3.2.1. Metathesis Approach between the 5'-Deoxy-5'- Methyleneadenosine and the Racemic Homoallylglycine Precursors.	47
3.2.2. Metathesis Approach between the 5'-Deoxy-5'-Methyleneadenosine and the Chiral Homoallylglycine Precursors.	53
3.2.3. Synthesis of the Halovinyl S-AdoHcy Analogue	55
3.3. Negishi Cross-Coupling Approach.	57
3.3.1. Couplings with 1-Fluoro-1-Haloalkenes	57
3.3.2. Couplings with 1,1-Dichloro- and 1,1-Dibromoalkenes	65
4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION	71
4.1. General Procedures	71
4.2. Synthesis	72

5. CONCLUSION.....	108
REFERENCES	113
VITA	122

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	PAGE
1. The Mechanism of <i>S</i> -adenosyl-L-Homocysteine Hydrolase.	5
2. Selected Inhibitors of the AdoHcy Hydrolase.	8
3. The 6'-Halo(vinyl)homoadenosine and Related Aristeromycin Analogues.....	9
4. The Selected Vinyl and Homovinyl Analogues of Ado and HomoAdo.....	12
5. The Dihalo-Vinylhomoadenosine Analogues.....	13
6. Possible Mechanism by which 6'-Bromo-6'-Fluoro(homovinyl)adenosine Inactivates AdoHcy Hydrolase.	13
7. Commonly Used Metathesis Catalysts.	18
8. Neplanocin A and Aristeromycin	21
9. A General Catalytic Cycle for Pd-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions.	26
10. L-Adenosine and its 5'-Aldehyde Oxime	38
11. List of Chemical Structures for Chemicals.....	111

LIST OF SCHEMES

SCHEME	PAGE
1. The Role of AdoHcy Hydrolase in Regulating AdoMet Dependent Biological Methylation.	2
2. Mechanism of Inactivation of AdoHcy Hydrolase by EDDFHA	11
3. Types of Olefin Metathesis Reactions	15
4. Mechanism of Olefin Metathesis.	16
5. Basic Catalytic Cycle for Metathesis.	16
6. First Synthesis of Nucleoside Using RCM	20
7. Synthesis of the Carbocyclic Analogue of Ribavirin Using RCM	20
8. Synthesis of the L-Neplanocin Analogues.....	21
9. Synthesis of the Aristeromycin Analogues.....	23
10. Synthesis of the Acyclic Nucleosides <i>via</i> Cross-Metathesis Reaction	24
11. Synthetic Application of the Negishi Coupling.	30
12. Negishi Cross-Couplings of the Alkyl Electrophiles under “Ligandless” Condition.....	31
13. The Pd-Catalyzed Alkyl-Alkenyl Coupling with an Alkylzinc in a Total Synthesis of (-) -Discodermolide	32
14. Negishi Cross-Couplings of Aryl and Vinyl Chlorides with Alkylzincs.....	33
15. Pd-Catalyzed Double-Alkylation of $\beta\beta$ -Dichlorostyrene.	34
16. Pd-Catalyzed <i>Trans</i> -Selective Monoalkylation of 1,1-Dichloro-1-Alkenes and Pd-Catalyzed Second Substitution.	34
17. Pd-Catalyzed <i>Trans</i> -Selective Monoalkylation of 1,1-Dichloro-1-Alkenes with Alkylzinc Reagents.	35

18. Putative Mechanism for the Competitive Formation of the Mono- and Dialkylation Products.	36
19. Cross-Coupling of the Internal Z-Chloroalkenes with Grignard Reagents in the Presence of Pd Catalysts Containing Bulky Trialkylphosphines.	37
20. Retrosynthetic Analysis of the AdoHcy Analogues.	39
21. Synthesis of the L-Adenosine and L-Adenosine 5'-oximes.	42
22. Retrospective Analysis for the Unsaturated S-Adenosyl-L-Homocysteine Analogues.	47
23. Synthesis of the Protected 5'-Deoxy-2',3'-O-Isopropylidene-5'-Methylene Adenosine Precursors.	49
24. Synthesis of the Amino Acid Precursors for Metathesis Approach.	50
25. Cross-Metathesis between 5'-Deoxy-5'-Methyleneadenosine and Unsaturated N-Boc or N-Benzoyl Protected Amino Acids.	52
26. Enantioselective Hydrolysis of the Alkenyl- α -Amino Acid Ester.	54
27. Cross-Metathesis of Adenosine Precursor with S-Amino Acid Derivative.	55
28. Synthesis of the halovinyl S-AdoHcy Analogue.	56
29. Stereoselective Synthesis of 1-Fluoro-1-Haloalkenes.	58
30. Couplings of 1-Fluoro-1-Haloalkenes with Alkylzincs.	59
31. Effect of the Pd Catalyst on the Efficiency of Negishi Coupling	63
32. Establishing the Stereochemistry of Couplings with 1,1-Dihaloalkenes.	63
33. Couplings with Branched Alkylzincs.	65
34. Synthesis of 1,1-Dichloro- and 1,1-Dibromoalkenes.	66
35. Screening of Pd Catalyst for the Monoalkylation Reaction.	67
36. Pd-Catalyzed <i>Trans</i> -Selective Monoalkylation of 1,1-Dichloro- and 1,1-Dibromoalkenes with Alkylzinc Reagents.	68

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. S-Adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase

1.1.1 Biological functions of S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase

The normal cellular role of S-Adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase (AdoHcy hydrolase) is regulating S-Adenosyl-L-methionine (AdoMet) dependent biological methylation reactions (Scheme 1).¹ AdoMet is involved in the methylation of many biomolecules, from small molecular weight neurotransmitters (e.g., histamine) to macromolecules (e.g., proteins, nucleic acids) and the various methyltransferases which catalyze these reactions have themselves been targets for drug design.^{2,3} AdoMet is also decarboxylated by AdoMet decarboxylase to dcAdoMet. S-Adenosyl-L-homocysteine (AdoHcy) is the byproduct of these methylation reactions and functions as a feedback inhibitor of these methyltransferases. Alteration of the cellular AdoMet/AdoHcy ratio results in serious perturbation of biological methylation of viral RNA.⁴ AdoHcy hydrolase provides the only known mechanism for AdoHcy catabolism in eukaryotes, catalyzing its hydrolysis to adenosine (Ado) and L-homocysteine (Hcy). Although the in vitro reaction favors the synthetic direction, subsequent metabolic conversions of adenosine and homocysteine within the cell assure the reaction will run in the hydrolytic direction.⁵

The cellular enzyme S-Adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase effects hydrolytic cleavage of AdoHcy to give Ado and Hcy (Scheme 1).^{4,6} AdoHcy hydrolase plays a significant role in controlling the intracellular level of Hcy that is a cystathionine synthetase- catalyzed precursor to cysteine and methionine. The metabolism of AdoHcy by this enzyme is the only known source of Hcy in mammalian cells.⁷ The elevated

plasma Hcy levels in humans have been demonstrated to be a risk factor for coronary artery disease in clinical studies.⁸ Inhibitors of AdoHcy hydrolase have the potential to reduce the risk of developing coronary heart disease by lowering the cellular level of Hcy. Although, supplementation with B-vitamins and folic acid has been shown to be effective in lowering plasma Hcy level in homocysteinemia patients with residual activity of cystathionine synthetase^{8b,9}, inhibitors of AdoHcy hydrolase have also the potential to regulate plasma level of Hcy.⁸

Scheme 1. The Role of AdoHcy hydrolase in regulating AdoMet dependent biological methylation.

Study of the distribution of AdoHcy hydrolase in various mammalian tissues revealed that the enzyme activity was highest in the liver, kidney and pancreas; intermediate in the spleen and low in brain and heart.⁴ The liver of the mouse contains around twelve times more AdoHcy hydrolase than the kidney, which in turn has five times more AdoHcy than the brain. A one molar equivalent of copper is bound per subunit of mouse liver enzyme.¹⁰ In copper-deficient mice there was a 45% decrease in the hepatic level of AdoHcy.¹¹ The binding of copper by enzyme means that there is a role for its involvement in copper metabolism.

AdoHcy hydrolase has attracted attention as a target for drug design^{6,12,13} because inhibitors of this enzyme are known to exhibit antiviral^{13,14,15}, antiparasitic¹⁶, antiarthritic¹⁷ and immunosuppressive effects¹⁸. Inhibition of the cellular AdoHcy hydrolase results in an intracellular build-up of AdoHcy, giving rise to an increase in the intracellular AdoHcy/AdoMet ratios and the subsequent inhibition of fundamental AdoMet dependent methylation reactions. The relationships established are particularly well recognized for the antiviral effect of AdoHcy. De Clercq and Cools found a linear relationship between the log IC₅₀ values (concentration which inhibits vaccinia virus replication by 50%) and their log K_i values (inhibition potency of AdoHcy hydrolase) for a series of AdoHcy hydrolase inhibitors.¹³

AdoHcy hydrolase inhibitors are potent as well as broad-spectrum antiviral agents, inhibiting the replication of a variety of (-) RNA viruses and double-stranded RNA viruses.^{13a} This type of inhibitors are not particularly active against (+) RNA viruses or DNA viruses, except for vaccinia and African swine fever viruses.^{13a,19} Their wide range of activity is in contrast to almost all clinically used nucleoside antiviral drugs, which are

usually specific toward a particular species or strain of virus.^{6a} Broad-spectrum antiviral drugs offer many advantages over narrow-spectrum agents; it is often difficult in clinical diagnoses to identify a viral pathogen in a short time. For instance in acute infections, viral chemotherapy must start as soon as the patient presents clinical symptoms. Thus, the development of broad-spectrum antiviral drugs is highly desired.

1.1.2. Mechanism of *S*-adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase action

The mechanism by which AdoHcy hydrolase catalyzes the conversion of AdoHcy to Ado and Hcy (Figure 1) was established by Palmer and Abeles.²⁰ The first step in the enzymatic reaction involves oxidation of the 3'-hydroxyl group of AdoHcy by the enzyme-bound NAD^+ ($\text{E}\cdot\text{NAD}^+$) to form $\text{E}\cdot\text{NADH}$ and 3'-keto-AdoHcy (oxidative activity of the enzyme). The 3'-keto group increases the acidity of the C-4' proton, allowing for abstraction of this proton by a base in the active site of the enzyme. Subsequently, β -elimination of Hcy results in the formation of the intermediate 3'-keto-4',5'-didehydro-5'-deoxyAdo, (KDDA). Michael type addition of water (hydrolytic activity of the enzyme) to the 5' position of KDDA affords 3'-ketoAdo, which is then reduced by the enzyme bound NADH, resulting in the formation of Ado and regenerating the NAD^+ form of the enzyme. Palmer and Abeles also found that 4',5'-didehydro-5'-deoxyadenosine, DDA is an alternative substrate of the enzyme and its oxidation at C3' gave enone KDDA directly. Isotopes studies^{21,22} and also general kinetic studies^{23a} reinforced this mechanism.²⁰

Porter and Boyd showed that neither the apoenzyme nor the reduced form of AdoHcy hydrolase ($\text{E}\cdot\text{NADH}$) was catalytically active.^{23b} The mechanism suggests that breakage of the C5'-S bond (elimination of the Hcy from 3'-keto-AdoHcy) and the

formation of C5'-O bond (addition of water to the KDDA) were dependent on the oxidative activity of the enzyme. Parry and Askonas studied the stereochemistry²² of this reaction and found a *syn* geometry of the addition of Hcy to KDDA. Thus, the overall reaction catalyzed by AdoHcy hydrolase occurs with retention of configuration at C5'. It also follows that the elimination step is catalyzed by an enzyme which also exhibits a *syn* geometry.

Figure 1. The mechanism for *S*-adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase.

It has been assumed for long time that the Palmer and Abeles mechanism²⁰ is operated in a sequential fashion and that oxidation of the hydroxyl function at C3' to form the 3' keto-AdoHcy (oxidative activity) is a prerequisite for conjugated addition of water (hydrolytic activity) across the double bond of the activated enone KDDA.²⁴

However, Borchardt and his coworkers have demonstrated that these two catalytic activities of AdoHcy hydrolase can be considered independent of each other.²⁵ Borchardt et al. defined type I-mechanism-based inhibitors of AdoHcy hydrolase as inhibitors that serve as substrates for “oxidative” activities of the enzyme. These inhibitors are oxidized to the 3’-keto derivatives and they convert the enzyme from its active form (NAD⁺) to its inactive form (NADH) (cofactor depletion mechanism). A striking feature of all these first-generation AdoHcy hydrolase inhibitors is the similarity in their broad-spectrum antiviral activity, indicating a common mechanism of action. The type II-mechanism-based inhibitors of AdoHcy hydrolase were defined as inhibitors which use the “oxidative” and/or the “hydrolytic” activity of the enzyme to produce electrophiles on the active site, which in turn react with the protein nucleophiles to modify the enzyme (covalent inactivation mechanism).^{6b,12a,24,26} These second-generation AdoHcy hydrolase inhibitors have added to the body of evidence indicating that inhibition of this enzyme results in the inhibition of viral replication. Finally, type III inhibitors are those that use neither the “oxidative” nor the “hydrolytic” activity, but they are reversibly bound to the enzyme.^{12a,26}

The X-ray crystal structure of a substrate-bound NADH form of human AdoHcy hydrolase has been determined.²⁷ In this crucial experiment the pure NAD⁺-form of the enzyme was inactivated with 9-(2,3-dihydroxycyclopent-4-en-1-yl)adenine [DHCeA], to give crystal of the 3’-ketoDHCeA/NADH form of human AdoHcy suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis. The sequestered water molecules at the active site were found to be hydrogen bounded to His55, Asp131 and His301. The water molecule seems to have a dual role in the catalytic mechanism. It is not only the sole candidate for the

catalytic base responsible for the H4' abstraction initiating Hcy elimination but it may also add to the intermediate enone in the formation of 3'-ketoAdo.^{26b,27} A crystal structure of AdoHcy hydrolase from rat liver in the substrate-free NAD⁺ form shows an open catalytic site in the absence of substrate.²⁸ This identified Glu55 as a proton acceptor from the 3'-OH during the abstraction of the H3' by NAD⁺ and His54 or Asp130 as general acid-base catalyst. The Cys194 was proposed to modulate the oxidation state of the bound NAD⁺. However, these two crystal structures do not define clearly the binding site for the homocysteine moiety of AdoHcy at the active site of the enzyme.

1.1.3. Hydrolytic activity of AdoHcy hydrolase with halovinyl adenine analogues

Various adenosine analogs and adenine carboxylic nucleosides have been shown to be potent inhibitors of AdoHcy hydrolase (Figure 2).^{6a,12b,13a,29,30} Many of these compounds are type I mechanism-based inhibitors of AdoHcy hydrolase,^{6a,12b} which inactivate the enzyme by reducing the enzyme-bound NAD⁺ to NADH. In the process of inactivation, the inhibitor is oxidized stoichiometrically to the corresponding 3'-keto nucleoside.^{6a,12b}

McCarthy and co-workers synthesized vinyl fluoride analogs of 4',5'-didehydro-5'-deoxyadenosine as potential mechanism-based inhibitors.³¹ Of the vinyl fluorides synthesized, (Z)-4',5'-didehydro-5'-deoxy-5'-fluoroadenosine (ZDDFA, **1**, Figure 2) was shown to be the most potent inhibitor of AdoHcy hydrolase.³¹ In addition to being a potent inhibitor, ZDDFA was of interest mechanistically because it was first reported as a

type II mechanism-based inhibitor (reduce the $E\bullet NAD^+$ to $E\bullet NADH$ and release fluoride ion quantitatively).

Figure 2. Selected inhibitors of AdoHcy hydrolase.

Borchardt and his coworkers have demonstrated that vinyl fluoride **1** is not a type II inhibitor but rather a “pro-inhibitor” that is converted by the hydrolase into adenosine 5'-aldehyde **3** (and its epimer) which inactivates the enzyme by the type I mechanism. The “hydrolytic” activity of AdoHcy hydrolase removes the fluoride anion from **1** by addition-elimination process. It was proved that the “hydrolytic” activity of the enzyme was independent of its “oxidative” activity.^{6b,25} The Ado-5'-aldehyde **3** was independently synthesized and shown to be equally potent inhibitor of AdoHcy hydrolase.³² The 5'-chloromethylene analog **2** was found to be a time-dependent inactivator of the AdoHcy with potency comparable to that of its 5'-fluoromethylene analogue **1**.^{33,34}

1.1.4. Hydrolytic activity of AdoHcy hydrolase with halohomovinyl adenine Analogues

The AdoHcy hydrolase is also capable of adding water across the isolated 5',6'-double bond of the 6'-halo(vinyl)homoAdo derivative **4**^{35,36} (Figure 3). The synthesis of homovinyl halides **4**^{36,37,38} was based on the vinyl sulfones and organotin chemistry developed by Wnuk and others. The 6'-halo(vinyl) homoAdo analogues **4** were found to be concentration and time dependent inactivators of AdoHcy hydrolase. The inhibition potencies were correlated with anticancer and antiviral activities of **4** and it was found to be in the order of I > Br > Cl > F (and E > Z).³⁶

Figure 3. The 6'-halo(vinyl)homoadenosine and related aristeromycin analogues.

Surprisingly, AdoHcy hydrolase possesses the catalytic power to effect addition of water to the isolated double bond of **4**. Scheme 2 shows the mechanism by which the fluorine derivative, (*E*)-5',6'-didehydro-6'-deoxy-6'-fluoro-homoadenosine (EDDFHA) **4** is processed by AdoHcy hydrolase. The reaction was shown to proceed by three pathways: pathway a, water attack at the 6'-position of EDDFHA and elimination of fluoride ion results in the formation of homoadenosine 6'-carbox-aldehyde (HACA), which degrades chemically to form Ade; pathway b, water attack at the 5' position of EDDFHA results in

the formation of 6'-deoxy-6'-fluoro-5'-hydroxyhomoadenosine (DFHHA) and pathway c, oxidation of EDDFHA results in the formation of the NADH form of the enzyme (inactive form) and 3'-keto-EDDFHA, which could react with water at either the C5' or C6' positions. The partition ratios among the three pathways were determined to be $k_3:k_6:k_5 = 1:29:79$, with one lethal event (enzyme inactivation) occurring every 108 nonlethal turnovers.^{36b}

To eliminate ribosyl ring cleavage during inhibition of AdoHcy hydrolase by **4**, the 6'-halo (vinyl) homoaristeromycin derivatives **5** (Figure 3) were prepared in which the furanosyl ring oxygen was replaced by a methylene unit.³⁹ This type of modification was expected to provide analogues that could not suffer cyclopentanyl ring cleavage by β -elimination (H5' and the ring oxygen O4') as observed for homoadenosine 6'-aldehyde (HACA, Scheme 2). Inactivation of AdoHcy hydrolase by **5** (X = F) involved addition of water at the vinyl C5' or C6' (with elimination of fluoride) and oxidation of C3'. The partition ratio among three pathways were found to be: $k_3:k_6:k_5 = 1: 1.7: 0.6$.

The 4',5'-didehydro-5'-deoxy-5'-fluoroaristeromycin **6** was also synthesized (Figure 3) and it was found not to be a substrate for the hydrolytic activity of the enzyme since incubation of AdoHcy hydrolase with **6** did not result in the release of fluoride ion.^{40a} However, independently synthesized aristeromycin 5'-aldehyde as well as **6** were found to be potent type I inhibitors.⁴⁰ It is possible that enzyme-mediated protonation of the ribosyl ring oxygen of **1** (as well **4**) enhances the electrophilicity of the C5', making the 5' position more susceptible to attack by the enzyme-bound water.

Scheme 2. Mechanism of inactivation of AdoHcy hydrolase by EDDFHA

To probe “pure” hydrolytic activity of the AdoHcy hydrolase, analogues of vinyl halides **1** and **4** without an oxidizable function (hydroxyl group) at C3’ have been targeted.⁴¹ The 3’-deoxy modification gave halovinyl analogue **7** and halohomovinyl analogue **10** with greater differences in stereoelectronic effects and lack of a hydrogen-bond acceptor at C3’ (Figure 4). In other series, the 3’-hydroxyl group was replaced with fluoro **8** or chloro **9** substituents to give a closer stereoelectronic analogue to the natural substrates, but still preventing the oxidative activity at C3’. The 3’-modified analogues **7-10** were found to be weak inhibitors of AdoHcy in a sharp contrast to the 3’-hydroxy analogues **1** and **4**. They were not substrates for the “hydrolytic” activity of the enzyme.⁴¹ Thus, it is secure to conclude, that the 3’-hydroxyl group is essential for effective

inhibitors/substrate binding to AdoHcy hydrolase, and such binding is required for execution of the “hydrolytic” activity of the enzyme.

Figure 4. The selected vinyl and homovinyl analogues of Ado and homoAdo.

Recently, 6'-cyano-5',6'-didehydro-6'-deoxyhomoadenosine **11**³⁰ and 6'-chloro-6'-cyano-5',6'-didehydro-6'-deoxyhomoadenosine **12**³⁰ were also synthesized and tested as new mechanism-based inhibitors of AdoHcy hydrolase (Figure 4). Nucleoside (*E*)-**11** was identified as a type I inhibitor of the enzyme, whereas inactivation of the enzyme by nucleoside (*Z*)-**3** and (*E*)-**12** was accompanied by the formation of a covalent labeling of AdoHcy hydrolase.

The geminal and vicinal (dihalo)homovinyl analogues **13-15** were also designed as potential new substrates for the hydrolytic activity of AdoHcy hydrolase. (Figure 5).⁴² These types of analogues were found to be the first examples of type II (covalent) inhibitors that are activated by the “hydrolytic” activity of the enzyme without prior oxidation at C3'.

Figure 5. The dihalo-vinylhomoadenosine analogues.

Inactivation of the enzyme with [bromo(fluoro)]homovinyln analogue **13** was shown to be concentration and time-dependent and resulted in covalent linkage between the enzyme and the inhibitor with concomitant release of halide ions (F^- and Br^-)⁴³. The enzyme-mediated addition of water to **13** at C6' of the 5',6'-double bond (followed by elimination of bromide ion) generates an electrophilic acyl fluoride **16** (Figure 6). Nucleophilic attack by a proximal Arg 196-NH₂ group forms a covalent adduct **17** (lethal event). The enzyme maintains its original NAD⁺/NADH content indicating no oxidation at C3'. In second non-lethal event depurination and hydrolysis of **16** (with elimination of fluoride ion) produced hexose-derived 6-carboxylic acid.⁴³

Figure 6. Possible mechanism by which 6'-bromo-6'-fluoro(homovinyln)adenosine inactivates AdoHcy hydrolase.

1.2. The Alkene-metathesis reaction

1.2.1 Introduction into the alkene - metathesis reaction

Olefin metathesis reaction is a unique carbon skeleton redistribution in which unsaturated carbon-carbon bonds are rearranged in the presence of metal carbene complexes.⁴⁴ With the advent of efficient catalyst, this reaction has emerged as a powerful tool for the formation of carbon-carbon bonds in organic chemistry.⁴⁵ In fact, with the exception of palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions, no other group of reactions has had such a profound impact on the formation of carbon-carbon bonds in the last quarter of a century.⁴⁶ The number of applications of this reaction has dramatically increased in the past few years. Of particular significance, this type of reaction utilizes no additional reagents beyond a catalytic amount of metal carbene and the only other product from the reaction is, in most cases, a volatile olefin, such as ethylene.⁴⁵ The history of alkene metathesis is a fascinating one, beginning with its unexpected discovery nearly 50 years ago through the design and application of the latest initiators available today.^{44b,47}

There are several classes of olefin metathesis reactions (Scheme 3). In the cross-metathesis reaction (CM) a mixtures of products are obtained unless a volatile byproduct (ethylene) is produced that can be removed from the reaction mixture. Ring closing metathesis (RCM) is favored for the production of unstrained rings and is driven both entropically and by the elimination of a volatile alkene. Ring opening metathesis (ROM) is only favored at very high olefin concentration, or more commonly with strained olefins. The same general features will hold true for the polymerization reactions.

Scheme 3. Types of olefin metathesis reactions

The elucidation of the mechanistic pathway was, itself, the culmination of nearly two decades of extensive research by numerous groups, and the subject of lively debate in the literature during that time. The generally accepted mechanism of alkene metathesis was originally proposed by Herisson and Chauvin⁴⁸ in 1971 with key experimental evidence for its validity subsequently being provided by the Casey⁴⁹, Katz⁵⁰ and Grubbs groups⁵¹, and invokes metal carbene intermediates as key propagating species in the catalytic cycle. Chauvin proposed that olefin metathesis involves interconversion of an olefin and a metal alkylidene. This process is believed to occur via a metal-cyclobutane intermediate by alternating [2 + 2] cycloadditions and cycloreversions (Scheme 4). The basic catalytic cycle for metathesis is depicted in scheme 5.

Scheme 4. Mechanism of olefin metathesis.

Scheme 5. Basic catalytic cycle for metathesis.

The success of the alkene-metathesis reaction and the many stunning and ingenious situations in which it has been applied are largely due to the advent of today's readily available catalyst systems that display high activity and excellent functional-group tolerance.⁴⁶ Some of typical metathesis catalyst which are commercially available are shown in Figure 7.

The molybdenum-based catalyst (Schrock catalyst) was introduced by the Schrock group⁵² and represented the first real groundbreaking advance in catalyst design since the tungsten carbenes initially used by Katz.⁵³ Schrock catalyst displays excellent metathesis activity with a variety of alkene substrates and it is particularly useful for the formation of sterically crowded systems. The only drawback of this catalyst is its pronounced sensitivity to oxygen, moisture and certain polar or protic functional groups owing to the electrophilicity of the high-oxidation-state transition-metal center.⁵⁴

Grubbs and co-workers subsequently introduced ruthenium-based carbene complexes.⁵⁵ The ruthenium reacts preferentially with carbon-carbon double bonds over most other functional groups which makes these ruthenium-catalysts unusually stable towards alcohols, amides, aldehydes and carboxylic acids.⁵⁶ Because of this aspect, it is possible to increase the functional group tolerance of an olefin metathesis catalyst by focusing on a transition metal, such as ruthenium.⁵⁶

The first and second generation Grubbs catalyst exhibit much greater functional-group tolerance than the Schrock catalyst. The Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst shows efficiencies similar to those of Grubbs catalyst second generation but with a different substrate specificity. The exchange of the PCy₃ ligand with the isopropyl ether leads to different reactivities. For instance, in contrast to Grubbs second generation catalyst, which proved to be an excellent catalyst for yne-ene CM, analogous reaction with Hoveyda-Grubbs second generation catalyst yielded only traces of the desired products. Polymerisation of the alkyne component was not observed. Substantial differences in terms of reactivity were found also in RCM reactions. The recyclable catalyst is unique in catalyzing RCM, ROMP and CM reactions with highly electron-deficient substrates.⁵⁷

Schrock catalyst

Grubbs first generation catalyst

Grubbs second generation catalyst

Hoveyda-Grubbs first generation catalyst

Hoveyda-Grubbs second generation catalyst

Figure 7. Commonly used metathesis catalysts.

1.2.2. Metathesis reaction in nucleoside chemistry

The intense search for clinically useful nucleoside derivatives has resulted in a wealth of new approaches to their synthesis. In this context, the olefin metathesis reaction^{45,47b,58,59} has emerged over the past decade as a powerful reaction that has fundamentally changed the outlook on nucleoside chemistry.

Historically, the first synthesis of nucleoside analogues using metathesis was achieved in 1996 by Crimmins and King⁶⁰ utilizing chiral (*S*)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinones through a strategy combining three key transformations: (1) an asymmetric aldol addition to establish the relative and absolute configuration of the pseudosugar, (2) a ring-closing metathesis (RCM) to construct the carboxylic ring and (3) a Trost-type palladium(0) substitution to introduce the heterocyclic base (Scheme 6). The cyclisation by RCM of diene **22**, was achieved in the presence of Grubbs first generation catalyst giving enantiomerically pure cyclopentenol **23** in 97% yield.

Kuang et al.⁶¹ have prepared the carbocyclic analogue of ribavirin **26** in a similar approach, starting from the *anti*-aldol **24**, which then underwent a ring-closing metathesis in the presence of Grubbs first generation catalyst to yield **25** in 96% (Scheme 7). Further treatment of **25** with LiBH₄/MeOH/THF at 0°C followed by reaction with *m*CPBA gave the corresponding non-racemic epoxy diol. After protecting the hydroxyl groups, the resulting epoxide was reacted with triazole in the presence of NaH to give the desired ring-opening product as the major isomer. Then treatment with ammonia followed by hydrogenolytic deprotection provided carbocyclic ribavarin **26** in a moderate yield.

Scheme 6. First synthesis of nucleoside using RCM

Scheme 7. Synthesis of carbocyclic analogue of ribavirin using RCM.

Among the nucleoside analogues prepared by metathesis approach, synthesis of neplanocins and aristeromycins analogues represent a major part of existing literature. Neplanocin A, **27**, {(-)-9-[*trans*-2',*trans*-3'-dihydroxy-4'-(hydroxymethyl)-cyclopent-4'-enyl]adenine}, (Figure 8), has a wide range of biological activities and it is a strong

inhibitor of AdoHcy hydrolase.⁶² Analogs of L-neplanocins⁶³ **32** have been synthesized starting from methyl α -D-galactopyranoside **28** as depicted in scheme 8.

Figure 8. Neplanocin A and Aristeromycin

Scheme 8. Synthesis of L-neplanocins analogues

After benzylation and demethylation on the anomeric position of **28**, reaction with methyltriphenyl phosphonium bromide and subsequent oxidation gave optically pure L-tagatose [L-lyxo-hexulose] as a single isomer. Oxidation of L-tagatose produced the keto-derivative **29**. A second Wittig reaction on **29** afforded the (+) diene **30** in 77% yield. The 1,6-hepta diene **30**, which bears the three asymmetric centers of the final molecule, underwent RCM in the presence of Grubbs catalyst in refluxing benzene to give the cyclopentene derivative **31** in 90% yield. After removal of the benzyl group using sodium metal in liquid ammonia, the heterocycles were introduced under Tsuji-Trost allylic amination. Also, a synthesis of D-neplanocin has been realized by Jin⁶⁴ through a similar approach, using a RCM, starting from protected D-ribose.

The naturally occurring carbocyclic nucleoside, aristeromycin **32**, (Figure 8) is also known to be an inhibitor of AdoHcy hydrolase. Borchardt and his co-workers reported the synthesis of modified analogues of this compound^{65,66} using a non-metathesis approach. Of the carbocyclic purine nucleosides tested, the most potent inhibitor of AdoHcy hydrolase were the carbocyclic adenosine (aristeromycin) itself and 3-deaza-carbocyclic adenosine **33**⁶⁷ (Figure 9) both of which totally inhibited the enzyme at concentrations of 0.2 μ M.

In most of cases, the aristeromycin analogues **40** have been synthesized involving the key cyclopentenone intermediate **39** (Scheme 9). The cyclopentenone intermediate is in fact a versatile starting point for the preparation of many other carbocyclic nucleosides.^{68,69}

Scheme 9. Synthesis of the aristeromycin analogues.

Synthesis of the aristeromycin analogues started with the protected D-ribose **34** being treated with vinylmagnesium bromide to give triol **35**. Oxidative cleavage of triol **35** with sodium metaperiodate gave the lactol **36** in 85% yield. Wittig reaction of **36** with triphenylphosphonium methylidene afforded the diene **37**. Ring closing-metathesis of diene **37** using Ru-Grubbs catalyst gave the allylic alcohol **38** (90%) which was converted to the D-cyclopentanone **39** in 89% yield. In the following steps the cyclopentanone intermediate **39** is used in the synthesis of aristeromycins **40**.⁶⁹

Agrofoglio and his co-workers^{58b} reported a method for the synthesis of *E*-unsaturated acyclic nucleosides via a combination of palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylation and ruthenium-based cross-metathesis (CM). This approach provides an efficient and reliable route to new nucleoside analogues such as **43** (Scheme 10). Thus, the cross-metathesis (CM) of protected allylic diol **41** with allylic pyrimidine derivatives **42** was achieved by using Grubbs 2nd generation catalyst. The tolerance of the ruthenium metathesis catalyst towards basic tertiary amines is less understood as most examples reported in literature use a deactivated nitrogen (amide, carbamate).^{58b,70}

Scheme 10. Synthesis of acyclic nucleosides via cross-metathesis reaction

Less than a decade has elapsed since Crimmins⁶⁰ reported the first use of metathesis reaction for nucleoside. From the work published by this time, it is apparent that metathesis has played and will most likely continue to play, a major role in the synthesis of new nucleosides.

1.3. Palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions

1.3.1 Introduction to Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction

The palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling of an organometal (R^1M) with an organic electrophile (R^2X) has emerged over the past thirty years as one of the most general and selective methods for carbon-carbon bond formation (eq.1)⁷¹. Currently, it appears to be generally superior to related methods involving the use of Ni, Cu or Fe catalysts in its scope and stereo-, regio- and chemoselectivities.⁷² The R^1 group of R^1M can be aryl, alkenyl, alkynyl, allyl, benzyl, alkyl, cyano, propargyl, enoxy; while the R^2 group of R^2X can be aryl, alkenyl, alkynyl, allyl, benzyl, propargyl, alkyl or acyl.

Eq.1. Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling of an organometal (R^1M) with an organic electrophile (R^2X).

The Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling can be performed with organometals containing any of these metals including Zn, Al, Zr, B, Sn, Li, Mg, In, Si, Cu, Mn (Table 1).

Taking the mechanism for organopalladium chemistry into account, several points should be addressed. Reactions involved in formation of organopalladium intermediates are done in the presence of phosphine ligands. These ligands coordinate at palladium and play an important role in the reaction by influencing the reactivity. One point is the relative weakness of the C-Pd bond and the instability of alkylpalladium species in which there is β -hydrogen. The transition metal-catalyzed coupling reactions occur in a sequence of: (a) oxidative-addition; (b) transmetallation (alkylation)/isomerization and (c) reductive- elimination. These three steps provide a powerful catalytic method for the new carbon-carbon bond formation (Figure 9). Transmetallation is the most characteristic of the cross-coupling reactions because this process combines the quality of the transition metal and the main group metal reagent. However, this step is also the one that is the least understood because of its highly dependence on the nature of organometallic reagents and the conditions of the reaction.

Table 1. Transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions

Name Reaction	$R^I M$	$R^2 X$
Kumada-Corriu	R^I-MgX or R^I-Li	aryl, alkenyl
Suzuki-Miyaura	$R^I-BR'_2$	aryl, alkenyl, alkyl
Negishi	R^I-ZnX , R^I-AlX , R^I-ZrX	aryl, alkenyl, alkynyl, acyl
Hiyama	R^I-SiX_3	triflates, alkenyl, aryl
Stille	$R-Sn(alkyl)_3$	aryl, alkynyl, acyl

The coupling reaction begins with oxidative-addition of an electrophilic component to Pd catalyst. The Pd catalyst such as $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$, $\text{Pd}_2(\text{dba})_3$, $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppb})$, with or without an extra ligand are used. The reaction rates and yields vary with the choice of Pd(0) or Pd(II) used. The stoichiometric ratio between palladium and ligand is also very important. Excess of phosphine retards the oxidative-addition step that is often the rate-determining step.

$[\text{M}] = \text{Pd, Cu, Ni, Fe, Rh} \dots$; $m = \text{Li, Mg, Zn, B, Al, Si, Cu, Sn, Zr} \dots$

Figure 9. A general catalytic cycle for Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions.

The most studies of the coupling reactions have been focused on forming of the C_{sp}^2 - C_{sp}^2 and C_{sp}^2 - C_{sp}^2 bonds. There has been considerably less progress in developing effective cross-couplings involving C_{sp}^3 centers with the exception of couplings between C_{sp}^2 as electrophiles and C_{sp}^3 as nucleophiles.⁷³ Moreover, the monocross-coupling reactions of 1,1-dihalovinyl electrophiles with C_{sp}^2 or C_{sp} nucleophiles are less common⁷⁴ and monocouplings between 1,1-dihalovinyl electrophiles and C_{sp}^3 nucleophiles are

scarced.^{72,75,76} Couplings of two sp^3 centers of unactivated alkyls do not show much success due to the slow oxidative-addition of alkyl halides and the β -hydride elimination from organopalladium (II) intermediates. The final steps in Pd-mediated reactions are the elimination of Pd to form a carbon-carbon bond. Organopalladium species with two organic substituents show tendency to decompose with recombination of the organic groups by reductive elimination.

1.3.2 Negishi coupling reaction

In 1972, after the discovery of Ni-catalyzed coupling of alkenyl and aryl halides with Grignard reagents, it became apparent that in order to improve the functional group tolerance of the process, the organometallic coupling partners should contain less electropositive metals than lithium and magnesium. In 1976, E. Negishi and his coworkers reported the first stereospecific Ni-catalyzed alkenyl-alkenyl and alkenyl-aryl cross-coupling of alkenylalanes (organoaluminums) with alkenyl- and aryl halides.^{77,78} Extensive research by Negishi showed that the best results (reaction rate, yield, stereoselectivity) are obtained when organozincs are coupled in the presence of Pd(0)-catalysts.^{79,80,81} The Pd- or Ni-catalyzed stereoselective cross-coupling of organozincs and aryl, alkenyl, or alkynyl halides is known as the **Negishi cross-coupling reaction** (eq. 2).

Equation 2. Negishi cross-coupling reaction

The general features of the Negishi cross-coupling reaction are:

- both Ni and Pd-phosphine complexes work well as catalysts. However, Pd-catalyst tend to give somewhat higher yields and better stereoselectivity, and their functional group tolerance is better;
- the active catalysts are relatively unstable Ni(0) and Pd(0)-complexes but these can be generated *in situ* from more stable Ni(II) and Pd(II)-complexes with a reducing agent (e.g. 2 equiv. of DIBAL-H or *n*-BuLi);
- in the absence of the transition metal catalyst, the organozinc reagents do not react with the alkenyl halides to any appreciable extent;
- the most widely used ligand is PPh₃, but other achiral and chiral phosphine ligands have been successfully used;
- the various organozinc reagents can be prepared by either direct reaction of the organic halide with zinc metal or activated zinc metal or by transmetallation of the corresponding organolithium of Grignard reagent with a zinc halide (ZnX₂)^{82,83}; also many of organozinc reagents are commercially available;

- the use of organozinc reagents allows for a much greater functional group tolerance in both coupling partners than in the Kumada cross-coupling where organolithiums and Grignard reagent are utilized as coupling partners.
- other advantages of the use of organozincs include: high reactivity, high regio- and stereoselectivity, wide scope and applicability, few side reactions and almost no toxicity;
- the reaction is mostly used for coupling of two C (sp²) carbons but C(sp²) – C(sp) as well C(sp²)- C(sp³) are also well known;
- besides organozincs, compounds of Al and Zr can also be utilized;
- if the organoaluminium or organozirconium derivatives are not sufficiently reactive, they can be transmetallated by the addition of zinc salts, and this protocol is referred to as the *double metal* catalysis;⁸⁴
- of all the various organometals (Al, Zr, B, Sn, Cu, Zn), organozincs are usually the most reactive in Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions and do not require the use of additives (e.g. bases as in Suzuki coupling) to increase the reactivity.⁸⁵

Some of the limitations of the Negishi cross-coupling reaction are: • propargylzincs do not couple well but homopropargylzincs do; • secondary and tertiary alkylzincs may undergo isomerization, but cross-couplings of primary alkyl- and benzylzincs give good results; • due to the high reactivity of organozincs, CO insertion usually does not happen unlike in the case of less reactive organotin (Stille cross-coupling).

The mechanism of the Negishi reaction follows the same pathway as the general catalytic cycle for the Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions described above in section 1.3.1.

The Negishi cross-coupling reaction has been widely utilized in organic synthesis. For instance, the Negishi reaction was used during the final stages of the total synthesis of Caerulomycin C for the preparation of the bipyridyl system by T. Sammakia et al⁸⁶ (Scheme 11). The highly substituted 6-bromopyridine was coupled, in the presence of the $\text{Pd}_2(\text{dba})_3/\text{PPh}_3$ catalyst system, with 2-lithiopyridine, which was transmetallated by ZnCl_2 *in situ* to the corresponding organozinc reagent. Interestingly, the analogous Stille cross-coupling using 2-tributylstannyl pyridine was far less efficient and gave a low yield of the desired product.

Scheme 11. Synthetic application of Negishi coupling.

1.3.3 Negishi cross-couplings involving Csp^2 - Csp^3 centers.

Alkyl halides and related electrophiles are much less reactive toward Pd than unsaturated organic electrophiles including those containing aryl, alkenyl, alkynyl, acyl as well as allyl, benzyl, and propargyl groups. The lower reactivity of alkyl halides toward Pd has been explained in terms of the lack of a proximal π bond. A difference in reactivity of at least a 100-fold between alkenyl and alkyl iodides has been observed.⁸⁷ Until recently, the use of alkyl electrophiles lacking proximal π bonds had been considered very difficult, and therefore the task of Pd-catalyzed alkylation had been achieved by using

alkylmetals. Mainly, for this reason, Pd-catalyzed alkylation of alkenyl derivatives has been accomplished via the alkyl-alkenyl coupling. However, alkyl halides are not inert towards Pd. For example, the use of highly nucleophilic Pd-complexes containing bulky trialkylphosphines, such as PCyp₃ (Cyp = cyclopentyl) and PCy₃ (Cy = cyclohexyl), has permitted the alkenyl-alkyl coupling between alkenylzinc derivatives and alkyl iodides, bromides, and tosylates.⁸⁸ Fu *et al.*⁸⁹ also developed the first ligandless palladium based method for Negishi cross-coupling of alkyl electrophiles: Pd(acac)₂ (bis[acetylacetonato]Pd(II)) catalyzed reactions of functionalized alkyl halides/tosylates with organozirconium reagents (Scheme 12). In view of the attractiveness of ligandless catalyst (cost, simplicity, easy purification), this method added a significant new dimension to the development of effective processes for coupling alkyl electrophiles.

Scheme 12. Negishi cross-couplings of alkyl electrophiles under "ligandless" conditions.

Despite recent promising developments such as those mentioned above, the Pd-catalyzed alkylation of alkenyl derivatives is still achieved mostly by alkyl-alkenyl coupling protocol. In this regard, alkylzincs are generally superior to the other alkylmetals that have been examined to date, although alkylborons⁹⁰ and alkylmagnesiums⁹¹ are satisfactory in many cases. It is important to mention that in the Pd-catalyzed alkylation with alkylzincs or in general with organozincs, the precise

composition of alkylzincs, which significantly depends on the methods of their generation, affects the course of the subsequent cross-coupling process. One important determining factor is the alkyl/Zn ratio. In a synthesis of (-)-discodermolide, it was proved to be necessary to add 3 equiv. of *t*-BuLi to an alkyl iodide premixed with ZnCl₂ (Scheme 13).⁹²

A large number of natural products and related compounds have been synthesized by using the Pd-catalyzed alkenylation of alkylzinc derivatives.^{87,90-96}

Scheme 13. The Pd-catalyzed alkyl-alkenyl coupling with an alkylzinc in a total synthesis of (-)-Discodermolide

Dai and Fu^{73b} have also described the Negishi cross-coupling of aryl and vinyl chlorides with alkylzincs (Scheme 14). Among aryl halides, chlorides are arguable the most useful class of substrates for coupling reactions, due to their lower cost and the wider diversity of available compounds.⁹⁷ The commercially available, air-stable catalyst Pd(P(*t*-Bu)₃)₂ can effect the Negishi cross-coupling of a wide range of aryl and vinyl chlorides with alkylzinc reagents in a single protocol. Besides primary alkylzinc reagents, branched alkylzincs were also used in this protocol. The Negishi couplings of branched

alkylzincs gave desired coupling products and also isomerization products, due to the isomerization of alkyl group, mostly secondary to primary.

Scheme 14. Negishi cross-couplings of aryl and vinyl chlorides with alkylzincs

1.3.4. Negishi cross-couplings with dihalovinyl derivatives

Although the development of the monosubstitution reaction of the dihalo-alkenes with aryl,⁹⁸ alkenyl,^{74a,b} and alkynyl metals^{74e} and related nucleophiles has been reasonably successful, the Pd- or Ni-catalyzed monoalkylation has not been,⁹⁹ except in one isolated example published in 1987 by Minato et al..^{76a} In fact, this was the first successful regio- and stereoselective monoalkylation and -arylation of 1,1-dichloro-1-alkenes by organozinc or Grignard reagents in the presence of PdCl₂(dppb) as a catalyst, (dppb = diphenylphosphine butane) which produced 1-substituted (Z)-chloro-alkenes (Scheme 15).^{76a} In the only example of *trans*-selective monoalkylation reported in this paper, *n*-BuZnCl led to the desired monobutylated product, *trans* 2- chloro-1-phenyl-1-hexene **45** while the use of *n*-BuMgBr did not afford the desired product. The Pd-catalyzed second alkylation of the monobutylated intermediate with *n*-HexMgBr led to the formation of the trisubstituted alkene **46** in 77% yield (Scheme 15). Most probably, the first-stage alkylation was strongly aided by the fact that the starting material was β,β-dichlorostyrene, since attempts to achieve analogous *trans*-selective monoalkylation of 2-

alkyl-substituted 1,1-dichloro- or 1,1-dibromo-1-alkenes under the same conditions failed.^{76a}

Scheme 15. Pd-catalyzed double-alkylation of β,β -dichlorostyrene.^{76a}

Since the discovery of the Pd-catalyzed highly *trans*-selective monosubstitution of 1,1-dichloro-1-alkenes followed by the second Pd-catalyzed substitution to produce trisubstituted alkenes (Scheme 16)^{76a}, its development as a method for not only the selective synthesis of monosubstituted products^{74c,100} but also for disubstitution products^{74,76a} has attracted considerable attention from synthetic chemists.

X = Cl, Br, I

Scheme 16. Pd-catalyzed *trans*-selective monoalkylation of 1,1-dichloro-1-alkene and Pd-catalyzed second substitution.

In this regard, recently Tan and Negishi^{76b} reported a widely applicable Pd-catalyzed *trans*-selective method for the monoalkylation of unactivated 1,1-dichloro-1-alkenes followed by the second Pd-catalyzed substitution for the selective synthesis of the *E* and *Z* trisubstituted alkenes. A systematic screening of Pd catalysts, additives, and solvents was conducted, which led to an optimized set of conditions that involve 5 mol% of [PdCl₂(dpephos)] (dpephos = bis(o-diphenyl-phosphanylphenylether) as a catalyst/ligand and dimethylformamide (DMF) as solvent (scheme 17). In some cases, the use of one molar equivalent of *N*-methylimidazole (NMI) relative to an alkyl zinc reagent has been shown to improve the yields.

Scheme 17. Pd-catalyzed *trans*-selective monoalkylation of 1,1-dichloro-1-alkenes with alkylzinc reagents.

There is no example in literature on the monoalkylation of 1,1-dibromo-1-alkenes. In fact, Negishi^{76b} reported that coupling of 1,1-dibromo-1-alkenes has a very high tendency to produce dialkylated products. Thus, even β,β -dibromostyrenes would produce only their dialkylation products. The success observed with 1,1-dichloro-1-

alkenes but not with 1,1-dibromo-1-alkenes was explained in the mechanistic terms (Scheme 18).^{76b}

Scheme 18. Putative mechanism for the competitive formation of the mono- and dialkylation products.

Although the intricate mechanistic details remain unclear, the following characteristics are worth mentioning: the difference between dppb and dpephos ligands in the selective monoalkylation of alkyl-substituted 1,1-dichloroalkenes is noticeable, and it is clear that competitive formation of the disubstitution products is the major side reaction to be minimized. In order to minimize this side reaction, the suppressing of the second substitution through promotion of catalyst dissociation from the putative monosubstituted alkene-Pd π -complex **A** (scheme 18) is required. It is possible that the ethereal oxygen atom of dpephos may exert a chelation effect to facilitate the dissociation of alkenes. Although unwanted, the formation of the disubstitution product must undoubtedly be responsible for the high stereoselectivity (> 98%) attainable by Pd-catalyzed *trans*-selective monosubstitution through kinetic resolution in the second stage of disubstitution, in which the undesired *cis*-monosubstituted isomer of **A** must be

significantly more reactive than **A** itself. In this sense, the observed formation of the disubstitution products must be a good thing in disguise to a certain extent.^{76b}

With the development of a widely applicable and satisfactory protocol for the *trans*-selective monoalkylation of 1,1-dichloro-1-alkenes, the second Pd-catalyzed substitution of relatively unreactive internal *Z* chloroalkenes was also investigated. Negishi^{76b} reported that the use of Cy₃P (Cy = cyclohexyl) or Cyp₃P (Cyp = cyclopentyl) as ligands, led to the formation of the desired alkylation products in high yields (scheme 19). Pd-complexes with Cy₃P or Cyp₃P appear to be generally satisfactory catalysts for the second substitution with Grignard reagents containing alkyl, aryl, alkenyl and allyl groups. Although this protocol has not yet been applied to the synthesis of natural products, it promises to be applicable to the synthesis of natural products containing *Z* trisubstituted alkenes, such as discodermolide^{92b,101} and hennoxazole A.¹⁰²

Scheme 19. Cross-coupling of internal *Z*-chloroalkenes with Grignard reagents in the presence of Pd catalysts containing bulky trialkylphosphines.

2. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The purpose of this dissertation was to design and to synthesize potential inhibitors of *S*-adenosyl-L-homocysteine (AdoHcy) hydrolase, which should utilize the hydrolytic activity of the enzyme during the inhibition process. The first targets were L-adenosine **A** and its 5'-aldehyde oxime derivative **B**, enantiomers of the natural substrate (Figure 10). They were designed on the basis that some unnatural L-nucleoside analogues possess more potent antiviral activity against HIV and HBV viruses than their natural D-counterparts. We have planned to examine the possibility of whether L-adenosine and its derivatives can act as (un)likely substrates and/or inhibitors of AdoHcy hydrolase. The enzymatic studies on the interaction of L-adenosine and its 5'-oxime derivatives with AdoHcy hydrolase and computational studies of substrates specificity of the enzyme toward L-adenosine were performed in order to evaluate these targets.

Figure 10. L-adenosine and its 5'-aldehyde oxime

The second targets were AdoHcy analogues of type **C** in which the sulfur and C5' atoms in the *S*-adenosyl-L-homocysteine were replaced by the vinyl or the halovinyl “unit”. Such compounds should be substrates for the hydrolytic activity of the enzyme. They should form “stable” complexes with the enzyme that would help to identify key binding groups at the active site of AdoHcy that interact with Hcy moiety and participate in

subsequent elimination and hydrolytic activity steps. However, such analogs due to the lack of the leaving group (sulfur replaced by sp^2 carbon) can not undergo elimination of the Hcy surrogate upon oxidation of the 3'-hydroxyl to its 3'-keto derivative. It is believed that, the individual steps can be “frozen” and the proteins at the active site of the enzyme identified. These unsaturated AdoHcy analogues were attempted to be synthesized via metathesis between 5'-deoxy-5'-methylene adenosine **G** and racemic or chiral unsaturated amino acid derivatives **F** (Scheme 20). Successive bromination-dehydrobromination at the C5'-C6' double bond of **C** ($X = H$) was expected to afford AdoHcy analogues with C5' and sulfur atom replaced by the halovinyl unit.

Scheme 20. Retrosynthetic analysis of AdoHcy analogues

The analogues with halovinyl “units” were also envisioned to be synthesized directly via the Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions between dihalohomovinyl nucleoside derivatives **E** and organozinc reagents **D** (Scheme 20). Since the literature reports on the Pd-catalyzed monoalkylation of dihaloalkenes ($\text{sp}^2\text{-sp}^3$ coupling) were scarce, we were prompted to undertake model studies on Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling between vinyl dihalides and alkyl organometallics. We undertook effort to develop a novel Negishi monoalkylation of 1-fluoro-1-iodo, bromo or chloro- alkenes, derived from the conjugated or unconjugated aldehydes and ketones with primary, secondary and tertiary alkylzinc bromides as a novel method for the synthesis of the internal fluoroalkenes. We have also attempted cross-couplings between 1,1-dichloroalkenes and 1,1-dibromoalkenes with alkylzinc bromides. This type of coupling required developing of a novel methodology to differentiate two identical halogens in order to provide access to the multisubstituted chloro or bromo alkenes.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Are L-adenosine and its derivatives substrates for *S*-adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase?

3.1.1 Synthesis of L-adenosine and L-adenosine 5'-oximes.

The first targets of this thesis were L-adenosine **52** and its 5'-aldehyde oxime derivative **55**. The L-adenosine **52** was prepared from L-xylose **44** utilizing the Moyround and Strazewski protocol (Scheme 21).¹⁰³ In the first step of synthesis, L-xylose was acetonated in the presence of sulfuric acid to give the 1,2-*O*-isopropylidene- α -L-xylofuranose **45**. Compound **45** was then silylated with *tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride at the primary 5- hydroxyl group under standard conditions to give **46** in 90% yield. The inversion of configuration at C3 was accomplished via an oxidation/reduction procedure. Thus, ketone **47** was obtained by treatment of **46** with CrO₃/pyridine complex in CH₂Cl₂. The subsequent reduction step was performed with NaBH₄ in EtOH and led to the L-ribosyl derivative **48**. Deacetonization of **48** with MeOH/H₂SO₄ and *O*-methylated at C1 in one pot followed by *O*-benzoylation gave 1-*O*-Methyl-2,3,5-tri-*O*-benzoyl-L-ribofuranose **49** (as a mixture of α and β -anomers) in 80% yield of sufficient purity to be used in the next glycosidation step. According to Vorbruggen^{104a} the best leaving group for the formation of the required oxonium intermediate is acetate at C1 and in the β position. Treatment of **49** with a mixture of glacial acetic acid, acetic anhydride and sulfuric acid at 0 °C led to the standard ribosyl donor 1-*O*-acetyl-2,3,4- tri-*O*-benzoyl-L-ribofuranoside **50** as an α/β mixture (1:3). The pure β -anomer was obtained after column chromatography. The introduction of the β -N-glycosidic bond was accomplished through the well-establish procedure reported by Vorbruggen.^{104b,c} Thus, subsection of **50** with 6-

N-benzoyladenine in the presence of TMSOTf, MFSTA in 1,2-dichloroethane gave protected L-adenosine derivative **51** in 86% yield. The standard deprotection of **51** with NH_3/MeOH furnished the L-adenosine **52** in high yield.

Scheme 21. Synthesis of L-adenosine and L-adenosine 5'-oximes.

The 2',3'-*O*-isopropylidene-L-adenosine **53** was prepared by treatment of L-adenosine **52** in the presence of triethyl orthoformate in dried acetone with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate. Moffat oxidation of 2',3'-*O*-isopropylidene-L-adenosine **53** gave crude 5'-aldehyde that was treated with hydroxylamine hydrochloride in pyridine to give the protected oxime **54** (*E/Z*, ~6:1; 80%). Acid-catalyzed removal of isopropylidene group gave **55** (*E/Z*, 6:1; 89%). Oximes **55** have spectroscopic properties identical to those of their known enantiomer, adenosine 5'-carboxaldehyde oximes.¹⁰⁵

3.1.2 Theoretical studies of L-adenosine

3.1.2.1 Computational results with L-adenosine.

In order to find the differences for the preferred protein:ligand binding modes, interaction strengths and binding specificity for the adenosine and L-adenosine the AutoDock simulations were performed. For each protein:ligand pair 128 LGA (Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm) docking runs were performed, with each run producing one possible binding mode or solution. The 128 solutions were first sorted in terms of the binding mode, i.e. the position and orientation of the ligand relative to the protein target. The solutions having rms (root mean square) deviations in ligand atomic positions of less than 0.5 Å were grouped into a cluster. The total number of clusters generated measures the specificity of binding. A small number of clusters indicates that the ligand has only a few possible binding modes, and interacts with a specific site (or sites) on the target protein. On the other hand, a large number of clusters implies the existence of a wide range of binding modes and lack of specific ligand:target interactions. The second step in sorting solutions involves identification of the solution of lowest binding energy within

each cluster and ranking the different clusters according to this energy value. The solution with the lowest energy in the top ranked (i.e. lowest-energy) cluster, as well as all solutions with energies higher by up to 5.0 kcal/mol were considered as possible binding modes for ligand and target.

3.1.2.2. Docking of L-adenosine to the closed structure of AdoHcy hydrolase

A summary of the AutoDock results is presented in Table 2. For the L-Ado, AutoDock produced 24 clusters out of 128 runs (Table 2). The first cluster consisted of 26 solutions, with the average docking energy of -16.3 kcal/mol. The positions of the first and second clusters are about 2 Å away from the substrate in the 1A7A crystal structure, indicating that L-Ado does not fit into the inhibitor/substrate binding site. Comparison of the AutoDock results suggests that L-Ado should be a poor substrate of AdoHcy hydrolase compared to D-Ado. The binding of L-Ado to the protein is both weaker (higher energy) and less specific (larger number of clusters) compared to D-Ado. The binding energy difference $\Delta E = -18.9 - (-16.3) = -2.6$ kcal/mol corresponds to a change in the binding constant by a factor of $\exp(-\Delta E/RT) = 76$ at room temperature. The microscopic reason for these effects appears to be a lack of fit between L-Ado and the inhibitor/substrate binding site. Lack of the structural fit was recently observed by computer overlaid structures of D and L enantiomers of 6'(E)-(bromohomovinyl)adenosine (e.g., C: X = Br, Y = H).¹⁰⁶

Table 2. Summary for autodock results for D-Ado and L-Ado docking to the closed form of AdoHcy hydrolase

	Total number of clusters ^a	Av. Energy of top cluster ^b (kcal/mol)	Number of solutions in top of cluster
D-Ado	16	-18.9 +/- 0.3	21
L-Ado	24	-16.3 +/- 0.3	26

^a Clustering of a total of 128 runs. ^b Average binding energy of the first cluster for L-Ado and second cluster for D-Ado. ^c Standard deviation over solutions within cluster.

The docking results are approximate. The scoring is based on an empirical energy function, solvation effects treated with a highly simplified model, and only ligand flexibility taken into account, with the protein structure kept fixed.¹⁰⁷ The binding energy difference calculated here, -2.6 kcal/mol, is only slightly greater in magnitude than the estimated standard error of the method, 2 kcal/mol. Thus, the AutoDock results should be only considered as qualitative. The calculated binding energy results are in qualitative agreement with the observed inhibitory effects. Additionally, the simulations suggest that L-Ado has a lower specificity and worse fit into the known active site than D-Ado.

3.1.3. Interaction of AdoHcy hydrolase with L-Adenosine

L-Adenosine **52** and its 5'-oxime derivatives **55** were evaluated for their ability to inhibit the activity of recombinant human placental AdoHcy hydrolase by incubating the enzyme with the compounds at 200 μ M for 20 min at 37° C. The AdoHcy hydrolase activity was determined by assaying the enzyme's ability to catalyze the conversion of Ado and Hcy to AdoHcy. Under these conditions, both **52** and **55** were inactive as inhibitors of the AdoHcy hydrolase. In contrast, adenosine-5'-carboxaldehyde oximes

under these conditions produce 72% inhibition of the enzyme. Adenosine-5'-carboxaldehyde oxime is known to be a potent inhibitor of AdoHcy hydrolase with K_i and k_{inact} values of 0.67 μM and 0.16 min^{-1} , respectively¹⁰⁵ and is a substrate for the enzyme hydrolytic activity.¹⁰⁸

Our findings^{106a} are in agreement with a recent report^{106b} where the (halohomovinyl) and acetylenic derivatives of L-adenosine were found to be much weaker inhibitors than the corresponding analogues derived from adenosine.³⁵ In conclusion, docking calculations showed that binding of L-Ado is not as specific as that of D-Ado for human AdoHcy hydrolase and that the binding energy of the D-Ado/enzyme complex is lower than that of the L-Ado/enzyme complex. These results might explain why L-Ado and its analogues were found to be inactive as inhibitors of AdoHcy hydrolase, and therefore not good candidates for drug design targeting AdoHcy hydrolase and/or transmethylation enzymes.

3.2. Design and synthesis of S-adenosylhomocysteine analogues with the sulfur and C5' atoms replaced by the vinyl unit.

The second targets of this thesis were S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine analogues of type **A** and **B** with the sulfur and C5' atoms replaced by the vinyl or homovinyl unit (Scheme 22). Retrosynthetic analyses of compound **A** indicate that the easiest approach would be to start synthesis from the parental nucleoside and the suitable constructed amino acid units. First approach was envisioned to be metathesis via construction of a new C5'-C6' double bond using two terminal alkenes in the presence of Grubbs catalyst.

The second approach employed Negishi coupling to construct a new C6'-C7' single bond between dihalonucleoside derivative with the corresponding organozinc reagent.

Scheme 22. Retrospective analysis for the unsaturated S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine analogues.

3.2.1 Metathesis approach between the 5'-deoxy-5'-methyleneadenosine and the racemic homoallylglycine precursors.

In order to explore the metathesis approach the protected 5'-deoxy-5'-methyleneadenosine derivatives (e.g. **60** or **61**) and the 6-carbon amino acid, e.g. homoallylglycine **65** or **66** bearing a terminal double bond were prepared. Synthesis of the protected 5'-deoxy-2',3'-*O*-isopropylidene-5'-methyleneadenosine started with adenosine **56** which was dissolved in acetone and treated with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid and

ethyl orthoformate. Crystallization of the crude product from MeOH gave 2',3'-*O*-isopropylidene adenosine **57** in 97% yield (Scheme 21). Moffat oxidation of the 5'-OH group in **57** with dicyclocarbodiimide (DCC) in DMSO in the presence of dichloroacetic acid at ambient temperature afforded crude 5'-aldehyde which was *in situ* treated with (toluenesulfonylmethylene)triphenylphosphorane³⁵ to produce 9-[5,6-dideoxy-2,3-*O*-isopropylidene-6-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)- β -D-ribo-hex-5(*E*)-enofuranosyl]adenine **58** in 80% yield after purification on silica gel column. ¹H NMR spectrum confirmed the structure and the *trans* configuration was assigned on the basis of the magnitude of the coupling constant ($J_{6',5'} = 15.1$ Hz). Reflux of **58** in toluene with tributyltin hydride in the presence of AIBN effected stannylo-detosylation via a radical addition-elimination reaction. The 9-[6-(tributylstannyl)-5,6-dideoxy-2,3-*O*-isopropylidene- β -D-ribo-hex-5-enofuranosyl]adenine **59** was obtained in 71% yield as a separable mixture of *E/Z* isomers (~5:1). The stereochemical composition of the mixture was established based on the coupling constant analysis in ¹H NMR spectrum [**59**(*E*) ($J_{6',5'} = 19.1$ Hz), **59**(*Z*) ($J_{5',6'} = 12.8$ Hz)]. Treatment of **59** (*E/Z*) with NH₄F in MeOH at reflux for 48 h produced a more polar 9-[5,6-dideoxy-2,3-*O*-isopropylidene- β -D-ribo-hex-5-enofuranosyl]adenine **60** in 90% yield after purification on silica gel column. Splitting pattern for protons of the terminal alkene group at δ 5.13 [(d, $J_{6',5'} = 10.4$ Hz (*cis*), $J_{6',6''} = 1.2$ Hz) and 5.25 [$J_{6'',5'} = 17.2$ Hz (*trans*) H6''] were confirmed on ¹H NMR spectrum. In order to protect the amino group from the adenine ring, compound **60** was dissolved in dried pyridine and reacted with benzoyl chloride to provide **61a** in 95% yield. Treatment of **60** with excess of benzoyl chloride afforded **61b** in 98% yield.

Scheme 23. Synthesis of the protected 5'-deoxy-2',3'-*O*-isopropylidene-5'-methylene adenosine

The synthesis of the unsaturated amino acid precursors (homoallylglycine) for the metathesis approach is depicted in scheme 24. Thus, the unsaturated α -amino acid **63** was obtained in 72% in phase-transfer catalyzed $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$ reaction¹⁰⁹ between commercially available *N*-(diphenylmethylene)glycine ethyl ester **62** and 4-bromo-1-butene. ^1H NMR spectrum of **63** confirmed the presence of the characteristic splitting for a terminal alkene. Acid deprotection¹¹⁰ of **63** afforded the corresponding racemic mixture of amino acid **64** in 96% yield with the appropriate number of carbons suitable for the metathesis approach. It is worthy to note that there is an extra carbon in chain as compared with homocysteine, because one of the carbon will be lost during metathesis with 5'-deoxy-5'-methyleneadenosines **60** or **61**. Benzoylation of the amino group in **64** with BzCl in pyridine gave the *N*-benzoyl aminoacid **65** in 89% yield as a white solid after purification on silica gel column. ^1H NMR spectrum of **65** showed peaks from the aromatic protons at

δ 7.38-7.52 (m, 5H, Ar). Compound **65** had also UV for better control of the subsequent metathesis reaction. Treatment of **64** with $(t\text{BuO})_2\text{CO}$ and NaHCO_3 in dioxane provided *N*-Boc aminoacid derivative **66**.

Scheme 24. Synthesis of the amino acid precursors for metathesis approach.

Attempted cross-metathesis^{45,46,47b,70} between 5'-deoxy-2',3'-*O*-isopropylidene-5'-methylene adenosine **60** with *N*-benzoyl **65** or *N*-Boc **66** protected amino acids bearing the terminal double bond in the presence of 1st and 2nd (2-imidazolinyldene-Ru) generation Grubbs catalysts^{46,47b} failed to give desired products **67a** or **68a** (Scheme 25). Also, metathesis of the 6-*N*-benzoyl adenosine substrate **61a** with **65** or **66** in the presence of the same catalysts did not afford the desired products. However, treatment of **61a** with **65** in the presence of Hoveyda-Grubb's catalyst^{111a,b} led to the formation of metathesis product **67b** (51%) in addition to dimer **73** (11%) as a less polar compound. Self-metathesis of adenosine substrate **61a** was not observed. Metathesis of the 6-*N,N*-dibenzoyl **61b** with **65** gave **67c** in 60% yield in addition to dimer **73** (18%). Interestingly, metathesis of the 5'-deoxy-5'-methylene adenosine **60** having 6-amino

group unprotected with **65** or **66** even in the presence of Hoveyda-Grubb's catalyst did not yield the corresponding cross-metathesis products **67a** or **68a**. This means that the protection of 6-amino group of adenine plays an important role in cross-metathesis reaction and more than likely is necessary.

The cross-metathesis reaction (CH_2Cl_2 , at 65°C) between protected 5'-deoxy-5'-methylene adenosine **61a** or **61b** with racemic *N*-Boc protected aminoacid **66** in the presence of Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst gave the desired products **68b** (61%) and **68c**^{11c} (76%) respectively, (Scheme 25). The self-metathesis of nucleosides substrates or amino acid byproducts were not isolated from the reaction mixtures. In agreement with literature reports,¹¹² the cross-metathesis products **67** and **68** were found to be predominantly the *trans*-isomers. Column chromatography on silica gel afforded products **67** and **68** as pure 5'*E* isomers of the 1:1 mixture of 9'*R/S* diastereomers. ^1H NMR and mass spectrometry confirmed the structure of **67** and **68**. The *E* stereochemistry for **67** and **68** was established from ^1H NMR spectra based on the magnitude of $J_{\text{H}5'-\text{H}6'}$. For example, the 5' proton in **68c** appears at δ 5.58 (dd, $J_{\text{H}5'-\text{H}4'} = 7.3$ Hz and $J_{\text{H}5'-\text{H}6'} = 15.2$ Hz) while the 6' proton resonates at δ 5.73 (dt, $J_{\text{H}6'-\text{H}7'/7''} = 6.5$ Hz and $J_{\text{H}5'-\text{H}6'} = 15.2$ Hz).

The next step in the synthesis of desired AdoHcy analogues was deprotection of products **67** and **68**. Thus, treatment of **68c** (or **68b**) with 1:1 mixture of the saturated (at 0°C) methanolic ammonia solution and methanol for 48 h at $\sim 0^\circ\text{C}$ removed the 6-*N*-benzoyl group(s) and afforded a partially separable mixture of methyl **69** and ethyl **70** esters ($\sim 3:2$, $\sim 92\%$ total yield). The use of diluted methanolic ammonia solution was important since saturated solution of NH_3/MeOH led to the formation of the amidation byproducts in substantial yield (up to 40%).

Scheme 25. Cross-metathesis between 5'-deoxy-5'-methylene adenosine and unsaturated *N*-Boc or *N*-benzoyl protected aminoacids.

Acid catalyzed deprotection of **69** and **70** with aqueous solution of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) effected removal of both Boc and the isopropylidene protection groups to give the esters **71a** and **71b** in high yields. It is worthy to note that debenzoylation of **68** (or **67**) should be performed as the first deprotection step, because treatment of **68** (or **67**) with TFA/H₂O resulted in the substantial cleavage of glycosylic bond. Saponification of **71a** and **71b** with NaOH in H₂O/MeOH solution followed by purification on RP-HPLC afforded the sodium salt of **72** in 67% percent yield as a single *E* isomer of the 1:1 mixture of 9' *R/S* diastereomers.

3.2.2 Metathesis approach between the 5'-deoxy-5'-methylene adenosine and the chiral homoallylglycine precursors.

In order to synthesize AdoHcy analogue having the L-configuration for the amino group which corresponds to the natural aminoacids, we first attempted separation of 9'*R/S* diastereomers in products **67** and **68**. Unfortunately, separation of 9'*R/S* diastereomers in **67** or **68** was unsuccessful. Then we turned our attention to the synthesis of AdoHcy analogue with 9'*S* configuration employing a chiral amino acid precursor, e.g., (*S*)-homoallylglycine. Given that the methods available for the preparation of enantiomerically pure unnatural aminoacids usually require multistep synthesis,¹¹³ we chose the enantioselective hydrolysis of racemic **66** as a way to provide chiral (*S*)-homoallylglycine.

Treatment of **66** with α -chymotrypsin in phosphate buffer¹¹⁴ (0.1M, pH 8) produced the unreacted (*R*)-ester **66** (~50%) and (*S*)-acid **74** (~50%) (Scheme 26). In order to establish the enantiomeric purity of **66** as *R* enantiomer, the Mosher test was applied. Optically active α -methoxy- α -(trifluoromethyl)phenyl acetic acid (MTPA acid), known as the Mosher reagent, was originally developed in 1969¹¹⁵ for use in determination of the enantiomeric purity of chiral alcohols and amines by NMR spectroscopy. The use of of this reagent was subsequently expanded to chromatographic resolution of chiral alcohols¹¹⁶ and assigning the absolute configuration of its chiral esters based on the empirical correlation between their NMR chemical shift and the absolute stereochemistry of the alcohol.¹¹⁷ In our case, treatment of ester **66-R** with TFA/H₂O followed by acylation with (*R*)-2-methoxy-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl-2-phenylacetyl chloride¹¹⁸ [(*R*)-MPTA-Cl] gave **76** *R/S*. Analysis of the ¹⁹F NMR spectra [δ -69.55 (s,

0.98F) and -69.8 (s, 0.02F)] established the stereochemistry for **66** as *R* in agreement with Mosher' correlations. The acid **74-S** was next converted into the methyl ester **75-S** in reaction with diazomethane in ethanol (Scheme 26). It is worthy to note that the metathesis of the “free” carboxylic acid precursor **74-S** with **61a** or **61b** in the presence of Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst did not yield the desired product.

Scheme 26. Enantioselective hydrolysis of alkenyl- α -amino acid ester.

Once the chiral amino acid precursor **75-S** was synthesized, we attempted the cross-metathesis reaction between **61b** and **75-S** which afforded **77-S** in 77% yield (Scheme 27). Standard deprotection of **77-S** with diluted NH₃/MeOH gave **69-S** in 91% yield. Acid deprotection with TFA/H₂O yielded methyl ester **71a-S** (90%) as a single *E* isomer after purification on RP-HPLC. Alternatively, metathesis of **61b** with **66-R** gave ethyl ester derivative **68c-R**. Contrary to the products **67-72** obtained from racemic homoallylglycine, the ¹³C NMR spectra for the products obtained from (*S*)- and (*R*)-

homoallylglycine substrates showed a single set of peaks. ^1H NMR spectrum also showed some spectral differences especially for H2 and H8 from the adenine base.

Scheme 27. Cross-metathesis of adenosine precursor with *S*-amino acid derivative.

3.2.3. Synthesis of the halovinyl *S*-AdoHcy analogue.

Taking into consideration that AdoHcy hydrolase is able to add water across to the isolated 5',6'-double bond of a 6'-halo(vinyl)homoAdo derivative,^{35,36} we have also attempted the synthesis of bromovinyl analogue of type **B** (scheme 22). This synthesis was executed using the bromination-dehydrobromination strategy (Scheme 28). Thus, treatment of **68c** with pyridinium tribromide¹¹⁹ in dioxane gave the 5',6'-dibromo diastereomers **78** in a very high yield. ^1H NMR and LC-MS spectra confirmed the structure of **78**. In fact, ^1H NMR spectrum showed no presence of the olefinic protons and the LC-MS spectrum showed the characteristic peaks pattern ($\text{M}^+ + 2$ and $\text{M}^+ + 4$) due to the presence of two bromine atoms. Compound **78** underwent dehydrobromination

with 1,8-diazobicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) in THF yielding **79b** as a single isomer. Also one of the 6-*N*-benzoyl protective group was partially cleaved and formation of **79a** was observed. Standard deprotection of **79a/79b** mixture with diluted NH₃/MeOH gave **80** as an inseparable mixture of methyl and ethyl esters. Treatment of **80** with TFA/H₂O removed both Boc and isopropylidene protection group affording **81**. Saponification of **81** with solution of NaOH followed by RP-HPLC purification gave **82** as a single *E* isomer in 54% overall yield (Scheme 28).

Scheme 28. Synthesis of the halovinyl *S*-AdoHcy analogue.

The regioselectivity of the HBr elimination and therefore position of the bromine at 5' (versus 6') in **79-82** was assigned based on the COSY experiment. The COSY experiment showed a strong cross-peak correlation between protons H6'-H7' but did not show any cross-peak between protons H4'-H5'. Also ¹H NMR spectrum supported this assignment. In fact, ¹H NMR spectrum showed the presence of the H6' as a triplet at δ 6.40 ($J_{6'-7''} = 7.6$ Hz), rather than the expected doublet with $J_{5'-4'}$ for H5' in the case of 6'-bromo regioisomer.

The *E* configuration of products **79-82** is expected from a specific anti-addition in the pyridinium tribromide bromination of the *E* alkene **68c** which is followed by an E2 (anti elimination) process of HBr from **78**. This was also supported by the NOESY analysis of **82** in which the cross-peak between H4' and H7'/7'' was observed while no cross-peak between H4' and H6' was detected.

3.3 Negishi cross-coupling approach

3.3.1 Coupling with 1-fluoro-1-haloalkenes

Since the elimination of HBr gave 5'-bromovinyl analogue **82** instead of the more desired 6'-bromo analogue, we turned our attention to the coupling approach. On the basis of retrosynthetic analysis, the synthesis of analogue type **A** or **B** (see scheme 22) can be accomplished using Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction between sp^2 hybridized carbon of dihalohomovinyl precursor of adenosine and sp^3 hybridized carbon of the corresponding organozinc reagent, Negishi coupling, to form a new C6'-C7' single bond as a key step. Since literature reports on the Pd-catalyzed monoalkylation of dihaloalkenes (Csp²-Csp³ coupling) were scarce^{76,99}, we were prompted to undertake model studies on Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling between vinyl dihalides and alkyl organometallics.

Taking into account that the fluoride is unreactive^{71a} towards couplings, we first accomplished Pd-catalyzed monoalkylation between a series of 1-fluoro-1-haloalkenes **86-88**, derived from the conjugated or unconjugated aldehydes and ketones with alkyl zinc-bromides reagents. The corresponding 1-fluoro-1-haloalkenes were synthesized using McCarthy's procedure.¹²⁰ In the first step aldehydes **83a-c** and ketone **83d**

underwent condensation with sulfonyl-stabilized fluorophosphonates to give (fluoro)vinyl sulfones **84** in high yield. The radical-mediated stannyldesulfonylation of **84** with $\text{Bu}_3\text{SnH/AIBN}$ yielded (fluoro)vinyl stannanes **85**. In the last step of synthesis, **85** underwent the halodestannylation³⁵ with NIS or NBS or Cl_2 to give 1-fluoro-1-iodo- (**86**), 1-fluoro-1-bromo- (**87**) and 1-fluoro-1-chloroalkenes (**88**) (Scheme 29). It is noteworthy that dihaloalkenes of series **c** with a benzyloxy substituent at allylic carbon are structural analogues of the dihalohomovinyl nucleoside or ribofuranosyl precursors which also possesses oxygen atom at carbon δ from the α -halovinyl carbon.

Compds 83-88	R	R ¹
a	Ph	H
b	PhCH ₂ CH ₂	H
c	PhCH ₂ OCH ₂	H
d	Ph	CH ₃

Scheme 29. Stereoselective synthesis of 1-fluoro-1-haloalkenes.

Having the desired starting materials synthesized, we attempted couplings of the 1-fluoro-1-haloalkenes **86-88** with different types of primary alkylzinc bromides in the presence of Pd catalyst in benzene at 65⁰C (Scheme 30). Thus, treatment of 1-fluorovinyl iodide **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5) with 2 equiv. of primary alkylzinc bromide [BrZn(CH₂)₃CO₂Et] in the presence of Pd(PPh₃)₄ in benzene (65 °C, 10 h) gave fluoro alkenoate **89a** as a single *Z* isomer (*J*_{F-H(*trans*)} = 39.8 Hz) in 70% yield (Scheme 30; Table 3, entry 1). Analogous treatment of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5) with alkylzinc bromides containing double bond [BrZn(CH₂)₃CH=CH₂] or acetal functionality [BrZn(CH₂)₂CH(OCH₂)₂] gave **90a**(*Z*) or **91a**(*Z*), respectively (Table 3, entries 5 and 6). The couplings occurred with retention of configuration via trans-selective alkylation, but *E/Z* descriptors changed due to the change in Cahn-Ingold-Prelog priority at the reaction center carbon. The 1-fluorovinyl bromides **87a** and chlorides **88a** also underwent efficient couplings with BrZn(CH₂)₃CO₂Et to give **89a**(*Z*) in 70% and 80% yield (Table 3, entries 3 and 4).

Scheme 30. Couplings of 1-fluoro-1-haloalkenes with alkylzincs.

In order to optimize reaction conditions, we tested efficiency of various Pd catalysts for such Negishi monoalkylation (Scheme 31). We found that tris(dibenzylideneacetone)palladium [$\text{Pd}_2(\text{dba})_3$] and 1,4-bis(diphenylphosphinobutane)palladium chloride [$\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppb})$] gave smooth conversion of **86a** into **91a** in 2 h at 50 °C. $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ effected only 11% conversion of **86a** into **91a** under analogous conditions. The $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ and 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphinoferrocene) palladium chloride [$\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppf})$] were also found to be less effective. In comparison with $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$, coupling of **86a** with $\text{BrZn}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CO}_2\text{Et}$ in the presence of $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppb})$ gave a higher yield of **89a**(*Z*) (93%, entry 2 vs. entry 1) under milder conditions (50 °C, 2 h). The cross-coupling reactions of the unconjugated 1-fluorovinyl halides **86b** (*E/Z*, 78:22) with primary alkylzinc bromides in the presence of $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ gave **89b**, **90b**, and **91b** in high yields (Table 3; entries 9, 13, and 14). The conversion of **86b** into **89b** was achieved in higher yield under milder conditions with $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppb})$ as catalyst (Table 3, entry 12).

The vinyl iodide **86c** (*E/Z*, 75:25) with benzyloxymethyl substituent at carbon β (analogue of the nucleoside precursor) reacted with $\text{BrZn}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2$ [$\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$] to give the internal fluoroalkene **90c**(*Z*) in moderate yield (56%, Table 3, entry 17) in addition to unchanged **86c** with enriched *Z* to *E* ratio (56:44). It seems that the $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppb})$ catalyst not only increased the yield but also led to the formation of **90c** as mixture of *E/Z* isomers (20:80, 86%; Table 3, entry 18). Also bromide **87c** yielded **90c** as *E/Z* mixture in high yield (Table 3, entry 19).

The 1-fluorovinyl halides derived from acetophenone (series **d**) served as convenient starting material for the synthesis of multisubstituted alkenes.¹²¹ Thus, Pd-

catalyzed monoalkylation of **86d** (*E/Z*, 49:51) in the presence of Pd(PPh₃)₄ produced **89d**(*Z*), **90d**(*Z*), and **91d**(*Z*) (Table 3, entries 20, 22, 23). Analogous coupling of **86d** with more reactive PdCl₂(dppb) gave **89d** as a mixture of *E/Z* (16:84) isomers (Table 3, entry 21).

In order to learn more about the stereochemistry of coupling reactions, the pure *E* isomer and a mixture enriched in *Z* isomer (*E/Z*, 15:85) of fluoro(iodo)alkenes **86b** were synthesized by separation of the corresponding (fluoro)vinyl stannanes **85b** followed by the stereospecific iododestannylation. Treatment of **86b**(*E*) with BrZn(CH₂)₃CO₂Et or BrZn(CH₂)₂CH(OCH₂)₂ [Pd(PPh₃)₄/12 h/65 °C] resulted in smooth conversion (GC/MS, ¹⁹F NMR) to **89b**(*Z*) or **91b**(*Z*) with the isolated yields of 88% and 89%, respectively (Table 3, entries 10 and 15). On the other hand, analogous Negishi treatment of **86b** (*E/Z*, 15:85) yielded **89b**(*Z*) or **91b**(*Z*) in 14% (96% conversion of *E* isomers) while the corresponding **89b**(*E*) or **91b**(*E*) were not formed (Scheme 32). Prolonged reaction time and harsher condition resulted in decomposition of the **86b**(*Z*) isomer (GC/MS, ¹⁹F NMR).

Table 3. Pd-catalyzed alkylation of 1-fluoro-1-haloalkenes **86-88**^a.

Entry	substrate	<i>E/Z</i>	product (<i>Z</i>)	time (h)	yield ^b	yield ^c
1	86a	95/5	89a	10	70%	74%
2	86a	95/5	89a ^d	2	93%	97%
3	87a	93/7	89a	10	70%	75%
4	88a	93/7	89a	10	80%	86%
5	86a	95/5	90a ^e	10	65%	69%
6	86a	95/5	91a	12	90%	94%
7	86a	95/5	91a ^f	2	92%	96%
8	86a	95/5	91a ^d	2	94%	98%
9	86b	78/22	89b	24	60%	78%
10	86b	100/0	89b	12	88%	88% ^g
11	86b	15/85	89b	24	14%	96%
12	86b	84/16	89b ^d	8	82%	98%
13	86b	78/22	90b ^h	20	76%	98%
14	86b	78/22	91b	20	74%	94%
15	86b	100/0	91b	12	89%	89% ⁱ
16	86b	15/85	91b	24	14%	96%
17	86c	75/25	90c	48	56% ^j	74%
18	86c	67/33	90c ^{d,k}	4	86%	
19	87c	77/23	90c ^{d,k}	6	84%	
20	86d	49/51	89d	24	45%	94%
21	86d	49/51	89d ^{d,l}	8	60%	
22	86d	49/51	90d	24	45%	92%
23	86d	49/51	91d	24	46%	90%

^a Pd(Ph₃P)₄ was used as a catalyst unless otherwise specified (50-65 °C). ^b isolated yield. ^c isolated yield based on the conversion of the *E* isomer only. ^d PdCl₂(dppb) catalyst. ^e (Z,Z)-2,3-difluoro-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene **94** was also isolated (8%; 16% consumption of **86a**). ^f Pd₂(dba)₃ catalyst. ^g 96% based on GC-MS. ^h (Z)-1-fluoro-4-phenyl-1-butene, *E* isomer of **90b** and (Z,Z)-4,5-difluoro-1,8-diphenyl-3,5-octadiene was also detected in crude reaction mixture (¹⁹F NMR). ⁱ 98% based on GC-MS. ^j 56% based on ¹⁹F NMR. ^k (*E/Z*, 20:80). ^l (*E/Z*, 16:84; based on ¹⁹F NMR and GC-MS).

Catalyst ^a	Yield ^{b,c}
Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	11%
PdCl ₂ (dppf)	8%
Pd(OAc) ₂	75% ^d
Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	93% ^e
PdCl ₂ (dppb)	95% ^f

Key: ^a 5% molar; ^b GC/MS and ¹⁹F NMR; ^c only *Z* product was detected; ^d 95% after 3.5 h; ^e Isolated yield 92%; ^f Isolated yield 94%.

Scheme 31. Effect of the Pd catalyst on the efficiency of Negishi coupling

Scheme 32. Establishing the stereochemistry of couplings with 1,1-dihaloalkenes.

Generally, we only observed formation (above detection limit¹²² of 1-2%, ¹⁹F NMR) of the corresponding *E* isomers *via cis*-couplings in a few instances (Table 3, entry 13, 18, 19, 21). For example alkylation of **86c** (iodide) and **87c** (bromide) in the presence of PdCl₂(dppb) produced **90c** as *E/Z* (20:80) mixture. These results are in agreement with *trans*-selective mono cross-coupling of 1,1-dihaloalkenes reported previously.^{72,76,100,123} Burton and coworkers showed that *trans* selectivity with 1-bromo-1-fluoroalkenes originate in oxidative addition step since formation of the *E*-palladium complex is faster than the formation of the *Z*-palladium complex which is hampered by steric hinderence of vicinal *cis*-substituent.^{123a} They applied this finding for the kinetic resolution of the *E* and *Z* coupling products.¹²³ The major by-product isolated from the coupling reactions resulted from the reductive homocoupling of dihalide components. For example, self-coupling product of **86a**, e.g., (*E,E*)-2,3-difluoro-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene **94**, was isolated in 8% yield from the reaction of **86a** with BrZn(CH₂)₃CH=CH₂ (Table 3, entry 5).

We have also examined the Pd-catalyzed 1,1-dihaloalkenyl coupling with branched alkylzincs. Thus, PdCl₂(dppb) was found to be effective for monoalkylation of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5) with *tert*-BuZnBr (**92a**) to provide **93a** (80%; 3 h, 50 °C; Scheme 33). The Pd₂(dba)₃ and Pd(PPh₃)₄ catalysts were less effective leading to the formation of the significant amount of self-coupling (*E,E*)-2,3-difluoro-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene **94** by-product. Interestingly, attempted couplings of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5) with secondary 2- and 3-pentylzinc bromide (**92b,c**) in addition to various amount of desired products **93b** and **93c** gave also isomerization byproduct **93d** (35-70%) in addition to selfcoupled diene **94** and reduced (*Z*)- β -fluorostyrene (in some cases). Formation of byproducts derived from

isomerization of the alkyl group (secondary to primary) during Negishi cross-coupling reaction is known.^{123c,124}

Compounds **92**, **93**: Series **a** R = *tert*-Bu
b R = 2-pentyl
c R = 3-pentyl
d R = *n*-Bu

Scheme 33. Couplings with branched alkylzincs.

3.3.2. Coupling with 1,1-dichloro- and 1,1-dibromoalkenes

In order to investigate the differentiation of the two identical halogens in 1,1-dihaloalkenes for the selective monoalkylation with alkylzincs, we attempted Pd-catalyzed selective monosubstitution of 1,1-dichloro- and 1,1-dibromoalkenes with alkylzinc reagents. The corresponding 1,1-dichloro- and 1,1-dibromoalkenes were prepared using Rabinowitz and Marcus¹²⁵ procedure. Thus, a solution of triphenylphosphine and the corresponding carbonyl compound in carbon tetrachloride (or carbon tetrabromide) were heated at 60 °C for 3 h. Analysis of GC-MS spectrum revealed that the carbonyl compound disappeared while β,β -dihaloalkenes **96-97** were formed (Scheme 34).

Cmpds 96-97	R	R ¹
a	Ph	H
b	(4)CH ₃ OPh	H
c	Ph	CH ₃

Scheme 34. Synthesis of 1,1-dichloro- and 1,1-dibromoalkenes.

In order to find the optimal reaction conditions for the monoalkylation reaction, we conducted a systematic screening of Pd-catalysts (Scheme 35). Screening of the Pd-catalyst in the monoalkylation of β,β -dichloro-4-methoxystyrene **98b** revealed that PdCl₂(dppf) was the best catalyst for this reaction giving the desired monocoupling product in 70% isolated yield. PdCl₂(dppb) effected conversion of **96b** into **98b** in 63% yield under analogous conditions. On the other hand Pd(PPh₃)₄, Pd(OAc)₂ and Pd₂(dba)₃ were found to be less effective. It is worthy to note that the monoalkylation reaction was improved in the presence of DPEPhos [bis(o-diphenylphosphanylphenylether)]¹²⁶ as an extra ligand.

Negishi and Tan^{76b} explained that DPEPhos plays an important role in the Pd-monoalkylation reaction and it is possible that the ethereal oxygen atom of DPEPhos may exert a chelation effect to facilitate the dissociation of alkenes (see section 1.3.4). Once the reaction conditions were established, we examined the Pd-catalyzed monocoupling

using different 1,1-dichloro- and 1,1-dibromoalkenes (Scheme 36, Table 4). In agreement with literature reports,⁷⁶ the Pd-catalyzed monoalkylation of 1,1-dihaloalkenes was found to be *trans*-selective.

Catalyst ^a	Yield ^{b,c}
PdCl ₂ (dppf)	70
PdCl ₂ (dppb)	63
Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	55
Pd(OAc) ₂	15
Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	9

Key: ^a 5% molar. ^b Isolated yields. ^c Only *Z* product was detected

Scheme 35. Screening of Pd catalyst for the monoalkylation reaction.

Cmpds. 96-101	R ¹	R ²
a	H	H
b	H	OCH ₃
c	CH ₃	H

Scheme 36. Pd-catalyzed trans-selective monoalkylation of 1,1-dichloro- and 1,1-dibromoalkenes with alkyl zinc reagent.

Table 4. Pd-catalyzed monoalkylation of 1,1-dichloro- and 1,1-dibromoalkenes.

Entry	Substrate	Conditions Catalyst	Conditions Ligand	Conditions Time	Yield ^a (%) Mono	Yield ^a (%) Dialkylated	Yield ^a Reduced
1	96a	PdCl ₂ (dppf)		14	65	0	22
2	96a	PdCl ₂ (dppb)		12	53	27	15
3	96a	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄		12	0	68	28
4	96b	PdCl ₂ (dppf)	DPEPhos	8	70	27	0
5	96b	PdCl ₂ (dppb)	DPEPhos	8	63	27	10
6	96b	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	DPEPhos	8	55	35	10
7	96c	PdCl ₂ (dppb)	DPEPhos	10	0	90	10
8	97a	PdCl ₂ (dppf)		10	0	69	28
9	97a	PdCl ₂ (dppb)		10	0	75	24
10	97a	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄		10	0	60	38
11	97b	PdCl ₂ (dppf)	DPEPhos	7	47	52	0
12	97b	PdCl ₂ (dppb)	DPEPhos	7	0	78	21
13	97b	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	DPEPhos	10	40	59	0
14	97b	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄		10	0	72	26
15	97c	PdCl ₂ (dppb)	DPEPhos	12	0	87	10

^a isolated yield

We found that the β,β -dichlorostyrene **96a** coupled with $\text{BrZn}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CO}_2$ in the presence of $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppf})$ to give the desired trisubstituted chloroalkene **98a**^{121b} (Z, 65% Table 4, entry 1) in addition to the monocoupled/reduced byproduct **101a** (22%). Analogous coupling of **96a** in the presence of $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppb})$ also produced **98a** (Z, 53%, Table 4, entry 2). Besides the desired monoalkylated product **98a**, the formation of dialkylated byproduct **100a** (27%) and reduced byproduct **101a** (15%) was also observed. Similar couplings with more reactive β,β -dibromostyrene **97a** did not produced the desired trisubstituted bromoalkene **99a**. In fact coupling of **97a** with $\text{BrZn}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CO}_2$ in the presence of $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppf})$ or $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppb})$ produced mainly the dialkylated **100a** (69% or 75%, Table 4, entries 7 and 8) in addition to the reduced by-product **101a**.

We found that the addition of an extra ligand such as DPEPhos (bis-*o*-diphenylphosphanylphenylether) can control the Pd-catalyzed monoalkylation reaction of 1,1-dihaloalkenes. Thus, treatment of **96b** with $\text{BrZn}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CO}_2$ in the presence of $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppf})$ as a catalyst and DPEPhos as a ligand gave the desired trisubstituted chloroalkene **98b** in a higher yield (Z, 70% Table 4, entry 4). The major improvement of using DPEPhos was observed in coupling of β,β -dibromostyrene derivative **97b** with an alkylzinc reagent. Thus, treatment of **97b** with $\text{BrZn}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CO}_2/\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppf})$ and DPEPhos as a ligand afforded the desired trisubstituted bromoalkene **99b** (Z, 47%, Table 4, entry 11) in addition to the dialkylated by product **100b**. Analysis of ^1H NMR and GC-MS spectra showed the characteristic peaks for a trisubstituted bromoalkene. Analogous coupling of **97b** with organozinc reagent in the presence of $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ as a catalyst and DPEPhos as ligand also furnished the desired bromoalkene **99b** (Z, 40%, Table 4, entry 13). It is noteworthy that coupling of **97b** using only $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ (without ligand) did not

afford **99b** (Table 4, entry 14). Treatment of 1,1-dihaloalkenes derived from the acetophenone (**96c** or **97c**) with PdCl₂(dppb) and DPEPhos yielded mainly the dialkylated products (Table 4, entry 7 and 15).

Generally speaking, the major byproduct isolated from the Pd-catalyzed *trans*-selective monoalkylation of 1,1-dihaloalkenes was the dialkylated byproduct. It is clear that competitive formation of this type of byproduct is the major side reaction to be minimized in future work.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

4.1 General procedures

UV spectra were measured with solutions in MeOH. ^1H (400 MHz and 600 MHz), ^{13}C (100 MHz) and ^{19}F NMR (376.4 MHz) spectra were determined with solutions in CDCl_3 unless otherwise noted. Mass spectra (MS) were obtained with atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) technique and HRMS using AP-ESI mode unless otherwise noted. Reagent grade chemicals were used, and solvents were dried by reflux over and distillation from CaH_2 (except THF/potassium) under an argon atmosphere. TLC was performed with Merck kieselgel 60-F₂₅₄ sheets with MeOH/ CHCl_3 (1:19), EtOAc/hexane (2:1) and EtOAc/*i*-PrOH/ H_2O (4:1:2, upper layer) as developing systems. Products were detected with 254 nm light or by development of color with $\text{Ce}(\text{SO}_4)_2/(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}\bullet 4\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ or I_2 or 10% $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{MeOH}$. Merck kieselgel 60 (230-400 mesh) was used for column chromatography. HPLC purifications were performed using XTerra® preparative RP₁₈ OBDTM column (5 μm 19 x 150 mm) with gradient program using $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as a mobile phase. Purity and identity of some products (crude and/or purified) were established using a Hewlett-Packard (HP) GC/MS (EI) system with a HP 5973 mass selective detector [capillary column HP-5MS (30 m $\times$ 0.25 mm $\times$ 25 μm)]. Elemental analyses were determined by Galbraith Laboratories, Knoxville, TN.

4.2. Synthesis

L-2',3'-*O*-Isopropylideneadenosine (53). L-Adenosine¹⁰³ (**52**, 200 mg, 0.75 mmol) was suspended in dried acetone (6 mL) containing *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (470 mg, 2.5 mmol). Triethyl orthoformate (1.5 mL, 1.34 mg, 9.0 mmol) was then added over a period of 10 to 20 min at ambient temperature with vigorous mechanical stirring until a clear solution was obtained. After 18 h, water (4.5 mL) and concentrated ammonium hydroxide (0.1 mL) were added (to pH ~ 7-8). Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was column chromatographed (EtOAc → 5% MeOH/EtOAc) to give **53** (206 mg, 90%) with spectroscopic data identical to the commercial sample of 2',3'-*O*-isopropylideneadenosine.

L-2',3'-*O*-Isopropylideneadenosine-5'-carboxaldehyde Oximes (54). A solution of **53** (100 mg, 0.33 mmol) and *N,N'*-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC; 235 mg, 1.13 mmol) in dried DMSO (1.5 mL) was stirred under argon at ambient temperature. The Cl₂CHCO₂H (0.013 mL, 21 mg, 0.16 mmol) was then added and stirring was continued for 90 min. Pyridine (0.5 mL) and NH₂OH•HCl (226 mg, 3.25 mmol) were added to the solution of the crude L-adenosine-5'-carboxaldehyde and stirring was continued at ambient temperature overnight. Volatiles were evaporated, CHCl₃ was added and the precipitated dicyclohexylurea (DCU) was filtered. The mother liquor was partitioned (1% AcOH/H₂O//CHCl₃) and the aqueous layer was extracted (4 × CHCl₃). The combined organic phase was washed (NaHCO₃/H₂O, brine), dried (Na₂SO₄), and was concentrated and column chromatographed (2 → 4% MeOH/CHCl₃) to give **54** (*E/Z*, ~ 6:1; 83 mg, 80%): MS (APCI) *m/z* 321 (100, MH⁺) and other spectroscopic data as described for D-enantiomer.¹⁰⁵

L-Adenosine-5'-carboxaldehyde oximes (55). A solution of **54** (83 mg, 0.08 mmol) in CF₃CO₂H/H₂O (9:1, 5 mL) was stirred at 0 °C for 45 min under argon. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was coevaporated (3 × toluene) and then column chromatographed (EtOAc → 8% MeOH/EtOAc) to give **55** (*E/Z*, ~ 6:1; 20 mg, 89%) as an amorphous white solid: MS (APCI) *m/z* 281 (100, MH⁺); UV (MeOH) max 260 nm (ε 14 100), min 228 (ε 4000). ¹H NMR (MeOH-*d*₄) for **55**(*E*) δ 4.50 (t, *J* = 4.7, 1, H3'), 4.58 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 4.4 Hz, 1, H4'), 4.83 (t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1, H2'), 6.06 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1, H1'), 7.64 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1, H5'), 8.24 (s, 1, H2), 8.32 (s, 1, H8). **55**(*Z*) δ 4.38 (dd, *J* = 4.6, 1.5 Hz, 1, H3'), 5.08 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 4.8 Hz, 1, H2'), 5.22 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 1.6 Hz, 1, H4'), 6.03 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1, H1'), 7.28 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1, H5'), 8.24 (s, 1, H2), 8.32 (s, 1, H8).

6-*N,N*-Dibenzoyl-5'-deoxy-5'-methylene-2,3-*O*-isopropylideneadenosine (61b).

Benzoyl chloride (323.4 mg, 0.23 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of **60**^{35,37} (350 mg, 1.15 mmol) in pyridine (5 mL) at 0 °C (ice bath) and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight at ambient temperature. NaHCO₃/H₂O (3 mL) was added and the volatiles were evaporated. The residue was partitioned (HCl/H₂O/EtOAc) and the organic layer was washed (NaHCO₃, brine), dried (Na₂SO₄) and evaporated. Column chromatography (30 → 50% EtOAc/hexanes) gave **61b** (560 mg, 97%): ¹H NMR δ 1.41 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.65 (s, 3, CH₃), 4.73-4.75 (m, 1, H4'), 4.95 (dd, *J* = 3.3, 6.2 Hz, 1, H3'), 5.14 ("d", *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1, H6"), 5.22 ("d", *J* = 17.1 Hz, 1, H6'), 5.50 (dd, *J* = 1.9, 6.3 Hz, 1, H2'), 5.90 (ddd, *J* = 6.8, 10.4, 17.2 Hz, 1, H5'), 6.20 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1, H1'), 7.35 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4, Ph), 7.46 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2, Ph), 7.85 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 4, Ph), 8.16 (s, 1, H2), 8.68 (s, 1, H8); ¹³C NMR δ 25.7 & 27.5 (CMe₂), 84.6 (C3'), 84.7 (C2'), 88.6 (C4') 91.1 (C1'), 115.1 (CMe₂), 118.9 (C6'), 128.3 (C5), 129.1 (Ph), 129.8 (Ph), 133.4 (Ph), 134.4 (Ph),

135.0 (C5'), 144.5 (C8), 152.4 (C4), 152.7 (C2), 152.8 (C6), 172.6 (CO); MS m/z 512 (100, MH^+). Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{25}N_5O_5$ (511.1856): C, 65.74; H, 4.93. Found: C, 65.72; H, 4.90.

Ethyl 2-Aminohex-5-enoate (64). *Step a.* The 4-bromo-1-butene (1.7 mL, 2.27 g, 16.8 mmol) was added to a heterogeneous mixture of N-(diphenylmethylene)glycine ethyl ester **62** (3 g, 11.2 mmol), finely grounded K_2CO_3 (4.5 g, 32 mmol), and tetrabutylammonium bromide (0.36 g, 1.12 mmol) in CH_3CN (10 mL) and the resulting mixture was refluxed with stirring (oil bath, 98 °C). After 24 h, the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and was filtered. The filtrate was evaporated and the oily residue was partitioned ($H_2O/EtOAc$). The organic layer was dried (Na_2SO_4), evaporated and column chromatographed (hexane/ $EtOAc$, 85:15) to give **63** (2.77 g, 77%): 1H NMR δ 1.30 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3, CH_3), 1.98-2.13 (m, 4, $H_{3,3',4,4'}$), 4.08 (t, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 1, H_2), 4.21 (q, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2, CH_2), 4.92 (dd, $J = 1.8, 10.2$ Hz, 1, H_6), 4.98 (dd, $J = 1.8, 17.2$ Hz, 1, H_6'), 5.64-5.77 (m, 1, H_5), 7.12-7.85 (m, 10, Ar); MS m/z 321 (100, MH^+). *Step b.* 1 N HCl (5.6 mL, 5.6 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of **63** (2.0 g, 6.23 mmol) in Et_2O (12 mL) at 0 °C (ice-bath). After 30 min, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm-up to ambient temperature and stirring was continued for 5 h. The resulting two layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was neutralized with $NaHCO_3$ to pH ~ 8 and was then extracted with ether (3 x 15 mL). The combined organic layer was evaporated to give **64**¹²⁷ (0.93 g, 95%) of sufficient purity for direct use in next step: 1H NMR δ 1.25 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3, CH_3), 1.52 (br s, 2, NH_2), 1.56-1.65 (m, 1, $H_{3'}$), 1.76-1.85 (m, 1, H_3), 2.07-2.10 (m, 2, $H_{4,4'}$), 3.39 (t, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1, H_2), 4.15 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2, CH_2), 4.97 (dq, $J = 10.2, 1.7$ Hz, 1, H_6'), 5.04 (dq, $J = 15.7, 1.6$ Hz, 1, H_6), 5.73-

5.83 (ddt, $J = 15.7, 10.2, 6.6$ Hz, 1, H5); ^{13}C NMR δ 14.6 (CH_3), 30.2 (C4), 34.4 (C3), 54.3 (C2), 61.1 (CH_2), 115.6 (C6), 137.9 (C5), 176.4 (C1); MS m/z 158 (100, MH^+).

Ethyl 2-*N*-Benzoyl-2-aminohept-5-enoate (65). Benzoyl chloride (0.82 mL, 992 mg, 7.06 mmol) and DMAP (12 mg, 0.1 mmol) were added to a stirred solution of **64** (554 mg, 3.53 mmol) in pyridine (5.5 mL) at ambient temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h at ambient temperature. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was partitioned ($\text{HCl}/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{CHCl}_3$). The organic layer was washed (NaHCO_3 , brine), dried (MgSO_4), evaporated and chromatographed (CHCl_3) to give **65** (765 mg, 84%) as a white solid: ^1H NMR δ 1.33 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3, CH_3), 1.89-1.95 (m, 1, H_3'), 2.15-2.23 (m, 3, $\text{H}_{3,4,4'}$), 4.26 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2, CH_2), 4.87 (ddd, $J = 5.4, 6.9, 7.9$ Hz, 1, H2), 5.04 (br d, $J = 10.2$ Hz, 1, H6), 5.10 (br d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1, H_6'), 5.79-5.89 (m, 1, H5), 6.73 (br d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 1, NH), 7.45 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2, Ph), 7.55 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1, Ph), 7.82 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2, Ph); ^{13}C NMR δ 14.5 (CH_3), 29.9 (C4), 32.2 (C3), 52.6 (C2), 61.8 (CH_2), 116.0 (C6), 127.4 (Ph), 128.9 (Ph), 132.1 (Ph), 134.4 (Ph), 137.5 (C5), 167.4 (CO), 173.0 (C1); MS m/z 262 (100, MH^+). Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{19}\text{NO}_3$ (261.32): C, 68.94; H, 7.33; N, 5.36. Found: C, 69.03; H, 7.46; N, 5.28.

Ethyl 2-*N*-(*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)-2-aminohept-5-enoate (66). NaHCO_3 (668 mg, 7.95 mmol) and di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate (867 mg, 3.98 mmol) were added to a stirred solution of **64** (416 mg, 2.65 mmol) in dioxane/ H_2O (1:1, 8 mL) at ambient temperature. After 18 h, the reaction mixture was partitioned ($\text{EtOAc}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and the organic layer was washed (brine), dried (Na_2SO_4) and evaporated. Column chromatography ($\text{CHCl}_3 \rightarrow 2\% \text{ MeOH}$) gave **66**^{113c,128} (540 mg, 79%): ^1H NMR δ 1.28 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3, CH_3), 1.44 (s, 9, *t*-Bu), 1.66-1.77 (m, 1, H_3'), 1.85-1.96 (m, 1, H3), 2.08-

2.16 (m, 2, H_{4,4'}), 4.20 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2, CH₂), 4.25-4.35 (m, 1, H₂), 4.98 (br d, $J = 10.2$ Hz, 1, H₆), 5.02 (br d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1, H_{6'}), 5.04 (br, 1, NH), 5.76-5.80 (m, 1, H₅); ¹³C NMR δ 14.5 (CH₃), 28.7 (*t*-Bu), 29.8 (C₄), 32.4 (C₃), 53.4 (C₂), 61.6 (CH₂), 80.2 (*t*-Bu), 115.9 (C₆), 137.4 (C₅), 155.7 (Boc), 173.1 (C₁); MS m/z 258 (20, MH⁺).

Ethyl 2-*N*-(*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)-2-aminohex-5-enoate (66-*R*) and 2-*N*-(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)-2-aminohex-5-enoic acid (74-*S*). Ester **66** (250 mg, 0.97 mmol) was suspended in phosphate buffer (15 mL, 0.1 M; pH 8.00) and α -chymotrypsin (1.25 mg, 61 units/mg; Sigma) was added. The resulting mixture was gently stirred at 37 °C for 24 h (pH decreased to 7.65) and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was evaporated to give unreacted ester **66-*R***^{113c,128} (122 mg, 49%) with data as reported above for **66**. The aqueous solution was acidified to pH 2.5 with 1N HCl and extracted with ethyl acetate (5 $\times$). The combined organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄) and evaporated to give **74-*S***¹¹² (109 mg, 49%): ¹H NMR δ 1.46 (s, 9, *t*-Bu), 1.70-1.85 (m, 1, H₃), 1.90-2.05 (m, 1, H_{3'}), 2.10-2.22 (m, 2, H_{4,4'}), 4.32-4.42 (m, 1, H₂), 5.00 (br d, $J = 10.0$ Hz, 1, H₆), 5.07 (br s, 1, NH), 5.10 (br d, $J = 16.1$ Hz, 1, H_{6'}), 5.76-5.86 (m, 1, H₅), 9.06 (br s, 1, COOH); MS m/z 230 (100, MH⁺).

Notes. The enantiomeric purity of **66-*R*** was determined using Mosher test with (*R*)-2-methoxy-2-trifluoromethyl-2-phenylacetyl chloride (*R*-MTPA-Cl):¹¹⁹ *Step a* (Deprotection). Treatment of **66-*R*** (30 mg, 0.11 mmol) with CF₃CO₂H/H₂O (9:1, 2 mL) by procedure C [column chromatography (CHCl₃/MeOH, 95:5)] gave **64-*R*** (16 mg, 90%). *Step b* (Acylation). DMAP (0.46 mg, 0.0038 mmol) and (*R*)-MTPA-Cl (57.5 mg, 0.22 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of **64-*R*** (30 mg, 0.19 mmol) in pyridine/benzene (3 mL, 1:2) at ambient temperature. After 2 h, volatiles were

evaporated and the residue was partitioned (NaHCO₃/H₂O//EtOAc). The separated organic layer was washed (brine), dried (Na₂SO₄), evaporated, and chromatographed (0 → 15% EtOAc/hexane) to give **76-*R/R*** (53 mg, 73%; ee 96): ¹⁹F NMR δ -69.55 (s, *R/R*, 0.98 F) and -69.15 (s, *S/R*, 0.02 F).

Subjection of racemic **66-*R/S*** to the analogous sequence with (*R*)-MTPA-Cl gave 1:1 (¹⁹F NMR) mixture of **76-*R/R*** and its diastereomer **76-*S/R***.

Ethyl 1,5,6,7,8,9-Hexadeoxy-9(*R/S*)-benzamido-1-(6-*N*-benzoyladenine-9-yl)-2,3-*O*-isopropylidene-β-*D*-ribo-dec-5(*E*)-enofuranuronate (67b). Procedure A. Compounds **61a** (100 mg, 0.25 mmol), **65** (65 mg, 0.25 mmol) and 1,3-[bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-2-imidazolidinylidene]dichloro (isopropoxyphenylmethylene)ruthenium (7.8 mg, 0.0125 mmol) were dissolved in dried CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL) at ambient temperature under N₂ and the resulting mixture was heated overnight at 65 °C (oil bath) in a pressure tube (Ace glass). Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was partitioned (NaHCO₃/H₂O/CHCl₃). The organic layer was washed (brine), dried (Na₂SO₄), evaporated, and chromatographed (50 → 90% EtOAc/hexane) to give **67b** (82 mg, 51%, 9'*R/S* ~ 1:1) and dimer **73** (14 mg, 11%) as a less polar byproduct. **67b**: ¹H NMR δ 1.12 ("dt", *J* = 2.5, 7.1 Hz, 3, CH₃), 1.25 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.48 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.52-2.02 (m, 4H, 7', 7", 8', 8"), 4.06 ("dq", *J* = 3.0, 7.1 Hz, 2, CH₂), 4.54 ("dt", *J* = 2.9, 7.4 Hz, 1, H4'), 4.62 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 0.5, H9'), 4.63 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 0.5, H9'), 4.81-4.86 (m, 1, H3'), 5.39-5.43 (m, 1, H2'), 5.43 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 16.2 Hz, 1, H5'), 5.56 ("dt", *J* = 7.3, 16.4 Hz, 1, H6'), 6.00 (s, 1, H1'), 6.59 ("t", *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1, NH), 7.24-7.30 (m, 2, Ph), 7.32-7.40 (m, 3, Ph), 7.43-7.49 (m, 1, Ph), 7.62-7.67 (m, 2, Ph), 7.87-7.90 (m, 2, Ph), 7.96 (s, 0.5, H2), 7.97 (s, 0.5, H2), 8.66 (s, 0.5, H8), 8.67 (s, 0.5, H8), 9.00 (br s, 1, NH); ¹³C NMR δ 14.2 (CH₃), 25.4

(CH₃), 27.1 (CH₃), 28.0 (C7') 31.66 & 31.75 (C8'), 52.01 & 52.13 (C9'), 61.7 (CH₂), 84.2 (C2'), 84.49 & 84.54 (C3'), 88.04 & 88.09 (C4'), 90.78 & 90.85 (C1'), 114.5 (CMe₂), 123.64 & 123.70 (C5'), 127.0 (Ph), 127.7 (C5), 127.9 (Ph), 128.6 (Ph), 128.8 (Ph), 131.8 (Ph), 132.8 (Ph), 133.5 (C6'), 133.84 (C4), 133.94 (C6), 142.27 & 142.32 (C2), 151.4(C8), 167.0 (Bz), 172.34 (Bz), 172.38 (C10'); MS *m/z* 641 (100%, MH⁺). HRMS calcd. for C₃₄H₃₆N₆O₇ (M + Li⁺) 647.2806, found 647.2809.

Dimer **73** [Diethyl 2,9-bis(benzamido)dec-5-enedioate] separated as a mixture of two isomers (~9:1). The major isomer had: ¹H NMR δ 1.22 ("dt", *J* = 1.2, 7.1 Hz, 6, 2 x CH₃), 1.70-1.85 (m, 2, H3/8), 1.90-2.15 (m, 6, H3',4,4'/7,7',8'), 4.16 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 4, 2 x CH₂), 4.67-4.76 (m, 2, H2/9), 5.40 ("t", *J* = 3.4 Hz, 2, H5/6), 6.62 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2, 2 x NH), 7.35-7.44 (m, 6, Ph), 7.71-7.73 (m, 4, Ph); ¹³CNMR δ 14.6 (CH₃), 28.8 (C4/7), 32.7 (C3/8), 52.6 (C2/9), 61.9 (CH₂), 127.4 (Ph), 128.9 (C5/6), 130.3 (Ph), 132.1 (Ph), 134.4 (Ph), 167.3 (CO), 172.9 (C1/10); MS *m/z* 495 (100%, MH⁺). HRMS calcd for C₂₈H₃₄N₂O₆ (M + Li⁺) 501.2577, found 501.2573.

Ethyl 1,5,6,7,8,9-Hexadeoxy-9(*R/S*)-benzamido-1-(6-*N,N*-dibenzoyladenine-9-yl)-2,3-*O*-isopropylidene-β-D-ribo-dec-5(*E*)-enofuranuronate (67c). Treatment of **61b** (92 mg, 0.18 mmol) with **65** (47 mg, 0.18 mmol) by procedure A [column chromatography (30 → 50 % EtOAc/hexanes)] gave **67c** (80 mg, 60%, 9'*R/S* ~ 1:1) and **73** (16 mg, 18%). **67c**: ¹H NMR δ 1.20 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3, CH₃), 1.32 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.53 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.54-1.69 (m, 2, H8',8"), 1.81-2.10 (m, 2, H7',7"), 4.14 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2, CH₂), 4.63 ("dt", *J* = 3.1, 7.0 Hz, 1, H4'), 4.69 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 0.5, H9'), 4.70 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 0.5, H9'), 4.88 ("dt", *J* = 3.2, 6.3 Hz, 1, H3'), 5.42-5.49 (m, 1, H2'), 5.50 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 15.9 Hz, 1, H5'), 5.59-5.68 (m, 1, H6'), 6.06 (s, 1, H1'), 6.70 ("t", *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1, NH), 7.25-7.45 (m, 9,

Ph), 7.68-7.80 (m, 6, Ph), 8.08 (s, 0.5, H2), 8.09 (s, 0.5, H2), 8.58 (s, 0.5, H8), 8.61 (s, 0.5, H8); ^{13}C NMR δ 14.2 (CH₃), 25.3 (CH₃), 27.0 (CH₃), 28.2 (C7'), 31.7 & 31.9 (C8'), 52.09 & 52.20 (C9'), 61.6 (CH₂), 84.1 (C2'), 84.4 (C3'), 87.8 & 87.9 (C4'), 90.8 (C1'), 114.6 (CMe₂), 127.1 (C5'), 128.6 (Ph), 128.7 (Ph), 128.8 (C5), 129.5 (Ph), 131.7 (Ph), 133.0 (Ph), 134.0 (C6'), 152.0 (C4), 152.3 (C2), 152.5 (C6), 167.10, 167.13, 172.28 (Bz), 172.32 (C10'); MS m/z 745 (100%, MH⁺). HRMS calcd for C₄₁H₄₀N₆O₈ (M + H⁺) 745.2986, found 745.2990.

Ethyl 1,5,6,7,8,9-Hexadeoxy-9(*R/S*)-*tert*-butoxycarbonylamino-1-(6-*N*-benzoyladenine-9-yl)-2,3-*O*-isopropylidene- β -D-ribo-dec-5(*E*)-enofuranuronate (68b).

Treatment of **61a** (36 mg, 0.09 mmol) with **66** (23 mg, 0.09 mmol) by procedure A [column chromatography (20 $\rightarrow$ 50% EtOAc/hexanes)] gave **68b** (35 mg, 61 %, 9'*R/S* ~ 1:1): ^1H NMR δ 1.18 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3, CH₃), 1.34 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.35 (s, 9, Boc), 1.46-1.53 (m, 1, H8''), 1.56 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.68-1.78 (m, 1, H8'), 1.92-2.03 (m, 2, H7', 7''), 4.10 ("dq", J = 2, 2, 7.1 Hz, CH₂), 4.15-4.20 (m, 1, H9'), 4.60-4.65 (m, 1, H4'), 4.92 (dd, J = 3.3, 6.2 Hz, 1, H3'), 4.95-5.00 (m, 1, NH), 5.48 (br d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1, H2'), 5.50 (dd, J = 7.2, 16.1 Hz, 1, H5'), 5.62 (dt, J = 6.7, 15.9 Hz, 1, H6'), 6.08 (br s, 1, H1'), 7.45 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2, Ph), 7.54 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1, Ph), 7.95 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2, Ph), 8.04 (br s, 1, H2), 8.76 (s, 0.5, H8), 8.77 (s, 0.5, H8), 9.03 (br s, 1, NH); MS m/z 637 (100%, MH⁺). HRMS calcd. for C₃₂H₄₀N₆O₈ (M + Li⁺) 643.3068, found 643.3076. Anal. Calcd. for C₃₂H₄₀N₆O₈ (636.29): C, 60.37; H, 6.33; N, 13.20. Found: C, 60.02; H, 6.54; N, 12.88.

Ethyl 1,5,6,7,8,9-Hexadeoxy-9(*R/S*)-*tert*-butoxycarbonylamino-1-(6-*N,N*-dibenzoyladenine-9-yl)-2,3-*O*-isopropylidene- β -D-ribo-dec-5(*E*)-enofuranuronate (68c-*R/S*). Treatment of **61b** (200 mg, 0.4 mmol) with **66** (103 mg, 0.4 mmol) by

procedure A [column chromatography (30 → 50% EtOAc/hexanes)] gave **68c-R/S** (224 mg, 76%, 9'*R/S* ~ 1:1): UV max 270 nm (ϵ 15 000, sh), 250 nm (ϵ 19 000), min 227 nm (ϵ 12 800); ^1H NMR δ 1.23 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3, CH₃), 1.39 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.42 (s, 9, Boc), 1.61 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.58-1.65 (m, 1, H8'), 1.78-1.84 (m, 1, H8''), 2.00-2.09 (m, 2, H7',7''), 4.15 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2, CH₂), 4.20-4.28 (m, 1, H9'), 4.62-4.69 (m, 1, H4'), 4.95 (dd, J = 3.6, 6.2 Hz, 1, H3'), 5.07 ("t", J = 8.5 Hz, 1, NH), 5.43-5.50 (m, 1, H2'), 5.58 (dd, J = 7.3, 15.2 Hz, 1, H5'), 5.73 (dt, J = 6.5, 15.2 Hz, 1, H6'), 6.120 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 0.5, H1'), 6.122 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 0.5, H1'), 7.35 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 4, Ph), 7.49 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2, Ph), 7.85 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 4, Ph), 8.147 (s, 0.5, H2), 8.149 (s, 0.5, H2), 8.68 (s, 1, H8); ^{13}C NMR δ 14.2 (CH₃), 25.3 (CH₃), 27.1 (CH₃), 28.0 (C7'), 28.3 (Boc), 31.76 & 31.80 (C8'), 52.9 (C9'), 61.3 (CH₂), 80.2 (Boc), 84.16 & 84.19 (C2'), 84.33 & 84.37 (C3'), 87.83 & 87.91 (C4'), 90.58 & 90.67 (C1'), 114.7 (CMe₂), 127.47 & 127.51 (C5'), 128.0 (C5), 128.7 (Ph), 129.4 (Ph), 133.0 (Ph), 134.0 (Ph), 134.30 & 134.31 (C6'), 144.0 (C8), 152.0 (C4), 152.3 (C2), 152.4 (C6), 155.3 (Boc), 172.2 (Bz), 172.50 & 172.57 (C10'); MS m/z 741 (100%, MH⁺). HRMS (FAB⁺) calcd for C₃₉H₄₄N₆O₉ (M + H⁺) 741.3248, found 741.3243.

Ethyl 1,5,6,7,8,9-Hexadeoxy-9(*R*)-*tert*-butoxycarbonylamino-1-(6-*N,N*-dibenzoyladenine-9-yl)-2,3-*O*-isopropylidene- β -D-ribo-dec-5(*E*)-enofuranuronate (68c-R**).** Metathesis of **61b** (25 mg, 0.05 mmol) with **66-R** (13 mg, 0.05 mmol) by procedure A [column chromatography (30 → 50% EtOAc/hexanes)] gave **68c-R** (28.5 mg, 77%): ^1H NMR δ 1.23 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3, CH₃), 1.39 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.42 (s, 9, Boc), 1.61 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.58-1.65 (m, 1, H8'), 1.78-1.84 (m, 1, H8''), 2.00-2.09 (m, 2, H7',7''), 4.15 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2, CH₂), 4.20-4.28 (m, 1, H9'), 4.66 (dd, J = 3.4, 7.3 Hz, 1, H4'), 4.95 (dd, J = 3.6, 6.2 Hz, 1, H3'), 5.05 (br d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1, NH), 5.47 (dd, J = 2.2, 6.3 Hz,

1, H2'), 5.58 (dd, $J = 7.3, 15.1$ Hz, 1, H5'), 5.73 (dt, $J = 6.5, 15.3$ Hz, 1, H6'), 6.12 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1, H1'), 7.35 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 4, Ph), 7.49 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2, Ph), 7.85 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 4, Ph), 8.14 (s, 1, H2), 8.68 (s, 1, H8); ^{13}C NMR δ 14.2 (CH₃), 25.3 (CH₃), 27.1 (CH₃), 28.0 (C7'), 28.3 (Boc), 31.80 (C8'), 52.9 (C9'), 61.3 (CH₂), 80.2 (Boc), 84.19 (C2'), 84.37 (C3'), 87.91 (C4'), 90.67 (C1'), 114.7 (CMe₂), 127.51 (C5'), 128.0 (C5), 128.7 (Ph), 129.4 (Ph), 133.0 (Ph), 134.0 (Ph), 134.31 (C6') 144.0 (C8), 152.0 (C4), 152.3 (C2), 152.4 (C6), 155.3 (Boc), 172.2 (Bz), 172.57 (C10'); MS m/z 741 (100%, MH⁺). HRMS (FAB⁺) calcd for C₃₉H₄₄N₆O₉ (M + H⁺) 741.3248, found 741.3246.

Methyl 2-*N*-(*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)-2-aminohex-5-enoate (75-*S*).

Diazomethane¹²⁹ was added to a solution of **74-S** (120 mg, 0.52 mmol) in EtOH (2 mL) at ambient temperature. After 30 min (reaction was monitored by LC/MS), the volatiles were evaporated to give **75-S**¹¹² (118 mg, 93%) as an oily residue: ^1H NMR δ 1.37 (s, 9, *t*-Bu), 1.59-1.69 (m, 1, H3), 1.78-1.91 (m, 1, H3'), 2.00-2.10 (m, 2, H4,4'), 3.67 (s, 3, OCH₃), 4.22 ("q", $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1, H2), 4.93 (br d, $J = 10.2$, 1, H6'), 4.97 (dq, $J = 17.1, 1.5$ Hz, 1, H6), 4.99 (br s, 1, NH), 5.83 (ddt, $J = 17.0, 10.2, 6.7$ Hz, 1, H5), ^{13}C NMR δ 27.3 (Boc), 28.4 (C4), 30.9 (C3), 51.2 (OCH₃), 51.9 (C2), 78.8 (Boc), 114.6 (C6), 135.9 (C5), 154.3 (CO), 172.3 (C1); MS m/z 244 (100% MH⁺).

Methyl 1,5,6,7,8,9-Hexadeoxy-9(*S*)-*tert*-butoxycarbonylamino-1-(6-*N,N*-dibenzoyladenine-9-yl)-2,3-*O*-isopropylidene- β -D-ribo-dec-5(*E*)-enofuranuronate (77-*S*). Metathesis of **61b** (200 mg, 0.39 mmol) with **75-S** (95 mg, 0.39 mmol) by procedure A [column chromatography (30 $\rightarrow$ 50% EtOAc/hexanes)] gave **77-S** (218 mg, 77%): ^1H NMR δ 1.40 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.44 (s, 9, *t*-Bu), 1.61 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.56-1.63 (m, 1, H8"), 1.75-1.86 (m, 1, H8'), 2.00-2.11 (m, 2, H7',7"), 3.71 (s, 3, OMe), 4.27 ("q", $J = 6.7$ Hz, 1, H9'),

4.67 (dd, $J = 6.8, 3.1$ Hz, 1, H4'), 4.95 (dd, $J = 6.2, 3.5$ Hz, 1, H3'), 5.11 (br d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1, NH), 5.50 (dd, $J = 6.4, 2.0$ Hz, 1, H2'), 5.54 (dd, $J = 15.3, 7.2$ Hz, 1, H5'), 5.68 (dt, $J = 15.0, 6.4$ Hz, 1, H6'), 6.15 (d, $J = 1.9$ Hz, 1, H1'), 7.35 (t, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 4, Ph), 7.48 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2, Ph), 7.85 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 4, Ph), 8.17 (s, 1, H2), 8.69 (s, 1, H8); ^{13}C NMR δ 25.3 (CH₃), 27.1 (CH₃), 27.9 (C7'), 28.3 (Boc), 31.7 (C8'), 52.3 (OMe), 52.9 (C9'), 79.9 (Boc), 84.1 (C2'), 84.3 (C3'), 87.8 (C4'), 90.5 (C1'), 114.7 (CMe₂), 127.5 (C5'), 128.0 (C5), 128.7 (Ph), 129.4 (Ph), 133.0 (Ph), 133.9 (Ph), 134.1 (C6'), 144.1 (C8), 152.0 (C4), 152.3 (C2), 152.4 (C6), 155.3 (Boc), 172.2 (CO), 172.9 (C10'); MS m/z 727 (100%, MH⁺). HRMS calcd for C₃₈H₄₂N₆O₉ (M + H⁺) 727.3092, found 727.3099.

Methyl 1,5,6,7,8,9-Hexadeoxy-9(*S*)-*tert*-butoxycarbonylamino-1-(adenin-9-yl)-2,3-*O*- isopropylidene- β -D-ribo-dec-5(*E*)-enofuranuronate (69-*S*). Procedure B: Saturated (~ 0 °C) NH₃/MeOH (3 mL) was added to a solution of **77-*S*** (210 mg, 0.29 mmol) in MeOH (3 mL) at 5 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 48 h at 0 °C. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was column chromatographed (50 $\rightarrow$ 95% EtOAc/hexanes) to give **69-*S*** (136 mg, 91%): ^1H NMR δ 1.35 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.37 (s, 9, Boc), 1.55 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.52-1.60 (m, 1, H8"), 1.69-1.80 (m, 1, H8'), 1.90-2.00 (m, 2, H7',7"), 3.65 (s, 3, OMe), 4.20 ("q", $J = 7.0$ Hz, 1, H9'), 4.56 (dd, $J = 3.4, 7.1$ Hz, 1, H4'), 4.89 (dd, $J = 3.4, 6.1$ Hz, 1, H3'), 5.05 (br d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1, NH), 5.44 (d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 1, H2'), 5.53 (dd, $J = 7.2, 15.3$ Hz, 1, H5'), 5.61 (dt, $J = 15.3, 6.2$ Hz, 1, H6'), 6.01 (d, $J = 1.9$ Hz, 1, H1'), 6.35 (br s, 2, NH₂), 7.83 (s, 1, H2), 8.29 (s, 1, H8); ^{13}C NMR δ 25.3 (CH₃), 27.1 (CH₃), 27.9 (C7'), 28.3 (Boc), 31.7 (C8'), 52.3 (OMe), 52.9 (C9'), 79.9 (Boc), 84.2 (C2'), 84.5 (C3'), 87.8 (C4'), 90.4 (C1'), 114.5 (CMe₂), 120.3 (C5), 127.8 (C5'), 133.7 (C6'), 140.0 (C8), 149.9 (C4), 152.5 (C2), 155.2 (Boc), 155.3 (C6), 173.1 (C10');

MS m/z 519 (100%, MH^+). HRMS calcd for $C_{24}H_{34}N_6O_7$ ($M + H^+$) 519.2567, found 519.2564.

Methyl 9(*S*)-Amino-1,5,6,7,8,9-hexadeoxy-1-(adenin-9-yl)- β -D-ribo-dec-5(*E*)-enofuranuronate (71a-*S*). **Procedure C:** A solution of **69-S** (130 mg, 0.25 mmol) in CF_3CO_2H/H_2O (9:1, 3 mL) was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was coevaporated (3 $\times$ toluene), and purified on RP-HPLC column [CH_3CN/H_2O (10:90) for 15 min followed by gradient 10 $\rightarrow$ 25% CH_3CN/H_2O for 35 min at 2 mL/min] to give **71a-S** (85 mg, 90%; t_R 24 min): UV max 260 nm (ϵ 14 700), min 227 nm (ϵ 3 250); 1H NMR ($MeOH-d_4$) δ 1.65-1.78 (m, 1, $H8''$), 1.79-1.89 (m, 1, $H8'$), 2.07-2.18 (m, 2, $H7',7''$), 3.61 (t, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 1, $H9'$), 3.66 (s, 3, OMe), 4.13 (t, $J = 5.1$ Hz, 1, $H3'$), 4.32 (t, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 1, $H4'$), 4.64 (t, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 1, $H2'$), 5.70-5.73 (m, 2, $H5',6'$), 5.89 (d, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 1, $H1'$), 8.11 (s, 1, $H2$), 8.13 (s, 1, $H8$); ^{13}C NMR ($MeOH-d_4$) δ 28.9 ($C7'$), 33.3 ($C8'$), 52.9 (OMe), 54.0 ($C9'$), 75.03 ($C2'$), 75.66 ($C3'$), 86.29 ($C4'$), 90.2 ($C1'$), 120.6 ($C5$), 130.4 ($C5'$), 134.1 ($C6'$), 141.3 ($C8$), 150.6 ($C4$), 153.9 ($C2$), 157.3 ($C6$), 174.7 ($C10'$); MS m/z 379 (100%, MH^+). HRMS calcd. for $C_{16}H_{22}N_6O_5$ ($M + H^+$) 379.1730, found 379.1724.

Methyl 9(*R/S*)-Amino-1,5,6,7,8,9-hexadeoxy-1-(adenin-9-yl)- β -D-ribo-dec-5(*E*)-enofuranuronate (71a) and Ethyl 9(*R/S*)-Amino-1,5,6,7,8,9-hexadeoxy-1-(adenin-9-yl)- β -D-ribo-dec-5(*E*)-enofuranuronate (71b). *Step a* (Debenzoylation): Treatment of **68c-R/S** (100 mg, 0.13 mmol) with $NH_3/MeOH$ (3 mL) by procedure B gave mixture of **69** and **70** ($\sim 3:2$; 63 mg, $\sim 92\%$, $9'R/S \sim 1:1$): MS m/z 519 (100%, MH^+ ; **69**), 533 (80%, MH^+ ; **70**); HRMS calcd for **69** $C_{24}H_{34}N_6O_7$ ($M + H^+$), 519.2567, found 519.2562; calcd for **70** $C_{25}H_{36}N_6O_7$ ($M + H^+$) 533.2724, found 533.2718. *Step b* (Acid

deprotection): Treatment of the above material **69/70** (63 mg) with CF₃CO₂H/H₂O (9:1, 4 mL) by procedure C gave **71a** (23 mg, 47% from **68c**; *t*_R 23 min) and **71b** (15 mg, 29%; *t*_R 25 min). **71a**: ¹H NMR (MeOH-*d*₄) δ 1.65-1.95 (m, 2, H8',8''), 2.07-2.22 (m, 2, H7',7''), 3.59-3.65 (m, 1, H9'), 3.66 (s, 3, OMe), 4.13 (t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1, H3'), 4.30-4.39 (m, 1, H4'), 4.64 (t, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1, H2'), 5.70-5.74 (m, 2, H5',6'), 5.89 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1, H1'), 8.11 (br s, 1, H2), 8.13 (s, 1, H8); ¹³C NMR (MeOH-*d*₄) δ 28.9 (C7'), 33.3 (C8'), 52.9 (OMe), 54.0 (C9'), 75.03 & 75.10 (C2'), 75.66 & 75.68 (C3'), 86.29 & 86.35 (C4'), 90.2 (C1'), 120.6 (C5), 130.4 (C5'), 134.1 (C6'), 141.3 (C8), 150.6 (C4), 153.9 (C2), 157.3 (C6), 174.7 (C10'); HRMS calcd. for C₁₆H₂₂N₆O₅ (M + H⁺) 379.1730, found 379.1724. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₆H₂₂.N₆O₅ (378.38): C, 50.79; H, 5.86; N, 22.21. Found: C, 51.11; H, 6.20; N, 21.85. **71b**: UV max 260 nm (ε 13 900), min 228 nm (ε 3600); ¹H NMR (MeOH-*d*₄) δ 1.22 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3, CH₃), 1.81-1.96 (m, 2, H8',H8''), 2.10-2.21 (m, 2, H7',7''), 3.88 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1, H9'), 4.15-4.21 (m, 3, H3', CH₂), 4.33 ("t", *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1, H4'), 4.64-4.67 (m, 1, H2'), 5.68-5.76 (m, 2, H5',6'), 5.90 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1, H1'), 8.11 (s, 1, H2), 8.13 (br s, 1, H8); ¹³C NMR (MeOH-*d*₄) δ 14.3 (CH₃), 28.5 (C7'), 31.2 (C8'), 53.4 (C9'), 63.5 (CH₂), 74.89 & 74.94 (C2'), 75.6 (C3'), 86.09 & 86.14 (C4'), 90.33 & 90.37 (C1'), 120.7 (C5), 130.98 & 131.00 (C5'), 133.09 & 133.25 (C6'), 141.4 (C8), 150.6 (C4), 153.9 (C2), 157.4 (C6), 170.8 (C10'). HRMS calc. for C₁₇H₂₄N₆O₅ (M + H⁺) 393.1886, found 393.1881. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₇H₂₄.N₆O₅ (392.18): C, 52.03; H, 6.16; N, 21.42. Found: C, 52.32; H, 6.54; N, 20.99.

9(*R/S*)-Amino-1,5,6,7,8,9-hexadeoxy-1-(adenin-9-yl)-β-D-ribo-dec-5(*E*)-enofuranuronic acid (72). Procedure D. NaOH/H₂O (1M, 0.5 mL) was added to a solution of **71b** (25 mg, 0.06 mmol) in MeOH (4 mL) and stirring was continued at

ambient temperature overnight. The resulting mixture was neutralized with AcOH to pH ~7. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was purified by RP-HPLC [CH₃CN/H₂O (5:95), *t_R* 15 min] to give **72** (17 mg, 80%): ¹H NMR (MeOH-*d*₄) δ 1.87-1.95 (m, 1, H8'), 1.96-2.05 (m, 1, H8''), 2.22-2.30 (m, 2, H7',7''), 3.53 (dd, *J* = 2.4, 6.1 Hz, 0.5, H9'), 3.55 (dd, *J* = 2.4, 6.1 Hz, 0.5, H9''), 4.24 (t, *J* = 5.2, 0.5, H3'), 4.26 (t, *J* = 5.2, 0.5, H3''), 4.42 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1, H4'), 4.74 (t, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1, H2'), 5.85 (ddd, *J* = 1.8, 6.0, 15.7 Hz, 1, H6'), 5.81 (dd, *J* = 6.3, 15.7 Hz, 1, H5'), 6.02 (d, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1, H1'), 8.23 (s, 1, H2), 8.26 (s, 0.5, H8), 8.27 (s, 0.5, H8); MS *m/z* 365 (100%, MH⁺). HRMS calcd. for C₁₅H₂₀N₆O₅ (M + H⁺) 365.1573 found 365.1568

Note: Treatment of **71a** (15 mg, 0.04 mmol) with NaOH (1M, 0.4 mL) by procedure D also gave **72** (11.8 mg, 82%).

9(*R/S*)-Amino-5-bromo-1,5,6,7,8,9-hexadeoxy-1-(adenin-9-yl)-β-D-ribo-dec-5(*Z*)-enofuranuronic acid (82). Procedure E: Step a (Bromination): Pyridinium tribromide (40 mg, 0.12 mmol) was added to a solution of **68c-R/S (62 mg, 0.083 mmol) in dioxane and the resulting mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 8 h. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was partitioned (NaHCO₃//H₂O/EtOAc). The organic layer was washed (brine), dried (Na₂SO₄) and evaporated to give crude **78** of sufficient purity for direct use in next step: MS *m/z* 903 (55%, MH⁺[⁸¹Br₂]), 901 (100%, MH⁺[^{81/79}Br₂]), 899 (50%, MH⁺[⁷⁹Br₂]). Step b (Dehydrobromination): DBU (0.3 mL) was added to a solution of the crude **78** in dried THF (3 mL) and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was partitioned (NaHCO₃//H₂O/EtOAc). The organic layer was washed (brine), dried (Na₂SO₄), evaporated and chromatographed (30 → 90% EtOAc/Hexane) to give **79b** {48**

mg, 70% from **68c**; MS m/z 821 (100%, $MH^+[^{81}Br]$), 819 (95%, $MH^+[^{79}Br]$) and the corresponding N^6 monobenzoylated product **79a** {12 mg, 20% from **68c**; MS m/z 717 (100%, $MH^+[^{81}Br]$), 715 (95%, $MH^+[^{79}Br]$)}. *Step c* (Debenzoylation): Treatment of **79b** and the N^6 monobenzoylated material **79a** from step b with $NH_3/MeOH$ at 0 °C by procedure B (24 h) gave **80** as a ~1:1 mixture of methyl and ethyl esters of sufficient purity for direct use in next step: MS m/z 599 (100%, $MH^+[^{81}Br]$), 597 (99%, $MH^+[^{79}Br]$) for **80** (R = Me) and 613 (97%, $MH^+[^{81}Br]$), 611 (95%, $MH^+[^{79}Br]$) for **80** (R = Et). *Step d* (Acid deprotection): Treatment of **80** with CF_3CO_2H/H_2O (9:1, 3 mL) by procedure C gave **81** (33 mg, t_R 22 min) as ~1:1 mixture of methyl and ethyl esters: MS m/z 459 (99%, $MH^+[^{81}Br]$), 457 (100%, $MH^+[^{79}Br]$) for **81** (R = Me) and 473 (86%, $MH^+[^{81}Br]$), 471 (83%, $MH^+[^{79}Br]$) for **81** (R = Et). *Step e* (Saponification): Treatment of **81** (33 mg) with NaOH (0.5 mL, 1M) by procedure D gave **82** (20 mg, 54% overall yield from **68c**; t_R 19 min): UV max 259 nm (ϵ 14 100), min 227 nm (ϵ 4 100); 1H NMR ($MeOH-d_4$) δ 1.86 -2.09 (m, 2, H8',8''), 2.39-2.49 (m, 2, H7',7''), 3.58-3.61 (m, 1, H9'), 4.52 ("q", J = 5.7 Hz, 1, H3'), 4.67-4.70 (m, 1, H2'), 4.98 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 0.5, H4'), 5.01 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 0.5, H4'), 6.11 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1, H1'), 6.40 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1, H6'), 8.23 (s, 1, H2), 8.39 (s, 0.5, H8), 8.40 (s, 0.5, H8); ^{13}C NMR ($MeOH-d_4$) δ 26.7 & 26.9 (C7'), 32.06 (C8'), 55.35 & 55.42 (C9'), 74.15 & 74.33 (C3'), 75.43 & 75.48 (C2'), 81.35 & 81.52 (C4'), 90.00 & 90.29 (C1'), 120.1 (C5), 125.13 & 125.15 (C5'), 138.7 (C6'), 140.72 & 140.79 (C8), 150.57 & 150.63 (C4), 154.0 (C2), 157.4 (C6), 174.0 (C10'); MS m/z 445 (100%, $MH^+[^{81}Br]$), 443 (98%, $MH^+[^{79}Br]$). HRMS calcd for $C_{15}H_{19}^{79}BrN_6O_5$ ($M + H^+$) 443.0678, found 443.0673.

Diethyl fluoro(phenylsulfonyl)methylphosphonate. *Step a: Oxidation.* A solution of oxone (20.11 g, 32.7 mmol, 50% reagent) in deionized-H₂O (150 mL) was added slowly to a diethyl (phenylthiomethyl)phosphonate (2.00 g, 7.9 mmol) dissolved in MeOH (40 mL) at 0 °C. A white precipitate was formed immediately and the heterogeneous reaction was left stirring at ambient temperature for 4 h. The volatiles were evaporated and the residue was partitioned between H₂O/CHCl₃ to give diethyl (phenylsulfonyl)methylphosphonate (2.10 g, 92%). *Step b: Fluorination.* LHMDs (1M, 9.16 mL, 9.16 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of diethyl (phenylsulfonyl)methylphosphonate (2.10 g, 7.32 mmol) in dried THF (25 mL) under N₂ at – 78 °C. After 30 min Selectfluor (3.90 g, 11.0 mmol) was added and the heterogeneous reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min. DMF (15 mL) was added and the resulting yellow solution was allowed to warm to 0 °C and stirring was continued for 3.0 h. CHCl₃ (10 mL) and saturated NH₄Cl/H₂O (10 mL) were added and the volatiles were evaporated. The residue was partitioned (NaHCO₃/H₂O//CHCl₃) and the organic layer was washed (brine), dried (Na₂SO₄), evaporated and chromatographed (90:10 EtOAc/hexanes) to give diethyl fluoro(phenylsulfonyl)methyl phosphonate¹³⁰ (1.9 g, 85%).

(*E/Z*)-1-Fluoro-2-phenyl-1-(phenylsulfonyl)ethene (84a). **Procedure F.** LHMDs (1.0 M/THF, 2.0 mL, 2.0 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of diethyl fluoro(phenylsulfonyl)methylphosphonate (0.5 g, 1.61 mmol) in dried THF (8 mL) under N₂ at –78 °C. After 30 min, **83a** (0.18 mL, 0.19 g, 1.77 mmol) was added and the resulting yellow solution was allowed to warm to –30 °C over 1.5 h. Saturated NH₄Cl/H₂O (~ 1 mL) was added, volatiles were evaporated and the residue was

partitioned (NaHCO₃/H₂O//CHCl₃). The organic layer was washed (brine), dried (Na₂SO₄), evaporated and chromatographed (CHCl₃) to give **84a**¹³¹ (*E/Z*, 95:5; 0.36 g, 85%). Crystallization of the crude product (without chromatography) from MeOH also afforded **84a** (*E/Z*, 95:5).

(*E/Z*)-1-Fluoro-4-(phenylsulfonyl)-1-butene (84b). Subjection of **83b** (0.23 mL, 0.24 g, 1.77 mmol) to procedure F gave **84b**¹³² (*E/Z*, 71:29; 0.42 g, 90%).

(*E/Z*)-1-Fluoro-3-benzyloxy-1-(phenylsulfonyl)-1-propene (84c). Subjection of **83c** (0.1 mL, 0.10 g, 0.71 mmol) to procedure F, gave **84c** (*E/Z*, 63:37; 0.18 g, 92%): ¹H NMR δ 4.24 (m, 2, H₃, *E*), 4.54 (s, 2, Bz) (*E*), 4.60 (s, 2, Bz) (*Z*), 4.68 (m, 2, H₃), (*Z*), 6.03 (dt, *J* = 21.9, 4.9 Hz, 1, H₂) (*Z*), 6.40 (dt, *J* = 32.7, 5.0 Hz, 1, H₂) (*E*), 7.30- 7.45 (m, 5, Ph), 7.58 (t, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 2, Ph), 7.70-7.76 (m, 1, Ph), 7.95 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2, Ph); ¹³C NMR δ: 62.78 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 3.1 Hz, CH₂, C3, *Z*), 63.39 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 6.1 Hz, CH₂, C3, *E*), 128.31 (CH, Ph, *E*), 128.40 (CH, Ph), 128.47 (CH, Ph), 128.78 (CH, Ph), 128.87 (CH, Ph), 128.97 (CH, Ph), 129.17 (CH, Ph), 129.986 (CH, Ph), 135.11 (CH, Ph), 135.25 (CH, Ph), 137.45 (CH, Ph), 137.66 (CH, Ph), 137.97 (CH, Ph), 138.08 (CH, Ph), 151.57 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 292.7 Hz, CF, C1, *Z*), 153.93 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 299.7, CF, C1, *E*); ¹⁹F NMR δ -114.91 (d, *J* = 22.6 Hz, 0.37F, *Z*), -123.42 (d, *J* = 33.9 Hz, 0.63F, *E*); GC-MS *m/z* 306 [2%, M⁺; *t*_R = 25.27 min (*Z*) and 25.86 min (*E*)]. HRMS(AP-ESI) Calcd for C₁₆H₁₅FO₃S (M+H⁺): 307.0804; Found: 307.0801

(*E/Z*)-1-Fluoro-2-phenyl-1-(phenylsulfonyl)-1-propene (84d). Subjection of **83d** (0.21 mL, 0.21 g, 1.77 mmol) to procedure F, gave **84d**¹³¹ (*E/Z*, 42:58; 0.37 g, 90%). Column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 85:15) gave fractions enriched in each isomers.

(*E/Z*)-1-Fluoro-2-phenyl-1-(tributyltin)ethene (85a). Procedure G. Argon was bubbled through a solution of **84a** (*E/Z*, 95:5; 490 mg, 1.87 mmol) in anhydrous benzene (10 mL) for 15 min. Bu₃SnH (0.5 mL, 544 mg, 1.87 mmol) and AIBN (76.7 mg, 0.46 mmol) were added and degassing of oxygen was continued for another 10 min. The solution was then heated (85 °C, oil bath) for 2h [additional AIBN (38 mg, 0.23 mmol) and Bu₃SnH (1.87 mmol, 0.5 mL) in degassed benzene (2 mL) was injected through a septum via a precision syringe pump or periodically by manual injection over the 2 h period]. The volatiles were evaporated and the residue was chromatographed (hexane) to give **85a**¹³¹ (*E/Z*, 95:5; 740 mg, 96%).

(*E/Z*)-1-Fluoro-4-phenyl-1-(tributyltin)-1-butene (85b). Treatment of **84b** (*E/Z*, 71:29; 690 mg, 2.37 mmol) with Bu₃SnH (0.636 mL, 689 mg, 2.37 mmol) and AIBN (97 mg, 0.59 mmol) by procedure G gave **85b**¹³² (*E/Z*, 86:14; 976 mg, 93 %): ¹⁹F NMR δ -99.36 (d, *J* = 37.6 Hz, dd, *J*_{Sn-F} = 263 Hz, 0.14F, *Z*), -102.9 (d, *J* = 52.7 Hz, dd, *J*_{Sn-F} = 229 Hz, 0.86 Hz, *E*).

Note: Careful separation on column chromatography (hexane) gave partially separated isomers of **85b**(*E*) (450 mg, 43%) followed by **85b** (*E/Z*, 15:85; 520 mg, 50%).

(*E/Z*)-1-Fluoro-3-benzyloxy-1-(tributyltin)-1-propene (85c). Treatment of **84c** (*E/Z*, 63:37; 252 mg, 0.87 mmol) with Bu₃SnH (0.23 mL, 252 mg, 0.87 mmol) and AIBN (71 mg, 0.43 mmol) by procedure G gave **85c** (*E/Z*, 77:23; 352 mg, 92%): ¹H NMR δ 0.90-0.97 (m, 9H, Bu), 1.00-1.03 (m, 6H, Bu), 1.29 (q, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 6H, Bu), 1.50-1.61 (m, 6H, Bu), 3.91 (d, *J* = 7.47 Hz, 2, H₃, *Z*), 4.20 (d, *J* = 6.61 Hz, 2, H₃, *E*), 4.50 (s, 2, CH₂, *E/Z*), 5.05 (dt, *J* = 6.8, 46.64 Hz, 1, H₂, *E*), 6.05 (dt, *J* = 3.2, 39.5 Hz, 1, H₂, *Z*), 7.30-7.40 (m, 10, Ph); ¹⁹F NMR δ -92.71 (dd, *J*_{Sn-F} = 240.9 Hz, 16%, *Z*), -93.03 (d, *J* =

35.4 Hz, 84%, 0.23F, *Z*), -97.94 (dd, $J_{\text{Sn-F}} = 233.4$ Hz, 16%, *E*); -98.25 (d, $J = 53.5$ Hz, 84% 0.77F, *E*); GC-MS m/z 399 [18%, $\text{M}^+ - \text{Bu}$ [^{120}Sn]; $t_{\text{R}} = 25.59$ min (*Z*) and 26.18 min (*E*)]. HRMS(AP-ESI) Calcd for $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{37}\text{FO}^{120}\text{Sn}$ ($\text{M} + \text{Na}^+$): 479.1748; Found: 479.1747.

(*E/Z*)-1-Fluoro-2-phenyl-1-(tributyltin)-1-propene (85d). Treatment of **84d** (*E/Z*, 48:52; 380 mg, 1.38 mmol) with Bu_3SnH (0.37 mL, 400 mg, 1.38 mmol) and AIBN (56 mg, 0.34 mmol) by procedure G gave **85d**¹³¹ (*E/Z*, 45:55; 555 mg, 95 %).

(*E/Z*)-1-Fluoro-1-iodo-2-phenylethene (86a). **Procedure H.** A solution of NIS (273 mg, 1.22 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) was added to **85a** (*E/Z*, 95:5; 400 mg, 0.97 mmol) dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) at -20°C . The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0°C over 30 min and NaHSO_3 (~0.5 mL) was added to decolorize the reaction mixture. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was partitioned ($\text{NaHCO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$). The organic layer was washed (brine), dried (Na_2SO_4), evaporated and chromatographed (hexane $\rightarrow$ 15% EtOAc/hexane) to give **86a**¹³³ (*E/Z*, 95:5; 229 mg, 95%): ^{19}F NMR δ -60.02 (d, $J = 18.4$ Hz, 0.05F, *Z*), -62.90 (d, $J = 36.9$ Hz, 0.95F, *E*).

(*E/Z*)-1-Fluoro-1-iodo-4-phenyl-1-butene (86b). Treatment of **85b** (*E/Z*, 86:14; 380 mg, 0.87 mmol) with NIS (0.25 g, 1.10 mmol) by procedure H gave **86b**¹³³ (*E/Z*, 78:22; 221 mg, 94%): ^{19}F NMR δ -66.26 (d, $J = 16.9$ Hz, 0.22F, *Z*), -70.21 (d, $J = 34.6$ Hz, 0.78F, *E*).

Analogous treatment of **85b**(*E*) (450 mg, 1.02 mmol) gave **86b**(*E*) (252 mg, 91%).

Analogous treatment of **85b** (*E/Z*, 15:85; 520 mg, 1.18 mmol) gave **86b** (*E/Z*, 15:85; 295 mg, 92%).

(*E/Z*)-3-Benzyloxy-1-fluoro-1-iodo-1-propene (86c). Treatment of **85c** (*E/Z*, 77:23; 400 mg, 0.88 mmol) with NIS (246 mg, 1.09 mmol) by procedure H gave **86c** (*E/Z*, 67:33; 236 mg, 0.80 mmol, 92%). ¹H NMR δ 3.91 (dd, *J* = 1.9, 7.1 Hz, 2, H₃, *Z*), 4.01 (dd, *J* = 2.9, 7.1 Hz, 2, H₃, *E*), 4.42 (s, 2, CH₂O, *E*), 4.43 (s, 2, CH₂O, *Z*), 5.44 (dt, *J* = 7.1, 34.0 Hz, 1, H₂, *E*), 5.67 (dt, *J* = 7.1, 16.1 Hz, 1, H₂, *Z*), 7.21-7.30 (m, 5, Ph). ¹³C NMR δ: 63.62 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 4.8 Hz, CH₂, C₃, *Z*), 68.80 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 7.1 Hz, CH₂, C₃, *E*), 72.29 (CH₂O, *E/Z*), 115.19 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 12.4 Hz, C, C₁, *E/Z*), 120.19 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 7.5 Hz, CH, C₂, *E/Z*), 127.83 (CH, Ph, *E/Z*), 128.45 (CH, Ph, *E/Z*), 137.71 (CH, Ph, *E/Z*). ¹⁹F NMR δ: -59.92 (dt, *J* = 2.1, 16.3 Hz, *Z*), -65.18 (dt, *J* = 2.7, 33.9 Hz). GC-MS *m/z* 292 [2%, M⁺; *t*_R = 15.09 min (*Z*) and 15.48 min (*E*)]. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₀H₁₀FIO (291.97): C, 41.12; H, 3.45; Found: C, 41.56; H, 3.87.

(*E/Z*)-1-Fluoro-1-iodo-2-phenyl-1-propene (86d). Treatment of **85d** (*E/Z*, 45:55; 450 mg, 1.06 mmol) with NIS (300 mg, 1.32 mmol) by procedure H gave **86d** (*E/Z*, 49:51; 263 mg, 95%). ¹H NMR δ: 2.18 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1, H₃, *E*), 2.21 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1, H₃, *Z*), 7.28 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.31 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.36-7.45 (m, 6H, Ph). ¹⁹F NMR δ -68.27 Hz (s, *E*), -68.81 (s, *Z*); GC-MS *m/z* 262 [100%, M⁺; *t*_R = 10.96 min (*Z*) and 12.31 min (*E*)]. HRMS Calcd. for C₉H₈FI (M⁺): 261.9655; Found: 261.9662.

(*E/Z*)-1-Bromo-1-fluoro-2-phenylethene (87a). Treatment of **85a** (*E/Z*, 95:5; 800 mg, 1.95 mmol) with NBS (430 mg, 2.43 mmol) by procedure H (using NBS instead of NIS) gave **87a**¹³⁴ (*E/Z*, 93:7; 390 mg, 100 %): ¹H NMR δ 6.00 (d, *J* = 32.9 Hz, 0.93, H₂, *E*), 6.70 (d, *J* = 15.1 Hz, 0.07, H₂, *Z*), 7.20 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2, Ph), 7.30 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1, Ph), 7.45 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2, Ph); ¹⁹F NMR δ -69.5 (d, *J* = 32.7 Hz; 0.93F, *E*), -65.5 (d, *J* = 15.1 Hz, 0.07F, *Z*).

(*E/Z*)-1-Fluoro-1-bromo-3-benzyloxy-1-propene (87c). Treatment of **85c** (*E/Z*, 77:23; 250 mg, 0.55 mmol) with NBS (122 mg, 0.68 mmol) by procedure H (using NBS instead of NIS) gave **87c** (*E/Z*, 77:23; 125 mg, 93%): ^1H NMR δ 3.97 (dd, $J = 1.9$, 7.1 Hz, 2, H3, *Z*), 4.00 (dd, $J = 2.6$, 7.3 Hz, 2, H3, *E*), 4.42 (s, CH₂O, 2, *E*), 4.43 (s, CH₂O, 2, *Z*), 5.19 (dt, $J = 7.3$, 30.5 Hz, 1, H2, *E*), 5.64 (dt, $J = 7.1$, 12.2 Hz, 1, H2, *Z*), 7.23-7.28 (m, 5, Ph). ^{13}C NMR δ : 63.17 (CH₂, C3, *E*), 63.20 (CH₂, C3, *Z*), 66.30 (CH₂, C3, *Z*), 72.28 (CH₂O, *E/Z*), 107.33 (C, C1, *E*), 107.49 (C, C1, *Z*), 109.89 (CH, C2, *Z*), 110.00 (CH, C2, *E*), 127.83 (CH, Ph, *E/Z*), 128.46 (CH, Ph, *E/Z*), 129.69 (C, Ph, *E/Z*); ^{19}F NMR δ -66.05 (dd, $J = 2.2$, 13.5 Hz, *Z*), -70.41 (dt, $J = 2.3$, 30.9 Hz, *E*). GC-MS m/z 245 [2%, M^+ ; $t_{\text{R}} = 13.11$ min (*Z*) and 13.43 min (*E*). HRMS(AP-ESI) Calcd for C₁₀H₁₀⁷⁹BrFO ($\text{M}+\text{H}^+$): 244.9977; Found: 244.9970.

(*E/Z*)-1-Chloro-1-fluoro-2-phenylethene (88a). Compound **85a** (*E/Z*, 95:5; 50 mg, 0.12 mmol) was dissolved in dried CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and the temperature was adjusted to -50 °C. Chlorine gas was bubbled through the solution over 5 min. until a light yellow solution was obtained and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was chromatographed (hexane → 5% EtOAc/hexane) to give **88a**¹⁹ (*E/Z*, 93:7; 13 mg, 70%): ^1H NMR δ 5.80 (d, $J = 31.0$ Hz, 1, H2, *E*), 6.30 (d, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 1, H2, *Z*), 7.20 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2, Bz), 7.30 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1, Bz), 7.45 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2, Bz); ^{19}F NMR δ -74.0 (d, $J = 30.5$ Hz), -71.5 (d, $J = 12.8$ Hz).

Ethyl 5-Fluoro-6-phenyl-5(*Z*)-hexenoate (89a). **Procedure I.** 4-Ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.60 mL, 0.30 mmol) was added *via* syringe to a stirring solution of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5; 50 mg, 0.20 mmol) in dried benzene (5 mL) containing Pd(PPh₃)₄ (23 mg, 0.02 mmol) under N₂. Additional Pd(PPh₃)₄ (10 mg, 0.009 mmol) and

4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.270 mL, 0.135 mmol) were added to the reaction mixture over the 8 h period. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was partitioned (NaHCO₃/H₂O//EtOAc). The organic layer was washed (brine), dried (Na₂SO₄), evaporated and chromatographed (hexane → 15% EtOAc/hexane) to give **89a**(*Z*) (33 mg, 70%; 73% based on *E* isomer only): ¹H NMR δ 1.26 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3, OEt, 3H), 1.95 (quint, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2, H3), 2.40 (m, 4, H2, H4), 4.10 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2, OEt), 5.50 (d, *J* = 39.4 Hz, 1, H6), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1, Ph), 7.30 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2, Ph), 7.40 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, Ph); ¹³C NMR δ 14.64 (OEt), 22.05 (C3), 32.34 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 26.9 Hz, C4), 33.55 (C2), 60.82 (OEt), 106.92 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 8.5 Hz, C6), 127.21 (Ph), 128.74 (Ph), 128.88 (Ph), 133.98 (Ph), 158.93 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 266.7 Hz, C5), 173.52 (CO); ¹⁹F NMR δ -102.2 (dt, *J* = 18.8 Hz, 41.4 Hz); MS *m/z* 237 (100%, MH⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₄H₁₇FO₂ (236.12): C, 71.16; H, 7.25; Found: C, 70.80; H, 7.16.

Treatment (2 h) of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5; 50 mg, 0.20 mmol) with PdCl₂(dppb) (6.0 mg, 0.01 mmol) and 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.74 mL, 0.37 mmol) as described in procedure I gave **89a** (*Z*) (44 mg, 93%, 97% based on *E* isomer).

Treatment of **87a** (*E/Z*, 93:7; 50 mg, 0.25 mmol) with Pd(PPh₃)₄ (23 mg, 0.25 mmol) and 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.74 mL, 0.37 mmol) as described in procedure I gave **89a** (*Z*) (41 mg, 70 %, 75% based on *E* isomer).

Treatment of **88a** (*E/Z*, 93:7; 120 mg, 0.77 mmol) with Pd(PPh₃)₄ (77 mg, 0.06 mmol) and 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 2.30 mL, 1.15 mmol) as described in procedure I gave **89a** (*Z*) (145 mg, 80%; 86% based on *E* isomer).

Ethyl 5-Fluoro-8-phenyl-5(*Z*)-octenoate (89b). Treatment of **86b** (*E/Z*, 78:22; 50 mg, 0.18 mmol) with Pd(PPh₃)₄ (20 mg, 0.018 mmol) and 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc

bromide (0.5 M, 0.54 mL, 0.27 mmol) in dried benzene (5 mL) as described in procedure I [additional $\text{Pd(PPh}_3)_4$ (10 mg, 0.009 mmol) and 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.270 mL, 0.135 mmol) were added to the reaction mixture over the 24 h period] gave **89b(Z)** (29 mg, 60%; 78% based on *E* isomer only): $^1\text{H NMR}$ δ 1.25 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3, OEt), 1.79 (quint, J = 7.3 Hz, 2, H3), 2.15 (dt, J = 7.2, 18.2 Hz, 2, H4), 2.28 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2, H2), 2.41 (m, 2, H7), 2.65 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2, H8), 4.13 (q, J = 6.1 Hz, 2, OEt), 4.48 (dt, J = 7.3, 37.7 Hz, 1, H6), 7.20 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2, Ph), 7.30 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1, Ph), 7.45 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2, Ph); $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ δ 14.63 (CH_3 , OEt), 21.92 (CH_2 , C3), 25.61 (d, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 4.7 Hz, CH_2 , C7), 31.50 (CH_2 , C2), 33.50 (CH_2 , C8), 36.09 (CH_2 , C4), 60.69 (CH_2 , OEt), 105.32 (d, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 15.5 Hz, CH, C6), 126.25 (CH, Ph), 128.67 (CH, Ph), 128.81 (CH, Ph), 142.07 (C, Ph), 157.93 (d, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 253.7 Hz, CF, C5), 173.60 (C1, CO); $^{19}\text{F NMR}$ δ -110.1 (dt, J = 37.6, 15.1 Hz), MS m/z 265 (100, MH^+). HRMS (AP-ESI) Calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{21}\text{FO}_2$ ($\text{M}+\text{Li}^+$): 271.0938; Found: 271.0940.

Analogous treatment (12 h) of **86b** (*E*) (15 mg, 0.054 mmol) produced only **89b(Z)** (11.9 mg, 88%; 98% quantitative yield based on GC/MS).

Analogous treatment (24 h) of **86b** (*E/Z*, 15:85; 15 mg, 0.054 mmol) showed (GC/MS, $^{19}\text{F NMR}$) a conversion of the *E* isomer into **89b** (*Z*) (~14%) and slowly decomposition of **86b(Z)** but formation of **89b(E)** was not detected.

Analogous treatment (8 h) of **86b** (*E/Z*, 84:16; 25 mg, 0.09 mmol) with $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppb})$ (5% molar) and 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.28 mL, 0.14 mmol) gave **89b(Z)** (19.5 mg, 82%; 98% based on *E* isomer).

Ethyl 5-Fluoro-6-phenyl-5(Z)-heptenoate (89d). Treatment of **86d** (*E/Z*, 49:51; 55 mg, 0.21 mmol) with $\text{Pd(PPh}_3)_4$ (24 mg, 0.02 mmol) and 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc

bromide (0.5 M, 0.60 mL, 0.30 mmol) as described in procedure I (reaction was heated at 60 °C for 24 h) gave **89d(Z)** (23 mg, 45%; 94% based on *E* isomer): ¹H NMR δ 1.26 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3, OEt), 1.56 (s, 3, H7), 1.92-2.10 (m, 2, H3), 2.40 (dt, *J* = 7.1, 14.4 Hz, 2, H4), 2.49 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2, H2), 4.14 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2, OEt), 7.25-7.35 (m, 3, Ph), 7.36-7.45 (m, 2, Ph); ¹³C NMR δ 14.63 (CH₃, OEt), 17.59 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, CH₃ C7), 22.25 (CH₂, C3), 28.84 (d, *J* = 29.11 Hz, CH₂, C4), 33.59 (CH₂, C2), 60.76 (CH₂, OEt), 113.50 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 15.5 Hz, C, C6), 127.08 (CH, Ph), 128.39 (CH, Ph), 128.55 (CH, Ph), 138.80 (C, Ph), 154.5 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 253.5 Hz, C, C5), 173.62 (CO, C1); ¹⁹F NMR δ -108.19 (t, *J* = 22.6 Hz). HRMS (AP-ESI) Calcd. for C₁₅H₁₉FO₂ (M+Na⁺): 273.1266; Found: 273.1293.

Analogous treatment (8 h) of **86d** (*E/Z*, 49:51; 15 mg, 0.06 mmol) with PdCl₂(dppb) (5% molar) and 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.18 mL, 0.09 mmol) gave in addition to **89d(Z)** a new product tentatively assigned as **89d(E)**: ¹⁹F NMR δ -107.82 ("tq", *J* = 22.6, 2.1 Hz, 0.84F), -109.91 ("tq", *J* = 22.5, 3.4 Hz, 0.16F); GC-MS *m/z* 250 [8%, M⁺; t_R = 17.20 min (*E*) and 18.74 min (*Z*)].

(Z)-2-Fluoro-1-phenyl-1,6-heptadiene (90a). Treatment of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5; 75 mg, 0.30 mmol) with Pd(PPh₃)₄ (17 mg, 0.015 mmol) and 4-pentenylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.9 mL, 0.45 mmol) as described in procedure I gave **90a(Z)** (37 mg, 65%; 69% based on *E* isomer): ¹H NMR δ 1.70 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2, H4), 2.15 (quint, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2, H5), 2.32 (dt, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 15.4 Hz, 2, H3), 5.03 (dd, *J* = 1.3, 10.2 Hz, 1, Ha7), 5.04 (dd, *J* = 1.7, 17.3 Hz, 1, Hb7), 5.45 (d, *J* = 39.5 Hz, 1, H1), 5.81 (ddt, *J* = 7.1, 10.2, 17.0 Hz), 7.2 (t, *J* = 7.78 Hz, 1, Ph), 7.32 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2, Ph), 7.5 (d, *J* = 8.15 Hz, 2, Ph); ¹³C NMR δ: 25.91 (CH₂, C4), 32.71 (CH₂, C5), 33.29 (CH₂, C3), 106.2 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 28.6 Hz, CH, C1), 115.69 (CH₂, C7), 127.08 (CH, Ph), 128.56 (CH, Ph), 129.74 (CH, Ph), 134.26 (C, Ph),

138.40 (CH, C6), 159.93 (d, J_{C-F} = 266.6 Hz, C2); ^{19}F NMR δ -101.45 (dt, J = 18.8, 41.3 Hz); GC-MS m/z 190 [25%, M^+ ; t_R = 13.97 min (Z)]. Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{15}\text{F}$ (190.26): C, 82.07, H, 7.95. Found: C, 82.41, H, 8.15.

Also 2,3-difluoro-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene¹³¹ (6 mg, 8%) was isolated during chromatography as a less polar compound: ^{19}F NMR δ -127.95 (dd, J = 14.6, 28.6 Hz); GC-MS m/z 242 [100%, M^+ ; t_R = 21.46 min].

(Z)-6-Fluoro-9-phenyl-1,6-nondiene (90b). Treatment of **86b** (*E/Z*, 78:22; 70 mg, 0.26 mmol) with $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ (15 mg, 0.013 mmol) and 4-pentenylzinc bromide (0.77 mL, 0.38 mmol) in dried benzene (5 mL) as described in procedure I [additional $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ (8 mg, 0.007 mmol) and 4-pentenylzinc bromide (0.4 mL, 0.2 mmol) were added to the reaction mixture] gave **90b**(Z) (43 mg, 76%; 98% based on *E* isomer): ^1H NMR δ 1.55 (q, J = 7.7 Hz, 2, H4), 2.04-2.20 (m, 4, H3, H5), 2.38 (dt, J = 7.6, 15.0 Hz, 2, H8), 2.65 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2, H9), 4.45 (dt, J = 7.4, 37.9 Hz, 1, H7), 4.65 – 5.05 (m, 1, H1), 5.75-5.85 (m, 1, H2), 7.18-7.25 (m, 3, Ph), 7.30-7.35 (m, 2, Ph); ^{13}C NMR δ 23.04 (CH₂ C4), 25.75 (CH₂, C8), 31.5 (CH₂, C3), 33.18 (CH₂, C9), 36.19 (CH₂, C5), 104.64 (d, J_{C-F} = 15.6 Hz, CH, C7), 115.40 (CH₂, C1), 126.24 (CH, Ph), 128.68 (CH, Ph), 128.85 (CH, Ph), 138.58 (C, Ph), 142.18 (CH, C2), 158.76 (d, J_{C-F} = 253.7 Hz, CF C6); ^{19}F NMR δ -109.65 (dt, J = 15.0, 41.3 Hz). MS m/z 219 (100%, MH^+). Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{19}\text{F}$ (218.15): C, 82.53, H, 8.77. Found: C, 82.43, H, 8.80.

The ^{19}F NMR of the crude reaction mixture in addition to **90bZ** (0.73F) showed the presence of the *E*-isomer of **90b** [-104.71 ppm (dt, J = 22.6, 64.0 Hz, 0.12F)] in addition to the by-product tentatively as (Z)-1-fluoro-4-phenyl-1-butene [^{19}F NMR δ -

130.33 (dd, $J = 41.4, 82.8$ Hz, 0.03F) and (Z,Z)-4,5-difluoro-1,8-diphenyl-3,5-octadiene [-132.34 ppm (dd, $J = 13.2, 26.3$ Hz, 0.10F)].

(E/Z)- 6-Fluoro-8-benzyloxy-1,6-octadiene (90c). Treatment of **86c** (*E/Z*, 67:33; 63 mg, 0.215 mmol) with PdCl₂(dppb) (5% molar) and 4-pentenylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.86 mL, 0.43 mmol) as described in procedure I [55⁰ C for 8 h] gave **90c** (*E/Z*, 80:20, 46 mg, 86%). ¹H NMR δ 1.55 (quint, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2, H4, *E/Z*), 1.98-2.04 (m, 2, H5, *E/Z*), 2.08 -2.19 (m, 2, H3, *E/Z*), 3.87 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 0.4, OCH₂, *Z*), 4.02 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 1.6, OCH₂, *E*), 4.41 (s, 2, CH₂O, *E/Z*), 4.66 (dt, $J = 7.2, 36.7$ Hz, 0.8, H1, *E*), 4.88-4.97 (m, 2, H7, *E/Z*), 5.17 (dt, $J = 7.8, 20.52$ Hz, 0.2, H1, *Z*), 5.65-5.75 (m, 1, H6, *E/Z*), 7.20-7.27 (m, 5, Ph, *E/Z*); ¹³C NMR δ 25.13 (CH₂, C4, *E*), 25.45 (CH₂, C4, *Z*), 31.13 (CH₂, C5, *E*), 31.40 (CH₂, C5, *Z*), 32.82 (CH₂, C3, *E/Z*), 62.70 (OCH₂, *Z*), 62.77 (OCH₂, *E*), 71.86 (CH₂O, *Z*), 72.06 (CH₂O, *E*), 102.31 (d, $J_{C-F} = 13.79$ Hz, CH, C1, *Z*), 102.82 (d, $J_{C-F} = 22.85$ Hz, CH, C1, *E*), 115.19 (CH₂, C7, *E/Z*), 127.58 (CH, Ph, *E/Z*), 127.82 (CH, Ph, *E/Z*), 128.36 (CH, Ph, *E/Z*), 137.85 (C, Ph, *E/Z*), 138.34 (CH, C6, *E/Z*), 160.93 (d, $J_{C-F} = 259.1$ Hz, CF, C2, *E*), 162.62 (d, $J_{C-F} = 254.8$ Hz, CF, C2, *Z*); ¹⁹F NMR δ -98.05 (q, $J = 22.8$ Hz, 1, *Z*), -104.21 (dt, $J = 17.31, 36.74$ Hz, 5, *E*); GC-MS m/z 235 [1%, M⁺; $t_R = 16.95$ min (*Z*) and 17.57 min (*E*)]. HRMS Calcd. for C₁₅H₁₉FO (M+H⁺) 235.1498; Found: 235.1490.

Treatment of **86c** (*E/Z*, 75:25, 20 mg, 0.07 mmol) with Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5% molar) and 4-pentenylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.28 mL, 0.14 mmol) as described in procedure I (48 h) gave **90c** (56%, ¹⁹F NMR δ: -104.56 (dt, $J = 15.05, 37.6$ Hz) in addition to unchanged **86c** (43%, *E/Z*, ~ 44: 56) .

Treatment of **87c** (*E/Z*, 77/23, 45 mg, 0.18 mmol) with PdCl₂(dppb) (5% molar) and 4-pentenylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.7 mL, 0.36 mmol) as described in procedure I gave **90c** (*E/Z*, 80:20; 36 mg, 84%).

(Z)-6-Fluoro-7-phenyl-1,6-octadiene (90d). Treatment of **86d** (*E/Z*, 49:51; 65 mg, 0.25 mmol) with Pd(PPh₃)₄ (14.3 mg, 0.012 mmol) and 4-pentenylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.75 mL, 0.38 mmol) as described in procedure I [55 °C for 4 h] gave **90d(Z)** (23 mg, 45%; 92% based on *E* isomer): ¹H NMR δ 1.68 (quint, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2, H4), 1.95 (s, 3, H8), 2.12-2.20 (m, 2, H3), 2.38 (dt, *J* = 7.1, 23.6 Hz, 2, H5), 4.98 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 10.2 Hz, 2, H1), 5.78-5.90 (m, 1, H2), 7.15-7.38 (m, 5, Ph); ¹³C NMR δ 17.72 (CH₃, C8), 26.16 (CH₂, C4), 31.38 (CH₂, C5), 33.41 (CH₂, C3), 110.08 (C, C7), 115.51 (CH₂, C1) 126.99 (CH, Ph), 128.40 (CH, Ph), 128.54 (CH, Ph), 138.61 (C, Ph), 139.02 (CH, C2), 154.82 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 255.6 Hz, CF, C6); ¹⁹F NMR δ -108.10 (t, *J* = 23.1 Hz); GC-MS *m/z* 204 [5%, M⁺; *t*_R = 14.59 min, *Z*]. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₄H₁₇FO₂ (204.28): C, 82.31; H, 8.39. Found: C, 82.56; H, 8.78.

Assesment of the reaction progress by GC-MS and ¹⁹F NMR showed the gradual conversion of the **86d(E)** isomer into **90d(Z)** [2 h (6%), 8 h (35%), 16 h (60%), 24 h (92%)] while **86d(Z)** isomer remained unchanged.

2-Fluoro-1-phenyl-4-[2-(1,3-dioxolanyl)]-1(Z)-butene (91a). Treatment of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5; 25 mg, 0.10 mmol) with Pd(PPh₃)₄ (10 mg, 0.01 mL) and 2-[2-(1,3-dioxolanyl)]ethylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.4 mL, 0.2 mmol) as described in procedure I [additional Pd(PPh₃)₄ (20 mg, 0.02 mmol) and 2-[2-(1,3-dioxolanyl)]ethylzinc bromide (0.8 mL, 0.4 mmol) were added to the reaction mixture over the 24 h period] gave **91a (Z)** (20 mg, 90%; 94% based on *E* isomer): ¹H NMR δ 1.96 (dt, *J* = 4.6, 11.1 Hz, 2, H4),

2.45 (dt, $J = 7.6, 17.8$ Hz, 2, H3), 3.85 (t, $J = 5.4$ Hz, 2, CH₂ dioxolanyl) 3.92 (t, $J = 5.0$ Hz, 2, CH₂ dioxolanyl), 4.95 (t, $J = 4.5$ Hz, 1, H5), 5.50 (d, $J = 39.3$ Hz, 1, H1), 7.20 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2, Ph), 7.30 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1, Ph), 7.45 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2, Ph); ¹³C NMR δ 27.82 (d, $J_{C-F} = 27.8$ Hz, CH₂, C3), 31.03 (CH₂, C4), 65.45 (CH₂, 2 $\times$ CH₂ from dioxolanyl), 103.74 (CH, C1), 106.37 (CH, C5), 127.16 (CH, Ph), 128.66 (CH, Ph), 128.81 (CH, Ph), 134.08 (C, Ph), 159.25 (d, $J_{C-F} = 266.2$ Hz, CF, C2); GC-MS m/z 222 [10%, M⁺; $t_R = 18.19$ min, Z]. HRMS (AP-ESI) Calcd for C₁₃H₁₅FO₂ (M+Li⁺): 229.1216. Found: 229.1207.

Effect of the Pd catalysts on the efficiency of coupling: Progress of the reactions was monitored by ¹⁹F NMR GC-MS and yields are based on ¹⁹F NMR and GC-MS of the crude reaction mixtures.

Treatment of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5, 25 mg, 0.10 mmol) with Pd(Ph₃P)₄ (5% molar) and 2-[2-(1,3- dioxolanyl)]ethylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.4 mL, 0.2 mmol) as described in procedure I [2h, 50 °C] gave **91a** (11%) and unchanged **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5, 85%).

Treatment of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5, 25 mg, 0.10 mmol) with PdCl₂(dppf) (5% molar) and 2-[2-(1,3-dioxolanyl)]ethylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.4 mL, 0.2 mmol) as described in procedure I [2h, 50 °C] gave **91a** (8%), unchanged **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5, 85%) and reduction product (6%).

Treatment of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5, 25 mg, 0.10 mmol) with Pd(OAc)₂ (5% molar) and 2-[2-(1,3- dioxolanyl)]ethylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.4 mL, 0.2 mmol) as described in procedure I [3.5h, 50 °C] gave **91a** (95%).

Treatment of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5, 25 mg, 0.10 mmol) with Pd(dba)₃ (5% molar) and 2-[2-(1,3- dioxolanyl)]ethylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.4 mL, 0.2 mmol) as described in procedure I [2h, 50 °C] gave **91a** (93%).

Treatment of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5, 25 mg, 0.10 mmol) with PdCl₂(dppb) (5% molar) and 2-[2-(1,3- dioxolanyl)]ethylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.4 mL, 0.2 mmol) as described in procedure I [2h, 50 °C] gave **91a** (95%).

4-Fluoro-1-phenyl-6-[2-(1,3-dioxolanyl)]-3(*Z*)-hexene (91b). Treatment of **86b** (*E/Z*, 78:22; 30 mg, 0.11 mmol) with Pd(PPh₃)₄ (7 mg, 0.005 mmol) and 2-[2-(1,3- dioxolanyl)]ethylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.44 mL, 0.22 mmol) in dried benzene (5 mL) as described in procedure I [additional Pd(PPh₃)₄ (4 mg, 0.0028 mmol) and 2-[2-(1,3- dioxolanyl)]ethylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.2 mL, 0.1 mmol) were added] gave **91b**(*Z*) (20 mg, 74%; 94% based on *E* isomer): ¹H NMR δ 1.80-1.91 (m, 2, H6), 2.22 (dt, *J* = 5.0, 17.3 Hz, 2, H5), 2.40 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2, H2), 2.63 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2, H1), 3.86 – 3.90 (m, 2, CH₂ dioxolanyl), 3.96-4.02 (m, 2, CH₂ dioxolanyl), 4.50 (dt, *J* = 7.4, 37.6 Hz, 1, H3), 4.86 (t, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1, H7), 7.18-7.25 (m, 3, Ph), 7.28-7.35 (m, 2, Ph); ¹³C NMR δ: 25.60 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 4.9 Hz, CH₂ C2), 26.76 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 28.8 Hz, CH₂, C5) 31.02 (CH₂, C6), 36.08 (CH₂, C1), 65.26 (CH₂, 2x CH₂ dioxolanyl), 103.87 (CH, C7), 104.68 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 15.49 Hz, CH, C3), 126.23 (CH, Ph), 128.66 (CH, Ph), 128.93 (CH, Ph), 142.11 (C, Ph), 158.24 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 253.2 Hz, CF, C4); ¹⁹F NMR δ -109.37 (dt, *J* = 15.04, 37.6 Hz); GC-MS *m/z* 250 [1%, M⁺; *t*_R = 17.74 min, *Z*]. FAB-HRMS: Calcd for C₁₅H₁₉FO₂ (MH⁺): 251.1448; Found: 251.1455.

Analogous treatment of **86b** (*E*) (15 mg, 0.054 mmol) produced only **91b**(*Z*) (89%, ~98% based on GC/MS).

Analogous treatment of **86b** (*E/Z*, 15:85; 15 mg, 0.055 mmol) showed a conversion of the *E* isomer into **91b(Z)** (~14%) and disappearance of **86b(Z)**, but no formation of **9b(E)** was detected (GC/MS, ^{19}F NMR).

3-Fluoro-2-phenyl-5-[2-(1,3-dioxolanyl)]-2(Z)-pentene (91d). Treatment of **86d** (*E/Z*, 49:51; 30 mg, 0.11mmol) with $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ (6.5 mg, 0.0057 mmol) and 2-[2-(1,3-dioxolanyl)]ethylzinc bromide (0.45 mL, 0.23 mmol) as described in procedure I [60°C for 24 h; additional $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ (6 mg, 0.005mmol) and 2-[2-(1,3- dioxolanyl)]ethylzinc bromide (0.45 mL, 0.23 mmol) were added to the reaction mixture over a 24 h period)] gave **91d(Z)** (12 mg, 46%; 90% based on *E* isomer]): ^1H NMR δ 1.30 (s, 3, H1), 1.94-2.03 (m, 2, H4), 2.5 (dt, $J = 8.1, 23.1$ Hz, 2, H5), 3.89-3.94 (m, 2, CH_2 dioxolanyl), 3.95-4.05 (m, 2, CH_2 dioxolanyl), 4.95 (t, $J = 4.5$ Hz, 1, H6), 7.20-7.38 (m, 5, Ph); ^{13}C NMR δ 17.57 (CH_3 , C1), 24.25 (d, $J_{\text{C-F}} = 29.2$ Hz, CH_2 , C4), 30.12 (CH_2 , C5), 65.42 (2x CH_2 dioxolanyl), 103.95 (C, C2), 112.8 (CH, C6), 127.04 (CH, Ph), 128.40 (CH, Ph), 128.56 (CH, Ph), 138.88 (C, Ph), 153.8 (d, $J_{\text{C-F}} = 255.8$ Hz, C, CF); ^{19}F NMR δ -108.75 (t, $J = 22.6$ Hz). HRMS (ESI) Calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{17}\text{FO}_2$ ($\text{M}^+ \text{Li}^+$): 243.1373; Found: 243.1361.

3,3-Dimethyl-2-Fluoro-1-phenyl-1-butene (93a). Treatment of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5, 40 mg, 0.16 mmol) with $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppb})$ (5% molar) and *tert*-butylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.6 mL, 0.32 mmol) as described in procedure I [3 h, 50°C] gave **93a** (23 mg, 80%, 95% based on GC-MS and ^{19}F NMR): ^1H NMR δ 1.15 (s, 9, *t*-Bu), 5.40 (d, $J = 40.7$ Hz, H1), 7.17-7.41 (m, 5, Ph); ^{19}F NMR δ -109.47 (d, $J = 40.7$ Hz); GC-MS m/z 178 [80%, M^+ ; $t_{\text{R}} = 10.78$ min]. HRMS Calcd. for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{15}\text{F}$ (M^+H^+) 179.1237; Found: 179.1246.

Treatment of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5, 32 mg, 0.12 mmol) with *tert*-butylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.48 mL, 0.24 mmol) in the presence of $\text{Pd}(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_4$ (5% molar) as described in procedure I [24

h, 65 °C] gave **93a** (60%) and **94**¹³¹ (20%, 40% consumption of **86a**): ¹⁹F NMR δ -109.47 (d, *J* = 40.7 Hz, 0.60F), -127.95 (“dd”, *J* = 28.6, 14.6 Hz, 0.40F).

Treatment of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5, 40 mg, 0.16 mmol) with *tert*-butylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.6 mL, 0.32 mmol) in the presence of Pd₂(dba)₃ (5 % molar) as described in procedure I [12 h, 50 °C] gave **94**¹³¹ (35 mg, 45%) based on ¹⁹F NMR.

Coupling with secondary alkylzinc bromides:

Treatment of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5; 18 mg, 0.07 mmol) with Pd₂(dba) (5% molar) and 1-methylbutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.29 mL, 0.14 mmol) as described in procedure I [3h, 50 °C] gave a mixture of **93b** (50%) and **94** (12%) in addition to *Z*-β-fluorostyrene¹³⁶ (26%): ¹⁹F NMR δ -109.34 (dd, *J* = 40.2, 22.9 Hz, 0.50F, **93b**), -122.49 (dd, *J* = 82.5, 44.6 Hz, 0.26F, *Z*-β-fluorostyrene), -127.95 (“dd”, *J* = 28.6, 14.6 Hz, 0.24F, **94**); GC-MS for **93b/94** had *m/z* 192 (65%, M⁺; *t*_R = 14.08 min; **93b**), 242 (100%, M⁺; *t*_R = 21.46 min; **94**)

Treatment (18 h, 65 °C) of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5; 22 mg, 0.088 mmol) with 1-methylbutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.35 mL, 0.17 mmol) in the presence of Pd(Ph₃P)₄ (5% molar) gave **93d** [37%; ¹⁹F NMR δ -101.01 (dt, *J* = 18.8, 40.3 Hz)], **94** (19%) and *Z*-β-fluorostyrene (4%) as estimated based on the ¹⁹F NMR and GC-MS of the crude reaction mixture.

Treatment of **86a** (*E/Z*, 95:5, 50 mg, 0.20 mmol) and 3-pentylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.60 mL, 0.30 mmol) in the presence of Pd(Ph₃P)₄ (5% molar) as described in procedure I gave inseparable mixture of **93c** and **93d** (30/70): GC-MS *m/z* 192 (85%, M⁺; *t*_R = 11.67 min, **93c**), 192 (60%, M⁺; *t*_R = 12.55; **93d**). HRMS (AP-ESI) Calcd. for C₁₃H₁₇F (M+Li⁺): 199.1474; Found: 199.1478.

(Z)-Ethyl 5-Chloro-6-phenyl-5-hexenoate (98a). Procedure J. 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 1.45 mL, 0.722 mmol) was added via syringe to a stirring solution of **96a**¹²⁵ (50 mg, 0.29 mmol) in dried THF (3 mL) containing PdCl₂(ddpf) (24 mg, 0.029 mmol) under N₂. The resulting mixture was heated at 65 °C overnight. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was partitioned (NaHCO₃/H₂O/EtOAc). The organic layer was washed (brine), dried (Na₂SO₄), evaporated, and chromatographed (hexane → 10% EtOAc/hexane) to give **98a** (47 mg, 65%) and **101a**¹³⁷ (14 mg, 22%). Compound **98a** had: ¹H NMR δ 1.16 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3, OEt), 1.89 (quint, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2, H3), 2.27 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2, H4), 2.45 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2, H2), 4.04 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2, OEt), 6.40 (s, 1, H6), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2, Ph), 7.25 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1, Ph), 7.45 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2, Ph). ¹³C NMR δ: 14.05 (CH₃, OEt), 22.61 (CH₂, C3), 31.56 (CH₂, C2), 40.28 (CH₂, C4), 60.34 (OEt, CH₂), 125.25 (CH, C6), 127.55 (CH, Ph), 128.13 (CH, Ph), 128.98 (CH, Ph), 133.63 (C, C5), 134.97 (C, Ph), 174.10 (CO, C1). GC-MS *m/z* 252 (30%, M⁺ [³⁵Cl]; *t*_R = 20.00 min). HRMS (AP-ESI) Calcd for C₁₄H₁₇ClO₂ (M+H⁺): 253.0995; Found: 253.0989.

Analogous treatment (65 °C, 2h) of **96a** (50 mg, 0.29 mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 1.45 mL, 0.722 mmol) in dried THF (5 mL) in the presence of PdCl₂(dppb) (5% molar) gave **98a** (39 mg, 53 %), **100a** (26 mg, 27%), **101a**¹³⁷ (10 mg, 15%).

Analogous treatment (65 °C, 2h) of **96a** (50 mg, 0.29 mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 1.45 mL, 0.722 mmol) in dried THF (5 mL) in the presence of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5% molar) gave only the dialkylated byproduct **100a** (68%) and **101a**¹³⁷ (28%).

(Z)-Ethyl 5-Chloro-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-hexenoate (98b). Treatment (50 °C to 65 °C, overnight) of **96b** (41 mg, 0.20 mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 2 mL, 1.01 mmol) in the presence of PdCl₂(dppf) (5% molar) and DPEPhos (3% molar) in dried benzene (5 mL) as described in procedure J gave **98b(Z)** (39.5 mg, 70 %) and **100b** (19 mg, 27 %). Compound **98b** had: ¹H NMR δ 1.26 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3, OEt), 2.01 (quint, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2, H3), 2.36 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2, H4), 2.52 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2, H2), 3.82 (s, 3, OMe), 4.13 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2, OEt), 6.43 (s, 1, H6), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2, Ph), 7.57 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2, Ph). ¹³C NMR δ: 14.25 (CH₃, OEt), 22.80 (CH₂, C3), 32.84 (CH₂, C2), 40.28 (CH₂, C4), 55.21 (OMe), 60.34 (OEt, CH₂), 113.56 (CH, Ph), 124.67 (CH, C6), 127.55 (CH, Ph), 130.63 (CH, Ph), 131.63 (C5), 158.93 (Ph), 173.22 (CO, C1). GC-MS *m/z* 282 [25%, M⁺ [³⁵Cl]; *t_R* = 23.50 min]

Analogous treatment of **96b** (35 mg, 0.17 mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.57 mL, 0.28 mmol) in dried benzene (5 mL) in the presence of PdCl₂(dppb) (5% molar) and DPEPhos (3 %) as described in procedure J gave **98b** (30 mg, 63%), **100b** (17 mg, 27%) and **101b** (4 mg, 10%).

Analogous treatment of **96b** (45 mg, 0.22 mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.57 mL, 0.28 mmol) in dried benzene (5 mL) in the presence of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5% molar) and DPEPhos (3% molar) as described in procedure J gave **98b** (34 mg, 55%), **100b** (28 mg, 35%) and **101b** (5.5 mg, 10%).

Analogous treatment of **96c** (45 mg, 0.24 mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.57 mL, 0.28 mmol) in dried benzene (5 mL) in the presence of PdCl₂(dppb) (5% molar) and DPEPhos (3% molar) as described in procedure J gave **100c** (75 mg, 90%) and **101c** (5.5 mg, 10%).

Treatment of **97a** (50 mg, 0.19 mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.57 mL, 0.28 mmol) in dried THF (5 mL) in the presence of PdCl₂(dppf) (21 mg, 0.025 mmol) as described in procedure J gave **100a** (29 mg, 69 %) and **101a** (18mg, 28%).

Analogous treatment of **97a** (50 mg, 0.19 mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.57 mL, 0.28 mmol) in dried THF (5 mL) in the presence of PdCl₂(dppb) (5% molar) as described in procedure J (65 °C, overnight) gave **100a** (47 mg, 75 %) and **101a** (10 mg, 24%).

Analogous treatment of **97a** (50 mg, 0.19 mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.57 mL, 0.28 mmol) in dried THF (5 mL) in the presence of Pd(Ph₃P)₄ (29 mg, 0.025 mmol) gave **100a** (38 mg, 60%) and **101a** (16 mg 38%).

(Z)-Ethyl 5-Bromo-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-hexenoate (99b). Treatment of **97b** (40 mg, 0.13 mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 2 mL) in the presence of PdCl₂(dppf) (5% molar) and DPEPhos (3% molar) in dried benzene (5 mL) as described in procedure J gave **99b(Z)** (20 mg, 47%) and **100b** (13 mg, 27 %). Compound **99b** had: ¹H NMR δ 1.17 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3, OEt), 1.92 (quint, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2, H3), 2.29 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2, H4), 2.57 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2, H2), 3.74 (s, 3, OMe), 4.06 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2, OEt), 6.61 (s, 1, H6), 6.80 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2, Ph), 7.47 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2, Ph). ¹³C NMR δ: 14.26 (CH₃, OEt), 23.49 (CH₂, C3), 32.72 (CH₂, C2), 42.34 (CH₂, C4), 55.26 (OMe), 60.39 (OEt, CH₂), 113.47 (CH, Ph), 124.54 (CH, C6), 127.83 (CH, Ph), 128.32 (C5), 131.63 (CH, Ph), 159.03 (Ph), 173.22 (CO, C1). GC-MS *m/z* 326 [2%, M⁺[⁷⁹Br]; *t_R* = 23.50 min].

Analogous treatment of **97b** (45 mg, 0.15 mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.57 mL, 0.28 mmol) in dried benzene (5 mL) in the presence of PdCl₂(dppb) (5% molar) and DPEPhos (3%) as described in procedure J gave **100b** (42 mg, 78%) and **101b** (8 mg, 21%).

Analogous treatment of **97b** (45 mg, 0.15 mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.57 mL, 0.28 mmol) in dried benzene (5 mL) in the presence of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5% molar) and DPEPhos (3%) as described in procedure J gave **99b** (20 mg, 40%), **100b** (32 mg, 59%).

Treatment of **97b** (45 mg, 0.15 mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.57 mL, 0.28 mmol) in dried benzene (5 mL) in the presence of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5% molar) as described in procedure J gave only **100b** (39 mg, 72%) and **101b** (9.6 mg, 26%).

Treatment of **97c** (50 mg, 0.18mmol) with 4-ethoxy-4-oxobutylzinc bromide (0.5 M, 0.57 mL, 0.28 mmol) in dried benzene (5 mL) in the presence of PdCl₂(dppb) (5% molar) and DPEPhos (3%) as described in procedure J gave **100c** (17 mg, 27%) and **101c** (4 mg, 10%).

Ethyl 6-phenyl-5-(3-ethoxycarbonylpropyl)hexenoate (100a). Compound **100a** had: ¹H NMR δ 1.15 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3, OEt), 1.19 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3, OEt) 1.66-1.82 (m, 4, H3), 2.10-2.22 (m, 4, H4), 2.27 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 4, H2), 3.98-4.09 (m, 4, OEt), 6.25 (s, 1, H6), 7.08-7.25 (m, 5, Ph); ¹³C NMR δ 14.56 (CH₃, OEt), 14.62 (CH₃, OEt), 23.69 (CH₂, C3), 23.77 (CH₂, C3'), 30.08 (CH₂, C4'), 34.18 (CH₂, C2), 34.47 (CH₂, C2'), 36.50 (CH₂, C4), 60.60 (OEt, CH₂), 60.62 (OEt, CH₂), 126.51 (CH, C6), 127.07 (CH, Ph), 128.98 (CH, Ph), 129.0 (CH, Ph), 138.47 (CH, Ph), 141.45 (C, C5), 173.71 (CO),

173.91(CO); GC-MS m/z 332 [35%, M^+ ; t_R = 25.36 min]. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{20}H_{28}FO_4$ (332.20): C, 72.26; H, 8.49. Found: C, 71.92; H, 8.59.

Ethyl 6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-(3-ethoxycarbonylpropyl)hexenoate (100b).

Compound **100b** had: 1H NMR δ : 1.20 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3, OEt), 1.26 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3, OEt), 1.77-1.85 (m, 4, H₃), 2.18-2.28 (m, 4, H₄), 2.36 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 4, H₂), 3.82 (s, 3, OMe), 4.08-4.18 (m, 4, OEt), 6.27 (s, 1, H₆), 6.85 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, Ph), 7.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, Ph); ^{13}C NMR δ : 14.22 (CH₃, OEt), 14.26 (CH₃, OEt), 23.33 (CH₂, C₃), 23.38 (CH₂, C_{3'}), 29.65 (CH₂, C₄), 33.82 (CH₂, C₂), 34.13 (CH₂, C_{2'}), 36.21 (CH₂, C₄), 55.24 (OMe, CH₃) 60.28 (OEt, CH₂), 60.62 (OEt, CH₂), 113.56 (CH, Ph), 126.13 (CH, C₆), 129.72 (CH, Ph), 130.62 (Ph), 139.78 (C, C₅), 157.91 (C, Ph) 173.45 (CO), 173.66 (CO); GC-MS m/z 362 [50%, M^+ ; t_R = 27.80 min].

Ethyl 6-phenyl-5-(3-ethoxycarbonylpropyl)heptenoate (100c). Compound **100c** had: 1H NMR δ : 1.12 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, OEt), 1.19 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, OEt), 1.51-1.56 (m, 4, H₃), 1.51 (quint, J = 7.3 Hz, 2, H₃), 1.71 (quint, J = 7.3 Hz, 2, H_{3'}), 1.81 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2, H₄), 1.87 (s, 3, CH₃), 2.00 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2, H_{4'}), 2.12 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2, H₂), 2.28 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2, H_{2'}), 3.96 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2, OEt), 4.08 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2, OEt), 6.98-7.24 (m, 5, Ph). ^{13}C NMR δ : 14.19 (CH₃, OEt), 14.28 (CH₃, OEt), 21.13 (CH₃, C₇), 23.67 (CH₂, C₃), 23.91 (CH₂, C_{3'}), 30.19 (CH₂, C₄), 31.95 (CH₂, C_{4'}), 34.11 (CH₂, C₂), 34.14 (CH₂, C₂), 60.15 (CH₂, OEt), 60.31 (CH₂, OEt), 125.95 (C, C₆), 128.06 (CH, Ph), 128.13 (CH, Ph), 133.33 (C, C₅), 133.68 (CH, Ph), 145.05 (C, Ph), 173.55 (C₁, CO), 173.64 (C₁, CO). GC-MS m/z 346 [50%, M^+ ; t_R = 25.15 min].

5. CONCLUSION

S-Adenosyl-L-homocysteine (AdoHcy) hydrolase is an intracellular enzyme which is crucial for the maintenance of biomethylation processes. The standard mechanistic sequence involves the oxidation of AdoHcy at C3' ("oxidative activity") followed by elimination of L-homocysteine, Michael type addition of water ("hydrolytic activity") and reduction of the 3'-keto adenosine intermediate to yield adenosine. The 6'-halo(homovinyl)adenosine analogues were found to be concentration and time dependent inactivators of AdoHcy hydrolase. They underwent hydration of the 5',6' double bond by the "hydrolytic activity" of the enzyme. To probe further "hydrolytic activity" of AdoHcy hydrolase, analogues of AdoHcy with the carbon-5' and sulfur atoms replaced by a vinyl or halovinyl unit were designed and synthesized. Also, L-adenosine, the enantiomer of the natural substrate, was synthesized in order to examine the possibility of whether L-adenosine can act as (un)likely substrate and/or inhibitor of AdoHcy hydrolase.

The first targets were L-adenosine and its 5'-aldehyde oxime. Their synthesis started from L-xylose utilizing literature protocols. L-adenosine and its 5'-aldehyde oxime were evaluated for their ability to inhibit the activity of recombinant human placental AdoHcy hydrolase by incubating the enzyme with them at 200 μ M for 20 min at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. The AdoHcy hydrolase activity was determined by assaying the enzyme's ability to catalyze the conversion of Ado and Hcy to AdoHcy. Under these conditions, L-adenosine and its 5'-aldehyde oxime were found to be inactive as inhibitors of the AdoHcy hydrolase. Docking calculations showed that binding of L-Ado is not as specific as that of D-Ado for the AdoHcy hydrolase and that the binding energy of the D-Ado/enzyme complex is lower than that of the L-Ado/enzyme complex. These results

might explain why L-Ado and its analogues are inactive as inhibitors of AdoHcy hydrolase.

The second targets were AdoHcy analogues in which the sulfur and C5' atoms in the *S*-adenosyl-L-homocysteine were replaced by the vinyl or halovinyl unit. These analogues should form a “stable” complex with the enzyme, which would interact with the enzyme to identify the major binding groups of the active site of AdoHcy that interact with Hcy moiety and participates in elimination and hydrolytic activity steps. These targets were synthesized employing a metathesis approach to construct a new C5'-C6' double bond and Negishi Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling to build a new C6'-C7' single bond.

Cross-metathesis of the protected 5'-deoxy-5'-methyleneadenosine analogue with racemic 2-amino-5-hexenoate (unnatural aminoacid) in the presence of Hoveyda-Grubb's catalyst followed by standard deprotections afforded 5'*E* isomer of the inseparable mixture of 9'*R/S* diastereomers. Metathesis of the chiral homoallylglycine [(2*S*)-amino-5-hexenoate] with 6-*N*-dibenzoyl protected 5'-deoxy-5'-methyleneadenosine precursor afforded the AdoHcy analogue with established stereochemistry (5'*E*, 9'*S*). Contrary to products obtained from racemic homoallylglycine, the ¹³C NMR spectra for products obtained from chiral homoallylglycine showed only a single set of peaks. ¹H NMR also showed some spectral differences especially for H2 and H8 from the adenine base. The 5'-bromovinyl analogue was synthesized using the bromination-dehydrobromination strategy with pyridinium tribromide and DBU.

Since literature reports on the Pd-catalyzed monoalkylation of dihaloalkenes (Csp²-Csp³ coupling) were scarce, we undertook model studies on Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions between vinyl dihalides and alkyl organometallics. A series of 1-fluoro-1-haloalkenes was chosen as precursors to study Pd-catalyzed Negishi coupling with alkylzincs. It was found that 1-fluoro-1-haloalkenes underwent Pd-catalyzed Negishi cross-couplings with primary alkylzinc bromides to give multisubstituted fluoroalkenes. The alkylation was *trans*-selective giving pure *Z*-fluoroalkenes in most cases. The highest yields were obtained with Pd₂(dba)₃ and PdCl₂(dppb) catalysts but the best stereochemical outcome was observed with less reactive Pd(PPh₃)₄. The tertiary alkylzincs also produced desired fluoroalkenes. Coupling of β,β -dichlorostyrene and β,β -dibromostyrene with alkylzinc reagents afforded the monohalo substituted olefins, but addition of DPEPhos ligands was found to be critical.

In summary, we have synthesized AdoHcy analogues in which the sulfur and C5' atoms in the *S*-adenosyl-L-homocysteine were replaced by the vinyl or halovinyl unit using a metathesis approach. We have also developed Pd-catalyzed Negishi cross-coupling of 1-fluoro-1-(iodo, or bromo, or chloro)alkenes with alkylzincs, thus providing stereoselective access to the internal fluoroalkenes.

Figure 11. List of chemical structures for chemicals

MFSTA: *N*-Methyl-*N*-(trimethylsilyl)-trifluoroacetamide

DCC: dicyclohexylcarbodiimide

BzCl: benzoyl chloride

dppb: 1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane

(tBuO)₂CO: di-*t*-butylcarbonate

dpephos: bis(o-diphenylphosphanyl)phenylether

DBU: 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene

dba: dibenzylideneacetone

NBS: *N*-bromosuccinimide

NIS: *N*-iodosuccinimide

*t*BuPh₂SiCl: *tert*-butylchlorodiphenylsilane

THF: tetrahydrofuran

Ac₂O: Acetic anhydride

DMSO: dimethyl sulfoxide

triethyl orthoformate

TMSOTf: trimethylsilyl trifluoromethane sulfonate

p-toluenesulfonic acid

TFA: trifluoroacetic acid

Imidazole

Pyr: pyridine

REFERENCES

1. Cantoni, G. L.; Chiang, P. K., In *Natural Sulfur Compounds. Novel Biochemical and Structural Aspects* (Cavallini, D.; Gaulle, G. E.; Zappie, V., eds.), Plenum Press, New York, **1980**, 67-80.
2. Borchardt, R. T. *J. Med. Chem.* **1980**, *23*, 347-357
3. *Biological Methylation and Drug Design*; Borchardt, R. T.; Creveling, C. R.; Ueland, P. M., Eds.; Humana Press: Clifton, **1986**.
4. Ueland, P. M. *Pharmacol. Rev.*, **1982**, *34*, 223-253.
5. De la Haba, G.; Cantoni, G. L. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1959**, *234*, 603.
6. (a) Wolfe, M. S.; Borchardt, R. T. *J. Med. Chem.*, **1991**, *34*, 1521-1530. (b) Yuan, C. S.; Liu, S.; Wnuk, S. F.; Robins, M. J.; Borchardt, R. T. In *Advances in Antiviral Drug Design*; Erik DeClercq, Ed.; JAI Press: Greenwich, CT, **1996**, Vol.2, 41-88.
7. (a) Ueland, P. M.; Refsum, H. *J. Lab. Clin. Med.*, **1989**, *114*, 473-501. (b) Chen, P.; Poddar, R.; Tipa, E. V.; Dibello, P. M.; Moravec, C. D.; Robinson, K.; Green, R.; Krugger, W. D.; Garrow, T. A.; Jacobsen, D. W. *Advan. Enzyme Regul.*, **1999**, *39*, 93-109.
8. (a) Malinow, M. R. *Clin. Chem.*, **1995**, *41*, 173-176. (b) Refsum, H.; Ueland, P.; Nygard, O.; Vollset, S.E. *Annu. Rev. Med.*, **1998**, *49*, 31-62. (c) Langman, L. J. Cole, D. E.C. *Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci.*, **1999**, *36*, 365-406.
9. Yuan, C. S.; Saso, Y.; Lazarides, E.; Borchardt, R. T.; Robins, M. *J. Exp. Opin. Ther. Patents*, **1999**, *9*, 1197-1206.
10. Bethin, K. E.; Cimato, T. R.; Ettinger, M. J. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1995**, *270*, 20703-20711.
11. Bethin, K. E.; Petrovic, N.; Ettinger, M. J. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1995**, *270*, 20698-20702.
12. Yuan, C. S.; Liu, S.; Wnuk, S. F.; Robins, M. J.; Borchardt, R. T. *Nucleosides Nucleotides* **1995**, *14*, 439-447. (b) Liu, S.; Wolfe, M. S.; Borchardt, R. T. *Antiviral Res.* **1992**, *19*, 247-265.
13. De Clercq, E. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1987**, *36*, 2567-2575; (b) De Clercq, E. *Nucleosides Nucleotides*, **1998**, *17*, 625-634; (c) Cools, M.; De Clercq, E. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1989**, *38*, 1061-1067.

14. Daelemans, D.; Este, J. A.; Witvrouw, M.; Pannecouque, C.; Jonckheere, H.; Aquaro, S.; Perno, C. F.; De Clercq, E.; VanDamme, A. M. *Mol. Pharm.* **1997**, *52*, 1157-1163.
15. Masuta, C.; Tanaka, H.; Uehara, K.; Kuwata, S.; Koiwai, A.; Noma, M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1995**, *92*, 6117-6121.
16. (a) Bitonti, A. J.; Baumann, J.; Varvi, T.; McCarthy, J.R.; McCan, P. P. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1990**, *40*, 601-606; (b) Henderson, D. M.; Hanson, S.; Allen, T.; Wilson, K.; Coulter-Karis, D. E.; Greenberg, M.L.; Hershfield, M. S.; Ullman, B. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **1992**, *53*, 169-184.
17. Wools, J. A.; Frondorf, K. A.; Esser, R. E. *J. Immunol.* **1993**, *151*, 526-234.
18. (a) Wools, J. A.; Frondorf, K. A.; Babcock, G. E.; Stripp, S. A.; Bowlin, T. L. *Cell Immunol.* **1993**, *149*, 402-408. (b) Wolos, J. A.; Frondorf, K. A.; Davis, G. F.; Jarvi, E. T.; McCarthy, J.R.; Bowlin, T. L. *J. Immunol.* **1993**, *150*, 3264-3273.
19. De Clercq, E.; Cools, M.; Balzarini, J.; Marquez, V. E.; Borcharding, D. R.; Borchardt, R. T.; Drach, J. C.; Kitakota, S.; Konno, T. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1989**, *33*, 1291.
20. (a) Palmer, J.L.; Abeles, R. H. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1976**, *251*, 5817-5819; (b) Palmer, J. L.; Abeles, R. H. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1979**, *254*, 1217-1226.
21. Sinhababu, A. K.; Bartel, R. L.; Pochopin, N.; Borchardt, R. T.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1985**, *107*, 1628-1632.
22. Parry, R.; Askonas, L. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1985**, *107*, 1417-1418.
23. (a) Porter, D. J. T. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **1993**, *268*, 66-73. (b) Porter, D. J. T.; Boyd, F. L. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1992**, *267*, 3205-3213.
24. Vederas, J. C.; Floss, H. G. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1980**, *13*, 455-463.
25. Yuan, C. S.; Yeh, J.; Liu, S.; Borchardt, R. T. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **1993**, *268*, 17030-17037.
26. (a) Yuan, C. S.; Saso, Y.; Lazarides, E.; Borchardt, R. T.; Robins, M. *J. Exp. Opin. Ther. Patents*, **1999**, *9*, 1197-1206; (b) Yin, D.; Yang, X.; Borchardt, R. T. In *Biomedical Chemistry: Applying Principles to the Understanding and Treatment of Diseases*; Paul F. Torrence, Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: NY, **2000**, 41-77.
27. Turner, M. A.; Yuan, C. S.; Borchardt, R. T.; Hershfield, M. S.; Smith, G. D.; Howell, P. L. *Nature Struct. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *5*, 369-376.

28. Hu, Y.; Komoto, J.; Huang, Y.; Gomi, T.; Ogawa, H.; Takata, Y.; Fujioka, M.; Takusagawa, F. *Biochemistry*, **1999**, *38*, 8323-8333.
29. Keller, B. T.; Borchardt, R. T. In *Antiviral Drug Development*, De Clercq, E.; Walker, R. T., eds. **1988**, 123-138, Plenum Publishing Corp., NY.
30. Guillermin, G.; Muzard, M.; Glapski, S. P.; De Clercq, E. *J. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *49*, 1223-1226.
31. (a) McCarthy, J.R.; Jarvi, E. T., Matthews, D. P., Edwards, M. L., Prakash, N. J.; Bowlin, T. L., Mehdi, S., Sunkara, P. S., Bey, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1989**, *111*, 1127-1128. (b) Mehdi, S.; Jarvi, E.T.; Koehl, J.R.; McCarthy, J.R.; Bey, P. *J. Enzyme Inhibition*, **1990**, *4*, 1-13. (c) Jarvi, E. T.; McCarthy, J. R.; Mehdi, S.; Matthews, D. P.; Edwards, M. L.; Prakash, N. J.; Bowlin, T.L.; Sunkara, P.S.; Bey, P. *J. Med. Chem.* **1991**, *34*, 647-656.
32. Liu, S.; Wnuk, S. F.; Yuan, C. S.; Robins, M. J.; Borchardt, R. T. *J. Med. Chem.* **1993**, *36*, 883-887.
33. Robins, M. J.; Wnuk, S. F.; Mullah, K. B.; Dalley, N. K.; Borchardt, R. T.; Lee, Y.; Yuan, C. S. In *Nucleosides as Antitumor and Antiviral Agents*; Chung K. Chu, David C. Baker, Eds.; Plenum Press: New York, **1993**, 115-126.
34. Wnuk, S. F.; Dalley, N. K.; Robins, M. J. *J. Org. Chem.*, **1993**, *58*, 111-117.
35. Wnuk, S. F.; Yuan, C. S.; Borchardt, R. T.; Balzarini, J.; De Clercq, E.; Robins, M. J. *J. Med. Chem.*, **1994**, *37*, 3579-3587.
36. (a) Yuan, C. S.; Liu, S.; Wnuk, S. F.; Robins, M. J.; Borchardt, R.T.; *Biochemistry*, **1994**, *33*, 3758-3765. Yuan, C. S.; (b) Wnuk, S. F.; Liu, S.; Robins, M. J.; Borchardt, R.T.; *Biochemistry*, **1994**, *33*, 12305-12311.
37. Wnuk, S. F.; Robins, M. J.; *Can. J. Chem.*, **1991**, *69*, 334-338.
38. Tius, M. A.; Kawakami, J. K. *Synlett*, **1993**, 207-208.
39. Wnuk, S. F.; Yuan, C. S.; Borchardt, R.T.; Robins, M. J. *Nucleosides Nucleotides*, **1998**, *17*, 99- 113.
40. (a) Liu, S.; Wolfe, M. S.; Yuan, C. S.; Ali, M. S.; Borchardt, R. T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, **1992**, *2*, 1741-1744. (b) Liu, S.; Yuan, C. S.; Borchardt, R. T. *J. Med. Chem.*, **1996**, *39*, 2347-2353.
41. Robins, M. J.; Neschadimenko, V.; Ro, B. O.; Yuan, C. S.; Borchardt, R.T.; Wnuk, S. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 1205-1211.

42. Wnuk, S. F.; Mao, Y.; Yuan, C. S.; Borchardt, R. T.; Andrei, G.; Balzarini, J.; De Clercq, E.; Robins, M. J. *J. Med. Chem.* **1998**, *41*, 3078-3083.
43. Yuan, C. S.; Wnuk, S. F.; Robins, M. J.; Borchardt, R. T.; *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273*, 18191- 18197.
44. (a) Grubbs, R. H.; Pine, S. H. in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I.; Paquette, L. A. Eds.; Pergamon: NY, **1991**, vol. 5, chapter 9.3. (b) Ivin, K. J.; Mol, J. C. *Olefin Metathesis and Metathesis Polymerization*, Academic Press, San Diego, **1997**, 496.
45. Grubbs, R. H.; Chang, S. *Tetrahedron*, **1998**, 4413-4450.
46. Nicolau, K.C.; Bulger, P. G.; Sarlah, D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2005**, *44*, 4490-4527.
47. (a) Nicolau, K.C.; Snyder, S. A.; *Classics in Total Synthesis II*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2003**, 166-172. (b) Trnka, T.M.; Grubbs, R. H. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *34*, 18-29.
48. Herisson, J.L.; Chauvin, Y. *Macromol. Chem.* **1971**, *141*, 161-176.
49. Casey, C. P.; Burkhardt, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96*, 7808-7809.
50. Katz, T. J.; McGinnis, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 1592-1594.
51. Grubbs, R. H.; Burk, P.L.; Carr, D. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 3265-3267. Grubbs, R. H.; Carr, D. D.; Hoppin, C.; Buurk, P.L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 3478-3483.
52. Schrock, R. R.; Murdzek, J. S.; Bazan, G.C.; Robbins, J.; DiMare, M.; O'Regan, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 3875-3886.
53. McGinnis, J.; Katz, T.J.; Hurwitz, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 605-606.
54. Toreki, R.; Schrock, R. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 2448-2449.
55. Nguyen, S. T.; Johnson, L. K.; Grubbs, R. H.; Ziller, J. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 3974- 3975.
56. Grubbs, R. H. *J. Macromolec. Sci. – Pure Appl. Chem.* **1994**, *A31*, 1829-1833.
57. Randl, S.; Gessler, S.; Wakamatsu, H.; Blechert, S. *Synlett*, **2001**, 430.

58. (a) Amblard, F.; Nolan, S. P.; Agrofoglio, L. A. *Tetrahedron*, **2005**, *61*, 7067-7080. (b) Amblard, F.; Nolan, S. P.; Gillaizeau, I.; Agrofoglio, L. A. *Tetrahedron*, **2003**, *44*, 9177-9180.
59. Agrofoglio, L. A.; Nolan, S. P.; *Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry*, **2005**, *5*, 1541-1558.
60. Crimmins, M.T.; King, B. W. *J.Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 4192.
61. Kuang, R.; Ganguly, A. K.; Chan, T. M.; Pramanik, B. N.; Blythin, D. J.; McPhail, A. T.; Saksena, A. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 9575.
62. Borchardt, R. T.; Keller, B.; Patel-Thrombe, U. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1984**, *259*, 4353-4358.
63. (a) Gillaizeau, I.; Charamon, S.; Agrofoglio, L.A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 8817-8819. (b) Agrofoglio, L.A.; Amblard, F.; Nolan, S. P.; Charamon, S.; Gillaizeau, I.; Zevaco, T. A.; Guenot, P. *Tetrahedron*, **2004**, *60*, 8397-8404.
64. Jin, Y. H.; Liu, P.; Wang, J.; Baker, R.; Huggins, J.; Chu, C. K. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 9012-9018.
65. Houston, D. M.; Matuszewska, B.; Borchardt, R. T. *J. Med. Chem.* **1985**, *28*, 478-482.
66. Houston, D. M.; Dolence, E. K.; Keller, B. T.; Patel-Thrombe, U. ; Borchardt, R. T. *J. Med. Chem.* **1985**, *28*, 471-477.
67. Hasobe, M.; Liang, H.; Ault-Riche, D. B.; Borcharding, D. R.; Wolfe, M. S.; Borchardt, R. T.; *Antiviral Chem. & Chemother.* **1993**, *4*, 245-248.
68. Bestmann, H. J.; Roth, D. *Synlett*, **1990**, 751-753.
69. Moon, H. R.; Choi, W. J.; Kim, H. O.; Jeong, L. S. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, *13*, **2002**, 1189-1193.
70. Furstner, A. *Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 3012-3043.
71. (a) Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions; de Meijere, A., Diederich, F., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, **2004**. (b) Topics in Current Chemistry; Miyaura, N., Ed.; Springer-Verlag: NY, **2002**, vol. 219.
72. Negishi, E.-I.; Hu, Q.; Huang, Z.; Qian, M.; Wang, G. *Aldrichim. Acta* **2005**, *38*, 71-88.

73. (a) Negishi, E. -I.; Liu, F. In *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*; Diederich, F., Stang, P. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, **1998**; Chapter 1, pp 1-47. (b) Dai, C.; Fu, G. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 2719-2724.
74. (a) Zeng, X.; Hu, Q.; Quian, M.; Negishi, E. -I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 13636-13637. (b)) Zeng, X.; Quian, M.; Hu, Q.; Negishi, E. -I. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2259-2263. (c) Minato, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 4052-4056. (d) Negishi, E. -I.; Shi, J. C.; Zeng, X. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 9886-9895. (e) Shi, J.-C.; Zeng, X.; Negishi, E. I. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1825-1828.
75. Lei, X.; Dutheuil, G.; Pannecoucke, X.; Quirion, J.-C. *Org. Lett* **2004**, *6*, 2101-2104.
76. (a) Minato, A.; Suzuki, K.; Tamao, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 1257-1258. (b) Tan, Z.; Negishi, E.-I. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 762-765.
77. Baba, S.; Negishi, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 6729-6731.
78. Negishi, E.; Baba, S. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1976**, 596-597.
79. King, A. O., Okukada, N.; Negishi, E. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1977**, 683-684.
80. Negishi, E.; King, A.; Okukada, N. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 1821-1823.
81. Negishi, E. *Aspects Mech. Organomet. Chem.*, [Proc. Symp.] (ed. Brewster, J. H.), 285-317 (Plenum NY, **1978**).
82. Erdik, E. *Tetrahedron* **1987**, *43*, 2203-2212.
83. Zhu, L.; Wehmeyer, R. M.; Rieke, R. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 1445-1453.
84. Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 2457-2483.
85. Negishi, E. *Handbook of Organopalladium Chemistry for Organic Synthesis*, *1*, 229-247, John Wiley & Sons Inc., NY, **2002**.
86. Sammakia, T.; Stangeland, E. L.; Whitcomb, M. C. *Org. Lett.*, **2002**, *4*, 2385-2388.
87. Negishi, E.-I.; Liou, S. Y.; Xu, C.; Huo, S. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 261.
88. Zhou, J.; Fu, G. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 12527.
89. Wiskur, S. L.; Korte, A.; Fu, G. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 82.

90. Chemler, S. R.; Trauner, D.; Danishefsky, S. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4544.
91. (a) Dang, H. P.; Linstrumelle, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1978**, *19*, 191. (b) Hayashi, T.; Konishi, M.; Kumada, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979**, *20*, 1871. (c) Hayashi, T.; Konishi, M.; Yokota, K.; Kumada, M. *Chem. Lett.* **1980**, 767.
92. (a) Smith, A. B.; Qiu, Y.; Jones, D. R.; Kobayashi, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 12011. (b) Smith, A. B.; Beauchamp, T. J.; LaMarche, M. J.; Kaufman, M. D.; Qiu, Y.; Arimoto, H.; Jones, D. R.; Kobayashi, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 8654. (c) Paterson, I.; Florence, G. J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 2193.
93. Langille, N.F.; Panek, J. S. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 3203.
94. Novak, T.; Tan, Z.; Liang, B.; Negishi, E.-I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 2838.
95. Hu, T.; Takenaka, N.; Panek, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 12806.
96. Tan, Z.; Negishi, E. -I. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2911.
97. (a) Grushin, V. V.; Alper, H. *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *94*, 1047-1062. (b) Grushin, V. V.; Alper, H. In *Activation of Unreactive Bonds and Organic Synthesis*; Murai, S., Ed.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, **1999**, 193-226.
98. Shi, J.; Negishi, E.-I. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2003**, *687*, 518.
99. Panek, J. S.; Hu, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 4914.
100. (a) Roush, W. R.; Moriarty, K. J.; Brown, B. B.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 6509. (b) Roush, W. R.; Koyama, K.; Martine, M. L.; Moriarty, K. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 7502. (c) Ogasawara, M.; Ikeda, H.; Hayshi, T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 1042.
101. Arefolov, A.; Panek, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 5596.
102. (a) Wipf, P.; Lim, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 558. (b) Williams, D. R.; Brooks, D. A.; Berliner, M. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 4924.
103. Moyround, E.; Strazewski, P. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 1277-1284.
104. (a) Vorbruggen H.; Krolikiewicz K.; Bennua B.; *Chem. Ber.* **1981**, *114*, 1234-1255. (b) Vorbruggen H.; Hofle G. *Chem. Ber.* **1981**, *114*, 1256-1268. (c) Vorbruggen H.; *Acta Biochem. Polon.* **1996**, *43*, 25-36.
105. Wnuk, S. F.; Yuan, C. S.; Borchardt, R. T.; Balzarini, J.; De Clercq E.; Robins, M. J. *J. Med. Chem.* **1997**, *40*, 1608-1618.

106. (a) Wang, M.; Zhang, J.; Andrei, D.; Kuczera, K.; Borchardt, R.T.; Wnuk, S.F. *J. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *48*, 3649-3653. (b) Wang, J. F.; Yang, X. D.; Zhang, L. R.; Yang, Z. J.; Zhang, L. H. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *38*, 8535- 8546.
107. (a) Goodsell, D. M.; Olson, A. J. *Proteins* **1990**, *8*, 195-202. (b) Morris, G. M.; Goodsell, D. S.; Huey, R.; Olson, A. J. *J. Comput. -Aided Mol. Des.* **1996**, *10*, 293-304. (c) Morris, G. M.; Goodsell, D. S.; Halliday, R. S.; Huey, R.; Hart, W. E.; Belew, R. K.; Olson, A. J. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1998**, *19*, 1639-1662.
108. Huang, H.; Yuan, C. S.; Wnuk, S. F.; Robins, M. J.; Borchardt, R. T. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1997**, *343*, 109-117.
109. O'Donell, M. J.; Wojciechowski, K.; *Synthesis* **1984**, 313-315.
110. O'Donell, M. J.; Polt, R. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 2663-2666.
111. (a) Garber, S. B.; Kingsbury, J. S.; Gray, B. L.; Hoveyda, A. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 8168-8179. (b) Gessler, S.; Randl, S.; Blecheret, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 9973-9976. (c) Andrei, D.; Wnuk, S. F. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 5093-5096.
112. Biagini, S. C. G.; Gibson, S. E.; Keen, S. P. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* **1998**, *1*, 2485-2499.
113. (a) Dunn, M. J.; Jackson, R. F. W.; Pietrusza, J.; Turner, D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 2210-2215. (b) Waelchli, R.; Beerli, C.; Meigel, H.; Revesz, L. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1997**, *7*, 2831-2836. (c) Lohr, B.; Orlich, S.; Kunz, H. *Synlett*, **1999**, 1139-1141.
114. Schricker, B.; Thirring, K.; Berner, H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1992**, *2*, 387-390.
115. Dale, J. A.; Dull, D. L.; Mosher, H. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1969**, *34*, 2543.
116. Tamelen, E. E.; Seiler, M. P.; Wierenga, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 8229.
117. Dale, J. A.; Mosher, H. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973**, *95*, 512.
118. Oh, S. S.; Butler, W. M.; Koreeda, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 4499-4503.
119. Husstedt, U.; Schafer, H. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, 623-624.
120. McCarthy, J. R.; Huber, E. W.; Le, T. -B.; Laskovics, M.; Matthews, D. P. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 45-58.

121. (a) Itami, K.; Nokami, T.; Ishimura, Y.; Mitsudo, K.; Kamei, T.; Yoshida, J. –I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 11577-11585. (b) Andrei, D.; Wnuk, S. F. *J. Org. Chem.*, **2006**, *71*, 405-408.
122. Robins, M. J.; Sarker, S.; Wnuk, S. F.; *Nucleosides Nucleotides* **1998**, *17*, 785-790.
123. (a) Zhang, X.; Burton, D. J. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2001**, *112*, 47-54. (b) Xu, J.; Burton, D. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 4346-4353. (c) Xu, J.; Burton, D. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 2877-2879.
124. Hayashi, T.; Konishi, M.; Kobori, Y.; Kumada, M.; Higuchi, T.; Hirotsu, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 158-163.
125. Rabinowitz, R.; Marcus, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1962**, *84*, 1312-1313.
126. Van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M.; Kamer, P. C. J.; Reek, J. N. H.; Dierkes, P. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 2741.
127. Merget, M.; Bertermann, R.; Wagner, B.; Tacke, R. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 3650-3654.
128. Bachmann, S.; Knudsen, K. R.; Jorgensen, K. A. *Org. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, *2*, 2044-2049.
129. *Org. Synth. Coll.* Vol. IV, pp.250-253.
130. Appell, R. B. *Synth. Commun.* **1995**, *25*, 3583-3587.
131. Chen, C.; Wilcoxon, K.; Zhu, Y. F.; Kim, K. I.; McCarthy, J.R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 3476-3482.
132. McCarthy, J. R.; Huber, E. W.; Le, T. B.; Laskovics, M.; Matthews, D. P. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 45-58.
133. Gross, R. S. *Synth. Commun.* **1995**, *25*, 3583-3587.
134. (a) Xu, J.; Burton, D. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 2877-2879. (b) Vanderhaar, R. W.; Burton, D. J.; Nae, D. G. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1972**, *1*, 381-383.
135. Van Hamme, M. J.; Burton, D. J. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1977**, *10*, 131-143.
136. Burton, D. J.; Greenlimb, P.E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 2796-2801.
137. Tamaru, Y.; Ochiai, H.; Nakamura, T.; Yoshida, Z. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 955-958.

Education

Ph.D. Candidate, Chemistry, Fall 2006, Florida International University,
Dissertation: "Synthesis of multi- substituted halo-olefins via Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. Applications in nucleoside chemistry".
Advisor: Professor Stanislaw Wnuk.

M.S. Natural Products, "Gh. Asachi" Technical University, Iasi, Romania.

B.E. Biochemical Engineering, "Gh. Asachi" Technical University, Iasi, Romania.

Honors & Scholarships

- Outstanding Organic Chemistry Teaching Assistant Award (2005-2006)
- SoFLACS Graduate Travel Award, 2006
- Graduate Students Scholarly Forum, FIU, Spring 2006 – 1st place

Publications

1. Andrei, D.; Wnuk, S. F. "S-Adenosylhomocysteine Analogues with the Carbon-5' and Sulfur Atoms Replaced by a Vinyl Unit" *Org. Lett.* **2006**, 8(22), 5093-5096.
2. Andrei, D.; Wnuk, S. F. "Synthesis of the Multisubstituted Halogenated Olefins via Cross-Coupling of Dihaloalkenes with Alkylzinc Bromides". *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 71(1), 405-408.
3. Wang, M.; Zhang, J.; Andrei, D.; Kuczera, K.; Borchardt, R. T.; Wnuk, S. F. "Are L-Adenosine and Its Derivatives Substrates for S-Adenosyl-L-homocysteine Hydrolase?" *J. Med. Chem.* **2005**, 48(10), 3649-3653.
4. Popa, M. I.; Aelenei, N.; Popa, V. I.; Andrei, D. "Study of the interactions between polyphenolic compounds and chitosan". *Reactive & Functional Polymers* **2000**, 45(1), 35-43.

Presentations at Conferences

1. Andrei, D.; Wnuk, S. F. "Synthesis of S-adenosylhomocysteine analogues via metathesis of 5'-deoxy-5'-methyleneadenosine analogues and homoallylglycine" Division of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 232nd ACS National Meeting, San Francisco, CA, September 10-14, 2006.
2. Andrei, D.; Wnuk, S. F. "Negishi coupling of dihaloalkenes with alkylzinc bromides". 231th ACS National Meeting, Atlanta, GA, March 26-30, 2006.
3. Andrei, D.; Gonzalez, A.; Wnuk, S. F. "Cross-coupling reactions of 1,1-dihalo-1- with alkylzinc bromides". 229th ACS National Meeting, San Diego, CA, March 13-17, 2005.
4. Wnuk, S. F.; Lalama, J.; Andrei, D.; Garmendia, C. A.; Robert, J. "S-Adenosylhomocysteine and S-ribosylhomocysteine analogues with sulfur atom replaced by the vinyl unit". 229th ACS National Meeting, San Diego, CA, March 13-17, 2005.
5. Lalama, J.; Andrei, D.; Garmendia, C.A.; Wnuk, S. F. "Synthesis of S-ribosyl-L-homocysteine analogues via trans-selective Negishi coupling of sugar-derived dihaloalkenes". 227th ACS National Meeting, Anaheim, CA, March 28-April 1, 2004.
6. Andrei, D.; Aelenei, N.; Popa, M. I; Costin, D. "Controlled release of drugs using carboxymethylcellulose-chitosan complex and polyvinylalcohol hydrogels". 3rd International Symposium on Frontiers in Biomedical Polymers Including Polymer Therapeutics- From Laboratory to Clinical Practice, May 23-27, 1999, Shiga, Japan.

Affiliations

American Chemical Society

South Florida American Chemical Society.