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Abstract Diurnal and seasonal controls on water vapor fluxes were investigated in a subtropical mangrove forest in Everglades National Park, Florida. Energy partitioning between sensible and latent heat fluxes was highly variable during the 2004–2005 study period. During the dry season, the mangrove forest behaved akin to a semiarid ecosystem as most of the available energy was partitioned into sensible heat, which gave Bowen ratio values exceeding 1.0 and minimum latent heat fluxes of 5 MJ d\(^{-1}\). In contrast, during the wet season the mangrove forest acted as a well-watered, broadleaved deciduous forest, with Bowen ratio values of 0.25 and latent heat fluxes reaching 18 MJ d\(^{-1}\). During the dry season, high salinity levels (>30 parts per thousand, ppt) caused evapotranspiration to decline and correspondingly resulted in reduced canopy conductance. From multiple linear regression, daily average canopy conductance to water vapor declined with increasing salinity, vapor pressure deficit, and daily sums of solar irradiance but increased with air temperature and friction velocity. Using these relationships, appropriately modified Penman-Monteith and Priestley-Taylor models reliably reproduced seasonal trends in daily evapotranspiration. Such numerical models, using site-specific parameters, are crucial for constructing seasonal water budgets, constraining hydrological models, and driving regional climate models over mangrove forests.

1. Introduction

Despite their location in regions with the greatest energy and water availability on Earth, mangrove forests exhibit transpiration rates that can be as low as in semiarid environments [Ball, 1986, 1988; Passiourea et al., 1992]. Unlike other vegetated systems, mangrove forests need to obtain water from highly saline sources while being exposed to high levels of radiation and evaporative demand. The high carbon and energetic costs of salt exclusion requires that mangrove trees use water conservatively despite its abundance [Ball, 1988]. These conditions imply that mangrove forests are water stressed. Therefore, regional transpiration rates from these forest canopies may be regulated more by plant stomata than by meteorological conditions. Surface-vegetation-atmosphere transfer models principally focus on atmospheric conditions and can require careful adjustment when applied to different land cover types [Choi et al., 2012]; current models do not consider the primary factor of the water stress on stomatal conduction and thus evapotranspiration in mangroves induced by high salinity levels. At high salinity levels, stomatal conductance may be less than predicted by plant models that are based on local climate variables. Thus, relationships between stomatal conductance and environmental drivers are needed to ensure that mangrove forests are reliably represented in weather and climate models. At a minimum, typical ranges of canopy conductance to water vapor must be quantified for mangrove forests.

Mangrove forests are pan-tropical and cover a global area of approximately 138,000 km\(^2\) [Giri et al., 2011] of coastal and riverine environments. These forests have a year-round growing season and an annual net primary productivity of 218 ± 72 Tg C [Bouillon et al., 2008]. Mangrove roots are frequently exposed to saline or brackish water and need to secrete, exclude, or accumulate the salt from the water [Parida and Jha, 2010]. Their roots maintain continuous water uptake and regulate ion uptake against a salt gradient [Ball, 1996; Parida and Jha, 2010] where osmotic potentials can exceed that of seawater, –2.5 MPa [Sperry et al., 1988]. Such energetic costs often necessitate minimizing water loss through reduced stomatal conductance and transpiration rates [Ball and Farquhar, 1984]. Mangrove forests are particularly susceptible to water loss during periods with high radiational loads or high vapor pressure deficit (VPD). To keep leaves cool without drastically reducing photosynthesis, mangrove trees have adapted to change leaf angles, decrease leaf size, and enhance leaf succulence [Ball et al., 1988]. To sufficiently limit water loss, however, mangrove trees also
minimize stomatal conductance, assimilating more carbon per unit water loss; therefore, mangrove forests exhibit water use efficiency (WUE, ratio of carbon gain to water loss) greater than the majority of C₃ plants [Ball, 1986; Ball et al., 1988]. While mangrove species exhibit different degrees of salt tolerance and WUE, increasing salinity and decreasing humidity conditions can reduce WUE within plants. Only the most saline tolerant species with the highest overall WUE (but low rates of C assimilation) may remain unimpacted by changes to salinity [Ball et al., 1988]. In the Everglades of Florida, United States, it has been shown that salinities equal to or exceeding that of seawater (35 parts per thousand, ppt) and high net radiation \( R_{net} > 500 \text{ W m}^{-2} \) lead to reduced stomatal conductance and carbon assimilation rates, implying that such variables must be considered in ecophysiological models used in mangrove systems [Barr et al., 2009].

At the ecosystem level, there is a dearth of information about the responses of mangrove forests to the elevated available energy in tropical environments or to the elevated evaporative demand that can be imposed by atmospheric conditions. The ecophysiological responses of mangrove ecosystems are also affected by other regional and global controls such as inundation levels (i.e., water management and storms), soil-pore water salinity, nutrients, tropical storms, cold air masses, air warming, and sea level rise. The first objective of this study was to identify and quantify meteorological and biophysical controls on diurnal and seasonal rates of water vapor fluxes for mangrove forests in the western Everglades of Florida. The second objective was to modify and verify the fidelity of existing evapotranspiration models to reproduce eddy covariance (EC)-derived daily and seasonal rates of water vapor exchange in these mangrove forests. Such models hold promise for improving water budgets and hydrologic modeling along the coasts of south Florida.

**2. Methods**

**2.1. Mangrove Study Site**

To investigate water vapor fluxes and associated meteorological and biophysical variables in mangrove forests, we instrumented a 28 m tower at the mouth of the Shark River in Everglades National Park. The tower became operational on 6 January 2004. The flux tower site is part of the Ameriflux network (US-Srk). Water fluxes were estimated using the EC approach, which entails an open path carbon dioxide (CO₂) and water vapor gas analyzer (Model LI-7500, LI-COR, Inc., Lincoln, NB) and a 3-D sonic anemometer (Model RS-50, Gill Co., Lymington, England). Continuous measurements at the site were used to determine the surface energy balance. Specific conductivity and temperature (Model 600R water quality sampling sonde, YSI Inc., Yellow Springs, Ohio) and water level (Model Waterlog H-333 shaft encoder, Design Analysis Associates, Logan, Utah) were continuously measured in a shallow well at an adjacent site located ~100 m from the tower. Salinity was determined from a known function of conductivity and water temperature. Meteorological variables were averaged over 30 min intervals to investigate diurnal relationships and were presented as daily sums to identify seasonal trends (using gap-filled data [Barr et al., 2010]). The plant canopy at the study site is dominated by red (Rhizophora mangle; dominant spp.), black (Avicennia germinans), and white (Languncularia lacemosa) mangroves. The forest canopy is approximately 15–20 m high, with a leaf area index of about 3 m² m⁻². The site experiences semidiurnal tides, with two high tides per day on most days. Maximum tides reach approximately 0.5 m. Annual rainfall typically exceeds 1500 mm with 60% occurring during May to October in the Everglades [Duever et al., 1994]. Salinity fluctuates seasonally from approximately 10–15 ppt during October–November to 35–40 ppt during May–June.

**2.2. Surface Energy Balance**

We used surface energy flux data to identify diurnal and seasonal patterns in water vapor fluxes. The surface energy balance is defined as

\[
R_{net} - G - \Delta H_{tot} - S = LE + H
\]

where \( R_{net} \) is net radiation, \( G \) is thermal conduction into the soil (i.e., soil heat flux), \( \Delta H_{tot} \) is thermal energy transferred into the water column [Barr et al., 2013a, 2013b], and \( S \) is energy required to heat (or cool) aboveground biomass between the surface and eddy covariance height as well as chemical energy stored during photosynthesis [Gu et al., 2007]. A net radiometer (model CNR1, Kipp and Zonen, Delft, Netherlands) deployed at 30 m above the ground recorded \( R_{net} \). Available energy is partitioned into sensible (\( H \)) and latent (\( LE \)) heat fluxes, which were determined as 30 min averages from 10 Hz eddy covariance measurements [Barr et al., 2010]. Heat flux plates (model HFP01, Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, UT) placed at a depth of 5 cm in
the soil recorded $G$. We did not make the necessary measurements for estimating $S$ or $\Delta H_{\text{tot}}$ for the mangrove forest, and therefore, the amount of energy available for transfer to the atmosphere (i.e., available energy) was simplified to $R_{\text{net}} - G$.

During 2004 to 2009, the mangrove forest energy balance at this site was closed within 70–80% [Barr et al., 2012] when $S$ and $\Delta H_{\text{tot}}$ were not included. Including $S$ and $\Delta H_{\text{tot}}$ can improve closure of the surface energy budget by as much as 10% [Gu et al., 2007] for most forests and 5% for mangrove forests [Barr et al., 2013a, 2013b], respectively. To evaluate the relative strengths of the sensible and latent heat fluxes throughout the study period, we calculated the Bowen ratio ($\beta = H/LE$). In deserts, where radiational loads are high and little water is available to evaporate or transpire, $\beta$ can exceed 10. Over tropical oceans and in forests where water is abundant, $\beta$ values are typically less than 0.5. To compare the magnitude of water vapor fluxes relative to other observed and modeled values, we also corrected the observed latent heat flux ($LE_c$) to account for the lack of closure in the energy balance using the Bowen ratio method [Twine et al., 2000],

$$LE_c = \frac{R_{\text{net}} - G}{1 + \beta}$$

This method can result in $LE_c$ values exceeding the EC-derived $LE$ by 10–30% during midday when closure of the surface energy budget is the lowest (75–90%). Values of $LE_c$ were chosen for further analysis over EC-derived $LE$. $LE_c$ may include a slight positive bias (~5%) since $S$ and $\Delta H_{\text{tot}}$ were ignored, but EC-derived $LE$ likely exhibits an average negative bias of 20 to 30% based on the energy balance considerations.

### 2.3. Meteorological, Hydrological, and Biophysical Controls on Evapotranspiration

Salinity was investigated as a potential control on daily sums of $LE_c$. We hypothesized that increased salinity would result in decreased rates of transpiration of individual mangrove trees coincident with increased osmotic potentials across root-surface interfaces. Lowered transpiration would be evident in lowered daily $LE_c$. To test this hypothesis, daytime sums of $LE_c$ were scaled by daytime sums of available energy (i.e., $\sum LE_c / \sum (R_{\text{net}} - G)$), and these ratios were linearly regressed against daily average salinity values. Rejection of the null hypothesis (that salinity has no effect on latent heat fluxes) required that the slope of the least-squares (LS) regression line had a slope < 0 with 95% confidence ($P < 0.05$). The effect of VPD was also analyzed within this framework by partitioning data into three equally sized bins sorted by daytime average VPD. Ratios were regressed against salinity for each of these three data sets. We expected the control of salinity on the partitioning of $LE_c$ to be more pronounced during those days with higher average VPD when the demand for water vapor transport was larger.

Meteorological drivers and biophysical controls dictate the magnitude of the flux of water vapor from a plant canopy. Vapor pressure deficit and net radiation are the primary meteorological drivers, whereas the canopy conductance to water vapor accounts for the role of plant physiology in determining the latent heat flux. This canopy conductance ($g_{cv}$ in m s$^{-1}$) is the inverse of the canopy resistance ($r_{cv}$), which can be estimated using EC measurements based on the Penman-Monteith relationship [Monteith and Unsworth, 2013],

$$LE_c = \left[\Delta (R_{\text{net}} - G) + \rho_e C_p VPD g_{cv}\right] / \left[\Delta + \gamma (1 + g_{av} / g_{cv})\right]$$

where $\rho_o$ is the density of air (in kg m$^{-3}$), $C_p$ is the specific heat of dry air (1005.7 J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$), and $\gamma$ is the psychrometric constant (0.0067 kPa K$^{-1}$). The vapor pressure deficit (VPD) is determined as $(e_s - e_a$ in kPa) where $e_s$ and $e_a$ (in kPa) are the actual and saturation vapor pressure at the 27 m air temperature ($T_a$ in °C). The $e_s$ was computed using Tetens equation ($e_s(T_a) = 0.611 \times \exp(17.27T_a/(T_a + 237.3))$), and $\Delta$ (in kPa K$^{-1}$) is the slope of $e_s$ with respect to $T_a$ (i.e., $\Delta = 4098 e_s(T_a)/(T_a + 237.3)^2$) [Tetens, 1930; Murray, 1967]. The $g_{av}$ (in m s$^{-1}$) is the atmospheric conductance to water vapor transfer, where $1/g_{av}$ is given by $1/g_{am} + 1/g_{bv}$. The $g_{am}$ (in m s$^{-1}$) is the aerodynamic conductance to momentum, and $g_{bv}$ (in m s$^{-1}$) is boundary layer conductance to water vapor given as

$$g_{am} = k u_r / \ln\left(\frac{z_h - d_0}{z_{am}} - \Psi_m\left(\frac{z_h - d_0}{L}\right)\right)$$

$$g_{bv} = (k u_r / 2) (D_v/D_h)^{2/3}$$

where $z_h$ is the reference height (27 m), $d_0$ is the canopy zero plane displacement (0.65$h$; $h$ is the canopy height, 20 m), and $z_{am}$ is the roughness length for momentum sink (0.13$h$). Values of $d_0$ and $z_{am}$ were selected...
in modeling studies [Barr et al., 2009] of CO2, water vapor, and sensible heat fluxes at this site and are within the range of those reported [Arya, 1988] for vegetated canopies. The \( \Psi_m \) is the integral form of the diabatic correction function for mass transfer and provides an adjustment to the logarithmic wind profile during nonneutral (statically stable or unstable) atmospheric conditions. The \( L \) is the Obukov length (in m), \( k \) is von Karman’s constant (\( k = 0.4 \)), and \( u^* \) is friction velocity (in m s\(^{-1}\)). The \( D_H \) and \( D_v \) are the thermal diffusivity and molecular diffusivity for water vapor, respectively, and the ratio \( D_v / D_H \) is 1.121 at air temperature of 298 K.

Several quantities were derived to understand seasonal and diurnal patterns in the controls on latent heat fluxes. Equilibrium (\( LE_{eq} \)) and imposed (\( LE_{imp} \)) latent heat exchange (in W m\(^{-2}\)) include the meteorological and physiological controls on \( LE_c \), respectively, and are given by

\[
LE_{eq} = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} (R_{net} - G)
\]

(6)

\[
LE_{imp} = \rho_a C_p g_v VPD / \gamma
\]

(7)

Together, \( LE_{eq} \) and \( LE_{imp} \) represent two boundary conditions for latent heat exchange. A linear scaling, termed the canopy decoupling coefficient \( \Omega \) [McNaughton and Jarvis, 1991; Jarvis and McNaughton, 1986], was described to describe the relative importance of atmospheric versus vegetation controls and may be described in terms of \( LE_c \) or \( \Omega \) as follows:

\[
LE_c = \Omega LE_{eq} + (1 - \Omega) LE_{imp}
\]

(8)

\[
\Omega = (LE_c - LE_{imp}) / (LE_{eq} - LE_{imp})
\]

(9)

The \( \Omega \) was also calculated [Jarvis and McNaughton, 1986; Kumagai et al., 2004] as

\[
\Omega = \frac{\Delta / \gamma + 1}{\Delta / \gamma + 1 + g_{var} / g_{cv}}
\]

(10)

where all terms were defined previously. Its range is 0 to 1; when \( \Omega = 1 \) there is perfect coupling (\( LE_c \rightarrow LE_{imp} \)); when \( \Omega = 0 \) there is perfect decoupling (\( LE_c \rightarrow LE_{eq} \)) with the atmosphere, respectively. Besides 30 min average values, daily average \( \Omega \) were also computed using two different approaches. The \( LE_c, LE_{eq} \) and \( LE_{imp} \) were summed during daytime periods, and daily \( \Omega \) (\( \Omega_{day} \)) values were determined according to equation (9). Also, the \( \Omega \) values were determined for each 30 min period during the daytime and a weighted average was computed as

\[
\Omega_{day} = \frac{\sum (LE_c \times \Omega)}{\sum LE_c}
\]

(11)

### 2.4. Modeling Evapotranspiration

To evaluate whether water vapor flux models may be applied to mangrove forests, we tested both a modified version of the Penman-Monteith (PM) model [Monteith and Unsworth, 2013] and Priestley-Taylor (PT) [Priestley...
and Taylor, 1972] model. The Penman-Monteith model was implemented by estimating $g_{cv}$ during the daytime from inversion of equation (3) as

$$
\frac{1}{g_{cv}} = r_{cv} = \left( \frac{\Delta}{g_{ahl}} \left( R_{net} - G \right) + \rho_a \ C_p \ D \right) / \left( \gamma \ L E_c \right) - \left( \frac{\Delta}{g_{ahl}} \right) \frac{1}{g_{ahl}} - \frac{1}{g_{av}}
$$

(12)

where $g_{ahl}$ is the atmospheric conductance to heat transfer (in $m \ s^{-1}$) and $g_{ahl} - g_{av}$ was assumed from similarity theory. The $g_{cv}$ values were then modeled in two different ways and substituted into equation (3) to

Figure 1. Seasonal patterns in daily environmental variables and 7 day moving averages during 2004 and 2005. Variables include (a) daily average stage in meter, (b) average salinity in parts per thousand, (c) average air temperature in degree Celsius at 27 m, (d) average vapor pressure deficit at 27 m in kPa, and (e) daytime sums of net radiation above the canopy at 27 m in MJ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. 
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derive a Penman-Monteith estimate for the latent heat flux. First, a baseline model (PM ver1) was formulated using a constant \( g_{cv} \) set equal to the median \( g_{cv} \) of all daytime periods during 2004 and 2005. This \( g_{cv} \) was the single value best estimate representative of the entire study period. Second, \( g_{cv} \) was modeled using multiple least-squares (LS) linear regression (PM ver2). Potential explanatory variables were selected and included daily daytime averages (weighted by 30 min \( R_{net} - G \)) of salinity, air temperature, VPD, solar irradiance, and friction velocity. Multiple LS-regression was selected as the model structure for two reasons which are (1) seasonal patterns of \( g_{cv} \) appeared to covary linearly with multiple environmental drivers, including salinity, air temperature, and VPD, and (2) a simple linear model accommodates explanatory variables not included in existing models of \( g_{cv} \). For instance, the Lohammar equation \( [\text{Lundblad and Lindroth, 2002}] \) assumes that \( g_{cv} \) declines hyperbolically with VPD, but our model must be flexible to include other factors known to influence seasonal patterns in surface exchanges of CO2 and water vapor. Next, 30 min estimates of \( g_{cv} \) were computed from the linear model using LS-regression coefficients, and LE estimates were determined from substitution of \( g_{cv} \) into (3). The Priestley-Taylor (PT) model was also assessed for its ability to reproduce seasonally varying rates of LE and is given by

\[
LE_{PT} = \alpha \cdot LE_{eq}
\]

(13)

where \( \alpha \) is the PT coefficient. This formulation (13) is equivalent to assigning the aerodynamic term of the Penman equation to be a constant fraction of net radiation \( (J. Jacobs et al., \text{Satellite-based solar radiation, net radiation, and potential and reference evapotranspiration estimates over Florida, USGS Technical Report submitted to the USGS, \text{http://hdwp.er.usgs.gov/ET/GOES_FinalReport.pdf, 2008}) \) and serves as a way to compare observed ET to that expected over a “wet” surface assuming a closed volume and constant net radiation \( [\text{McNaughton and Jarvis, 1983; Wilson and Baldocchi, 2000}] \). It has been suggested that \( \alpha \) converges to a limiting value of 1.26 \( [\text{Priestley and Taylor, 1972}] \) or to a range between 1.1 and 1.4 \( [\text{Monteith, 1995}] \) with increasing \( g_{cv} \). However, there is no theoretical basis for expecting \( \alpha \) to converge to a theoretical limit \( [\text{Wilson and Baldocchi, 2000}] \). In this study, daily values of \( \alpha \) were computed as \( \frac{\sum LE}{\sum LE_{eq}} \) and daily \( g_{cv} \) as daytime averages weighted by 30 min \( (R_{net} - G) \). Daily \( \alpha \) was modeled as a power function of \( g_{cv} \) as

\[
\alpha_{\text{model}} = p_1 g_{cv}^{p_2}
\]

(14)

where \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) are coefficients determined from nonlinear regression. Use of a power function was similar to the approach of \( \text{Ryu et al. [2008]} \), who fit \( \alpha \) to a logarithmic function of \( g_{cv} \). However, the power function compared to a logarithm provided additional flexibility in the shape of the \( \alpha \) versus \( g_{cv} \) response curve. Daily \( LE \) were then modeled from (13) using both median of daily \( \alpha \) during the study period (PT ver1) and using the power function estimate of \( \alpha \) (PT ver2) in (14) using \( g_{cv} \) determined from multiple linear regression (see PM ver2). Direct estimates of \( g_{cv} \) (12) could not be used since these values are computed using observed \( LE \). The root-mean-square error (RMSE) and bias error were determined for daily evapotranspiration, \( ET \), calculated from all of the PT and PM models as compared to the measurements, i.e., \( ET \) (in mm day\(^{-1}\)) = 0.4095 \times LE \) (in MJ m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)), during 2004 and 2005 (Table 1).
3. Results

3.1. Meteorological, Hydrological, and Biophysical Controls on Evapotranspiration

Levels of inundation and surface water salinity exerted influence on the evapotranspiration of the mangrove forest. Daily median water levels were most consistently high (frequently exceeding 0.0 m) during the end of the wet season in October–November (Figure 1a) when water levels were highest upstream in the fresh water marshes. These periods coincided with seasonally maximal freshwater flows toward the Gulf of Mexico and with seasonally minimal salinity levels (< 25 ppt, Figure 1b). During the dry season months of January to May, average water levels often dropped below −0.1 m, and the normal semidiurnal pattern of inundation ceased for several days in succession. Such patterns were the result of reduced freshwater flows through Shark River and the influence of northerly winds, which prevent high tides in the Gulf of Mexico from propagating inland into the estuary. During these dry season months, there was an increasing trend in salinity values, from average daily values of as low as 20 ppt in January toward peak average values of about 35 ppt by July and August.

Seasonal air temperature variability dictated atmospheric saturation vapor pressure which in turn influenced evaporative demand. Air temperatures at 27 m were highest (> 25°C, Figure 1c) during May to September, coincident with the early to middle wet season. Therefore, moving averages of seasonal VPD values were also higher during this period (commonly reaching at least 1.5 kPa, Figure 1d). However, daily VPD was < 1 kPa during days with overcast or mostly cloudy conditions when relative humidity (not shown) exceeded 80%. Daily sums of net radiation (Figure 1e) were most consistently high during May (averaging approximately 20 MJ m⁻² day⁻¹), just prior to the onset of the wet season. The temporal trend in $R_{\text{net}}$ was more variable during June to October; while many days had values exceeding 20 MJ m⁻² day⁻¹, frequent cloudy days regularly lowered the moving average relative to trends observed in May. Lowest $R_{\text{net}}$ (around 10 MJ m⁻² day⁻¹) occurred during December and January coincident with the winter solstice.

3.2. Controls on the Surface Energy Balance

Daily sums of $H$ and $LE$ were nearly equivalent throughout December to April, leading to relatively high Bowen ratios of around, but frequently exceeding, 1.0 (Figure 2). Particularly during the dry season months of January to March, more available energy was often partitioned as $H$ than $LE$ (i.e., $\beta > 1$), a feature commonly observed in semiarid environments [Heusinkveld et al., 2004]. During these months, soil and air temperatures were seasonally lowest (with daily averages of 15–23°C and 14–26°C, respectively).
negative and significant correlation with salinity during the study period. Much of the scatter in the data occurred during days having the lowest tertile of average daily VPD (Figure 4; low VPD, $R^2 = 0.05$) when air temperatures were lowest ($< 20^\circ$C) and during cloudy days when available energy was reduced ($< 10$ MJ m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$). During these low VPD periods, the change in scaled LE$_C$ with salinity was negative and significant but the slope of the regression line was variable (Table 1). During all other periods when VPD was higher (upper two tertiles of VPD), significance values of the regression line were higher ($P < 0.01$) and confidence intervals of the slope were tighter (Table 1) compared to those of the lowest tertile of VPD. Results indicated that salinity also partially controlled evapotranspiration through physiological controls on transpiration. As salinity increases, the osmotic potential between pore water and roots increases and the energetic cost of transpiring water increases; thus, under these conditions, mangrove trees likely partially close leaf stomata to reduce transpiration [Barr et al., 2009]. However, quantifying any reduction in...
transpiration and changes in energy partitioning within the canopy may be difficult during periods when evaporative demand is low (< 1 kPa) since the fractional contribution of surface evaporation to evapotranspiration is generally large and variable under these conditions. As a consequence of partially closed stomata and conservative use of water, mangrove trees pay a price of reduced net ecosystem exchange of carbon (NEE) [Barr et al., 2010] and lowered gross primary productivity (GPP) [Barr et al., 2013a, 2013b].

3.3. Meteorological Drivers and Biophysical Controls on Evapotranspiration

Availability of energy and VPD were primary drivers of the magnitude of \( LE_c \) (Figures 5a
and 5b, respectively). The observed considerable variance of \( \text{LE}_c \) with VPD may be partially attributed to periods of relatively high VPD (0.5 to 2.0 kPa) when the amount of available energy \((R_{\text{net}} - G)\) was insufficient to support evapotranspiration. Such condition was evidenced in comparing the overall correlation \((R^2 = 0.64)\) between VPD and available energy with that \((R^2 = 0.36)\) of the subset of data restricted to available energy \(< 300 \text{ W m}^{-2}\). While \( \text{LE}_c \) generally increased with increasing VPD and available energy, canopy conductance to water vapor, \( g_{cw} \), asymptotically declined with increasing VPD (Figure 5c). However, the trend is nearly linear for VPD > 0.5 kPa. These patterns suggest that water loss through transpiration is limited by the soil and root system. With increasing VPD and available energy during midday, physiological controls result in partial stomatal closure to ameliorate water loss through transpiration and to maintain favorable water potential in individual trees.

The imposed evaporative demand and the equilibrium rate of evapotranspiration represented two key boundary conditions useful for understanding observed patterns in daily latent heat fluxes (Figure 6). Daily \( \text{LE}_{eq} \) depended strongly on net radiation and therefore seasonal patterns closely followed available energy (Figure 1e). Maximum \( \text{LE}_{eq} \) values reached nearly 20 MJ m\(^{-2} \) day\(^{-1} \) in May and June, declined and became more variable during the wet season in June to October, and fell to minimum values (< 10 MJ m\(^{-2} \) day\(^{-1} \)) during the winter solstice in late December. The imposed equilibrium depends on both atmospheric and physiological variables and the moving average remained nearly constant from May to November \((\text{LE}_{\text{imp}} = 5–10 \text{ MJ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}; \text{Figure 5})\) in response to broad wet season maxima of near optimal air temperature (25–30°C; Figure 1c), relatively stable VPD (1.0–1.5 kPa; Figure 1d), seasonal maxima in \( g_{cw} \) (not shown), and declining salinity levels. Two week moving averages of \( \text{LE}_c \) were about 0 to 3 MJ m\(^{-2} \) day\(^{-1} \) higher than \( \text{LE}_{\text{imp}} \) but generally followed the seasonal pattern of \( \text{LE}_{\text{imp}} \) (Figure 6). The \( \text{LE}_{eq} \) was greater than \( \text{LE}_c \), and this difference was most pronounced during February to May. During October and November, \( \text{LE}_{eq} \) declined and nearly converged with \( \text{LE}_{\text{imp}} \). Consequently, \( \text{LE}_c \) was less sensitive to the relative controls of imposed and equilibrium processes on latent heat fluxes during this time period.

The controls on latent heating were more clearly identified by seasonal trends in the decoupling coefficient, \( \Omega \) (Figure 7). For estimates of daily \( \Omega \) (the ratio of \( \text{LE} \) sums and weighted 30 min averages), the coupling of mangrove forest water vapor exchange to the atmosphere was greatest during December to April \((\Omega = 0.2–0.5, \text{i.e.}, \text{period of low decoupling with the atmosphere, when } \text{LE}_c \rightarrow \text{LE}_{eq})\). These trends in \( \Omega \) were likely the result of reduced physiological activity and
therefore reduced stomatal opening during December to February and increased salinity during February to April. Also, water temperatures were seasonally lowest (<25°C) during this period and lapse rates were usually negative (−47 ± 30 to −48 ± 44 °C km⁻¹; mean ± 1 standard deviation) [Barr et al., 2012, Table 1] which likely contributed to reduced surface evaporation contribution to the latent heat flux. In contrast, during August and September, the mangrove forest reached minimal coupling to the atmosphere with moving average Ω values of 0.6–0.7 (i.e., high decoupling, when LE → LEimp). During this time, water and soil surface temperatures were seasonally highest (~30°C) which likely increased the proportion of surface evaporation to daily evapotranspiration. Throughout the study period, daily Ω seldom exceeded 0.75 suggesting that physiological controls and forest-atmospheric coupling at least partially controlled latent heat fluxes during the entire study period. These physiological controls were important even though the peat surface was perpetually wet and was inundated twice daily by high tides, except for a few isolated periods during the dry season.

The decoupling coefficient declined throughout the day, on average, during both dry and wet season periods (by about 0.4, Figure 8). This result indicated a process of increasing physiological controls on stomatal conductance and evapotranspiration during the afternoon, and this control persisted after solar noon when available energy was declining. During this time, mangrove trees may be conserving water and optimizing water use efficiency. Also, values of Ω were on average 0.1–0.2 higher during wet compared to dry season periods. These patterns likely resulted in response to increased physiological activity, decreased salinity, and higher average soil and water surface temperatures during the wet season. Such conditions promoted increased transpiration and surface evaporation.

### 3.4. Reliability of Water Vapor Flux Models

Versions of Penman-Monteith and Priestley-Taylor models adequately reproduced daily and seasonal evapotranspiration rates estimated from eddy covariance. The simplest form of the Penman-Monteith model (PM ver1), which used a constant daytime median gcv of 7.7 mm s⁻¹, under predicted daily rates of LE on average during August to November 2004. A single constant value for gcv was therefore determined insufficient for modeling seasonal rates of ET. Instead, daily average canopy conductance was found to covary negatively with salinity,

![Figure 9. Daily sums of energy balance adjusted latent heat flux (LEc) and 7 day centered moving average. Two variations on canopy conductance for water vapor (gcv) were utilized in the Penman-Monteith model to estimate LE shown as 7 day centered moving averages. Variations included a constant gcv equal to the 30 min median during all of 2004 and 2005 (PM ver1), and gcv modeled using multiple LS regression (PM ver2).](image)
solar irradiance, and VPD and positively with increasing air temperature and surface friction velocity (Table 2). These multiple LS-regression coefficients help explain why using a single value of $g_{cv}$ resulted in under predicting daily LE during August to November 2004. Near optimal physiological activity along with seasonally decreasing salinity, midday VPD, and midday solar irradiance all contributed to higher modeled $g_{cv}$ (see Table 2) and therefore higher LE. Though the ability of the multiple LS-regression model to predict daily $g_{cv}$ was modest ($R^2 = 0.386$), modeled LE (PM ver2; Figure 9) exhibited little bias in comparing moving averages of $LE_C$ and modeled LE (PM ver2). In addition, using variable compared to constant $g_{cv}$ resulted in reduced root mean squared error (RMSE) and improved correlation ($R^2$; Table 3) during the 2004 to 2005 study period. The regression coefficients determined here (PM ver2) may be site specific, and this study needs to be replicated in other mangrove forests to learn if forcings are similar. Also, the magnitude of $g_{cv}$ likely varies with the structure of mangrove forests and relative partitioning between transpiration and evaporation from the surface.

The daily Priestley-Taylor (PT) coefficient, $\alpha$, varied monotonically with increasing $g_{cv}$ (Figure 10) across the entire observed range of $g_{cv}$ and necessitated a seasonally varying $\alpha$. The best fit power function (14) suggested that $\alpha$ did not saturate at the highest observed daily $g_{cv}$ (Figure 10). Results were in agreement with annual patterns reported by Ryu et al. (2008) over a grassland in California but were in contrast to earlier data sets (Monteith, 1995) where $\alpha$ approaches a limit (1.1 to 1.4) with increasing $g_{cv}$. Similar to the PM analysis where $g_{cv}$ was held constant (PM ver1; Figure 9), selecting a constant value of $\alpha$ in evaluating (13) also resulted in under predicting daily LE during August to November 2004 (PT ver1; Figure 11). By using modeled $g_{cv}$ and substituting into (13) to predict $\alpha$, most of the seasonal biases were eliminated in comparing moving averages of modeled (PT ver2) to observed LE. Also, overall model performance (PT ver2) was comparable to Penman-Monteith derived estimates of LE using variable $g_{cv}$ (PM ver2; Table 3). As with the Penman-Monteith models of $g_{cv}$, the Priestley-Taylor model parameterization of $\alpha$ is site-specific, and analyses need to be repeated to learn more general trends and patterns of $\alpha$ versus $g_{cv}$ in mangrove forests.

These Penman-Monteith and Priestley-Taylor models offered promise for modeling ET and understanding seasonally variable energy partitioning patterns in a mangrove forest. While general seasonal trends in daily ET can be represented by using a single
constant value for either $g_{cv}$ or $\alpha$ in the PM and PT formulations, respectively, these simple models result in seasonal biases in latent heat fluxes. A better understanding of energy partitioning was gained by considering the interactive forcings of salinity, solar irradiance, VPD, air temperature, and friction velocity on both $g_{cv}$ and $\alpha$ and therefore daily ET. Future improvements in modeling daily ET in mangrove forests may require the inclusion of seasonally variable structural information (e.g., leaf area index), physiological attributes (e.g., leaf nitrogen content), and parameterizations based on known rates of surface evaporation and transpiration. This study represents one of the first attempts to model energy partitioning trends in a mangrove forest.

4. Conclusions

The mangrove forests in the Everglades National Park of Florida exhibited variable partitioning trends of available energy into sensible and latent heat fluxes in response to physiological traits of mangrove trees and imposed atmospheric evaporative demand. During December to April in 2004 to 2005, the partitioning of the available energy into sensible and latent heat often resulted in Bowen ratio values exceeding 1.0. These Bowen ratios are comparable to those found in semiarid environments, and such patterns are not typically expected in ecosystems with plentiful water. In contrast, during the July to November period these mangrove forests behaved like well-watered broadleaved deciduous forests with Bowen ratios generally $< 0.5$. Such patterns were the result of seasonally variable air temperatures, available energy, vapor pressure deficits, and salinity levels. While air temperatures, net radiation or available energy, and vapor pressure deficits have traditionally been incorporated into numerical models to estimate evapotranspiration, salinity levels have not. Our results show that increasing surface water salinity resulted in reduced energy partitioning to latent heat fluxes consistent with modeled reductions in canopy conductance. Not accounting for the effect of salinity on $g_{cv}$ and latent heat exchange can result in model biases of $\sim 1$ mm d$^{-1}$ in ET. The observed and modeled relationships between salinity and ET identified in this study are consistent with the expected effects of increasing pore water salinity, such as increasing negative osmotic potentials across root membranes, conservative use of water by individual trees, and reduced ecosystem-scale transpiration (and therefore reduced ET).

On average, a salinity increase from the lowest (11 ppt) to the highest (35 ppt) salinity observed during 2004 and 2005 would result in a 26% reduction in available energy partitioned as latent heat flux. Consistent with Bowen ratio patterns, daily decoupling coefficients also varied seasonally with the lowest values (0.2 to 0.4) observed during December to April and highest values (0.5 to 0.7) observed during August to November. Such patterns reinforce the conclusion drawn from Bowen ratios that the forest shifts from a water conservative semiarid ecosystem during December to April to that of a well-watered broadleaved deciduous forest during August to November. Once appropriately modified to consider the effects of seasonally variable salinity, solar irradiance, VPD, air temperature, and friction velocity on ecosystem ET, Penman-Monteith, and Priestley-Taylor models adequately reproduced daily rates of ET during 2004 and 2005.

These results and analyses suggest that parameterized Penman-Monteith and Priestley-Taylor models may have value for large-scale ET modeling of mangrove forests globally. An important next step in modeling ET will be to make these models more general to account for differences in forest structure, environmental forcings (e.g., differences in subtropical versus tropical forests), and duration and frequency of inundation. Such models may be improved by accounting for site differences in the relative contribution of transpiration and evaporation to ET. Field and modeling experiments that distinguish between transpiration and evaporation.
will be needed to improve parameterizations of $g_{cv}$ and $\alpha$. In terms of environmental forcings, it is worth noting that the levels of salinity observed at this study site (11–35 ppt) are lower than those experienced in other mangrove forests. Thus, future work should explore these relationships in more saline environments. While the maximum levels of salinity observed in this study were roughly equal to seawater, other mangrove forests experience 2–3 times that amount [Ball, 1988]. Larger-scale studies are also needed to address the magnitude and ranges of canopy conductance and the Priestley-Taylor coefficient ($\alpha$) and must identify a set of common forcings on either $g_{cv}$ or $\alpha$. The forcings identified here—salinity, solar irradiance, VPD, air temperature, and friction velocity (or wind speeds)—represent a good starting point for further investigation in mangrove forests globally.
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