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**READER’S OPINION**

**Risk of symptomatic dengue for foreign visitors to the 2014 FIFA World Cup in Brazil**

Eduardo Massad1,2, Annelies Wilder-Smith3, Raphael Ximenes4, Marcos Amaku4, Luis Fernandez Lopez5,6,7, Francisco Antonio Bezerra Coutinho1,6,8, Giovannini Evelin Coelho1, Jarbas Barbosa da Silva Jr1, Claudio José Struchiner3, Marcelo Nascimento Burattini1,6,9,*
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Brazil will host the FIFA World Cup™, the biggest single-event competition in the world, from June 12-July 13 2014 in 12 cities. This event will draw an estimated 600,000 international visitors. Brazil is endemic for dengue. Hence, attendees of the 2014 event are theoretically at risk for dengue. We calculated the risk of dengue acquisition to non-immune international travellers to Brazil, depending on the football match schedules, considering locations and dates of such matches for June and July 2014. We estimated the average per-capita risk and expected number of dengue cases for each host-city and each game schedule chosen based on reported dengue cases to the Brazilian Ministry of Health for the period between 2010-2013. On the average, the expected number of cases among the 600,000 foreigner tourists during the World Cup is 33, varying from 3-59. Such risk estimates will not only benefit individual travellers for adequate pre-travel preparations, but also provide valuable information for public health professionals and policy makers worldwide. Furthermore, estimates of dengue cases in international travellers during the World Cup can help to anticipate the theoretical risk for exportation of dengue into currently non-infected areas.
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The FIFA World Cup™ is the biggest single-event sporting competition in the world, attracting visitors from around the globe. Brazil will host the 2014 FIFA World Cup. To be held from June 12-July 13 2014 in 12 cities (Supplementary data, Fig. 1), Brazil is expecting to draw an estimated 600,000 international visitors in addition to more than two million domestic visitors (fifa.com/aboutfifa/worldcup/).

Brazil is endemic for dengue (Coelho et al. 2008, Murray et al. 2013). Dengue virus (DENV) was reintroduced into Brazil in 1981 and by 1995 it had spread throughout the country (Nogueira et al. 2007, Teixeira et al. 2009, 2013). Up until 2009, approximately five million cases of dengue caused by serotypes DENV-1, DENV-2 and DENV-3 were officially reported. In 2010, DENV-1 predominated and in 2011 DENV-4 invaded the country such that, in 2013, the year with the highest dengue incidence reported so far, 95% of cases were due to DENV-1 and DENV-4 (dtr2004.saude.gov.br/sinanweb/tabnet/dh?sinannet/dengue/bases/denguebrnet.def). According to the Brazilian Ministry of Health, in the first 14 weeks of 2014, a reduction greater than 80% in the number of cases with respect to 2013 has been observed in the country (g1.globo.com/bemestar/noticia/2014/03/casos-de-dengue-caem-80-no-1-bimestre-de-2014-diz-ministerio.html).

In addition, approximately 95% of the serotypes that circulated in 2013 are DENV-1 and DENV-4. As the serotypes circulating in 2014 are the same, it should be expected that the risk for dengue in 2014 would be due to these two serotypes.


GeoSentinel is a worldwide network of travel medicine providers that see ill returning travellers. Dengue is an increasingly encountered diagnosis on international travellers (Wilder-Smith & Schwartz 2005, Schwartz et al. 2008, Leder et al. 2013) and was also the most frequent cause of systemic febrile illness in travellers to Brazil, re-
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ported in almost 6% of ill returning travellers from Brazil in a recent GeoSentinel analysis (Wilson et al. 2014).

According to a recent publication, addressing the risk of dengue in an incomplete form, attendees of the sports events in 2014 are at high risk for dengue (Hay 2013). Notwithstanding the importance of drawing the attention to this risk in view of the upcoming World Cup, more detailed analysis are necessary to avoid unnecessary distress among visitors, health authorities and economic losses.

Brazil is a vast country. There is strong seasonal variation of dengue in Brazil and the seasonal distribution is highly dependent on the location (Viana & Ignotti 2013). Transmission in Brazil is most intense during February through May, hence the high season will have passed for the World Cup.

Knowledge of the risk at the 12 different locations of the World Cup in June and July 2014 is important to inform international football fans, tourists and health providers alike. Such risk estimates will not only benefit individual travellers for adequate pre-travel preparations, but also provide valuable information for public health professionals and policy makers. Furthermore, estimates of dengue cases in international travellers during the World Cup can help to anticipate the potential risk for exportation of dengue into currently non-infected areas.

We applied a probability calculus to estimate the individual risk of dengue acquisition in non-immune international travellers to Brazil depending on the football match schedules and locations and dates of such matches for June and July 2014. We obtained the locations of the World Cup from FIFA and dengue incidence data from Brazilian Ministry of Health (dtr2004.saude.gov.br/sinanweb/tabnet/dh/sinan/dengue/bases/denguebrnet.def).

Supplementary data, Fig. 2 shows the map of Brazil with the 12 cities that will host the matches and the incidence of dengue in those cities at the time of the event (averaged over the period between 2010-2013).

We then calculated the per capita daily incidence (force of infection) of dengue for local residents of the host-cities, the expected number of travellers and the number of days and period of the year these visitors are expected to spend in each city, according to each one of the 32 different game schedules analysed (Supplementary data, Fig. 2).

Our calculations are based on the observed dengue outbreaks in Brazil in the last four years when the predominant serotypes circulating were DENV-1 and DENV-4, the same epidemiological scenario observed in the first 14 weeks of 2014. The risk for travellers, therefore, was calculated assuming that they will be subject to a force of infection similar to the ones estimated for the period between 2010-2013. In addition, the calculation assumes that travellers are subject to the same force of infection as local residents. This assumption will be discussed later in this paper.

We based our calculations on 32 possible schedules according to FIFA’s official calendar. In each of those schedules, it is assumed that a visitor will follow his/her team along the Cup. The calculation assumes that visitors attending the matches along his/her team schedule spend a certain number of days in each of the cities hosting the matches, depending on the historical tourist attractiveness of the city (Supplementary data, Fig. 2).

The data used in these estimations are available from the official databases of the Ministry of Health of Brazil, such as Brazilian National Health Reporting System (dtr2004.saude.gov.br/sinanweb/) and Computer Department of the Ministry of Health (2.datasus.gov.br/DATASUS/index.php), and consists in the number of cases reported in each of the 52 epidemiological weeks of the year. We used only the cases reported in the period corresponding to the schedules considered (Supplementary data, Fig. 2), from 11 June until 14 July from 2010 until 2013. This period corresponds to the low season of dengue transmission in all of the cities hosting the matches. In some cities, however, like Natal and Fortaleza, dengue transmission is still active during this period, although in a much lower intensity than during the peak season.

Data on the expected number of visitors was obtained from the Ministry of Tourism (copa2014.gov.br/pt-br/tags/turistas-estrangeiros).

The forces of infection, defined as the per capita number of new dengue cases per day (Marques et al. 1994, Luz et al. 2003) estimated from the raw data for the period between 2010-2013 for each city hosting the matches, were obtained by fitting the raw data to continuous functions. From forces of infection (λ) it is possible to estimate the expected number of cases among travellers in each city (i) hosting the games (D), according to:

\[
D_i = N_i \left[ 1 - \exp \left( - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \lambda_i (t) \, dt \right) \right], \quad (i = 1...12)
\]

where \(N_i\) is the expected number of foreign visitors per schedule in the period between days \(t_1\) and \(t_2\). \(N_i\) was estimated assuming that approximately 20% of each stadium capacity (number of seats) will be occupied by foreigners and by adjusting these figures to the total number of foreign visitors expected for each city hosting the matches, according to Ministry of Tourism (copa2014.gov.br/pt-br/tags/turistas-estrangeiros).

We also calculated the individual risk and the expected number of dengue cases for each one of the 32 game schedules shown in Supplementary data, Fig. 1. As in each game two teams belonging to two different schedules participate, we adjusted the calculation by dividing \(N_i\) by 2 when estimating the expected number of dengue cases for each schedule.

The simulated scenarios - As mentioned above, we calculated the individual risk of dengue acquisition in each city hosting the matches. As we know the expected number of tourists visiting each city, it is possible to simulate different scenarios according to the official FIFA time-schedule for the matches. The eight groups of national teams competing at the World Cup contain four teams each, totalising 32 possible schedules. We assume that a given visitor will follow his/her national team along the games. We also assumed that the time...
spent in each city for each schedule is a function of the
historical tourist attractiveness of each city.

In addition, we considered three different epidemiolo-
gical scenarios for the calculations, namely, the best-
case scenario, the year with the lowest dengue incidence
in the months of June and July of the years 2010-2013;
the worst-case scenario (the year with the highest dengue
incidence in the same period) and the average scenario,
considering the mean incidence in the period considered.

Our estimations result in an expected number of den-
gue cases of 33 individuals among the expected 600,000
foreign visitors, ranging from 3 (best-case scenario) and
59 (worst-case scenario). This translates to an incidence
during the period from 11 June to 14 July of about five
cases per 100,000 visitors. The individual risk of dengue
acquisition varied depending on the schedule and epide-
miological scenario considered. It ranged from 1.4*10^-6
(best-case scenario of schedule H4) to 7.1*10^-4 (worst-
case scenario of schedule C2).

Our results are summarised in Supplementary data
Tables I-III. Accordingly, the expected number of visitors
and of dengue cases for each epidemiological scenario in
the 12 cities hosting the games is show in Supplementary
data, Table I, while the expected number of cases and the
individual risk of dengue for each one of the 32 matches
analysed is available from Supplementary data, Table II. Finally, Supplementary data, Table III shows the number of reported dengue cases for each one of the 12 cities hosting the games, comparing the years of 2013 and 2014 for the first 14 epidemiological weeks.

The cities for which the majority of expected number
of dengue cases will probably be Fortaleza and Rio de Janeiro due to the higher number of tourists and longer length of staying (tourists historically tend to spend the longest period in these cities).

One advantage of our approach is that individual vis-
itors could approximately estimate their own risk based
on length and location of stay, according to the chosen schedule. More importantly, however, our results allow public-health authorities to anticipate the preparedness necessary for each host city regarding both preventative measures to control dengue activity and the planning of health structure needed to attend the expected number of dengue cases. In addition, our results could also be helpful to international health authorities orient their citizens and anticipate the theoretical potential risk of dengue and other arthropod-borne infections importation for their countries (Massad et al. 2008, 2009, Mas-
sad & Wilder-Smith 2009).

Our figures may be an overestimate as we based our calculations on the assumption that a World Cup for-
eign visitor to Brazil is subject to the same risk as local
residents. However, sitting in the football stadium for
most of the day and wandering around touristic places
and beaches may mean less exposure to Aedes mosquito-
toes as compared to living in a peri-domestic environ-
ment where Aedes mosquitoes breed in abundance. On
the other hand, we based our calculations only on den-
gue cases that were reported to the Brazilian Ministry of Health, which may be an underestimation, given the

known underreporting of dengue worldwide (1) (Wild-
er-Smith et al. 2010). Bhatt et al. (2013) suggests that
only approximately 25% of the estimated total dengue
infections would actually be symptomatic (“apparent”
infection). However, their definition of apparent dengue
is more comprehensive than those of traditional surveil-
ance systems, which if applied in our case could overes-
timate the risk of dengue for visitors. Therefore, in this
paper we deal only with symptomatic dengue infection,
as traditional surveillance system report dengue occur-
rence worldwide.

There are some evidences that visitors to Brazil are
likely to be subject to a risk of dengue infection that may
be less than that the local residents suffer. For instance,
data from the Brazilian Ministry of Tourism (dadosefa-
tos.turismo.gov.br/dadosefatos/home.html) show that in
2008 there was one single case among the 350 thousands
European tourists that visited Rio de Janeiro in that year
(Ximenes & Massad 2013). This corresponds to an indi-
vidual risk of dengue of the order of 2*10^-4. This should
be compared with the risk of dengue for local residents,
which for 2008 in Rio was 2*10^-2. Hence, European tur-
ists were subjected to a risk of dengue that was of the or-
der of 10^-4 less than that of local residents. For 2010, the
reported number of European visitors to Rio de Janeiro
summed up to three cases among 370 thousands visitors.
This corresponds to a risk of about 6*10^-6. For the local
residents, however, the risk was 5*10^-4, a difference of
about 1.2*10^-2. In comparison, we estimated an average
individual risk of infection of 5*10^-5, which seems higher
than the above figures for visitors. However, our calcula-
tions are based on the risk of dengue estimated for a spe-
cific period of the year and for the 12 cities hosting the
games. As it is not known the visit duration and epoch of
the year those European visitors spent in Rio de Janeiro,
it is difficult to make a reliable comparison.

Nevertheless, it is likely that the expected number of
cases among non-immune football fans attending to the
World Cup in Brazil will be less than the figures here
calculated. In any case, it is best to have an upper bound
of the risks than no estimation at all.

Our approach can also be applied to the Brazil Olymp-
ic Games, which will take place mainly in Rio de Janei-
ro, to be held August 5-21, 2016, followed by the summer

Furthermore, visitors who come to Brazil to watch
the World Cup football games are likely to extend their
stay in Brazil for more sightseeing and discovering the
country. The risk of dengue will obviously increase the
longer they stay in the country, depending on where the
travel will take them. A recent analysis by GeoSentinel
also provides information on diseases other than dengue
that international travellers or World Cup visitors to Bra-
zil need to be aware of (Wilson et al. 2014).

Estimating the number of dengue infections in inter-
national visitors to Brazil may also help anticipate the
risk of importation of dengue into currently non-dengue
endemic countries when the travellers return home. Trav-
ellers contribute to the spread of dengue globally which
for example has led to the dengue outbreak in Europe in
2012, in the Island of Madeira (Portugal) (Wilder-Smith
et al. 2014). Data on air passengers and air travel patterns may help identify risks for importation (Napoli et al. 2012, Sessions et al. 2013). The establishment of dengue in currently uninfected area will depend on the presence and density of *Aedes* mosquitoes. Assessing the likelihood of local transmission of these viruses into currently uninfected countries such as most of Europe depends on the number of viraemic person-days among air-travellers arriving in the European Union (Seyler et al. 2009).

Finally, the dengue number of cases observed in the first 14 weeks of 2014 is more than 80% lower than the same period in 2013. Many factors could explain this observation, in particular the huge epidemic that occurred in 2013 (the highest ever in Brazilian dengue history with more than 1.3 million cases) and the severe drought observed in the summer period of 2103/2014. The latter factor has been previously reported in Brazil (Olívêr et al. 2014), when a significant negative correlation was observed between dengue cases and bushfires, a phenomenon strongly influenced by drought. In addition, important vector control measures were reinforced as a result of the huge outbreak in 2013. In any case, it is expected that the number of dengue cases among travellers visiting Brazil in the World Cup will be very low.
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TABLE I
Expected number of visitors and dengue cases for each of the cities hosting the games

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Expected visitors(^a) (n)</th>
<th>Expected dengue case(^b) n (best-worst)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>44,952</td>
<td>6 (2-9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT</td>
<td>58,195</td>
<td>10 (1-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RJ</td>
<td>101,910</td>
<td>11 (0-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>36,788</td>
<td>3 (0-8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>37,693</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>20,740</td>
<td>2 (0-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DF</td>
<td>34,391</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>57,855</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>26,252</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>101,150</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>34,782</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>35,343</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>607,051</strong></td>
<td>33 (3-59)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) source: Brazilian Tourism Institute; \(^b\) BH: Belo Horizonte; CB: Cuiabá; CT: Curitiba; DF: Brasília; FT: Fortaleza; MN: Manaus; NT: Natal; PA: Porto Alegre; RE: Recife; RJ: Rio de Janeiro; SA: Salvador; SP: São Paulo.

TABLE II
Expected number of dengue cases and individual risk of infection for each scenario considered according to the 32 schedules analysed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schedule</th>
<th>Expected cases n (best-worst)</th>
<th>Individual risk of dengue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>4 (0-3)</td>
<td>3.35 (0.28-5.79)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>1.82 (0.26-2.76)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>2 (0-2)</td>
<td>3.42 (0.69-5.34)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>2.24 (0.76-3.31)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>2 (0-3)</td>
<td>3.09 (0.15-4.93)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>5.94 (0.48-14.3)(\times10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>1 (0-3)</td>
<td>2.31 (0.16-5.03)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>7.76 (1.44-21.8)(\times10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>2 (0-4)</td>
<td>3.75 (0.36-6.63)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2 (0-3)</td>
<td>4.46 (1.01-7.11)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>1.45 (0.35-2.06)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>1 (0-2)</td>
<td>2.78 (0.96-4.63)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>2 (0-3)</td>
<td>3.88 (0.79-6.25)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>2 (0-3)</td>
<td>2.92 (0.21-5.51)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>9.39 (1.62-21.0)(\times10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>1.98 (0.66-3.16)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>1.41 (0.26-2.44)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>1 (0-2)</td>
<td>1.62 (0.18-2.77)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.03-2.29)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3.69 (1.12-8.15)(\times10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>2 (0-4)</td>
<td>3.314 (0.17-6.25)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>2 (0-3)</td>
<td>2.25 (0.15-5.42)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>6.67 (0.42-15.8)(\times10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5.27 (0.91-13.3)(\times10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>1 (0-2)</td>
<td>1.80 (0.11-3.04)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5.26 (1.84-8.13)(\times10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>2 (0-2)</td>
<td>3.78 (0.87-5.38)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>2.51 (0.83-3.88)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>1 (0-3)</td>
<td>2.17 (0.11-4.39)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>8.18 (0.46-21.1)(\times10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>1 (0-2)</td>
<td>1.88 (0.11-3.89)(\times10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>7.24 (0.14-19.0)(\times10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III
Reported dengue cases in the first 14 weeks of 2013 and 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>Variation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>1,945</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT</td>
<td>3,308</td>
<td>1,168</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>112.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>1,362</td>
<td>177.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>10,321</td>
<td>1,516</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RJ</td>
<td>41,165</td>
<td>1,278</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>6,945</td>
<td>7,550</td>
<td>108.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>74,860</td>
<td>4,849</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DF</td>
<td>5,107</td>
<td>4,532</td>
<td>88.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 148,467 25,110 16.9

Fig. 1: cities that will host the 2014 FIFA World Cup and the respective forces of infection ($\lambda$) averaged over the years between 2010-2013 for the period of the games (12 June-14 July).

Fig. 2: the 32 matches’ schedules chosen for the estimating the expected number of cases. Colours refer to the matches’ groups and are marked on the specific dates of each match. BH: Belo Horizonte; CB: Cuiabá; CT: Curitiba; DF: Brasília; FT: Fortaleza; MN: Manaus; NT: Natal; PA: Porto Alegre; RE: Recife; RJ: Rio de Janeiro; SA: Salvador; SP: São Paulo.