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ABSTRACT

Within the context of modern digital phased array (DPA) radios, differential Radio Frequency (RF) front-ends provide much greater immunity to noise and distortion by suppressing second order harmonics. Recent advancements in differential RF front-ends offer high dynamic range, high linearity, and low noise in the transceiver chain. However, a major roadblock to fully differential systems is the presence of common-mode resonances. In this article, we present a novel wideband differential feed for dipole arrays that overcomes this bottleneck. Our dipole array is developed for the L-S band (viz. 1.05 GHz to 3.2 GHz) with emphasis on dual-linear polarization and resonance-free scanning to low angles. The novelty of this article is the Balanced Wideband Impedance Transformer (BWIT) feed that mitigates common-mode currents across the entire band while scanning to low angles. Array simulations are verified with measurements of an 8×8 prototype in a volume of 384mm×384mm×57mm. The array achieves resonance-free scanning across a 3:1 impedance bandwidth with VSWR < 3 for the cases of θ = 0° (Broadside), 45° (D-plane), 60° (E-plane) and across a 2.37:1 impedance bandwidth with VSWR < 3 for the case of 45° (H-plane). Measured gain achieves near-theoretical values across the operating band.
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I. INTRODUCTION

WIDEBAND antennas and arrays are essential for high data rate communications and software defined radios (SDRs). Wideband systems also enable increased spectral efficiency, increased data rates, Multiple-Input-Multiple-Output (MIMO) and secure spread spectrum communications. For many applications, these arrays would require a wide angle scanning range for comprehensive spatial coverage. Notably, wideband arrays can replace several narrow-band antennas to reduce power, cost, and space requirements.

In this article, we are aiming at multi-functional array, specifically for base-station communications with applications to 4G LTE (long term evolution), the new AWS-3 (Advanced Wireless Service) and “mid-band 5G” bands.

As mobile communication systems continue to develop, it is apparent that wideband, high gain, low-cost antennas are needed to keep pace with data rates, especially in the band from 1-3 GHz [1]. More specifically, the second generation (2G) systems operated in the frequency bands 1710-1880 MHz (GSMA 1800) and 1850-1990 MHz (GSMA 1900), while the third generation (3G) systems like CDMA 2000 and WCDMA use the frequency band 1920-2170 MHz. The LTE 4G systems, such as LTE2300 and LTE2500 use designated frequency bands 2300-2400 MHz and 2500-2690 MHz, and the AWS bands 1700-2100 MHz with the 3G technology. Also, the GPS L1, L2 an L5 bands (1575, 1227, 1176 MHz, respectively) are integral to today’s communication systems. Furthermore, the “mid-band 5G” technology systems use a similar frequency range, from 2300 to 2600 MHz. Thus, an UWB array with wide-angle scanning in the 1-3 GHz band is beneficial for 2G/3G/4G/5G base stations, as it reduces hardware complexity.
Concurrent to the aforementioned applications, there is a movement in RF hardware towards differential components [2]. Differential configurations enable high dynamic range, high linearity, and inherently lower distortions in response to noise and interference from power supplies. Distortions due to even order harmonics from nonlinear devices can also be suppressed by differential lines [3]. Further, differentially fed antennas (e.g., dipoles) are inherently compatible with differential amplifiers, thereby removing extraneous baluns across the RF chain and antenna feeds. The removal of these unnecessary balun stages, especially in the case of ultra-wideband (UWB) balun, significantly reduces associated losses and phase/amplitude mismatches.

Recently, many reduced size, weight, area, power and cost (SWAP-C) coupled dipole arrays have been developed for UWB and multi-functional operation to meet the aforementioned needs. Thus far, these have been implemented with a variety of accompanying feed designs [4]–[13]. Of course, a dipole array over a ground plane requires a balanced excitation at the dipole terminal that extends a distance $H$ above the ground plane (where $\lambda/4 < H < \lambda/2$ to respect image theory). Notably, traditional differential feeds have inherent UWB performance at broadside, however, they exhibit common-modes when used in a phased array that scans to low angles [14], [15]. For instance, the UWB differential feeds in [16]–[19] exhibited common-mode resonances when scanning in the E-plane nearing angles of 45°. As is known, these common-modes arise for particular scan angles and frequencies in the differential feed lines due to destructive mutual coupling between elements in presence of a third conductor (i.e., ground plane). If not addressed properly, these common-modes radiate in a manner that significantly reduces the efficiency and usable bandwidth of balanced array structures (i.e., PEC backed dipoles) [14], [15]. Hence, a major challenge in the design of fully differential radios is the vertically oriented balanced feed that does not resonate when scanning across large bandwidths.

In the past, the most prevalent way to suppress scan-dependent common-modes was to employ a balanced-to-unbalanced feed (viz balun), thereby avoiding differential feeding altogether [5], [7]–[12], [14]. A typical wideband balun was the folded Marchand balun [5], [20], which has been adapted to higher frequencies [10], [21] and in novel fabrication methods [12]. However, as discussed above, this approach introduces the need for more baluns later in the predominantly differential RF chain. Other methods have been explored to mitigate such modes without a balun feed. For example, by employing conductive walls at the edges of the dipole arms for disruption of the coupled fields [16], [17], [22], yet this approach introduces design complexities in fabricating a non-planar ground plane. Also, resistive terminations have been used to attenuate the common-modes at the cost of efficiency [18], [19].

In this article, the novel dipole array in Fig. 1 is presented. This differentially fed array achieves continuous wide-angle scans across its wideband operation. To realize resonance-free scans, a new feed structure, referred to as the Balanced Wideband Impedance Transformer (BWIT), is presented.
II. DIFFERENTIALLY FED DIPOLE ARRAY DESIGN

A. DIFFERENTIAL FEEDING NETWORK

As previously noted, several past dipole arrays employed an integrated Marchand balun [5], [20] with the characteristics of that in Fig. 2(a). In this article, the dipoles are excited by the BWIT feed network in Fig. 2(b). This feed consists of two microstrip Marchand baluns arranged in parallel to form one differential stripline feed structure. As depicted, the BWIT structure excites the dipoles through a via shorted to the feed’s outer shielding. As with a Marchand balun, the BWIT also relies on the “T shaped” feed line to couple to the dipoles through its return path, with the open stub eliminating common modes.

For design, the proposed BWIT feed was simulated as an infinite array without the dipoles in ANSYS HFSS v.19. Fig. 3 shows that the BWIT conveys good amplitude and phase balance with negligible common-mode influence, even when scanning down to 60°. At broadside, the simulated maximum amplitude balance was ±0.01 dB with maximum phase imbalance of ±1.28 degrees. Further, only small phase imbalances are observed in the 45° and 60° scanning cases, and these are attributed to the common mode coupling introduced to the feed branches. However, the BWIT still retains a high common-mode rejection ratio (CMRR) >27 dB (see [23, Fig. 2]). But this is only an imbalance of ±0.01 dB and maximum phase imbalance < ±1.5°. Further, the average simulated insertion loss was 0.67 dB, 0.86 dB and 1.01 dB over the band for the respective broadside, 45°, and 60° scan cases.

B. DIPOLE ARRAY DESIGN

The dipole array operates at two independent linear polarizations using an egg-crate configuration for ease of assembly (see Fig. 1). Also, a metal frequency selective surface (FSS) superstrate is employed for low-angle scanning with FSS design considerations, following those outlined in [5], [20]. In short, sub-wavelength metallic rectangles are printed above the dipoles as a FSS superstrate. This periodic FSS emulates an effective dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}$) of the impedance matching as a thick dielectric slab above the dipoles. Importantly, that superstrate eliminates the occurrence of trapped waves at deep scan angles (typically > 50°).

Capacitive overlap sleeves are included to extend impedance bandwidth by countering the inductance of the ground plane at lower bands [24]. The final design dimensions are given in Fig. 1(c) and Table 1.

C. DIPOLE ARRAY WITH BWIT SIMULATIONS

Infinite array simulations of the array were performed in the balanced mode, using a pair of differential 50Ω lumped ports, excited with 0° and 180° phases [25]. This is denoted in Fig. 2(b). The infinite array active VSWR is given Fig. 4(a). The array provides a broadside VSWR < 3 across 1.05-3.2 GHz, implying an impedance bandwidth ratio of 3:1. Likewise, while scanning down to 45°, the array shows VSWR < 3 across 1.05-3.2 GHz in the E-plane and D-plane and across 1.35-3.2 for the H-plane. Even more, resonant-free VSWR < 3 impedance bandwidth is achieved in the E-plane down to 60°.

As is the case with other perfect electric conductor (PEC) backed dipole arrays, the H-plane scanning VSWR degrades at lower frequencies [5]–[7], [20]. This is primarily due to Floquet mode impedance variations with scanning. Specifically, the $1/\cos(\theta)$ term modifies the H-Plane impedance significantly when scanning [26]. Notably, higher order multilayer superstrate matching network improves this mismatch, but at the expense of array profile and complexity [6], [27]. The unit cell H-pol to V-pol port-to-port coupling is also given in Fig. 4(b), showing > 30 dB isolation between the polarizations across all scan angles of interest.

After optimizing the array’s infinite array performance, full-wave semi-finite simulations were performed. These results, which include finite edge and ground plane effects,
are presented in the next section along with comparative measurements.

III. ARRAY FABRICATION AND MEASUREMENTS

A. ARRAY PROTOTYPE FABRICATION

For validation, an 8 × 8 array prototype, shown in Fig. 5 was fabricated with dimensions as given in Fig. 1(c) and Table 1. The antenna board was constructed from three 0.50 mm (20 mil) Rogers 5880 ($\varepsilon_r = 2.2$) laminates, subject to metal tolerance of 0.25 mm (10 mil) and the stackup in Fig. 1. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this is the first differential array that includes both the feed and superstrates on the vertical antenna cards without added fabrication steps, implying a simple implementation. For ease of assembly, notches were cut into the dielectric board outlines to enable an egg-crate arrangement, providing structural stability. No direct electrical connection or soldering was required at the joints. The 384mm × 384mm ground board in Fig. 5(a) was milled from four copper-clad 60 mil FR4 board with element cutouts. These four sections were combined with copper tape at the seams to form a lightweight, structurally stable and resonance free ground plane for testing the array. Notably, material choices have consequences beyond electrical properties. For example, the utilized Rogers 5880 material is of exceptional low loss, but is also physically pliable. This can lead to adverse effects in polarization purity, as described below.

Fig. 5(c) shows the feed ports at the bottom side of the ground plane. Notably, the fabricated TCDA houses 256 connectors to excite each antenna element differentially (64-element differential pairs per polarization). Future application-specific beamforming feed network can be designed, determined by the space, weight, and power needs of the application. Coaxial cables would likely be used to feed into a beamforming network. Depending on the availability of existing amplifiers and switches, a printed feed network with integrated balun could also be considered.

B. ARRAY MEASUREMENTS

For measurement, a 4-port Vector Network Analyzer (VNA) was operated in an internally calibrated differential mode. Two ports (ports 1 and 2) of the VNA were employed to create an ideal 180° hybrid coupler to measure the balanced S-parameters for each dipole, as shown in Fig. 6. We computed the active balanced VSWR by adding a linear balanced
reflection coefficient $S_{dd,nn}$ of the embedded center element under test, with a balanced coupling terms $S_{dd,nm}$ [6]. For each measurement, the remaining elements were terminated with 50Ω matched loads.

The measured active VSWR of an embedded center element is depicted in Fig. 7 as a function of frequency with comparison to simulations. As seen, the measured active VSWR yields an 3:1 impedance bandwidth with VSWR $< 3$ from 1.05 GHz to 3.2 GHz at broadside, in agreement with semi-finite simulations. In fact, a slightly greater impedance bandwidth was measured due to ohmic losses and soldering imperfections. Notably, E-plane and H-plane scanning VSWR closely follows the infinite array simulated values, with VSWR $< 3$ across the band. There is a mismatch at 2 GHz in the H-plane due to fabrication tolerances and a lack of mutual coupling versus an infinite array. As previously noted, the degraded impedance matching in the H-plane is typical of this array family [6], [20]. In addition, the effect of edge elements on impedance bandwidth is also simulated, as shown in Fig. 7(d). The edge elements have a VSWR $< 3$ across 1.76 - 3.4 GHz, though in practice these are typically used as matched terminated guard elements.

For gain measurements, an external Marki Bal-0003 balun [28] was used to translate the differential antenna port to the single-ended measurement port. This test component was later de-embedded from the gain measurement. Using this set-up, we measured the embedded center element gain of an otherwise match-loaded 8 × 8 dual-polarized array, depicted in Fig. 5. The measured broadside co-polarized and cross-polarized gain of an embedded center element is plotted versus frequency in Fig. 8 in comparison to simulations. The array pattern is constructed using the single element measurements with Ludwig’s 3rd definition [29]. These are given in Fig. 9. The measured patterns closely follow both the predicted values and the theoretical $4\pi A cos(\theta)/\lambda^2$ gain, where A is the unit-cell area. Due to the measurement equipment being single-ended, the development of a balun–beamforming network would be required to show the radiation patterns of the 8 × 8 finite dual-polarized array. Instead, the embedded element pattern is shown, as it is well known to be a good indication of the scan performance in a large array [6].

Notably, the differences between the simulated and measured cross-polarized gain levels in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 stem from the presence of small physical misalignment’s. Specifically, even a 1° misalignment can create large disparities in decibel scales [29]. Here, the 1° misalignment refers
TABLE 2. Comparison of UWB dipole arrays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Differential</th>
<th>Bandwidth (GHz)</th>
<th>Scan Range (°/H)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[4]</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>8.00-12.5</td>
<td>70 / 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5.00-10.0</td>
<td>75 / 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6]</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.53-21.2</td>
<td>60 / 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7]</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.80-4.38</td>
<td>70 / 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>7.00-18.0</td>
<td>60 / 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>17.0-42.0</td>
<td>45 / 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2.05-10.3</td>
<td>60 / 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2.20-3.95</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9]</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.80-2.50</td>
<td>50 / 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3.00-14.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15]</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.30-1.00</td>
<td>45 / 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This Work</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1.05-3.20</td>
<td>60 / 45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

We presented a dual-polarized dipole array operating from L to S bands (viz. 1.05 GHz to 3.2 GHz), specifically targeting 2G to 5G communications bands. The presented novel ultrawideband differential feed, denoted as the Balanced Wideband Impedance Transformer (BWIT), removes common-mode resonances when scanning up to 60° off broadside in the E-plane. In reference to past common-mode mitigation techniques, the BWIT is unparalleled in achieving balanced feeding on low-cost PCB, with no added fabrication steps or losses. Trade-offs between performance, material choice, and antenna profile were discussed. Most importantly, an 8 × 8 differential array was fabricated and measured, with measurements showing agreement to simulations.
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