# FIU Digital Commons

**SERC** Research Reports

Southeast Environmental Research Center

5-30-2008

# Annual Summary Quality Assessment Report for the Coastal Water Quality Monitoring Network

#### Ruth Justiniano

Southeast Environmental Research Center, Florida International University, justinia@fiu.edu

#### Joseph N. Boyer

Southeast Environmental Research Center, Florida International University, boyerj@fiu.edu

#### Henry O. Briceño

Southeast Environmental Research Center, Florida International University, bricenoh@fiu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/sercrp

Part of the Environmental Health and Protection Commons, Environmental Indicators and Impact Assessment Commons, Environmental Monitoring Commons, and the Water Resource Management Commons

#### Recommended Citation

Justiniano, Ruth; Boyer, Joseph N.; and Briceño, Henry O., "Annual Summary Quality Assessment Report for the Coastal Water Quality Monitoring Network" (2008). SERC Research Reports. 76. https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/sercrp/76

This work is brought to you for free and open access by the Southeast Environmental Research Center at FIU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in SERC Research Reports by an authorized administrator of FIU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dcc@fiu.edu.

# ANNUAL SUMMARY Quality Assessment Report for the Coastal Water Quality Monitoring Network (Agreement 4600000352)

For the period January - December 2007



Submitted to the
Environmental Resource Assessment Department
Water Quality Analysis Division
South Florida Water Management District
1480 Skees Road
West Palm Beach, FL 33411-2642

May 30th, 2008

by
Ruth Justiniano, QA Officer (justinia@fiu.edu)
Joseph N. Boyer, Ph.D. (boyerj@fiu.edu)
Henry O. Briceño, Ph.D. (bricenoh@fiu.edu)

Southeast Environmental Research Center OE-148, Florida International University Miami, FL 33199

#### INTRODUCTION

This report is a summary of the assessment of the Southeast Environmental Research Center at Florida International University (SERC) field sampling and laboratory analysis project for the South Florida Water management District (SFWMD or District) funded Coastal Water Quality Monitoring Network, primarily for the following projects: Florida Bay (FLAB), Whitewater Bay (WWB), Biscayne Bay (BB), Ten Thousand Islands (TTI), and Rookery Bay (ROOK), from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007.

Water quality parameters monitored at each station include the dissolved nutrients nitrate + nitrite (NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup>), nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), inorganic nitrogen (DIN), and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP). Total concentrations of nitrogen (TN), organic nitrogen (TON), phosphorus (TP), and organic carbon (TOC) were also measured. All concentrations for each of these parameters are reported as parts per million (ppm) except where noted. Phytoplankton biomass was measured using chlorophyll a (CHLA,  $\mu$ g l<sup>-1</sup>). Field parameters measured at both surface and bottom of the water column include salinity, dissolved oxygen (DO; mg l<sup>-1</sup>), and temperature (°C). Turbidity (NTU) and pH were measure in surface water only.

Because field quality control (QC) samples are collected for trips that include multiple project samples for the stations of interest, the report may also cover information on stations or projects other than those in the above list. For 191-199 all samples were collected with duplicates; for surveys 200 to 202 and as requested by the SFWMD, field duplicates were collected but no sample duplicates were taken.

The SERC Field Sampling Quality Manual<sup>1</sup> provides the minimum requirements followed in field sample collection. The Chemistry Laboratory Quality Manual<sup>2</sup> provides the minimum requirements followed in preparing and analyzing laboratory samples, as well as data verification and validation. The Field Sampling Quality Assessment and Laboratory Analysis Quality Assessment sections in this report provide the field and laboratory QC results during this quarter. The SERC Laboratory Information Management System provided the data used in this report. These data are considered preliminary until release into the District's DBHYDRO database.

This report is therefore a quality assurance QA/QC summary of collective efforts contributing from both field and laboratory staff. Its contents have been reviewed by the Quality Assurance Officer of the SERC laboratory.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>SERC-FIU. 2006. Field Sampling Quality Manual, Version X. Southeast Environmental Research Center, Florida International University, Miami, FL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>SERC-FIU. 2008. Chemistry Laboratory Quality Manual, Version X. Southeast Environmental Research Center, Florida International University, Miami, FL

#### **GLOSSARY**

- **Accuracy.** The agreement between the actual obtained result and the expected result. QC-check samples, having known or "true" values, are used to test for the accuracy of a measurement system.
- **Equipment Blank (EB).** A general terminology used for analyte-free water that is processed onsite through all sampling equipment used in routine sample processing. May be an assessment of effectiveness of laboratory decontamination or on-site (field) decontamination (FCEB).
- **Field Blank (FB).** Analyte-free water that is poured directly into the sample container on site during routine collection, preserved and kept open until sample collection is completed for the routine sample at that site. FB values are indicative of environmental contamination on site.
- **Field Cleaned Equipment Blank (FCEB).** Analyte-free water that is processed on-site, after the first sampling site, through all sampling equipment used in routine sample processing. EB values are indicative of the effectiveness of the decontamination process.
- Method Detection Limit (MDL). The smallest concentration of an analyte of interest that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the concentration is greater than zero. The MDLs are determined from the analysis of a sample in a given matrix, using accepted sampling and analytical preparation procedures, containing the analyte at a specified level. The MDL is determined by the protocol defined in section 40 CFR, Part 136, Appendix B, as established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- **Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL).** The smallest concentration of an analyte of interest that can be quantitatively reported with a specific degree of confidence. Generally, the PQL is 12 times the standard deviation that is derived from the procedure used to determine the MDL, or can be assumed to be four times the MDL.
- **Precision.** The agreement or closeness between two or more results and is an indication that the measurement system is operating consistently and is a quantifiable indication of variations introduced by the analytical systems over a given time and field sampling period.
- **Relative Percent Difference (RPD).** A measure of precision, used when comparing two values. It is calculated as %RPD = [Value1-Value2]/Mean\*100.
- **Relative Standard Deviation (RSD).** A measurement of precision, used when comparing more than two results. It is calculated as %RSD = [Std. Deviation/Mean]\*100.
- **Replicate Sample (RS).** A second sample collected from the same source as the routine sample, using the same sampling equipment. RS data are compared to routine sample to evaluate sampling precision.
- **Split Sample (SS).** A second sample collected from the same sample obtained from the same sampling device. Results for SS are compared with routine sample results; agreement between these two results is mostly an indication of laboratory precision.
- **Z-Value.** A measure of the deviation of the result (Xi) from the assigned value (X) for that determinant (calculated as  $z = (Xi-X)/\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is a standard deviation) (EURACHEM).

# **SUMMARY**

For the period January-March 2007 Surveys 191-193

# FIELD SAMPLING QUALITY ASSESSMENT

#### PROCEDURE UPDATES

This period had no major procedural updates related to field data collection or to grab sample collection.

#### MISSING FIELD DATA

Survey 191 - Samples 456-460 and 479 have no salinity, DO, temperature, turbidity, and pH due to field meter malfunction.

Shelf 47 – Samples 375,376,383-395, and 397-399 had no salinity, DO, and temperature due to malfunction of CTD.

**Corrective action:** All field equipment that had problems during Survey 191 and Shelf 47 were repaired.

#### **QUALITY CONTROL**

All filtered samples were collected and filtered with a 0.7 um pore size filter.

**Corrective Action:** As per previous agreement with SFWMD, as long as SERC notifies or flags samples, there will be no need for variance request.

**Field QC measures:** Field QC measures consist of Equipment Blanks (EB), Field-Cleaned Equipment Blanks (FCEB), and Replicate Samples (RS). Table 1 summarizes EB, and FCEB collected for projects of interest to SFWMD.

| Type of Blank  | Project | Number of Blanks<br>Collected |
|--|---------|-------------------------------|
| EB= C1_1 and C2_1  | 191     | 9                             |
| Where C= control  1_1 = day one EB and  2_1 = day two EB | 192     | 9                             |
|  | 193     | 10                            |
| FCEB = C1_2 and C2_2                                     | 191     | 9                             |
| Where C = Control  1_2= day one FCEB  2_2 = day two FCEB | 192     | 10                            |

| 193       | 8           |
|-----------|-------------|
|           |             |
| Shelf 047 | 2 EB, 2FCEB |

**Table 1.** Field and equipment blank results for Surveys 191-193. Acceptance criteria is < MDL. Each set of controls have unfiltered and filtered bottles (for nutrients and totals respectively).

#### **Total of controls > MDL**:

53 for TN, all below PQL

59 for TOC, see note in appendix A

54 for NO2, all below 4\* MDL

38 for TP, all below 3\* MDL, mostly < 2\*MDL

**Summary Field QC measures:** TN and TOC are not linked to the LIMS system. As such, all TN and TOC EBs and FCEBs results submitted as ADaPT Electronic Data Deliverables (EDD) do not include a correction (only for TN and TOC field controls) as established in 2002 by the former SERC Laboratory Director under approval by SFWMD. Based on SERC established procedure the TN and TOC field control data is within acceptance criteria, but this criterion will not be reflected (included) in the final report.

**Corrective action**: SERC will connect the TN and TOC instruments to the LIMS by December 2008.

#### **FIELD PRECISION**

A total of 592 duplicates have an RPD > 20%. Out of these 737 duplicates, 37 samples were below MDL and 332 were between MDL and PQL

# LABORATORY ANALYSIS QUALITY ASSESSMENT

PROCEDURE UPDATES

No analytical procedures were change during this reporting period.

# **TOTAL NUMBER OF RESULTS**

|                                   |        | Total Number of |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Lab_Analysis_Ref_Method_ID        | ACODE  | Results         |
| SM18 10200 H                      | CHLA   | 878             |
| EPA 360.2                         | DO_B   | 421             |
| EPA 360.2                         | DO_S   | 421             |
| EPA 350.1                         | NH4    | 874             |
| EPA 353.3                         | NN     | 875             |
| EPA 353.2                         | NO2    | 875             |
| EPA 150.1                         | PH_B   | 439             |
| EPA 150.1                         | PH_S   | 439             |
| SM18 2520 B                       | SAL_B  | 421             |
| SM18 2520 B                       | SAL_S  | 421             |
| EPA 370.1                         | SI     | 357             |
| EPA 365.1                         | SRP    | 876             |
| EPA 170.1                         | TEMP_B | 421             |
| EPA 170.1                         | TEMP_S | 421             |
| ASTM D5176-91                     | TN     | 878             |
| EPA 415.1                         | TOC    | 878             |
| EPA 365.1 (Phosphorus -<br>Total) | TP     | 878             |
| EPA 180.1                         | TURB   | 438             |

**Table 2.** Total Number of results for surveys 191-193

#### **MISSING DATA**

**Missing Data** 

| FB191 | NH4    | 12a,12b, 23b,24a   |
|-------|--------|--------------------|
| FB191 | NO2    | 12a,12b, 23b       |
| FB191 | NN     | 12a,12b,           |
| FB191 | SRP    | 12a,12b,           |
| FB193 | NN     | 1b                 |
| S047  | DO_S   | (375-376, 383-399) |
| S047  | SAL_S  | (375-376, 383-399) |
| S047  | TEMP_S | (375-376, 383-399) |
| S047  | DO_B   | (375-376, 383-399) |
| S047  | SAL_B  | (375-376, 383-399) |
| S047  | TEMP_B | (375-376, 383-399) |
| S047  | TURB   | site 396           |

**Table 3**. Missing data for surveys 191-193

**Corrective action:** N/A

# PREPARATION BATCH COUNT

| ACODE | Number of batches with > 20 samples | Note               |
|-------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| NH4   | 18                                  | but <24<br>samples |
| NN    | 23                                  | but <26<br>samples |
| NO2   | 24                                  | but <26<br>samples |
| SRP   | 24                                  | but <26<br>samples |
| TOC   | 3                                   | but <36<br>samples |
| TP    | 21                                  | but <24<br>samples |

**Table 4.** Preparation Batch Count of more than 20 samples for surveys 191-193

\*All CHLA counts per batch are under 20 samples, but batches IDs were not available for this report. CHLA is analyzed against a calibration curve of 8 points generated in an annual basis. All CHLA are run with a method blank at the beginning and a working standard (LCS), at least,

every 20 samples. CHLA sample result data is entered manually, but no format is currently established to enter the QC information in the LIMS system.

**Corrective action:** Batch ID and QC information will be incorporated in future reports.

The remainders of the runs in prep-batch-count are mostly nutrients which are analyzed using a 4 channel RFA (NN, NO2, NH4, and SRP). When the technician prepares a batch over 20, all the 4 analysis will have the same number of samples. All reagents and QC standards, including matrix spikes, were prepared with the preparation batch which is also the analysis batch.

**Corrective action:** All technicians were instructed to prepare and run batches of 20 samples only.

#### **HOLDING TIMES**

**Holding times greater than 28 days:** Holding times greater than 28 days occurred in only two occasions for TP Samples were initially analyzed within holding time, but the reruns were analyzed out of holding time due to the technician's error.

**Corrective Action:** A reminder/re-training to the TP and nutrients tech that all runs must be analyzed within 28 days, including reruns.

**Holding times greater than 48 hours from collection time for NO2 and SRP**: All NO2 and SRP are analyzed within 48 hours upon laboratory arrival. If samples are not going to be analyzed within the 48 hour window, receiving tech proceeds to freeze samples immediately and then they are analyzed within 48 hours after been thawed, with a maximum of 28 days. Some reruns were analyzed OHT.

**Corrective Action:** As of survey 207, samples are going to be frozen within 24 hours of collection time and will be analyzed within 48 hours of been thawed. Proper training will apply. SERC, as per January 2008 audit response, SERC will apply for the analysis preservation and holding time variances by December 2008.

#### **METHOD BLANKS AND MDLS**

The number of batches that have a MB > MDL are:

- NH4 1
- NN 2
- NO2 46
- TP 2

The total numbers of samples per analyte per surveys 191-193 that are linked to a run with a MB > MDL, but that their results are lower than the MB times 5 are 554 as follows:

| NO2 | 554 | (Note that NO2 MDL is very low) |
|-----|-----|---------------------------------|
| NN  | 49  | ,                               |

**Corrective Action:** As of survey 203, NO2 new calculated MDL is higher, still NO2 MB vs MDL is been monitored more closely.

# **PERCENT RECOVERIES**

These MS, MSD, and LCS are out of 85-115%, but all are within 30% of the expected value, and most within 20%.

Percent recovery failures on MS and MSD per analyte

| • | Ammonia | 3  |
|---|---------|----|
| • | TOC     | 4  |
| • | NN      | 2  |
| • | SRP     | 8  |
| • | TP      | 11 |
| • | Silica  | 11 |

Percent recovery failures on LCS per analyte

| • | NH4    | 2 |
|---|--------|---|
| • | TOC    | 2 |
| • | NO2    | 4 |
| • | SRP    | 2 |
| • | Silica | 1 |

These MS, MSD, and LCS are out of 85-115%, but all except one, Silica, are within 30% of the expected value, most within 20%.

**Corrective Action: N/A** 

# **SUMMARY**

For the period April-June 2007 Surveys 194-196

# FIELD SAMPLING QUALITY ASSESSMENT

#### PROCEDURE UPDATES

This period had no major procedural updates related to field data collection or to grab sample collection

#### **MISSING FIELD DATA**

Survey 194 - Samples 378 and 379 had no salinity, DO, or temperature due to field equipment malfunction.

Survey 195 – Station 460 was not collected because water was too shallow to reach by boat. Stations 70,72-75 had no pH data reported.

**Corrective action:** All field equipment that had problems during Survey 194 were repaired. Field technicians were informed of missing samples and warned to be more careful.

#### **QUALITY CONTROL**

All filtered samples were collected and filtered with a 0.7 um pore size filter.

**Corrective Action:** As per previous agreement with SFWMD, as long as SERC notifies or flags samples, there will be no need for variance request.

**Field QC measures:** Field QC measures consist of Equipment Blanks (EB), Field-Cleaned Equipment Blanks (FCEB), and Replicate Samples (RS). Table 5 summarizes EB, and FCEB collected for projects of interest to SFWMD.

| Type of Blank  | Project | Number of<br>Blanks<br>Collected |
|--|---------|----------------------------------|
| EB= C1_1 and C2_1  | 194     | 9                                |
| Where C= control  1_1 = day one EB and  2_1 = day two EB | 195     | 10                               |
| 2_1 day the EB   | 196     | 8                                |
| FCEB = C1_2 and C2_2                                     | 194     | 9                                |
| Where C = Control 1_2= day one FCEB 2_2 = day two FCEB   | 195     | 10                               |

| 196       | 8           |
|-----------|-------------|
|           |             |
| Shelf 048 | 1 EB, 1FCEB |

**Table 5.** Field and equipment blank results for surveys 194-196. Acceptance criteria is < MDL. Each set of controls have unfiltered and filtered bottles (for nutrients and totals respectively).

#### **Total of controls > MDL:**

- 49 for TN, all below PQL
- 53 for TOC, all below 4 times MDL
- 27 for NO2, all below 3 times MDL
- 3 for NH4 all below 2 times MDL
- 36 for TP, all below 4 times MDL

**Summary Field QC measures:** TN and TOC are not linked to the LIMS system. As such, all TN and TOC EBs and FCEBs results submitted as ADaPT Electronic Data Deliverables (EDD) do not include a correction (only for TN and TOC field controls) as established in 2002 by the former SERC Laboratory Director under approval by SFWMD. Based on SERC established procedure the TN and TOC field control data is within acceptance criteria, but this criterion will not be reflected (included) in the final report.

**Corrective action**: SERC will connect the TN and TOC instruments to the LIMS by December 2008.

#### **FIELD PRECISION**

**Field Precision Results with RPD > 20%:** A total of 737 duplicates have an RPD > 20%. Out of these 737 duplicates, 56 samples were below MDL and 357 samples were between MDL and PQL.

# LABORATORY ANALYSIS QUALITY ASSESSMENT

# **PROCEDURE UPDATES**

No analytical procedures were change during this reporting period.

# **TOTAL NUMBER OF RESULTS**

| Lab_Analysis_Ref_Method_ID  |        |                    | Total by |                     |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|----------|---------------------|
| •                           | ACODE  | Total # of Results | site     | comments            |
| SM18 10200 H                | CHLA   | 865*               | 437      | No 459, 479         |
| EPA 360.2                   | DO_B   | 439                | 437      | No 378, 379 results |
| EPA 360.2                   | DO_S   | 439                | 437      | No 378, 379 results |
| EPA 350.1                   | NH4    | 866*               | 437      | No 7 460 results    |
| EPA 353.3                   | NN     | 877*               | 438      | No 460              |
| EPA 353.2                   | NO2    | 876*               | 438      | No 460              |
| EPA 150.1                   | PH_B   | 434                | 433      | No 70, 72-76 (6)    |
| EPA 150.1                   | PH_S   | 433                | 433      | No 70, 72-76 (6)    |
| SM18 2520 B                 | SAL_B  | 439                | 437      | No 378, 379 results |
| SM18 2520 B                 | SAL_S  | 439                | 437      | No 378, 379 results |
| EPA 370.1                   | SI     | 357*               | 179      |                     |
| EPA 365.1                   | SRP    | 876*               | 436      | No 460              |
| EPA 170.1                   | TEMP_B | 439                | 437      | No 378, 379 results |
| EPA 170.1                   | TEMP_S | 439                | 437      | No 378, 379 results |
| ASTM D5176-91               | TN     | 866*               | 437      | No 399, no 460      |
| EPA 415.1                   | TOC    | 873*               | 438      | No 460              |
| EPA 365.1                   | TP     | 875*               | 437      | No site 463, 460    |
| EPA 180.1                   | TURB   | 436                | 437      | no 479, 396         |
| * # includes bottle A and B |        |                    |          |                     |

**Table 6.** Total Number of results for surveys 194-196

# **MISSING DATA**

There is no data missing

**Corrective action:** N/A

#### PREPARATION BATCH COUNT

| ACODE  | Number of batches with > 20 samples | Note    |
|--------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| NII 14 | 40                                  | but <27 |
| NH4    | 19                                  | samples |
|        |                                     | but <29 |
| NN     | 19                                  | samples |
|        |                                     | but <29 |
| NO2    | 21                                  | samples |
|        |                                     | but <29 |
| SRP    | 20                                  | samples |
|        |                                     | but <47 |
| TN     | 4                                   | samples |
|        |                                     | but <31 |
| TOC    | 6                                   | samples |
|        |                                     | but <23 |
| TP     | 19                                  | samples |

**Table 7.** Preparation Batch Count of more than 20 samples for surveys 194-196

All CHLA counts per batch are under 20 samples, but batches IDs were not available for this report. CHLA is analyzed against a calibration curve of 8 points generated in an annual basis. All CHLA are run with a method blank at the beginning and a working standard (LCS), at least, every 20 samples. CHLA sample result data is entered manually, but no format is currently established to enter the QC information in the LIMS system.

**Corrective action:** Batch ID and QC information will be incorporated in future reports.

The remainders of the runs in prep-batch-count are mostly nutrients which are analyzed using a 4 channel RFA (NN, NO2, NH4, and SRP). When the technician prepares a batch over 20, all the 4 analysis will have the same number of samples. All reagents and QC standards, including matrix spikes, were prepared with the preparation batch which is also the analysis batch.

**Corrective action:** All technicians were instructed to prepare and run batches of 20 samples only.

#### **HOLDING TIMES**

**Holding times greater than 28 days:** Holding timers greater than 28 days occurred in 28 occasions for NN analysis. Samples were initially analyzed within holding time, but the reruns were analyzed out of holding time due to the technician's error.

**Corrective Action:** A reminder/re-training to the TP and nutrients tech that all runs must be analyzed within 28 days, including reruns.

Holding times greater than 48 hours from collection time for NO2 and SRP: All NO2 and SRP are analyzed within 48 hours upon laboratory arrival. If samples are not going to be analyzed within the 48 hour window, receiving tech proceeds to freeze samples immediately and then they are analyzed within 48 hours after been thawed, with a maximum of 28 days. Some reruns were analyzed OHT.

**Corrective Action:** As of survey 207, samples are going to be frozen within 24 hours of collection time and will be analyzed within 48 hours of been thawed. Proper training will apply. SERC, as per January 2008 audit response, will apply for the analysis preservation and holding time variances by December 2008.

#### METHOD BLANKS AND MDLS

The number of batches that have a MB > MDL are:

NN 1NO2 40

The total numbers of samples per analyte per surveys 194-196 that are linked to a run with a MB > MDL, but that their results are lower than the MB times 5 are 505 as follows:

• NO2 492 (Note that NO2 MDL is very low)

• NN 13

**Corrective Action:** As of survey 203, NO2 new calculated MDL is higher, still NO2 MB vs MDL is been monitored more closely.

#### PERCENT RECOVERIES

These MS, MSD, and LCS are out of 85-115%, but all are within 30% of the expected value, and most within 20%.

Percent recovery failures on MS and MSD per analyte

Ammonia 4
 TOC 12
 NN 4
 SRP 2
 TP 11
 Silica 8

Percent recovery failures on LCS per analyte

NN 2SRP 2TP 2

Percent RPD > 20 per analyte

Silica 3 (below 30%)

Corrective Action: N/A

# **SUMMARY**

For the period July-September 2007 Surveys 197-199

# FIELD SAMPLING QUALITY ASSESSMENT

# **PROCEDURE UPDATES:**

This period had no major procedural updates related to field data collection or to grab sample collection.

#### **MISSING FIELD DATA**

Site 357 was missing filtered nutrients bottle.

**Corrective Action:** Field technicians told to be more careful.

#### **QUALITY CONTROL**

All filtered samples were collected and filtered with a 0.7 um pore size filter.

**Corrective Action:** As per previous agreement with SFWMD, as long as SERC notifies or flags samples, there will be no need for variance request.

**Field QC measures:** Field QC measures consist of Equipment Blanks (EB), Field-Cleaned Equipment Blanks (FCEB), and Replicate Samples (RS). Table 8 summarizes EB, and FCEB collected for projects of interest to SFWMD.

| Type of Blank                          | Project | Number of<br>Blanks<br>Collected |
|--|---------|----------------------------------|
| EB= C1_1 and C2_1                      | 197     | 8                                |
| Where C= control  1_1 = day one EB and | 198     | 9                                |
| 2_1 = day two EB                       | 199     | 9                                |
| $FCEB = C1_2 \text{ and } C2_2$        | 197     | 8                                |
| Where C = Control 1_2= day one FCEB    | 198     | 9                                |
| 2_2 = day two FCEB                     | 199     | 9                                |

| <br>      |             |
|-----------|-------------|
|           |             |
|           |             |
| Shelf 049 | 1 EB, 1FCEB |

**Table 8.** Field and equipment blank results for surveys 197-199. Acceptance criteria is < MDL. Each set of controls have unfiltered and filtered bottles (for nutrients and totals respectively).

#### **Total of controls > MDL:**

- 43 for TN, all below PQL
- 50 for TOC, all below 3 times MDL
- 40 for NO2, all below 3 times MDL
- 36 for TP, all below 3 times MDL
- 1 for NN, below 2 times MDL

**Summary Field QC measures:** TN and TOC are not linked to the LIMS system. As such, all TN and TOC EBs and FCEBs results submitted as ADaPT Electronic Data Deliverables (EDD) do not include a correction (only for TN and TOC field controls) as established in 2002 by the former SERC Laboratory Director under approval by SFWMD. Based on SERC established procedure the TN and TOC field control data is within acceptance criteria, but this criterion will not be reflected (included) in the final report.

**Corrective action**: SERC will connect the TN and TOC instruments to the LIMS by December 2008.

#### **FIELD PRECISION**

**Field Precision Results with RPD > 20%:** A total of 629 duplicates have an RPD > 20%. Out of these 629 duplicates, 42 samples were below MDL and 298 samples were between MDL and PQL.

# LABORATORY ANALYSIS QUALITY ASSESSMENT

# **PROCEDURE UPDATES**

No analytical procedures were change during this reporting period.

# **TOTAL NUMBER OF RESULTS**

| Lab_Analysis_Ref_Method_ID | ACODE  | Total # of Results |
|----------------------------|--------|--------------------|
| SM18 10200 H               | CHLA   | 878*               |
| EPA 360.2                  | DO_B   | 439                |
| EPA 360.2                  | DO_S   | 439                |
| EPA 350.1                  | NH4    | 876*               |
| EPA 353.3                  | NN     | 876*               |
| EPA 353.2                  | NO2    | 876*               |
| EPA 150.1                  | PH_B   | 390                |
| EPA 150.1                  | PH_S   | 439                |
| SM18 2520 B                | SAL_B  | 439                |
| SM18 2520 B                | SAL_S  | 439                |
| EPA 370.1                  | SI     | 356*               |
| EPA 365.1                  | SRP    | 876*               |
| EPA 170.1                  | TEMP_B | 439                |
| EPA 170.1                  | TEMP_S | 439                |
| ASTM D5176-91              | TN     | 878*               |
| EPA 415.1                  | TOC    | 878*               |
| EPA 365.1                  | TP     | 878*               |
| EPA 180.1                  | TURB   | 439                |

<sup>\*</sup> includes bottle A and B (duplicates)

**Table 9.** Total Number of results for surveys 197-199

#### **MISSING DATA**

One case for nutrients (NN, NO2, NH4 and SRP): no filtered bottle A or B collected for site 357 from S 049.

**Corrective action:** N/A

#### PREPARATION BATCH COUNT

| ACODE | Number of<br>batches<br>with > 20<br>samples | Note     |
|-------|--|----------|
|       | 0.4  | but < 26 |
| NH4   | 21   | samples  |
|       |  | but < 26 |
| NN    | 21   | samples  |
|       |  | but < 26 |
| NO2   | 22   | samples  |
|       |  | but < 26 |
| SRP   | 23   | samples  |
|       |  | but < 38 |
| TN    | 4  | samples  |
|       |  | but < 31 |
| TOC   | 2  | samples  |
|       |  | but < 23 |
| TP    | 7  | samples  |

**Table 10**. Preparation Batch Count of more than 20 samples for surveys 197-199

All CHLA counts per batch are under 20 samples, but batches IDs were not available for this report. CHLA is analyzed against a calibration curve of 8 points generated in an annual basis. All CHLA are run with a method blank at the beginning and a working standard (LCS), at least, every 20 samples. CHLA sample result data is entered manually, but no format is currently established to enter the QC information in the LIMS system.

**Corrective action:** Batch ID and QC information will be incorporated in future reports.

The remainders of the runs in prep-batch-count are mostly nutrients which are analyzed using a 4 channel RFA (NN, NO2, NH4, and SRP). When the technician prepares a batch over 20, all the 4 analysis will have the same number of samples. All reagents and QC standards, including matrix spikes, were prepared with the preparation batch which is also the analysis batch.

**Corrective action:** All technicians were instructed to prepare and run batches of 20 samples only.

#### **HOLDING TIMES**

**Holding times greater than 28 days:** Sample 23 A & B from FB197 were initially analyzed for TN within holding time, but the reruns were analyzed out of holding time due to the technician's error.

**Corrective Action:** A reminder/re-training to the TP and nutrients technician that all runs must be analyzed within 28 days, including reruns.

Holding times greater than 48 hours from collection time for NO2 and SRP: All NO2 and SRP are going to be out of 48 hours from collection time, but all NO2 and SRP are analyzed within 48 hours upon laboratory arrival. If samples are not going to be analyzed within the 48 hour window, receiving tech proceeds to freeze samples immediately and then they are analyzed within 48 hours after been thawed, with a maximum of 28 days. Some reruns were analyzed OHT

**Corrective Action:** As of survey 207, samples will be frozen within 24 hours of collection time and will be analyzed within 48 hours of being thawed. Proper training will apply. SERC, as per January 2008 audit response, will apply for the analysis preservation and holding time variances by December 2008.

#### METHOD BLANKS AND MDLS

The number of batches that have a MB > MDL are:

| • | NH4 | 2  |
|---|-----|----|
| • | NN  | 3  |
| • | NO2 | 47 |
| • | SRP | 2  |
| • | TP  | 2  |

The total numbers of samples per analyte per surveys 197-199 that are linked to a run with a MB > MDL, but that their results are lower than the MB times 5 are 540 as follows:

| • | NO2 | 496 | (Note that NO2 MDL is very low) |
|---|-----|-----|---------------------------------|
| • | NN  | 7   |                                 |
| • | NH4 | 20  |                                 |
| • | SRP | 16  |                                 |
| • | TP  | 1   |                                 |

**Corrective Action:** As of survey 203, NO2 new calculated MDL is higher, still NO2 MB vs MDL is been monitored more closely.

#### PERCENT RECOVERIES

These MS, MSD, and LCS are out of 85-115%, but all are within 30% of the expected value, and most within 20%.

Percent recovery failures on MS and MSD per analyte

• Ammonia 4

TOC 4
NN 1
TP 15
Silica 3
TN 1

Percent recovery failures on LCS per analyte

Ammonia 1
 NN 1
 SRP 1
 TP 1

Percent RPD > 20 per analyte Silica 3 (below 30%)

**Corrective Action: N/A** 

# **SUMMARY**

For the period October–December 2007 Surveys 200-202

# FIELD SAMPLING QUALITY ASSESSMENT

#### **PROCEDURE UPDATES**

This period had no major procedural updates related to field data collection or to grab sample collection.

#### MISSING FIELD DATA

Salinity, DO, and Temperature for site 467 bottom for RB 202 was not collected due to site being too shallow to access by boat.

Corrective action: none

#### **QUALITY CONTROL**

All filtered samples were collected and filtered with a 0.7 um pore size filter.

**Corrective Action:** As per previous agreement with SFWMD, as long as SERC notifies or flags samples, there will be no need for variance request.

**Field QC measures**: Field QC measures consist of Equipment Blanks (EB), Field-Cleaned Equipment Blanks (FCEB), and Replicate Samples (RS). Table 11 summarizes EB, and FCEB collected for projects of interest to SFWMD.

| Type of Blank                          | Project | Number of<br>Blanks<br>Collected |
|--|---------|----------------------------------|
| EB= C1_1 and C2_1                      | 200     | 8                                |
| Where C= control 1_1 = day one EB and  | 201     | 9                                |
| 2_1 = day two EB                       | 202     | 9                                |
| FCEB = C1_2 and C2_2                   | 200     | 9                                |
| Where C = Control<br>1_2= day one FCEB | 201     | 9                                |
| 2_2 = day two FCEB                     | 202     | 9                                |

**Table 11.** Field and equipment blank results for surveys 200-202. Acceptance criteria is < MDL. Each set of controls have unfiltered and filtered bottles (for nutrients and totals respectively).

#### Total of controls > MDL:

- 44 for TN, all below PQL
- 22 for TOC, all below 3 times MDL
- 13 for NO2, all below 3 times MDL
- 1 for SRP, all below 3 times MDL

**Summary Field QC measures:** TN and TOC are not linked to the LIMS system. As such, all TN and TOC EBs and FCEBs results submitted as ADaPT Electronic Data Deliverables (EDD) do not include a correction (only for TN and TOC field controls) as established in 2002 by the former SERC Laboratory Director under approval by SFWMD. Based on SERC established procedure the TN and TOC field control data is within acceptance criteria, but this criterion will not be reflected in the final report.

**Corrective action**: SERC will connect the TN and TOC instruments to the LIMS by December 2008.

#### **FIELD PRECISION**

All Field Precision Results met the criteria of RPD < 20%:

# LABORATORY ANALYSIS QUALITY ASSESSMENT

#### **PROCEDURE UPDATES**

No analytical procedures were change during this reporting period.

#### **TOTAL NUMBER OF RESULTS**

| Lab_Analysis_Ref_Method_ID | ACODE  | # results |
|----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| EPA 180.1                  | TURB   | 341       |
| EPA 365.1                  | TP_B   | 21        |
| EPA 365.1 (TP))            | TP     | 340       |
| EPA 415.1                  | TOC_B  | 20        |
| EPA 415.1                  | TOC    | 321       |
| ASTM D5176-91              | TN_B   | 21        |
| ASTM D5176-91              | TN     | 341       |
| EPA 170.1                  | TEMP_S | 341       |
| EPA 170.1                  | TEMP_B | 340       |
| EPA 365.1                  | SRP_B  | 21        |
| EPA 365.1                  | SRP    | 341       |
| SM18 2520 B                | SAL_S  | 341       |
| SM18 2520 B                | SAL_B  | 340       |
| EPA 150.1                  | PH_S   | 341       |
| EPA 150.1                  | PH_B   | 340       |
| EPA 353.2                  | NO2_B  | 21        |
| EPA 353.2                  | NO2    | 341       |
| EPA 353.3                  | NN_B   | 21        |
| EPA 353.3                  | NN     | 341       |
| EPA 350.1                  | NH4_B  | 21        |
| EPA 350.1                  | NH4    | 341       |
| EPA 360.2                  | DO_S   | 341       |
| EPA 360.2                  | DO_B   | 340       |
| SM18 10200 H               | CHLA_B | 18        |
| SM18 10200 H               | CHLA   | 340       |

**Table 12.** Total Number of results for surveys 200-202

#### **MISSING DATA**

CHLA: Sample # 17 survey 200, lid broken, sample spilled.

CHLA: 3 duplicates not received

TN, TOC, TP: Sample # 67 from TTI20, unfiltered bottle was not collected.

TOC: Samples 49, 58, 65 from TTI 201 rerun were not done.

TOC: The following samples were not reported due to chemical interference. Suspected contamination with acetone during bottle rinsing.

• FB 201: 4,5,6,8,9,11

• WWB 201: 30, 35, 40B, 41, 49

TTI 201: 52, 61WWB 202: 29a, 34

• BB 202: 101, 123,128,129,130

• RB 201: 457

• RB 202: 466a, 478a

• TTI 202 61, 64b

**Corrective action:** Both Laboratory and field technicians were made aware of need for careful attention to detail. Possible bottle contamination problem alleviated by elimination of bottle washing. We will use new bottles for each future survey.

#### PREPARATION BATCH COUNT

| ACODE | Number of batches with > 20 samples | Note    |
|-------|-------------------------------------|---------|
|       |                                     | but <23 |
| NH4   | 4                                   | samples |
|       |                                     | but <23 |
| NN    | 5                                   | samples |
|       |                                     | but <23 |
| NO2   | 5                                   | samples |
|       |                                     | but <23 |
| SRP   | 4                                   | samples |
|       |                                     | but <22 |
| TP    | 1                                   | samples |

**Table 13.** Prep Batch Count for more than 20 samples for surveys 200-202

All CHLA counts per batch are under 20 samples, but batches IDs were not available for this report. CHLA is analyzed against a calibration curve of 8 points generated in an annual basis. All CHLA are run with a method blank at the beginning and a working standard (LCS), at least, every 20 samples. CHLA sample result data is entered manually, but no format is currently established to enter the QC information in the LIMS system.

**Corrective action:** Batch ID and QC information will be incorporated in future reports.

The remainders of the runs in prep-batch-count are mostly nutrients which are analyzed using a 4 channel RFA (NN, NO2, NH4, and SRP). When the technician prepares a batch over 20, all the 4 analysis will have the same number of samples. All reagents and QC standards, including matrix spikes, were prepared with the preparation batch which is also the analysis batch.

**Corrective action:** All technicians were instructed to prepare and run batches of 20 samples only.

#### **HOLDING TIMES**

**Holding times greater than 28 days:** Holding timers greater than 28 days occurred in 11 occasions for NN analysis. Samples were initially analyzed within holding time, but the reruns were analyzed out of holding time due to the technician's error.

**Corrective Action:** A reminder/re-training to the TP and nutrients tech that all runs must be analyzed within 28 days, including reruns.

**Holding times greater than 48 hours from collection time for NO2 and SRP:** Holding timers greater than 48 days occurred in 384 occasions for NN and SRP analysis All NO2 and SRP are analyzed within 48 hours upon laboratory arrival. If samples are not going to be analyzed within the 48 hour window, receiving tech proceeds to freeze samples immediately and then they are analyzed within 48 hours after been thawed, with a maximum of 28 days. Some reruns were analyzed OHT.

**Corrective Action:** As of survey 207, samples are going to be frozen within 24 hours of collection time and will be analyzed within 48 hours of been thawed. Proper training will apply. SERC, as per January 2008 audit response, SERC will apply for the analysis preservation and holding time variances by December 2008.

#### METHOD BLANKS AND MDLS

The number of batches that have a MB > MDL are:

NN 1NO2 22

The total numbers of samples per analyte per surveys 200-202 that are linked to a run with a MB > MDL, but that their results are lower than the MB times 5 are 232 as follows:

| • | NO2 | 195 | (Note that NO2 MDL is very low) |
|---|-----|-----|---------------------------------|
| • | NN  | 3   |                                 |
| • | NH4 | 27  |                                 |
| • | SRP | 6   |                                 |
| • | TP  | 1   |                                 |

**Corrective Action:** As of survey 203, NO2 new calculated MDL is higher, still NO2 MB vs MDL is been monitored more closely.

#### PERCENT RECOVERIES

These MS, MSD, and LCS are out of 85-115%, but are within 80-120%, with the exception of 2 cases where the tech used a lower concentration yielding a lower number.

Percent recovery failures on MS and MSD per analyte

| • | Ammonia | 5 |
|---|---------|---|
| • | TOC     | 5 |
| • | NN      | 1 |
| • | SRP     | 2 |
| • | TP      | 1 |

Percent recovery failures on LCS per analyte

• NO2 2

**Corrective Action:** Regarding the two samples that read lower than the 80-120 % range, the tech was instructed not to use a different concentration or deviate from established procedure.