

2023

A Comprehensive Literacy Approach: Integrating the Science and Art of Reading for Adolescents

Robert A. Griffin

University of West Georgia, rgriffin@westga.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/lpr>



Part of the [Language and Literacy Education Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Griffin, Robert A. (2023) "A Comprehensive Literacy Approach: Integrating the Science and Art of Reading for Adolescents," *Literacy Practice and Research*: Vol. 48: No. 2, Article 1.

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/lpr/vol48/iss2/1>

This work is brought to you for free and open access by FIU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Literacy Practice and Research by an authorized administrator of FIU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dcc@fiu.edu.

**A Comprehensive Literacy Approach: Integrating the Science and Art of Reading for
Adolescents**

Robert A. Griffin

Department of Literacy and Special Education, University of West Georgia

Author Note

No funding was used for the production of this manuscript. I have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Robert A. Griffin, Assistant Professor of Literacy Education and TESOL, Department of Literacy and Special Education, University of West Georgia, Carrollton, GA 30118, United States; e-mail rgriffin@westga.edu.

Abstract

This paper explores the effectiveness of a comprehensive approach to literacy instruction that integrates both the science and art of reading for adolescents. Drawing on a range of research and educational practices, this paper emphasizes the importance of merging explicit, structured instruction in the foundations of reading with student choice, engagement, and collaborative learning opportunities. Scaffolding comprehension and vocabulary development, integrating technology and multimodal literacy, and prioritizing professional development and teacher preparation programs are also discussed. A case study of a middle school language arts teacher and her student illustrates the practical implications of a comprehensive approach to literacy instruction. The findings suggest that a comprehensive approach to literacy instruction can enhance students' reading skills and overall engagement, leading to improved academic outcomes. By empowering educators to address the complexities of reading, the comprehensive approach to literacy instruction fosters a new generation of skilled, passionate readers.

Keywords: comprehensive approach, literacy instruction, science-based instruction, art-based instruction

A Comprehensive Literacy Approach: Integrating the Science and Art of Reading for Adolescents

Picture a bustling, vibrant classroom where adolescent students eagerly engage with a variety of literacy activities. Some students are practicing advanced phonics skills and morphological awareness with structured, multisensory materials, while others are immersed in discussions about a shared text or collaborating on a research project. The teacher moves among the students, providing explicit instruction, modeling metacognitive strategies, and encouraging collaborative learning. This dynamic environment exemplifies a comprehensive literacy approach, one that combines the best practices from both the science and the art of reading instruction, specifically tailored to the unique needs of adolescents.

A comprehensive literacy approach acknowledges the importance of evidence-based, structured instruction in the foundational skills of reading (i.e., phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, comprehension, and vocabulary; National Reading Panel, 2000) while simultaneously emphasizing the value of student choice, collaborative work, and authentic reading experiences (Duke & Cartwright, 2021; Paige et al., 2021). By integrating both bottom-up and top-down methods, a comprehensive approach aims to provide a well-rounded and inclusive learning environment that meets the diverse needs of all students, particularly during the crucial adolescent years when literacy demands increase (Tatum, 2022).

Recent debates in literacy education have often pitted the bottom-up, so-called “science-based” structured literacy methods against the top-down, constructivist approaches, leading to a polarized landscape where educators may feel pressured to choose one side over the other in the latest iteration of the Reading Wars (Willen, 2022). The term “balanced literacy” has been used in the past to describe a middle ground (Tompkins, 2016), but it has been criticized for not

always achieving a true balance between the two approaches (Schwartz, 2020). In response, I propose the term “comprehensive literacy” to emphasize the need for a truly balanced approach that thoughtfully incorporates evidence-based practices from both sides of the debate—an approach I believe is best aligned with the science and art of teaching reading (Paige et al., 2021; Young et al., 2022).

I also object to the coopting of the label “science of reading” to only refer to the structured literacy approach. Instead, I argue for a broader understanding of what constitutes the science of reading, one that draws on research from cognitive and behavioral psychology, neuroscience, and linguistics to inform effective literacy instruction (Johns, 2023). While it emphasizes the importance of foundational skills such as phonemic awareness, phonics, and fluency, it also recognizes the role of meaning-making, comprehension, and motivation in reading (Duke & Cartwright, 2021). Thus, the science of reading incorporates strategies that align with both structured literacy and balanced literacy approaches. By adopting a comprehensive literacy approach that draws on best practices from both sides of the debate, I believe educators can help all students become proficient readers and lifelong learners (Farran & Griffin, 2022).

This article will delve into the historical background and key principles of both bottom-up and top-down approaches to literacy instruction. By examining the effects of these approaches on adolescent student achievement and their applications in the classroom, I aim to highlight the need for a comprehensive approach that draws from the best of both worlds. Adolescents are uniquely situated to benefit from such an approach, which recognizes the importance of both foundational skills and meaning-making in reading. Through the lens of a brief case study featuring Ella, a seventh-grade student, and her teacher Ms. Bennett, I will demonstrate the

potential benefits of adopting a comprehensive literacy approach that integrates both the science and art of reading instruction. The ultimate goal is to equip educators with the knowledge and tools they need to make informed decisions and meet the unique needs of their adolescent students.

Although this paper does not conduct an original empirical investigation, it explores a range of scholarly work and educational practices to inform its recommendations. The suggestions I make here primarily stem from a comprehensive review and synthesis of extant research. The recommendations I make represent my interpretations and proposed synthesis of the diverse array of research findings and pedagogical principles. While I advocate for a comprehensive approach, I acknowledge there are other viewpoints regarding reading instruction for adolescents. These may emphasize different aspects of literacy instruction or propose different methods of integrating the science and art of reading. The comprehensive literacy approach presented here is one among many possible ways to consider and address the complex, multifaceted nature of adolescent literacy development.

2.0 Theoretical Foundations

Understanding the science and art of teaching reading for adolescents requires a brief examination of the theoretical foundations that underlie both bottom-up and top-down approaches to literacy instruction. In this section, I will explore the origins, key principles, and primary proponents of these approaches, highlighting their complementary nature in providing a truly comprehensive and effective literacy instruction for adolescents.

2.1 Bottom-Up Approach

The bottom-up approach to reading is grounded in the notion that reading is a process of decoding and recognizing words in a linear fashion, starting from individual letters and sounds to

form larger units of meaning (Tracey & Morrow, 2017). This approach emphasizes the importance of phonics, decoding, and automaticity in reading. Key proponents of this approach have developed various models and theories to further explain and support the bottom-up perspective, including the Gough and Tunmer's (1986) Simple View of Reading, the LaBerge and Samuels' (1974) Automatic Processing Model, and Ehri's (1995) work on orthographic mapping.

The Simple View of Reading (Gough & Tunmer, 1986) posits that reading comprehension is the product of two core components: decoding and linguistic comprehension. Decoding involves the ability to recognize written words and convert them into their spoken counterparts, while linguistic comprehension is the understanding of spoken language. According to the Simple View of Reading, both decoding and linguistic comprehension must be developed and integrated for a reader to effectively comprehend text. This theory highlights the importance of mastering foundational skills such as phonics and decoding in the early stages of reading development.

Researchers should as LaBerge and Samuels (1974) emphasize the importance of automaticity in reading and suggests that reading comprehension is hindered when a reader's cognitive resources are devoted to lower-level processes, such as decoding or recognizing words. When these basic processes become automatic, a reader can allocate more cognitive resources to higher-level processes, like understanding the meaning and structure of the text. This model underscores the importance of developing fluency and automaticity in word recognition to facilitate reading comprehension.

Ehri's (1995) work on orthographic mapping has also contributed significantly to the understanding of word recognition in the bottom-up approach. Orthographic mapping is the

process by which readers form connections between the visual representation of words (orthography), their pronunciation (phonology), and their meaning (semantics). This process enables readers to quickly and accurately recognize words in print, which is crucial for reading fluency and comprehension. Ehri's research has demonstrated the importance of explicit and systematic phonics instruction to help learners develop this essential skill.

These models and theories laid the foundation for science-based instruction methods that have been widely embraced in the field of literacy education today. Studies have shown that these methods are effective in addressing the literacy needs of readers across grade levels and abilities (Spear-Swerling, 2022), as they emphasize the importance of mastering foundational skills before progressing to more complex reading tasks (Duke & Cartwright, 2021). By focusing on the development of decoding, automaticity, and orthographic mapping, the bottom-up approach provides learners with the essential building blocks needed for successful reading comprehension.

2.2 Top-Down Approach

In contrast to the bottom-up approach, the top-down approach posits that reading is a meaning-driven process, in which readers use their prior knowledge and experiences to construct meaning from texts (Goodman, 1967). This approach is supported by theories such as Goodman's (1967) Whole Language Theory, which emphasizes the integration of reading, writing, listening, and speaking in authentic literacy contexts, and Rosenblatt's (1978/1994, 1993) Transactional Theory, which argues that meaning is constructed through the unique interaction between the reader and the text (Palmer, 1981). For adolescents, the top-down approach can help them develop critical thinking skills, engage in deeper discussions, and make personal connections to the texts they encounter (Tatum, 2022).

Goodman's (1967) Whole Language Theory emphasizes the integration of reading, writing, listening, and speaking in authentic literacy contexts. Instead of focusing solely on isolated skills, such as phonics or grammar, the Whole Language Theory posits that literacy development is best fostered through meaningful, purposeful activities that encompass all aspects of language use. Goodman's theory encourages educators to create literacy-rich environments where learners can engage in authentic tasks, such as reading for pleasure, writing stories, or discussing texts with peers.

Transactional Theory (Rosenblatt, 1978/1994) posits that meaning is created through the unique interaction between the reader and the text, with both the reader and the text contributing to the construction of meaning. This theory highlights the importance of the reader's background knowledge, personal experiences, and emotions in shaping their understanding of the text. According to Rosenblatt, the process of reading is not just about decoding symbols; it is an active and dynamic engagement between the reader and the text, leading to a rich and personalized interpretation.

For adolescents, the top-down approach has numerous benefits. It helps them develop critical thinking skills by encouraging them to question, analyze, and evaluate the texts they read (Tatum, 2022). It also fosters deeper discussions and personal connections to the texts, as students are encouraged to draw on their own experiences and perspectives when interpreting and responding to the material (Boardman et al., 2018). By focusing on meaning-making and the active role of the reader, the top-down approach provides a more holistic and engaging framework for literacy instruction.

2.3 Integrating Both Approaches

Both bottom-up and top-down approaches offer valuable insights into the complex process of reading and have significantly contributed to our understanding of effective literacy instruction for adolescents. While the bottom-up approach highlights the importance of explicit and structured instruction in foundational reading skills, the top-down approach reminds us that reading is also an art, requiring teachers to consider student choice, collaborative work, and the individual's unique interaction with texts in the context of adolescent learning (Paige et al., 2021).

In order to provide the most effective literacy instruction for adolescents, educators should draw from the strengths of both approaches, creating a holistic and flexible framework that incorporates the science of teaching reading with the art of fostering meaning-making and engagement (Young et al., 2022). This integrated approach, which I refer to as a comprehensive approach to literacy instruction, is essential to meet the diverse needs of adolescent students and to prepare them for the complexities and challenges of real-world literacy experiences. As adolescents navigate the transition from learning to read to reading to learn, a comprehensive literacy approach ensures that they receive the support they need to develop the necessary skills, strategies, and habits that will serve them well in their academic pursuits and beyond (Alvermann, 2022). By integrating both bottom-up and top-down approaches, educators can create a literacy environment that truly addresses the diverse and evolving needs of adolescent learners.

3.0 Approach Best Suited for Adolescents

The comprehensive literacy approach serves as a vital tool in addressing the distinct challenges and opportunities adolescents face in their literacy development journey. This method not only enables them to become proficient readers and critical thinkers but also fosters a

lifelong love for learning. The following key factors illustrate why the comprehensive literacy approach is ideally suited for adolescents: their crucial developmental stage, escalating literacy demands, diverse backgrounds and skill levels, motivation and engagement, and preparation for future academic and professional pursuits. By offering a well-rounded, targeted, and flexible literacy education, the comprehensive literacy approach effectively nurtures adolescents' growth, development, and success in an ever-evolving world.

3.1 Developmental Stage

Adolescents undergo a crucial developmental stage as they transition from learning to read to reading to learn, marked by an increasing need for higher-order thinking skills (Chall, 1983). The comprehensive literacy approach addresses these unique developmental needs by offering targeted instruction in advanced reading skills, emphasizing the development of metacognitive strategies, and promoting higher-order thinking. By teaching adolescents to decode complex vocabulary, analyze textual structures, and make connections between ideas, this approach equips them with the skills and strategies necessary to successfully navigate the increasingly complex world of literacy. Furthermore, the comprehensive literacy approach encourages adolescents to question, evaluate, and reflect on the ideas presented in texts, cultivating their ability to think critically, solve problems, and engage in creative and innovative thinking (Duke & Carlisle, 2011). This instructional framework, sensitive to the developmental stage of adolescents, helps them become effective, independent learners.

3.2 Increasing Literacy Demands

As adolescents advance through school, they encounter increasingly complex literacy demands across various content areas, including science, social studies, and mathematics (Shanahan & Shanahan, 2008). The comprehensive literacy approach effectively supports

students during this stage by integrating foundational skills and teaching strategies tailored to understanding discipline-specific language and structures. This approach enables adolescents to decode subject-specific vocabulary, discern text structures, and interpret various representations of information, such as graphs, charts, and equations (Lee & Spratley, 2010). By incorporating disciplinary literacy instruction, the comprehensive literacy approach empowers students to actively engage with and comprehend complex texts, fostering deeper learning and mastery of essential concepts in their respective disciplines (Lee et al., 2021).

3.3 Diverse Backgrounds and Skill Levels

Adolescents' diverse backgrounds and varying literacy skill levels, which encompass struggling readers and English learners, present unique challenges for educators (Hock et al., 2017). The comprehensive literacy approach effectively meets the needs of all students by providing targeted support and differentiated instruction tailored to individual strengths and weaknesses (Smale-Jacobse et al., 2019). Through scaffolding techniques, educators can address the specific needs of struggling readers and English learners, enhancing their comprehension and fluency. Simultaneously, the approach offers enrichment and extension opportunities for students who are ready for more advanced challenges, promoting their continued growth and development (Leko & Handy, 2019). By implementing a comprehensive literacy approach that accommodates diverse backgrounds and skill levels, educators can foster an inclusive learning environment that supports every adolescent's path toward literacy proficiency and success.

3.4 Motivation and Engagement

Adolescents' motivation and engagement in reading play a critical role in their ongoing growth and success in literacy (Guthrie & Wigfield, 2000). The comprehensive literacy approach fosters a positive reading environment that stimulates adolescents to become active, engaged

learners by incorporating elements such as student choice, collaborative work, and authentic reading experiences (Gambrell, 2011). Offering students the autonomy to select reading materials that align with their interests increases their intrinsic motivation and personal investment in the learning process (Gambrell, 2011). Additionally, collaborative work opportunities enable students to construct meaning and share perspectives with their peers, which in turn facilitates deeper understanding and promotes social learning (Krishnan et al., 2018). Authentic reading experiences, which connect literacy tasks to real-world situations, further enhance adolescents' motivation and engagement by demonstrating the relevance and practical application of their learning (Duke et al., 2006). By implementing a comprehensive literacy approach that emphasizes motivation and engagement, educators can support adolescents in developing a lasting appreciation for reading and a solid foundation for future literacy success.

3.5 College and Career Readiness

A comprehensive literacy approach plays a vital role in equipping adolescents with the skills they need to thrive in college, careers, and beyond (Conley, 2017). By delivering a well-rounded literacy education that encompasses both the science and art of reading instruction, educators can ensure that their students are prepared to meet the diverse demands of post-secondary education and the workforce (Marchand-Martella et al., 2013). This approach emphasizes the development of advanced literacy skills, such as critical thinking, effective communication, problem-solving, and collaboration, which are essential for success in the 21st-century global economy (Conley, 2017; Schoenbach et al., 2023). Furthermore, the comprehensive literacy approach nurtures students' adaptability, creativity, and metacognitive skills, empowering them to become independent learners capable of managing new challenges in a rapidly changing world. By implementing a comprehensive literacy approach that holistically

addresses the multifaceted needs of adolescents, educators lay the foundation for their students' long-term success in college and career pursuits.

To summarize this section, the comprehensive literacy approach is exceptionally well-suited for adolescents as it effectively addresses their unique developmental needs during the critical transition from learning to read to reading to learn (Chall, 1983), supports their increasing literacy demands across various disciplines (Lee & Spratley, 2010; Shanahan & Shanahan, 2008), and accommodates the diverse backgrounds and skill levels present within this age group (Hock et al., 2017). Moreover, this approach fosters motivation and engagement by incorporating student choice, collaborative work, and authentic reading experiences (Gambrell, 2011), while simultaneously preparing adolescents for success in college, careers, and beyond through the development of essential 21st-century skills (Conley, 2017). By implementing a comprehensive literacy approach that holistically addresses the multifaceted needs of adolescents, educators can effectively nurture proficient readers, critical thinkers, and lifelong learners who are well-prepared to navigate the complex demands of their future endeavors.

4.0 Comprehensive Approach in Practice

To instill a lifelong love of literature and effectively teach adolescents to read, educators must balance structured literacy approaches with the art of engagement and meaning-making in the classroom. In this section, I will explore practical strategies and techniques that integrate the bottom-up and top-down approaches, emphasizing the crucial role of combining the science of teaching reading with the art of fostering engagement and meaning-making for adolescent learners.

4.1 Explicit, Structured Instruction in the Foundations of Reading

Research has consistently shown that explicit and systematic instruction in the foundational skills of reading is critical for developing adolescents' reading abilities (National Reading Panel, 2000). These skills include phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, comprehension, and vocabulary instruction. Teachers can implement structured literacy programs that emphasize evidence-based practices to ensure that students receive the necessary foundation to become proficient readers (Moats, 2020). Targeted interventions that address specific areas of weakness can lead to significant improvements in reading proficiency among adolescents (Vaughn et al., 2010). For instance, Vaughn et al. (2010) found that when teachers implemented targeted interventions to address specific areas of reading difficulty for middle school students, there were significant improvements in reading proficiency compared to students who received typical classroom instruction. These findings highlight the importance of addressing students' individual needs and providing explicit instruction in the foundational skills of reading to improve reading outcomes for adolescents.

4.2 Scaffolding Comprehension and Vocabulary Development

Supporting adolescents' comprehension and vocabulary development is crucial for their literacy success, and educators can utilize a range of evidence-based instructional techniques to achieve this. Activating prior knowledge, modeling metacognitive strategies, and employing graphic organizers help foster students' comprehension and facilitate vocabulary expansion (Oakhill et al., 2019). For instance, teachers can encourage students to brainstorm or discuss related topics before delving into new texts, allowing them to better comprehend and retain the material (Cappello & Walker, 2021). Additionally, the "think-aloud" method involves teachers verbalizing their thought processes while reading complex texts, thereby demonstrating how to make connections, ask questions, and visualize the content (Oster, 2001). This approach provides

adolescents with insights into effective reading strategies and encourages them to apply these techniques to their own reading (McKeown & Gentilucci, 2007).

Graphic organizers, such as concept maps, Venn diagrams, and flowcharts, help students organize information, clarify relationships between concepts, and identify key vocabulary words, thereby enhancing their understanding of the material (Hendrix & Griffin, 2017). Additionally, engaging students in discussions that promote higher-order thinking can further improve comprehension and expand vocabulary (Cappello & Walker, 2021; Pierce & Gilles, 2021). Teachers can employ strategies such as the Socratic method, reciprocal teaching, or literature circles to facilitate meaningful dialogue, encouraging students to analyze, synthesize, and evaluate text while simultaneously fostering vocabulary development and application (Chisholm & Cook, 2021). Scaffolding comprehension and vocabulary development for adolescents involves implementing a variety of instructional techniques that not only enhance students' understanding of complex texts but also contribute to their overall academic growth and success.

4.3 Promoting Student Choice and Engagement

Promoting student choice and engagement in the classroom is essential for fostering adolescents' reading motivation and achievement. Providing opportunities for students to choose their reading materials based on their interests and reading levels can significantly increase their enthusiasm for reading and lead to better reading outcomes (Gambrell, 2011). By offering a diverse range of texts that reflect students' interests, identities, and experiences, educators can further enhance their motivation to read and create a more inclusive learning environment (Daniels, 2002).

Integrating collaborative activities, such as literature circles or book clubs, encourages teamwork and deepens understanding of the text while supporting the development of critical

thinking skills (Chisholm & Cook, 2021). These group activities allow students to actively participate in discussions, share insights, and learn from their peers, which can contribute to a more engaging and enriching reading experience (Paige et al., 2021). Prioritizing student choice and engagement in the classroom can lead to improved motivation, a more inclusive learning environment, and greater reading achievement for adolescents.

4.4 Integrating Technology and Multimodal Literacy

Integrating technology and multimodal literacy in the classroom can significantly enhance adolescents' literacy experiences and provide additional support for diverse learners (Dalton & Grisham, 2011). Assistive technology tools, such as text-to-speech or speech-to-text software, can help struggling readers and writers access grade-level texts, leveling the playing field for all students (Perelmutter et al., 2017). Furthermore, incorporating various forms of digital media, like digital storytelling, podcasts, or multimedia presentations, allows students to engage with the text and express their understanding through alternative means, which can lead to more meaningful and personalized learning experiences (Bianchi-Pennington, 2018; Cappello & Walker, 2021).

These multimodal approaches to literacy are particularly relevant for adolescents as they navigate an increasingly digital world (Cappello & Walker, 2021; Howell & Dyches, 2022). By incorporating technology and digital resources in the classroom, educators can not only support diverse learners but also help students develop essential digital literacy skills (Buchholz, 2020). This prepares them for future academic and professional pursuits in a world that relies heavily on digital communication and information sharing. The integration of technology and multimodal literacy in the classroom can significantly enrich adolescents' learning experiences and better equip them for success in a digital age.

4.5 Collaborative Learning Opportunities

Creating a collaborative learning environment promotes meaningful interactions among adolescents and allows them to learn from one another. Teachers can facilitate this through activities such as paired or small-group reading, peer-assisted learning strategies (Fuchs et al., 2021), and cooperative learning structures (Chisholm & Cook, 2021). Collaborative learning opportunities encourage students to engage in rich conversations about texts, deepening their comprehension and building a sense of community within the classroom (Paige et al., 2021). For adolescents, these collaborative experiences not only support their literacy development but also foster social and emotional learning, helping them develop essential communication, problem-solving, and interpersonal skills (Willis, 2007).

By integrating these strategies and techniques, educators can effectively merge the science and art of literacy instruction for adolescents. This comprehensive approach ensures that students develop strong foundational reading skills while also engaging in meaningful, enjoyable learning experiences that foster a lifelong love of reading. Focusing on the unique needs and interests of adolescents, as well as supporting their social and emotional growth, creates a comprehensive literacy environment that empowers students to thrive academically and personally.

5.0 Comprehensive Approach in Action: A Case Study

To better understand the practical implications of a comprehensive approach to literacy instruction for adolescents, let us examine the experience of Ms. Bennett, a middle school English language arts teacher, and her student, Ella (pseudonyms used to protect privacy). This brief case study narrative illustrates how Ms. Bennett skillfully integrated science-based and art-

based strategies to create a meaningful and engaging comprehensive literacy learning experience for her students.

5.1 Background and Context

Ms. Bennett taught a diverse group of seventh-grade students in a suburban middle school. Ella was an avid reader who loved fiction but often struggled with comprehension and fluency, especially when reading nonfiction texts. Ella also experienced difficulty in connecting with her peers and participating in class discussions. Ms. Bennett recognized the need to provide targeted instruction in foundational reading skills while also fostering a love for reading, encouraging student choice, and supporting Ella's social and emotional development.

5.2 Science-Based Instruction: Strengthening Foundational Skills

At the beginning of the school year, Ms. Bennett assessed her students' reading skills using the Qualitative Reading Inventory (QRI) and the Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS). Ella scored below grade level in fluency and comprehension, with a reading rate of 90 words per minute (WPM) and 60% comprehension on grade-level passages. To address these needs, Ms. Bennett provided explicit, systematic instruction in fluency and comprehension strategies (Duke & Cartwright, 2021). She implemented a repeated reading program, where students practiced reading short passages multiple times, and timed readings to monitor progress (Fuchs et al., 2021; Lee & Yoon, 2017; Powell & Gadke, 2018). Over time, Ella's reading rate increased to 130 WPM. Ms. Bennett modeled fluent reading with prosody during read-aloud sessions and facilitated partner reading activities (Rasinski, 2003). To support comprehension, Ms. Bennett introduced graphic organizers, such as story maps and Venn diagrams, and guided Ella in applying strategies like summarizing, questioning, and predicting to increasingly complex texts, such as *The Giver* by Lois Lowry and *Hidden Figures* by Margot

Lee Shetterly. As a result, Ella's comprehension scores improved to 85% on grade-level passages.

5.3 Art-Based Instruction: Encouraging Engagement and Social-Emotional Development

Ms. Bennett recognized the importance of fostering a love for reading and providing opportunities for students to explore their interests, as well as supporting their social and emotional development during adolescence. To promote engagement, she incorporated diverse, high-quality literature from various genres into her curriculum, such as *Brown Girl Dreaming* by Jacqueline Woodson, *The Outsiders* by S. E. Hinton, and *A Long Walk to Water* by Linda Sue Park (Beach et al., 2021; Guthrie & Wigfield, 2000). She also encouraged students to choose their own books for independent reading, respecting their preferences and motivating them to read more (Gambrell, 2011).

To further support engagement, choice, and social-emotional development, Ms. Bennett designed collaborative activities that allowed students to discuss and analyze texts with their peers. For example, she implemented literature circles, in which students took on different roles, such as discussion leader, illustrator, and summarizer, and explored various aspects of the texts they were reading (Chisholm & Cook, 2021). This approach provided opportunities for students to develop critical thinking skills, express their opinions, and connect with their peers through meaningful discussions about the books they were reading. Moreover, it facilitated the development of social skills, empathy, and a sense of belonging, which are particularly important during adolescence (Daniels, 2002).

5.4 Outcomes: Ella's Growth and Success

By combining science-based and art-based strategies, Ms. Bennett effectively supported Ella's growth as a reader and facilitated her social and emotional development. Through explicit

instruction in fluency and comprehension strategies, as noted, Ella's reading rate improved significantly from 90 WPM to 130 WPM, and her comprehension scores on grade-level passages increased from 60% to 85%. Moreover, the opportunities for choice and collaboration in Ms. Bennett's classroom allowed Ella to develop a deeper love for reading, a greater sense of ownership over her learning, and stronger connections with her peers.

Furthermore, Ella became more confident in participating in class discussions and expressing her thoughts and ideas, which was evident through her active involvement in literature circles and other group activities. Her ability to collaborate and empathize with her classmates improved, as she took on various roles within the group and contributed constructively to discussions. This growth in social skills contributed to a positive classroom environment and fostered a sense of belonging among her peers. Overall, Ella's academic, social, and emotional growth was evident, and her engagement with literacy increased substantially.

6.0 Implications for Educators and Researchers

Here I will discuss the practical implications of adopting a comprehensive approach to adolescent literacy instruction, focusing on classroom instruction, professional development, teacher preparation, and future research directions. This discussion aims to provide insights and guidance for educators and researchers as they work toward creating more effective and engaging literacy programs for their students.

6.1 Practical Implications for Classroom Instruction

A comprehensive approach to adolescent literacy instruction not only benefits students like Ella but also has broader implications for the field of education. By integrating the science and art of reading instruction, educators can create inclusive and engaging classrooms that cater to diverse learning needs (Paige et al., 2021). This approach highlights the importance of

combining explicit, structured instruction in foundational reading skills with opportunities for students to exercise choice, engage with rich literature, and collaborate with peers in meaningful learning experiences.

For classroom teachers, adopting a comprehensive approach may involve reevaluating and adjusting their instructional practices, as well as carefully selecting and using high-quality resources to support both the science and art aspects of reading instruction (Duke & Cartwright, 2021). Furthermore, teachers should be mindful of the need to differentiate their instruction to meet the individual needs of each student, as one size does not fit all when it comes to teaching literacy (Fuchs et al., 2021).

6.2 Professional Development and Teacher Preparation

The implementation of a comprehensive approach to adolescent literacy instruction also has implications for professional development and teacher preparation programs. Teachers need ongoing support to deepen their understanding of the complex interplay between the science and art of reading instruction and to develop the skills necessary to implement this approach effectively (Tracey & Morrow, 2017). Professional development opportunities should focus on both the theoretical underpinnings and the practical applications of a comprehensive approach, providing teachers with the tools they need to successfully integrate these components in their classrooms (Cappello & Walker, 2021).

Professional development workshops could offer hands-on training in using explicit, systematic instruction for teaching foundational reading skills, such as phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension. Teachers could be introduced to various instructional strategies, such as multisensory techniques, repeated reading, and guided reading (Lee & Yoon, 2017), along with methods for monitoring student progress and providing targeted

feedback. Similarly, professional development sessions could emphasize the art of reading instruction, showcasing strategies for fostering student engagement, choice, and collaboration. Teachers could learn about implementing literature circles, using diverse and high-quality texts, and creating project-based learning experiences that encourage students to explore their interests and connect with their peers. Workshops could also provide guidance on integrating technology and multimodal literacy into the curriculum, such as incorporating digital storytelling, e-books, and interactive websites to enhance the reading experience and support diverse learners.

Teacher preparation programs should also emphasize the importance of a comprehensive approach to adolescent literacy instruction from the outset, ensuring that preservice educators are equipped with the necessary knowledge and skills to teach literacy effectively. This includes coursework and field experiences that expose teacher candidates to evidence-based practices in both the science and art of reading instruction, as well as opportunities to reflect on and refine their teaching practices throughout their careers (Dalton & Grisham, 2011). For example, an undergraduate literacy course utilizing a textbook such as *Artfully Teaching the Science of Reading* by Chase Young, David Paige, and Tim Rasinski (2022) could provide a strong foundation for preservice educators. This course could cover topics such as explicit phonics instruction, fluency development, and comprehension strategies, as well as fostering student engagement, promoting choice, and integrating technology and multimodal literacy. In addition, field experiences that allow teacher candidates to observe and practice implementing comprehensive literacy instruction in real-world classroom settings could further support their development (Davidson & Koppenhaver, 2017). These experiences may involve working with diverse student populations, collaborating with mentor teachers, and engaging in ongoing reflection and feedback processes to refine their instructional approaches. By enhancing teacher

preparation programs with these components, we can better prepare preservice educators to effectively implement a comprehensive approach to adolescent literacy instruction, ultimately benefiting their future students and fostering a lifelong love for reading.

6.3 Directions for Future Research

While the comprehensive approach to adolescent literacy instruction presented in this paper draws on a solid foundation of research, there is still much to learn about how best to integrate the science and art of reading instruction to maximize student outcomes. Future research should continue to investigate the effectiveness of this approach, particularly with diverse student populations and in various educational contexts (Fuchs et al., 2021). Moreover, researchers should examine the most effective ways to support teachers in adopting and implementing a comprehensive approach to adolescent literacy instruction, including the role of professional development and teacher preparation programs. Additionally, as technology continues to evolve and shape the landscape of education, future research should explore the ways in which digital tools and multimodal literacy can be incorporated into a comprehensive approach to reading instruction, enhancing both the science and art components of teaching reading (Dalton & Grisham, 2011). By continually refining our understanding of the comprehensive approach to adolescent literacy instruction, we can better support the development of skilled, motivated, and engaged readers in our classrooms.

7.0 Concluding Thoughts

Throughout this paper, I have delved into the advantages of a comprehensive approach to literacy instruction for adolescents, emphasizing the integration of both the science and the art of reading. Adolescents are uniquely situated to benefit from this approach due to their growing cognitive abilities, evolving social and emotional needs, and increasing capacity for critical

thinking and personal expression. By combining evidence-based strategies for foundational skills instruction with opportunities for student choice, engagement, and collaboration, this approach aims to create inclusive and engaging learning environments tailored to the distinct needs of adolescents.

The case study of Ella and Ms. Bennett serves as a prime example of the potential impact of the comprehensive approach in an adolescent context. By skillfully incorporating both science- and art-based strategies, Ms. Bennett was able to create a supportive and engaging classroom environment where Ella thrived, significantly improving her reading performance and fostering a lifelong love for reading.

For educators, embracing a comprehensive approach requires a willingness to reevaluate instructional practices and differentiate instruction to meet the unique needs of each adolescent student. This necessitates emphasizing the importance of a comprehensive approach in professional development and teacher preparation programs, ensuring that educators receive the ongoing support they need to effectively implement this approach with adolescent learners.

As we continue to refine our understanding of the comprehensive approach to adolescent literacy instruction, it is crucial to stay receptive to new research findings and make ongoing improvements to our instructional practices. By adopting and refining this approach, educators can empower their adolescent students to become skilled, motivated, and passionate readers, equipping them for success in the classroom and beyond.

References

- Alvermann, D. E. (2022). Teaching critical literacy in the middle years: New meanings, layers, and hopes. *Literacy Learning: The Middle Years*, 30(2), 25–31.
- Beach, R., Appleman, D., Fecho, B., & Simon, R. (2021). *Teaching literature to adolescents* (4th ed.) Routledge.
- Bianchi-Pennington, B. (2018). Designing literary discussion with podcasts. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 61(5), 589–591. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.724>
- Boardman, A. G., Boelé, A. L., & Klingner, J. K. (2018). Strategy instruction shifts teacher and student interactions during text-based discussions. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 53(2), 175–195. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.191>
- Buchholz, B.A., DeHart, J., & Moorman, G. (2020). Digital citizenship during a global pandemic: moving beyond digital literacy. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 64(1), 11–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.1076>
- Cappello, M., & Walker, N. T. (2021). Talking drawings: A multimodal pathway for demonstrating learning. *The Reading Teacher*, 74(4), 407–418. <https://doi.org/10.1002/trtr.1952>
- Chall, J. S. (1983). *Stages of reading development*. McGraw-Hill.
- Chisholm, J. S., & Cook, M. P. (2021). Examining readers' critical literature circle discussions of *Looking for Alaska*. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 65(2), 119–128. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.1169>
- Conley, D. T. (2017). The new complexity of readiness for college and careers. In K. Larsen McClarty, K. D. Mattern, & M. N. Gaertner (Eds.), *Preparing students for college and careers* (pp. 11–22). Routledge.

- Dalton, B., & Grisham, D. L. (2011). eVoc strategies: 10 ways to use technology to build vocabulary. *The Reading Teacher*, 64(5), 306–317. <https://doi.org/10.1598/RT.64.5.1>
- Daniels, H. (2002). *Literature circles: Voice and choice in book clubs and reading groups* (2nd ed.). Stenhouse.
- Davidson, J., & Koppenhaver, D. (2017). *Adolescent literacy: What works and why*. Routledge.
- Duke, N. K., & Carlisle, J. F. (2011). The development of comprehension. In M. L. Kamil, P. D. Pearson, E. B. Moje, & P. P. Afflerbach (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. IV, pp. 199–228). Routledge.
- Duke, N. K., & Cartwright, K. B. (2021). The science of reading progresses: Communicating advances beyond the Simple View of Reading. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 56(1), S25–S44. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.411>
- Duke, N. K., Purcell-Gates, V., Hall, L. A., & Tower, C. (2006). Authentic literacy activities for developing comprehension and writing. *The Reading Teacher*, 60(4), 344–355. <https://doi.org/10.1598/RT.60.4.4>
- Ehri, L. C. (1995). Phases of development in learning to read words by sight. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 18(2), 116–125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9817.1995.tb00077.x>
- Farran, L. K., & Griffin, R. A. (2022). The love and science of reading: A promising instructional approach for adolescent multilingual learners. *Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups*, 7(1), 197–208. https://doi.org/10.1044/2021_PERSP-21-00192
- Fuchs, D., Cho, E., Toste, J. R., Fuchs, L. S., Gilbert, J. K., McMaster, K. L., Svenson, E., & Thompson, A. (2021). A quasiexperimental evaluation of two versions of first-grade pals:

- One with and one without repeated reading. *Exceptional Children*, 87(2), 141–162.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0014402920921828>
- Gambrell, L. B. (2011). Seven rules of engagement: What’s most important to know about motivation to read. *The Reading Teacher*, 65(3), 172–178.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/TRTR.01024>
- Goodman, K. S. (1967). Reading: A psycholinguistic guessing game. *Journal of the Reading Specialist*, 6(4), 126–135. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19388076709556976>
- Gough, P. B., & Tunmer, W. E. (1986). Decoding, reading, and reading disability. *Remedial and Special Education*, 7(1), 6–10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/074193258600700104>
- Guthrie, J. T., & Wigfield, A. (2000). Engagement and motivation in reading. In M. L. Kamil, P. B. Mosenthal, P. D. Pearson, & R. Barr (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 3, pp. 403–422). Erlbaum.
- Hendrix, R. A., & Griffin, R. A. (2017). Developing enhanced morphological awareness in adolescent learners. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 61(1), 55–63.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.642>
- Hock, M. F., Brasseur-Hock, I. F., Hock, A. J., & Duvel, B. (2017). The effects of a comprehensive reading program on reading outcomes for middle school students with disabilities. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 50(2), 195–212.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219415618495>
- Howell, E., & Dyches, J. (2022). Sharpening students’ racial literacies through multimodal subversion. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 66(2), 100–110.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.1255>

- Johns, A. (2023). *The science of reading: Information, media, and mind in modern America*. University of Chicago Press.
- Krishnan, J., Cusimano, A., Wang, D., & Yim, S. (2018). Writing together: Online synchronous collaboration in middle school. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 62(2), 163–173. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.871>
- LaBerge, D., & Samuels, S. J. (1974). Toward a theory of automatic information processing in reading. *Cognitive Psychology*, 6(2), 293–323. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285\(74\)90015-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285(74)90015-2)
- Lee, C. D., & Spratley, A. (2010). *Reading in the disciplines: The challenges of adolescent literacy*. Carnegie Corporation of New York.
- Lee, J., & Yoon, S. Y. (2017). The effects of repeated reading on reading fluency for students with reading disabilities: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 50(2), 213–224. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219415605194>
- Lee, Y., Lemanski, L. M., Van Deventer, M. M., & O'Brien, D. G. (2021). Leveraging collaborative expertise: Social studies teachers' perspectives of disciplinary literacy instruction. *Literacy Research and Instruction*, 60(3), 220–241. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19388071.2020.1826069>
- Leko, M. M., & Handy, T. (2019). Literacy instruction for adolescents with learning disabilities: Examining teacher practice and preparation. *Learning Disabilities: A Contemporary Journal*, 17(1), 117–138. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1218036.pdf>
- Marchand-Martella, N. E., Martella, R. C., Modderman, S. L., Petersen, H. M., & Pan, S. (2013). Key areas of effective adolescent literacy programs. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 36(1), 161–184. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/42900608>

- McKeown, R. G., & Gentilucci, J. L. (2007). Think-aloud strategy: Metacognitive development and monitoring comprehension in the middle school second-language classroom. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 51(2), 136–147. <https://doi.org/10.1598/JAAL.51.2.5>
- Moats, L. C. (2020). *Teaching reading is rocket science, 2020: What expert teachers of reading should know and be able to do*. American Federation of Teachers. <https://www.aft.org/sites/default/files/moats.pdf>
- National Reading Panel. (2000). *Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction* (NIH Publication No. 00-4769). National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.
- Oakhill, J., Cain, K., & Elbro, C. (2019). Reading comprehension and reading comprehension difficulties. Reading development and difficulties: Bridging the gap between research and practice. In D. Kilpatrick, R. Joshi, & R. Wagner (Eds.), *Reading development and difficulties* (pp. 83–115). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-26550-2_5
- Oster, L. (2001). Using the think-aloud for reading instruction. *The Reading Teacher*, 55(1), 64–69. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20205012>
- Paige, D. D., Young, C., Rasinski, T. V., Rupley, W. H., Nichols, W. D., & Valerio, M. (2021). Teaching reading is more than a science: It's also an art. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 56(1), S339–S350. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.388>
- Palmer, S. (1981) Reading theories and research: a search for similarities author. *The English Journal*, 70(8), 63–66. <https://doi.org/10.2307/817749>
- Perelmutter, B., McGregor, K. K., & Gordon, K. R. (2017). Assistive technology interventions for adolescents and adults with learning disabilities: An evidence-based systematic

- review and meta-analysis. *Computers & Education*, 114, 139–163.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.06.005>
- Pierce, K. M., & Gilles, C. (2021). Talking about books: Scaffolding deep discussions. *The Reading Teacher*, 74(4), 385–393. <https://doi.org/10.1002/trtr.1957>
- Powell, M. B., & Gadke, D. L. (2018). Improving oral reading fluency in middle-school students: A comparison of repeated reading and listening passage preview. *Psychology in the Schools*, 55(10), 1274–1286. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.22184>
- Rasinski, T. V. (2003). *The fluent reader: Oral reading strategies for building word recognition, fluency, and comprehension*. Scholastic.
- Rosenblatt, L. M. (1978/1994). *The reader, the text, the poem: The transactional theory of the literary work*. SIU Press.
- Rosenblatt, L. M. (1993). The transactional theory: Against dualisms. *College English*, 55(4), 377–386. <https://doi.org/10.2307/378648>
- Schoenbach, R., Greenleaf, C., & Murphy, L. (2023). *Reading for understanding: How reading apprenticeship improves disciplinary learning in secondary and college classrooms* (3rd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Schwartz, S. (2020, October 19). Lucy Calkins says balanced literacy needs ‘rebalancing.’ *Education Week*. <https://www.edweek.org/teaching-learning/lucy-calkins-says-balanced-literacy-needs-rebalancing/2020/10>
- Shanahan, T., & Shanahan, C. (2008). Teaching disciplinary literacy to adolescents: Rethinking content-area literacy. *Harvard Educational Review*, 78(1), 40–59.
<https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.78.1.v62444321p602101>

- Smale-Jacobse, A. E., Meijer, A., Helms-Lorenz, M., & Maulana, R. (2019). Differentiated instruction in secondary education: A systematic review of research evidence. *Frontiers in Psychology, 10*, Article 2366. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02366>
- Spear-Swerling, L. (2022). An introduction to structured literacy and poor-reader profiles. In L. Spear-Swerling (Ed.), *Structured literacy interventions: Teaching students with reading difficulties, grades K–6* (pp. 1–22). Guilford Press.
- Tatum, A. W. (2022). Texts and adolescents: Embracing connections and connectedness. In K. A. Hinchman & H. K. Sheridan-Thomas (Eds.), *Best practices in adolescent literacy instruction* (3rd ed., pp. 3–21). Guilford Press.
- Tompkins, G. E. (2016). *Literacy for the 21st century: A balanced approach* (7th ed). Pearson.
- Tracey, D. H., & Morrow, L. M. (2017). *Lenses on reading: An introduction to theories and models* (3rd ed.). Guilford Press.
- Vaughn, S., Cirino, P. T., Wanzek, J., Wexler, J., Fletcher, J. M., Denton, C. D., Barth, A. E., Romain, M. A., Francis, D. J., & Schatschneider, C. (2010). Response to intervention for middle school students with reading difficulties: Effects of a primary and secondary intervention. *School Psychology Review, 39*(1), 3–21.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3072689>
- Willen, L. (2022, November 29). Inside the podcast that reignited the reading wars. *Hechinger Report*. <https://hechingerreport.org/inside-the-podcast-that-reignited-the-reading-wars/>
- Willis, J. (2007). Cooperative learning is a brain turn-on. *Middle School Journal, 38*(4), 4–13.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00940771.2007.11461587>
- Young, C., Paige, D., & Rasinski, T. V. (2022). *Artfully teaching the science of reading*. Routledge.