

2-7-2016

On Functions of Several Split-Quaternionic Variables

Gueo Grantcharov

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Florida International University, grantchg@fiu.edu

Camilo Montoya

Indiana University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/math_fac



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Gueo Grantcharov and Camilo Montoya, "On Functions of Several Split-Quaternionic Variables," *Advances in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 2016, Article ID 3654530, 12 pages, 2016. doi:10.1155/2016/3654530

This work is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Arts, Sciences & Education at FIU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Mathematics and Statistics by an authorized administrator of FIU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dcc@fiu.edu.

Research Article

On Functions of Several Split-Quaternionic Variables

Gueo Grantcharov¹ and Camilo Montoya²

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199, USA

²Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Gueo Grantcharov; grantchg@fiu.edu

Received 5 October 2015; Accepted 7 February 2016

Academic Editor: Ricardo Weder

Copyright © 2016 G. Grantcharov and C. Montoya. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Alesker studied a relation between the determinant of a quaternionic Hessian of a function and a specific complex volume form. In this note we show that similar relation holds for functions of several split-quaternionic variables and point to some relations with geometry.

1. Introduction

Quaternions are known to have deep relation to the self-dual Yang-Mills equations in mathematical physics. It is also known that the self-duality equations have an “indefinite” version, the basic conformal metric used in their definition has signature $(2, 2)$. Such equations are not elliptic, but it is known for more than 30 years that many of the integrable systems arise as a reduction of the indefinite self-duality equations [1]. It is also known that the geometry of a superstring with $N = 2$ supersymmetry was shown in [2, 3] to be described by a space-time with a pseudo-Kähler metric of signature $(2, 2)$, whose curvature satisfies the (anti) self-duality equations.

The split quaternions are indefinite analog of quaternions and play similar role in the indefinite self-duality equations as the quaternions play in the positive definite case. It is well known that spaces with quaternionic-like structures (e.g., quaternionic-kähler and hyperkähler) form an active area of research. One topic in it is developing the notion of quaternionic plurisubharmonic functions [4]. Similar to the quaternionic case, there are geometric structures on manifolds of dimension greater than four, related to the split quaternions. Mathematically, these structures are described by quadruples (g, I, S, T) , where g is a signature $(2, 2)$ metric and I, S, T are parallel endomorphisms of the tangent

bundle with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g , such that

$$\begin{aligned} I^2 &= -S^2 = -1, \\ T &= IS = -SI, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$g(IX, IY) = -g(SX, SY) = g(X, Y).$$

In the literature such structures are called hypersymplectic [5], neutral hyperkähler [6], parahyperkähler [7, 8], pseudo-hyperkähler [9], and so forth. A more general condition is when I, S, T are parallel with respect to a connection with skew-symmetric torsion; such structures are considered in [10]. One of the features is the existence of a nondegenerate $(2, 0)$ -form given by $\omega(X, Y) = g(SX, Y) + ig(TX, Y)$. Locally the metric arises from a single function, called potential, similar to the Kähler metrics. The function satisfies $\partial \circ S \circ \bar{\partial} f = \omega$. In the quaternionic case, such potentials in multidimensional quaternionic space \mathbb{H}^n correspond to a quaternionic plurisubharmonic functions and were considered from analytical view point first by Alesker [4].

The aim of this paper is to provide an analog of the results in [4] for functions of split-quaternionic variables. Although it is unlikely to find an appropriate definition of plurisubharmonic function because of the indefiniteness, a meaning of determinant of a split-quaternionic-Hermitian matrix can be given. As a main result in the paper we show

that $\partial \circ S \circ \bar{\partial} f = \det(f) dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{2n}$, where \det is the Moore determinant of the quaternionic Hessian of f . The proof is similar to the proof in [4] and relies on a linear change of variables formula and the density of the delta functions of split-quaternionic hyperplanes in \mathbb{H}_s^n , which is proven by Graev [11]. We notice also that split-Lagrangian calibrations of [12] can be defined naturally for metrics arising from such functions f for which $\det(f) \neq 0$.

2. The Split Quaternions and Functions on \mathbb{H}_s^n

2.1. The Algebra of the Split Quaternions. The split quaternions \mathbb{H}_s are spanned over \mathbb{R} by the basis $\{1, i, s, t\}$ with algebraic relations $1^2 = s^2 = t^2 = 1$, $i^2 = -1$, $is = -si = t$. The inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is defined by $\langle 1, 1 \rangle = \langle i, i \rangle = 1$ and $\langle s, s \rangle = \langle t, t \rangle = -1$ (hence the $(2, 2)$ signature). The conjugate of $q = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 s + x_3 t$ is $\bar{q} := x_0 - x_1 i - x_2 s - x_3 t$, and then $\langle q, q \rangle = q\bar{q} = x_0^2 + x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2$.

Another realization of \mathbb{H}_s in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by the following embedding τ :

$$\mathbb{H}_s \ni (q = z + w \cdot s) \mapsto \tau(q) := \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z} & \bar{w} \\ w & z \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^2) \quad (2)$$

whose usefulness becomes obvious when we notice that it preserves the norm; that is,

$$\|q\|^2 = \langle q, q \rangle = z\bar{z} - w\bar{w} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z} & \bar{w} \\ w & z \end{pmatrix} = \det \tau(q). \quad (3)$$

The embedding τ above is extended to vectors in \mathbb{H}_s^n by the map

$$\tau : \mathbb{H}_s^n \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^{2n}), \quad (4)$$

where

$$q \mapsto \tau(q) := (\tau(q_k))_{k=0, \dots, n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau(q_0) \\ \vdots \\ \tau(q_k) \\ \vdots \\ \tau(q_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

$$q_k := z_{2k} + z_{2k+1} \cdot s,$$

$$\tau(q_k) = \tau(z_{2k} + z_{2k+1} \cdot s) := \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}_{2k} & \bar{z}_{2k+1} \\ z_{2k+1} & z_{2k} \end{pmatrix}$$

so the embedding looks like

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_s^n \ni q = \begin{pmatrix} q_0 \\ \vdots \\ q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \tau(q) := \begin{pmatrix} \tau(q_0) \\ \vdots \\ \tau(q_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}_0 & \bar{w}_0 \\ w_0 & z_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \vdots \\ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}_{n-1} & \bar{w}_{n-1} \\ w_{n-1} & z_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Similarly for an $n \times n$ split-quaternionic matrix, we define its adjoint matrix as the image of the extension of τ to $M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$A = (A_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \mapsto \tau(A) := (\tau(A_{ij}))_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}, \quad (7)$$

where $A_{ij} = a_{ij} + b_{ij} \cdot s$ and $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$.

A related homomorphism is that of the Study map, which we denote by μ , which can be generalized for a matrix $M_n(\mathbb{H}_s) \ni M = A + B \cdot s$, where $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$:

$$M = A + B \cdot s \mapsto \mu(M) := \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\tau(A)} & \overline{\tau(B)} \\ \tau(B) & \tau(A) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

The Study map also has an associated determinant, where $\det_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the standard determinant of complex matrices, given by

$$\text{Sdet}(M) := \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\mu(M)). \quad (9)$$

For $q_k = z_{2k} + z_{2k+1} s$, we have $\tau(q_0 \cdot q_1) = \tau(q_0) \cdot \tau(q_1)$ and we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(q_0 \cdot q_1) &= \begin{pmatrix} \overline{z_0 z_1 + w_0 \bar{w}_1} & \overline{z_0 w_1 + \bar{z}_1 w_0} \\ z_0 w_1 + \bar{z}_1 w_0 & z_0 z_1 + w_0 \bar{w}_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}_0 \bar{z}_1 + \bar{w}_0 w_1 & \bar{z}_0 \bar{w}_1 + z_1 \bar{w}_0 \\ z_0 w_1 + \bar{z}_1 w_0 & z_0 z_1 + w_0 \bar{w}_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}_0 & \bar{w}_0 \\ w_0 & z_0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}_1 & \bar{w}_1 \\ w_1 & z_1 \end{pmatrix} = \tau(q_0) \cdot \tau(q_1). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

So it is natural to expect that this representation extends linearly to matrix groups, that is, the following.

Proposition 1. For any split-quaternionic matrices A and B , we have the following:

- (1) $\tau(AB) = \tau(A)\tau(B)$ for $A = A^{p \times m}$ and $B = B^{m \times l}$, where the superscripts are the respective dimensions.
- (2) For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H}_s)$, $\tau(A^*) = T\tau(A)^*T$, where $T := i\tau(i) = i\text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

(3) For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H}_s)$, $S\tau(A) = \overline{\tau(A)S}$, where $S := \tau(s) = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Furthermore, if $A \in U_n(\mathbb{H}_s)$ is unitary, then $\tau(A)I\tau(A)^t = I$; that is, A is symplectic, and $I := i\tau(-t) = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. Let $C = AB$. Then $C_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} A_{ik}B_{kj}$ and by the property above we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(C_{ij}) &= \tau\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} A_{ik}B_{kj}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \tau(A_{ik}B_{kj}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \tau(A_{ik})\tau(B_{kj}) \\ &= \left(\tau(A_{i0}), \dots, \tau(A_{i(p-1)})\right) \begin{pmatrix} \tau(B_{0j}) \\ \vdots \\ \tau(B_{(p-1)j}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (11) \\ &= \tau\left(\left(A_{ik}\right)_{k=0, \dots, p-1}\right) \tau\left(\left(B_{kj}\right)_{k=0, \dots, p-1}\right)^t \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \tau(A_{ik})\tau(B_{kj})\right)_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(C) &= \left(\tau(C_{ij})\right) = \left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \tau(A_{ik})\tau(B_{kj})\right)_{ij}\right) \\ &= \tau(A) \cdot \tau(B), \\ \tau(A^*) &= \tau(\overline{A^t}) = \tau(\overline{A_{ji}}) = \left(\tau(\overline{A_{ji}})\right) \\ &= \left(\tau\left(\overline{a_{ji}^0} + s \cdot \left(\overline{-a_{ji}^1}\right)\right)\right) = \left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{ji}^0 & \overline{-a_{ji}^1} \\ -a_{ji}^1 & a_{ji}^0 \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \\ &= T\left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{ji}^0 & \overline{a_{ji}^1} \\ a_{ji}^1 & \overline{a_{ji}^0} \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)T = T\left(\overline{\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{ij}^0 & a_{ij}^1 \\ a_{ij}^1 & a_{ij}^0 \end{pmatrix}\right)}\right)^t T \\ &= \overline{T\tau(A)^t}T = T\tau(A)^*T, \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{S\tau(A)} &= \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{\left(\begin{pmatrix} \overline{a_{ij}^0} & \overline{a_{ij}^1} \\ a_{ij}^1 & a_{ij}^0 \end{pmatrix}\right)} \\ &= \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{ij}^0 & a_{ij}^1 \\ \overline{a_{ij}^1} & \overline{a_{ij}^0} \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} \overline{a_{ij}^1} & \overline{a_{ij}^0} \\ a_{ij}^0 & a_{ij}^1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} \overline{a_{ij}^0} & \overline{a_{ij}^1} \\ a_{ij}^1 & a_{ij}^0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \tau(A)S. \end{aligned}$$

For the second claim, if the adjoint of $U \in U(n, \mathbb{H}_s)$ is unitary as $(2n \times 2n)$ matrix, then we show that $\tau(U)$ is symplectic; that is, $\tau(U)I\tau(U)^t = I$

$$\begin{aligned} U(n, \mathbb{H}_s) \ni U &\iff UU^* = \text{Id}_n \iff \tau(UU^*) \\ &= \tau(U)\tau(U^*) = \text{Id}_{2n}, \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

but by (12) we have that $\tau(U^*) = T\tau(U)^*T$ and combined with (14):

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau(A)S)^t &= S\tau(A)^t = \left(\overline{S\tau(A)}\right)^t = \overline{\tau(A)^t}S \implies \\ S\tau(A)^t S &= \overline{\tau(A)^t} \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Id}_{2n} &= \tau(U)\tau(U^*) = \tau(U)T\tau(U)^*T \\ &= \tau(U)IS[S\tau(U)^t S]IS = \tau(U)I\tau(U)^t(-I) \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

and by multiplying the above equation by I on the right we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \text{Id}_{2n}I = \left(\tau(U)I\tau(U)^t(-I)\right)I \\ &= \tau(U)I\tau(U)^t(-I^2) = \tau(U)I\tau(U)^t \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

as needed. \square

Following Wan and Wang [13] we define on $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \ni z = (z_0, \dots, z_{2n-1})$ the corresponding first-order differential operators that act as partial derivatives with respect to the variable in the $(k\alpha)$ th entry, $k = 0, \dots, 2n-1$, and $\alpha = 0$ or 1 , in (6),

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{00} & \nabla_{01} \\ \nabla_{10} & \nabla_{11} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \nabla_{(2n-2)0} & \nabla_{(2n-2)1} \\ \nabla_{(2n-1)0} & \nabla_{(2n-1)1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\bar{z}_0} & \partial_{\bar{z}_1} \\ \partial_{z_1} & \partial_{z_0} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \partial_{\bar{z}_{2n-2}} & \partial_{\bar{z}_{2n-1}} \\ \partial_{z_{2n-1}} & \partial_{z_{2n-2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

and use them to define the analogs of ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ in complex analysis for the purposes of our study of functions on \mathbb{H}_s^n . We will denote by ∂_j , $\partial_{\bar{j}}$ the partial derivatives ∂_{z_i} and $\partial_{\bar{z}_j}$ for notational simplicity.

Definition 2 (the Baston operator). Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{4n}$ be a domain. The operators d_0 , d_1 , and Δ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 &:= \left(\omega = \sum_k f_k dz_k\right) \mapsto d_0\omega := \sum_k \nabla_{k0}\omega dz_k \\ d_1 &:= f \mapsto d_1f := \sum_k \nabla_{k1}f dz_k \\ \Delta &:= d_0d_1 : f \mapsto \Delta f := d_0d_1f. \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

Due to the particular embedding we chose, we can simplify notations and calculations, summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *Properties of ∇_{k0} , ∇_{k1} , d_0 , d_1 , and Δ are as follows:*

(1)

$$\Delta f := d_0 d_1 f = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq 2n-1} \Delta_{ij} f dz_i \wedge dz_j, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\Delta_{ij} := \nabla_{i0} \nabla_{j1} - \nabla_{i1} \nabla_{j0} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{i0} & \nabla_{i1} \\ \nabla_{j0} & \nabla_{j1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

(2) $\Delta_{ij} = -\Delta_{ji}$ for the same pair of indices i and j .

(3) Let $I = \{(2k, 2k+1) \mid k = 0, \dots, n-1\} = \{(0, 1), \dots, (2n-2, 2n-1)\}$ such that (clearly) $2k < 2k+1$ for all k . Let $r \neq s \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$; then without loss of generality we can suppose $r < s$. Then if we consider the ordered pairs $(i_0, i_1) := (2r, 2r+1)$ and $(j_0, j_1) := (2s, 2s+1)$, we have

$$\Delta_{i_0 j_1} = -\overline{\Delta_{i_1 j_0}}. \quad (21)$$

Proof. We compute Δf as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f &:= d_0 (d_1 f) = d_0 \left(\sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} \nabla_{j1} f dz_j \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} \nabla_{i0} dz_i \right) \wedge \left(\sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} \nabla_{j1} f dz_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{2n-1} \nabla_{i0} \nabla_{j1} f dz_i \wedge dz_j. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Since both indices i and j run from 0 to $2n-1$, the ‘‘symmetric terms’’ $\nabla_{i0} \nabla_{j1} f dz_i \wedge dz_j$ and $\nabla_{j0} \nabla_{i1} f dz_j \wedge dz_i$ both appear, and by the skew-symmetry of the wedge product ($dz_i \wedge dz_j = -dz_j \wedge dz_i$),

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_{i0} \nabla_{j1} f dz_i \wedge dz_j + \nabla_{i1} \nabla_{j0} f dz_j \wedge dz_i \\ &= (\nabla_{i0} \nabla_{j1} f - \nabla_{i1} \nabla_{j0} f) dz_i \wedge dz_j \implies \\ \Delta f &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{2n-1} \nabla_{i0} \nabla_{j1} f dz_i \wedge dz_j \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{2n-1} (\nabla_{i0} \nabla_{j1} f - \nabla_{i1} \nabla_{j0} f) f dz_i \wedge dz_j \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and since any $dz_k \wedge dz_k = 0$, the only remaining terms are the terms with $0 \leq i < j \leq 2n-1$, so we can cancel the 1/2 and write (19) as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f &= \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq 2n-1} (\nabla_{i0} \nabla_{j1} f - \nabla_{i1} \nabla_{j0} f) dz_i \wedge dz_j \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq 2n-1} \Delta_{ij} f dz_i \wedge dz_j, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where Δ_{ij} is the coefficient of $dz_i \wedge dz_j$ th term in Δf .

To demonstrate (2), we use commutation of $\nabla_{k\alpha} \nabla_{j\beta} = \nabla_{j\beta} \nabla_{k\alpha}$:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{ji} &:= -(\nabla_{j0} \nabla_{i1} - \nabla_{j1} \nabla_{i0}) = \nabla_{j1} \nabla_{i0} - \nabla_{j0} \nabla_{i1} \\ &= \nabla_{i0} \nabla_{j1} - \nabla_{i1} \nabla_{j0} = \Delta_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Proving the final claim is done in two nearly identical calculations, depending on the parity of r and s . We will prove one case, where without loss of generality we assume r is even and we still have $r < s$. This means that $\nabla_{i_0 0} = \nabla_{(2r)0} = \partial_{2r}$, $\nabla_{i_1 0} = \nabla_{(2r+1)0} = \partial_{2r+1}$, but $\nabla_{i_0 1} = \nabla_{(2r)1} = \partial_{2r+1}$, $\nabla_{i_1 1} = \nabla_{(2r+1)1} = \partial_{2r}$, and similarly $\nabla_{j_0 0} = \nabla_{(2s)0} = \partial_{2s}$, $\nabla_{j_1 0} = \nabla_{(2s+1)0} = \partial_{2s+1}$, but $\nabla_{j_0 1} = \nabla_{(2s)1} = \partial_{2s+1}$, $\nabla_{j_1 1} = \nabla_{(2s+1)1} = \partial_{2s}$ (in the other case if s was odd we would have the completely symmetric situation, with $2r+1$'s becoming $2r$'s, etc.). So we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} -\overline{\Delta_{i_1 j_0}} &= -\overline{\Delta_{i_1 j_0}} = \overline{\Delta_{j_0 i_1}} = \overline{\nabla_{j_0 0} \nabla_{i_1 1} - \nabla_{j_0 1} \nabla_{i_1 0}} \\ &= \overline{\partial_{2s} \partial_{2r} - \partial_{2s+1} \partial_{2r+1}} = \overline{\partial_{2s} \partial_{2r} - \partial_{2s+1} \partial_{2r+1}} \\ &= \partial_{2r} \partial_{2s} - \partial_{2r+1} \partial_{2s+1} \\ &= \nabla_{(2r)0} \nabla_{(2s+1)1} - \nabla_{(2r)1} \nabla_{(2s+1)0} =: \Delta_{(2r)(2s+1)} \\ &= \Delta_{i_0 j_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

□

Definition 4 (mixed Baston product). For $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C^2$ we define the mixed Baston product of f_1, \dots, f_n as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n(f_1, \dots, f_n) &:= \Delta f_1 \wedge \Delta f_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta f_n \\ &:= \sum_{i_1, j_1, \dots} \Delta_{i_1 j_1} f_1 \dots \Delta_{i_n j_n} f_n dz_{i_1} \wedge dz_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_n} \\ &\quad \wedge dz_{j_n} \\ &= \sum_{i_1, j_1, \dots} \delta_{1,2,3,4,\dots,2n}^{i_1 j_1 i_2 j_2 \dots i_n j_n} \Delta_{i_1 j_1} f_1 \dots \Delta_{i_n j_n} f_n dz_I, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where $I = \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ and $\delta_{1,2,3,4,\dots,2n}^{i_1 j_1 i_2 j_2 \dots i_n j_n}$ is defined to be the sign of the permutation from $(i_1, j_1, \dots, i_n, j_n)$ to $(1, 2, 3, \dots, 2n)$ if $\{i_k, j_k \mid k = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\} = I$ and 0 otherwise.

In particular, for $f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_n = f$, the mixed Baston product coincides with the n -times wedged Baston of f ; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n(f) &:= \Delta_n(f, \dots, f) = (\Delta f)^n =: \bigwedge^n \Delta f \\ &:= \underbrace{(\Delta f) \wedge \dots \wedge (\Delta f)}_{n\text{-times}}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The results and definitions above will allow us to translate the n -times wedged Baston of f in terms of the ‘‘split-quaternionic Hessian’’ of f , defined in terms of the Moore determinant, which are precisely the next sections.

2.2. Split-Quaternionic Determinants. Due to the noncommutativity of the multiplication in \mathbb{H}_s (just as in \mathbb{H}) trying to construct an effective definition of determinants is complicated. There are several ways to define them. The main results in this direction follow the work of E. Study, J. Dieudonné, and E. H. Moore, as outlined by Aslaksen in [14]. However, the problem becomes much simpler if we are restricted to *hyperhermitian matrices*; that is, $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{H}_s)$ such that $A = A^*$; then we can define a simple and useful determinant following the work of E. H. Moore, called the Moore determinant. This is done by specifying a certain ordering of the factors in $n!$ terms in the sum over permutations of the symmetric group S_n .

Definition 5 (the Moore determinant, see [14] or [15]). For a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, write σ as a product of disjoint cycles such that the smallest number is at the front of each factor and then sort the disjoint cycles in decreasing order according to the first number of each factor. In other words, write

$$\sigma = (n_{11} \cdots n_{1r_1}) (n_{21} \cdots n_{2r_2}) \cdots (n_{j1} \cdots n_{jr_j}), \quad (29)$$

where, for i , we have $n_{i1} > n_{ik}$ for all $k > 1$, and $n_{11} > n_{21} > \cdots > n_{j1}$. Then we define the Moore determinant of a hyperhermitian matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ [denoted by $\det(A)$] as

$$\det(A) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} |\sigma| a_{n_{11}n_{12}} \cdots a_{n_{1r_1}n_{11}} a_{n_{21}n_{22}} \cdots a_{n_{jr_j}n_{j1}}. \quad (30)$$

Another equivalent definition of the Moore determinant is the inductive one (see [15]), defined as, for a hyperhermitian $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, the inductive definition is given as follows: for $n = 1$, we have $\det(A) := a_{11}$ and for $n > 2$

$$\det(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_{rk} a_{rk} \det(A(r, k)) \quad (31)$$

for $r \in I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\epsilon_{rk} = +1$ if $k = r$ and $\epsilon_{rk} = -1$ if $k \neq r$, and $A(k, r)$ the hyperhermitian $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained by interchanging the k th and r th columns and then deleting both the k th row and column of the corresponding matrix. For any matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H}_s)$, it can be easily checked that $A \cdot A^* = A^* \cdot A$ is also hyperhermitian, which leads to the equalities

$$\det(A^* \cdot A) = \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\mu(A)). \quad (32)$$

The Moore determinant is related to the Study determinant from (8) as

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A^* \cdot A) &= \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau(A)) = \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\mu(A)) \\ &= \text{Sdet}(A), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

which is given by the middle equality which can be seen easily by noticing that $\mu(A)$ and $\tau(A)$ are similar matrices (having the same exact entries in different arrays, except that the former consists of 4 n -blocks and the latter consists of n^2 2-blocks) and differ by only elementary operations (shuffling some rows, columns, and signs) so that their complex determinants are equal.

Again focusing on hyperhermitian matrices, we can manipulate them to get what are also known as *self-adjoint* matrices, for which the Pfaffians (Pf) can be defined (again see [15]). They are defined on $2n \times 2n$ skew-symmetric matrices, so for a hyperhermitian matrix A and matrix (and endomorphism) T defined in Proposition 1, we define the map ρ by

$$A \mapsto \rho(A) := T \cdot \tau(A). \quad (34)$$

From this follows the well-known equalities proved by Dyson [15]:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= \text{Pf}(\rho(A)) = \sqrt{\text{Sdet}(A)} \\ \text{i.e., } [\det(A)]^2 &= \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau(A)). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

This allows us to prove \det is a homomorphism and, in particular, the following corollary.

Corollary 6. For any hyperhermitian matrix A and any split-quaternionic matrix C , the matrix $C^* \cdot A \cdot C$ is also hyperhermitian and

$$\det(C^* \cdot A \cdot C) = \det(C^* \cdot C) \cdot \det(A). \quad (36)$$

Proof. Using the identities (32), (33), and (35) above, Proposition 1, and the multiplicative properties of complex determinants and τ , a direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} [\det(C^* \cdot A \cdot C)]^2 &= \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau(C^* \cdot A \cdot C)) \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau((C^*) \cdot \tau(A) \cdot \tau(C))) \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau(C^*)) \cdot \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau(A)) \cdot \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau(C)) \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau(C^*)) \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau(C)) \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau(A)) \\ &= [\det_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau(C^* \cdot C))] \cdot \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau(A)) \\ &= [\det(C^* \cdot C)]^2 \cdot [\det(A)]^2 \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

and the corollary is proved. \square

Definition 7 (mixed discriminant of hyperhermitian matrices). Let A_1, \dots, A_n be hyperhermitian $n \times n$ matrices. The *mixed discriminant* [denoted by $\det(A_1, \dots, A_n)$] of A_1, \dots, A_n is defined to be the coefficient of the monomial x_1, \dots, x_n divided by $n!$ in the polynomial given by $\det(x_1 A_1 + \cdots + x_n A_n)$, where \det is again the Moore determinant. Note also that $\det(A, \dots, A) = \det(A)$.

2.3. The “Split-Quaternionic Hessian” of a Function. Let f be a function of n split-quaternionic variables, where $q_i := z_{2i} + \bar{z}_{2i+1} \cdot s$. We define the partial derivative with respect to q_i as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} := \partial_{2i} + \partial_{\bar{z}_{2i+1}} \cdot s; \quad (38)$$

and for $q_j := z_{2j} + z_{2j+1} \cdot s$, its conjugate is $\bar{q}_j := \bar{z}_{2j} - \bar{z}_{2j+1} \cdot s$, we similarly define the partial derivative with respect to a conjugate variable as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{q}_j} := \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial q_j}} := \partial_{\bar{z}_{2j}} - \partial_{\bar{z}_{2j+1}} \cdot s. \quad (39)$$

This immediately implies that the mixed partials calculation is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial \bar{q}_j} &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{q}_j} \right) \\
&:= (\partial_{2i} + \partial_{\overline{2i+1}} \cdot s) (\partial_{\overline{2j}} - \partial_{\overline{2j+1}} \cdot s) \\
&= \partial_{2i} \partial_{\overline{2j}} - \partial_{2i} \partial_{\overline{2j+1}} \cdot s + \partial_{\overline{2i+1}} \cdot s \cdot \partial_{\overline{2j}} - \partial_{\overline{2i+1}} \cdot s \\
&\quad \cdot \partial_{\overline{2j+1}} \cdot s \tag{40} \\
&= \partial_{2i} \partial_{\overline{2j}} - \partial_{\overline{2i+1}} \partial_{2j+1} + (\partial_{\overline{2i+1}} \partial_{\overline{2j}} - \partial_{2i} \partial_{\overline{2j+1}}) \cdot s \\
&= \partial_{(2i)(\overline{2j})}^2 - \partial_{(2i+1)(\overline{2j+1})}^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\partial_{(2i+1)(2j)}^2 - \partial_{(2i)(\overline{2j+1})}^2 \right) \cdot s,
\end{aligned}$$

since $s \cdot \partial_k = \partial_{\bar{k}} \cdot s$ which extends from the fact that for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $s \in \mathbb{H}_s$, $s \cdot z = \bar{z} \cdot s$. This also shows that the matrix $(\partial^2 / \partial q_i \partial \bar{q}_j)_{i,j=0,\dots,n-1}$ is hyperhermitian; that is,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial \bar{q}_j} = \overline{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_j \partial \bar{q}_i}}. \tag{41}$$

Definition 8 (the “split-quaternionic Hessian” of a function f). The “split-quaternionic Hessian” (denoted \mathcal{H}_s) of a C^2 function defined on a domain D in $\mathbb{H}_s^n \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is defined analogously to the complex Hessian of a function, only with respect to split-quaternionic variables. For $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$

$$\partial_{ij}^q := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial \bar{q}_j} : \tag{42}$$

$$f \mapsto \partial_{ij}^q(f) := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial \bar{q}_j}(f) := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial \bar{q}_j},$$

and $\mathcal{H}_s(f)$, the split-quaternionic Hessian of f , is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_s : C^2(D) &\longrightarrow M_n(\mathbb{H}_s), \\
f &\longmapsto \mathcal{H}_s(f) := [\partial_{ij}^q(f)]_{i,j=0,1,\dots,2n-1}. \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

We now turn to the Monge-Ampère operator. As Alesker in [4] defined the *mixed Monge-Ampère operator* in quaternionic space of a C^2 function f is defined as

$$\text{MA}(f) : f \longmapsto \det(\mathcal{H}_s(f)). \tag{44}$$

Generalized further, for C^2 functions f_1, \dots, f_n , the *mixed discriminant* ds is defined as the Moore determinant of the respective quaternionic Hessian matrices; we follow this construction to define a similar Monge-Ampère operator to split-quaternionic functions, denoted by

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{MA}(f_1, \dots, f_n) &:= \det(f_1, \dots, f_n) \\
&:= \det \left(\left(\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial q_i \partial \bar{q}_j} \right), \dots, \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_n}{\partial q_i \partial \bar{q}_j} \right) \right). \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

Note also that, for $f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_n = f$, the mixed Monge-Ampère operator is equal to the regular Monge-Ampère operator:

$$\det(f) := \det(f, \dots, f) = \det \mathcal{H}_s(f). \tag{46}$$

Lemma 9. For any hyperhermitian $n \times n$ matrix A and any real diagonal real matrix of the form $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & t_n \end{pmatrix}$ we have that

$$\det(A + T) = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \left(\prod_{i \in I} t_i \right) \cdot \det(M_I A), \tag{47}$$

where $M_I A$ is the matrix obtained by deleting rows and columns with indexes from a nonempty subset $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (see [4], pg. 10).

This lemma is proved as proposition 1.1.11 in Alesker [4]; we will just be using a simple corollary.

Corollary 10. If $t_2 = \dots = t_n = 0$ in Lemma 9 above, then

$$\det(A + T) = \det(A) + t_1 \cdot \det(M_{\{1\}} A), \tag{48}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & * \\ * & M_{\{1\}} A \end{pmatrix} \tag{49}$$

and $M_{\{1\}} A$ is still a hyperhermitian $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix, $a_{11} \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.4. Linear Change of Variables. In this section we prove a split-quaternionic change of variables formula for linear transformations. Since the split quaternions can be represented by real (2×2) matrices, this endeavour is done easier via a real representation of the matrix algebra. In this light we define $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}$ to be the following embedding (also a homomorphism like τ , see Proposition 1) for a split-quaternionic vector $q = (q_k) = (x_{4k} + x_{4k+1}i + x_{4k+2}s + x_{4k+3}t) \in \mathbb{H}_s^n$ and matrix $A = A_0 + A_1i + A_2s + A_3t$, where $A_i \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{H}_s^n \ni q &\longmapsto \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(q) \\
&:= (x_{4k}, x_{4k+1}, x_{4k+2}, x_{4k+3})_{k=0,1,\dots,n-1}^t \in \mathbb{R}^{4n}, \\
\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{H}_s) \ni A &\longmapsto \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A) \tag{50}
\end{aligned}$$

$$:= \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & -A_1 & A_2 & A_3 \\ A_1 & A_0 & A_3 & -A_2 \\ A_2 & A_3 & A_0 & -A_1 \\ A_3 & -A_2 & A_1 & A_0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{4n}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Using this real embedding the corresponding matrices $I_{\mathbb{R}}$, $S_{\mathbb{R}}$, and $T_{\mathbb{R}}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathbb{R}} &:= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ S_{\mathbb{R}} &:= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ T_{\mathbb{R}} &:= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

and satisfy the split-quaternionic relations (1). Moreover, if we define

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha} := \text{diag}(I_{\alpha}), \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \quad (52)$$

for $I_1 = I_{\mathbb{R}}$, $I_2 = S_{\mathbb{R}}$, $I_3 = T_{\mathbb{R}}$, then one can calculate that $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)$ commutes with \mathbb{I}_{α} ; that is

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha} \cdot \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A) = \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3. \quad (53)$$

For a C^1 function $f: \mathbb{H}_s^n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_s$, $f = f_0 + f_1 i + f_2 s + f_3 t$, and the real representation denoted by $f^{\mathbb{R}} = (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3)^t$, the partial derivative of f with respect to q_j can be written as the (4×4) differential operator

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_{q_j} f)^{\mathbb{R}} &:= \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_j} \right)^{\mathbb{R}} \\ &:= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_{4j}} & -\partial_{x_{4j+1}} & \partial_{x_{4j+2}} & \partial_{x_{4j+3}} \\ \partial_{x_{4j+1}} & \partial_{x_{4j}} & \partial_{x_{4j+3}} & -\partial_{x_{4j+2}} \\ \partial_{x_{4j+2}} & \partial_{x_{4j+3}} & \partial_{x_{4j}} & -\partial_{x_{4j+1}} \\ \partial_{x_{4j+3}} & -\partial_{x_{4j+2}} & \partial_{x_{4j+1}} & \partial_{x_{4j}} \end{pmatrix} f^{\mathbb{R}} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

and the derivative in the direction $q \in \mathbb{H}_s^n$ as

$$\partial_q f := \mathcal{D}_x f^{\mathbb{R}} := \begin{pmatrix} (\partial_{q_0} f)^{\mathbb{R}} \\ \vdots \\ (\partial_{q_{n-1}} f)^{\mathbb{R}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (55)$$

For a linear transformation $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{H}_s)$; $A = (a_{ij}) : \mathbb{H}_s^n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_s^n$; $q \mapsto q' := Aq$ and a C^1 function $f' : \mathbb{H}_s^n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_s$ we define the pullback via A of f' as $f(q) := f'(Aq) [= f'(q')]$ and their corresponding real representation denoted as $f^{\mathbb{R}}$ and

$f^{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $x = \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(q)$ and $y = \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(q')$, so that $y = \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)x$, and $f(q) = f'(Aq)$, that is, $f^{\mathbb{R}}(x) = f'^{\mathbb{R}}(\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)x)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{H}_s^n & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbb{H}_s^n & \xrightarrow{f'} & \mathbb{H}_s \\ \downarrow \tau_{\mathbb{R}} & & \downarrow \tau_{\mathbb{R}} & & \downarrow \tau_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \mathbb{R}^{4n} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)} & \mathbb{R}^{4n} & \xrightarrow{f'^{\mathbb{R}}} & \mathbb{R}^4 \end{array} \quad (56)$$

Proposition 11. *With the same setup as above, we have*

$$\mathcal{D}_x f^{\mathbb{R}}(x) = (\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A^*)) \mathcal{D}_y f'^{\mathbb{R}}(\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)x); \quad (57)$$

that is,

$$\partial_{q_j} f(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{kj} \partial_{q'_k} f'(Aq). \quad (58)$$

Proof. Denote by $(\partial_{q_j})^{\alpha}$ the α th column of the functional operator $\partial_{q_j} : f \mapsto (\partial_{q_j} f)^{\mathbb{R}}$, for $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and then by the definitions of $(\partial_{q_j})^{\alpha}$ and I_{α} it follows directly that

$$(\partial_{q_j})^{\alpha} = I_{\alpha-1} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_{4j}} \\ \partial_{x_{4j+1}} \\ \partial_{x_{4j+2}} \\ \partial_{x_{4j+3}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (59)$$

with the understanding that $I_0 = \text{Id}_{4 \times 4}$ for the $\alpha = 1$ th column. Hence we have

$$(\mathcal{D}_x)^{\alpha} = \mathbb{I}_{\alpha-1} \partial_x = \mathbb{I}_{\alpha-1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_{4j}} \\ \partial_{x_{4j+1}} \\ \partial_{x_{4j+2}} \\ \partial_{x_{4j+3}} \end{pmatrix} \right)_{j=0, \dots, n-1}, \quad (60)$$

where $(\mathcal{D}_x)^{\alpha}$ is the α th column of the functional operator $\mathcal{D}_x : f \mapsto (\mathcal{D}_x f)^{\mathbb{R}}$. Since $y = \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)x$, then by definition we have $y_k = \sum_{m=0}^{4n-1} (\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A))_{km} x_m$ so that by the chain rule for functions of several variables

$$\partial_{x_j} f^{\mathbb{R}}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{4n-1} (\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A))_{kj} \partial_{y_k} f'^{\mathbb{R}}((\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A))x) \implies \quad (61)$$

$$(\mathcal{D}_x f)^{\mathbb{R}} = [\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)]^t \cdot \partial_y f'^{\mathbb{R}}(\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)x)$$

directly in the first column, that is, $(\mathcal{D}_x f)^1(x) = [\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)]^t (\mathcal{D}_y f')^1(\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)x)$. Since $f = f_0 + f_1 i + f_2 s + f_3 t$ and

\mathcal{D}_x is a linear operator, we use the commutation relations (53) to calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{D}_x f)^\alpha(x) &= \mathbb{1}_{\alpha-1} \partial_x f^{\mathbb{R}}(x) \\
&= \mathbb{1}_{\alpha-1} \left([\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)]^t \partial_y f'^{\mathbb{R}}(\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)x) \right) \\
&= [\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)]^t \left(\mathbb{1}_{\alpha-1} \partial_y f'^{\mathbb{R}}(\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)x) \right) \\
&= [\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)]^t \left((\mathcal{D}_y f')^\alpha(\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)x) \right) \\
&= [\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)]^t \left(\mathcal{D}_y f' \right)^\alpha(y),
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

for $\alpha = 2, 3, 4$.

And hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(A^* \partial_q f')^{\mathbb{R}}(Aq) &= \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)^t \mathcal{D}_y f'^{\mathbb{R}}(y) \\
&= \tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)^t \mathcal{D}_y f'^{\mathbb{R}}(\tau_{\mathbb{R}}(A)x) \\
&= (\mathcal{D}_x f^{\mathbb{R}})(x) = (\partial_q f)^{\mathbb{R}}(q)
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

and (57) follows. \square

Corollary 12 (change of variables under split-quaternionic linear transformations). *If f is a real-valued C^2 function, then*

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_j \partial \bar{q}_k}(q) \right) = A^* \left(\frac{\partial^2 f'}{\partial q'_j \partial \bar{q}'_k}(Aq) \right) A. \tag{64}$$

Proof. If u is real-valued, then $\partial_{\bar{q}_k} u = \overline{\partial_{q_k} u}$. Then by (58)

$$\partial_{q_i} u_j(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{ki} \partial_{q'_k} u'_j(Aq) \tag{65}$$

and taking the conjugate of both sides gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\bar{q}_i} u_j(q) &= \overline{\partial_{q_i} u_j(q)} = \overline{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{ki} \partial_{q'_k} u'_j(Aq)} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \overline{\bar{a}_{ki} \partial_{q'_k} u'_j(Aq)} a_{ki} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \partial_{\bar{q}'_k} u'_j(Aq) a_{ki}.
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Then applying (58) to the LHS of the line above

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j,k=0}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{ji} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial q_j \partial \bar{q}_k}(Aq) a_{ki} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial f'_k}{\partial q'_j}(q) a_{ki} \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f'_k(q) a_{kj} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 u'}{\partial q_j \partial \bar{q}_k}(q),
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

where $f_k(q) := \overline{(\partial u / \partial \bar{q}_k)}(Aq) = \partial_{\bar{q}_k} u(Aq)$, and hence (64) follows and the corollary is proved. \square

3. Statement of the Theorem

3.1. The Main Result of This Paper

Theorem 13. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{4n} \cong \mathbb{H}_s^n$ be a domain and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_s$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_n(f) &:= (\Delta f)^n := \underbrace{(\Delta f) \wedge (\Delta f) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\Delta f)}_{n\text{-times}} \\
&= n! \cdot \det \mathcal{H}_s(f) \cdot dz_I,
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

where $I = \{0, 1, \dots, 2n-1\}$ is a multi-index and $dz_I := dz_0 \wedge dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{2n-1}$ is the holomorphic volume form in \mathbb{C}^{2n} .

3.2. Proof of Theorem 13 for the Case $n = 2$. First we prove Theorem 13 for the case $n = 2$ (base case) and then proceed by induction.

Proof. Let $n = 2$ and consider the embedding τ from Section 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{H}_s^2 \ni q &= \begin{pmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z_0 + z_1 \cdot s \\ z_2 + z_3 \cdot s \end{pmatrix} \xleftrightarrow{\tau} \tau(q) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \tau_0(q_0) \\ \tau_1(q_1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}_0 & \bar{z}_1 \\ z_1 & z_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}_2 & \bar{z}_3 \\ z_3 & z_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

The corresponding operators are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{00} & \nabla_{01} \\ \nabla_{10} & \nabla_{11} \\ \nabla_{20} & \nabla_{21} \\ \nabla_{30} & \nabla_{31} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\bar{z}_0} & \partial_{\bar{z}_1} \\ \partial_{z_1} & \partial_{z_0} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\bar{z}_2} & \partial_{\bar{z}_3} \\ \partial_{z_3} & \partial_{z_2} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \tag{70}$$

and we use Lemma 3 to complete the proof:

$$\Delta f = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq 3} \Delta_{ij} f dz_i \wedge dz_j \tag{71}$$

from which it follows that wedging Δf to itself yields

$$(\Delta f)^2 := (\Delta f) \wedge (\Delta f), \tag{72}$$

where again $I = \{0, 1, \dots, 2(2) - 1\}$ and $dz_I := dz_0 \wedge dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dz_3$ is the holomorphic volume form in $(\Lambda^4)^* \mathbb{C}^{2(2)}$.

On the other hand (40) tells us how to compute entries in the split-quaternionic Hessian, which works out beautifully:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{00}^q &= \partial_{00}^2 - \partial_{11}^2 = \Delta_{01}, \\
\partial_{11}^q &= \partial_{22}^2 - \partial_{33}^2 = \Delta_{23},
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

$$\partial_{10}^q = \partial_{20}^2 - \partial_{31}^2 + (\partial_{30}^2 - \partial_{21}^2) \cdot s = -\Delta_{12} + \Delta_{02} \cdot s$$

and when combined with Lemma 3, that gives

$$\overline{\Delta_{12}} = -\Delta_{03}, \tag{74}$$

$$\overline{\Delta_{02}} = -\Delta_{13}.$$

The third row of the above then also implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_{10}^q\|^2 &= \partial_{10}^q \cdot \overline{\partial_{10}^q} = (-\Delta_{12}) (\overline{-\Delta_{12}}) - (\Delta_{02}) (\overline{\Delta_{02}}) \\ &= \Delta_{12} \overline{\Delta_{12}} - \Delta_{02} \overline{\Delta_{02}} = \Delta_{02} \Delta_{13} - \Delta_{03} \Delta_{12}, \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

so that $(\Delta f)^2$ is actually

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta f)^2 &= 2 [\Delta_{01} f \Delta_{23} f - (\Delta_{02} f \Delta_{13} f - \Delta_{03} f \Delta_{12} f)] \\ &\quad \cdot dz_I = 2 \left[\partial_{00}^q f \partial_{11}^q f - \partial_{10}^q f (\overline{\partial_{10}^q f}) \right] \cdot dz_I \\ &= 2 \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{00}^q f & \partial_{01}^q f \\ \partial_{10}^q f & \partial_{11}^q f \end{pmatrix} \cdot dz_I \\ &= 2 \cdot \det \mathcal{H}_s(f) \cdot dz_I \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

since $\partial_{ij}^q = \overline{\partial_{ji}^q}$ [see (41)]. This concludes the proof of Theorem 13 for the case $n = 2$. \square

3.3. Proof of Induction. We now assume that Theorem 13 is true for some $n - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$; we want to prove by induction that it holds for n . We consider a C^2 function $f(q_0, \dots, q_{n-1})$ of n variables that has continuous 2nd-order mixed partial derivatives. First we prove a result in functional analysis regarding the density of delta functions (on hyperplanes) in the space of (tempered) distributions, implying that the span of said delta functions contains the set of smooth functions, which are dense in the C^2 functions.

Lemma 14. *Linear combinations of delta functions is dense in the space of generalized functions $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{H}_s^n) = (\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}_s^n))^*$, where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}_s^n)$ is the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions on \mathbb{H}_s^n .*

Proof. Consider the Fréchet space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}_s^n)$ with the Fréchet topology and its dual space $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{H}_s^n)$. We wish to show that the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}_s^n)$ is dense in $(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{H}_s^n))^*$, the dual of the distribution space. It is well known that the evaluation map is an injection from a topological vector space X into its double dual X^{**} ; hence for $X = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}_s^n)$ we have a copy of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}_s^n) \subset (\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{H}_s^n))^* = (\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}_s^n))^{**}$.

But since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}_s^n)$ is a nuclear Fréchet space which is also barreled (see [16], pg. 107, 147) then $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}_s^n)$ is (semi)reflexive; that is, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}_s^n) \cong (S(H_s^n))^{**} = (\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{H}_s^n))^*$ as vector spaces and hence the Schwartz space is trivially dense in the dual of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{H}_s^n)$. Consider the subspace

$$S = \text{span} \{ \delta_E \mid E \subset \mathbb{H}_s^n \text{-split-quaternionic hyperplane} \} \quad (77)$$

and its closure \bar{S} inside $(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{H}_s^n))^*$, and suppose $\bar{S} \neq (\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{H}_s^n))^*$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there is a linear functional l such that $l|_S \equiv 0$ and $l|_{(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{H}_s^n))^* - \bar{S}} > 0$. Thus there exists a nonzero Schwartz function g such that the functional

$$l : f \mapsto l(f) := \int_{\mathbb{H}_s^n} g \cdot f \neq 0. \quad (78)$$

But then for any (all) $\delta_E \in S$,

$$l(\delta_E) = \int_{\mathbb{H}_s^n} g \cdot \delta_E = \int_E g =: \mathcal{R}_g(E) = 0 \iff g \equiv 0 \quad (79)$$

since the Radon transform (defined on hyperplanes) $\mathcal{R}_g : E \mapsto \int_E g$ (for nonzero g) is injective (proved in [11, 17]), which is a contradiction since g was assumed to be nonzero.

Hence $\bar{S} = (\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{H}_s^n))^*$; that is, the span of delta functions S is dense in $(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{H}_s^n))^*$. \square

Proof of Theorem 13 for $n > 2$. By Lemma 14 and the properties of the mixed Baston product and mixed discriminant, it suffices to prove

$$\Delta_n(f_1, \dots, f_n) = n! \cdot \det(f_1, \dots, f_n) dz_I \quad (80)$$

for $n > 2$ in the case $f_1(q) = \delta_E$, where $E = \{q \mid \sum_i \lambda_i q_i = 0\}$ is a split-quaternionic hyperplane, which implies Theorem 13. We proceed by finding a unitary linear transformation A such that $E = \{q \mid q_1 = 0\}$. We can use the pullback functions $f'_k(q') = f_k(Aq')$, and by Corollary 12 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F'_k &:= \left(\frac{\partial^2 f'_k}{\partial q'_i \partial q'_j} (q') \right) = A \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} (Aq') \right) A^* \\ &=: A \cdot F_k \cdot A^*, \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

where $q := Aq'$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k x_k F'_k &= \sum_k x_k (A \cdot F_k \cdot A^*) \\ &= A \cdot \left(\sum_k x_k F_k \right) \cdot A^* \implies \\ \det \left(\sum_k x_k F'_k \right) &= \det \left(A \cdot \left(\sum_k x_k F_k \right) \cdot A^* \right) \\ &= \det(A \cdot A^*) \cdot \det \left(\sum_k x_k F_k \right) \\ &= \det \left(\sum_k x_k F_k \right) \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

since A is unitary; that is, $A \cdot A^* = \text{Id}$. Hence it follows by the definition of the mixed discriminant and using the simpler notation

$$\begin{aligned} &\det(\delta_{\{\sum_k \lambda_k q_k = 0\}}, f_2, \dots, f_n)(q) \\ &= \det(\delta_{\{\sum_k \lambda_k q_k = 0\}}, f_2, \dots, f_n)(Aq') \\ &= \det(\delta_{\{q'_1 = 0\}}, f'_2, \dots, f'_n)(q'), \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

where again $q = Aq'$. From these considerations, it then suffices to prove (80) in the case where $f_1 = \delta_E$ and $E = \{q_1 = 0\}$. We can compute the split-quaternionic Hessian

of δ_E , where the derivatives are now weak derivatives of distributions. For any test function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{H}_s^n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \delta_E}{\partial \bar{q}_j}(\phi) &:= \int_{\mathbb{H}_s^n} \phi \cdot \frac{\partial \delta_E}{\partial \bar{q}_j} dq = - \int_{\mathbb{H}_s^n} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{q}_j} \cdot \delta_E \cdot dq \\ &= \int_E \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{q}_j} dq \Big|_E, \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

where $dq = \bigwedge_{k=1}^n dq_k$ is the volume measure on \mathbb{H}_s and $dq|_E = dq_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dq_n$ is the volume measure on E . Translated into the complex representations, $dq = \bigwedge_{k=1}^{2n} dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k =: d\mathbf{Z}$ and $dq|_E = \bigwedge_{k=3}^{2n} dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k =: d\mathbf{Z}_1$ so that, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{q}_j} dq \Big|_E &= (\partial_{2j-1} \phi - \partial_{2j} \phi \cdot s) d\mathbf{Z}_1 \\ &= \partial_{2j-1} \phi \cdot d\mathbf{Z}_1 - \partial_{2j} \phi \cdot d\mathbf{Z}_1 \cdot s. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

But letting

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_i &:= dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dz_i} \wedge d\bar{z}_{2j} \wedge \cdots \\ &\quad \wedge dz_{2n} \wedge d\bar{z}_{2n}, \\ \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_i &:= dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_i \wedge \widehat{d\bar{z}_i} \wedge \cdots \\ &\quad \wedge dz_{2n} \wedge d\bar{z}_{2n}, \\ \partial_{2j-1} \phi \cdot d\mathbf{Z} &= \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \delta_k^{2j-1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_{2j-1} \wedge d\bar{z}_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} (\phi \cdot \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_{2j-1}) \wedge d\bar{z}_k \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} (\phi \cdot \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_{2j-1}) \wedge dz_k}_{=0} \\ &= d(\phi \cdot \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_{2j-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

which means that $\partial_{2j-1} \phi \cdot d\mathbf{Z} = d(\phi \cdot \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_{2j-1})$ and similarly $\partial_{2j} \phi \cdot d\mathbf{Z} = d(\phi \cdot \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_{2j})$ are exact forms and hence by Stokes' theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{q}_j} dq \Big|_E &= \int_E (\partial_{2j-1} \phi \cdot d\mathbf{Z}_1 - \partial_{2j} \phi \cdot d\mathbf{Z}_1 \cdot s) \\ &= \int_E \partial_{2j-1} \phi \cdot d\mathbf{Z}_1 - \int_E \partial_{2j} \phi \cdot d\mathbf{Z}_1 \cdot s \\ &= \int_E d(\phi \cdot \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_{2j-1}) - \int_E d(\phi \cdot \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_{2j}) \\ &= \int_{\partial E} \phi \cdot \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_{2j-1} - \int_{\partial E} \phi \cdot \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_{2j} \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\partial E_b} \phi \cdot \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_{2j-1} - \int_{\partial E_b} \phi \cdot \widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_{2j} \right) \\ &= 0 - 0, \quad \text{if } j \neq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

because of the compact support of ϕ , and $\partial E_b = \{|z_i| \leq b : i = 3, 4, \dots, 2n\}$. If $j = 1$ then since $d\bar{z}_1$ and $d\bar{z}_2$ do not appear in $\widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_1$ and $\widehat{d\mathbf{Z}}_2$, respectively, the integral and hence $(\partial \delta_E / \partial \bar{q}_1)(\phi)$ may not necessarily be zero. Applying the partial derivative with respect to q_1 to (84) with $j = 1$ we get the first entry in the split-quaternionic Hessian matrix for δ_E , and combining with (87) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \delta_E}{\partial q_i \partial \bar{q}_j} \right) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \delta_E}{\partial q_1 \partial \bar{q}_1} & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{q_1} \delta_E & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

since $\partial^2 \delta_E / \partial q_i \partial \bar{q}_j = 0$ if $i \neq 1 \neq j$, and the second equality is by definition for $n = 1$. Using Corollary 10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot \det(\delta_E, f_2, \dots, f_n) \\ = \Delta_{q_1} \delta_E \cdot \det(M_{\{1\}} F_2, \dots, M_{\{1\}} F_n), \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

where $M_{\{1\}} F_k = (\partial^2 f_k / \partial q_i \partial \bar{q}_j)_{i,j=2,\dots,n}$ are the corresponding hyperhermitian minors of the original matrices. Then from (89) it follows that for any test function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{H}_s^n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{H}_s^n} \phi \cdot \det(\delta_E, f_2, \dots, f_n) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{H}_s^n} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \phi \cdot \Delta_{q_1} \delta_E \cdot \det(M_{\{1\}} F_2, \dots, M_{\{1\}} F_n) \\ = \int_E \frac{1}{n} \cdot \Delta_{q_1} [\phi \cdot \det(M_{\{1\}} F_2, \dots, M_{\{1\}} F_n)] \Big|_{q_1=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

Since the domain of integration is $E = \{q_1 = 0\}$, the integral only depends on no more than 2nd-order derivatives of f_2, \dots, f_n in the direction of q_1 . Thus we can assume that there exists polynomials p_k of order no more than 2 such that $f_k(q_1, \dots, q_n) = p_k(q_1) \widehat{f}_k(q_2, \dots, q_n)$ for $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$.

Let $M_{\{1\}} \widehat{F}_k$ denote $(\partial^2 \widehat{f}_k / \partial q_i \partial \bar{q}_j)_{i,j=2,\dots,n}$, and then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M_{\{1\}} F_2, \dots, M_{\{1\}} F_n) \\ = p_2(q_1) \cdots p_n(q_1) \cdot \det(M_{\{1\}} \widehat{F}_2, \dots, M_{\{1\}} \widehat{F}_n) \\ = p_2(q_1) \cdots p_n(q_1) \cdot \det(\widehat{f}_2, \dots, \widehat{f}_n). \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{H}_s^n} \phi \cdot \det(\delta_E, f_2, \dots, f_n) \\
&= \int_E \frac{1}{n} \cdot \Delta_{q_1} [\phi \cdot \det(M_{\{1\}F_2}, \dots, M_{\{1\}F_n})] \Big|_{q_1=0} \\
&= \int_E \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \Delta_{q_1} [\phi \cdot p_2(q_1) \cdots p_n(q_1)] \Big|_{q_1=0} \\
&\quad \cdot \Delta_{n-1}(\widehat{f}_2, \dots, \widehat{f}_n).
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

On the other hand, using properties of the mixed Baston product and our inductive hypothesis used in the last equality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{H}_s^n} \phi \cdot \Delta_n(\delta_E, f_2, \dots, f_n) = \int_{\mathbb{H}_s^n} \phi \cdot \sum_{i_1, j_1, \dots} \delta_{1,2,3,4, \dots, 2n}^{i_1 j_1 i_2 j_2, \dots, i_n j_n} \\
&\quad \cdot \Delta_{i_1 j_1} \delta_E \cdots \Delta_{i_n j_n} f_n = \int_{\mathbb{H}_s^n} 2\phi \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{i_1, j_1, \dots} \delta_{1,2,3,4, \dots, 2n}^{12 i_2 j_2, \dots, i_n j_n} \Delta_{12} \delta_E \cdots \Delta_{i_n j_n} f_n = \int_{\mathbb{H}_s^n} \phi \cdot \Delta_{q_1} \delta_E \\
&\quad \cdot p_2(q_1) \cdots p_n(q_1) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{i_2, j_2, \dots} \delta_{1,2,3,4, \dots, 2n}^{12 i_2 j_2, \dots, i_n j_n} \Delta_{i_2 j_2} \widehat{f}_2 \cdots \Delta_{i_n j_n} \widehat{f}_n \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{H}_s^n} \Delta_{q_1} [\phi \cdot p_2(q_1) \cdots p_n(q_1)] \Big|_{q_1=0} \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{i_2, j_2, \dots} \delta_{1,2,3,4, \dots, 2n}^{12 i_2 j_2, \dots, i_n j_n} \Delta_{i_2 j_2} \widehat{f}_2 \cdots \Delta_{i_n j_n} \widehat{f}_n \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{H}_s^n} \Delta_{q_1} [\phi \cdot p_2(q_1) \cdots p_n(q_1)] \Big|_{q_1=0} \\
&\quad \cdot \Delta_{n-1}(\widehat{f}_2, \dots, \widehat{f}_n) = \int_E \frac{1}{n!} \\
&\quad \cdot \Delta_{q_1} [\phi \cdot p_2(q_1) \cdots p_n(q_1)] \Big|_{q_1=0} \\
&\quad \cdot \Delta_{n-1}(\widehat{f}_2, \dots, \widehat{f}_n).
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

□

Hence combining (93) with (92) we get that the integrands are equal almost everywhere, but since the functions are continuous, we have equality, and Theorem 13 is proved.

4. Split Quaternions and Structures on Manifolds

The operator Δ above can be generalized for any manifold with a special structure which we call *split-hypercomplex* (other known names are parahypercomplex and neutral hypercomplex). Let M be a manifold and let I be a complex structure on it; that is, $I : TM \rightarrow TM$, $I^2 = -Id$ is integrable almost complex structure. Suppose also that there

is $S : TM \rightarrow TM$ with $S^2 = Id$ and $IS = -SI$. If the ± 1 eigen-bundles of S are involutive, S is called integrable. When S is integrable, $T = IS$ again has $T^2 = Id$ and it is known that it is integrable. We call such (M, I, S, T) with integrable I, S, T *split-hypercomplex manifold* and (I, S, T) -split-hypercomplex structure. Clearly the left multiplication by i, s, t in \mathbb{H}_s^n provides such a structure. However, unlike the complex manifolds, split-hypercomplex ones do not have nice atlases with “split-quaternionic-holomorphic” transition functions, so the local considerations of the previous section cannot be extended to an arbitrary manifold. For any function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, however we can define an analog of the Baston operator Δ . Denote by ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ the standard operators for the structure I . Then $\Delta f = \partial S \bar{\partial} f$ is a globally defined 2-form on M , which is of type $(2, 0)$ with respect to I .

It is known that when $\partial \circ S \circ \bar{\partial} f$ is nondegenerate it defines a pseudo-Riemannian metric g on M of split signature, such that I is an isometry and S, T are anti-isometries of g , called split-hyperhermitian. Any split-hyperhermitian structure defines 3 nondegenerate 2-forms by $\omega_I(X, Y) = g(IX, Y)$, $\omega_S(X, Y) = g(SX, Y)$, $\omega_T(X, Y) = g(TX, Y)$, for which $\omega_S + i\omega_T$ is nondegenerate $(2, 0)$ -form with respect to I . In particular such metric is necessary of split signature and M has dimension divisible by four. The relation with a function f as above is $\partial \circ S \circ \bar{\partial} f = \omega_S + i\omega_T$ and conversely, from nondegenerate form $\partial \circ S \circ \bar{\partial} f$ on a split-hypercomplex manifold, one recovers g .

However not every hyperhermitian metric arises in such a way. There is an additional integrability condition on g which is obtained as follows: If $\omega_S + i\omega_T = \partial S \bar{\partial} f$ for some f , then $\partial(\omega_S + i\omega_T) = 0$. The condition is also equivalent to existence of a connection ∇ on M for which $\nabla g = \nabla I = \nabla S = \nabla T = 0$ and $g(T^\nabla(X, Y), Z)$ is totally skew-symmetric, where T^∇ is the torsion of ∇ [10]. On a split-hyperhermitian manifold M admitting such connection with skew-torsion, such function f locally always exists [10] but may not exist globally.

The main result of Section 4 then gives that on $\mathbb{H}_s^n \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n}$

$$(\partial \circ S \circ \bar{\partial} f)^n = \det(\mathcal{H}_s f) dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{2n}, \tag{94}$$

where \mathcal{H}_s is the split-quaternionic Hessian of f . In the quaternionic case, this gives rise to the so-called *quaternionic Monge-Ampère* equation, which arises if we want to find f for which the determinant of the quaternionic Hessian is a given function. The quaternionic Monge-Ampère equation is elliptic. In the split-quaternionic case, however the corresponding equation is ultrahyperbolic and is not well studied. On the other side the reduction of self-duality equations in split signature to two dimensions leads to the equations of [5] describing the deformations of a harmonic map from a Riemann surface into compact Lie group, which are elliptic. In \mathbb{H}_s^n natural geometric objects to study are also the split special Lagrangian submanifolds as studied in [12]. The description in our terminology is the following. Consider the form $\Omega = \omega_I - s\omega_T$ which has values in *split-complex* numbers $\mathbf{D} = \{x + sy \mid s^2 = 1\}$. Then $\Omega^n = \Omega_1 + s\Omega_2$ for real nondegenerate $2n$ -forms Ω_1 and Ω_2 . Moreover, when the structure is hypersymplectic, forms Ω_1 and Ω_2

are closed. A split special Lagrangian manifold (of phase zero) then is defined as a submanifold M of \mathbb{H}_s^n of real dimension $2n$, for which the form Ω_2 vanishes on TM and Ω_1 is nondegenerate. Such manifold is necessarily complex, since its tangent bundle is preserved by I . This is a partial case of split special Lagrangian manifolds, which are analogs of the holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds in hyperkähler manifold.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

The research of Gueo Grantcharov is partially supported by grant from Simons Foundation (no. 246184).

References

- [1] R. S. Ward and M. Tabor, “Integrable and solvable systems, and relations among them [and discussion],” *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 315, no. 1533, pp. 451–457, 1985.
- [2] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, “Geometry of $N = 2$ strings,” *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 361, no. 2, pp. 469–518, 1991.
- [3] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, “Self-duality and $N = 2$ string magic,” *Modern Physics Letters A*, vol. 5, pp. 1389–1398, 1990.
- [4] S. Alesker, “Non-commutative linear algebra and plurisubharmonic functions of quaternionic variables,” *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, vol. 127, no. 1, pp. 1–35, 2003.
- [5] N. Hitchin, “Hypersymplectic quotients,” *Atti della Accademia delle Scienze di Torino. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali*, vol. 124, pp. 169–180, 1990.
- [6] H. Kamada, “Neutral hyperkähler structures on primary Kodaira surfaces,” *Tsukuba Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 321–332, 1999.
- [7] N. Blažić and S. Vukmirović, “Para-hypercomplex structures on a four-dimensional Lie group,” in *Contemporary Geometry and Related Topics*, pp. 41–56, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, USA, 2004.
- [8] J. Davidov, G. Grantcharov, O. Mushkarov, and M. Yotov, “Para-hyperhermitian surfaces,” *Bulletin Mathématiques de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de Roumanie*, vol. 52, no. 100, pp. 281–289, 2009.
- [9] M. Dunajski and M. West, “Anti-self-dual conformal structures in neutral signature,” in *Recent Developments in Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry*, D. V. Alekseevsky and H. Baum, Eds., ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, pp. 113–148, European Mathematical Society, Zürich, Switzerland, 2008.
- [10] S. Ivanov, V. Tsanov, and S. Zamkovoy, “Hyper-parahermitian manifolds with torsion,” *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 670–690, 2006.
- [11] M. I. Graev, “Integral geometry on the space L^n where L is a Matrix Ring,” *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 277–280, 1996.
- [12] F. Reese Harvey and H. Blaine Lawson Jr., “Split special Lagrangian geometry,” in *Metric and Differential Geometry*, vol. 297 of *Progress in Mathematics*, pp. 43–89, Springer, Basel, Switzerland, 2012.
- [13] D. Wan and W. Wang, “On quaternionic Monge-Ampère operator, closed positive currents and Lelong-Jensen type formula on quaternionic space,” <http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.5291v1>.
- [14] H. Aslaksen, “Quaternionic determinants,” *The Mathematical Intelligencer*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 57–65, 1996.
- [15] F. Dyson, “Quaternionic determinants,” *Helvetica Physica Acta*, vol. 45, pp. 289–302, 1972.
- [16] H. H. Schaefer, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1971.
- [17] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev, and N. Ya. Vilenkin, *Generalized Functions—Vol 5: Integral Geometry and Representation Theory*, Translated by: E. Saletan, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1966.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

