

8-27-2002

Little Venice Water Quality Monitoring Quarterly Reports #5

Ronald Jones

Southeast Environmental Research Center, Florida International University

Joseph N. Boyer

Southeast Environmental Research Center, Florida International University, boyerj@fiu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/sercrp>



Part of the [Environmental Monitoring Commons](#), and the [Water Resource Management Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Jones, Ronald and Boyer, Joseph N., "Little Venice Water Quality Monitoring Quarterly Reports #5" (2002). *SERC Research Reports*. 40.

<http://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/sercrp/40>

This work is brought to you for free and open access by the Southeast Environmental Research Center at FIU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in SERC Research Reports by an authorized administrator of FIU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dcc@fiu.edu.



*Southeast Environmental Research Center, OE-148
Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199
305-348-3095, 305-348-4096 fax*

27 August 2002

Fred McManus
US-EPA, Region IV
Wetlands Branch
61 Forsyth St.
Atlanta, GA 30303-8960

Re: Little Venice Water Quality Monitoring Quarterly Reports #5

Dear Mr. McManus:

This letter serves to transmit the Little Venice Water Quality Monitoring Quarterly Report as per our EPA Agreement #X994621-94-0. This report consists of this letter along with corresponding table and figures.

Project Background

This report includes cumulative water quality and bacteriological data from 9 stations within the Little Venice subdivision collected during the period of record Jan. – Mar. 2002 (Fig. 1). Water was collected weekly for bacteriological analysis by SYNAGRO for enumeration of fecal coliform and enterococci (counts per 100 ml). Field parameters collected weekly at both the surface and bottom of the water column at each station include salinity (psu), temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), and dissolved oxygen (DO; mg l^{-1}). Water quality parameters monitored weekly at each station include total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), and chlorophyll *a* (CHLA; $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$). Monthly monitoring at each station included the dissolved nutrients nitrate+nitrite (NO_x), nitrite (NO_2), nitrate (NO_3), ammonium (NH_4), inorganic nitrogen (DIN), soluble reactive phosphate (SRP), and silicate ($\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4$). Concentrations for all of these variables are reported in ppm unless

noted otherwise. In addition, monthly deployment of ISCO autosamplers at rotating sites were programmed to collect 12 samples per day over a 2 day period. These samples were analyzed for TN and TP. Hydrolab datasondes accompanied the autosamplers to measure and log temperature, salinity, DO, and pH on an hourly basis.

Results

Figures 2-10 show bacterial counts for the canal stations for the complete period of record. The FL state standard for fecal coliforms in bathing waters is 800 counts/100ml and 104 counts/100ml for enterococci. Fecal coliform counts exceeded the standard 0 times while enterococci counts exceeded standards 31 times over the period of record.

Figures 11-19 show time series of TN, TP, CHLA, salinity, and DO at all stations. The heads of the canals generally have the highest TN, TP and CHLA (Fig. 20) but lowest DO (Fig. 21). All are higher than the nearshore site. As to nutrient criteria, there is no standard for Florida marine waters. However, State of Florida Rule 62-302.300(13), F.A.C. states that “particular consideration shall be given to the protection from nutrient enrichment of those presently containing very low nutrient concentrations: less than 0.3 milligrams per liter total nitrogen or less than 0.04 milligrams per liter total phosphorus.” Therefore, these benchmarks are included in the TN and TP graphs for illustrative purposes only.

If you have any questions about the content of this report, please do not hesitate to contact me at 305-348-4076, boyerj@fiu.edu or Ron Jones at 305-348-6472.

Sincerely,

Ronald Jones, Ph.D.
Director and Professor

Joseph N. Boyer, Ph.D.
Assistant Scientist




Figure 1.

Sta 2 - Nearshore of 100th Street Canal




Figure 2

Sta 1 - Mouth of 112th Street Canal




Figure 3

Sta 3 - Head of 112th Street Canal




Figure 4

Sta 4 - Mouth of 100th Street Canal




Figure 5

Sta 5 - Head of 100th Street Canal




Figure 6

Sta 6 - Mouth of 97th Street Canal




Figure 7

Sta 7 - Head of 97th Street Canal




Figure 8

Sta 8 - Mouth of 91st Street Canal




Figure 9

Sta 9 - Head of 91st Street Canal




Figure 10

Sta. 1 - Mouth of the 112th Street Canal




Figure 11

Sta.2 - Nearshore the 100th Street Canal




Figure 12

Sta.3 - Head of the 112th Street Canal








Figure 13

Sta.4 - Mouth of the 100th Street Canal




Figure 14

Sta.5 - Head of the 100th Street Canal








Figure 15

Sta.6 - Mouth of the 97th Street Canal








Figure 16

Sta. 8 - Mouth of the 91st Street Canal








Figure 18

Sta. 9 - Head of the 91st Street Canal








Figure 19






Figure 20





Figure 21